C/ 00 SC 0 P8 L # 55 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial-Lavout There should not be any blank pages. SuggestedRemedy Delete pages 8, 13, 57, and 62 which are blank. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 1 SC 1.4 P 22 L 51 Torres, Luisma KDPOF Comment Type E Comment Status A Grammar and syntax Expression: "to exchange control". SugaestedRemedy Eg. "to exchange control data" or "to exchange control information".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "to exchange control information"

C/ 1 SC 1.4.389 P20 L58 # 56

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editing instructions

This section is out of order.

SuggestedRemedy

Move this to the end of subclause 1.4 as these should be in order and 389 is the highest subclause number in 1.4

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be changed when updating the editing instructions to refer to the new P802.3 revision draft

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P23 L32 # 57

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editing instructions

1000BASE-T1 is in IEEE802.3-2018. 802.3ca did not add any 1000BASE PHYs. The editor's instructions are not correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete: (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be changed when updating the editing instructions to refer to the new P802.3 revision draft.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P23 L37 # 58

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editing instructions

2.5GBASE-T1 was added by ch, not ca.

I have added other editor's instructions that refer to ca when it should be ch.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020)

To: (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ch-2020)

Also on lines 41 and 46.

Also on P25L3, P26L1, P26L11, P26L23, P27L31, P32L9, P44L14, P44L44, P44L51,

P52L12, P52L20, P52L34, P54L3, P55L1, P55L40, P55L46, P55L50, P56L5,

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be changed when updating the editing instructions to refer to the new P802 3 revision draft

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P23 L46 # 59

Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editing instructions

10GBASE-PR-U4 is in IEEE802.3-2018. 802.3ca did not add any 10GBASE PHYs. The editor's instructions are not correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete: (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be changed when updating the editing instructions to refer to the new P802.3 revision draft.

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 23 L 50 # 60 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editina instructions 40GBASE-T is in IEEE802.3-2018. 802.3ca did not add any 40GBASE PHYs. The editor's instructions are not correct.

SugaestedRemedy

Delete: (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020) Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be changed when updating the editing instructions to refer to the new P802.3 revision draft.

Cl 44 SC 44.1.3 P 25 L 38

Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** 

Comment Type E Comment Status A Technical In Figure 44-1 the PHY sublayers of 10GBASE-AU do not mention "BASE-U" in PCS/PMA.

This is not consistent with the other figures for 2.5/5/25/50 Gbps, nor with the recap of clause 166 (Figure 166-1 on p.65).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "PCS" with "BASE-U PCS" and "PMA" with "BASE-U PMA" for consistency.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2 P40 L 28 # 61 General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editing instructions

Incorrect subclause number

SuggestedRemedy

Wienckowski. Natalie

This should be 45.5. All subclauses also need to be corrected to 45.5.x

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be changed when updating the editing instructions to refer to the new P802.3 revision draft.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P40 L 31 # 62

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editina instructions

Incorrect subclause number

SugaestedRemedy

Should be 45.5.3

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be changed when updating the editing instructions to refer to the new P802.3 revision draft.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.2 P40 L 38

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editing instructions

Incorrect subclause number - The correct subclause is in the editor's instructions, but ca didn't modify this subclause.

SuggestedRemedy

Should be 45.5.3.2.

Delete reference to ca as it doesn't include this subclause. I don't know if another ammendment includes this or not

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be changed when updating the editing instructions to refer to the new P802.3 revision draft.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.3 P40 L 50 # 64

General Motors Wienckowski. Natalie

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editing instructions

Incorrect subclause number

ca added M230. so cz should add MM231 and 232

SuggestedRemedy

Should be 45 5 3 3

P41L1 Change: Insert PICS items MM230 through MM231

To: Insert PICS items MM231 through MM231

Change: (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ch-2020)

To: (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020)

Change MM230 to MM231 and MM231 to MM232.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be changed when updating the editing instructions to refer to the new P802.3 revision draft.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.3 P 41 **L8** # 18 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type E Comment Status A Grammar and syntax Typo: "only one of the mode of operation" SuggestedRemedy Replace: "...modes of operation". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.3 P 41 L 10 KDPOF Torres, Luisma Comment Type E Comment Status A Bad reference Inside the table, a reference to register 135. SuggestedRemedy Replace with register 1.72 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 29 / 47 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Status A Comment Type E Editina instructions

Typo in editorial indications. New subclause 45.2.1.23aa and Table 45-26aa are announced but not inserted.

# SuggestedRemedy

Assuming typo: the new subclause and table are respetively 45.2.1.54a and 45-52a. Correct editorial indications.

### Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be changed when updating the editing instructions to refer to the new P802.3 revision draft.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P41 L 20 # 65

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editing instructions

Incorrect subclause number

# SuggestedRemedy

Should be 45.5.3.6

Delete reference to ca as it doesn't include this subclause. I don't know if another ammendment includes this or not.

# Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be changed when updating the editing instructions to refer to the new P802.3 revision draft.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7 P41 L20 # 66

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editing instructions

Incorrect subclause number

### SuggestedRemedy

Should be 45.5.3.7

# Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be changed when updating the editing instructions to refer to the new P802.3 revision draft.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.134 P30 L36 # 5

Torres, Luisma KDPOF

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editing instructions

Conflicting numbering. Text on I.36 refers to subclause 45.2.1.134aa but heading is 45.2.1.134a.

# SuggestedRemedy

Correct heading number to 45.2.1.134aa.

### Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be changed when updating the editing instructions to refer to the new P802.3 revision draft.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.134 P 30 L46 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type Comment Status A Bad reference "... and advertised by register 135." Register 135 seems an error. SuggestedRemedy Replace with register 1.72 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.134 P 30 L 46 KDPOF Torres, Luisma Comment Type E Comment Status A Grammar and syntax Typo: "only one of the mode of operation". SuggestedRemedy Replace: "...modes of operation". Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.134 P 30 / 47 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Status A Comment Type E Bad reference "Register 135" seems a typo. Also in the table on p.41, I.11 (MM230). SuggestedRemedy Replace with register 1.72 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.80a.1 P 32 L 50 # 9 **KDPOF** Torres, Luisma Comment Type E Comment Status A Bad reference Typo: TXO REQ is not 3.2335.15 SuggestedRemedy Correct to register 3.2330 in the title, and in the text on lines 51-53 (another 3 occurrences).

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.80a.5 P 34 L3 # 10 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Grammar and syntax Typo: "Bits ... contains". Also "and registers ... contains". SugaestedRemedy Replace: "Bits... contain" and "registers ... contain". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.80a.5 P 34 L7 # 11 KDPOF Torres, Luisma Comment Type T Comment Status A Clarification-Technical The "protocol number" format is not specified. SuggestedRemedy Complete the last sentence: "The protocol number and the content of TXO DATA2 to TXO DATA8 shall be vendor specific to the assignee of the OUI or CID" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. P 34 CI 45 SC 45.2.3.80b L 17 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type E Comment Status A Grammar and syntax Typo: "Registers ... contains". SuggestedRemedy Replace: "Registers ... contain". Response Response Status C ACCEPT

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.80b P 34 L 33 # 13 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type Comment Status A Clarification-Technical RXO DATA0 register is described as "message data type information". However, in transmission table, TXO DATA0 entry is defined as message first 12 bits, and in clause 45.2.380a.5 registers TXO DATA0 and TXO DATA1 contain a 28-bit BASE-U OAM protocol iden SuggestedRemedy Replace by "Receive BASE-U OAM message first 12 bits" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 # 14 SC 45.2.3.80b.3 P 35 L 11 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Grammar and syntax Typo: "Bits ... contains". SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

Replace: "Bits... contain".

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In addition to the suggested remedy, also change: "registers 3.2340 through 3.2347 (RXO\_DATA1 through RXO\_DATA8) the remaining" To: "registers 3.2340 through 3.2347 (RXO\_DATA1 through RXO\_DATA8) contain the remaining"

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.80d.11 P 38 L 40 # 15

Torres, Luisma KDPOF

Comment Type E Comment Status A Grammar and syntax

Expression (use of "both" and "either").

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "both", or change eg to: "indicates both that the remote PHY has BASE-U OAM capability and that the BASE-U OAM is enabled". Same in I.47.

Also on I.42 and I.48, would prefer eg: "indicates either that the remote PHY does not have BASE-U OAM ability

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace by "indicates both that the remote PHY has BASE-U OAM capability and that the BASE-U OAM is enabled" Same in I.47.

Also on I.42 and I.48, replace "indicates that the remote PHY either does not have BASE-U OAM ability" with "indicates either that the remote PHY does not have BASE-U OAM ability"

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.80e.1 P 39 L 33 # 16

Torres, Luisma KDPOF

Comment Type E Comment Status A Bad reference

"Link margin is defined in 166.3.5.1 and ...", but in 166.3.5.2 "The link margin is defined ... with a quality specified in 166.3.5.1"

SuggestedRemedy

Change the reference to 166.3.5.2

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.80f.1 P40 L4 # 17

Torres, Luisma KDPOF

Comment Type E Comment Status A Bad reference

"Link margin is defined in 166.3.5.1 and ...", but in 166.3.5.2 "The link margin is defined ... with a quality specified in 166.3.5.1"

SuggestedRemedy

Change the reference to 166.3.5.2

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 105 SC 105.2 P49 L35 # 19

Torres, Luisma KDPOF

Comment Type T Comment Status A Technical

RS not marked in table for 25GBASE-AU

SugaestedRemedy

Mark as Mandatory the Clause 106 RS for 25GBASE-AU

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

					-					
C/ 105	SC 105.5	P <b>50</b>	L <b>41</b>	# 20	C/ 125	SC 125.3	P <b>56</b>	L 16	# 24	
Torres, Lui	sma	KDPOF			Torres, Lu	isma	KDPOF			
Comment 7	Туре Е	Comment Status A Bad reference		Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		Bad reference		
Inside the table, in the notes column "See ." without reference.						Inside the table, in the notes column "See ." without reference.				
Suggested		166 13			Suggested	dRemedy nissing referenc	e 166 13			
Add missing reference 166.13.  Response Response Status C						nooning reference				
ACCE	PT.	Response Status C			Response Response Status C  ACCEPT.					
C/ 105	SC 105.7	P 51	L <b>6</b>	# 21	C/ 125	SC 125.3	P 56	L 24	# 25	
Torres, Lui	sma	KDPOF		-	Torres, Lu	isma	KDPOF		-	
Comment Type E Comment Status A Bad reference "Clause 112, Clause 114, Clause 300"					Comment Type E Comment Status A Bad reference Inside the table, in the notes column "See ." without reference.					
Suggested	Remedy e to Clause 166				Suggested Add m	dRemedy nissing referenc	e 166.13.			
Response ACCEF	РΤ.	Response Status C			Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status C			
C/ <b>125</b>	SC 125.1.4	P <b>55</b>	L 14	# 22	C/ <b>131</b>	SC 131.1.2	P 58	L 37	# 26	
Torres, Lui	sma	KDPOF			Torres, Lu	iisma	KDPOF			
Comment Type <b>E</b> Comment Status <b>A</b> Typo-Technical Last but one column title says "2.5GBASE-U", seems incorrect.					Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial-Layou Confusing layout of the legend in Figure 131-1.					
Suggested	Remedy e with "2.5GBA	SE-AU".			Suggestea Revise	•	(smaller character size?).			
Response		Response Status C			Response	,	Response Status C			
ACCEPT.					ACCEPT. Due to the template update, the size of the font was increased and part of the legend is not readeable.					
C/ <b>125</b>	SC 125.1.4	P <b>55</b>	L <b>25</b>	# 23	C/ <b>131</b>	SC 131.1.3	P 59	L3	# 27	
Torres, Lui		KDPOF			Torres, Lu	iisma	KDPOF		<u> </u>	
Comment Type T Comment Status A Technical  RS not marked in table for 2.5 GBASE-AU nor 5 GBASE-AU					Comment	Type <b>E</b>	Comment Status A ations: "a new paragraphs".		Grammar and syntax	
SuggestedRemedy  Mark as Mandatory the Clause 46 RS for 2.5GBASE-AU and 5GBASE-AU					SuggestedRemedy  Replace with "a new paragraph".					
Response		Response Status C				ce with a new p	-			
ACCE	PT.				Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status C			

C/ 131 SC 131.2.24 P 60 L 25 # 28 C/ 166 **KDPOF** Torres, Luisma Comment Type Comment Status A Technical RS not marked in table for 50GBASE-AU SuggestedRemedy Mark as Mandatory the Clause 132 RS for 50GBASE-AU Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 131 SC 131.4 P 61 L 18 # 29 Torres, Luisma KDPOF Comment Type Comment Status A Bad reference C/ 166 Inside the table, in the notes column "See 300.12." SuggestedRemedy Change to Clause 166. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to subclause 166.12 C/ 131 SC Figure 131-1 P 58 L 37 # 67 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting/KDPOF Comment Status A Comment Type E Editorial-Lavout List of expansions is not consistent with P802.3/D1.0. Font size appears larger, but where are the missing rows? SuggestedRemedy Make list of expansions consistent Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #26 # 68 C/ 166 SC 166.1 P 63 L 23 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting/KDPOF Comment Type т Comment Status A Technical PHY is not an expansion for Physical Layer. SuggestedRemedy "...50GBASE-AU Physical Layer entity (PHY)."

SC 166.1.3 P 65 L 11 # 30 **KDPOF** Torres, Luisma Comment Type Comment Status R Technical Inconsistent tagging of XGMII as optional feature or not. It is marked Optional in Table 105-1 (p.49, 25G) and in the recap of clause 166 for all speeds (Figure 166-1 on p.65); also in Figure 125-1 (p.53) for 2.5/5 Gbps; but NOT in Figure 44-1 (p.25, 10G) SuggestedRemedy Try to keep consistency across sections, or at least between speed-specific Figures and the recap Figure 166-1. Response Status C Response REJECT. The commenter is encouraged to submit the comment on the current 802.3 revision. SC 166.1.4 P 66 **L8** # 31 KDPOF Torres. Luisma Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Grammar and syntax Typo: "time division multiplexing these two". SuggestedRemedy Replace with "time division multiplexing of these two". Response Response Status C ACCEPT C/ 166 SC 166 1 4 P 66 L 10 # 32 **KDPOF** Torres, Luisma Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Grammar and syntax Expression: unnecessarily confusing "repeatedly". SuggestedRemedy Remove "repeatedly" or clarify meaning. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove "repeatedly"

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 166 SC 166.1.4 P 66 L18 # 33 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type Comment Status A Grammar and syntax The text says: "Each encoded PHD sub-block is placed in the Transmit Block after a group of payload blocks." However, payload blocks have not been defined at this point. SugaestedRemedy Replace "payload blocks" by "65-bit blocks containing payload" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 166 SC 166.2.1 P 68 L 34 # 34 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type E Comment Status A Bad reference "Table 166-2A (see 166.2.2.1.2)". Table is 166-2, and it is defined in section 166.2.2.1.1. Section 166.2.2.1.2 describes PHD all data path stages. SuggestedRemedy Delete "A" in "Table 166-2A" and substitute "168.2.2.1.2" by "168.2.2.1.1". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 166 SC 166.2.1 P 68 L 41 # 35 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type Т Comment Status A Typo-Technical "The 5140-bit RS-FEC ...", but 80x65+20=5220. In 166.2.2.4 "RS-FEC encoder takes the 5220-bit message ..." SuggestedRemedy Replace 5140 with 5220.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 166 SC 166.2.1 P 68 L43 # 36 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type Comment Status A Typo-Technical Error in RS-FEC length, should be 5220 bits not 5140 bits. Also on I.47. But OK in the detailed description of next pages. SugaestedRemedy Replace 5140 with 5220. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 166 SC 166.2.1 P 68 L 47 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Typo-Technical Comment Type T Comment Status A RS-FEC message is 5220 bits long. SuggestedRemedy Replace 5140 with 5220. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 166 SC 166.2.1 P 68 L 48 # 38 Torres. Luisma KDPOF Comment Type T Comment Status A Typo-Technical RS-FEC message is 5220 bits long. SuggestedRemedy Replace 5140 with 5220. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 166

SC 166.2.2.3

# 42

C/ 166 SC 166.2.2.1.1 P 69 L 25 # 39 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type Comment Status A Clarification-Technical Field PHD.TX.NEXT.MODE is not explained, contains a "TBD" reference and is only generically mentioned on p.71 l.12-13, with no clear meaning. SuggestedRemedy Add editor note and link the specification to the approval of test modes baseline. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 166 SC 166.2.2.1.1 P 70 L 12 # 40 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Bad reference Comment Type E Comment Status A Wrong register number for PCS Status 3. SuggestedRemedy Replace 3.525.7:0 with 3.2351.7:0 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 166 SC 166.2.2.1.4 P72 L 38 # 41 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Grammar and syntax The sentence "Each of the 240 information bits..." the word "each" should be replaced by "each one". SuggestedRemedy Replace by "Each one of the 240 information bits..."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "Each group of 240 information bits shall be encoded into a

Response

group of 720 bits"

Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Grammar and syntax Wrong syntax in sentence: "The RS-FEC message is 5220 length" SugaestedRemedy Replace by "The RS-FEC message is 5220 long" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace by "The RS-FEC message is 5220 bits long" C/ 166 SC 166.2.2.5 P 75 L 27 KDPOF Torres, Luisma Comment Type E Comment Status A Clarification-Technical "value of 0x0FB9659 at the ..., where the leftmost digit correponds to the initial value of the register element r[0]". r[0:3]=0 r[4:7]=F r[8:11]=B r[12:15]=9 ... or r[0:3]=7 r[4:7]=D r[8:11]=C r[12:15]=B ... or r[3:0]=9 r[7:4]=5 r[11:8]=6 r[15:12]=9 ... SuggestedRemedy Add the following sentence after "where the leftmost digit corresponds to the initial value of the register element r[0].": "Therefore, the rightmost bit of the rightmost digit corresponds to the initial value of register element r[24] " Response Response Status C ACCEPT SC 166.2.3 C/ 166 P 76 L 35 **KDPOF** Torres, Luisma Comment Type Comment Status A Typo-Technical In Figure 166-10, last section, the payload blocks size is wrong. SuggestedRemedy Replace "80 64-bit blocks" with "80 65-bit blocks". Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

P73

L 14

C/ 166 L 29 # 45 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Torres, Luisma Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Clarification-Technical Comment Type In text: "A LBLOCK T containing two local fault ordered set is transmitted when the PCS transmit process is in training mode" It would be better to say "Continuous LBLOCK T" or "Consecutive LBLOCK T" instead of "A LBLOCK T". SuggestedRemedy Response Replace "A LBLOCK T ... is transmitted" by "Continuous L BLOCK T ... are transmitted" ACCEPT. Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 166 Torres, Luisma SC 166.2.6.1.2 L4 # 46 C/ 166 P 83 Comment Type Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Grammar and syntax T TYPE NEXT is not used in the Figure 166-13 SuggestedRemedy Remove the description Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 166 C/ 166 SC 166.2.7 L38 # 47 P83 Torres, Luisma Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Grammar and syntax Typos: "The 36 20-bit encoded PHD sub-block that are in a Transmission Block, are TRC...". SuggestedRemedy Replace with: "The 36 20-bit encoded PHD sub-blocks that are in a Transmission Block are Response TRC...". ACCEPT. Response Response Status C

P 81

SC 166.2.5.5.5

ACCEPT

C/ 166 SC 166.2.7.1 P83 L 44 # 48 **KDPOF** т Comment Status A Typo-Technical Wrong number in "195839 Transmit Block bits". SugaestedRemedy Replace 195839 by 195840. Response Status C SC 166.2.7.2 P83 L 52 # 49 KDPOF Comment Status A Grammar and syntax Confusing expression: "by marking the affected 65-bit blocks with the flag /E/". In previous text the only reference to "/E/" is the error character/code. SuggestedRemedy Add reference to the R BLOCK TYPE, eg: "by setting the R BLOCK TYPE of the affected 65-bit blocks equal to /E/.". Response Status C SC 166.3.3.2 P 89 L41 # 50 **KDPOF** Comment Status A Grammar and syntax Typo, missing word: "due optical signal conversion". SuggestedRemedy Replace with "due to optical signal conversion". Response Status C

C/ 166 SC 166.3.4.1 P 91 L 54 # 51 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Grammar and syntax In text: "...64B/65B PCS encoder encodes predifined data to be used for the link partner alignment", "local fault sequence ordered sets" instead of "predefined data" can be written. SuggestedRemedy Replace "predefined data" by "local fault sequence ordered sets" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 166 SC 166.3.4.4 P 95 L3 # 52 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type E Comment Status A Grammar and syntax Typo: "that waits for the local receiver reliability is recovered". SuggestedRemedy Replace with "that waits for the local receiver reliability to be recovered". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 166 SC 166.3.5.2 P 96 L 45 # 53 Torres, Luisma **KDPOF** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Grammar and syntax Expression: "the ratio of corrected symbols per CW carried out by the RS-FEC decoder". SuggestedRemedy

Replace with: "the ratio of symbols corrected by the RS-FEC decoder per CW".

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Cl 166 SC 166.6.1.3.1 P101 L 36 # 54

Torres, Luisma KDPOF

Comment Type E Comment Status A Grammar and syntax

Expression is slightly confusing: "A signal detect=OK ... link status=FAIL."

# SuggestedRemedy

Revise this note. Suggested: "A signal\_detect=OK indication with average optical power enough to allow the PHY partners to start establishing the link but not to meet the RFER target (see 166.3.5.1) results into the PHY indicating link status=FAIL."

# Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace by "A signal\_detect=OK indication with enough average optical power to allow the PHY partners to start establishing the link but not enough to meet the RFER target (see 166.3.5.1) results in the PHY indicating link status=FAIL."