IEEE P802.3cz D3.2 Multi-Gigabit Optical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

C/ 166 SC 166.2	2.2.7.1	P78	L20	# R2-2	C/ 166	SC	166.2.2.7.	1	P 78	L20	# <u>R2-1</u>	
Rolfe, Benjamin Blind Creek Associates					Rolfe, Benjamin Blind Creek Associates							
omment Type TR Comment Status D					Comment Type TR Comment Status D							
This is in regards to comment R1-24. The reason for rejecting the comment contains a technically incorrect and thus invalid reason for rejection. The sentence in the draft is technically incorrect. As noted by the comment, only numeric values have "significant" bits, and a numeric value of length 1 bit can have only one ordering. Not only is the sentence not helpful, it is technically wrong (the comment is correct). The ballot group may have left it as "out of scope of the recirculation" as text not changed. The additional explanation however makes it invalid because it is technically wrong. And any rejected comment is in scope of a recirculation so you'll have to either reconsider the comment or reject this comment for a reason other than "out of scope" (I gave you a hint elsewhere ;-).					This is in response to the response to comment R1-26. The reason for rejecting the comment is incorrect and invalid. Had it stopped with "the comment is out of scope of the recirculation" that may be valid. However, the resolution states that "Sentence is correct". This is technically incorrect as non-numeric fields have no lesser or more significant bits - LSB and MSB have no meanine except for numeric values. By feeling the need to elaborate on why you think the commenter is wrong (he is in fact correct) you made the reason for rejecting invalid. Note that by rule, this comment is IN SCOPE of a recirculation and so don't reject it as "or of scope". You can either take the suggested remedy (stop the practice of using LSB whe talking about other than numbers) or you can reject the comment because the consensus of the group is to keep doing the wrong thing (which you may wish to say as "the consensus of the group was to make no change" for a smoother ride through REVCOM).							
Stop using LSB and MSB when talking about other than numeric values throughout the draft.					SuggestedRemedy Remove the sentence as indicated in the original comment, search out where LSB and MSB are used elsewhere in the draft and ensure that these terms are only applied to field.							
Proposed Response	Respons	se Status Z	Z		and other data structures containing numeric values.							
REJECT.					Proposed F	lespo	onse	Response	Status Z			
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.					REJEC	Τ.						
						This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.						

C/ 166 SC 166.2.2.7.1