Editor's Report

George Zimmerman / CME Consulting, Inc. 1/13/2021

P802.3da Editorial Team

- Clause split is still mostly TBD, depends on detail
- Valerie Maguire, Managing Editor
 - Front matter, Clause 1, Definitions
 - Minor changes to existing clauses
 - Clause coordination (assemble book, etc.)
- George Zimmerman, Senior Editor
 - Powering clause
- Jon Lewis, Figures and IEEE 802.3 Chief Editor
 - State diagrams, figures, editorial Advice
- Help appreciated best if text is provided in word

P802.3da Draft Structure

- Typical legacy clause content:
 - Clause 1 with definitions and normative references
 - Clause 30 with Management Objects
 - Clause 45 with MDIO registers
- Edits for existing clauses:
 - Clause 22 Reconciliation Sublayer and MII; Clause 78 EEE
 - Clause 79 LLDP and TLV elements;
 - Clause 90 TSSI
 - Clause 147 10BASE-T1S (possible if not new PHY clause)
 - Clause 148 PLCA
- New clauses:
 - Clause xxx (currently 200), 10BASE-T1M PHY (new PHY, based on clause 147)
 - Clause yyy (currently 201), Power for Multidrop Balanced Pair Mixing Segments
 - Possible Clause zzz, Introduction to Multidrop Balanced Pair Mixing Segments

Draft 0p2 Editor's Notes

- Specific motions from 11/18/2021 included text for:
 - TSSI: from slides 2 and 3 of jones_spmd_01_11182020.pdf
 - PHY: from clause 147 as modified in slides 3 through 7 of zimmerman_3da_01_111820a.pdf with editorial license to align to removal of point-to-point, auto-negotiation, and full-duplex text.
 - Related definitional work
 - This presentation highlights some issues identified in the draft preparation

Progress on objectives – in process

- Objective 5: Support optional TSSI seems complete
- Objective 3: Specify optional PLCA node allocation
 - In discussion, need to refine, get to consensus, latest versions:
 - .../111820/dalmia_3da_111820.pdf
 .../110420/beruto_3da_01_110420.pdf
 - Proposed text structure: modifications to clause 148 and new PHY as needed
 - Need consensus proposals
 - If using LLDP, also need to define TLVs and Clause 79 changes

Big Ticket Items: Powering

- Separate clause (currently 201)
- Objectives 9, 10, 11: Specify optional plug-and-play powering Need discussion of details or strawman text to adopt...
- Is clause 104 the right framework?
 - .../092320/cjones_01_092320.pdf Slide 5 proposes "voltage withstand" text – is this sufficient?
 - Do we have consensus on approach .../jul20/stewart_01_0720%20Classification.pdf ?
- Propose text/motion for consideration... don't need all details

Big Ticket Items: PHY

- Definitely Need:
 - Mixing segment and connector specifications
 - Justification or Changes to increase reach/node count capabilities
- To consider (are changes needed):
 - Changes to 4B/5B encoding/PCS
 - Changes related to fault-healing/topology discovery, etc.
 - Would a PMD be needed?
 - Separate (non-editor's report) presentation forthcoming...

Text Structure for PHY (based on cl 147)

- X.1 Overview (editor to draft when framework is there)
- X.2 Service primitives and interfaces
- X.3 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) functions
 - X.3.1, .2, .3, .4 PCS Reset, Transmit, Receive, Loopback
 - X.3.5, .6 Collision detection, Carrier sense
 - Question: X.3.7 DO WE HAVE A "LINK STATUS" (CI 147 'heartbeat' is pt-to-pt only)
 - Possibly "Attachment monitor" (suggestion from the floor)
- X.4 PMA functions (Reset, Transmit, Receive)
 - Question: X.4.4, PMA Link Monitor? tied to "LINK STATUS" and PCS above (or "attachment monitor")
 - Question: Any new "PHY Control?" EEE, standby states, etc. Would be new section here
- X.5 PMA electrical interface specifications (EMC, Test modes, TX, RX)
- X.6 Management (but not 147.6.1 Auto Neg)
- X.8 Mixing segment characteristics
- X.9 MDI specification
- X.10 Environmental specifications
- X.11 Delay constraints
- X.12 PICS

Editorial Review Issues (1/2)

- All: What do we call the new PHY? (assuming it remains)
 - Tentatively called 10BASE-T1M
 - M could stand for medium-reach or it could stand for multidrop, either way worked.
 - Anyone feels strongly or has a better idea, please speak up it's easy to change now, but will get harder when we do registers
 - Please consider how we describe it specifically, do we include mention of reach?
- Clauses 45 & 200: what register bits do we need?
 - We clearly don't need all the clause 147-related bits
 - Two ways to consider build into 10BASE-T1S registers vs. create new registers based on 10BASE-T1S registers
 - Recommend reuse see subsequent slides, build consensus, motion for next meeting.
- Potential Motion: Include registers as "change" instructions modifying existing 10BASE-T1S registers as shown.
- Make decision prior to WG ballot whether to make new registers.

Register bits – Test Mode Control

Easy reuse, room for expansion

Table 45-150f-10BASE-T1S test mode control register bit definitions

Bit(s)	Name	Description	R/Wa
1.2299.15:13	Test mode control	15 14 13 1	R/W
1.2299.12:0	Reserved	Value always 0	RO

 ${}^{a}RO = Read only, R/W = Read/Write$

13 reserved bits (single block) available for use

Register bits – PMA Control Register

Reuse or largely duplicate 10BASE-T1S PMA Control:

Table 45-150d-10BASE-T1S PMA control register bit definitions

Bit(s)	Name	Description	R/Wa
1.2297.15	PMA reset	l = PMA reset 0 = Normal operation	R/W, SC
1.2297.14	Transmit disable	l = Transmit disable 0 = Normal operation	R/W
1.2297.13:12	Reserved	Value always 0	RO
1.2297.11	Low-power	l = Low-power mode 0 = Normal operation	R/W
1,2297-10	Multidrop mode	l = Enable operation over mixing segment network 0 = Disable operation over mixing segment network	RW.
1.2297.9:1	Reserved	Value always 0	RO
1.2297.0	Loopback	l = Enable loopback mode 0 = Disable loopback mode	R/W

^aRO = Read only, R/W = Read/Write, SC = Self-clearing

11 reserved bits (2 and 9 bit blocks) for additional functionality

Multidrop mode bit is not useful for 802.3da phy – could either be repurposed for additional capability, or could be always read as '1' for new PHY

Register bits – PMA Status Register

Reuse or largely duplicate 10BASE-T1S PMA Status:

Table 45-150e-10BASE-T1S PMA status register bit definitions

Bit(s)	Name	Description	R/Wª
1.2298.15:14	Reserved	Value always 0	RO
1.2298.13	Loopback ability	1 = PHY has loopback ability 0 = PHY has no loopback ability	RO
1.2298.12	Reserved	Value always 0	RO
1.2298.11	Low-power ability	1 = PMA has low-power ability 0 = PMA does not have low-power ability	RO
1.2298.10	Multidrop mode ability	l = PMA has the ability to operate over a mixing segment network 0 = PMA does not have the ability to operate over a mixing segment network	RO
1.2298.9	Receive fault ability	l = PMA has the ability to detect a fault condition on the receive path 0 = PMA does not have the ability to detect a fault condition on the receive path	RO
1.2298.8:2	Reserved	Value always 0	RO
1.2298.1	Receive fault	1 = Fault condition detected 0 = Fault condition not detected	RO/LH
1.2298.0	Reserved	Value always 0	RO

11 reserved bits (2, 1, 7, and 1 bit blocks) for additional functionality

Multidrop ability bit is not useful for 802.3da phy – could either be repurposed as an additional capability, or could be always read as '1' for new PHY

Editorial Review Issues (2/2)

- Clause 78 EEE need proposals
 - Possibly impacting clauses 22 and 200 as well
- Clause 200 (PHY specification):
 - Pages 31, 45, 48: PCS_STATUS.indication (pcs_status), LINK MONITOR, and HEARTBEAT
 - Issue: We chose to eliminate HEARTBEAT and Auto-Negotiation which are the functions which set and use pcs_status but chose to keep pcs_status.
 - Need: Either to eliminate pcs_status primitive, redefine, and, if kept, adopt baseline for alternate way of setting and descriptions of alternate use.
 - (minor) Page 52: high impedance mode specification:
 - ISSUE: The wording says, "presents the minimum parallel impedance specified", which can be read as presenting an exact value.
 - This may also be needed maintenance on Clause 147.
 - Other PHY issues (known):
 - Need mixing segment and connector specifications
 - Need changes to increase reach/node count capabilities, or justification
 - Need any changes to 4B/5B encoding
- Any annexes envisioned? (none currently)

Notes from discussion during presentation:

- Link Monitor/pcs_status may be replaced by an "attachment monitor". Need also to review clause 30 to see where/if this is used (MAU Monitor MIB object)
- Some support for a possible "Systems considerations" clause, in addition to an introduction clause.
- While registers may be moved into the document as a change on 10BASE-T1S
 registers, keep the entire content of the registers in place (show all rows) so that a
 decision on whether to have new registers may be taken later in the process.

DISCUSSION