C/ FM	SC FM	P 1	L 10	# 110
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	t Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
14, th	nis would be numb	hould be an amendment nu er 10. But 9 amendments b ıp and this could be amendr	efore a revision	is too many so there
Suggeste	edRemedy			
		nolder. Also on pages 11 ar ncluding this one are provision		
PROF As the is not	t known at this tim	Response Status W s, the amendment number t e with any certainty. An ame better certainty, likely near	endments numbe	er may be inserted once
C/ FM	SC FM	<i>P</i> 1	L 30	# 111
Dawe, Pie Comment	t Type E	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D Parameters for 800 Gb/s and	d Physical Laver	<i>(bucket1)</i>
Comment Media Parar previe Suggeste Media	t Type E a Access Control I meters for 400 Gb ew edRemedy a Access Control I meters for 400 Gb		Draft D1.0 is pre	s and Management pared for task force s and management
Comment Media Parar previe Suggeste Media paran previe	t Type E a Access Control I meters for 400 Gb ew edRemedy a Access Control I meters for 400 Gb	Comment Status D Parameters for 800 Gb/s and /s and 800 Gb/s Operation.	Draft D1.0 is pre	s and Management pared for task force s and management
Comment Media Parar previe Suggeste Media paran previe Proposed PROF The c Chan Mana task f To:	t Type E a Access Control I meters for 400 Gb ew adRemedy a Access Control I meters for 400 Gb ew d Response POSED ACCEPT comment appears cted. ige: "Media Access agement Paramete force preview"	Comment Status D Parameters for 800 Gb/s and /s and 800 Gb/s Operation. parameters for 800 Gb/s and 's and 800 Gb/s operation. D Response Status W	Draft D1.0 is pre d Physical Layers Draft D1.0 is prep alization on some 0 Gb/s and Phys /s Operation. Dr	s and Management pared for task force s and management pared for Task Force e words need s to be sical Layers and aft D1.0 is prepared for

C/ FM	SC FM	P 6	L 39	# 112
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	be E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)

The superscript 3 should follow IEEE Xplore, not "contact IEEE."

SuggestedRemedy

Get the template at https://standards.ieee.org/develop/drafting-standard/resources/ fixed and implement the change.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This footnote location is the same as in the cited template. This text is an official statement copied to the IEEE 802.3 template from the IEEE SA template. According to the 2021 IEEE SA Standards Style Manual , this text "Shall not be altered."

C/ FM	SC FM	P 8	L 12	# 178
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia	a	
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D	(bucket1)
Task F	Force name T	ask Force		
Suggested	dRemedy			
Task I	Force 3 times	3		
Proposed	Response	Response Status	w	
Also, a Impler [Editor	add list of clau ment with edite		om 1/8 to 8/12.]	
C/\mathbf{FM}	SC FM	P1	0 / 1	# 113
C/ FM Dawe Pie	SC FM	P 1 Nvidi	•	# 113
Dawe, Pie Comment	ers Type E	Nvidi	a D	# 113 (bucket1)
Dawe, Pie Comment	ers Type E In the IEEE-SA	Nvidia Comment Status	a D	

The group of text starting with "When the IEEE-SA Standards Board:" is repeated twice. Remove one instance.

Implement with editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general rec	C/ FM	Page 1 of 44	
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RES	SPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC FM	2022-11-29 3:46:52 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ FM	SC FM	P 27	L 48	# 114
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
		vere approved in 2013 and 201 3dd, 3cs, 3db, 3ck, 3de and 3c		and 3cz as its
Suggestee	dRemedy			
draft i are be inform	s built against, a elieved not to, p	as this bad example, list all the as P802.3cz does. Also, say w referably clause by clause. Th n not to share it with the volun	hich drafts affected he editors must h	t this draft and which ave and agree this
Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
The e templa amen examp	example projects ate needs to be dments are inco ples should be o	T IN PRINCIPLE. isted are indeed obsolete. The updated for each project and proprate into a revision. The ex- deleted here and in the templa 802.3bj and IEEE P802.3bk)"	may again chang amples are not i	ge as previous
C/ 1	SC 1.4	P 18	L 47	# 115
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
	oroject is adding sual pdf bookma	another page of definitions to irks.	a very long sect	ion that doesn't have
Suggestee	dRemedy			
subcla 1.4.1 1.4.2 1.4.3 1.4.4 1.4.5 If Frar	auses, e.g. 1 to 8 A to G H to M N to S T to Z	oration of document structure 1.4.0 1.4.8 1.4.A 1.4.Z (so user-friendly.		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
The c		T. ng for broad changes to the ba is being added by this amendr		

C/ 1	SC 1.5	P 30	L 30	# 116
Dawe, Piers	3	Nvidia		
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)

This project is adding to an already long section that lacks the usual level of subdivision (somewhere around one subclause per page would be normal)

SuggestedRemedy

To mitigate the deterioration of document structure and usability, divide 1.5 Abbreviations into several subclauses

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The comment is asking for broad changes to the base standard that are not related directly the new content that is being added by this amendment. Such sweeping changes should be addressed using the Base Standard maintenance process.

CI 30	SC 30.5	P 33	L 45	# 16	Cl 30	SC :	30.5.1.1.2		P 33	L 1	# 92
Dudek, Mike	9	Marvell			Wang, Ha	aojie			China Mobile	Э	
between SuggestedR Add the Proposed R	e standard and the various Ph <i>emedy</i> reach informat esponse	Comment Status D 802.3db all list the "with rea hy's. This draft does not. ion to the new Phys. <i>Response Status</i> W	ach up to at leasi	<i>(bucket1)</i> t xxx." to differentiate	Suggeste Chan Proposed	e should dRemed ge "4000 I Respon	ly GBASE-R"	Comment 3 BASE-R" other to "800GBA Response S	er than "400GI SE-R"	BASE-R"	(bucket1)
change "400GB, m as sp change "400GB,	400GBASE-DF ASE-R PCS/PI ecified in Claus 400GBASE-DF	R4-2 description to: MA over 4-lane single-mode			Suggeste	aojie f <i>Type</i> e should dRemed	ly	Comment 3 BASE-R" other to "800GBA	er than "400GI		# <u>93</u> (bucket1)
"800ĞB		R4 description to: MA over 8-lane single-mode se 124"	fiber PMD with r	each up to at least 500	Proposed PROI	,	nse ACCEPT.	Response S	Status W		
"800ĞB		R4-2 description to: MA over 8-lane single-mode 124"	fiber PMD with r	each up to at least 2 km	C/ 45 Lusted, K Comment	Cent	45.1.2.163 TR	Comment	P 41 Intel Corpora Status D	L 50 ation	# 70 (bucket1)
"800ĞB		R8 description to: MA over 8-lane multimode fi 167"	ber PMD with rea	ach up to at least 100 m		onal lane	es of [4:7]		egisters 1.122 t-lane interfac		es [0:3] but not the
"800ĞB		R8 description to: MA over 8-lane multimode fi 167"	ber PMD with rea	ach up to at least 50 m		e 0 maps		er 1.1220, lan s to register 1		egister 1.1221, la	ane 2 maps to register
Impleme	ent with editoria	al license.			1.122 regist	2, lane 3 er 1.122	3 maps to 1 25, lane 6 n	register 1.122 naps to regist	23, lane 4 map ter 1.1226, an		ane 2 maps to register 224, lane 5 maps to register 1.1227."
					-	POSED	ACCEPT I	Response S N PRINCIPLI Inse to comm	E.		

C/ 45 SC 45.1.2.163

	00.15.1.1.15	D + -			<u></u>				
C/ 45	SC 45.1.2.165	P 42	L 8	# 71	Cl 45	SC 45.2.1.		L 3	# 43
Lusted, Ke		Intel Corpora	ation		Huber, To		Nokia		
Comment	<i>,</i>	nent Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	51	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
	aragraph provides mappin onal lanes of [4:7] used for			es [0:3] but not the			des 400ZR as existing text, th lified by 802.3cw.	e editing instructi	on should note that the
Suggested	lRemedy				Suggestee	dRemedy			
chang					Add "	as modified by	IEEE 802.3cw-202x)" after "(Change Table 45	-7"
	0 maps to register 1.1320 2, and lane 3 maps to regi		egister 1.1321, la	ane 2 maps to register		Response POSED ACCE	Response Status W		
	0 maps to register 1.1320				C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.		L 20	# [117
	2, lane 3 maps to register or 1.1325, lane 6 maps to				Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Proposed	•	•	iu iane maps to	register 1.1527.	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
PROP	OSED ACCEPT IN PRING						ies should be in the standard ve to read upwards because		
					Suggestee	dRemedy			
Cl 45	SC 45.1.2.167	P 42	L 23	# 72	Swap	VR8 and SR8			
Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel Corpora	ation		Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
Comment	Type TR Comn	nent Status D		(bucket1)	PROF	OSED ACCE	PT.		
The pa additio	aragraph provides mappin onal lanes of [4:7] used for	g of registers 1.142 eight-lane interfac	20-1.1423 to land e types.	es [0:3] but not the	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.	7.4 P 37	L 23	# 118
Suggested	lRemedy				Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
chang					Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
	0 maps to register 1.1420 2, and lane 3 maps to regi		egister 1.1421, la	ane 2 maps to register	Missir	ng entries in tra	nsmit fault, receive fault and	transmit disable t	ables
1.1722		5101 1.1420.			Suggestee	dRemedy			
1.1422 registe Proposed	0 maps to register 1.1420 2, lane 3 maps to register er 1.1425, lane 6 maps to <i>Response Respon</i> OSED ACCEPT IN PRIM	1.1423, lane 4 map register 1.1426, an nse Status W	os to register 1.1	424, lane 5 maps to	100GI 400GI and 400GI	BASE-SR4, 80	0GBASE-SR1, 200GBASE-V 0GBASE-VR8, 800GBASE-S 0GBASE-DR4-2, 800GBASE	R8	
	ve using the response to c				,	<i>Response</i> POSED ACCEI	Response Status W		

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.7.4**

-									
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.8	P 38	L 13	# 17	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.16	61 P 41	L 34	# 45
Dudek, Mi	ke	Marvell			Huber, Te	om	Nokia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket) Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
	e 45-12 "and" is u should be consiste	used in the list for BR but it h ent for all rows.	as been deleted	for KR and CR. The	the m	apping for bits 0-	bits to registers is obvious, i -3 and say nothing at all abo	out bits 4-7. A sim	pler statement of how
Suggestea	lRemedy						all bits would be better and	easier to maintai	n.
Add th	e "and" before 80	0.				dRemedy			
Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			regist to	er 1.1122, and la	to register 1.1120, lane 1 m ane 3 maps to register 1.112 isters 1.1120 to 1.1127, res	3."	.1121, lane 2 maps to
CI 45	SC 45.2.1.23	P 39	L 23	# 44	1	Response	Response Status W	peouvery.	
Huber, To	m	Nokia				POSED ACCEPT	'		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		(bucket			•		
Regist	er 1.72 is added b	by 802.3cz; presumably 1.73	is what was inte	ended here	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.16	61 P 41	L 34	# 19
Suggestea	Remedy				Dudek, N	like	Marvell		
00	je 1.72 to 1.73				Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			The r	napping of lanes	4-7 is not provided.		
	OSED ACCEPT.				Suggeste	dRemedy			
					_ Add t	he mapping for th	nose lanes. Also in 45.2.1.1	63 on line 50, 45	.2.1.165 and 45.2.1.167
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.23	P 39	L 24	# 18	Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
Dudek, Mi		Marvell				POSED ACCEPT			
Comment	51	Comment Status D		(bucket) Reso	lve using the resp	ponse to comment #45		
This is	listing register 1.	72 but 45.2.1.60b is listing th	ne abilities in Re	gister 1.73					
Suggestea	lRemedy								
Chang	e to register 1.72	. Also on line39							
-	, OSED ACCEPT I	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #44							

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.161

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.16	1 P 41	L 34	# 69	C/ 45
Lusted, k	Kent	Intel Corporati	on		Huber, To
Commen	t Type TR	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment
		s mapping of registers 1.1120] used for eight-lane interface		es [0:3] but not the	While the m the m
Suggeste	edRemedy				
	e 0 maps to regis	ter 1.1120, lane 1 maps to reg ps to register 1.1123."	jister 1.1121, la	ane 2 maps to register	Suggested Chang registe to "Lane
1.112	22, lane 3 maps to	ter 1.1120, lane 1 maps to rego register 1.1123, lane 4 maps maps to register 1.1126, and	to register 1.1	124, lane 5 maps to	Proposed PROF
•	l Response	Response Status W			C/ 45
	POSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Huber, To
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.16		L 34	# 440	Comment
Dawe, Pi		Nvidia	L 34	# 119	While the m
Commen		Comment Status D		(bucket1)	the m
Lane	0 maps to registe	er 1.1120, lane 1 maps to regis ps to register 1.1123.	ster 1.1121, lan	()	Suggested Chang
Suggeste	edRemedy				registe to
		er 1.1120, lane 1 maps to regis	ster 1.1121, an	d so on, up to lane 7	"Lane
	egister 1.1127. arly in 45 2 1 163	45.2.1.165, 45.2.1.167			Proposed
		Boononoo Statuo M			PROF

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #45.

C/ 45	SC	45.2.1.163	P 41	L 50	# 46
Huber, To	om		Nokia		
Comment	t Type	т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
While	the ma	pping of bit	s to registers is obvious, it s	eems incomple	te to explicitly describe

napping for bits 0-3 and say nothing at all about bits 4-7. A simpler statement of how napping works for all bits would be better and easier to maintain.

edRemedy

nge "Lane 0 maps to register 1.1220, lane 1 maps to register 1.1221, lane 2 maps to ster 1.1222, and lane 3 maps to register 1.1223."

es 0-7 map to registers 1.1220 to 1.1227, respectively."

Proposed Response	Response Status	W	

POSED ACCEPT.

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.165	P 42	L 8	# 30
Huber, Tom		Nokia		
Comment Ty	be T	Comment Status D		(bucket1)

e the mapping of bits to registers is obvious, it seems incomplete to explicitly describe napping for bits 0-3 and say nothing at all about bits 4-7. A simpler statement of how napping works for all bits would be better and easier to maintain.

edRemedv

nge "Lane 0 maps to register 1.1320, lane 1 maps to register 1.1321, lane 2 maps to ter 1.1322, and lane 3 maps to register 1.1323."

es 0-7 map to registers 1.1320 to 1.1327, respectively."

d Response Response Status W POSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.165 Page 6 of 44 2022-11-29 3:46:52 PM

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.167	P 42	L 23	# 31	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.1	168 F	42	L 24	# 122
Huber, Tom	1	Nokia			Dawe, Piers		Nvi	dia		
Comment T	уре Т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Statu	is D		PRBS seed (bucket1)
While the map the map <i>SuggestedF</i> Change register to "Lanes Proposed R	he mapping of bi oping for bits 0-3 oping works for a Remedy "Lane 0 maps to 1.1422, and lan 0-7 map to regis	ts to registers is obvious, it and say nothing at all abou all bits would be better and o register 1.1420, lane 1 m e 3 maps to register 1.1423 ters 1.1420 to 1.1427, resp <i>Response Status</i> W	ut bits 4-7. A simp easier to maintain aps to register 1.1 3."	e to explicitly describe ler statement of how	This say adjacent function. This is ir serves th clause c With only different, polynom We have multiple or PRBS patterns correlate warned a "identifie impleme the state lanes, sc "For 8-la being ret	s "The polynd lanes having" a section de be sublayer, it oncerned. y four polynd but that's O ial AND the If written gene lanes, but the 31Q are use on one lane d." The train against with a r_i" = 4, with ht one instar machine for o starting the ne use cases used" recomi	omial identifier for ea g the same identifier efining the meanings not the other way rou omials and eight lane K. Impairment is ver PRBS13Qs in the tra erations of PMD and ey should be skewed ad with a common clo and any other lane, st ing frame is 98.3% I a lane carrying "ident an unlucky timing of the PMD contr e each lane can be st training pattern with s different initial seed	the lane si could imp of bits in and. Advice s, the pol y unlikely ining patte AUI claus l, e.g. 120 tock, there so that the PRBS13Q iffier_i" = 0 fset betwee of function arted and a differen ls should ction that,	air operation of a memory map ce about signal ynomials thems unless adjacen ern are aligned ses that use the G.3.2.2: "For th is at least 31 UI e symbols on ea . In principle, co and an adjace een lanes. As " n described in 1 restarted asyno t seed won't sol be used where on investigation	e; two physically the PMD control . The memory map integrity should be in the elves can't all be it lanes use the same in time with each other. same pattern on e case where PRBS13Q I delay between the ach lane are not one could incur the risk nt lane carrying The PMD shall 36.8.11 for each lane", chronous to adjacent ive the issue. The text the same polynomial is n, doesn't address the
					and seed	ls, twice ove	as already covered t r. No implementatio seeds differ) but the	n can follo	ow the ETC spe	
					SuggestedR	emedy				
					memory 2. Chang adjacent function" impairme 3. Chang polynom 4. Make the same 5. ETC s same po 6. Also, s correlatio	map! ge "The polyr lanes having to "The poly ent of the PM ge "For 8-land the default s e as seeds 0 ay "it is reco lynomial". R suggest that on between a	1D control function". e use cases different eused." to "For 8-lan- eeds in Table 162-10 to 3). mmended to ensure ecommend this. when there are more	ach lane s could imp adjacent la initial see e use case ba the san that phys e lanes tha ided by a	should be unique air operation of anes should be eds should be u es, see 162.8.1 ne as in the ET ically adjacent I an polynomials to combination of	e; two physically the PMD control unique to avoid a risk of sed where the same 1.1." C spec (seeds 4 to 7 are anes do not use the
COMMENT	STATUS: D/disp	•	o .	T/technical E/editorial G/ SE STATUS: O/open W/w	0	Z/withdrawn		C/ 4! SC 4!	5 5.2.1.168	Page 7 of 44 2022-11-29 3:46:

IEEE P802.3df D1.0 1st

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace "The polynomial identifier for each lane should be unique; two physically adjacent lanes having the same identifier could impair operation of the PMD control function. The default identifiers are (binary): for lane 0, 00; for lane 1, 01; for lane 2, 10; for lane 3, 11; for lane 4, 00; for lane 5, 01; for lane 6, 10; for lane 7, 11. For 8-lane use cases different initial seeds should be used where the same polynomial is being reused."

with

"The polynomial identifier for adjacent lanes should be unique to avoid a risk of impairment of the PMD control function. If the same polynomial identifier is used for multiple lanes. different initial seeds should be used for each of those lanes. The default identifiers are (binary): for lane 0. 00; for lane 1. 01; for lane 2. 10; for lane 3. 11; for lane 4. 00; for lane 5. 01: for lane 6. 10: for lane 7. 11."

The adopted baseline clearly states what the default seeds in Table 162-10a should be (see: https://www.jeee802.org/3/df/public/22 09/lusted 3df 01a 2209.pdf). A user would be able to change the default values so that the seeds for lanes 4 to 7 match 0 to 3 by writing appropriate seed values to registers 1.1450 through 1.1457. Therefore it is not appropriate to change Table 162-10a.

See also the response to comment #139

C/ 45	SC 4	5.2.1.168	P 42	2	L 38	#	32
Huber, Tor	n		Nokia				
Comment 7	Гуре	т	Comment Status	D			(bucket1)

While the mapping of registers to what they control is obvious, it would be better to spell it out a bit more completely to maintain similar structure to the other clauses that are specifying registers per-lane.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Register 1.1450 controls the PMD training pattern for PMD lane 0; register 1.1451 controls the PMD training pattern for PMD lane 1: etc."

to

"Registers 1.1450 to 1.1457 control the PMD training pattern for PMD lanes 0-7, respectively."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 45	SC 45	5.2.1.168	P 42	L 38	# 120
Dawe, Pie	ers		Nvidia		
Comment "for P		_	Comment Status D it terse and informal		(bucket1
Suggestee	dRemedy				
regist	er 1.1451		ister 1.1450 controls the PMD training pattern f		
-	POSED A	CCEPT IN	Response Status W PRINCIPLE. se to comment #32.		
C/ 45	SC 45	5.2.1.168	P 42	L 41	# 33
Huber, To	m		Nokia		
Comment The te Suggestee	ext "and 1	_	Comment Status D " is in 802.3-2022, so it	should not be ider	<i>(bucket1)</i> tified as a change.
Remo	ve the un	0	om this text.		
Remo Proposed PROF	ve the un <i>Response</i> POSED RI	e F EJECT.	om this text. Response Status W 1.3 is not in the base st	andard so the und	erlineing should
Remo Proposed PROF The re	ve the un Response POSED RI eference to n.	e F EJECT.	Response Status W	andard so the und	erlineing should # 121
Remo Proposed PROF The re remai	ve the un Response POSED RI eference to n.	e F EJECT. o 136.8.11	Response Status W		
Remo Proposed PROF The re remain Cl 45 Dawe, Pie Comment	Ve the un Response POSED Ri eference to n. SC 45 POSED Ri efference to n.	e F EJECT. o 136.8.11. 5.2.1.168	Response Status W 1.3 is not in the base st P 42		
Remo Proposed PROF The re remai Cl 45 Dawe, Pie Comment 92.7.1 Suggested	ve the un Response POSED Ri eference to n. SC 45 Type 2 and 130 dRemedy	e F EJECT. o 136.8.11. 5.2.1.168 E 6.8.11.1.3	Response Status W 1.3 is not in the base st P 42 Nvidia	L 41	# 121

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.	168	P 42	L 42	# 34		C/ 45	SC	45.2.3	P 43	L 50	# 36
Huber, To	m		Nokia				Huber, To	m		Nokia		
Comment	Туре Т	Commer	nt Status D		()	bucket1)	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
and th		sentence is w	vritten more gene	of the last two sen erically to apply to			table.			kists in 802.3-2022, so it sho	uld not be indica	ted as a change in the
Suggested		Ū					Suggeste			- from 45 0 0 50		
	ce "The polync	mial identifier	for each lane							g from 45.2.3.50		
should operat lane 1 7, 11.	be unique; tw tion of the PMI , 01; for lane 2 For 8-lane use	o physically ac control functi , 10; for lane 3 cases differen	djacent lanes hav ion. The default io 3, 11; for lane 4, (ving the same ide dentifiers are (bin 00; for lane 5, 01; nould be used wh	ary): for lane 0, ; for lane 6, 10; f	00; for	Althou	, POSED ugh this	REJECT.	Response Status W e is in the base standard the ate to add it to Table 45-233		
polync with	omial is being i	eused."					C/ 45	SC	45.2.3.26	a P 44	L 24	# 62
"The p				inique; two physic			Slavick, J	eff		Broadcom		
polynd each d	omial identifier of those lanes.	is used for mu The default id	ltiple lanes, differentifiers are (bina	the PMD control rent initial seeds ary): for lane 0, 00 01; for lane 6, 10;	should be used 0; for lane 1, 01	for		e 172 (a		Comment Status D use a variable named amps_ sed in Cl91 and 161 for the F		<i>(bucket1)</i> Ilignment lock status.
PROP	Response OSED ACCEF ve using the re	, PT IN PRINCIF					0	in 45.2.	3.25.* and	45.2.3.26.*		
C/ 45	SC 45.2.3		P 43	L 12	# 35					nange the "(see 82.2.19.2.2). 2.2.6.2.2)"	" to be "(see 82.	2.19.2.2) or
Huber, To Comment		Commer	Nokia nt Status D		()	bucket1)				d change the "(see 82.2.19.2 9.2.6.2.2 and 172.2.6.2.2)"	2.2)." to be "(see	82.2.19.2.2) or
	auses 45.2.3.2 es in the table		າ 802.3-2022, so	they should not l	be indicated as		Proposed PROF	,	nse ACCEPT	Response Status W		
Suggested Remo	-	ing from 45.2.	3.24, 45.2.3.25, 4	45.2.3.26.				UULD				
Romo		Deenene	e Status W									

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.26a

		200	Deenenee Status M		
k. Jeff Broadcom	Proposed Respor		Response Status W		
ent Type T Comment Status D (bucket1)	PROPOSED	ACCEPT.			
rious clause 45 registers need to some Clause 172 references added.	C/ 45 SC	45.2.4	P 47	L 4	# 1
stedRemedy	Marris, Arthur		Cadence De	esign Systems	
reference to Clause 172 needs to be added to 45.2.3.49	Comment Type	т	Comment Status D	0 ,	(bucket)
reference to 172.2.5.3 needs to be added to: .2.3.60.1	"45.2.4 PHY	XS registe 3df draft ar	rs" and "45.2.5 DTE XS reg ad modifications made to in		ns need to be brought
.2.3.60.2	SuggestedRemed	dv			
.2.4.61.4 .2.3.61.6	Update "Table	e 45–314–	–PHY XS registers" and "T address this. This will inclu		
.2.3.64 .2.3.65 .2.3.66	register at loc	cation 54, a error cour	adding extra "XS lane map ater" registers above 631, a	oing" registers abo	ove 415, adding extra
.2.4.21.1	Proposed Respor	nse	Response Status W		
.2.4.21.2	, ,				
.2.4.22.2	PROPOSED	ACCEPT.			
.2.4.22.3	C/ 45 SC	45.2.4.4	P 46	L 54	# 53
.2.4.22.4 .2.4.22.5	0/45 30	45.2.4.4	P 46	L 54	# 53
.2.4.25	Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
.2.4.25	Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		(bucket)
.2.4.27			blity register to PHY XS		(Ducher)
.2.5.21.1		ouud capa			
.2.5.21.2	SuggestedRemed	dy			
.2.5.22.2	Assign a bit in	n register 4	1.4 for 800G capable and c	reate a descriptio	n the same as the
.2.5.22.3	400G bit repla				
.2.5.22.4		•			
.2.5.22.5	Proposed Respor		Response Status W		
.2.5.25	PROPOSED	ACCEPT.			
.2.5.26					
.2.5.27	CI 45 SC	45.2.4.15	P 46	L 54	# 66
	Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
reference to 172.2.6.2.2 needs to be added to:	Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		(bucket)
.2.3.61.1 .2.3.61.2		-			
.2.3.61.3		lock registe	ers need to be updated with	n 800G reference	s and expanded to 32
.2.3.61.5	AM lanes				
.2.4.22.1	SuggestedRemed	dy			
.2.5.22.1	Update (see	119.2.6.2.2	2) to (see 119.2.6.2.2 and ² of amps_lock as well as wa		
reference to 172.3.2 needs to be added to 45.2.3.62, 45.2.4.23 and 45.2.5.23	Proposed Respor		Response Status W		0
reference to 172.3.3 needs to be added to 45.2.3.63, 45.2.4.24 and 45.2.5.24	PROPOSED	ACCEPT.			

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 45
 Page 10 of 44

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
 SC 45.2.4.15
 2022-11-29 3:46:52 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 45.2.4.15
 2022-11-29 3:46:52 PM

CI 45	SC 45.2.4.17	P 46	L 54	# 67	C/ 45	SC 45.2.5.15	P 46	L 54	# 55
Slavick, Je	eff	Broadcom			Slavick, Jef	f	Broadcon	n	
Comment	Туре т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment T	ype T	Comment Status D		(bucket1
PHY X lanes	(S lane mapping	registers need to update with	800G reference	es and expanded to 32	DTE XS AM lane	•	ers need to be updated v	vith 800G reference	es and expanded to 32
Suggested	lRemedy				SuggestedF	Remedy			
	in and update 45. re registers	2.4.17 and 45.2.4.18 adding	references to C	lause 171 and adding			2) to (see 119.2.6.2.2 an of amps_lock as well as		5.2.4.15.* and 45.2.4.16.* CS registers.
Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed R PROPC	esponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
C/ 45	SC 45.2.4.19	P 46	L 54	# 68	C/ 45	SC 45.2.5.17	P 46	L 54	# 56
Slavick, Je	eff	Broadcom			Slavick, Jef	f	Broadcon	n	
Comment	Туре т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment T	уре Т	Comment Status D		(bucket1
DUN ()	(S symbol orror o	ounter registers needs updat	to with 800G rofe	erences and expanded	DTE XS	lane mapping r	registers need to update	with 800G reference	ces and expanded to 32
to 32 la		ounter registers needs updat			lanes	11 0	0		
	anes						0		
to 32 la <i>Suggested</i> Bring i	anes IRemedy	2.4.19 and 45.2.4.20 adding			lanes <i>SuggestedF</i> Bring in	Remedy	2.5.17 and 45.2.5.18 add		Clause 171 and adding
to 32 la Suggested Bring i more o Proposed l	anes <i>IRemedy</i> in and update 45. counters	2.4.19 and 45.2.4.20 adding Response Status W			lanes SuggestedF Bring in 16 more Proposed R	Remedy and update 45.2 e registers	2.5.17 and 45.2.5.18 add Response Status W		Clause 171 and adding
to 32 la Suggested Bring i more o Proposed I PROP	anes IRemedy in and update 45. counters Response	2.4.19 and 45.2.4.20 adding Response Status W			lanes SuggestedF Bring in 16 more Proposed R	Remedy and update 45. e registers esponse	2.5.17 and 45.2.5.18 add Response Status W		Clause 171 and adding # <u>57</u>
to 32 la Suggested Bring i more c Proposed I PROP	anes IRemedy in and update 45. counters Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.5.4	2.4.19 and 45.2.4.20 adding Response Status W	references to 17	72.3.4 and adding 16	lanes SuggestedF Bring in 16 more Proposed R PROPC	Remedy and update 45. e registers DSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.5.19	2.5.17 and 45.2.5.18 add Response Status W	ding references to 0	
to 32 la Suggested Bring i more of Proposed I PROP	anes IRemedy in and update 45. counters Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.5.4 eff	2.4.19 and 45.2.4.20 adding Response Status W P 46	references to 17	72.3.4 and adding 16	lanes SuggestedF Bring in 16 more Proposed R PROPC CI 45	Remedy and update 45 e registers esponse DSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.5.19 f	2.5.17 and 45.2.5.18 add Response Status W P 46	ding references to 0	
to 32 la Suggested Bring i more c Proposed I PROP Cl 45 Slavick, Je Comment Need t	anes <i>Remedy</i> in and update 45. counters <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. <i>SC</i> 45.2.5.4 eff <i>Type</i> T to add 800G capa	2.4.19 and 45.2.4.20 adding Response Status W P 46 Broadcom	references to 17	72.3.4 and adding 16 # <u>54</u>	lanes SuggestedF Bring in 16 more Proposed R PROPC Cl 45 Slavick, Jef Comment T DTE XS	Remedy and update 45 e registers esponse DSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.5.19 f ype T Symbol error co	2.5.17 and 45.2.5.18 add Response Status W P 46 Broadcon	ding references to 0	# <u>57</u> (bucket1
to 32 la Suggested Bring i more of Proposed I PROP Cl 45 Slavick, Je Comment Need t	anes <i>Remedy</i> in and update 45. counters <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. <i>SC</i> 45.2.5.4 eff <i>Type</i> T to add 800G capa <i>IRemedy</i>	2.4.19 and 45.2.4.20 adding Response Status W P 46 Broadcom Comment Status D ablity register to DTE XS	references to 17	72.3.4 and adding 16 # <u>54</u> (bucket1)	lanes SuggestedF Bring in 16 more Proposed R PROPC Cl 45 Slavick, Jef Comment T DTE XS to 32 la	Remedy and update 45 e registers DSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.5.19 f ype T S symbol error cones	2.5.17 and 45.2.5.18 add Response Status W P 46 Broadcon Comment Status D	ding references to 0	# <u>57</u> (bucket1
to 32 la Suggested Bring i more of Proposed I PROP Cl 45 Slavick, Je Comment Need t Suggested Assign	anes <i>Remedy</i> in and update 45. counters <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. <i>SC</i> 45.2.5.4 eff <i>Type</i> T to add 800G capa <i>IRemedy</i>	2.4.19 and 45.2.4.20 adding Response Status W P 46 Broadcom Comment Status D ablity register to DTE XS 5.4 for 800G capable and cree	references to 17	72.3.4 and adding 16 # <u>54</u> (bucket1)	lanes SuggestedF Bring in 16 more Proposed R PROPC Cl 45 Slavick, Jef Comment T DTE XS to 32 lai SuggestedF Bring in	Remedy and update 45 e registers DSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.5.19 f type T S symbol error cones Remedy and update 45	2.5.17 and 45.2.5.18 add Response Status W P 46 Broadcon Comment Status D	ding references to C <i>L</i> 54 n podate with 800G ref	# 57 (bucket1 ferences and expanded
to 32 la Suggested Bring i more of Proposed I PROP Cl 45 Slavick, Je Comment Need t Suggested Assign	anes <i>Remedy</i> in and update 45. counters <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. <i>SC</i> 45.2.5.4 eff <i>Type</i> T to add 800G capa <i>Remedy</i> n a bit in register bit replacing 4000	2.4.19 and 45.2.4.20 adding Response Status W P 46 Broadcom Comment Status D ablity register to DTE XS 5.4 for 800G capable and cree	references to 17	72.3.4 and adding 16 # <u>54</u> (bucket1)	lanes SuggestedF Bring in 16 more Proposed R PROPC Cl 45 Slavick, Jef Comment T DTE XS to 32 lai SuggestedF	Remedy and update 45 ergisters besponse DSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.5.19 f ype T Symbol error cones Remedy and update 45 punters	2.5.17 and 45.2.5.18 add Response Status W P 46 Broadcon Comment Status D ounter registers needs u	ding references to C <i>L</i> 54 n podate with 800G ref	# 57 (bucket1 ferences and expanded

C/ 45 SC 45.2.5.19

	C/ 120F SC 120F.1 P 198 L 48 # 81
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	Lusted, Kent Intel Corporation
Comment Type E Comment Status D clause	e name Comment Type T Comment Status D (bucket1)
This project is lengthening this title but a five-line title is too long. If we had 16 x 100G AUIs it would be even worse.	
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Name it it the way we name PMD clauses: Chip-to-chip 100 Gb/s/lane Attachment Unit Interfaces type 100GAUI-1 C2C, 200GAU C2C, 400GAUI-4 C2C, and 800GAUI-8 C2C	JI-2 Replace the second sentence in the 5th paragaph with "Each 100GAUI-1, 200GAUI-2, 400GAUI-4, or 800GAUI-8 C2C data path contains one, two, four, or eight, respectively, differential lanes, which are AC coupled."
Similarly for 120G	Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 120F SC 120F.1 P 198 L 52 # 82
The titles are indeed long and can be shortened and clarified.	Lusted, Kent Intel Corporation
-	Comment Type TR Comment Status D (bucket1)
The suggested remedy introduces the word "Type", which has been used for PHY but for AUIs. Therefore a slight modification is proposed. The same form used for PMD clause titles can be used.	t not The mapping of the differential voltage level to the PAM4 symbol is missing in Annex 120F. It is also not present in Annex 120F in IEEE Std. 802.3ck-202x. The mapping of the differential voltage level to the PAM4 symbol level is important for interoperability.
Change the title of Annex 120F to:	SuggestedRemedy
"Chip-to-chip Attachment Unit Interfaces 100GAUI-1 C2C, 200GAUI-2 C2C, 400GAUI- C2C, and 800GAUI-8 C2C"	I-4 Add a new sentence to the 5th paragraph: "The highest differential level corresponds to the symbol three and the lowest level corresponds to the symbol zero."
Change the title of Annex 120G to	Proposed Response Response Status W
"Chip-to-module Attachment Unit Interfaces 100GAUI-1 C2M, 200GAUI-2 C2M, 400G/ 4 C2M, and 800GAUI-8 C2M"	In the sixth paragraph, change "The C2C transmitter and the receiver use PAM4 signaling"
	То:
Change the titles of 120F.5, 120F.5.4, 120G.6, 120G.6.4, the text in 120F.5.1 and 120G.6.1, and the tables in 120F.5.2.1 and 120G.6.2.1, accordingly.	To: "The C2C transmitter and receiver use PAM4 signaling. The highest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value three, and the lowest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value zero."
Change the titles of 120F.5, 120F.5.4, 120G.6, 120G.6.4, the text in 120F.5.1 and 120G.6.1, and the tables in 120F.5.2.1 and 120G.6.2.1, accordingly. Change any text affected by these title changes with editorial license.	"The C2C transmitter and receiver use PAM4 signaling. The highest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value three, and the lowest differential level
Change the titles of 120F.5, 120F.5.4, 120G.6, 120G.6.4, the text in 120F.5.1 and 120G.6.1, and the tables in 120F.5.2.1 and 120G.6.2.1, accordingly. Change any text affected by these title changes with editorial license.	"The C2C transmitter and receiver use PAM4 signaling. The highest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value three, and the lowest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value zero."
Change the titles of 120F.5, 120F.5.4, 120G.6, 120G.6.4, the text in 120F.5.1 and 120G.6.1, and the tables in 120F.5.2.1 and 120G.6.2.1, accordingly.Change any text affected by these title changes with editorial license.C/ 120FSC 120F.1P 198L 25# 49	"The C2C transmitter and receiver use PAM4 signaling. The highest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value three, and the lowest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value zero." C/ 120F SC 120F.1 P 199 L 9 # 175
Change the titles of 120F.5, 120F.5.4, 120G.6, 120G.6.4, the text in 120F.5.1 and 120G.6.1, and the tables in 120F.5.2.1 and 120G.6.2.1, accordingly.Change any text affected by these title changes with editorial license.C/ 120FSC 120F.1P 198L 25# 49Huber, TomNokia	"The C2C transmitter and receiver use PAM4 signaling. The highest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value three, and the lowest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value zero." C/ 120F SC 120F.1 P 199 L 9 # 175 Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Change the titles of 120F.5, 120F.5.4, 120G.6, 120G.6.4, the text in 120F.5.1 and 120G.6.1, and the tables in 120F.5.2.1 and 120G.6.2.1, accordingly. Change any text affected by these title changes with editorial license. C/ 120F SC 120F.1 P 198 L 25 # 49 Huber, Tom Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status D (but the top is the sentence, the new 800G AUI should be accorded by the sentence).	"The C2C transmitter and receiver use PAM4 signaling. The highest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value three, and the lowest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value zero." Cl 120F SC 120F.1 P 199 L 9 # 175 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D precoding (CC) 120.5.7.2 doesn't address precoding in C2C CC CC CC
Change the titles of 120F.5, 120F.5.4, 120G.6, 120G.6.4, the text in 120F.5.1 and 120G.6.1, and the tables in 120F.5.2.1 and 120G.6.2.1, accordingly. Change any text affected by these title changes with editorial license. C/ 120F SC 120F.1 P 198 L 25 # 49 Huber, Tom Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status D (but not maintain parallel structure with the rest of the sentence, the new 800G AUI should be introduced as 800Gb/s eight-lane	 "The C2C transmitter and receiver use PAM4 signaling. The highest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value three, and the lowest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value zero." Cl 120F SC 120F.1 P 199 L 9 # 175 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D precoding (CC) 120.5.7.2 doesn't address precoding in C2C
Change the titles of 120F.5, 120F.5.4, 120G.6, 120G.6.4, the text in 120F.5.1 and 120G.6.1, and the tables in 120F.5.2.1 and 120G.6.2.1, accordingly. Change any text affected by these title changes with editorial license. C/ 120F SC 120F.1 P 198 L 25 # 49 Huber, Tom Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status D (but not maintain parallel structure with the rest of the sentence, the new 800G AUI should lintroduced as 800Gb/s eight-lane SuggestedRemedy change "and eight-lane Attachment Unit Interface" to "800 Gb/s eight-lane Attachment	 "The C2C transmitter and receiver use PAM4 signaling. The highest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value three, and the lowest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value zero." Cl 120F SC 120F.1 P 199 L 9 # 175 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D precoding (CC) 120.5.7.2 doesn't address precoding in C2C SuggestedRemedy Delete the reference here or change 120.5.7.2 Mt Unit Proposed Response Response Status W
Change the titles of 120F.5, 120F.5.4, 120G.6, 120G.6.4, the text in 120F.5.1 and 120G.6.1, and the tables in 120F.5.2.1 and 120G.6.2.1, accordingly. Change any text affected by these title changes with editorial license. Cl 120F SC 120F.1 P 198 L 25 # 49 Huber, Tom Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status D (but To maintain parallel structure with the rest of the sentence, the new 800G AUI should I introduced as 800Gb/s eight-lane SuggestedRemedy change "and eight-lane Attachment Unit Interface" to "800 Gb/s eight-lane Attachment Interface"	 "The C2C transmitter and receiver use PAM4 signaling. The highest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value three, and the lowest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value zero." Cl 120F SC 120F.1 P 199 L 9 # 175 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D precoding (CC) 120.5.7.2 doesn't address precoding in C2C SuggestedRemedy Delete the reference here or change 120.5.7.2 At Unit Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change the titles of 120F.5, 120F.5.4, 120G.6, 120G.6.4, the text in 120F.5.1 and 120G.6.1, and the tables in 120F.5.2.1 and 120G.6.2.1, accordingly. Change any text affected by these title changes with editorial license. C/ 120F SC 120F.1 P 198 L 25 # 49 Huber, Tom Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status D (but introduced as 800Gb/s eight-lane SuggestedRemedy change "and eight-lane Attachment Unit Interface" to "800 Gb/s eight-lane Attachment Interface" Proposed Response Response Status W	 "The C2C transmitter and receiver use PAM4 signaling. The highest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value three, and the lowest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value zero." Cl 120F SC 120F.1 P 199 L 9 # 175 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D precoding (CC) 120.5.7.2 doesn't address precoding in C2C SuggestedRemedy Delete the reference here or change 120.5.7.2 Delete the reference here or change 120.5.7.2 Mt Unit Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It appears that 120.5.7.2 was not updated to include support for 100GBASE-1, 200GAUI-2, and 400GAUI-4. The sublause needs to be updated to support optional precoding on all
Change the titles of 120F.5, 120F.5.4, 120G.6, 120G.6.4, the text in 120F.5.1 and 120G.6.1, and the tables in 120F.5.2.1 and 120G.6.2.1, accordingly. Change any text affected by these title changes with editorial license. Cl 120F SC 120F.1 P 198 L 25 # 49 Huber, Tom Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status D (but To maintain parallel structure with the rest of the sentence, the new 800G AUI should I introduced as 800Gb/s eight-lane SuggestedRemedy change "and eight-lane Attachment Unit Interface" to "800 Gb/s eight-lane Attachment Interface"	 "The C2C transmitter and receiver use PAM4 signaling. The highest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value three, and the lowest differential level corresponds to the tx_symbol or rx_symbol value zero." Cl 120F SC 120F.1 P 199 L 9 # 175 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D precoding (CC) 120.5.7.2 doesn't address precoding in C2C SuggestedRemedy Delete the reference here or change 120.5.7.2 Delete the reference here or change 120.5.7.2 Mt Unit Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It appears that 120.5.7.2 was not updated to include support for 100GBASE-1, 200GAUI-2,

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120F	SC 120F.3.1	P 201	L 10	# 50	CI 120G SC 1	120G.2	P 207	L 8	# 177
Huber, Tom		Nokia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status D		rate range	Comment Type	Е	Comment Status D		test points
		e complex than is necessary					umbers of lanes in compliar t have been helpful to show		
SuggestedRe					SuggestedRemed				
		2C or for 100GAUI-1, 200GAU 2, 400GAUI-4, or 800GAUI-8		II-4 C2C with" to	00	,	short diagonal lines showin	g n lanes. Also	Figure 120G-4
Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status W			Proposed Respon	se	Response Status W		
The text i 50 PPM,	intentionally dis and the other i	IN PRINCIPLE. stinguishes between 800GAL interfaces, for which it is conc ed remedy would not be corre	litional.		The test points However, the Add the label TP4 in Figure In the second	s are sepa clarity of t "(one per 120G-4. and third	IN PRINCIPLE. arate for each lane. he figure may be improved. lane)" below TP1a and TP4 paragraphs of 120G.2, char liance points for each lane".	a in Figure 1200	
		e the first sentence in footnote					•		
		AUI-2, or 400GAUI-4 C2C wit / 800GAUI-8 C2C."	h a PMA in the	same package as the		120G.3.2.		L 21	# 87
1 00 000		0000/1010 020.			Opsasnick, Euger		Broadcom		
In Table	120G-1 change	e the first sentence in footnot	a to the follow	ing.	Comment Type	ER	Comment Status D		
		AUI-2, or 400GAUI-4 C2M wit 0 800GAUI-8 C2M."			51	-4, four ir	estances of "800GAUI-4" in I	ast two rows of	
PCS sub		AUI-2, or 400GAUI-4 C2M wit ⁄ 800GAUI-8 C2M."			In Table 120G be "800GAUI- SuggestedRemed	9-4, four ir 8" /y	stances of "800GAUI-4" in I	ast two rows of	(bucket1) the table should likely
PCS sub	layer or for any	AUI-2, or 400GAUI-4 C2M wit ⁄ 800GAUI-8 C2M."			In Table 120G be "800GAUI- SuggestedRemed Replace "8000	6-4, four ir 8" /y GAUI-4" v	istances of "800GAUI-4" in l	ast two rows of	1
PCS sub Resolve : C/ 120G	layer or for any along with com	AUI-2, or 400GAUI-4 C2M wit 800GAUI-8 C2M." Iment #140.	h a PMA in the	same package as the	In Table 120G be "800GAUI- SuggestedRemed Replace "8000 Proposed Respon	6-4, four ir 8" 'y GAUI-4" v se	vith "800GAUI-4" in More and the second status with "800GAUI-8"	ast two rows of	1
PCS sub	layer or for any along with com SC 120G.1	AUI-2, or 400GAUI-4 C2M wit 800GAUI-8 C2M." Iment #140. P 204	h a PMA in the	same package as the	In Table 120G be "800GAUI- SuggestedRemed Replace "8000 Proposed Respon PROPOSED A	6-4, four ir 8" GAUI-4" v se ACCEPT	istances of "800GAUI-4" in l		the table should likely
PCS sub Resolve a C/ 120G Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Each 100	layer or for any along with com SC 120G.1 pe E DGAUI-1, 200G	AUI-2, or 400GAUI-4 C2M wit 800GAUI-8 C2M." Iment #140. <i>P</i> 204 Nvidia	h a PMA in the	# 176 (bucket1)	In Table 120G be "800GAUI- SuggestedRemed Replace "8000 Proposed Respon PROPOSED A	6-4, four ir 8" GAUI-4" v se ACCEPT	vith "800GAUI-4" in I <i>v</i> ith "800GAUI-8" <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE.		the table should likely
PCS sub Resolve a C/ 120G Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Each 100	layer or for any along with com SC 120G.1 pe E DGAUI-1, 200G , four, *or* eigh	AUI-2, or 400GAUI-4 C2M with 800GAUI-8 C2M." Imment #140. <i>P</i> 204 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D :AUI-2, 400GAUI-4 C2M, *an	h a PMA in the	# 176 (bucket1)	In Table 120G be "800GAUI- SuggestedRemed Replace "8000 Proposed Respon PROPOSED A	6-4, four ir 8" GAUI-4" v se ACCEPT	vith "800GAUI-4" in I <i>v</i> ith "800GAUI-8" <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE.		the table should likely
PCS sub Resolve a C/ 120G Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Each 100 one, two,	layer or for any along with com SC 120G.1 pe E DGAUI-1, 200G , four, *or* eigh emedy	AUI-2, or 400GAUI-4 C2M with 800GAUI-8 C2M." Imment #140. <i>P</i> 204 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D :AUI-2, 400GAUI-4 C2M, *an	h a PMA in the	# 176 (bucket1)	In Table 120G be "800GAUI- SuggestedRemed Replace "8000 Proposed Respon PROPOSED A	6-4, four ir 8" GAUI-4" v se ACCEPT	vith "800GAUI-4" in I <i>v</i> ith "800GAUI-8" <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE.		the table should likely

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.2.1 Page 13 of 44 2022-11-29 3:46:52 PM

C/ 124	SC 124	P 59	L 36	# 123	C/ 124	SC 1	24.1	P 61	L 36	# 38
Dawe, Piers	6	Nvidia			Huber, Tor	n		Nokia		
Comment Ty	ype T	Comment Status D		objectives	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
200G-cl		200GBASE-DR2 and 200GBA h copper or MMF only until 20 s servers?			comma	a		o items in the list, they should b	e separated	with and rather than a
SuggestedR	Remedy				Suggested Chang	-	•	R4, 400GBASE-DR4-2" to "400	GBASE-DR4	and 400GBASE-DR4-
before 2	200GBASE-DR	onnect 200G-class servers wir 1 is cheaper, then it will happ s official code points.			2" Proposed I	Respon	se	Response Status W		
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W			PROP	OSED A	ACCEPT.			
PROPO	SED REJECT.				C/ 124	SC 1	24.2	P 62	L 13	# 125
The corr	nment is propo	sing the addition of two PMD	vpes for which	no objectives have	Dawe, Pier	s		Nvidia		
been ad	lopted and thus	s is out of scope for this draft.			Comment i six par		E 5 124.2	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
C/ 124	SC 124.1	P 59	L 24	# 37	Suggested	Remed	v			
Huber, Tom		Nokia			00	-	, s in 124.2			
Comment Ty		Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Proposed I	Respon	se	Response Status W		
SuggestedR Change	Remedy the editing ins	truction to add "(as modified b	y IEEE 802.3ck	s-2022)", and insert the	Chang	e the in	struction	, IN PRINCIPLE.		
rows for Proposed Re		F and 120G into the table.			C/ 124	SC 1	24.2	P 62	L 16	# 95
		Response Status W			Nicholl, Ga	iry		Cisco Systems		
		emedy with editorial license			Comment	, Туре	ER	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
C/ 124	SC 124.1	P 61	L 36	# 124	The sp	ace afte	er "these'	should be underlined.		
Dawe. Piers	5	Nvidia			Suggested	Remed	У			
Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Underl	ine the	space aft	er "these"		
400GBA	ASE-DR4, 4000	GBASE-DR4-2		· · · · · ·	Proposed I	Respon	se	Response Status W		
SuggestedR 400GBA	,	00GBASE-DR4-2			PROP	OSED A	ACCEPT.			
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W								
PROPO	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.								

C/ 124 SC 124.2

X 124 SC 124 Nicholl, Gary Image: Compare the second seco	.2 P 62							
-		L 29	# 96	C/ 124	SC 124.3.1	P 63	L 13	# 89
· · · · ·	Cisco System	ns		He, Xiang		Huawei		
Comment Type EI	R Comment Status D have" should be underlined		(bucket1)	Comment 7 Looks I		Comment Status D 34 bit times" should be "1638	4 bit times"	(bucket1
SuggestedRemedy Underline the spa	ice after "have"			Suggested Change	Remedy 16834 to 1638	4.		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status W			Proposed F PROPC	esponse SED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
C/ 124 SC 124	.2 P 62	L 40	# 126	C/ 124	SC 124.5.1	P 65	L 13	# 97
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Nicholl, Ga	У	Cisco System	S	
Comment Type TF	R Comment Status D		PCSL interleaving (CC)	Comment T	ype ER	Comment Status D		(bucket1
difference betwee precedent and ab	of defective transition density is fate on 2-way and 4-way RS-FEC inter- bandon unrestricted bit-multiplexing	eaving. If we are g, transition densi	going to break ty is the first thing to	400GB	ASE-DR4 trans	ion to update the title of Figur mit/receive paths" to "Block d nsmit/receive paths"		
	With 100G AUI lanes, the Tx silic are not mandating 50G/lane AUIs f			Suggested	Remedy			
after this problem	was discovered before 800G desi				the title of Figu	ire 124-2		
now. Let's say so).			from "Block	diagram for 400	GBASE-DR4 transmit/receive	e naths"	
SuggestedRemedy				to			pario	
	TE at the end of 120.5.2 concerning			"Block	diagram for 400	GBASE-DR4 or 400GBASE-I	DR4-2 transmit/	receive paths"
see NOTE at the	nominal signaling rate." to "For 400 end of 120.5.2 concerning the trar rate. For 800GBASE-DR8 and 80	nsition density of	lanes operating at this	Proposed F PROPC	esponse SED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
,	at unlike in 120, it is the transmit s	ide PCS and PM	A's responsibility to	C/ 124	SC 124.5.4	P 65	L 49	# 98
	e transition density, and give some	e recommendatio	ons.	Nicholl, Ga	у	Cisco System	S	
				Comment T	ype ER	Comment Status D		(bucket1
See other comme	Response Status W			Missing	comma after "4	400GBASE-DR4-2"		•
Proposed Response				-				
	IECT.			Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJ	IECT. e response to comment #166.			Suggestedl Add mi		ter " 400GBASE-DR4-2"		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 124 SC 124.5.4 Page 15 of 44 2022-11-29 3:46:52 PM

C/ 124 SC 124.7.1	_					
	P 68	L 44	# 99	C/ 124	SC 124.7.1	
Nicholl, Gary	Cisco Systems	5		Nicholl, Ga	ary	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		table format	Comment	Type TR	С
	for "Outer Optical Modulation A			Table	124-6. Footnote	"b" o
	E-DR4 is different from what we t is correct to add "for TDECQ <			Suggestea	lRemedy	
dependent on the valu	ie of TDECQ and is not flat acc				e footnote b to m one in Table 140	
SuggestedRemedy			14 40000405	Proposed		Re
I would suggest using DR in Table 140-6 of 8	the same fomat for 400GBASE 302.3cu.	-DR4 that was	s used for 100GBASE-	PROP	OSED ACCEPT nent proposed re	IN P
Proposed Response	Response Status W					emeu
PROPOSED REJECT		dene die en Second	the former	C/ 124	SC 124.7.2	
for 100GBASE-DR.	d to is not broken. It was consider	dered an impro	ovement to the format	Dawe, Pie	rs	
	D • •		"	Comment	Type TR	С
C/ 124 SC 124.7.1	P 68	L 47	# 100		nlikely case of de	
Nicholl, Gary	Cisco Systems	5			nce between 2-v exclude it for 80	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Suggestea		
	"Launch power in OMAouter m -DR4 and not to 800GBASE-DF		each lane (min)" only	00	ewhere: change	"See
SuggestedRemedy				of lane	es operating at the	his no
,	cordance with the comment to in		row only applies to	of lane DR4-2	, see NOTE at t	his no he en
400GBASE-DR\$ and	cordance with the comment to in not to 800GBASE-DR8. It shou	ndicate that is		of lane DR4-2 operat 173.4.1	, see NOTE at this nomin 2."	his no he en hal sig
Correct this row in acc 400GBASE-DR\$ and 10log10(Ceq)c (max)"	not to 800GBASE-DR8. It shou	ndicate that is		of lane DR4-2 operat 173.4. In 173	, see NOTE at this ing at this nomir 2." .4.2, say that un	nis no he en nal siç ılike ir
Correct this row in acc 400GBASE-DR\$ and 10log10(Ceq)c (max)" Proposed Response	not to 800GBASE-DR8. It shou row on line 52. <i>Response Status</i> W	ndicate that is		of lane DR4-2 operat 173.4. In 173 avoid t	, see NOTE at this ing at this nomin 2." .4.2, say that un the defective trans	his no he en nal sig Ilike ir nsitio
Correct this row in acc 400GBASE-DR\$ and 10log10(Ceq)c (max)" Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	not to 800GBASE-DR8. It shou row on line 52. <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE.	ndicate that is		of lane DR4-2 operat 173.4. In 173 avoid t Proposed	, see NOTE at thing at this nomin 2." .4.2, say that un the defective train Response	his no he en nal sig llike ir nsitio <i>Re</i>
Correct this row in acc 400GBASE-DR\$ and 10log10(Ceq)c (max)" Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Implement proposed r	not to 800GBASE-DR8. It shou row on line 52. <i>Response Status</i> W FIN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license.	ndicate that is Id look more li	ke the "TDECQ –	of lane DR4-2 operat 173.4. In 173 avoid t Proposed	, see NOTE at this ing at this nomin 2." .4.2, say that un the defective trans	his no he en nal sig llike ir nsitio <i>Re</i>
Correct this row in acc 400GBASE-DR\$ and 10log10(Ceq)c (max)" Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	not to 800GBASE-DR8. It shou row on line 52. <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE.	ndicate that is		of lane DR4-2 operat 173.4. In 173 avoid t Proposed PROP	, see NOTE at thing at this nomin 2." .4.2, say that un the defective train Response	his no he en hal sig like ir nsitio <i>Re</i>
Correct this row in acc 400GBASE-DR\$ and 10log10(Ceq)c (max)" Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Implement proposed r	not to 800GBASE-DR8. It shou row on line 52. <i>Response Status</i> W FIN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license.	ndicate that is Id look more lii L15	ke the "TDECQ –	of lane DR4-2 operat 173.4. In 173 avoid t Proposed PROP	, see NOTE at thing at this nomin 2." .4.2, say that un the defective trans <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT	his no he en hal sig like ir nsitio <i>Re</i>
Correct this row in acc 400GBASE-DR\$ and 10log10(Ceq)c (max)" Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Implement proposed r C/ 124 SC 124.7.1 Nicholl, Gary	not to 800GBASE-DR8. It shou row on line 52. <i>Response Status</i> W TIN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. <i>P</i> 69	ndicate that is Id look more lii L15	ke the "TDECQ –	of lane DR4-2 operat 173.4. In 173 avoid t Proposed PROP	, see NOTE at thing at this nomin 2." .4.2, say that un the defective trans <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT	his no he en hal sig like ir nsitio <i>Re</i>
Correct this row in acc 400GBASE-DR\$ and 10log10(Ceq)c (max)" Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Implement proposed r C/ 124 SC 124.7.1 Nicholl, Gary Comment Type ER Table 124-6. Why are	not to 800GBASE-DR8. It shou row on line 52. <i>Response Status</i> W F IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. <i>P</i> 69 Cisco Systems	Id look more li La look more li La 15	# 101 (bucket1) noot (max)", Transmitter	of lane DR4-2 operat 173.4. In 173 avoid t Proposed PROP	, see NOTE at thing at this nomin 2." .4.2, say that un the defective trans <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT	his no he en hal sig like ir nsitio <i>Re</i>
Correct this row in acc 400GBASE-DR\$ and 10log10(Ceq)c (max)" Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Implement proposed r C/ 124 SC 124.7.1 Nicholl, Gary Comment Type ER Table 124-6. Why are power excursion (max	not to 800GBASE-DR8. It shou row on line 52. <i>Response Status</i> W F IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. <i>P</i> 69 Cisco Systems <i>Comment Status</i> D e the rows "Transmitter oversho	Id look more li La look more li La 15	# 101 (bucket1) noot (max)", Transmitter	of lane DR4-2 operat 173.4. In 173 avoid t Proposed PROP	, see NOTE at thing at this nomin 2." .4.2, say that un the defective trans <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT	his no he en hal sig like ir nsitio <i>Re</i>
Correct this row in acc 400GBASE-DR\$ and 10log10(Ceq)c (max)" Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Implement proposed r Cl 124 SC 124.7.1 Nicholl, Gary Comment Type ER Table 124-6. Why are power excursion (max SuggestedRemedy	not to 800GBASE-DR8. It shou row on line 52. <i>Response Status</i> W F IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. <i>P</i> 69 Cisco Systems <i>Comment Status</i> D e the rows "Transmitter oversho	L 15 La look more li La look m	# <u>101</u> # <u>(bucket1)</u> noot (max)", Transmitter itallic ?	of lane DR4-2 operat 173.4. In 173 avoid t Proposed PROP	, see NOTE at thing at this nomin 2." .4.2, say that un the defective trans <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT	his no he en hal sig like ir nsitio <i>Re</i>

P 69 L 29 # 102 **Cisco Systems** Comment Status D (bucket1) only applies to 400GBASE-DR4 e it clear this footnote only applies to 400GBASE-DR4 (see what n 3cu as an example). Response Status W PRINCIPLE. edy with editorial license. P 70 L 36 # 127 Nvidia Comment Status D PCSL interleaving (CC) tive transition density is far more significant than the very modest and 4-way RS-FEC interleaving and we have the opportunity PMDs (see another comment). ee NOTE at the end of 120.5.2 concerning the transition density nominal signaling rate." to "For 400GBASE-DR4 and 400GBASEend of 120.5.2 concerning the transition density of lanes signaling rate. For 800GBASE-DR8 and 800GBASE-DR8-2, see in 120, it is the transmit side PCS and PMA's responsibility to ion density, and give some recommendations. Response Status W

se to comment #166.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/genera	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	

C/ 124 SC 124.7.2

	SC 124.7.2	P 71	L 29	# 103	C/ 124	SC 124.8.1	P 75	L 4	# 129
licholl, Gar	ſy	Cisco System	S		Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia		
omment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		table format	Comment 7	<i>уре</i> Е	Comment Status D		test pattern (CC)
		Receiver sensitivity (OMAout			800G s	crambled idle is	sn't in 119.2.4.9: different rat	e, different PCS	. See another comment.
	different than wh eit is technically	at was done for 100GBASE- correct	-DR in Table 14	0-7 in 3cu, and I am	Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedF					In Tabl	e 124-9, after 1	19.2.4.9, add "or 172.2.4.9"		
	-	3.4 dB" for the row for 400GI	BASE-DR4 to f	ollow the same format	Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
		BASE-DR in Table 140-7 in 8	,		PROPO	SED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W			Implerr	ent suggested	remedy with editorial license	;	
PROPC	SED REJECT.				C/ 124	SC 124.8.5	P 76	L 5	# 130
	mat commented GBASE-DR	to is not broken. It was cons	idered an impro	vement to the format	Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia		
	JDAJE-DR				Comment 7	ype E	Comment Status D		TX tes
124	SC 124.7.2	P 71	L 30	# 128			ASE-DR4-2 or 800GBASE-I		
awe, Piers	6	Nvidia					eets the requirements for 10		
comment T	ype E	Comment Status D		TECQ			return loss tolerance of 21.4 The cable plant is different		•
TDECQ	2				Suggested			(3 1 1 3 1 1
SuggestedF	Remedy				Change	-			
SECQ (as in 124.8.9.1),	three times					2 or 800GBASE-DR8-2 trans		using an optical channel
Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W				ets the require	ments for 100GBASE-FR1 in	า 140.7.5.2.	
	SED ACCEPT I				to The 40	OGBASE-DR4-2	2 or 800GBASE-DR8-2 trans	smitter is tested	using an optical channel
For para	ameter, Receive	r sensitivity (OMAouter), eac		nange first occurrence	The 40 with dis	persion and ins	sertion loss as for 100GBAS	E-FR1 in 140.7.5	5.2, and optical return
For para of TDE	ameter, Receive CQ to SECQ and	r sensitivity (OMAouter), eac I 2 further occurances to TE	CQ.	-	The 40 with dis loss at	persion and ins the maximum fo	ertion loss as for 100GBAS or optical return loss tolerand	E-FR1 in 140.7.5	5.2, and optical return
For para	ameter, Receive	r sensitivity (OMAouter), eac		hange first occurrence # 104	The 40 with dis loss at Proposed F	persion and ins the maximum fo Response	ertion loss as for 100GBAS or optical return loss tolerand <i>Response Status</i> W	E-FR1 in 140.7.5	5.2, and optical return
For para of TDE	ameter, Receive CQ to SECQ and SC 124.7.3	r sensitivity (OMAouter), eac l 2 further occurances to TEC P 72 Cisco System	CQ.	-	The 40 with dis loss at Proposed F PROPO	persion and ins the maximum f Response DSED ACCEPT	ertion loss as for 100GBAS or optical return loss tolerand <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE.	E-FR1 in 140.7.5 ce in Table 124-6	5.2, and optical return
For para of TDEC 124 licholl, Gar	ameter, Receive CQ to SECQ and SC 124.7.3 Ty type ER	r sensitivity (OMAouter), eac 2 further occurances to TE P 72 Cisco System Comment Status D	CQ. <i>L</i> 40 s	-	The 40 with dis loss at Proposed F PROPO Implem	persion and ins the maximum for Response DSED ACCEPT ent suggested	ertion loss as for 100GBAS or optical return loss tolerand <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license	E-FR1 in 140.7.5 ce in Table 124-6 e.	5.2, and optical return 6.
For para of TDEC 7 124 licholl, Gar comment T The cor	ameter, Receive CQ to SECQ and SC 124.7.3 Ty <i>type</i> ER nma after "400G	r sensitivity (OMAouter), eac l 2 further occurances to TEC P 72 Cisco System	CQ. <i>L</i> 40 s	# 104	The 40 with dis loss at Proposed F PROPO Implem Cl 124	persion and ins the maximum for Response DSED ACCEPT ent suggested SC 124.8.5a	ertion loss as for 100GBAS or optical return loss tolerand <i>Response Status</i> W TIN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license <i>P</i> 76	E-FR1 in 140.7.5 ce in Table 124-6	5.2, and optical return
For para of TDEC 2/ 124 Nicholl, Gar Comment T The cor SuggestedF	ameter, Receive CQ to SECQ and SC 124.7.3 Ty <i>type</i> ER nma after "400G Remedy	r sensitivity (OMAouter), eac 2 further occurances to TEC P 72 Cisco System Comment Status D BASE-DR4" should be unde	CQ. <i>L</i> 40 s	# 104	The 40 with dis loss at Proposed F PROPO Implem Cl 124 Opsasnick	persion and ins the maximum for Response OSED ACCEPT ent suggested SC 124.8.5a Eugene	sertion loss as for 100GBAS or optical return loss tolerand <i>Response Status</i> W TIN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license <i>P</i> 76 Broadcom	E-FR1 in 140.7.5 ce in Table 124-6 e.	5.2, and optical return 6. # <u>88</u>
For para of TDEC 7 124 Licholl, Gar <i>comment T</i> The cor	ameter, Receive CQ to SECQ and SC 124.7.3 Ty <i>type</i> ER nma after "400G Remedy	r sensitivity (OMAouter), eac 2 further occurances to TE P 72 Cisco System Comment Status D	CQ. <i>L</i> 40 s	# 104	The 40 with dis loss at Proposed F PROPO Implem Cl 124 Opsasnick Comment T	persion and ins the maximum for SED ACCEPT ent suggested SC 124.8.5a Eugene Type ER	ertion loss as for 100GBAS or optical return loss tolerand <i>Response Status</i> W TIN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license P76 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> D	E-FR1 in 140.7.5 ce in Table 124-6 e. <i>L</i> 15	5.2, and optical return 6. # <u>88</u> (bucket1)
For para of TDEC 124 icholl, Gar omment T The cor uggestedF Underlir	ameter, Receive CQ to SECQ and SC 124.7.3 Ty Type ER nma after "400G Remedy ne the comma af	r sensitivity (OMAouter), eac 2 further occurances to TEC P 72 Cisco System Comment Status D BASE-DR4" should be unde	CQ. <i>L</i> 40 s	# 104	The 40 with dis loss at Proposed F PROPO Implem Cl 124 Opsasnick Comment T In secc	persion and ins the maximum for SED ACCEPT ent suggested SC 124.8.5a Eugene Type ER nd line of parag	sertion loss as for 100GBAS or optical return loss tolerand <i>Response Status</i> W TIN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license <i>P</i> 76 Broadcom	E-FR1 in 140.7.5 ce in Table 124-6 e. <i>L</i> 15 nould probably be	5.2, and optical return 6. # <u>88</u> <i>(bucket1)</i> e "DR8". Same text
For para of TDEC / 124 icholl, Gar omment T The cor uggestedF Underlin roposed R	ameter, Receive CQ to SECQ and SC 124.7.3 Ty Type ER nma after "400G Remedy ne the comma af	r sensitivity (OMAouter), eac 2 further occurances to TEC P 72 Cisco System Comment Status D BASE-DR4" should be unde ter "400GBASE-DR4".	CQ. <i>L</i> 40 s	# 104	The 40 with dis loss at Proposed F PROPC Implem Cl 124 Opsasnick Comment T In secc appear	persion and ins the maximum for SED ACCEPT ent suggested SC 124.8.5a Eugene Type ER nd line of parages s on line 25 in 1	sertion loss as for 100GBAS for optical return loss tolerand <i>Response Status</i> W TIN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license P76 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> D graph, "800GBASE-DR4" sh	E-FR1 in 140.7.5 ce in Table 124-6 e. <i>L</i> 15 nould probably be	5.2, and optical return 6. # <u>88</u> <i>(bucket1)</i> e "DR8". Same text
For para of TDEC / 124 licholl, Gar omment T The cor uggestedF Underlin roposed R	ameter, Receive CQ to SECQ and SC 124.7.3 Ty type ER nma after "400G Remedy ne the comma af Response	r sensitivity (OMAouter), eac 2 further occurances to TEC P 72 Cisco System Comment Status D BASE-DR4" should be unde ter "400GBASE-DR4".	CQ. <i>L</i> 40 s	# 104	The 40 with dis loss at Proposed F PROPO Implem Cl 124 Opsasnick Comment T In secc appear Suggested	persion and ins the maximum for Response DSED ACCEPT ent suggested SC 124.8.5a Eugene Type ER nd line of parages s on line 25 in 1 Remedy	sertion loss as for 100GBAS for optical return loss tolerand <i>Response Status</i> W TIN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license P76 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> D graph, "800GBASE-DR4" sh	E-FR1 in 140.7.5 ce in Table 124-6 e. <i>L</i> 15 nould probably be ne 29, section 12	5.2, and optical return 6. # <u>88</u> <i>(bucket1)</i> e "DR8". Same text
For para of TDEC licholl, Gar comment T The cor cuggestedF Underlin Proposed R	ameter, Receive CQ to SECQ and SC 124.7.3 Ty type ER nma after "400G Remedy ne the comma af Response	r sensitivity (OMAouter), eac 2 further occurances to TEC P 72 Cisco System Comment Status D BASE-DR4" should be unde ter "400GBASE-DR4".	CQ. <i>L</i> 40 s	# 104	The 40 with dis loss at Proposed F PROPO Implem Cl 124 Opsasnick, Comment T In secc appear Suggested, Replac	persion and ins the maximum for SED ACCEPT ent suggested SC 124.8.5a Eugene Type ER nd line of parages s on line 25 in 1 Remedy e "800GBASE-I	sertion loss as for 100GBAS for optical return loss tolerand <i>Response Status</i> W TIN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license P76 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> D graph, "800GBASE-DR4" sh 24.8.5b, and on page 77, lir	E-FR1 in 140.7.5 ce in Table 124-6 e. <i>L</i> 15 nould probably be ne 29, section 12	5.2, and optical return 6. # <u>88</u> <i>(bucket1)</i> e "DR8". Same text
For para of TDEC / 124 licholl, Gar omment T The cor uggestedF Underlin roposed R	ameter, Receive CQ to SECQ and SC 124.7.3 Ty type ER nma after "400G Remedy ne the comma af Response	r sensitivity (OMAouter), eac 2 further occurances to TEC P 72 Cisco System Comment Status D BASE-DR4" should be unde ter "400GBASE-DR4".	CQ. <i>L</i> 40 s	# 104	The 40 with dis loss at Proposed F PROPO Implem Cl 124 Opsasnick Comment T In secc appear Suggested Replac	persion and ins the maximum for SED ACCEPT ent suggested SC 124.8.5a Eugene Type ER nd line of parages on line 25 in 1 Remedy e "800GBASE-I Response	sertion loss as for 100GBAS for optical return loss tolerand <i>Response Status</i> W TIN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license <i>P</i> 76 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> D graph, "800GBASE-DR4" sh 24.8.5b, and on page 77, lir	E-FR1 in 140.7.5 ce in Table 124-6 e. <i>L</i> 15 nould probably be ne 29, section 12	5.2, and optical return 6. # <u>88</u> <i>(bucket1)</i> e "DR8". Same text

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 124 SC 124.8.5a Page 17 of 44 2022-11-29 3:46:52 PM

SC 124.11.2.2

C/ 124	SC 124.8.5a	P 76	L 16	# 13	C/ 1
Dudek, M	ike	Marvell			Dav
Comment 800Gl		Comment Status D part of this specification		(bucket1)	Con
Suggestee Chang		-DR8 Also on line 25 and pa	age 77 line 29		0
	Response	Response Status W	0		Sug
-	POSED ACCEPT ge 800GBASE-DI				Prop
C/ 124	SC 124.11.1	P 79	L 20	# 131	
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia			CI 1
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		reflections	Dav
should	d not follow FR1,	are the same as for 100GBA as the optical return loss tole ances is different.			Con
Suggestee	dRemedy				Sug
		n loss as necessary to be co	nsistent with the	adopted optical return	
		e of discrete reflectances.			Prop
PROF	Response POSED ACCEPT				
	0 1	onse to comment #132.			CI 1
C/ 124	SC 124.11.1	P 79	L 20	# 105	Dud
Nicholl, G	ary	Cisco System	าร		Con
Comment	51	Comment Status D		reflections	
DR4-2	2/DR8-2 ? Don't	uld the optical return loss be they both use the same MPC ve been copied over from 10	connector. The	value of 25dB for DR4-	Sug
using	a different optica	I connector (LC versus MPO).		Pro
Suggestee	dRemedy				
This is	s more of a quest	ion for clarification.			
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			

we, Piers Nvidia Comment Status D nment Type E reflections It seems odd that the table of discrete reflectances above 55 dB for 800GBASE-DR8 in the baseline is not the same as the existing table for 400GBASE-DR4, but it is the same as 400GBASE-DR4-2 and 800GBASE-DR8-2. gestedRemedy Reconcile the tables for 400GBASE-DR4 and 800GBASE-DR8 posed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #132. SC 124.11.2.2 124 P 79 L 43 # 132 we, Piers Nvidia nment Type **T** Comment Status D reflections Part of the baselines is missing. Both baselines have a table of discrete reflectances above 55 dB aestedRemedv Add this (these) as a new column(s) in Table 124-9 posed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. A presentation will be provided for task force discussion. 124 SC 124.11.3.1 P 80 # 14 L 34 lek. Mike Marvell Comment Status D nment Type **T** (bucket1) The optical lane assignments are wrong in figure 124-6. gestedRemedy Change them to match Figure 124-6 in the base document. posed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Figure was intended to be the same as in in-force figure. Probably formatting problem. Check and update figure with editorial license

P 79

L 43

133

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #132.

C/ 124 SC 124.11.3.1 Page 18 of 44 2022-11-29 3:46:52 PM

C/ 124	SC 12	4.11.3.	1.1	P 80	L 32	# 94	C/ 124	SC	124.11.3	1.1	P 80	L 38	# 106
Wang, Had	ojie			China Mobile			Nicholl, Ga	ary			Cisco Syster	ns	
Comment	Туре І	ER	Commer	nt Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре	TR	Comm	ent Status D		(bucket1)
			figure 124 ost four pos	-6 is inconsistent sitions.	with the text at li	ne 27, which is	124 of	the pu	ublished v	ersion of th	ne 802.3 standard	. This would app	0R4 than is in Clause bear to make
Suggested	Remedy									ipatible wi	th the current publ	isned standard.	
Plot the	e four "R	x" at the	right-most	four positions.			Suggested			nmont in E	iguro 124 6 in 802	2df D1 0 to mot	ch the lane assignment
Proposed I	Response	e	Response	e Status W)2.3_D3p2			ch the lane assignment
-			IN PRINCIE	PLE. nment #14.			Proposed I			Respon	se Status W		
C/ 124	SC 12	24.11.3.	1.1	P 80	L 32	# 26	-			-	mment #14.		
Bruckman,	, Leon			Huawei			C/ 124	SC	124.11.3	.1.2	P 80	L 47	# 135
Comment	Type I	E	Commer	nt Status D		(bucket1)	Dawe, Pie	rs			Nvidia		
In figur	re 124-6 t	the labe	ls are all sq	ueezed together			Comment	Tvpe	TR	Comm	ent Status D		fiber connector (CC)
Suggested Spread		RX labe	s to the rig	ht position							fiber interface. Budy exist with 2 x 1		elch_3df_01a_220222, nnectors.
-	OSED AC	CCEPT					Suggested Chang		-	angled cor	inectors.		
Resolv	ve using t	he resp	onse to con	nment #14			Proposed I	Respon	nse	Respon	se Status W		
C/ 124	SC 12	24.11.3.	1.1	P 80	L 33	# 134	-			IN PRINC			
Dawe, Pier	rs			Nvidia							ndicated that only editor's note states		ors are being used and
Comment	Type I	E	Commer	nt Status D		(bucket1)					torial team for con		
TxTxT	xTxRxRxI	RxRx									paragraph with:	naional anasifia	ations for interface 7-4-
Suggested Should	<i>IRemedy</i> I look like	the bas	se doc				7: MP0 device	D adapt recept	tor interfa acle, ang	ice – Oppo led interfac	sed keyway confiç ce for 16 fibers, as	guration or interfa	ace 7-4-9: MPO active 31754-7-4. The plug
	OSED AC	CCEPT	, IN PRINCIF	e <i>Status</i> W PLE. nment #14.			4-1: M conneo angled	PO ferr ctor and end fa	nale plug, d MDI are icet, 16 fi	down-ang structural	led interface for 16 ly similar to those	6 fibers. The MP depicted in Figur	ifications of interface 7- O-16 female plug e 124–7, but with an leters and locations."

C/ 124 SC 124.11.3.1.2 Page 19 of 44 2022-11-29 3:46:52 PM

C/ 124	SC 124.11.3.	1.2 P 80	L 50	# 136	C/ 162	SC 162.1	P 85	L 8	# 39
Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia			Huber, To	m	Nokia		
Comment T	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		fiber connector (CC)	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
	the rightmost ei	nes occupy the leftmost eigh ght positions": as there are o			will be	more future-	ause (e.g. in 162.4), 800GAI proof toward the 200G/lane		
					Suggested	-			
Suggested		it optical lanes occupy the e	ight positions or	the left. The receive	Chang	e 800GAUI-8	3 to 800GAUI-n.		
		e eight positions on the right			Proposed	Response OSED ACCE	Response Status W		
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W			PROP	USED ACCE	:P1.		
-	OSED REJECT.				C/ 162	SC 162.7	P 89	L 24	# 74
The pro position		do not improve the accurac	y or clarity of the	e draft. There are 16	Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel Cor	poration	
C/ 124	SC 124.11.3.	3 <i>P</i> 81	L 29	# 15	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
		Marvell	L 29	# 15			new sub-note "a", the rest of		
Dudek, Mik				(h			lexed. (i.e. 'a' becomes 'b', ' the relevant strikeout text	D Decomes C). HC	wever, the new notes b
Comment T	• •	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Suggested				
	be plural					t as necessa	rv		
Suggested	,				Proposed		Response Status W		
800GB	e "800GBASE-D ASE-DR8-2 has ASE-DR8-2 hav	to "800GBASE-DR8 and			, PROP	, OSED ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPLE.	rameMaker and car	anot he struck out
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W			Chang	e the editoria	I instruction from		
,	DSED ACCEPT.	,			"Chan	ge Table 162	-5, Table 162-6, and Table	162–7 as follows:"	
					to "Chan	oe Table 162	-5, Table 162-6, and Table	162–7. including fo	otnotes, as follows:"
C/ 162	SC 162.1	P 84	L 35	# 73	C/ 162	SC 162.7		L 49	# 75
Lusted, Ke		Intel Corpora	ition						# 15
Comment T		Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Lusted, Ke		Intel Cor	oration	(huslast)
	e 162-3a, the rig ASE-CR8.	htmost column heading is in	ncorrect as the ta	able refers to	Comment		Comment Status D	f the sub notes from	(bucket1) the table 162.6 in
Suggested							lexed. (i.e. 'a' becomes 'b', '		
	-	nn heading to "800GBASE-			and 'c'	do not have	the relevant strikeout text	,	,
•	-	-	CKO		Suggested	lRemedy			
Proposed F		Response Status W			Correc	t as necessa	iry		
PROPO	OSED ACCEPT.				Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
					-		PT IN PRINCIPLE.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/162COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawnSC162.7SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 2 2022-1

Page 20 of 44 2022-11-29 3:46:52 PM

C/ 162	SC 162.8.1	P 91	L 22	# 84
Opsasnick	k, Eugene	Broadco	om	
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status D	1	(bucket1)
	-middle of Figure d probably be "-C	162-2, the added text r R8".	eads "800GBASE-(CR4 8x", but "-CR4"
Suggested	dRemedy			
Repla	ce "800GBASE-C	CR4 8x" with "800GBAS	E-CR8 8x".	
Proposed	Response	Response Status N	1	
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.			
C/ 162	SC 162.8.11.	1 P 92	L 8	# 137
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		PRBS seed
	ate of the PRBS g 3-bit seed, it conta		a value in the varia	able - eh? If the variable
Suggested	dRemedy			
Rewrit	te for clarity			
Proposed PROP	Response OSED REJECT.			ause 136: "At the start of
Proposed PROP The te the tra text pr The su	Response COSED REJECT. ext referred to by ining pattern, the rovides sufficient uggested remedy	the comment is based of state of the PRBS gen information for correct i does not provide suffic	on existing text in cl erator shall be set t mplementation the cient detail to impler	ment.
Proposed PROP The te the tra text pr The su C/ 162	Response OSED REJECT. ext referred to by ining pattern, the ovides sufficient uggested remedy SC 162.8.11.	the comment is based of e state of the PRBS gen information for correct is does not provide suffic 1 P92	on existing text in cl erator shall be set t mplementation the	to the value seed_i". This PMD control function.
Proposed PROP The te the tra text pr The su Cl 162 Dawe, Pie	Response POSED REJECT. ext referred to by ining pattern, the rovides sufficient aggested remedy SC 162.8.11.	the comment is based of e state of the PRBS gen information for correct i does not provide suffic 1 P92 Nvidia	on existing text in cl lerator shall be set t mplementation the cient detail to impler	to the value seed_i". This PMD control function. ment. # 138
Proposed PROP The te the tra text pr The su Cl 162 Dawe, Pie Comment The va the va	Response POSED REJECT. Ext referred to by ining pattern, the ovides sufficient aggested remedy SC 162.8.11. SC 162.8.11. Type T ariable seed_i is n	the comment is based of e state of the PRBS gen information for correct i does not provide suffic 1 P92 Nvidia Comment Status D not defined. 136.8.11.	on existing text in cl lerator shall be set t mplementation the cient detail to impler <i>L</i> 9 1.3 says "The defau	to the value seed_i". This PMD control function. nent.
Proposed PROP The te the tra text pr The su Cl 162 Dawe, Pie Comment The va the va	Response POSED REJECT. Ext referred to by ining pattern, the ovides sufficient uggested remedy SC 162.8.11. ers Type T ariable seed_i is n lue given in Table hould?	the comment is based of e state of the PRBS gen information for correct i does not provide suffic 1 P92 Nvidia Comment Status D not defined. 136.8.11.	on existing text in cl lerator shall be set t mplementation the cient detail to impler <i>L</i> 9 1.3 says "The defau	to the value seed_i". This PMD control function. ment. # 138 PRBS seed ult value of seed_i shall be
Proposed PROP The te the tra text pr The su C/ 162 Dawe, Pie Comment The va the va they s Suggested	Response POSED REJECT. Ext referred to by ining pattern, the rovides sufficient uggested remedy SC 162.8.11. ers Type T ariable seed_i is not lue given in Table hould? IRemedy	the comment is based of e state of the PRBS gen information for correct i does not provide suffic 1 P92 Nvidia Comment Status D not defined. 136.8.11.	on existing text in cl lerator shall be set t mplementation the cient detail to impler <i>L</i> 9 1.3 says "The defau either p nor Table 1	to the value seed_i". This PMD control function. ment. # <u>138</u> <i>PRBS seed</i> ult value of seed_i shall be 36-8 apply here. Maybe
Proposed PROP The te the tra text pr The su Cl 162 Dawe, Pie Comment The va the va they s Suggested If the s	Response POSED REJECT. Ext referred to by ining pattern, the rovides sufficient uggested remedy SC 162.8.11. ers Type T ariable seed_i is not lue given in Table hould? IRemedy	the comment is based of e state of the PRBS gen information for correct is does not provide suffic 1 $P92$ Nvidia Comment Status D not defined. 136.8.11.1 e 136-8 for p = I," but no	on existing text in cl erator shall be set to mplementation the cient detail to impler <i>L</i> 9 1.3 says "The defau either p nor Table 1 Its for seed_i, say s	to the value seed_i". This PMD control function. ment. # <u>138</u> <i>PRBS seed</i> ult value of seed_i shall be 36-8 apply here. Maybe

C/ 162	SC 162.8.11.1	P 92	L 29	# 139
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status D		PRBS seed

Dedault seeds 4 to 7 are different to seeds 0 to 3, contrary to the ETC 800G spec. No implementation can follow the ETC spec AND this draft (because the default seeds differ) but there is no benefit in the difference.

We have written generations of PMD and AUI clauses that use the same pattern on multiple lanes, but they should be skewed, e.g. 120G.3.2.2: "For the case where PRBS13Q or PRBS31Q are used with a common clock, there is at least 31 UI delay between the patterns on one lane and any other lane, so that the symbols on each lane are not correlated." The training frame is 98.3% PRBS13Q. In principle, one could incur the risk warned against with a lane carrying "identifier_i" = 0 and an adjacent lane carrying "identifier_i" = 0 and an adjacent lane carrying "identifier_i" = 4, with an unlucky timing offset between lanes. As "The PMD shall implement one instance of the PMD control function described in 136.8.11 for each lane", the state machine for each lane can be started and restarted asynchronous to adjacent lanes, so starting the training pattern with a different seed won't solve the issue.

SuggestedRemedy

1. Make the default seeds in Table 162-10a the same as in the ETC spec (seeds 4 to 7 are the same as seeds 0 to 3).

2. ETC say "it is recommended to ensure that physically adjacent lanes do not use the same polynomial". Recommend this.

4. Also, point out that significant correlation between any lanes can be avoided by a combination of seed and timing offset. Leave it to the implementer to choose how to do this.

pposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Aligning an IEEE standard with a previously published document may be preferable where possible, but it is not always done.

The default seed values were explicitly set by the adopted baseline proposal

https://www.ieee802.org/3/df/public/22_09/lusted_3df_01a_2209.pdf, which included a detailed description, and was approved by unanimous consent.

The seed values are not normative, and using non-default values is permitted, so there is no compliance concern.

The content of item 2 and 4 of the suggested remedy is covered by text in 45.2.1.168 ("should" is a recommendation).

C/ 162	SC 162.9.4	P 93	L 17	# 140
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		rate range
		8 PMD or for a 100GBASE- package as the PCS sublaye		
Suggested	dRemedy			
At leas	st put a comma a	fter "CR8 PMD". Also in 163	3.9.2.	
Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
The te	avt intentionally di	stinguishes between 800GA	III-8 for which th	e rance is alwavs ⊥/-
		interfaces, for which it is cor		
Thorof	fore the suggeste	d remedy would not be corre	act However the	text can be clarified
merer	iore the suggeste			text can be claimed.
		e the first sentence in footnot		
		200GBASE-CR2, or 400GBA		ith a PMA in the same
		200GBASE-CR2, or 400GB/ Iblayer or for any 800GBASI		ith a PMA in the same
packa In Tab	ge as the PCS su ble 163-5 change	blayer or for any 800GBASE	E-CR8 PMD." e a to the followin	g:
packa In Tab "For 1	ge as the PCS su ble 163-5 change 00GBASE-KR1, 2	Iblayer or for any 800GBASI the first sentence in footnote 200GBASE-KR2, or 400GBA	E-CR8 PMD." e a to the followin ASE-KR4 PMD w	g:
packa In Tab "For 1 packa	ge as the PCS su ble 163-5 change 00GBASE-KR1, 2 ge as the PCS su	Iblayer or for any 800GBASE the first sentence in footnote 200GBASE-KR2, or 400GBA Iblayer or for any 800GBASE	E-CR8 PMD." e a to the followin ASE-KR4 PMD w	g:
packa In Tab "For 1 packa	ge as the PCS su ble 163-5 change 00GBASE-KR1, 2	Iblayer or for any 800GBASE the first sentence in footnote 200GBASE-KR2, or 400GBA Iblayer or for any 800GBASE	E-CR8 PMD." e a to the followin ASE-KR4 PMD w	g:
packa In Tab "For 1 packa	ge as the PCS su ble 163-5 change 00GBASE-KR1, 2 ge as the PCS su	Iblayer or for any 800GBASE the first sentence in footnote 200GBASE-KR2, or 400GBA Iblayer or for any 800GBASE	E-CR8 PMD." e a to the followin ASE-KR4 PMD w	g:
packa In Tab "For 1 packa Resolv	ge as the PCS suble 163-5 change 00GBASE-KR1, 2 ge as the PCS suble ve with comment SC 162.9.5	Iblayer or for any 800GBASI the first sentence in footnote 200GBASE-KR2, or 400GBA Iblayer or for any 800GBASI #50.	E-CR8 PMD." e a to the followin ASE-KR4 PMD w E-KR8 PMD."	g: ith a PMA in the same
packa In Tab "For 1 packa Resolv C/ 162	ge as the PCS suble 163-5 change 00GBASE-KR1, 2 ge as the PCS suble ve with comment SC 162.9.5	ablayer or for any 800GBASE the first sentence in footnote 200GBASE-KR2, or 400GBA ablayer or for any 800GBASE #50.	E-CR8 PMD." e a to the followin ASE-KR4 PMD w E-KR8 PMD."	g: ith a PMA in the same # <mark>141</mark>
packa In Tab "For 1 packa Resolv C/ 162 Dawe, Pie Comment This te	ge as the PCS su ble 163-5 change 00GBASE-KR1, 2 ge as the PCS su ve with comment SC 162.9.5 ers Type E ext is an informati	Iblayer or for any 800GBASE the first sentence in footnote 200GBASE-KR2, or 400GBA Iblayer or for any 800GBASE #50. P 93 Nvidia Comment Status D ve NOTE in the standard in	E-CR8 PMD." a a to the followin ASE-KR4 PMD w E-KR8 PMD." <i>L</i> 36 force, as below.	g: ith a PMA in the same # <u>141</u> <i>(bucket1)</i> While I can see the
packa In Tab "For 1 packa Resolv C/ 162 Dawe, Pie Comment This te reasor	ge as the PCS su ble 163-5 change 00GBASE-KR1, 2 ge as the PCS su ve with comment SC 162.9.5 ers Type E ext is an informati n to make it norm	Iblayer or for any 800GBASE the first sentence in footnote 200GBASE-KR2, or 400GBA Iblayer or for any 800GBASE #50. P 93 Nvidia Comment Status D ve NOTE in the standard in ative for the transmitter, for the	E-CR8 PMD." a a to the followin ASE-KR4 PMD w E-KR8 PMD." <i>L</i> 36 force, as below. the receiver this i	g: ith a PMA in the same # <u>141</u> <i>(bucket1)</i> While I can see the nformation about
packa In Tab "For 1: packa Resolv C/ 162 Dawe, Pie Comment This te reasor tranns	ge as the PCS su ole 163-5 change 00GBASE-KR1, 2 ge as the PCS su ve with comment SC 162.9.5 ers Type E ext is an informati n to make it norm smitter behavoiur	Iblayer or for any 800GBASE the first sentence in footnote 200GBASE-KR2, or 400GBA Iblayer or for any 800GBASE #50. P 93 Nvidia Comment Status D ve NOTE in the standard in	E-CR8 PMD." a a to the followin ASE-KR4 PMD w E-KR8 PMD." <i>L</i> 36 force, as below. the receiver this i	g: ith a PMA in the same # <u>141</u> <i>(bucket1)</i> While I can see the nformation about
packa In Tab "For 1 packa Resolu C/ 162 Dawe, Pie Comment This te reasor tranns	ge as the PCS suble 163-5 change 00GBASE-KR1, 2 ge as the PCS suble 162 subl	Iblayer or for any 800GBASE the first sentence in footnote 200GBASE-KR2, or 400GBA Iblayer or for any 800GBASE #50. P 93 Nvidia Comment Status D ve NOTE in the standard in ative for the transmitter, for the is explanation, not somethin	E-CR8 PMD." a a to the followin ASE-KR4 PMD w E-KR8 PMD." <i>L</i> 36 force, as below. the receiver this i g the receiver do	g: ith a PMA in the same # <u>141</u> (<i>bucket1</i>) While I can see the nformation about es.
packa In Tab "For 1 packa Resolu C/ 162 Dawe, Pie Comment This te reasor tranns	ge as the PCS su ole 163-5 change 00GBASE-KR1, 2 ge as the PCS su ve with comment SC 162.9.5 ers Type E ext is an informati n to make it norm smitter behavoiur dRemedy ge it from a norma	Iblayer or for any 800GBASE the first sentence in footnote 200GBASE-KR2, or 400GBA Iblayer or for any 800GBASE #50. P 93 Nvidia Comment Status D ve NOTE in the standard in ative for the transmitter, for the	E-CR8 PMD." a a to the followin ASE-KR4 PMD w E-KR8 PMD." <i>L</i> 36 force, as below. the receiver this i g the receiver do	g: ith a PMA in the same # <u>141</u> (<i>bucket1</i>) While I can see the nformation about es.
packa In Tab "For 11 packa Resolv C/ 162 Dawe, Pie Comment This te reasor tranns Suggested Chang 163.9.	ge as the PCS su ole 163-5 change 00GBASE-KR1, 2 ge as the PCS su ve with comment SC 162.9.5 ers Type E ext is an informati n to make it norm smitter behavoiur dRemedy ge it from a norma	Iblayer or for any 800GBASE the first sentence in footnote 200GBASE-KR2, or 400GBA Iblayer or for any 800GBASE #50. P 93 Nvidia Comment Status D ve NOTE in the standard in ative for the transmitter, for the is explanation, not somethin	E-CR8 PMD." a a to the followin ASE-KR4 PMD w E-KR8 PMD." <i>L</i> 36 force, as below. the receiver this i g the receiver do	g: ith a PMA in the same # <u>141</u> (<i>bucket1</i>) While I can see the nformation about es.
packa In Tab "For 1 packa Resolv C/ 162 Dawe, Pie Comment This te reasor tranns Suggested Chang 163.9.	ge as the PCS suble 163-5 change 00GBASE-KR1, 2 ge as the PCS suble PCS suble ve with comment SC 162.9.5 ers Type E ext is an information to make it norm smitter behavoiur in dRemedy ge it from a normation 3.	Iblayer or for any 800GBASE the first sentence in footnote 200GBASE-KR2, or 400GBA Iblayer or for any 800GBASE #50. P 93 Nvidia Comment Status D ve NOTE in the standard in ative for the transmitter, for t is explanation, not somethin ative table footnote to an info Response Status W	E-CR8 PMD." a a to the followin ASE-KR4 PMD w E-KR8 PMD." <i>L</i> 36 force, as below. the receiver this i g the receiver do	g: ith a PMA in the same # <u>141</u> <i>(bucket1)</i> While I can see the nformation about es.

C/ 162	SC 162.11	P 94	L 51	# 20
Dudek, Mi	ke	Marvell		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status)	(bucket1)
There	are 4 cable asse	embly types		
Suggested	Remedy			
Chang	ge "three" to "fou	r"		
Proposed	Response	Response Status	N	
PROP	OSED ACCEPT			
C/ 162	SC 162.13	P 96	L 4	# 77
Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel Co	orporation	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status)	(bucket1)
PICS.	'	62.13 is the environmer clause is missing from ise 162.13.	•	
Suggested	Remedy			
Fix ed	iting instruction of	on p96, line 1 to referen	ce the heading of 162	.14
Correc	ct the sub clause	number for the PICS to	o 162.14 in the title an	d the sub clauses.
Update	e all editing instr	uctions as required.		
Impler	ment with editoria	al license		
•	Response	Response Status	N	

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 162 SC 162.13

C/ 162	SC 162.13	P 105	L 4	# 79	C/ 162B SC 162B	P 215	L 11	# 83
Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel Corporat	ion		Lusted, Kent	Intel Corpora	tion	
Comment		Comment Status D		Withdrawn	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1
		63.13 is the environmental sp clause is missing from the d			The title of Annex 162	2B is missing "C2M" after the 8	300GAUI-8 entry	
	ecame sub clau	0	rait and creates		SuggestedRemedy			
Suggested	IRemedy				Add "C2M" after 8000	GAUI-8		
		on p105, line 1 to reference th	e heading of 16	3.14	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W		
Correc	t the sub clause	number for the PICS to 163.	14 in the title ar	d the sub clauses.		D (00		
Update	e all editing instru	uctions as required.			C/ 163 SC 163.3	P 100	L 27	# 78
•	0	·			Lusted, Kent	Intel Corpora	tion	
•	nent with editoria				Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		(bucket1
Proposed I PROP	<i>Response</i> OSED REJECT.	Response Status Z			l ext references "CR" backplanes.	PMD types in the PMD servic	e interfaces for (Clause 163, which is for
T 1.1			-		SuggestedRemedy			
	omment was WI	THDRAWN by the commente	r.		Change "100GBASE 200GBASE-KR2, and	CR1, 200GBASE-CR2, 400G	BASE-CR4" to "	100GBASE-KR1,
C/ 162	SC 162.13.3	P 97	L 21	# 76	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel Corporat	ion		PROPOSED ACCEP	,		
Comment		Comment Status D		(bucket1)		ne KR* service interfaces are i	dentical to those	of CR*. The addition of
Row er	ntry for PMA800	has incorrect status value of	"CR4:M". It sh	ould be "CR8:M"	"KR8" was erroneous			
Suggested	lRemedy					sponse to comment #22.		
Chang	e to "CR8:M"				C/ 163 SC 163.3	P 100	L 27	# 85
Proposed I	Response	Response Status W			Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT				Comment Type ER	Comment Status D		(bucket1
C/ 162B	SC 162B	P 215	L11	# 51	At end of first line of probably be "-KR8"	paragraph, 800GBASE-KR4 (v	raps to line 28),	"-KR4" should
Huber, Tor	-	Nokia			, ,			
Comment		Comment Status D		(bucket1)	SuggestedRemedy	-KR4" with "800GBASE-KR8"	and use non-bre	aking hyphen
		M' for 800GAUI-8		(10001017)	Proposed Response			
Suggested	•				PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W		
00	2M' to the end o	f the title			FROF USED AUGEP	1.		
Proposed I		Response Status W						
	OSED ACCEPT.							

C/ 163 SC 163.3

C/ 163 SC 163.3	P 100	L 28	# 21	C/ 167 SC 167.5.1	P 111	L 7	# 142
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
Should be 800GASE-	KR8 not KR4				00G before 100G and 200G	not the usual or	der (slow MAC to fast
SuggestedRemedy				MAC).			
fix it.				SuggestedRemedy	100GBASE-VR1 and 100G		auivelent to Figure 167
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W T.			2, but for one lane per SR2 are equivalent to l	direction. The block diagram Figure 167-2, but for two land 00GBASE-SR8 are equivale	s for 200GBASE	-VR2 and 200GBASE- The block diagrams for
C/ 163 SC 163.3	P 100	L 29	# 22	per direction.		U	ý č
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			or The block diagrams for	100GBASE-VR1 and 100G	BASE-SR1. for 2	200GBASE-VR2 and
Comment Type T should be 800GBASE	Comment Status D E-CR8 not KR8		(bucket1)	200GBASE-SR2, and f	or 800GBASE-VR8 and 800 and eight lanes per direction	GBASE-SR8 are	
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Change it.				PROPOSED ACCEPT	-	aroph in 167 E 1	with the following:"
Proposed Response	Response Status W				tion to "Replace the first para block diagram for 400GBAS		
PROPOSED ACCEP	T.				ck diagrams for 100GBASE- 7-2, but for one lane per dire		
C/ 167 SC 167.2	P 110	L 23	# 23	200GBASE-VR2 and 2	00GBASE-SR2 are equivale agrams for 800GBASE-VR8	ent to Figure 167-	-2, but for two lanes per
Dudek, Mike	Marvell				ght lanes per direction."		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	C/ 167 SC 167.7.1	P 114	L 10	# 192
"have" should be "has	s" ("or" makes it singular)			Nicholl, Gary	Cisco Syster	ns	
				Comment Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
,							(
change it.					of the PMDs in the 'Signalir	ng rate" row is dif	()
Proposed Response	Response Status W			done in Clause 124.	of the PMDs in the 'Signalir	ng rate" row is dif	()
change it. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.			done in Clause 124. SuggestedRemedy	-	-	ferent from what was
change it. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	•			done in Clause 124. SuggestedRemedy	ne data in this row to put the	-	ferent from what was
change it. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.			done in Clause 124. SuggestedRemedy Proposing to reorder th PMDs first, i.e. "Other PMDs"	ne data in this row to put the	-	ferent from what was

C/ 167 SC 167.7.1

-									
C/ 167	SC 167.7.2	P 115	L 12	# 193	C/ 167	SC 167.8.6	P 118	L 9	# 144
Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco System	IS		Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
		f the PMDs in the 'Signaling	g rate" row is dif	ferent from what was	Font p	roblem			
	n Clause 124.				Suggested	Remedy			
Suggested	,								
	sing to reorder the first. i.e.	data in this row to put the l	lower speed and	l lower lane count	Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
	PMDs"				PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
"800GI	BASE-VR8, 800GE	BASE-SR8 PMDs"			Resolv	ve using the resp	conse to comment #194.		
Proposed I		Response Status W			C/ 167	SC 167.10.3	P 122	L 8	# 145
-	OSED ACCEPT IN	-			Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Chang	e the order and as	sociated parameters as pro	pposea.		Comment		Comment Status D		fiber connector (CC
C/ 167	SC 167.8.1	P 117	L 4	# 143		51	0GBASE-SR8 were defined	but we should o	()
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia					nect 8-lane MMF modules.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		test pattern (CC)	Suggested	Remedy			
In Tabl		terns, need a new referenc	e for scrambled	l idle. See another	Check it.	if Option B, 16-	fiber interface, has traction in	n the industry.	f it doesn't, don't include
Suggested	Remedy				Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
Chang	e "82.2.11 and 91,	or 119.2.4.9" to "82.2.11 a	nd 91, or 119.2	4.9, or 172.2.4.9"	PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
Proposed I	Response	Response Status W			Resolv	ve using the resp	conse to comment #146.		
	OSED ACCEPT IN nent suggested rer	I PRINCIPLE. nedy with editorial license.							
C/ 167	SC 167.8.6	P 118	L 6	# 194					
Nicholl, Ga	ary	Cisco System	IS						
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)					
editing	instruction is "cha	or the text in the "PMD Type nge this table", but then no buld have been "Replace Ta	underline or str	ickthrough. Perhaps					
Suggested	-			-					
Chang	e the font in the "	PMD Type" column to use blace Table 167-12 with the		ble font and updte the					
Proposed I	Response	Response Status W	-						
, PROP Correc	, OSED ACCEPT IN	,	correct, underlir	ne new text and correct					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 167 SC 167.10.3

C/ 167	SC 167.10.3	P 122	L 49	# 146
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	rpe TR	Comment Status D		fiber connector (CC)

This says "While there has not been an adopted baseline for a 16-lane MDI the language in 167.10.3.4 (below) from 400GBASE-SR8 is a good starting point". This material was explicitly EXCLUDED from the baseline murty_3df_01a_220315.pdf "MDI and lane assignments for eight lane MMF links will be taken up in subsequent meetings." It's not as simple as just copy 400GBASE-SR8 because the industry has chosen angled connectors for 8x100G MMF.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the 2-row x12 angled connector. If appropriate, add the x16 angled connector. If appropriate, delete the one or both "flat" (non-angled) connectors. The text might be like this (references need checking):

The MDI adapter or receptacle shall meet the dimensional specifications for either interface 7-2-3: MPO adapter interface - opposed keyway configuration or interface 7-2-9: MPO active device receptacle, angled interface, as defined in IEC 61754-7-1. The plug terminating the optical fiber cabling shall meet the dimensional specifications of interface 7-2-1: MPO female plug connector, down-angled interface for 2 to 24 fibres, as defined in IEC 61754-7-1.

The MDI connection shall meet the interface performance specifications of IEC 63267-1 for performance grade ${\rm Bm}/{\rm 1m.2}$

IEC 63267-1 with performance grade 1m specification is available as a Pre-Release Version (PRV) Final Draft International Standard (FDIS); final published version of this specification is expected to be available in 2023.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Members of the task force have indicated that both 16 and 24 fiber connectors are being used and the 16 fiber connectors are angled and the 24 fiber connectors are flat. The editor's note states that this addition connection information was added by the editorial team for completeness.

Replace the existing text in 167.10.3.4 with

"The MDI shall optically mate with the compatible plug on the optical fiber cabling. 800GBASE-VR8 and 800GBASE-SR8 have two optical lane assignment options (see 167.10.3.1a).

For option A, the MDI adapter or receptacle shall meet the dimensional specifications for interface 7-2-3: MPO adapter interface - opposed keyway configuration or interface 7-2-10: MPO active device receptacle, flat interface, as defined in IEC 61754-7-2. The plug terminating the optical fiber cabling shall meet the dimensional specifications of interface 7-2-4: MPO female plug connector, flat interface for 16 to 24 fibers, as defined in IEC 61754-7-2. The MPO female plug connector and MDI are structurally similar to those depicted in Figure 167-9, but with two rows of fibers. The MDI connection shall meet the interface grade Bm/2m.

For option B, the MDI adapter or receptacle shall meet the dimensional specifications for interface 7-4-7: MPO adaptor interface – Opposed keyway configuration or interface 7-4-9:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

MPO active device receptacle, angled interface for 16 fibers, as defined in IEC 61754-7-4. The plug terminating the optical fiber cabling shall meet the dimensional specifications of interface 7-4-1: MPO female plug, down-angled interface for 16 fibers. The MPO female plug connector and MDI are structurally similar to those depicted in Figure 167-9, but with 16 fibers, an offset keyway, and with different pin diameter and locations. The MDI connection shall meet the interface performance specifications of IEC 63267-1 for performance grade Bm/1m."

C/ 169	SC 169.1.2	P 127	L 36	# 40
Huber, Tor	n	Nokia		
Comment 7	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)

The dashed lines between the OSI layers and the Ethernet layers are not in the correct locations.

SuggestedRemedy

Align the upper two dashed lines with the boundaries of the data link layer in the OSI model.

Proposed Response	Response Status	w	
PROPOSED ACCEPT.			

C/ 169	SC 1	69.1.2	P 1:	28	L 4	# 41
Huber, Tor	n		Nokia	l		
Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)
Singul	or/olurol	disaaroo	mont in itom a)			

Singular/plural disagreement in item a)

SuggestedRemedy

Change "when implemented as logical interconnection points" to "when implemented as a logical interconnection point"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl	169
SC	169.1.2

C/ 169	SC 169.2.4	P 130	L 33	# 147
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status D		PMA description

Wow, this is too mean with the information. Compare 116.2.4: the equivalent of this is missing: "The 200GBASE-R and 400GBASE-R PMAs perform the mapping of transmit and receive data streams between the PCS and PMA via the PMA service interface, and the mapping and multiplexing of transmit and receive data streams between the PMA and PMD via the PMD service interface. In addition, the PMA performs retiming of the received data stream when appropriate, optionally provides data loopback at the PMA or PMD service interface, and optionally provides test pattern generation and checking."

SuggestedRemedy

At least say that a PMA connects the PCS and PMA via the PMA service interface, and the PMA and PMD via the PMD service interface, and that there can be more than one PMA (in series) for one MAC. It performs retiming of the received data stream when appropriate. There are optional defined physical instantiations called AUIs.

And/or, at line 35, add "and a summary of its functions is given in 173.1.3".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The description provided in Clause 116 was overly verbose with repeated details that are listed in the reference PMA clause. The PMA description in Clause 169 provides the general function of a PMA with similar detail provided in the other sublayer descriptions and references the relevant PMA subclauses where the reader may find all of the details relevant to each PMA type.

C/ 169	SC 169.2.5	P 130	L 50	# 148
Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia		
Comment 7	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		AN

Is a "linked device" defined or explained anywhere"? The definition and use of "link" is a delicate area.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "linked". In the next line, change "the link" to "a link".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The language in this paragraph is consistent with similar subclause 80.2.6 (802.3-2022) and 116.2.5a (802.3ck-2022). However, the term "linked device" rather than just "device" does not seem to provide any useful information. However, the other device is the one on the same link as the local device so "the link" rather than "a link" is correct. Change "linked device" to "link".

[Editor's note: Page changed from 130 to 131.]

C/ 169	SC 169.3.1	P 132	L 21	# 149
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		figure lanes

In Figure 116-2, multiple lanes are shown explicitly: PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0.request PMA:IS_UNITDATA_1.request ... PMA:IS_UNITDATA_7.request

SuggestedRemedy

As a compromise, follow e.g. Figure 120G-2; add the short diagonal lines "n" to show n lanes, not n requests on one lane with a constant ordering. Several figures, including Fig 172-2 where showing the numbers, 16 and 32, will be helpful.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

A single line with an SI parameter with vector notation clearly conveys the fact that there are multiple lanes 0 to n-1. This approach is used to reduce the clutter compared to similar diagrams in Clause 116. This approach is used consistently in various figures in 802.3df. The proposed changes do not improve the accuracy or clarity of the draft.

C/ 169	SC 169.3.2	P 133	L 45	# 58
Slavick, Jo	eff	Broadco	m	
Comment 800G/	51	Comment Status D in the list of acronyms f	or Figure 169-3	(bucket1)
Suggested Add 8		of acronyms in Figure 16	69-3	
•	Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
C/ 169	SC 169.5	P 134	L 53	# 150
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
(or rel	ative delay) can b	elative delay) can be intr le introduced between P es don't get skewed.		

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "PCS", once.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Skew is constrained for each sublayer to limit the net skew between PCS lanes so that the cumulative skew between PCS lanes does not exceed the ability of the specified PCS deskew function.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 169	Page 27 of 44
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 169.5	2022-11-29 3:46:52 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

C/ 169 SC 169.5	P 136	L 10	# 80	C/ 169	SC 169.6	P 138	L 49	# 7
Lusted, Kent	Intel Corporat	ion		Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type ER Com Figure 169-4 variable "q" shou figure	<i>ment Status</i> D Id be italics like 'n' and	d 'p'. Both in mic	<i>(bucket1)</i> ddle and bottom of			Comment Status D is defined as optional in 116 in clause 116.	6.6. Assuming it	fec degrade (bucket1) is optional here too, it
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedF	Remedy			
consider changing 'q' to italics	types			Add "(o	ptional)" to the	subclause title in 169.6.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI Change font to italic for variabl Implement with editorial license	e q. e.			The FE or nece text.	DSED ACCEP C degrade is in essary to put th	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE. Indeed intended to be optional e word "optional" in the title,		
C/ 169 SC 169.5	P 136	L 27	# 151			le functionality is identical" grade functionality is identica	al"	
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Com	Nvidia Iment Status D		(bucket1)	C/ 170	SC 170	P 141	L1	# 152
points for single 800GAUI-n			(2001017)	Dawe, Piers		Nvidia	- 1	# 152
				24110, 11010	•			
SuggestedRemedy				Comment T	vpe E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
SuggestedRemedy points for a single 800GAUI-n				This ha	s got so little to	o say it's a waste of a clause		00/200/400/800GMII is
points for a single 800GAUI-n	onse Status W			This has like the	s got so little to MAC: almost i			00/200/400/800GMII is
points for a single 800GAUI-n				This has like the <i>SuggestedF</i>	s got so little to MAC: almost i Remedy	o say it's a waste of a clause	ning and optional	00/200/400/800GMII is
points for a single 800GAUI-n Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIM	NCIPLE. points for single 800G s for a PHY with a sin ple 800GAUI-n"	gle 800GAUI-n"		This ha like the SuggestedF Merge 1 Proposed R PROPC The cor	is got so little to MAC: almost i Remedy 170 into 117 of Response DSED REJECT mment does no	o say it's a waste of a clause dentical apart from rates, tin better, merge 170 and 117 <i>Response Status</i> W	ning and optional into 81. ion to support the	00/200/400/800GMII is I EEE.
points for a single 800GAUI-n Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI In Figure 169-4 Change "800GBASE-R Skew point To: "800GBASE-R Skew point In Figure 169-5 Change "Skew points for multi	NCIPLE. points for single 800G s for a PHY with a sin ple 800GAUI-n"	gle 800GAUI-n"		This ha like the SuggestedF Merge 1 Proposed R PROPC The cor	is got so little to MAC: almost i Remedy 170 into 117 of Response DSED REJECT mment does no	b say it's a waste of a clause dentical apart from rates, tin better, merge 170 and 117 <i>Response Status</i> W - b provide sufficient justificat	ning and optional into 81. ion to support the	00/200/400/800GMII is I EEE.
points for a single 800GAUI-n Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI In Figure 169-4 Change "800GBASE-R Skew point To: "800GBASE-R Skew point In Figure 169-5 Change "Skew points for multi	NCIPLE. points for single 800G s for a PHY with a sin ple 800GAUI-n"	gle 800GAUI-n"		This has like the SuggestedF Merge 1 Proposed R PROPO The cor The cur	s got so little to MAC: almost Remedy 170 into 117 of Response DSED REJECT mment does no rrent structure SC 171.2	b say it's a waste of a clause dentical apart from rates, tin better, merge 170 and 117 <i>Response Status</i> W to provide sufficient justificat of the draft is consistent with	ning and optional into 81. ion to support the n the approach ta <i>L</i> 4	00/200/400/800GMII is I EEE. e suggested remedy. aken by previous projects.
points for a single 800GAUI-n Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI In Figure 169-4 Change "800GBASE-R Skew point To: "800GBASE-R Skew point In Figure 169-5 Change "Skew points for multi	NCIPLE. points for single 800G s for a PHY with a sin ple 800GAUI-n"	gle 800GAUI-n"		This has like the SuggestedF Merge 1 Proposed R PROPC The cor The cur Cl 171 Nicholl, Gan Comment T	s got so little to MAC: almost Remedy 170 into 117 or Response DSED REJECT mment does no rrent structure SC 171.2 ry	o say it's a waste of a clause dentical apart from rates, tin better, merge 170 and 117 <i>Response Status</i> W of provide sufficient justificat of the draft is consistent with <i>P</i> 150 Cisco Syste <i>Comment Status</i> D	ning and optional into 81. ion to support the n the approach ta <i>L</i> 4	00/200/400/800GMII is I EEE. e suggested remedy. aken by previous projects.
points for a single 800GAUI-n Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI In Figure 169-4 Change "800GBASE-R Skew point To: "800GBASE-R Skew point In Figure 169-5 Change "Skew points for multi	NCIPLE. points for single 800G s for a PHY with a sin ple 800GAUI-n"	gle 800GAUI-n"		This has like the SuggestedF Merge 1 Proposed R PROPO The cor The cur C/ 171 Nicholl, Gar Comment T 800GXS SuggestedF Change "PCS at to	s got so little to MAC: almost i Remedy 170 into 117 or Response DSED REJECT ment does no rrent structure SC 171.2 ry Type E S should be 40 Remedy and 800GXS su	o say it's a waste of a clause dentical apart from rates, tin better, merge 170 and 117 <i>Response Status</i> W of provide sufficient justificat of the draft is consistent with <i>P</i> 150 Cisco Syste <i>Comment Status</i> D	ning and optional into 81. ion to support the n the approach ta <i>L</i> 4	00/200/400/800GMII is I EEE. e suggested remedy. sken by previous projects. # 195
points for a single 800GAUI-n Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI In Figure 169-4 Change "800GBASE-R Skew point To: "800GBASE-R Skew point In Figure 169-5 Change "Skew points for multi	NCIPLE. points for single 800G s for a PHY with a sin ple 800GAUI-n"	gle 800GAUI-n"		This has like the SuggestedF Merge 1 Proposed R PROPO The cor The cur C/ 171 Nicholl, Gar Comment T 800GXS SuggestedF Change "PCS at to	s got so little to MAC: almost i Remedy 170 into 117 of Response DSED REJECT mment does no rrrent structure SC 171.2 ry Type E S should be 40 Remedy and 800GXS su	b say it's a waste of a clause dentical apart from rates, tin better, merge 170 and 117 <i>Response Status</i> W bot provide sufficient justificat of the draft is consistent with <i>P</i> 150 <i>C</i> isco Syste <i>Comment Status</i> D 00GXS	ning and optional into 81. ion to support the n the approach ta <i>L</i> 4	00/200/400/800GMII is I EEE. e suggested remedy. sken by previous projects. # 195

C/ 171 P SC 171.2 20

Page 28 of 44 2022-11-29 3:46:52 PM

C/ 171 SC	C 171.4	P 151	L 38	# 59	C/ 171 SC 171	.4	P 153	L 11	# 154
Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
	am_lock var	Comment Status D riable in Clause 172		(bucket1)		itus variable" th	nent Status D ere is an entry "Lan to 31 are aligned.		
SuggestedRem Change am	-	os_lock in Table 171-3 and 1	71-5		Clauses such as	PCS have vari	ables, MDIO has re	gisters. The way	DIO variable" anyway? y of talking about such
Proposed Resp PROPOSEI	oonse D ACCEPT.	Response Status W			multilane things SuggestedRemedy	was solved long	y ago; e.g. "84.7.5 F	MD lane-by-lane	e signal detect function"
	C 171.4	P 152 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 18	# 153 (bucket1)	or 32 lanes, char "Lane i aligned" o FEC symbol erro	nge "Lane 0 to 3 or better, "Lane rs lane 0 to lan	31 aligned" back to aligned". "Lane-by	how it is in 117: ' -lane aligned" se "DTE XS FEC s	registers recording 16 "Lane x aligned" or sems odd, but "DTE XS ymbol errors by lane"
activate_t hreshold SuggestedRem	nedy				Proposed Response PROPOSED AC Change "Lane 0 Change "Lane 0	, CEPT IN PRIN to 31 aligned" t	nse Status W CIPLE. o "Lane aligned, lar to "Lane mapping,	ne 0 to 31" lane 0 to 31"	
Make these	e tables full w	vidth, make the right hand co	lumns wider, also	o in Clause 172. It	5		11 0		
may be nec	cessary to se	vidth, make the right hand co t break points in these long s, e.g. FEC_degraded_SER	"words". In maint		C/ 172 SC 172		P 160	L 11	# 156
may be nec change to s	cessary to se shorter name	t break points in these long	"words". In maint		C/ 172 SC 172 Dawe, Piers	2.1.1	P 160 Nvidia	L 11	
may be nec change to s Proposed Resp PROPOSEI	cessary to se shorter name oonse D ACCEPT I	t break points in these long s, e.g. FEC_degraded_SER	"words". In main _thresh_on		Cl 172 SC 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	2.1.1 Comr	P 160 Nvidia nent Status D		(bucket)
may be nec change to s Proposed Resp PROPOSEI Improve ap Cl 171 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type 16 bits for 3	cessary to se shorter name D ACCEPT I opearance of C 171.4 T 32 lanes	t break points in these long s, e.g. FEC_degraded_SER <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE.	"words". In main _thresh_on		Cl 172 SC 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E The paragraph o are based on a 6 control character reduce the overh encoded data is introduced to sup	<i>Comr</i> f introduction in 4B/66B code. T s. The 64B/66E ead and make then FEC enco port multiple la tion of an align	P 160 Nvidia ment Status D 119.1.1 is missing: The 64B/66B code s 8 code is then transe room for forward en ded before being tra nes in the Physical	"Both 200GBAS supports transmis coded to 256B/2 ror correction (Ff ansmitted. Data of Layer. Part of th	<i>(bucket1)</i> SE-R and 400GBASE-R ssion of data and 57B encoding to EC). The 256B/257B
may be nec change to s Proposed Resp PROPOSEI Improve app Cl 171 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type	cessary to se shorter name oonse D ACCEPT I opearance of C 171.4 T 32 lanes nedy	t break points in these long s, e.g. FEC_degraded_SER <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. the variable names with edit <i>P</i> 153 Nvidia	"words". In maint _thresh_on orial license.	tenance we might # 155	Cl 172 SC 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E The paragraph o are based on a 6 control character reduce the overh encoded data is introduced to sup the periodic inse from multiple lan SuggestedRemedy	<i>Comm</i> f introduction in 4B/66B code. T s. The 64B/66E ead and make then FEC enco oport multiple la tion of an align es."	<i>P</i> 160 Nvidia ment Status D 119.1.1 is missing: The 64B/66B code s 3 code is then trans room for forward ern ded before being tra nes in the Physical ment marker, which	"Both 200GBAS supports transmis coded to 256B/2 ror correction (Ff ansmitted. Data of Layer. Part of th	<i>(bucket1)</i> SE-R and 400GBASE-R ssion of data and 57B encoding to EC). The 256B/257B distribution is le distribution includes
may be nec change to s Proposed Resp PROPOSEI Improve app Cl 171 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type 16 bits for 3 SuggestedRem Need more Proposed Resp	cessary to se shorter name onse D ACCEPT I opearance of C 171.4 T 32 lanes nedy registers oonse	t break points in these long s, e.g. FEC_degraded_SER <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. the variable names with edit <i>P</i> 153 Nvidia	"words". In maint _thresh_on orial license.	tenance we might # 155	Cl 172 SC 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E The paragraph o are based on a 6 control character reduce the overh encoded data is introduced to sup the periodic inse from multiple lan	<i>Comr</i> f introduction in 4B/66B code. T s. The 64B/66E ead and make then FEC enco port multiple la tion of an align es." 72.1.3 as an in	<i>P</i> 160 Nvidia ment Status D 119.1.1 is missing: The 64B/66B code s 3 code is then trans room for forward ern ded before being tra nes in the Physical ment marker, which	"Both 200GBAS supports transmis coded to 256B/2 ror correction (Ff ansmitted. Data of Layer. Part of th	<i>(bucket:</i> SE-R and 400GBASE-R ssion of data and 57B encoding to EC). The 256B/257B distribution is e distribution includes

C/ 172 SC 172.1.1

	SC 172.1.3	P 161	L 6	# 42	C/ 172	SC 1	72.1.5	P 162	2 L 12	# 158
Huber, Tor	m	Nokia			Dawe, Pier	rs		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status)	(bucket1)
missin	g "(to)" in the tra	anscoding description in item I	c)		Transc	ode				
Suggested	lRemedy				Suggested	Remedy	/			
		from 66-bit blocks to (from 25			transco	ode - 4 ti	mes Als	so in this figure: Encod	e, Decode, Interleave	, Lane
	• • • •	66-bit blocks to (from 257-bit	blocks (25B/25	7B)"	Proposed I	Respons	е	Response Status V	N	
Proposed I	,	Response Status W			-			IN PRINCIPLE.		
	OSED ACCEPT le from "Transco	in PRINCIPLE.	om) 257-bit block	(s (256B/257B)" to	Correc	t the cap	vitalizatio	on with editorial license	•	
		66-bit blocks to (from) 257-bi			C/ 172	SC 1	72.1.5	P 162	L 12	# 157
C/ 172	SC 172.1.5	P 162	L 3	# 90	Dawe, Pier	rs		Nvidia		
Rechtman	, Zvi	Nvidia			Comment	Туре	E	Comment Status)	(bucket1)
Comment	Type T	Comment Status D		AM sync	"66B B	slock dist	ribution"	': bits not bytes, rogue	capital, style	
Figure	172–2—Functio	onal block diagram		,	Suggested	Remedy	,			
		ludes two flows for TX and Rx					tribution			
		posed to insert the alignment	markers in sync	with each other. This	also 66	6-bit bloc	k collect	lion		
		citly in the diagram.			Proposed I	Respons	е	Response Status	V	
Suggested	-							IN PRINCIPLE.		
	le improvement	#1: rd synchronization between t	the "Algiment in	sertion" blocks	Replac	e 66B b	y 66-bit i	in Fig 172-2 in two plac	ces.	
Add or	row with the wor		The Algument ins	Sertion Diocks.			70 4 5		1.00	
	row with the wor ble improvement		-		C/ 172	SC 1	72.1.5	P 162	L 23	# 159
Possib	le improvement		ould operate in s	synchronized manner.	Cl 172 Dawe, Pier		72.1.5	P 162 Nvidia	L 23	# 159
Possib Add a Proposed I	ble improvement footnote that the <i>Response</i>	#2: e two "Alignment insertion" sh <i>Response Status</i> W	ould operate in s	synchronized manner.		rs	72.1.5 T		-	# 159 AM sync
Possib Add a Proposed I PROP	ble improvement footnote that the <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT	#2: e two "Alignment insertion" she Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.	·		Dawe, Pier Comment The ba	rs <i>Type</i> aseline (s	T shrikhanc	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> I de_3df_01a_221004, s) see slide 10) shows th	AM sync
Possib Add a Proposed I PROP The ins	ble improvement footnote that the <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT sertion location o	#2: e two "Alignment insertion" she Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. of the AM pattern in both flows	s must be done		Dawe, Pier Comment The ba alignm	rs <i>Type</i> aseline (s ent insei	T shrikhanc	Nvidia Comment Status 【 de_3df_01a_221004, s connected. 172.2.1 ig) ee slide 10) shows th nores this too, althou	<i>AM sync</i> nat the two flows' gh 172.2.4.4 says what
Possib Add a Proposed I PROP The ins the 66- The int	ble improvement footnote that the Response OSED ACCEPT sertion location of -bit block stream tent of the third b	 #2: #2:	s must be done n. 72.2.4.4 is to en	at the same point in force the	Dawe, Pier Comment The ba alignm to do, I	rs <i>Type</i> aseline (s ent inser but it sho	T shrikhanc rtion are puld be m	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> I de_3df_01a_221004, s) ee slide 10) shows th nores this too, althou	<i>AM sync</i> nat the two flows' gh 172.2.4.4 says what
Possib Add a Proposed I PROP The ins the 66- The int sychro	ble improvement footnote that the <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT sertion location of -bit block stream tent of the third t nization of the A	 #2: two "Alignment insertion" she Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. of the AM pattern in both flows a prior to the block distribution 	s must be done n. 72.2.4.4 is to en	at the same point in force the	Dawe, Pier Comment The ba alignm to do, I Suggested	rs Type aseline (s ent inse but it sho Remedy	T shrikhand rtion are puld be m	Nvidia Comment Status [de_3df_01a_221004, s connected. 172.2.1 ig nade obvious in the fig) tee slide 10) shows th nores this too, althoug ure that a linkage is n	AM sync nat the two flows' gh 172.2.4.4 says what needed.
Possib Add a Proposed I PROP The ins the 66 The int sychro implem	ble improvement footnote that the <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT sertion location of bit block stream tent of the third b nization of the A nentation.	 #2: #2:	s must be done n. 72.2.4.4 is to en flows, without de	at the same point in force the efining a specific	Dawe, Pier Comment The ba alignm to do, I Suggested Show	rs <i>Type</i> aseline (s ent inser but it sho <i>Remedy</i> 'Alignme	T shrikhand rtion are buld be m , ent inserti	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> I de_3df_01a_221004, s connected. 172.2.1 ig nade obvious in the fig tion" across both flows) tee slide 10) shows th nores this too, althoug ure that a linkage is n as in shrikhande_3df	AM sync nat the two flows' gh 172.2.4.4 says what needed. _01a_221004, or make
Possib Add a Proposed I PROP The ins the 66 The int sychro implem There	ble improvement footnote that the <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT sertion location of -bit block stream ent of the third t nization of the A nentation. will be an editori	 #2: #2:	s must be done 72.2.4.4 is to en flows, without de update to the te:	at the same point in force the efining a specific	Dawe, Pier Comment The ba alignm to do, I Suggested Show	rs <i>Type</i> aseline (s ent inser but it sho <i>Remedy</i> 'Alignme int some	T shrikhand rtion are buld be m , ent inserti	Nvidia Comment Status [de_3df_01a_221004, s connected. 172.2.1 ig nade obvious in the fig) tee slide 10) shows th nores this too, althoug ure that a linkage is n as in shrikhande_3df	AM sync nat the two flows' gh 172.2.4.4 says what needed. _01a_221004, or make
Possib Add a Proposed I PROP The ins the 66 The int sychro implem There	ble improvement footnote that the <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT sertion location of -bit block stream ent of the third t nization of the A nentation. will be an editori	 #2: #2:	s must be done 72.2.4.4 is to en flows, without de update to the te:	at the same point in force the efining a specific	Dawe, Piel Comment The ba alignm to do, I Suggested Show ' the poi	rs <i>Type</i> aseline (s ent insel but it sho <i>Remedy</i> 'Alignme int some nent".	T shrikhano rtion are buld be m ould be m other wa	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> I de_3df_01a_221004, s connected. 172.2.1 ig nade obvious in the fig tion" across both flows	D tee slide 10) shows th nores this too, althoug ure that a linkage is n as in shrikhande_3df zation" (used in the E	AM sync nat the two flows' gh 172.2.4.4 says what needed. _01a_221004, or make
Possib Add a Proposed I PROP The ins the 66 The int sychro implem There	ble improvement footnote that the <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT sertion location of -bit block stream ent of the third t nization of the A nentation. will be an editori	 #2: #2:	s must be done 72.2.4.4 is to en flows, without de update to the te:	at the same point in force the efining a specific	Dawe, Piel Comment The ba alignm to do, I Suggested Show ' the poi "alignn Proposed I	rs Type aseline (s ent inser but it sho Remedy 'Alignme int some nent". Respons	T shrikhance rtion are build be m ould be m ent inserti other wa	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> [de_3df_01a_221004, s connected. 172.2.1 ig nade obvious in the fig nade obvious both flows ay such as "Synchroniz	D tee slide 10) shows th nores this too, althoug ure that a linkage is n as in shrikhande_3df zation" (used in the E	AM sync nat the two flows' gh 172.2.4.4 says what needed. _01a_221004, or make

C/ 172 SC 172.1.5

C/ 172	SC 172.2.1	P 163	L 19	# 179
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)

"distributed in a round-robin fashion into two parallel transmit functions": sort of slang. Where I come from, all robins look round.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "a round-robin fashion" to "an alternating fashion" here; in 172.2.4.1, change "a round robin fashion" to "an alternating fashion". Similarly in 172.2.5.8.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This is a new function where 64B/66B blocks are distributed between or combined from two streams or flows, so "alternating" seems more appropriate here than "round-robin". The details of the distribution are not necessary in the summary, but in the detailed functional description "round-robin" should be replaced with "alternating".

In 172.2.1 on page 163 line 19...

Change:

"The 66-bit blocks are then distributed in a round-robin fashion into two parallel transmit functions, referred to as flow 0 and flow 1."

To:

"The 66-bit blocks are then distributed between two parallel transmit functions, referred to as flow 0 and flow 1."

In 172.2.1 on page 163, line 42

Change:

"A 66-bit block collection function merges the 66-bit blocks from the two flows in a roundrobin fashion into a single stream of blocks that are then 64B/66B decoded." To:

"A 66-bit block collection function merges the 66-bit blocks from the two flows into a single stream of blocks that are then 64B/66B decoded."

In 172.2.4.1 on page 164, line 23...

Change:

"The 66-bit blocks are distributed to the two flows in a round robin fashion by the block distribution function such that the first 66-bit block is sent to flow 0, the second 66-bit block is sent to flow 1, the third 66-bit block is sent to flow 0, and subsequent 66-bit blocks continue the round robin distribution procedure across the two flows." To:

"The 66-bit blocks are distributed to the two flows in an alternating fashion by the block distribution function such that the first 66-bit block is sent to flow 0, the second 66-bit block is sent to flow 1, the third 66-bit block is sent to flow 0, and subsequent 66-bit blocks continue the distribution procedure across the two flows."

In 172.2.5.8 on page 168, line 21

Change: "The block collection reverses the block distribution done in the transmitter (see

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

172.2.4.1) by combining the 66-bit blocks from the two flows in a round robin fashion to form a single stream of 66-bit blocks."

To: "The block collection reverses the block distribution done in the transmitter (see 172.2.4.1) by combining the 66-bit blocks from the two flows in an alternating fashion to form a single stream of 66-bit blocks."

C/ 172	SC 172.2.1	P 163	L 21	# 180
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		AM sync

"Within each flow, the 66-bit blocks are transcoded to 257-bit blocks, scrambled, and alignment markers are periodically added to the data stream."

SuggestedRemedy

Modify this to say that the insertion of alignment markers is not independent for each flow.

Proposed Response	Response Status	w
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.	

Resolve using the response to comment #90.

C/ 172 S	C 172.2.1	P 163	L 22	# 181
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type	E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)

The data stream is distributed to two 5140-bit blocks and then FEC encoded. The two FEC codewords are then interleaved before data is distributed to individual PCS lanes.

SuggestedRemedy

For each flow, the data stream is distributed to two 5140-bit blocks and then FEC encoded. For each flow, the two FEC codewords are then interleaved before data is distributed to individual PCS lanes.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace

"The data stream is distributed to two 5140-bit blocks and then FEC encoded. The two FEC codewords are then interleaved before data is distributed to individual PCS lanes." with

"For each flow, the data stream is distributed to two 5140-bit blocks and then FEC encoded. For each flow, the two FEC codewords are then interleaved before data is distributed to individual PCS lanes."

Cl	172	
SC	172.2.1	

Page 31 of 44 2022-11-29 3:46:53 PM

C/ 172	SC 172.2.1	P 1	63	L 38	# 47
Huber, To	m	Nokia	a		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	D		pcs functions
and the deskey	e paragraph abou wing. Per the sta are aligned and d	ut the PCS Receive te diagrams, the PC	process in ter S synchroniza	ms of alig ation proc	nchronization process gning, reordering, and cess ensures that all the ith deocding the 66b
Suggestea	Remedy				
and de obtaine Revise	eskewed, and reo ed alignment." e the first two sen	rdered, the align_sta	atus flag is sei aragraph as fo	t to indica ollows: "T	Il 32 lanes are aligned te that the PCS has he PCS Receive process "
Proposed	Response	Response Status	W		
-	OSED ACCEPT nent the suggeste	IN PRINCIPLE. ed remedy with edito	rial license.		
C/ 172	SC 172.2.2	P 1	63	L 46	# 182
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidi	а		
Comment	Tvpe E	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)
	ose "codewords".				EC blocks, even if we before 257-bit blocks
Suggestea	Remedy				
Chang	e "blocks" to "66-	-bit blocks" here and	at line 49.		
Proposed	Response	Response Status	w		
-	OSED ACCEPT nent the suggeste	IN PRINCIPLE. ed remedy with edito	rial license		
C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.1	P1	64	L 28	# 48
Huber, To	m	Nokia	1		
Comment	Type T	Comment Status	D		OTN reference point
		nt needs further disc ather than 66b bloch		uld be pro	eferrable if the mapping
Suggestea	Remedy				
Suppo	rting presentatior	n to be provided.			
Proposed	Response	Response Status	w		
PROP	OSED REJECT.	view of supporting pr			
COMMEN	F STATUS: D/dis	d ER/editorial requir patched A/accepted bclause, page, line			ed T/technical E/editorial DNSE STATUS: O/open W

C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.1	P 164	L 28	# 107
Nicholl, Sha	awn	AMD		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		OTN reference point

The NOTE says "The stream of 66-bit blocks generated by this process". However, there are two streams generated in the above process. It would be clearer if the end of the subclause represented the end of the process and aligned with the OTN reference point in the note.

Also, it would be clearer for the text related to $tx_coded<65:0>$ to coincide with the end of the sub-clause (i.e. for that text to follow any discussion related to rate compensation).

Also, where possible it is helpful to re-use text from 802.3-2022 Clause 119.2.4.1 as it enhances readability (i.e. simplifies compare/contrast between Clause 119 and Clause 172).

SuggestedRemedy

Propose the following text:

172.2.4.1 Encode and rate matching

The transmit PCS generates 66-bit blocks based upon the TXD<63:0> and TXC<7:0> signals received from the 800GMII. One 800GMII data transfer is encoded into one 66-bit block. If the transmit PCS spans multiple clock domains, it may also perform clock rate compensation via the deletion of idle control characters or sequence ordered sets or the insertion of idle control characters.

Idle control characters or sequence ordered sets are removed, if necessary, to accommodate the insertion of the alignment markers. See 119.2.3.5 and 119.2.3.8 for the deletion and insertion rules, and 172.2.4.5 for more details on alignment markers.

The transmit PCS generates blocks as specified in the transmit state diagram as shown in Figure 119-14. The contents of each 66-bit block are contained in a vector $tx_coded<65:0>$. $tx_coded<1:0>$ contains the sync header and the remainder of the bits contain the payload.

NOTE: The stream of tx_coded<65:0> 66-bit blocks generated by this process, together with the FEC_degraded_SER and rx_local_degraded bits should be used as the reference signal for mapping to OTN.

172.2.4.1 66B/66B block distribution

The stream of tx_coded<65:0> 66-bit blocks are distributed to the two flows in a round robin fashion by the block distribution function such that the first 66-bit block is sent to flow 0, the second 66-bit block is sent to flow 1, the third 66-bit block is sent to flow 0, and subsequent 66-bit blocks continue in a round robin distribution procedure across the two flows. This forms two streams, tx_coded_flow0<65:0> and tx_coded_flow1<65:0>.

172.2.4.3 64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoder

PE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 172	Page 32 of 44
DMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 172.2.4.1	2022-11-29 3:46:53 PM

The 64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoder in each flow is identical to that specified in 119.2.4.2. The transcoder for flow 0 uses the stream of tx coded flow0<65:0> 66-bit blocks. The transcoder for flow 1 uses the stream of tx coded flow1<65:0> 66-bit blocks.

172.2.4.4 Scrambler

<This Comment Proposes no Changes to Text inside this Sub-Clause>

172.2.4.5 Alignment marker mapping and insertion

<This Comment Proposes no Changes to Text inside this Sub-Clause>

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The suggested remedy inserts a subclause for the 66-bit block distribution into 172.2.4 resulting in subclause numbering within 172.2.4 that does not align with the subclause numbers in CL119. This impacts the readability when comparing/constrasting CL172 with CI119. Hence, the 66-bit block distribution was incorporated into 172.2.4.1 keeping the rest of the subclause numbering the same as in Cl119. The functions defined by subclauses 172.2.4.2 are identical to those performed by each flow in 800GbE PCS, and hence 172.2.4.1 was intentionally kept unchanged and references back to 119.2.4.2. The first sentence of the comment points out a potential source of confusion regarding the 66-bit stream that is used for mapping to OTN and the proposed response below address that comment.

Change NOTE from

"NOTE—The stream of 66-bit blocks generated by this process, together with the FEC_degraded_SER and rx_local_degraded bits should be used as the reference signal for mapping to OTN."

to

"NOTE—The stream of 66-bit blocks generated by the encode and rate matching process (see Figure 172-2) prior to 66-bit block distribution, together with the FEC degraded SER and rx_local_degraded bits should be used as the reference signal for mapping to OTN."

C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.4	P 164	L 45	# 8
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		alignment

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

"Alignment marker encoding values for flow 1 are specified in Table 172-2 and the variable x in 119.2.4.4.2 takes the values of PCS lane number minus 16"

In 119.2.4.4.2, x is used as part of the variable am x. We have 32 distinct alignment markers, for lanes 0 through 31, so assigning x to "lane number minus 16" would result in am 0 through am 15 assigned twice, and am 16 through am 31 not assigned at all.

Instead, we should specify that for flow 1. AM are constructed per 119.2.4.4.2 but with x taking values from 16 to 31, and the variable i used in the mapping procedure takes values from 8 to 16 (instead of 0 to 7).

This difference may be listed as another exception, but it seems that it makes it worthwhile to have a new subclause for creating the 32 AMs.

SugaestedRemedv

Replace the reference to 119.2.4.4.2 with a full specification of AM creation and insertion. based on the content (text and equations) of 119.2.4.4.2, but with AMs for lanes 16 to 31 constructed as in the comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Each Flow is a unique "instance" of the 119.4.4.2 so the fact that there are 2 copies of variable "am #". one in Flow0 and another in Flow1 that have different values is how it's intended to be specified.

	SC 172.2	2.4.4	P 164	L 47	# 108
Nicholl, Sł	nawn		AMD		
Comment	Type TR	Comment	t Status D		AM sync
		s: "The first 66-bi " may be open t			block following the
Suggested	Remedy				
Propos	se the follow	ing text:			
tx_coc stream	led<65:0> st n. And, tx_cc		_coded_j<65:0 ongs to tx_code	> belongs to tx_c ed_flow1<65:0> s	
followi be the	ng the align	nent marker grou	p in flow 0. It is	s required that tx_	coded_k<65:0> shall alignment marker
	Response OSED ACC	Response EPT IN PRINCIPI	Status W LE.		
C/ 172	SC 172.2	2.4.4	P 164	L 48	# 91
Rechtman	, Zvi		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment	t Status D		AM sync
This se be syr To avo	entence imp ichronized.		the alignment i	nsertion process	%66B encoder." of the two flows should wo alignment insertion
Suggested	Remedy				
00		sentence before "			rkers at the exact
"The n		unit), i.e. in a sync			

C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.4	P 10	64	L 49	# 60	
Slavick, Je	ff	Broad	lcom			
Comment Missin		Comment Status o of the flow 0 257-bit	-	o the AM group		AM sync
Suggested add "fo	-	ment marker group"	before "	in flow 0"		
PROP Resolv	0 1	IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #90	0.			
C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.4			L 51	# 9	
Ran, Adee		Cisco	1			
https://	baseline proposa www.ieee802.org	Comment Status Il g/3/df/public/22_10/2 1 insertion is aligned a	_ 2_1004/		01a_221004.	<i>AM sync</i> pdf, slide

SuggestedRemedy

If the subclause specifying AM creation is updated to include full text, this requirement can be included in it (a similar statement exists in 119.2.4.4.2 for the 16 lanes).

Otherwise, add this requirement as another exception, with editorial license.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #90.

C/ 172 SC 172.2.4.4

C/ 172 SC 172.2.4.4 P 165 L 8 # 184	C/ 172 SC 172.2.4.8 P 166 L 51 # 10					
Dawe, Piers Nvidia	Ran, Adee Cisco					
Comment Type E Comment Status D (bucke	et1) Comment Type ER Comment Status D (bucket1)					
Two fifths of this table is useless clutter, and it would be good to use spaces in the norma way.	The functions above the "64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoder" are excluded					
SuggestedRemedy	This is confusing - looks as if these functions are not required, but of course they are.					
Change 0x9A,0x4A,0x26,0xB6,0x65,0xB5,0xD9,0xD9,0xFE,0x71,0xF3,0x26,0x01,0x8E,0x0C to	II had to read it several times to understand that they are excluded from the "transmit function" blocks because they are present above them.					
9A, 4A, 26, B6, 65, B5, D9, D9, FE, 71, F3, 26, 01, 8E, 0C and so on. In the text, say that these are in hex. Similarly in Table 172-2.	SuggestedRemedy Change from The functions above the "64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoder" are excluded					
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	to The functions above the "64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoder" in Figure 119—11 are not included in the transmit function blocks, and instead are located outside of these blocks, as shown in Figure 172—3.					
The format used in Table 172-1 and Table 172-2 is consistent with the format used in Table 119-2 in Clause 119. Given that we are striving for consistency between this new and previous PCS specifications, retaining a common format is helpful for comparison.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using response to comment #185.					
The comment does not provide sufficient justification to make the suggested change nor do the proposed changes improve the accuracy or clarity of the draft.	C/ 172 SC 172.2.4.8 P 166 L 51 # 185					
V 172 SC 172.2.4.4 P165 L8 # 183	Dawe, Piers Nvidia					
Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D (bucke	<i>Comment Type</i> T <i>Comment Status</i> D <i>(bucket1)</i> Careful, "function" has a precise meaning in PCS clauses. This can be more specific and informative.					
The curly brackets must be trying to tell the reader something, but I don't know what. SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Change "The functions are" to "the 64B/66B encoder is"					
Delete them, or define what they mean, or change to some notation that is defined.	Proposed Response Response Status W					
Proposed Response Response Status W	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
PROPOSED REJECT. The curly brackets in Tables 172-1 and 172-2 are consistent with what was used in Table 119-2 in Clause 119. Given that we are striving for consistency between this new and	Change from "The functions above the "64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoder" are excluded." to					
previous PCS specifications, retaining a common format is helpful for comparison.	"The portion of the figure above the "64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoder" is excluded".					
The comment does not provide sufficient justification to make the suggested change nor do the proposed changes improve clarity or accuracy of the draft.						
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editor COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/oper						
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	3C 172.2.4.8 2022-11-29 3:40					

generators are id Ithough it is gen its in the patterr y scrambled idle t .2.4.9". se Resp ACCEPT IN PRI generators are id	n. est pattern can be ger poonse Status W	s way to 119.2.4	1.9, it's a different PCS	variable purpose Suggested Add so it is the Proposed F PROPO 172.2.5	type E ation between h definition, whice of hi_ser is int <i>Remedy</i> mething in regu OR of hi_ser_C <i>Response</i> DSED REJECT. .3 notes the exc	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D i_ser_0, hi_ser_1 and h ch is too obscure. More roduced. lar text (possibly elsewh and hi_ser_1. <i>Response Status</i> W ception that each flow h	D hi_ser appears later wi e generally, I could not here) that says that wh N nas a unique hi_ser ge	t find where the hat hi_ser for, and that enerated by its FEC
generators are id Ithough it is gen its in the patterr y scrambled idle t .2.4.9". se Resp ACCEPT IN PRI generators are id ed idle test patte	nment Status D dentical to that specifie erated in an analogous n. est pattern can be ger ponse Status W NCIPLE. dentical to that specifie	s way to 119.2.4	there is only one test 4.9, it's a different PCS	Comment 7 The rel- variable purpose Suggested Add so it is the Proposed F PROPO 172.2.5	type E ation between h definition, whice of hi_ser is int <i>Remedy</i> mething in regu OR of hi_ser_C <i>Response</i> DSED REJECT. .3 notes the exc	Comment Status D i_ser_0, hi_ser_1 and h ch is too obscure. More roduced. lar text (possibly elsewh and hi_ser_1. Response Status W ception that each flow h	hi_ser appears later wi e generally, I could not here) that says that wh N nas a unique hi_ser ge	vithin a state machine t find where the hat hi_ser for, and that enerated by its FEC
generators are id Ithough it is gen its in the patterr y scrambled idle t .2.4.9". se Resp ACCEPT IN PRI generators are id ed idle test patte	dentical to that specifie erated in an analogous n. est pattern can be ger ponse Status W NCIPLE. dentical to that specifie	s way to 119.2.4	there is only one test 4.9, it's a different PCS	The relavariable purpose Suggested/ Add so it is the Proposed F PROPO 172.2.5	Ation between h definition, white of hi_ser is int Remedy mething in regu OR of hi_ser_0 Response DSED REJECT. .3 notes the ext	i_ser_0, hi_ser_1 and h ch is too obscure. More roduced. lar text (possibly elsewh and hi_ser_1. <i>Response Status</i> M ception that each flow h	hi_ser appears later wi e generally, I could not here) that says that wh N nas a unique hi_ser ge	vithin a state machine t find where the hat hi_ser for, and that enerated by its FEC
scrambled idle t .2.4.9". se Resp ACCEPT IN PRI generators are id ed idle test patte	oonse Status W NCIPLE. dentical to that specifie		ame way in the same	Add so it is the Proposed F PROP(172.2.5	mething in regu OR of hi_ser_0 <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT. .3 notes the exc	and hi_ser_1. <i>Response Status</i> W ception that each flow h	N nas a unique hi_ser ge	enerated by its FEC
.2.4.9". se Resp ACCEPT IN PRI generators are id ed idle test patte	oonse Status W NCIPLE. dentical to that specifie		ame way in the same	it is the Proposed F PROPO 172.2.5	OR of hi_ser_0 Response OSED REJECT. .3 notes the exc	and hi_ser_1. <i>Response Status</i> W ception that each flow h	N nas a unique hi_ser ge	enerated by its FEC
ACCEPT IN PRI generators are id ed idle test patte	NCIPLE.	ed in 119.2.4.9"		PROPO 172.2.5	SED REJECT. .3 notes the exc	ception that each flow h	nas a unique hi_ser ge	
generators are id ed idle test patte	dentical to that specifie	ed in 119.2.4.9"		172.2.5	.3 notes the exe	ception that each flow h		
•	rn functionality is iden					hi_ser_1). The purpose	or ni_ser is defined if	n 119.2.5.3.
172.2.4.9		tical to that spec	cified in 119.2.4.9".	C/ 172	SC 172.2.5.4	P 168	s L5	# 12
	P 167	L 25	# 27	Ran, Adee		Cisco		
	Huawei			Comment 7		Comment Status D		(bucket)
T Con	nment Status D		test pattern (CC)	"The po	st-FEC interlea	ve is identical to that sp	becified in 119.2.5.4."	
pattern shall be	applied to both flows t	together		But 119	.2.5.4 talks spe	cifically about two FEC	codewords, and we h	nave four.
y Staislas sats the		en estivated off		In simil	ar subclauses fo	or the transmit functions	s, the text includes "fo	or each flow".
		en activated and	ects both hows	Also an	plies to 172.2.5	.6 and 172.2.5.7.		
	oonse Status W			•	•			
a single scramb					•	ter "interleave".		
		•		Make s	imilar changes i	n 172.2.5.6 and 172.2.	5.7, with editorial licen	ise.
172.2.5.3		L 52	# 11	Proposed F	lesponse	Response Status V	v	
						-		
		stated as suffic	e ()	Implem	ent suggested i	emedy with editorial lice	ense.	
ade variables in ause 119.	clause 172 should be	stated as optio	nai, as in their original					
V								
ptional PCS FE	C degraded SER abilit	y is implemente	d, " at the beginning of					
se Resp	oonse Status W							
d for the FEC de	egrade to be optional, the end of 172.2.5.3:	but as written th	at is not obvious.					
	eficial to note that a se Resp EJECT. a single scramb test pattern is g 72.2.5.3 TR Contact ade variables in use 119. potional PCS FEC n. ade Resp CCEPT IN PRI for the FEC de ng sentence at ade functionalit	Ficial to note that the test function where <i>Response Status</i> W REJECT. a single scrambled idle test pattern get test pattern is generated by the Enco 72.2.5.3 <i>P</i> 167 Cisco TR <i>Comment Status</i> D ade variables in clause 172 should be buse 119. <i>P</i> butional PCS FEC degraded SER abilition. <i>Response Status</i> W CCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. If for the FEC degrade to be optional, ng sentence at the end of 172.2.5.3: rade functionality is optional."	Afficial to note that the test function when activated affer the Response Status W REJECT. a single scrambled idle test pattern generator, same a test pattern is generated by the Encoder prior to 66-1 72.2.5.3 P 167 L 52 Cisco TR Comment Status D ade variables in clause 172 should be stated as option to buse 119. A botional PCS FEC degraded SER ability is implemented the Response Status W CCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. If for the FEC degrade to be optional, but as written the ng sentence at the end of 172.2.5.3: rade functionality is optional."	Arricial to note that the test function when activated affects both flows as a Response Status WEJECT. a single scrambled idle test pattern generator, same as 119.2.4.9. The test pattern is generated by the Encoder prior to 66-bit block distribution. 72.2.5.3 P 167 L 52 # 11 Cisco TR Comment Status D fec degrade (bucket1) ade variables in clause 172 should be stated as optional, as in their original tuse 119. v v bitional PCS FEC degraded SER ability is implemented, " at the beginning of n. Se Response Status W CCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. If or the FEC degrade to be optional, but as written that is not obvious. Ing sentence at the end of 172.2.5.3: rade functionality is optional."	Also ap Suggested Transmitted a single scrambled idle test pattern generator, same as 119.2.4.9. The test pattern is generated by the Encoder prior to 66-bit block distribution. T2.2.5.3 P167 L52 # 11 Cisco TR Comment Status D fec degrade (bucket1) ade variables in clause 172 should be stated as optional, as in their original tuse 119. Contronal PCS FEC degraded SER ability is implemented, " at the beginning of n. Be Response Status W CCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. If for the FEC degrade to be optional, but as written that is not obvious. In suma Suggested Insert "f	Also applies to 172.2.5 is the state of the	ficial to note that the test function when activated affects both flows we Response Status W EJECT. a single scrambled idle test pattern generator, same as 119.2.4.9. The test pattern is generated by the Encoder prior to 66-bit block distribution. 72.2.5.3 P 167 L 52 # 11 Cisco TR Comment Status D fec degrade (bucket1) ade variables in clause 172 should be stated as optional, as in their original use 119. we Response Status W CCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Hor the FEC degraded SER ability is implemented, " at the beginning of n. we Response Status W CCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Hor the FEC degraded to be optional, but as written that is not obvious. ng sentence at the end of 172.2.5.3: ade functionality is optional."	ficial to note that the test function when activated affects both flows se Response Status W EJECT. a single scrambled idle test pattern generator, same as 119.2.4.9. The test pattern is generated by the Encoder prior to 66-bit block distribution. 72.2.5.3 P167 L52 # 11 Cisco TR Comment Status D fec degrade (bucket1) ade variables in clause 172 should be stated as optional, as in their original use 119. v totional PCS FEC degraded SER ability is implemented, " at the beginning of n. Be Response Status W CCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. If or the FEC degraded SER ability as written that is not obvious. ng sentence at the end of 172.2.5.3:

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 172 SC 172.2.5.4 Page 36 of 44 2022-11-29 3:46:53 PM

C/ 172	SC 172.2.5.5	<i>P</i> 168	L 9	# 2
Ran, Adee	e	Cisco		
	alignment marker	Comment Status D removal is identical to th so it can't be identical.	at of the 400GBAS	<i>(bucket1)</i> E-R PCS in 119.2.5.5." -
Suggested				
00		nanges to the text (add ex	ceptions or "for ea	ch flow").
Chang "The a to	alignment marker	IN PRINCIPLE. removal is identical to th removal in each flow is id		
C/ 172	SC 172.2.5.8	P 168	L 33	# 188
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
subcla isn't th	auses are titled "	3.5 and 119.2.3.8 for the of 119.2.3.5 Idle (/I/)" and "1 er doesn't know to look the sertion rules.	19.2.3.8 Ordered s	et (/O/)" and the content
Suggested	dRemedy			
		ose subclauses: "Idle (/I/ ordered set deletion"	and idle insertion	and deletion" and
Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
-	OSED REJECT	8 have links to 82.2.3.6 a	nd 82 2 3 9 respec	tively, which the reader

119.2.3.5 and 119.2.3.8 have links to 82.2.3.6 and 82.2.3.9 respectively, which the reader can follow to access the rules for insertion/deletion. Note that this double-reference is common throughout many subclauses in Clause 172. The proposed changes do not improve the accuracy of the draft.

C/ 172	SC 172.2.6.2.2	P 1	69	L 11	# 109				
Nicholl, Sh	awn	AMD							
	<i>Type</i> TR g any mention of 8	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)				
Suggested		JUUUDAUL-IN.							
	nsistency with 119 r 800GBASE-R."	.2.6.2.2, propose to	o replace text	"with x = 0:31" wi	th text "with x =				
Proposed I	Response	Response Status	W						
PROPOSED REJECT. The proposed change is not necessary since Clause 172 is only for 800GBASE-R. CL119 specified 200GBASE-R or 400GBASE-R because the same clause includes the PCS for both 200GE and 400GE.									
C/ 172	SC 172.2.6.2.4	P 1	70	L 15	# 28				
Bruckman,	Leon	Huaw	/ei						

Comment Type	т	Comment Status D	(bucket1)
--------------	---	------------------	-----------

From this clause it may be implied that counters are not aggregated, but in the MDIO Table 172-4 shows (and text indicates that) they are aggregated

SuggestedRemedy

Add exception indicating that counters are the aggregate of both flows

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

172.2.6.2.4 is defining the counters used in the state diagrams. The definition of these counters is identical to that in 119.2.6.2.4. Therefore, these counters are not aggregated and are not the same as those defined in Table 172-4.

C/ 172 SC 172.2.6.2.4 Page 37 of 44 2022-11-29 3:46:53 PM

C/ 172	SC 172.2.6.3	P 170	L 19	# 86	C/ 172	SC 17	72.3.5	P 173		L 31	# 189
Opsasnick,	Eugene	Broadcom			Dawe, Piers	6		Nvidia			
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		stateless encoder	Comment T	ype	TR	Comment Status)		fec counter
State di diagram shown i	agrams in Figu n" can cause log n opsasnick_30	entical to those specified in 11 re 119-14 "Transmit state diag gic implementation issues at h df_01a_221005.pdf. A "statele e allowed since the state diag	am" and Figure igh rate port sp ss" encode/dec	eeds (i.e. 800GbE) as ode option to these	baseline P PHYs 400G, v FEC_cc	e shrikh 100GE /hich is odeword	ande_3di 3ASE-KR what the d_error_b		802.3c 1) only.	k it's for RS-FE It's not applica	
		ces with required FEC should			SuggestedF						
	eless coding.	An updated presentation show						sion as to whether we at PCS into a regular F			atures from a feature s to any 800GBASE-R
SuggestedF	,				Proposed R	espons	e	Response Status	v		
To be s	hown in an upd	ated presentation for Decemb	er comment res	olutin meetings.				N PRINCIPLE.	•		
Proposed R	Response	Response Status W			FEC bir	counte	er was im	plemented in Draft 1.0			lause 119 and was not
	SED REJECT. g Task Force re	view of supporting presentation	n.		whether	it is op		d baseline. Therefore v mandatory. n	we need	d to decide whe	ther to keep it and
C/ 172	SC 172.2.6.3	P 170	L 21	# 3	C/ 172		72.3.5	P 173		L 31	# 4
Ran, Adee		Cisco				30 1	12.3.5			231	# 4
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Ran, Adee Comment T		ER	Cisco Comment Status			fec counte
Number	rs above 10 sho	ould not be spelled out.						ned as optional in 161	•	ssumina it is o	
SuggestedF change	R <i>emedy</i> "thirty two" to "	32".						clause 161.	.0.21.7		
Proposed R	Response	Response Status W			Otherwi	se, stat	e that it is	s not optional for this I	PCS (bu	ut I assume it's	not the case).
PROPC	SED ACCEPT				Similarl	y for 17	2.3.6 FE	C_codeword_error_bir	n_i.		
C/ 172	SC 172.3.1	P 172	L 35	# 61	SuggestedF	Remedy	,				
Slavick, Jef		Broadcom			Add "(o	otional)'	" to the s	ubclause title in 172.3	.5 and 1	172.3.6.	
Comment T		Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Proposed R	espons	e	Response Status	v		
		mps_lock not am_lock		()				N PRINCIPLE.			
SuggestedF	Remedv				Resolve	using t	ine respo	nse to comment #189			
00		nps_lock in Table 1724									
-	Response	Response Status W									
Proposea R											

C/ 172 SC 172.3.5

C/ 172	SC 172.3.5	P 173	L 32	# 63	C/ 173	SC 173.1.4	P 177	L 28	# 190
Slavick, J	eff	Broadcom			Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		fec counters	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
		FEC sublayer counter in M	IDIO space, not	a PCS counter.			to support an physical instan s the PMA service interface		
Suggester	•	5.2.1.120a (802.3ck) into a	a sat of PCS rad	victors (15.2.2 ###) and	Suggested	Remedy			
	the Clause 161 re			JISIEIS (43.2.3.###) anu	is use	d to implement a	?		
		3.5 with the same text from		ating the MDIO register	•	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
retere	nces to point to the	newly created MDIO regis	ters.		-	ge from:			
Updat	e Table 172-4 to po	int to the newly created MI	DIO registers.				d to support an physical inst	antiation of the P	MA service interface
Proposed	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			(800G to	AUI-8)"			
Implei		remedy with editorial licer	ise.		"An 8: 8)"	8 PMA is require	d for a physical instantiation	of the PMA servi	ice interface (800GAUI-
Resol	ve along with comm	ient #189.			C/ 173	SC 173.1.4	P 177	L 28	# 24
C/ 172	SC 172.3.6	P 173	L 32	# 64	Dudek, Mi	ke	Marvell		
Slavick, J	eff	Broadcom			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
Comment		Comment Status X		fec counters	Shoul	d be "a physical i	nstantiation"		
	EC_codeword_error counters.	r_bin_i is a RS-FEC sublay	yer set of counte	ers in MDIO space, not	Suggested				
Suggestee	dRemedy					ge "an" to "a"			
	of the definition of 4 e the Clause 161 re	5.2.1.131a (802.3ck) into a ferences with 172.	a set of PCS rec	gisters (45.2.3.###) and	Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
		3.6 with the same text fror		ating the MDIO register	C/ 173	SC 173.1.4	P 178	L 33	# 25
refere	nces to point to the	newly created MDIO regis	ters.		Dudek, Mi	ke	Marvell		
Updat	e Table 172-4 to po	int to the newly created MI	DIO registers.		Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
	Response	Response Status W				are more than ju re 173-2)	ist two addresses (1 and 8) a	available for the N	/MD. (more are shown
	-	nedy with editorial license.			Suggested	Remedy			
	ve along with comm				•••	ge "1 and 8" to "	1,8,9 and 10".		
					Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
						OSED ACCEPT je from:	IN PRINCIPLE.		
					to		,		
					"Mana	geable Device (I	MMD) addresses 1,8,9,10 ar	nd 11"	
TYPE: TR	/technical required	ER/editorial required GR/	general required	d T/technical E/editorial G	/general		C/ 1	73	Page 39 of 44
	T STATUS D/dispa	tohod Alapophiad Plrain	atad DESDO	SE STATUS: Olonon W/W	writton C/closed	d Z/withdrown	SC 4	72 1 4	2022 11 20 2:46:5

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 173.1.4 202	2-11-29 3:46:53 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

	P 178	L 51	# 191	C/ 173	SC 173.3	P 179	L 17	# 160
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type T "The PMA receives": cor	Comment Status D nfusing and incomplete.		(bucket1)	Comment T another	/pe E PMA or PMD	Comment Status D		(bucket)
the nominal signaling rat streams to its client.	the PMA receives 32 parall te of the PCSL. In the receives agraph for an 8-lane interfact <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE.	ve direction, it de		Proposed R PROPC Change "anothe to	or another PMA esponse SED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		
to "In the transmit direction 800GBASE-R PCS or th In the receive direction, the nominal signaling rat Change from "The PMA receives PAM each symbol formed from to	14 symbols on each of its in n two bits."	llel bit streams fr e nominal signali bit streams to the put lanes at two t	om either the ng rate of the PCSL. e PMA client, each at	Cl 173 Dawe, Piers Comment T "defined SuggestedF Reconc Proposed R	vpe E in 169.3" but 1 emedy le	P 179 Nvidia Comment Status D 73.2 says "defined in 169.3.1 Response Status W	L 19 "	# <u>161</u> (bucket1
client, each operating at	a nominal signaling rate of el PAM4 symbol streams to	53.125 GBd. In	the receive direction,		SED ACCEPT from "169.3" to	IN PRINCIPLE.) "169.3.1"		

C/ 173 SC 173.4

n, Adee Cisco nment Type E Comment Status D (bucket1) The concept of restricted bit multiplexing appears in this subclause for the first time. It may be helpful for readers to have a cross reference to the definition of this restriction. gestedRemedy		Nvidia Comment Status D					
The concept of restricted bit multiplexing appears in this subclause for the first time. It may be helpful for readers to have a cross reference to the definition of this restriction.		Comment Status D					
be helpful for readers to have a cross reference to the definition of this restriction.	The interface below t			PMA SI			
aested Remedy	instantiated interface	the PMA (8 lanes) connects wi e (800GAUI-8).	th either a PMD	or a physically			
<i>-</i> ,	SuggestedRemedy						
Add the following paragraphs after each of the three bulleted lists on page 180, respectively:		the PMA (8 lanes) either conne e (800GAUI-8) connecting to ar arlv twice more.					
"Bit multiplexing restrictions for the 32:8 PMA are specified in 173.4.2.1."	Proposed Response	Response Status W					
"Bit multiplexing restrictions for the 8:32 PMA are specified in 173.4.2.2."	PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using the res	PT IN PRINCIPLE. sponse to comment #196.					
"Bit multiplexing restrictions for the 8:8 PMA are specified in 173.4.2.3."	C/ 173 SC 173.4	P 181	L 40	# 197			
posed Response Response Status W	Nicholl, Gary	Cisco Syster	-				
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		PMA SI			
173 SC 173.4 P 180 L 10 # 164 we. Piers Nvidia		eed to make it clear if the subla connected over a physically in					
nment Type E Comment Status D (bucket1)	SuggestedRemedy						
32:8 PMA Functional Block Diagram	Update Figure 173-3/	/4/5 to make it clear if the subl connected over a physically in:					
ngestedRemedy	Proposed Response	Response Status W					
32:8 PMA functional block diagram - 3 figures	PROPOSED ACCEP						
posed Response Response Status W	Resolve using the res	sponse to comment #196.					
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In the titles for Figure 173-3, 173-4 and 173-5, change from:	C/ 173 SC 173.4	P 182	L 38	# 196			
"Functional Block Diagram"	Nicholl, Gary	Cisco Syster	ns				
to "functional block diagram"	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PMA SI			
	Figure 173-4 (8:32 PMA) there should be no PMA:IS_SIGNAL.indication towards the F (AUI is not able to transfer an out of band status signal) and possibly no "SIL" block in block diagram.						
	The same comment a	applies to the 8:8 PMA in Figu	re 173-5.				
	SuggestedRemedy	-					
	Remove the PMA:IS Figure 173-5.	_SIGNAL.indication signal and	I the "SIL" block	from Figure 173-4 and			
	Proposed Response	Response Status W					
	address it properly.	•	-	discovered it too late to			
PE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial MMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open V		C/ 1 SC 1	-	Page 41 of 44 2022-11-29 3:46:			

C/ 173	SC 173.4.1	P 183	L 44	# 165	C/ 173	SC 173.4.2.1	P 184	L 10	# 6
Dawe, Piers	6	Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		PCSL interleaving (CC,
The nex	kt sentence say	vs "at the service interface be	ow the PMA"		The re	striction for the 32	2:8 multiplexing is intended	to improve the	FEC performance with
SuggestedF	Remedy						nalysis was done with an Al and B (flow 0) and the follow		
So, this	one should say	y "at its service interface"					the checkerboard scheme		
Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W			the fou	r codewords with	equal probabilities.		
Replace "If the in 8, the P or Anne If the int as 8000 Annex 1	e the text in 173 Interface betwee MA shall meet ax 120G as app terface betwee GAUI-8, the PM 120F or Annex	IN PRINCIPLE. 3.4.1 with the following splittin on the PMA client and the PM the electrical and timing spect propriate at the PMA service in n the sublayer below the PMA IA shall meet the electrical an 120G as appropriate at the se as changed from 180 to 183.]	A is physically in cifications as spe- nterface. A and the PMA is d timing specific	nstantiated as 800GAUI- ecified in Annex 120F s physically instantiated cations as specified in	bits fro from la the ne: Since swaps MSB fi	m A and C and the nes 0 and 16 as at UI. the checkerboard codewords C/D of rom either codew	n does not preclude a difference following UI has bits from MSB+LSB in one UI and bit pattern swaps codewords <i>J</i> on each pair of lanes in flow ord A or B, and the LSB from that of the MSB, this woul	n B and D. For o rs from lanes 1 A/B on each pa 1, this would ro m either codew	example, muxing bits and 17 as MSB+LSB in ir of lanes in flow 0, and esult in always taking the ord C or D. Since the
C/ 173	SC 173.4.2.1		L 10	# 166			rors (33% higher BER than		
Dawe, Piers	6	Nvidia					ed, the result would be an i		
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		PCSL interleaving (CC)		ude) compared to er errors are corre	400GBASE-R, just due to	sub-optimal m	uxing - regardless of
400G E density)	thernet. Howe	int provides a very modest be ver, the rare but much more h s discovered late in P802.3bs this.	narmful "clock co	ontent" (transition	This de	egradation can be one PAM4 symb	prevented by adding a res	triction that two	bits from each flow
					Suggested	,			
 SuggestedRemedy Make this a recommendation "It is recommended that each of the 8 output lanes contain two unique PCSLs from PMA client lanes i = 0 to 15 and two unique PCSLs from PMA client lanes i = 16 to 31". Add constraint: "The arrangement of lanes and their skew shall ensure that the reduced transition density described at the end of 120.5.2 does not occur." 					Change the second item of the first list in 173.4.2.1 from "The multiplexing function has an additional constraint that each of the 8 output lanes contain two unique PCSLs from PMA client lanes $i = 0$ to 15 and two unique PCSLs from PMA client lanes $i = 16$ to 31" to "The multiplexing function has an additional constraint that each of the 8 output lanes				
Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W					Ls from PMA client lanes i		
PROPO	SED REJECT						PCSLs from PMA client lar pol (see 173.4.7)."	101031	encoded as the
18 in the	e adopted PCS	multiplexing specified in Clau 3/PMA baseline brg/3/df/public/22_10/22_1004					n the second item of the se instead of "PMA client lane		.4.2.2 (which has
	Ve are not awa	hat clock content is worse tha re of any harmful issues with			"The 4	PCSLs received	d item of the list in 173.4.2.3 on any input lane shall be r input lane does not have to	napped togethe	

Although some analysis has shown the possibility of reduced clock content, no evidence has been provided to justify further constraints.

"The 4 PCSLs received on any input lane shall be mapped together to an output lane, maintaining the bit pairs encoded on each PAM4 symbol. Other than that, the order of PCSLs from an input lane does not have to be maintained on the output lane."

C/ 173 SC 173.4.2.1

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	

Page 42 of	44
2022-11-29	3:46:53 PM

PROF The c adopt https:	ted baseline (se //www.ieee802	Response Status W CT. I constrainted PCSL multiplexing ee slides 17&18 in c.org/3/df/public/22_10/22_1004 to comment #167.			Cl 173 Dawe, Pie Comment This ca		ا Comment St
C/ 173 Dawe, Pie	SC 173.4. : ers	2.2 P 184 Nvidia	L 37	# 167		<i>IRemedy</i> le "lane shall be r output lane"	mapped togethe
	,,	Comment Status D the receive side, it doesn't know	and can't co	PCSL interleaving (CC) ntrol the PCSLs of the	Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response St
Suggeste	dRemedy				C/ 173	SC 173.4.2.3	
happe	en, if any is ne	practical criterion to ensure that eded, e.g. that each of the 8 out 2 incoming PMA lanes. There is	puts is derive s negligible b	ed from four contiguous enefit in the 4-FEC	Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> "The o		ا Comment St مص an input land

lanes in the set of 32 incoming PMA lanes. There is negligible benefit in the 4-FEC multiplexing on the receive side because there are only PMAs that can make more errors after this, and their maximum error ratios are far lower than the PMD's.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The issue described in the comment is not correct.

Subclause 173.4.2.2 is specifically referring to the 8:32 PMA, which is always co-located with a PHY 800GXS below it (see 173.1.4). In the receive direction , this PMA receives 32 parallel bit streams from the PHY 800GXS. Each one of the 32 bit streams is a specific and known PCSL. The PMA is therefore able to identify the specific PCSLs it is receiving from the PHY 800GXS (from the "PHY_XS:IS_UNITDATA_0:31.indication" service interface primitive) and arrange them appropriately.

This receive direction of the 8:32 PMA is funtionally identical to the transmit direction of the 32:8 PMA, where the 32:8 PMA receives 32 parallel bit streams from the 800GBASE-R PCS above it.

The constrained PCSL multiplexing can thus be performed in accordance with slides 17 and 18 in the adopted PCS/PMA baseline

 $(https://www.ieee 802.org/3/df/public/22_10/22_1004/shrikhande_3df_01a_221004.pdf).$

The clock content mentioned in the suggested remedy are addressed in comments #166, 169, 126, and 127.

C/ 173	SC 173.4.2.3	P 185	L 2	# 168
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
This ca	an be made clea	rer.		
Suggestea	lRemedy			
	e "lane shall be output lane"	mapped together to an outpo	ut lane" to "lane	shall be mapped to the
Proposed		Response Status W		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT	•		
C/ 173	SC 173.4.2.3	P 185	L 3	# 169
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		PCSL interleaving (CC)
"The o	rder of PCSLs fr	om an input lane does not h	ave to be mainta	ained on the output lane"
Suggestea	Remedy			
	0	de the reduced transition de in in the same or reversed o	,	, 0
Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	OSED REJECT.			
Resolv	ve using the resp	onse to comment #166.		
C/ 173	SC 173.4.3.5	P 185	L 49	# 170
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
"group	of PMAs" puzzl	ed me. PMAs are not used	n parallel.	
Suggestea	IRemedy			
Chang	e group to series	s, or sequence		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
The te		vith subclauses 120.5.3.5 ar e proposed changes do not		

C/ 173 SC 173.4.3.5 Page 43 of 44 2022-11-29 3:46:53 PM

CI 173 SC 173	.4.11 <i>P</i> 187	L 20	# 171	C/ 173	SC 173.5	P 189	L 9	# 173
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	5	Nvidia		
Comment Type E As I think 120 do	Comment Status D esn't address precoding		precoding (CC)	Comment T PRBS T	ype E x pattern test	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedF	Remedy			
Does 120.5.11.2	need updating or is there a place	in 135 that addre	sses it?	PRBS 1	x pattern test	ing error counter		
The base standar patterns specified transmitter tests a	Response Status W CEPT IN PRINCIPLE. d is ambiguous about whether pr i in 120.5.11.2. All patterns other and thus should be used without p specified for receiver stress testin	that PRBS31Q and precoding enable the state of the stat	re used only in d. The PRBS31Q	Change 7"	SED ACCEP "PRBS Tx pa	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE. attern testing" to "PRBS Tx p attern testing" to "PRBS Rx p	0	·
precoding based on AUI or PMD type and the receiver preference. An editorial presentation will be provided showing the proposed changes.				C/ 173A	SC 173A	P 226	L 1	# 52
Note that comme	nt #175 address missing control t			Huber, Tom		Nokia		
receive output an	d transmit input.			Comment T		Comment Status D		(bucket1)
C/ 173 SC 173	.5 P 187	L 33	# 172			ferencing figure 173A-3.		()
Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type T Comment Status D (bucket1)			SuggestedF					
Mapping of MDIC	Control variables to PMA contro status variables to PMA status v go in opposite directions.			Proposed R PROPC	<i>esponse</i> ISED ACCEP	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy								
Mapping of PMA Similarly in next s	status variables to MDIO status v entence.	ariables is shown	in Table 173–3.					
Proposed Response	Response Status W							
PROPOSED RE.	ECT.							

The wording is consistent with similar subclauses in multiple clauses in the base standard and is accurate as written. The proposed changes do not improve the accuracy or clarity of the text.

C/ 173A SC 173A