arently there nt cannot be o the standa	Cisco Syster Comment Status D o clean and CMP version, e are no cross references approved this way - it wo rd. Additionally, it is very lraft did have cross refere	do not include a (neither in a brow puld be a unfit for difficult to review.	vser view nor in Adobe	Media Ir (PHYs)	abit Etherne dependent	t uses the IEEI Interface (XGN		player, connected umber of 10 Gb/s	Improve wording d through a 10 Gigabit s Physical Layer devices
iments, both arently there of cannot be of the standa e previous of	a clean and CMP version, a are no cross references approved this way - it wo rd. Additionally, it is very	(neither in a brow ould be a unfit for difficult to review.	bookmark navigation ser view nor in Adobe users and for future	"10 Giga Media Ir (PHYs)	abit Etherne dependent	t uses the IEEI Interface (XGN	E 802.3 MAC sub /III) to one of a nu	umber of 10 Gb/s	d through a 10 Gigabit
arently there at cannot be the standa e previous c	are no cross references approved this way - it wo rd. Additionally, it is very	(neither in a brow ould be a unfit for difficult to review.	vser view nor in Adobe	Media Ir (PHYs)	ndependent	Interface (XGN	/III) to one of a nu	umber of 10 Gb/s	
o the standa e previous c	rd. Additionally, it is very	difficult to review.		The exp			,		
y		ences, i nope it is	just a PDF generation	unconve	entional and n correspor	wordy. This ex	pression does no		ent, and is original text nor does it r language is used
y									r operating at a data
next draft su ble.	ch that cross references	are active bookm	arks and a bookmark	Clause	116: "200 G	gabit Ethernet	uses the IEEE 8		ayer implementation". yer operating at a data I Layer
se ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Clause	125: "2.5 Gi				
)	Р	L	# R1-64	Note that	t the XGMI	is defined in c	lause 46 as an o	otional interface	so it should not be
alie	General Mot	ors Company		included	l in this (info	rmal) definitior			
GR	Comment Status D		Hyperlinks	correspo	onding xMII.				
nyperlinks ir	the document and there	are no bookmark	••	This cor	nment also	applies to 105.	1.1, P49L18, who	ere similar text a	ppears for 25 Gb/s.
				idea, bu	t the replace				
ACCEPT.				SuggestedR	emedy				
				"10 Giga	abit Etherne	t uses the IEEI	E 802.3 MAC sub		
				"25 Giga	abit Etherne	t uses the IEEI	E 802.3 MAC sub		
				Proposed R	esponse	Respons	e Status W		
				Comme	nt is out of s	cope for this r	ecirculation ballo	t.	
	ACCEPT. alie GR hyperlinks in yperlinks an se /	ACCEPT. P alie General Mote GR Comment Status D hyperlinks in the document and there yperlinks and add bookmarks. Se Response Status W	ACCEPT. P L alie General Motors Company GR Comment Status D hyperlinks in the document and there are no bookmarks yperlinks and add bookmarks. se Response Status W	ACCEPT. P L # R1-64 alie General Motors Company GR Comment Status D Hyperlinks hyperlinks in the document and there are no bookmarks. yperlinks and add bookmarks. Se Response Status W	ACCEPT. P L # R1-64 Note that included correspondent Status D Hyperlinks hyperlinks in the document and there are no bookmarks. Y yperlinks and add bookmarks. Se Response Status W ACCEPT. Clause - rate of 2 Note that included correspondent Removing idea, but languag SuggestedR Change "10 Giga Gb/s, co Proposed Re PROPO Comment	ACCEPT. P L # R1-64 Alie General Motors Company GR Comment Status D Hyperlinks included in this (info corresponding xMII. This comment also in yperlinks and add bookmarks. Se Response Status W ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Clause 125: "2.5 Gig rate of 2.5 Gb/s, cou Note that the XGMII included in this (info corresponding xMII. This comment also in Removing laundry li idea, but the replace language. SuggestedRemedy Change the first ser "10 Gigabit Etherned Gb/s, coupled with a Change the first ser "25 Gigabit Etherned Gb/s, coupled with a Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	ACCEPT. P L # R1-64 Ciause 125: "2.5 Gigabit Ethernet rate of 2.5 Gb/s, coupled with any Note that the XGMII is defined in c included in this (informal) definition corresponding xMII. This comment also applies to 105. Removing laundry lists of PHYs, a idea, but the replacement should u language. SuggestedRemedy Change the first sentence in 44.1. "10 Gigabit Ethernet uses the IEEI Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 Change the first sentence in 105.1 "25 Gigabit Ethernet uses the IEEI Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 Proposed Response Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIL Comment is out of scope for this for	ACCEPT. P L # R1-64 alie General Motors Company Hyperlinks GR Comment Status D Hyperlinks ryperlinks in the document and there are no bookmarks. Hyperlinks Note that the XGMII is defined in clause 46 as an o included in this (informal) definition. The other claus corresponding xMII. Yyperlinks and add bookmarks. Hyperlinks This comment also applies to 105.1.1, P49L18, whe replacement should use established la language. SCCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change the first sentence in 44.1.1 to "10 Gigabit Ethernet uses the IEEE 802.3 MAC sub Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 IOGBASE Physe. Change the first sentence in 105.1.1 to "25 Gigabit Ethernet uses the IEEE 802.3 SuggestedResponse Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status Proposed Response Response Status W	ACCEPT. P L # R1-64 Alie General Motors Company General Motors Company GR Comment Status D Hyperlinks inyperlinks in the document and there are no bookmarks. Hyperlinks Hyperlinks yperlinks and add bookmarks. Hyperlinks Hyperlinks Se Response Status W VCCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change the first sentence in 44.1.1 to 10 Gigabit Ethernet uses the IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 IMAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 MAC sublayer operating a Gb/s, coupled with any IEEE 802.3 M

C/ 44 SC 44.1.1

C/ 166	SC	166	Р	L	# <u>R</u> 1-56	C/ 166	SC	166.1	P61	L 30	# R1-7			
Ran, Adee	•		Cisco Syste	ms, Inc.		Ran, Adee			Cisco System	s, Inc.				
Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		Document layout	Comment T	Гуре	TR	Comment Status D		Connectors			
someti Either subcla	mes in each fi use sh	tersperse gure shou ould be ac	n clause 166 appear far awa d with unrelated text. ld appear in the subclause dded to hold all figures relat ude large white space areas	that refers to it ed to the topic,	first, or a dedicated such as "State diagrams".	mated prequire	plug. F ments	PMD and for instal	o the optical fiber medium is ty in-line connectors and the cal lation in a vehicle." the specifications in this claus	ble have to sup	port specific			
text be	tter. Fig	gure 166–	18, Figure 166–1, Figure 10	6–20, Figure 1	66–22.	"PMD r	ecepta	able and i	mated plug" are called "MDI c	onnector" in thi	s standard.			
Suggested	Remed	dy				"have to	o supr	ort speci	fic requirements" is not standa	ard language. V	Vhat does it mean? This			
		•	e in the figures as much as			draft ind	cludes	some sp	becifications in 166.6.6. I assu I in this standard?					
creates	s too m	nuch white	n floating, such that they ap space, create a dedicated ted figures)			"suppoi	rt" is a	n overloa	ded word. Requirements sho	uld be met.				
	ones for Tx and Rx related figures)						SuggestedRemedy							
PROP The co in knov Editor's	PROPOSED REJECT. The commenter should note that this clause begins with an Editor's Note that aids readers in knowing which other documents have been considered in writing the amendment. This Editor's Note being removed from the published amendment potentially affects every page of Clause 166.					"The M mated p connec	DI cor plug. 1 tor, in-	necting t This claus -line conr	ntence to he PMD to the optical fiber me a assumes the fiber optic cab ectors, and cable may have a and the scope of this standard	ling characteris	tics in 166.6.6. The MDI			
The co	mmon	tor thorofo	ore is reminded of the SASE	Operations M	anual 5 4 3 3: "It should	Or dele	te this	sentence	e, as 166.6.6 covers it anyway	<i>.</i>				
			roposed standards are prof			Proposed F	Respor	nse	Response Status W					
	v of pag		nd positioning of floating tab			Comme Change "Conne mated p required to "The M mated p connec	ent is o ection o plug. F ments DI cor plug. 1	out of sco of PMD to PMD and for instal necting t This claus -line conr	IN PRINCIPLE. the optical fiber medium is ty in-line connectors and the cal lation in a vehicle." the PMD to the optical fiber me the assumes the fiber optic cab the the scope of this standard	rpically with a P ole have to sup edium is typical ling characteris idditional requir	port specific ly a receptacle and a stics in 166.6.6. The MDI			

C/ 166 SC 166.1

C/ 166 SC 166.1.4	P 64	L 29	# R1-9	C/ 166	SC 166.1.4	P6:	5	L 4	# R1-10
an, Adee	Cisco Systen	ns, Inc.		Ran, Adee		Cisco	Systems, Ir	nc.	
omment Type TR Com	nment Status D		Improve wording	Comment	Гуре Е	Comment Status	D		Active clause reference
"The BASE-U OAM information band, that is, outside of the spo Ethernet data stream"						opear in green, sugge e 45 is included, so "(ncluded in this a active cross reference.
This statement is confusing. If separate data stream?	they are outside of th	ne data stream, s	hould there be a			number labels can b (immediately followin			gram. Cross reference
802.3 has a definition, "1.4.442				Note the labels.	at similar figure	s such as Figure 119	–2 and Figu	re 149–2 do	not contain these
frequency that is within the pas		nission facility but	t outside a frequency	Suggested	Remedy				
range normally used for data tr	ransmission .					ve all clause labels fr	om the diag	ram.	
Usage of "out of band" for OAM				Proposed I	Response	Response Status	w		
into the data stream by the PC signal, so they are in-band (pe that is within the bandwidth of t	r the definition of "in-	band" in 1.4.359:		PROP Comm	OSED ACCEPT	,	on ballot.		
I am aware of the four instance 97 and 149, two places each).	These should also be	e corrected in ma		C/ 166	SC 166.2.1	P 6	7	L10	# R1-13
the error in this project first wo	uld help the maintena	ance process.		Ran, Adee		Cisco	Systems, Ir	nc.	
lggestedRemedy				Comment	Гуре Е	Comment Status	D		Improve wording
Change the quoted statement				The P	CS receive func	tion comprises"			
"The BASE-U OAM information interleaving it with the specified data stream".				for rea	ders. Its meaning		does not full	ly match the	ich may be confusing intent here; the PCS rs.
interleaving it with the specified data stream".				for rea receive	ders. Its meaning function also de	g "to be made up of" bes other things, sucl	does not full h as maintai	ly match the	intent here; the PCS
interleaving it with the specified data stream". roposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIM	d 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, 10 ponse Status W NCIPLE.	0 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s,		for rea receive "comp	ders. Its meaning function also de ises" also appea	g "to be made up of"	does not full h as maintai	ly match the	intent here; the PCS
interleaving it with the specified data stream". roposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN Comment is out of scope for th Interleaving / multiplexing and	d 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, 1 <i>bonse Status</i> W NCIPLE. his recirculation ballot multiple streams topi	0 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s,	or 50 Gb/s Ethernet	for rea receive "comp Suggested	ders. Its meaning function also de rises" also appea <i>Remedy</i>	g "to be made up of" bes other things, sucl	does not full n as maintai 66.3.2.	ly match the	intent here; the PCS
interleaving it with the specified data stream". Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN Comment is out of scope for th	d 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, 1 <i>bonse Status</i> W NCIPLE. his recirculation ballot multiple streams topi	0 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s,	or 50 Gb/s Ethernet	for rea receive "comp <i>Suggested</i> Chang	ders. Its meaning function also de rises" also appea <i>Remedy</i>	g "to be made up of" bes other things, such ars in 166.2.3.4 and 1 beceive function includ	does not full n as maintai 66.3.2.	ly match the	intent here; the PCS
interleaving it with the specified data stream". Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN Comment is out of scope for th Interleaving / multiplexing and same sub-clause, so it is redur Change "The BASE-U OAM information	d 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, 1 boonse Status W NCIPLE. his recirculation ballot multiple streams topi ndant. n is exchanged betwee	0 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, t. ics are already ex een two BASE-Al	or 50 Gb/s Ethernet cplained before in the J PHYs out of band,	for rea receive "comp <i>Suggested</i> Chang	ders. Its meaning function also de rises" also appea <i>Remedy</i> e to "The PCS re e the other insta	g "to be made up of" bes other things, such ars in 166.2.3.4 and 1 beceive function includ	does not full n as maintai 66.3.2. les".	ly match the	intent here; the PCS
interleaving it with the specified data stream". Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN Comment is out of scope for th Interleaving / multiplexing and same sub-clause, so it is redur Change "The BASE-U OAM information that is, outside of the specified	d 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, 1 boonse Status W NCIPLE. his recirculation ballot multiple streams topi ndant. n is exchanged betwee	0 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, t. ics are already ex een two BASE-Al	or 50 Gb/s Ethernet cplained before in the J PHYs out of band,	for rea receive <i>"comp Suggested</i> Chang <i>Chang</i> <i>Proposed</i>	ders. Its meaning function also de rises" also appea <i>Remedy</i> e to "The PCS re e the other insta	g "to be made up of" bes other things, such ars in 166.2.3.4 and 1 eceive function includ nces similarly. <i>Response Status</i>	does not full n as maintai 66.3.2. les".	ly match the	intent here; the PCS
interleaving it with the specified data stream". Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN Comment is out of scope for th Interleaving / multiplexing and same sub-clause, so it is redur Change "The BASE-U OAM information	d 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, 1 boonse Status W NCIPLE. multiple streams topi ndant. n is exchanged betwe I 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, 10	0 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, t. ics are already ex een two BASE-Al 0 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, c	or 50 Gb/s Ethernet kplained before in the U PHYs out of band, or 50 Gb/s Ethernet	for rea receive <i>"comp Suggested</i> Chang <i>Proposed I</i> PROP Comm	ders. Its meaning function also do rises" also appea <i>Remedy</i> e to "The PCS re the other insta <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT ent is out of sco	g "to be made up of" bes other things, such ars in 166.2.3.4 and 1 eceive function includ nces similarly. <i>Response Status</i>	does not full n as maintai 66.3.2. les". W on ballot.	ly match the	intent here; the PCS
interleaving it with the specified data stream". Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN Comment is out of scope for th Interleaving / multiplexing and same sub-clause, so it is redur Change "The BASE-U OAM information that is, outside of the specified data stream" to "The BASE-U OAM information	d 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, 1 boonse Status W NCIPLE. multiple streams topi ndant. n is exchanged betwe I 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, 10	0 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, t. ics are already ex een two BASE-Al 0 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s, c	or 50 Gb/s Ethernet kplained before in the U PHYs out of band, or 50 Gb/s Ethernet	for rea receive <i>"comp Suggested</i> Chang <i>Proposed I</i> PROP Comm	ders. Its meaning function also do rises" also appea <i>Remedy</i> e to "The PCS re the other insta <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT ent is out of sco	g ["] to be made up of" bes other things, such ars in 166.2.3.4 and 1 eceive function includ nces similarly. <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE. be for this recirculation	does not full n as maintai 66.3.2. les". W on ballot.	ly match the	intent here; the PCS

C/ 166	SC 166.	2.2.1.2	P69	L 46	# R1-15	C/ 166	SC 166.2	2.1.4	P 70	L 45	# R1-18
Ran, Adee	•		Cisco System	ns, Inc.		Ran, Adee			Cisco Syster	ms, Inc.	
Comment	Туре ТБ	Con	nment Status D	rov	ement of shall statements	Comment 7	Гуре Т	Co	omment Status D		Improve wording
		ath includes t es four items	three stages"				group of 240 ancy bits"	informati	on bits composed of th	he concatenation	n of a PHD and the
item fo encodi	or the entire	subclause. Sess of the nu	is "Physical header e So there should be on Imber of stages it is di	ne normative rec		this is t	t clear on firs he CRC16. he reader und	0	what the "redundancy	v bits" are. Caref	ul reading reveals that
Suggested	Remedy					Also in	166.2.2.1.2,	two insta	Incos		
			ncludes three stages:		lata path shall be				inces.		
functio	nally equiva	alent to the r	esult of the following p	process:"		Suggested	,	nhrana ta	"Foot group of 240 is	nformation hits	compand of the
Chang	e "shall be"	to "is" in list	items 1, 2, 3, and 4.						b "Each group of 240 in the CRC16".		composed of the
•	Response	,	oonse Status W			Change	e "redundand	v bits" to	"CRC16" in 166.2.2.1	.2, item 2 and it	em 3.
Comm	ent is out o		NCIPLE. his recirculation ballot dy is accepted.			Proposed F		Re	sponse Status W		
C/ 166	SC 166.	-	P 69	L 50	# R1-16				this recirculation ballo edy is accepted.	ot.	
Ran, Adee	•		Cisco System	ns, Inc.		C/ 166	SC 166.2	2.4	P 72	L13	# R1-21
Comment	Туре ТБ	Con	nment Status D		Acronym	Ran, Adee			Cisco Syster	ms Inc	
			6) shall be applied to t		lated from the DLD	Comment 7		Co	omment Status D		RS-FEC clarification
	s not applie) (the PHD is not char	iged); it is calcu	liated from the PHD.		51			(544,522)" in the	e column title - these are
As an	acronym, C	RC should b	e spelled out on first	usage.		not the	right terms.	_	,		
Suggested	Remedy					Suggestedl	Remedy				
Chang	e to "A 16-b	bit cyclic red	undancy check (CRC1	16) is calculated	from the PHD".						he generator polynomial
Proposed I	Response	Res	oonse Status W			for the	RS-FEC(544	,522) coo	de are presented in Ta	ible 166–3."	
		EPT IN PRI				Change	e the title of ⁻	able 166	6-3 to "Coefficients of	the generator po	olynomial g(x) (decimal)".
			his recirculation ballot dy is accepted.			Change	a tha haadin	na of oolu	man 2 and 4 to "a i"		
Howey	ci, ine suy	Justen leine	ay 13 accepted.			0		•	mns 2 and 4 to "g_i".		
Howev						Proposed F	response	Re	sponse Status W		

C/ 166 SC 166.2.2.4

C/ 166	SC 166.2.2.4	P 72	L 37	# R1-22		arity polynomial is ited using the shif
Ran, Adee	Э	Cisco Syste	ems, Inc.		to	Ū
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		RS-FEC clarification	"The c	alculation of the c
"Equa	tion (166–2) defir	nes the message polynomia	al m(x)"		C/ 166	SC 166.2.2.7.1
m(x) is	s not one specific	polynomial, and it cannot l	be defined as suc	ch. It is a representation	Ran, Adee	9
of the		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Comment	Type TR
	tion (166–3) defir bls p21 to p0"	nes the parity polynomial p(x) whose coeffici	ents are the parity		5B encodes eight ning control chara
		ynomial is not defined by th			lsn't th	is labeling commo
remai	nder of division of	f m(x) by g(x), as indicated	in the text on the	next page.	Suggested	IRemedy
The e	ncoder illustrated	in Figure 166–8 is not just	a shift register.		Chang	
Suggestee	lRemedy					35B encodes eight 65-bit blocks cont
	ge to "The conten i in Equation (166	ts of the RS-FEC message	are represented	by a polynomial m(x) as	Proposed	
and "The p	barity polynomial	p(x) is calculated as the rer 1 to p0, as shown in Equati			Comm Labelii	OSED REJECT. nent is out of scoping is different for of 166-15.
		h after equation (166-3) ch			C/ 166	SC 166.2.2.7.1
"The p	arity polynomial	is the remainder from the d ift register implementation i	ivision of m(x) by	g(x). This can be	Ran, Adee	9
to	ated using the sh		nustrateu în Figu	le 100-0.	Comment	Туре Т
		coefficients of p(x) is illustra	ated in Figure 16	6–8."	"Binar	y values are show
•	Response	Response Status W			Here t	he only binary valu
-	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE. pe for this recirculation ball	ot.			helpful, and may b
Chanថ "Equa	je .	nes the message polynomia		efficients are the		hat when displayir ost bit - adding to
to	age symbols moz	.1 to mo.			Suggested	lRemedy
		S-FEC message are repres			Delete	the quoted sente
соепіс	cients are the me	ssage symbols m521 to m(as snown in Eq	lation (166–2)"	Proposed	Response
		nes the parity polynomial p(x) whose coeffici	ents are the parity		OSED REJECT. tent is out of scope
		p(x) is calculated as the rer 1 to p0, as shown in Equati				nce is correct, and t (see, i.e., Figure
In the	second paragrap	h after equation (166-3) ch	ange from			
TYPE: TR	/technical require	d ER/editorial required G	R/general require	d T/technical E/editorial G/	general	

The parity polynomial is the remainder from the division of m(x) by g(x). This can be computed using the shift register implementation illustrated in Figure 166–8."

"The calculation of the coefficients of p(x) is illustrated in Figure 166–8."

Cl 166	SC 166.2.2.7.	1 P:	75	L 51	# R1-23
Ran, Adee		Cisc	o Systems,	Inc.	
Comment 7	ype TR	Comment Status	5 D		PCS encodin
					bit block. 65-bit blocks ctets are labeled D0 to
Isn't thi	s labeling comm	on for both data oc	tets and con	trol characte	rs?
Suggestedl	Remedy				
	5B encodes eight	t data octets or con taining control char			D0 to D7, into a 65-bit ck type field."
Proposed F	Response	Response Status	w		
	g is different for	e for this recirculati data and control ch		specified in	Figure 166-14 and
C/ 166	SC 166.2.2.7.	1 P:	78	L 24	# R1-24
Ran, Adee		Cisc	o Systems,	Inc.	
Comment 7	<i>уре</i> т	Comment Status	S D		PCS encodin
"Binary	values are show	n with the first tran	smitted bit (the LSB) on t	the left."
		ue is the data/ctrl h be confusing to the		it has only on	ne bit; so this sentence
		ng bit vectors repre the possible confu		bers, LSB is	customarily the
Suggestedl	Remedy				
Delete	the quoted sente	ence.			
Proposed F	Response	Response Status	w		
	OSED REJECT. ent is out of scop	e for this recirculat	ion ballot.		
	ce is correct, and (see, i.e., Figure		here are bit	s and fields c	composed of more than

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 166 SC 166.2.2.7.1 Page 5 of 16 11/11/2022 14:14:47

						-				
C/ 166	SC 166.2.2.7.2	P 79	L 39	# R1-26	C/ 166	SC 166.2	.2.7.9	P 83	L 12	# R1-30
Ran, Adee)	Cisco Syster	ms, Inc.		Ran, Adee	ł		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
Comment	Type T Comm	nent Status D		PCS encoding	Comment	Type TR	Comr	nent Status D		Local Fault reference
"Bits a	nd field positions are show	vn with the least sig	gnificant bit on the	left."	"The L	ocal Fault or	dered set is o	defined in 46.3.4"		
	are no bits or field positior		at are shown in bir	nary, so this sentence	Local F	ault for 50G	MII is differei	nt, and is defined in	81.3.4.	
is not	helpful, and may be confus	sing to the reader.			Suggested	Remedy				
	nat when displaying bit veo ost bit - adding to the poss		numbers, LSB is c	sustomarily the		ocal Fault or	dered set for MII is define		is defined in 46	.3.4. The Local Fault
Suggested	IRemedy									
Delete	the quoted sentence.				Proposed I	•	,	nse Status W		
Proposed	Response Respon	nse Status W			PROP	OSED ACCE	PT.			
	OSED REJECT.				C/ 166	SC 166.2	.3.2	P 87	L 6	# R1-33
	ent is out of scope for this				Ran, Adee	1		Cisco System	ns, Inc.	
	nce is correct, and emphas t (see, i.e., Figure 166-14).		e bits and fields co	mposed of more than	Comment	Type TR	Comr	nent Status D		Improve wording
	uoted sentence is identical		(IEEE 802.3:2022	2, Page 2514, third	"RS-FI	EC decoder :	shall be capa	ble of correcting a	and detecting otional. But thes	, 0
C/ 166	SC 166.2.2.7.7	P 82	L 29	# R1-28	optiona	al; they are n	nandatory rec	uirements that canr	not be enabled	or disabled.
Ran, Adee	2	Cisco Syster	ns. Inc.		Suggested	-				
Comment		nent Status D		Improve wording			sentence to	ect any combinatior	a of up to $t = 11$	aumhal arrara in a
	BASE-U PCS connected t		I. block type field	, 0				nation of up to $2t = 2$		
	e an /S/ as the fifth or first				Proposed I			nse Status W	- ,	
	cted to 50GMII, block type 65-bit block. These are the "				PROP Comm	OSED ACCE ent is out of	PT IN PRIN			
This te	ext contains copies of sente	ences from clause	46 and clause 81	, with some changes.	C/ 166	SC 166.3	1		L13	# R1-36
The re	sults does not read well lo	gically, and it is ha	rd to understand.				.1		-	# K1-30
Suggested	•				Ran, Adee Comment		Com	Cisco System nent Status D	13, 1116.	Numboring
fifth ch	e to / as the first character of th aracter of the block (for a block type field. These are	PCS connected to	XGMII or 25GMII)) is implicitly encoded	"97 92	0 bit groups		unclear. Especially	compared with	<i>Numbering</i> "195840 single-bit
Proposed		nse Status W			The di	git separating	g space is ha	rmful in this case.		
	OSED REJECT.				Suggested	Remedy				
-	ications are technically co	rrect and easy to u	nderstand. They a	re even with other	Chang	e to "97920 t	wo-bit group	5".		
	s (Clause 46 and Clause 8	,		time field. Our needs d	Proposed I	Response	Respo	nse Status W		
	es not occur in any location sal is not consistent technic			c type field. Suggested	,	OSED ACCE	•			
		. '								
				T/technical E/editorial G/g			,	C/ 16	-	Page 6 of 16
COMMEN	I STATUS: D/dispatched	A/accepted R/reie	ected RESPON	SE STATUS: O/open W/w	itten C/closed	U/unsatisfi	ed Z/withdra	wn SC 16	6.3.1	11/11/2022 14:14:4

i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 166.3.1	11/11/2022 14:14:47
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 166	SC 16	6.3.1	P 95	L 33	# R1-37		C/ 166	SC	166.3.4.3	Р	97	L 49	# R1-38
Ran, Adee	9		Cisco System	s, Inc.			Ran, Adee	•		Ciso	co Syster	ns, Inc.	
Comment	Туре '	TR	Comment Status D	rov	ement of shall state	ments	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Statu	s D	prove	ement of shall statements
1000 /	(26.5625	5 × S) ps	nitted to the PMD with a train nominal, which depends on	the BASE-AU	PHY."		descrit	oe imp	lementatio		"shall" in	dicates a normat	e has "shall"s that tive requirement, but
the rar norma	nge of allo tive state	owed syn ment is r	be verified to have a nomin hol periods. The nominal v equired, the range should b	alue does not r e specified.	eed a "shall ["] . If a		"fine ti	ming re	ecovery sh	synchronization sha all be carried out" train the equalizers	•		
			e, but used only in 166.6.1.7 no need to introduce a sym			mit	These	are co	overed by th	ne opening stateme	ent.		
Suggested	Remedy						Suggested	Reme	dy				
"Symb ps".		ansmitte	d to the PMD with a nomina	l symbol perioc	of 1000 / (26.5625	× S)	"where "fine ti	e Trans ming re	ecovery is	tences to synchronization is carried out" train the equalizers			
	e "Ts" in 1						Proposed I	Respo	nse	Response Status	W		
Proposed I			Response Status W							IN PRINCIPLE.			
Chang "Symb 1000 / to "Symb ps". Delete Page 1	ye pols shall (26.5625 pols are tra e "Ts" in 1 148 Line 9	be transr 5 × S) ps ansmitte 66.6.1.1.		the BASE-AU	PHY."		Synchi no data Block t be able Reed-S Timing Howev first sh	ronizin a detecto to a pro- e to rec Solomo recov ver, the all stat	ig the Trans ction is pos e-defined v cognize firs on codewo very is also e three shal	sible. The scramble value. If the receive st symbol of each T rds, align the PHD necessary, it is no	n impleme er is initia r does no ransmit I sub-bloc a choice ted by th	entation-specific ated at the begin ot synchronize re Block, align the d ks, etc. e. e commenter ca	choice. Without that, ning of each Transmit ception then it will not lescrambler, align the n be removed, because cifications.
Remo	ve PMA2	row from	the PICS table.				to	Trans		synchronization sh			
							to	ming re	,	all be carried out" carried out"			
							to	HY rec		train the equalizers		,	

C/ 166 SC 166.3.4.3 Page 7 of 16 11/11/2022 14:14:47

C/ 166 SC 166.3.4.4	P 100	L 2	# R1-39	C/ 166	SC 166	6.4.1	P1	104	L 48	# R1-41
an, Adee	Cisco Systems	s, Inc.		Ran, Adee			Cisc	o Systems, Ind	С.	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D	prover	ment of shall statements	Comment Ty	/pe E		Comment Status	6 D	prover	ment of shall statements
166–2, the time measu OFF) or pcs_reset (pcs	system composed of two conr red from the last deassertion _reset equal to FALSE) on eit to OK on either link partner, s	of pma_reset (pi her link partner,	ma_reset equal to until the assertion of	PHD.CA "Active"	P.LPI, a and "disa	re equa	be active when both al to one, and disabl are not matched ter atch the clause title.	led otherwise" ms, "enabled"		eived fields le here as an antonym
	a normative statement for a s ndors and assembled by an ir vior parts it supplies!				,		eed for a "shall" he			
•				The con	nmas are	out of	place.			
	by separate requirements: for nal appears at its input, and for			A simila	r issue e	xists in	166.7 for OAM.			
compliant signal within	some period after deassertior	of pma_reset.	am not proposing	SuggestedR						
replacement text - it is	oo technical and should be de	ecided by the tas	sk force.	00		functio	nality is enabled wh	en hoth the tr	ansmitted a	nd received fields
separate requirements	s something to the reader/use are added, this paragraph sho			PHD.ČA	P.LPI ar	e equal	I to one, and disable			
softened, such as using	"is expected to"			,	•	0	chance in 166.7.			
uggestedRemedy				Proposed R			Response Status	W		
Change "shall be" to "is	expected to be".						IN PRINCIPLE.	on hallot		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Comme		01 3000		on ballot.		
is split into multiple con However, 3cz PHYs are automotive PHYs. This requirement is spe corresponding project's integrated) This requirement is sim However, the reason for Change "For a communication s 166–2, the time measu OFF) or pcs_reset (pcs the link_status variable to "The time measured fro	IN PRINCIPLE. cified for a complete PHY, re- ponents or whether it is imple- e expected to be implemented cific of automotive applicatior objective, which is defined at ilar in other automotive PHYs r the comment is recognized. system composed of two conr red from the last deassertion of _reset equal to FALSE) on eil to OK on either link partner, s m the last deassertion of pma qual to FALSE) until the assel	emented as a sin l in a single com n. It is necessary system level (w , see e.g. 802.3 nected link partn of pma_reset (pri ther link partner, shall be less than a reset (pma re	ngle component. ponent like other to allow to meet the here the PHY is ch. ers as shown in Figure ma_reset equal to until the assertion of n 25 ms" set equal to OFF) or	Page 10 Change "EEE fu PHD.CA to "EEE fu PHDC 13 Change received to "OAM cl	14 Line 4 nctionalit P.LPI, a nctionalit P.LPI ar 06 Line 50 "OAM ch fields, F nannel fu	y shall re equa y shall e equal 0 (166.7 hannel 1 PHD.CA	functionality shall be AP.OAM, are equal	h, the transmit led otherwise" oth the transm ed otherwise". e active when to one, and dis d when both th	ted and rec hitted and re both, the tra sabled other ne transmitte	eived fields aceived fields ansmitted and

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 166 SC	C 166.4.2	P 105	L 53	# R1-42	C/ 166	SC	166.5.1	P1	0	L 1	# R1-43
Ran, Adee		Cisco Systems	s, Inc.		Ran, Adee			Cisco	Systems,	Inc.	
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		Improve wording	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status	D		RS-FEC clarification
LPI encodin communica	ng of normal ation channe	erated by the PCS sublayer is operation in order to allow po I, and robust wake signal dete rr. What does "with respect to	ower saving, rol ection in the rec	bust OAM side beiver."	continu the link sequer	uous se c partn nce sha	er receiver all be com	f LBLOCK_T 65-bit b . Any data bit differe	nce with re the BER	espect to a L	r the RS-FEC decoder of BLOCK_T binary ounter (see 45.2.3.94)"
operation	neun.				comp	alou al			NO.		
not carry da	ata) are eithe	6.4.3, the codewords generater or LPI refresh or LPI wake; "tr ty bits are added? This is shown of the state added? This is shown of the state added?	ansparent" is n	ot mentioned. Perhaps	have m 120).	nore th	an 11 sym	bol errors, and the n	umber of b	oit errors will	is uncorrectable, it will be at least 12 (and up to
SuggestedRem	ledy										y when a codeword is RS-FEC correction, such
Change the	e quoted sen	tence to						are also counted?	are compa		Correction, such
	"The codewords generated by the PCS sublayer during LPI consist of specific charact without RS-FEC encoding, which allow power saving, robust OAM side communication					iggeste	ed remedy	assumes the former	but this s	hould be cla	rified one way or another.
		ke signal detection in the rece			Suggested	Reme	dy				
Proposed Resp		Response Status W			Change	e "com	puted as	a bit error" to "counte	d as a bit	error".	
Comment is	s out of scop	IN PRINCIPLE. be for this recirculation ballot. d remedy is accepted.			followir	ng NO	TE after th	o count bit errors on e second paragraph: t mode counter does	-		
					Change	e the N	OTE at th	e end of the paragra	ph to "NO ⁻	TE 2".	
					Proposed I	Respor	nse	Response Status	w		
					Comm	ent is o	out of scop	IN PRINCIPLE. be for this recirculation g recirculation.	n ballot, al	lso, these cł	nanges are non-
					The int	tent is	clear in the	e document:			
								ce with respect to a R test mode counter			uence shall be computed
					(see 16	66.5.1)	, bits 3.23		ounter that	at counts the	ng in BER test mode number of erroneous
					Change	e "com	puted as	a bit error" to "counte	d as a bit	error".	

C/ 166 SC 166.5.1 Page 9 of 16 11/11/2022 14:14:47

C 166.5.1	P110	L 8	# R1-44	C/ 166	SC	166.6.1.2.1	P114	L 26	# R1-45
	Cisco System	s, Inc.		Ran, Adee			Cisco Syste	ems, Inc.	
TR	Comment Status D	rou	vement of shall statements	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		Improve wording
		s shall operate	e as in normal mode (non-				o the PMA via the parame	eter rx_signal the	relative amplitude of the
				l assur	ne it is	the optical	power, not the amplitude		
e to the beha	vior parts it supplies!			"Relati	ve" to v	vhat? I ass	ume it is implementation	dependent.	
nedy				Suggested	Remed	'y			
			de in either transmit or					the parameter r	<_signal, the
	•	non-test)."		Proposed I	Respon	se	Response Status W		
D ACCEPT s out of scop lirectional lin	IN PRINCIPLE. be for this recirculation ballot. k there is no data transmissi	on in either dir		Chang "This p optical to "This p the ins	e vrimitive signal" vrimitive tantane	e conveys to	o the PMA via the parame o the PMA, via the param	eter rx_signal, th	e amplitude relative to
to the quality	state diagram of the PMA cl	neck, if the qua		100.0.	,.				
he shall state	ement can be improved to be	focused on a	single PHY.						
		s shall operate	e as in normal mode (non-						
-test). A BAS	SE-AU PHY shall establish lir								
P F A P A P A P A P A P A P A P A P A P	PMD functio ishing the bi sible to have different ve to the beha hedy and PMD fun- rection shall ponse D ACCEPT s out of scop directional lin no BER test to the quality e already kn he shall state PMD functio ishing the bi PMD functio -test). A BAS	Cisco System TR Comment Status D PMD functions of the two BASE-AU PHYS ishing the bidirectional link." Sible to have a normative statement for a significant vendors and assembled by an id- to the behavior parts it supplies! and PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in rection shall operate as in normal mode (re- transfer Response Status W D ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Is out of scope for this recirculation ballot. directional link there is no data transmission on BER test). See Link monitor state diagons se of BER test mode is to check the qualitation ball of the quality state diagram of the PMA che e already know that the quality is not good the shall statement can be improved to be PMD functions of the two BASE-AU PHYS ishing the bidirectional link." PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BEI	Cisco Systems, Inc. TR Comment Status D row PMD functions of the two BASE-AU PHY's shall operate ishing the bidirectional link." sible to have a normative statement for a system with m or different vendors and assembled by an integrator. Each to the behavior parts it supplies! medy and PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BER test mo- rection shall operate as in normal mode (non-test)." bonse Response Status W D ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. s out of scope for this recirculation ballot. directional link there is no data transmission in either dir- no BER test). See Link monitor state diagram and other se of BER test mode is to check the quality of an establi- to the quality state diagram of the PMA check, if the qua- te already know that the quality is not good enough. the shall statement can be improved to be focused on a PMD functions of the two BASE-AU PHY's shall operate ishing the bidirectional link." PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode si- test). A BASE-AU PHY shall establish link (link_status)	Cisco Systems, Inc. TR Comment Status D provement of shall statements PMD functions of the two BASE-AU PHYs shall operate as in normal mode (non- ishing the bidirectional link." sible to have a normative statement for a system with multiple parts that can be or different vendors and assembled by an integrator. Each vendor can only be to the behavior parts it supplies! herdy and PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode in either transmit or ection shall operate as in normal mode (non-test)." bonse Response Status W D ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. s out of scope for this recirculation ballot. theretional link there is no data transmission in either direction in normal no BER test). See Link monitor state diagram and other PHY control state see of BER test mode is to check the quality of an established bidirectional link. to the quality state diagram of the PMA check, if the quality criterion is not e already know that the quality is not good enough. the shall statement can be improved to be focused on a single PHY. PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode shall operate as in normal mode (non- ishing the bidirectional link." PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode shall operate as in normal test). A BASE-AU PHY shall establish link (link_status = OK) to allow BER test	Cisco Systems, Inc. Ran, Adee TR Comment Status D rovement of shall statements PMD functions of the two BASE-AU PHY's shall operate as in normal mode (non- ishing the bidirectional link." "This p optical sible to have a normative statement for a system with multiple parts that can be of the behavior parts it supplies! I assur rection shall operate as in normal mode (non- tection shall operate as in normal mode (non-test)." "Relati vonse Response Status W PROPM Chang instant D ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Chang instant s out of scope for this recirculation ballot. "This p optical tirectional link there is no data transmission in either direction in normal no BER test). See Link monitor state diagram and other PHY control state "This p the ins 166.6.1 to the quality state diagram of the PMA check, if the quality criterion is not e already know that the quality is not good enough. "This p the ins 166.6.1 he shall statement can be improved to be focused on a single PHY. PMD functions of the two BASE-AU PHY's shall operate as in normal mode (non- ishing the bidirectional link."	Cisco Systems, Inc. TR Comment Status D rovement of shall statements PMD functions of the two BASE-AU PHY's shall operate as in normal mode (non- ishing the bidirectional link." Sible to have a normative statement for a system with multiple parts that can be y different vendors and assembled by an integrator. Each vendor can only be to the behavior parts it supplies! This primitive predy and PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode in either transmit or rection shall operate as in normal mode (non-test)." DODSE Response Status W D ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. s out of scope for this recirculation ballot. D ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. s out of scope for this recirculation ballot. Defer test). See Link monitor state diagram and other PHY control state are of BER test mode is to check the quality of an established bidirectional link. the shall statement can be improved to be focused on a single PHY. PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode shall operate as in normal mode so of the two BASE-AU PHY's shall operate as in normal mode (non- ishing the bidirectional link." PMD functions of the two BASE-AU PHY's shall operate as in normal test). A BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode shall operate as in normal test). A BASE-AU PHY shall establish link (link_status = OK) to allow BER test	Cisco Systems, Inc. TR Comment Status D vrovement of shall statements PMD functions of the two BASE-AU PHYs shall operate as in normal mode (non- ishing the bidirectional link." Sible to have a normative statement for a system with multiple parts that can be or different vendors and assembled by an integrator. Each vendor can only be a to the behavior parts it supplies! redy and PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode in either transmit or rection shall operate as in normal mode (non-test)." <i>Soonse Response Status</i> W D ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. s out of scope for this recirculation ballot. Infractional link there is no data transmission in either direction in normal no BER test). See Link monitor state diagram and other PHY control state se of BER test mode is to check the quality of an established bidirectional link. to the quality state diagram of the PMA check, if the quality criterion is not e already know that the quality is not good enough. he shall statement can be improved to be focused on a single PHY. PMD functions of the two BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode shall operate as in normal test). A BASE-AU PHY shall establish link (link_status = OK) to allow BER test	Cisco Systems, Inc. Ran, Adee Cisco System PMD functions of the two BASE-AU PHYs shall operate as in normal mode (non-ishing the bidirectional link." Ran, Adee Cisco System sible to have a normative statement for a system with multiple parts that can be to the behavior parts it supplies! This primitive conveys to the PMA via the parame optical signal" I assume it is the optical power, not the amplitude rection shall operate as in normal mode (non-test)." Change to "This primitive conveys to the PMA, via the parame optical signal". D ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. So ut of scope for this recirculation ballot. W Incertional link there is no data transmission in either direction in normal no BER test). See Link monitor state diagram and other PHY control state e already know that the quality of an established bidirectional link. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. to the estall statement can be improved to be focused on a single PHY. "This primitive conveys to the PMA, via the parame the instantaneous power of the optical signal receites a signal" to a PMD functions of the two BASE-AU PHY is BER test mode shall operate as in normal node (non-ishing the bidirectional link." "This primitive conveys to the PMA, via the parame the instantaneous power of the optical signal receites a signal" to a Interctional link there is no data transmission in either direction is not e already know that the quality of an established bidirectional link. "This primitive conveys to the PMA, via the parame the instanteneous power of the optical signal receites a s	Cisco Systems, Inc. TR Comment Status D vrovement of shall statements PMD functions of the two BASE-AU PHYs shall operate as in normal mode (non- ishing the bidirectional link." Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status D This primitive conveys to the PMA via the parameter rx_signal the optical signal" I assume it is the optical power, not the amplitude. Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status D This primitive conveys to the PMA via the parameter rx_signal the optical signal Relative* to what? I assume it is implementation dependent. SuggestedRemedy Change to This primitive conveys to the PMA, via the parameter rx instantaneous power of the optical signal". PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode in either transmit on BER test). See Link monitor state diagram and other PHY control state to the quality sis not good enough. the shall statement can be improved to be focused on a single PHY. PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode shall operate as in normal mode (non- rishing the bidirectional link. to the quality is not good enough. the shall statement can be improved to be focused on a single PHY. PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode shall operate as in normal mode (non- rishing the bidirectional link. This primitive conveys to the PMA, via the parameter rx_signal, the rho price of BER test mode is to check the quality of an established bidirectional link. The shall statement can be improved to be focused on a single PHY. PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode shall operate as in normal mode (non- rishing the bidirectional link. The shall statement can be improved to be focused on a single PHY. PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode shall operate as in normal mode (non- rishing the bidirectional link. The shall statement can be improved to be focused on a single PHY. PMD functions of a BASE-AU PHY in BER test mode shall operate as in normal mode for the phile stablished bidirectional link. The phile bidirectional link. The shall st

C/ 166 SC 166.6.1.2.1

C/ 166	SC 166.6.1	.2.2	P 114	L 36	# R1-46	C/ 166 SC 166.6.2.	1
Ran, Adee	ł		Cisco System	ns, Inc.		Dawe, Piers J G	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment S	Status D		Improve wording	Comment Type TR	
box. B kind of It can I	MD service inte ut "in the form signal? be assumed to	Following up on a comm AU, up to 10 dB of conne dB. For 2.5GBASE-AU, mode-selective and I cou 11.8 dB of mode-selectiv					
•	,	Otherwise, imple	mentation dep	endent.		all causes including fit modal noise can be to	
Suggested	Remedy					too high. Considering	
Chang	e "a communic	ation signal" to "	an electrical s	ignal".		5 5	
Append "The characteristics of the electrical signal are implementation dependent".					It's not clear what use th connectors or similar (su and it is not needed for f		
Also, c	hange "commu	unication signal"	to "electrical s	ignal" in 166.6.2	2.3.	SuggestedRemedy	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "The PMD_COMSIGNAL.indication(rx_signal) is continued				ntinuously gener	ated by the PMD in the	We need tighter rules in the connector spect from 10 dB to 8 dB or dB for all speeds	
torm of	f a communica	tion signal."				Proposed Response	
	MD_COMSIGI	NAL.indication(rx	signal) is co	ntinuously gener	ated by the PMD."	PROPOSED ACCEPT It is not clear that physic grease, dust condition and mechanical (e.g. automotive application cutomotive application	sical is, m vibra n. Du

C/ 166	SC 166.6.2.1	P 136	L 22	# R1-68
Dawe, Pier	rs J G	NVIDIA		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		Connectors

ent against D3.01: for 2.5GBASE-AU, 5GBASE-AU and 10GBASEector loss is allowed, with a maximum loss per connection of 2.5 an additional 1.8 dB loss is allowed. As the connector loss can be uld not find anything that says the additional loss cannot, that's ve loss. Compare 10GBASE-SR, max channel loss of 2.9 dB from attenuation. FEC and equalization mean that roughly double the rated, but still the difference between 2.9 dB and 11.8 dB seems far e vibrations in vehicles, this is a concern.

e additional insertion loss allocation is: it should not be used for ich as splices), although the draft could be clearer on that point. iber attenuation, considering automotive reaches.

the mode-selective component of the losses. This could be done Without that, the total connection insertion loss should be reduced wer, and the "Additional insertion loss allowed" should be set to 0

Response Status W

N PRINCIPLE.

al contact connection will be able to meet environmental (e.g. metallic particles, in car automated assembly plant, or a garage) rations, scoop proof) requirements with the cost constraints of During more than two decades, SI-POF has been used in automotive applications (e.g. MOST, 1000BASE-RHC), implementing butt-coupling with airgap in inline connections to avoid end face surfaces of fiber are damaged by mechanical and environmental conditions. Expanded beam optics, physical contact, and air gap connections are under consideration by connector makers to supply a robust, low cost, and fully automated terminated optical connectivity technology to automotive industry based on OM3 fiber. 802.3cz PHYs are specified to support the highest technically feasible insertion loss that enable OM3 can be accepted by the automotive industry in terms of performance. environmental and mechanical conditions, and cost.

10 dB max insertion loss due to inline connections was considered for 10. 5 and 2.5 Gb/s with respect to 8 dB of 25 Gb/s because lower data-rates support better obtaining sensitivity in the receiver, so it allows to relax the optical connector specifications. Therefore, for 10, 5, and 2.5 Gb/s, considered max insertion loss per inline connection has been 2.5 dB and for 25 and 50 Gb/s 2.0 dB. Because the sensitivity can be even better for 5 and 2.5 Gb/s, the min OMA TX was also reduced and unallocated margin margin assigned to "Additional insertion loss allowed".

Commenter is right pointing out that the additional insertion loss might be MSL. Extra 0.1 dB MN penalty was allocated for 5 and 2.5 Gb/s (Allocation for penalties 0.7 vs 0.6 dB), to consider additional 0.8 dB of 5 Gb/s and 1.8 dB of 2.5 Gb/s. However the additional supported loss is not necessary to meet the objectives of the project.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/ 166 Page 11 of 16 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 166.6.2.1 11/11/2022 14:14:47 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Wavelength

"Additional insertion loss allowed" is changed to be zero for all the data-rates, as follows:

Page 119 Line 48

In Table 166-11:

Change "Allocation for penalties" for 5GBASE-AU and 2.5GBASE-AU to be 0.6 Remove last row of Table 166-11.

Page 119 Line 9

In Table 166-10:

Replace rows C and D for 5GBASE-AU with -15.1 and -15.0, respectively. Replace rows C and D for 2.5GBASE-AU with -16.1 and -16.1, respectively Page 118 Line 48

In Table 166-10:

Replace rows "Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), condition 1 (max)" and "Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), condition 2 (max)" for 5GBASE-AU with -14.0 and 15.1, respectively.

Replace rows "Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), condition 1 (max)" and "Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), condition 2 (max)" for 2.5GBASE-AU with -15.1 and 16.1, respectively.

Replace "Average receive power (min)" for 5GBASE-AU with -17.0. Replace "Average receive power (min)" for 2.5GBASE-AU with -18.0.

C/ 166	SC 166.6.3.2	P117	L 27	# R1-67
Law. David		Hewlett Packard Enterprise		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This is a comment in support of unsatisfied previous comments #I-107 and #I-108. While I acknowledge that this issue was debated at length during initial Standards Association ballot comment resolution meetings, I remain particularly concerned that two contributions were received regarding these comments that come to diametrically opposed conclusions about the reliability of 850 nm optical transmitters for the targeted application (see <https://www.jeee802.org/3/cz/public/oct 2022/murty 3cz 01 1022.pdf> and <https://www.ieee802.org/3/cz/public/oct_2022/perezaranda_3cz_02_1022_vcsel_rel.pdf>). There must be a difference in inputs, calculation methods or assumptions that leads to these diametrically opposite results, but I don't believe they have been identified. I also note a recent email contribution to the IEEE P802.3cz email reflector https://ieee802.org/3/OMEGA/email/msg00395.html from an individual that I don't believe has been previously involved that may provide further data to consider.

SuggestedRemedy

Please revisit the decision to not include a wide wavelength band (840 - 990 nm) transmitter specification.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment is in support to initial IEEE-SA ballot must be satisfied (MBS) comments associated to a disapprove vote. There is no new information regarding #I-107 and #I-108 to be discussed at the time of preparing this response.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general							
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn						
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line							

C/ 166	SC 166.6.4.12	P 130	L 7	# R1-47
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	s, Inc.	
Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status D		Receiver sensitivity

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

"stressed receiver sensitivity is defined for a transmitter with values of STDFOM..."

The title of this subclause is "Receiver sensitivity", but it mentions "stressed receiver sensitivity" in each paragraph, referring to an equation in this subclause and STDFOM is given. SRS is the subject of the next subclause. This is confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

If the intent of the quoted text is unstressed receiver sensitivity as defined in this subclause- delete "stressed" in all instances of the quoted text.

If the intent is SRS, move these sentences to the next subclause, or clarify.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.

The statements are technically correct. The stress receiver sensitivity is defined for two values of STDFOM in the transmitter, however, the receiver sensitivity meets the equation in a wider range. This equation is consistent with the SRS OMA values for the defined STDFOM values.

This sub-clause is about receiver sensitivity.

C/ 166 SC 166.6.4.12

Page 12 of 16 11/11/2022 14:14:47

C/ 166	SC 166.6.4.12	P 130	L 8	# R1-48	C/ 166	SC 166.6.4.13.1	P 131	L 38	# R1-49
Ran, Adee		Cisco System	ns, Inc.		Ran, Adee		Cisco Systen	ns, Inc.	
Comment T	Type TR C	omment Status D		Receiver sensitivity	Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		Stressed receiver
Followi	ver sensitivity meets ng a late (unnumbere neet" to "meets".	Equation (166–20)" ed) comment in initial S/	A ballot, the text	was changed from		ressed receiver ser g steps"	nsitivity OMAouter at TP3	(OMATP3) is obt	tained after the
But Table 166–10 still has "Receiver sensitivity" requirements, and 166.6.3.3 says "The BASE-AU PHY receiver shall meet the specifications in Table 166–10". "meets" is not a way to make something optional; it is still normative, and effectively					OMATP3 is not the established acronym for stressed receiver sensitivity. My understanding is that the OMA mentioned in parentheses in Table 166–10 and elsewhere (such as Table 121–7) is a condition in which SRS is defined. For example, the text in 121.8.10.2 states: the "Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), each lane (max)" specified in Table 121–7, and the test sources for the other lanes are set to the "OMAouter of each aggressor lane"				
	ent to "shall". So it is ive requirement, or a	still unclear whether un	istressed receiv	er sensitivity is a	specifie	ed in Table 121–7.			
As an e	example of how unstr	essed RS is handled in MAouter), each lane (r				his sentence, the te P3 is used as a sym	erm OMAouter is not in panbol the SRS.	arentheses, and i	n equation 166-22
transm	itter with a value of S	ECQ up to 3.2 dB." and	121.8.9 says "	Receiver sensitivity is	Introdu	cing new and differ	ent terminology for establ	lished test method	ds is discouraged.
	I and is defined for a n be done here.	transmitter with a value	e of SECQ up to	3.2 dB". Something like	SuggestedRemedy Change the quoted sentence to "The stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) at TP3 is obtained after the following steps".				
Suggested									
If unstr	essed sensitivity is n	ot normative, add a fool	tnote to Table 1	66-10 and text in	1110-31			5 is obtained arte	a the following steps .
166.6.4	1.12, corresponding to	o the example in the co	mment, with neo	cessary adjustments.	On line 51, change "The stressed receiver sensitivity OMAouter at TP3 (OMATP3) is calculated using Equation (166–22)" to "The stressed receiver sensitivity is calculated using Equation (166–22)".				
If it is n	ormative, restore the	"shall" in 166.6.4.12.							
Proposed F		esponse Status W			In equation 166-22, change "OMATP3" to "Stressed receiver sensitivity".				
	DSED ACCEPT IN P otnote to Table 116-1	RINCIPLE. 0: "Receiver sensitivity	(OMA_outer) (n	nax) is optional".	Make additional changes if necessary to clarify how the OMAouter parameter affects the test (following the example quoted in the comment).				
	30, Line 36:	and receiver consi	tivity is optional	,	Proposed Response Response Status W				
Add at the end of the sentence: "and receiver sensitivity is optional".				Comm Page 1 Changu "The st followir to	31 Line 38 e ressed receiver ser g steps"	PRINCIPLE. for this recirculation ballot asitivity OMA_outer at TP asitivity OMA_outer at TP	3 (OMA_TP3) is a		
						owing steps"		(<u>-</u>	,
					Change "The st Equation to	ressed receiver ser on …"	nsitivity OMA_outer at TP	· _ /	C C
					""The s	tressed receiver se	nsitivity OMA_outer at TF	P3 (SRS OMA_ol	iter) is calculated using
COMMENT		hed A/accepted R/reje		d T/technical E/editorial G NSE STATUS: O/open W/		U/unsatisfied Z/w	C/ 16 ithdrawn SC 16	66 66.6.4.13.1	Page 13 of 16 11/11/2022 14:14

Equation ..."

Page 131 Line 48 (Equation 166-22) Change "OMA_TP3" to

"SRS OMA_outer"

Page 132 Line 51

Change

"Alternatively, OMATP3 can be measured using the method described in 166.6.4.4" to

"Alternatively, SRS OMA_outer can be measured using the method described in 166.6.4.4"

C/ 166	SC 166.6.4	.13.1 <i>P</i> 131	L 49	# R1-50
Ran, Adee	Ð	Cisco Syst	ems, Inc.	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		Stressed receiver
	,	e optical attenuation is incre er does not receiver correct		condition is met

In item c, the attenuation is increased again until none of the conditions are met... but this does not make sense - the received signal is degraded further.

I assume the attenuation should be decreased instead, such that the receiver can get a better signal until it receives correctly.

SuggestedRemedy

In item c, change "increased" to "decreased".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment is out of scope for this recirculation ballot. In item c, change "increased" to "decreased". Add item d as "Measure average optical power at TP3 (AOP_TP3)".

C/ 166	SC ·	166.6.4.13.4	4 P1:	34	L 20	# R1-65
Wienckow	ski, Nat	alie	Gene	eral Motors (Company	
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status	D		Units conversion (kHz)
equation in the f	ons in tl table", i	ne table" wa	as supposed to be as deleted. If f is no	changed to	"f is given i	s given in Hz for the n kHz for the equations ed to be in Hz which
Suggested	Remed	'y				
	is giver ragraph		the equations in T	able 166-17	7 and Table	166-18." at the end of
Proposed	Respon	se	Response Status	w		
	0050		PRINCIPLE.			
Comm referer	ent #i-9 nce to k	6 was corre Hz and let t		er to make t	the unit cha	agreed to remove the nge.

C/ 166 SC 166.6.4.13.4 Page 14 of 16 11/11/2022 14:14:47

, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.	
	Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.
nment Type TR Comment Status D Jitter	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
The test specifies jitter at frequencies only up to 100 kHz in Table 166–17 and 1 MHz in Table 166–18. But receivers need to tolerate some minimum jitter at frequencies above the CDR loop bandwidth without failing.	The delay in optical PHYs is typically stated as "sum of transmit and receive, including of fiber". It is practical and relatively easy to measure the sum of the transmit and recei delays with such fiber, e.g. in a loopback configuration, and verify that delay constraints met.
As an example, in Table 121–12, SJ of 0.05 UI at frequencies up to 10*LB. The current ranges and equations create SJptp values of 0.15 UI or 0.06 UI at the highest given frequency, so using the same method as in Table 121–12, higher frequencies will have the same values as a constant, which may be too stressful. If this is not the intent, the range of the equations may be extended by a factor of 3 so that the constant values	In this draft, the delays are defined without including any external fiber, which is differer from the convention. Additionally, measurements are defined separately in each directive between the xMII to the MDI - and since the xMII is typically not exposed, there is no practical method to conduct such measurements in the general case. The delays constraints in the table are in hundreds or thousands of ns. Considering that delay per meter of fiber is approximately 5 ns per meter, a 2 m fiber would add only 10
become 0.05 UI and 0.02 UI respectively.	(3% of or one pause_quantum for the highest speed delay. Thus, short measurement- setup delays can be included in the delay constraint with little or no change.
gestedRemedy	setup delays can be included in the delay constraint with little of no change.
Add a row at the bottom of both tables, with frequency range extending to 10 times the loop bandwidth (either as in Table 121–12, or with explicit values from Table 166–14).	The NOTE mentions additional delay from the medium and in-line connectors, but this delay is irrelevant for this subclause, which deals with the PHY constraints. Also, surely delays of in-line connectors are negligible compared to the specified numbers.
The SJptp value for this row is constant - the value obtained from the highest frequency given in the equation. Consider extending the frequency range of the second row by a factor of 3 (to 300 kHz and 3 MHz).	I am aware of the existing specifications of some BASE-T PHYs (Table 125-3) which an stated with "does not include delay of cable medium" - these may need to be removed maintenance (since cable medium is never included). But this is not a BASE-T PHY an should not follow bad precedence.
posed Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change Table 166-17, 2nd entry: "100 kHz" to "300 kHz". Add row to Table 166-17: "300 kHz < f <= 1 MHz 0.05". Change Table 166-18, 2nd entry: "1 MHz" to "3 MHz". Add row to Table 166-18: "3 MHz < f <= 10 MHz 0.05 0.02"	Change the second paragraph from "The sum of the transmit and receive data delays for an implementation of the PHY sha not exceed the limits shown in Table 166–23. Transmit data delay is measured from the input of a given unit of data at the xMII to the presentation of the same unit of data by th PHY to the MDI. Receive data delay is measured from the input of a given unit of data the the MDI to the presentation of the same unit of data by the PHY to the xMII."
	to "The sum of the transmit and receive data delays for an implementation of the PHY, including 2 m of fiber in one direction, shall not exceed the limits shown in Table 166–2
	Delete the NOTE.
	Change the last row of the table, adding one pause quantum to compensate for the del of the fiber as follows (the fiber has negligible effect on other rows):
	50GBASE-AU 15 360 30 307.2
	Update the Notes column in Table 44-2 -4 to state "Includes 2 m of fiber".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/166Page 15 of 16COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawnSC166.1111/11/2022 14:14:47SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Remove the statement "Does not include delay of medium" in the notes of Table 44-2. Table 105-3, Table 125-3, and Table 131-4. In Table 44-2 and Table 131-4, add "Includes 2 m of fiber" instead (to match the existing rows).

In Table 131-4, update the numbers as listed above

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Comment is out of scope for this recirculation ballot.

The sum of the transmit and receive data delays for an implementation of the PHY considering delimitations of TX and RX are important, because the clause define the PHY using xMII as PCS service interface.

The user can do the math based on the test setup in order to compensate the delay results.

C/ 166	SC	166.12.7	P148 L45	# R1-53		
Ran, Adee			Cisco Systems, Inc.			
Comment	Гуре	Е	Comment Status D	Document layout		
The PICS tables should follow their headings, not float to the next page.						

SuggestedRemedy

Apply table formatting as appropriate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The commenter should note that this clause begins with an Editor's Note that aids readers in knowing which other documents have been considered in writing the amendment. This Editor's Note being removed from the published amendment potentially affects every page of Clause 166.

The commenter therefore is reminded of the SASB Operations Manual 5.4.3.3: "It should be borne in mind that proposed standards are professionally edited prior to publication." Review of pagination and positioning of floating tables and figures is a part of publication preparation.

C/ 166B	SC 166B	P 158	L 8	# F	R1-54
---------	---------	--------------	------------	-----	-------

Ran. Adee

Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Status D Comment Type TR

The annex title, "RS-FEC codeword example", is too generic. It is a specific RS-FEC. There are other ones in 802.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the title to "RS-FEC(544,522) codeword example".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment is out of scope for this recirculation ballot. However, the suggested remedy is accepted.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Improve wording

C/ 166B	SC 166B.1	P 158	L 14	# R1-55
Ran, Adee		Cisco Syster	ns, Inc.	
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		Improve wording

т Comment Status D

The text of this subclause is written as if there are several tables, but in this annex there is only one.

Also, the description of the table is split between 166B.1 and 166B.2, and the order of the sentences makes it hard to follow. It should be in the same subclause of the table, 166B.2.

The suggested remedy re-orders the description for clarity.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the content of 166B.1 to

"This annex provides an example RS-FEC(544,522) codeword produced by the encoder defined in Clause 166 (see 166.2.2.4), presented in tabular form."

Change the text of 166B.2 to

"Table 166B-1 contains a 5440-bit RS-FEC(544.522) codeword in hexadecimal representation. Each row contains 256 bits except the last one that contains 64 bits. Underscore ("") symbols separate 64-bit groups to improve readability.

The transmission order is from left to right within each row, starting from the top row and ending at the bottom row, where the most significant bit of each hexadecimal symbol is transmitted first. Therefore, the most significant bit of the first hexadecimal symbol is CW<0>, and the least significant bit of the last hexadecimal symbol is CW<5439>.

The first 5220 transmitted bits of the codeword CW<5219:0> constitute the message portion of the codeword. The parity is computed using the encoder defined in 166.2.2.4 and it is appended to the message to complete the 5440-bit codeword.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

> C/ 166B SC 166B.1

Page 16 of 16 11/11/2022 14:14:47