IEEE 802.3da SPMD TF: Mixing Segment Musings

Peter Jones - Cisco

#### Background

- Use case library (<u>https://www.ieee802.org/3/SPMD/usecase/SPMD\_Usecase\_Library.pdf</u>)
  - Multiple cases requested more nodes/longer reach(e.g., 32/75, 64/75, 24/75, 16/60, 32/100)
- Strawman objectives September 2019 (<a href="https://www.ieee802.org/3/SPMD/public/sep19/spmd">https://www.ieee802.org/3/SPMD/public/sep19/spmd</a> pjones 03a 0919.pdf

"Define performance characteristics of a mixing segment with a single balanced pair of conductors supporting up to at least 32 nodes, for up to at least 75 m reach"

- Strawman objectives November 2019 (<a href="https://www.ieee802.org/3/SPMD/public/sep19/spmd">https://www.ieee802.org/3/SPMD/public/sep19/spmd</a> pjones 03a 0919.pdf
  - "Define performance characteristics of a mixing segment with a single balanced pair of conductors supporting up to at least 16 nodes, for up to at least 50m reach"
- Objective 1 (<a href="https://www.ieee802.org/3/da/802d3da\_objectives.pdf">https://www.ieee802.org/3/da/802d3da\_objectives.pdf</a> )
  - "Define performance characteristics of a mixing segment for 10Mb/s multidrop single balanced pair networks supporting up to at least 16 nodes, for up to at least 50m reach."

# Things to consider (Common deployments)

- 10BASE-2: 30 nodes, 185m
- CAN: 32 nodes, 100m @ 500Kb/s
- DALI: 64 devices, 300m
- AS-I: 62 Nodes, 100m
- BacNet MS/TP\*: 32 Nodes, 100m+
- DMX512\*: 32 "unit loads", 400m
- Profibus\*: 32 Nodes, 100m
- <next target technology>

\*RS485 based systems

## More things to consider

- Silicon gets cheaper over time (at least digital logic), magnetics, MDI etc, not so much.
- I believe we will end up coming back to do higher speeds, let's keep that in mind.
- *I believe* we need to be credible compared to the technologies we want to replace.
- A SPMD mixing segment can be "extended" using Two Port MAC Relay's, but we want that to be the exception, not the rule.

## Takeaways

- I believe we need to make conscious decisions when trading off mixing segment, PHY and powering circuitry
- I believe we should consider, and hopefully accept, PHYs more complex than Clause 147 to expand the supported scenarios/use cases
- *I believe* we need to consider the value (and interpretation) of "Support interoperability with Clause 147 multidrop" vs the value of expanded target application support.



ı

#### Consensus

WE BUILD IT.

Connect with us on:

- Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/ieeesa
- Twitter: @ieeesa
- in LinkedIn: http://www.linkedin.com/groups/IEEESA-Official-IEEE-Standards-Association-1791118
- BLOS IEEE-SA Standards Insight blog: http://standardsinsight.com
- YouTube: IEEE-SA Channel

IEEE

standards.ieee.org

Phone: +1 732 981 0060 Fax: +1 732 562 1571

© IEEE