### Chief Editor's opening report

Tom Issenhuth, Huawei, P802.3cw Chief Editor

IEEE P802.3cw Task Force, 25 October 2021 Interim Teleconference Meeting

#### 802.3cw Editorial team

#### Tom Issenhuth, Huawei

Chief Editor and Editor for Clauses 00, 1, 30, 45, 78, 116, 119, 156, Annex 120A and Annex 156A

#### Dave Lewis, Lumentum

• Editor for Clause 155


### **Status**

#### P802.3cw D1.2 Task Force ballot


- Opened on September 13, 2021, closed on October 13, 2021
- 30 day comment period
- Thanks to all those that commented
- Received comments posted

Description	Clause	Т	TR	Е	ER	Total
Front Matter	00					0
Introduction	1					0
Mgmt	30					0
Mgmt (MDIO)	45					
EEE	78					0
Introduction 20/400	116					0
PCS	119					0
400GBASE-ZR PCS/PMA	155	12		5		17
400GBASE-ZR PMD	156	9	3	4	1	17
PMA sublayer partitioning	Annex 120A					0
400GBASE-ZR Applications	Annex 156A	1				1
Total Comments		22	3	9	1	35

### **Comment Distribution**



# **Comment History**



# **Clause Comments**

Topic	Clause	Comment #	Count
Front Matter	FM		
Introduction	1		
Mgmt	30		
MDIO	45		
200/400Gb Intro	116		
PCS	119		
PCS/PMA	155	8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 24	11
Optical	156	1 2 2 4 5 6 7 25 26 27 29 20 21 22 22 24	16
Channels	136	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34	16
Annexes	120A, 156A	35	1

## **Bucket Comments**

C/A	Comment #	Count
FM		
1		
30		
45		
116		
119		
155	12, 14, 20, 21, 22, 23	6
156	30	1
Annexes 120A, 156A		

### **Meeting Schedule**

- October 25<sup>th</sup>
  - See page 13 for details.
- Any requests to remove comments from the bucket must be submitted by end of day November 10 AOE.
- November 15<sup>th</sup>
- November 22<sup>nd</sup>
- November 30<sup>th</sup>
- Future dates if required to be announced.

#### **Comment Resolution Process**

- Resolve comments against Draft 1.2
  - Any presentations associated with comments will be reviewed during comment resolution session.
  - TRs and ERs do not require signoff as to whether commenter is satisfied
- If there is no disagreement on the final proposed response the comment will be closed as proposed.
- If more than 2 people disagree, a straw poll on the area of concern will be taken and the majority position will decide the final response.
  - If the straw poll contains more than 2 choices, the choice with the lowest number of supporters will be removed. This process will continue until there are only 2 choices.

#### **Comment Resolution Process**

- The order in which the comments are reviewed on a given call are subject to change
- Comments bracketed together with [] cover a common topic
- Where a comment number is underlined (e.g., <u>59</u>) it contains the proposed response for the group of comments
- Comments colored blue have associated hyperlinked presentations

### Comment Resolution Process (.3ck,.3cu,.3ct,.3cw)

- Baseline Terminology
  - "Open" comments comments that Task Force have not agreed upon a remedy
  - "Closed" comments comments that Task Force have agreed upon a remedy
  - "Final" comments Task Force has approved motion to adopt the responses to the closed comments
- Post updated comment database after each meeting
  - Allow individuals (other than commenter) two business days (AoE) to request on the reflector reconsideration of a "Closed" comment from the prior meeting
  - Individual needs to be present at next meeting to address
  - Normal procedures within the group will apply to determine if comment will be re-opened
- After all comments closed normal procedures to adopt responses and generate next draft will be followed
  - Comments can not be re-opened after meeting where responses are adopted by TF

### **Note about Comment Resolutions Going Forward**

- Consensus building will be key
  - Rule #1 Use the Reflector
  - Rule #2 See Rule #1

- Reference: IEEE SA Balloting and Comment Resolution Process Guidelines (<a href="https://standards.ieee.org/content/dam/ieee-standards/standards/web/governance/revcom/guidelines.pdf">https://standards.ieee.org/content/dam/ieee-standards/standards/web/governance/revcom/guidelines.pdf</a>)
  - Multiple reasons possible for rejecting comment given
    - a statement that the CRG could not reach consensus on the changes necessary to address the comment;

### **Comment Resolution – October 25**

Resolve the following comments

Topic	Clause	Comment #	Count
Front Matter	FM		
Introduction	1		
Mgmt	30		
MDIO	45		
200/400Gb Intro	116		
PCS	119		
PCS/PMA	155		
Optical	156	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34	16
Channels			16
Annexes	120A, 156A	35	1

# Thanks!