
IEEE P802.3dd Clause 104 Maintenance 3rd Task Force review comments



REGARDING:

Editor's note (to be removed prior to Working Group Ballot):

All of the rationale for these changes are set to be removed before Working Group Ballot. Therefore, the reasoning for each change will not be apparent without a lot of digging and/or consultation during WGB. This is less than the fully forthcoming stance we should take with our oversight group. In addition, on a long term maintenance and records basis the Initial Working Group Ballot draft is generally assumed to be the baseline draft of record when trying to reconstruct the past.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text for all editor's notes from:

"Editor's note (to be removed prior to Working Group Ballot):" To:

"Editor's note (Expected to be removed by comment during Working Group Ballot):" ...and leave the notes in place. They can then be removed when the CRG feels appropriate by the normal comment/edit process. Should they not be removed during balloting they would be taken out post approval as the draft is prepared for publication.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.