C/ FM	SC FM	P 1	L 31	# 47	C/ FN
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia			Nicho
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comn
		rs, Physical Layers, and man			Т
		ike "the sky", although we have			Sugg
		e types). This should be more ets' self descriptions:	ike the text in ti	ne PAR 5.2.0.	lt
adds	Physical Layer s	specifications and manageme			Propo
		er specifications and manage			P
	2.5 GD/S, 5 GD/S	s, 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s and 50 Gl ters:	o/s Physical Laye	er specifications and	
adds -	400 Gb/s Physic	cal Layer specifications and m		ameters;	C/ 1
		pecifications and managemer		_	Dawe
		er specifications and manage eature of this project is "based			Comn
	ology".			a lane signaling	Μ
		e adding any MAC parameters		"Define Ethernet MAC	
•		oks like we are re-using what	we have).		Sugge A
Suggeste	dRemedy				in
	ge these three to	exts:			Ca
0	1 line 30: amendment inclu	udes Media Access Control pa	arameters for 80	0 Gb/s and Physical	in 8
		ent parameters for 400 Gb/s			
0	3, Abstract:				Propo
		s MAC parameters, Physical L 02.3 format frames at 400 Gb			P A
	13, self descript				In
		udes Physical Layer specifica	tions and manag	ement parameters for	
400 G All to:	b/s and 800 Gb	/s operation.			C/ 45
This a	amendment add	s Physical Layer specification	s and managem	ent parameters for 400	Dawe
Gb/s a	and 800 Gb/s ba	ased on based on 100 Gb/s p	er lane signaling		Comn
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			D ta
-	POSED REJECT				
		deed defining MAC paramete			Sugge
		rs to be the same as for some a 800 Gb/s Ethernet generally			lr 1
		HYs with lane rates other that			8
					8
					Propo
					Р

FM	SC FM	P 8		L 15	# 40	ſ
icholl, Sh	awn	AMD				
omment	Type ER	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)	
There	is a typo in "Gary	Nichol".				
uggested	Remedy					
It shou	ld be "Gary Nicho	oll".				
oposed l	Response	Response Status	w			
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.					
1	SC 1.4.145a	P 3	1	L 1	# 48	6
awe, Pier	rs	Nvidia	a			
omment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)	

Missing definitions for 800GAUI-n C2C and 800GAUI-n C2M

SuggestedRemedy

Add 1.4.145a 800 Gb/s Attachment Unit Interface (800GAUI-n): Two kinds of physical instantiation of the PMA service interface to extend the connection between 800 Gb/s capable PMAs over n lanes, used for chip-to-chip (C2C) or chip-to-module (C2M) interconnections. One width of 800GAUI-n is defined: the eight-lane 800GAUI-8 C2C and 800GAUI-8 C2M. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Annex 120E.)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a new definition for 800GAUI-n based on the definition for 400GAUI-n in 1.4.145. Implement with editorial license.

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.7.5	P 40	L 3	# 49
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		(bucket1)

D1.0 comment 118: Missing entries in transmit fault, *receive fault and transmit disable tables*

SuggestedRemedy

In the tables for receive fault and transmit disable, include rows for 100GBASE-VR1, 100GBASE-SR1, 200GBASE-VR2, 200GBASE-SR2, 400GBASE-VR4, 400GBASE-SR4, 800GBASE-VR8, 800GBASE-SR8 and 400GBASE-DR4, 400GBASE-DR4-2, 800GBASE-DR8, 800GBASE-DR8-2 Revise the rubrics.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 45	Page 1 of 31
SC 45.2.1.7.5	2023-01-20 3:56:23 PM

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.13	8 P 44	L 25	# 50	C/ 45	SC 4	5.2.3.19	P 47	L 28	# 51
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	6		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment T	ype	Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
chip tra 100G/ interfe Annex	ansmitter equaliz lane AUIs or not. rence tolerance '	5-107 - 50GAUI-n, 100GAUI- cation, receive direction, lane Most of 120F implies it doe 'Receiver interference toleral ception that transmitter equa	0 register bit de sn't except 120F nce is defined by	finitions - applies for 5.3.2.4 Receiver / the procedure in		mbled i R <i>emed</i> y DG	dle test p /	ern control register (Register : batterns are defined for 25/40 <i>Response Status</i> W		0GBASE-R PCS only.
Suggested	lRemedy				,	,	CCEPT.	,		
If it do	esn't, say so in th	2.1.135, 45.2.1.136, 45.2.1.1 nese sections because the te	rms "100GAUI-	2, 200GAUI-n, and	C/ 45	SC 4	5.2.3.25	P 47	L 31	# 33
400GA 120F.3		alified n are too wide now, an	d address their	use (or not) in	Ran, Adee			Cisco		
It woul	d help to add the	ese registers to MDIO/PMA v			Comment T	ype	Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
		ere are such tables already,	or the AUI annex	Kes.				e lane alignment register, with		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			in 45.2. essenti			new 45.2.3.26a. With 32 lane	s, we have 32 s	subclauses that are
120F i	e "800GAUI-n" in f appropriate. nent with editoria SC 45.2.3	1 45.2.1.135, 45.2.1.136, 45.2 Il license. P 46	L 26	38 and update Annex # 45	PCSs a It may l	re defir	ned (for e	elpful for readers, and will req example 1.6TBASE-R). e one subclause, 45.2.3.25.1,	with a full defir	nition of "lane 7
Huber, To		Nokia						he remaining bits defined togo ' - as done for example in 45.		
Comment There Table	<i>Type</i> E is some ambigui 45-233. In my u	Comment Status D ty in the use of green vs blac nderstanding, green text is u at is not itself present in this	sed to indicate a		This ca 3.53), a	n remo nd 45.2	ve most 2.3.26a (i	of the text in 45.2.3.25 (for re for register 3.54). It may also milar to 45.2.3.50).	gister 3.52), 45	.2.3.26 (for register
Suggested	Remedy							dress the number of lanes th	at exist in every	PCS when referring to
45.2.3		nding of the convention is co nt in 802.3df (because they a reen text.			Similar	change	,	and clause 172. e applied in 45.2.4.16 and 45.	2.4.16a for PH	Y XS, and in 45.2.5.16
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			Suggested					
ropoodu	OSED ACCEPT.					-		s suggested in the comment,	المتعالية والمعالمة	
'	USED ACCEPT.								with editorial lig	cense
'	USED ACCEPT.				Proposed F			Response Status W	with editorial lic	cense.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.25

CI 45 SC	45.2.3.26.11	P 51	L 34	# 35	Cl 45	SC 45.2.3.48	a P 53	L 46	# 52
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment Type	ER Comme	ent Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Type E	Comment Status)	(bucke
Stray "1" in "((see 1119.2.6.2.2 an	d 172.2.6.2.2)."					on that this is an optiona		3.5 doesn't define the
uggestedRemed	edy				Ŭ	,	es that), it defines the c	ounter.	
Change "111	19" to "119".				Suggested				
roposed Respor PROPOSED		se Status W			See 1 to		ition of this register.		
45 SC	45.2.3.26.a	P 49	L 39	# 34	See 1 Proposed		ition of this optional co Response Status		
Ran, Adee		Cisco			•	OSED ACCEPT	,		
Comment Type	TR Comme	ent Status D		(bucket1)			emedy with editorial lice	ense	
	clauses 45.2.3.2.26.			es 23 through 20, I9.2.2 are not required	C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.48	Bb P 54	L 20	# 53
in these subc		(clause 172). Ref		19.2.2 are not required	Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Similarly in 4	15.2.3.26a.1 through	45.2.3.26a.8 for la	nes 31 through 2	4.	Comment assign	51	Comment Status I s identical to that of bin	-	(bucke
uggestedRemed	edy				Suggested				
	a, change "This bit re 9] (see 172.2.6.2.2)"				for bin				
172.2.6.2.2)".	'.				Proposed	Response	Response Status	N	
172.2.6.2.2)".	r changes in 45.2.3.2	6.b through 45.2.3	.26.d and in 45.2		PROP The w	OSED REJECT ording is correct	,		nprove the accuracy or
172.2.6.2.2)". Apply similar 45.2.3.26a.8.	r changes in 45.2.3.2	6.b through 45.2.3 se S <i>tatu</i> s W	.26.d and in 45.2		PROP The w	OSED REJECT			nprove the accuracy of
172.2.6.2.2)". Apply similar 45.2.3.26a.8. Proposed Respor PROPOSED	r changes in 45.2.3.2 onse Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	se Status W		2.3.26a.1 through	PROP The w	OSED REJECT ording is correct	as written. The propose		mprove the accuracy of # <u>54</u>
172.2.6.2.2)". Apply similar 45.2.3.26a.8. Proposed Respor PROPOSED The suggeste	r changes in 45.2.3.2 onse Response O ACCEPT IN PRINC ed remedy should ref	se Status W IPLE. fer to am_lock[23]		2.3.26a.1 through	PROP The w clarity	OSED REJECT ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48	as written. The propose	ed solution does not ir	· · ·
172.2.6.2.2)". Apply similar 45.2.3.26a.8. roposed Respor PROPOSED The suggeste Implement pr	r changes in 45.2.3.2 onse Response ACCEPT IN PRINC ed remedy should ref roposed remedy, with	se Status W IPLE. fer to am_lock[23] n editorial license.	rather than am_l	2.3.26a.1 through ock[19].	PROP The w clarity <i>Cl</i> 45 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i>	OSED REJECT ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48 rs Type E	as written. The propose b <i>P</i> 54 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> [ed solution does not ir	· · ·
172.2.6.2.2)". Apply similar 45.2.3.26a.8. roposed Respor PROPOSED The suggeste Implement pr	r changes in 45.2.3.2 onse Response O ACCEPT IN PRINC ed remedy should ref	se Status W IPLE. fer to am_lock[23]		2.3.26a.1 through	PROP The w clarity <i>Cl</i> 45 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i>	OSED REJECT ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48 rs Type E	as written. The propose b P 54 Nvidia	ed solution does not ir	# 54
172.2.6.2.2)". Apply similar 45.2.3.26a.8. roposed Respon PROPOSED The suggeste Implement pr	r changes in 45.2.3.2 onse Response ACCEPT IN PRINC ed remedy should ref roposed remedy, with	se Status W IPLE. fer to am_lock[23] n editorial license.	rather than am_l	2.3.26a.1 through ock[19].	PROP The w clarity <i>Cl</i> 45 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> The te <i>Suggested</i>	OSED REJECT ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48 rs Type E xt should mentio <i>IRemedy</i>	as written. The propose b <i>P</i> 54 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> 1 on that this is an optiona	ed solution does not ir	# 54
172.2.6.2.2)". Apply similar 45.2.3.26a.8. roposed Respon PROPOSED The suggeste Implement pr / 45 SC lavick, Jeff omment Type	r changes in 45.2.3.2 onse Response ACCEPT IN PRINC ed remedy should ref roposed remedy, with 45.2.3.26a T Comme	se Status W IPLE. ier to am_lock[23] in editorial license. P 49 Broadcom ent Status D	rather than am_l	2.3.26a.1 through ock[19].	PROP The w clarity <i>Cl</i> 45 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> The te <i>Suggested</i>	OSED REJECT ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48 rs <i>Type</i> E xt should mentio	as written. The propose b <i>P</i> 54 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> 1 on that this is an optiona	ed solution does not ir	# 54
172.2.6.2.2)". Apply similar 45.2.3.26a.8. roposed Respon PROPOSED The suggeste Implement pr / 45 SC lavick, Jeff omment Type	r changes in 45.2.3.2 onse Response ACCEPT IN PRINC ed remedy should ref roposed remedy, with 45.2.3.26a	se Status W IPLE. ier to am_lock[23] n editorial license. P 49 Broadcom ent Status D	rather than am_l	2.3.26a.1 through ock[19]. # 123	PROP The w clarity <i>Cl</i> 45 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> The te <i>Suggested</i>	OSED REJECT ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48 rs Type E xt should mentio <i>Remedy</i> hese counters a	as written. The propose b <i>P</i> 54 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> 1 on that this is an optiona	ed solution does not ir <i>L</i> 23 D al feature.	# 54
172.2.6.2.2)". Apply similar 45.2.3.26a.8. roposed Respon PROPOSED The suggested Implement pr 45 SC slavick, Jeff comment Type df added PCS uggestedRemed	r changes in 45.2.3.2 onse Response ACCEPT IN PRINC ed remedy should ref roposed remedy, with 45.2.3.26a T Comme S lanes 20-31, they c	se Status W IPLE. ier to am_lock[23] n editorial license. P 49 Broadcom ent Status D do not exist in clau	rather than am_l <i>L</i> 39 se 82.	2.3.26a.1 through ock[19]. # 123	PROP The w clarity Cl 45 Dawe, Pie Comment The te Suggestee Add: ti Proposed PROP There	OSED REJECT ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48 rs Type E xt should mention IRemedy hese counters and Response OSED REJECT is no need to me	as written. The propose b P 54 Nvidia Comment Status on that this is an optiona re optional. Response Status	ed solution does not ir <i>L</i> 23 D al feature. N ers are optional in Clau	# <u>54</u> (bucke
172.2.6.2.2)". Apply similar 45.2.3.26a.8. Proposed Respon PROPOSED The suggested Implement pr Cl 45 SC Slavick, Jeff Comment Type df added PCS SuggestedRemed	r changes in 45.2.3.2 onse Response ACCEPT IN PRINC ed remedy should ref roposed remedy, with 45.2.3.26a T Comme S lanes 20-31, they c edy n_lock[##] (see 822.	se Status W IPLE. ier to am_lock[23] n editorial license. P 49 Broadcom ent Status D do not exist in clau	rather than am_l <i>L</i> 39 se 82.	2.3.26a.1 through ock[19]. # 123	PROP The w clarity <i>Cl</i> 45 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> The te <i>Suggested</i> Add: tl <i>Proposed</i> PROP There option	OSED REJECT ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48 rs Type E xt should mention Remedy hese counters and Response OSED REJECT is no need to me ality is mentione	as written. The propose b P 54 Nvidia Comment Status on that this is an optiona re optional. Response Status	ed solution does not ir <i>L</i> 23 D al feature. N ers are optional in Clau ferenced.	# <u>54</u> (bucke

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl	45	
SC	45.2.3.48b	

Page 3 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:23 PM

C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.49	P 54	L 51	# 97	C/ 45	SC 45.2.4.4	.a P 59	L 59	# 44
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia			Dudek, Mi	ke	Marvell		
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
Subje	ct and verbs num	ber don't match (editorial bug	in base docum	ent)	The su	b-clause title is	wrong		
Suggested	dRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
	der changing				Chang	e "400G capab	le" to "800G capable"		
	ontents of the Lan and is invalid oth	e 0 mapping register is valid	when Lane 0 a	ligned bit (3.52.0) is set	Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
to con	tent is is or o	contents are are			PROP	OSED ACCEP	Г.		
	0	clean-up and harmonisation	(contents vs. va	alues) would be helpful.	C/ 45	SC 45.2.4.1	6a P 63	L 25	# 99
	Response	Response Status W			Dawe, Pie	-	Nvidia	- 20	" 55
-	OSED ACCEPT	-			Comment		Comment Status D		(bucket1)
	,	•	1.0	"	5regis	51			(buonoti)
C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.63	P 57	L 8	# 98	Suggested				
Dawe, Pie		Nvidia		(1	00	space. Also in	45.2.5.16a.		
Comment	51	Comment Status D 3 for a definition of this count	or	(bucket1)	Proposed	•	Response Status W		
						OSED ACCEP	•		
Suggested		for a definition of this counte							
					C/ 45	SC 45.2.4.1	6a.1 <i>P</i> 64	L 18	# 36
•	Response	Response Status W			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
	OSED REJECT. non practice in Cla	ause 45 is to use the word "a	nd" where there	is a list of cross	Comment	51	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
refere	nces						15.2.4.16a.1 through 45.2 XS (clause 171, based or		
C/ 45	SC 45.2.4.4.a	P 59	L3	# 46			quired in these subclause		
Huber, To	m	Nokia			Also ir	45 2 5 16a sut	clauses for the DTE XS.		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Suggested				
The tit	tle of the new clau	ise should be 800G capable	rather than 400	G capable	00	,	ge "This bit reflects the st	ate of amps lock[31]	l (see 119.2.6.2.2 and
Suggested	dRemedy						bit reflects the state of an		
Chang	ge 400G to 800G.				Apply	similar changes	in 45.2.4.16a.2 through 4	15 2 4 16a 8 and in 4	15 2 5 16a 1 through
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			45.2.5				
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.				Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
						OSED ACCEP	г		

C/ **45** SC **45.2.4.16a.1**

CI 45	SC 45.2.4.17	P 65	L 25	# 100	C/ 116	SC 116.1.4	P 89	L 9	# 37
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Type ER	Comment Status D		(withdrawn)
		e 118 and Clause 171" to applies to one or the othe	r, at any time.			16-5 column o 2.3ck-2022.	rder is different from the orde	r in the publishe	d Std 802.3db-2022 and
Suggested	dRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
		e 118 or Clause 171 5.22.2, 45.2.5.22.3 and so o	n		Reorde Proposed I		to align with the published sta	andard.	
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			•	OSED REJECT	Response Status Z		
		ause 45 is to use the word "a	and" where there	is a list of cross	-	omment was W	ITHDRAWN by the comment	er.	
C/ 45	SC 45.2.7.12	.3 P78	L 10	# 101	C/ 120	SC 120.5.6	P 90	L 6	# 102
		-	L 10	# 101	Dawe, Pier	s	Nvidia		
Dawe, Pie		Nvidia		<i>a</i>	Comment	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
Comment		Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Annex	120F, which sp	ecifies the 200GAUI-2 and 4	00GAUI-4 interfa	aces for chip-to-chip
type. C	Only one of these	bits in register 7.48 and regis bits is set depending on the C options in these registers a	priority resolution	n function" but is this	applica Annex applica	120G, which sp	pecifies the 200GAUI-2 and 4	00GAUI-4 interfa	aces for chip-to-module
Suggested	dRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Revise	e text if appropria	te			Add 80	0GAUI-8			
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
The bi	POSED REJECT. its listed in the titl bits listed can be	e of 45.2.7.12.3 are all for po	ort types and not	FEC options. Only one	Annex Clause	173 specifies t	he PMA sublayer for 50 Gb/s he PMA for 800 Gb/s Ethern		
CI 90	SC 90	P 86	L 8	# 5	120 wł	ere applicable.			
Brown, Ma	att	Huawei							
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		800GMII signals					
TX_NI	UM_BIT_CHANG	duced two new optional sign E) at the PCS service interfa he 800GBASE-R PCS or the	ice (xMII) used f	or time synchronization					
Suggested	dRemedy								
interfa	ices (800GMII) ai	ignals in the 800GBASE-R P nd as inputs to the PHY 8000 als refer to Clause 90 as app	SXS (service inte	erface below). For a					
Proposed	Response	Response Status W							
	POSED REJECT. ng review of the p	presentation and task force re	eview.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120 SC 120.5.6 Page 5 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:23 PM

C/ 120F SC 120F.1	P 234	L 35	# 136	C/ 120F	SC 120F.5.3)	P 240	L 35	# 138
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		N	/idia		
Comment Type E 0	Comment Status D		(late) (bucket1)	Comment T	/pe E	Comment Stat	us D		(late) (bucket1)
Line 28 says "These interfa	aces", here we have "the	interfaces"		Very wo	rdy, could be	condensed, but co	mpare 1200	G.6.3	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedF	emedy				
If appropriate, change the t 35. Proposed Response R	to these at lines 35 and 4 Response Status W	2, and in 120G p	bage 242 lines 28 and		o, four, or eigh	it independent data GAUI-4 C2C, and 8			
PROPOSED REJECT.				Proposed R	esponse	Response Stat	us W		
On line 28, the word "these time they have been listed to that subject and is intend previous sentence) in the c On lines 35 and 42, the wo the preceding sentence has subject.	as the subject of the prev ded to avoid repeating the current sentence. ord "the" is part of the phra	vious sentence. ⁻ e same list of na ase "the C2C inte	The word "these" refers mes (subject of the erfaces", and in line 42	all four (grouping Howeve Change indepen in each	C2C listed. Th g the statemer r, the word "ai : "One indepe dent data path direction for 4	erefore, using the with "or" instead of appears in the ordent data path in s in each direction	word "and" would red current text each directi for 200GA	as suggested is uce clarity. , and should be on for 100GAUI UI-2 C2C, four ir	
	D	<i>L</i> 1	# 137		AUI-8 C2C"	data noth in anah	liroction for		O tour la deux en deux
C/ 120F SC 120F.2	P 235		# 157		independent	uala paln in each d	inection for	100GA01-1 CZ0	C, two independent
C/ 120F SC 120F.2 Dawe, Piers	P 235 Nvidia			data pa	hs in each dir	ection for 200GAU	-2 C2C, fou	ur independent d	lata paths in each
Dawe, Piers		LI	(late) (bucket1)	data par direction	hs in each dir		-2 C2C, fou	ur independent d	lata paths in each
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia Comment Status D			data par direction 800GAU	hs in each dir 1 for 400GAUI II-8 C2C".	ection for 200GAU -4 C2C, or eight inc	-2 C2C, fou dependent of	ur independent d data paths in eac	lata paths in each ch direction for
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E C The C2C transmitter and th	Nvidia Comment Status D			data pa direction 800GAU C/ 120G	hs in each dir for 400GAUI	ection for 200GAU -4 C2C, or eight inc 1.5	P 246	ur independent d	lata paths in each
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E 0	Nvidia Comment Status D ne receiver use PAM4 sig	inaling.		data pa direction 800GAU <i>CI</i> 120G Ran, Adee	hs in each dir n for 400GAUI II-8 C2C". SC 120G.3.	ection for 200GAU -4 C2C, or eight inc 1.5	I-2 C2C, fou dependent of P 246 sco	ur independent d data paths in eac	lata paths in each ch direction for # 32
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E C The C2C transmitter and th SuggestedRemedy The C2C transmitter and re	Nvidia Comment Status D ne receiver use PAM4 sig	inaling.		data pa direction 800GAU <i>CI</i> 120G Ran, Adee <i>Comment T</i> 120.5.1	hs in each dir n for 400GAUI II-8 C2C". SC 120G.3. <i>ype</i> ER I.2.2 is now in	ection for 200GAU -4 C2C, or eight inc 1.5	P 246 sco	ur independent c data paths in eac <i>L</i> 26	lata paths in each ch direction for # <u>32</u> (bucket1)
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E C The C2C transmitter and the SuggestedRemedy The C2C transmitter and re Proposed Response R	Nvidia Comment Status D ne receiver use PAM4 sig eceiver use PAM4 signali	inaling.		data pa direction 800GAU <i>CI</i> 120G Ran, Adee <i>Comment T</i> 120.5.1	hs in each dir for 400GAUI II-8 C2C". SC 120G.3. <i>ppe</i> ER I.2.2 is now in I20G.3.2.2, 12	ection for 200GAU -4 C2C, or eight ind 1.5 Comment Stat cluded in this draft	P 246 sco	ur independent c data paths in eac <i>L</i> 26	lata paths in each ch direction for # <u>32</u> (bucket1)
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E C The C2C transmitter and th SuggestedRemedy The C2C transmitter and re Proposed Response R	Nvidia Comment Status D ne receiver use PAM4 sig eceiver use PAM4 signali	inaling.		data pa direction 800GAU C/ 120G Ran, Adee Comment T 120.5.1 Also in SuggestedF	hs in each dir for 400GAUI II-8 C2C". SC 120G.3. <i>ype</i> ER I.2.2 is now in 20G.3.2.2, 12 <i>emedy</i>	ection for 200GAU -4 C2C, or eight ind 1.5 Comment Stat cluded in this draft	I-2 C2C, fou dependent of P 246 sco us D 3.3.5.3, 120	ur independent c data paths in eac <i>L</i> 26 DG.3.4.3.2, and ²	lata paths in each ch direction for # <u>32</u> (bucket1)
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E C The C2C transmitter and th SuggestedRemedy The C2C transmitter and re Proposed Response R	Nvidia Comment Status D ne receiver use PAM4 sig eceiver use PAM4 signali	inaling.		data pa direction 800GAU C/ 120G Ran, Adee Comment T 120.5.1 Also in SuggestedF Make al Proposed R	hs in each dir h for 400GAUI JI-8 C2C". SC 120G.3. ype ER 1.2.2 is now in 120G.3.2.2, 12 lemedy l instances of	ection for 200GAU -4 C2C, or eight ind 1.5 Comment Stat cluded in this draft 20G.3.3.5.2, 120G. 120.5.11.2.2 active <i>Response Stat</i>	I-2 C2C, for dependent of P 246 sco us D 3.3.5.3, 120 e cross refe	ur independent c data paths in eac <i>L</i> 26 DG.3.4.3.2, and ²	lata paths in each ch direction for # <u>32</u> (bucket1
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E C The C2C transmitter and th SuggestedRemedy The C2C transmitter and re Proposed Response R	Nvidia Comment Status D ne receiver use PAM4 sig eceiver use PAM4 signali	inaling.		data pa direction 800GAU C/ 120G Ran, Adee Comment T 120.5.1 Also in SuggestedF Make al Proposed R	hs in each dir for 400GAUI II-8 C2C". SC 120G.3. //pe ER I.2.2 is now in I20G.3.2.2, 12 //emedy l instances of esponse	ection for 200GAU -4 C2C, or eight ind 1.5 Comment Stat cluded in this draft 20G.3.3.5.2, 120G. 120.5.11.2.2 active <i>Response Stat</i>	I-2 C2C, for dependent of P 246 sco us D 3.3.5.3, 120 e cross refe	ur independent c data paths in eac <i>L</i> 26 DG.3.4.3.2, and ²	lata paths in each ch direction for # <u>32</u> (bucket1)
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E C The C2C transmitter and th SuggestedRemedy The C2C transmitter and re Proposed Response R	Nvidia Comment Status D ne receiver use PAM4 sig eceiver use PAM4 signali	inaling.		data pa direction 800GAU C/ 120G Ran, Adee Comment T 120.5.1 Also in SuggestedF Make al Proposed R	hs in each dir for 400GAUI II-8 C2C". SC 120G.3. //pe ER I.2.2 is now in I20G.3.2.2, 12 //emedy l instances of esponse	ection for 200GAU -4 C2C, or eight ind 1.5 Comment Stat cluded in this draft 20G.3.3.5.2, 120G. 120.5.11.2.2 active <i>Response Stat</i>	I-2 C2C, for dependent of P 246 sco us D 3.3.5.3, 120 e cross refe	ur independent c data paths in eac <i>L</i> 26 DG.3.4.3.2, and ²	lata paths in each ch direction for # <u>32</u> (bucket1)

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.5

C/ 124 SC 124.1	P 91	L 21	# 103	C/ 124	SC 124.2	P 94	L 39	# 104
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		muxing rules
Need a section to ex but this is simpler. SuggestedRemedy	plain interoperability of DRn ar	nd DRn-2. Comp	pare 140.11 and 151.12	proble modifie	m won't happer cation. But it re	bect, we set the bit multiplexin on 8-lane 800GBASE-R, this emains for 200GBASE-R and	sentence and s	imilar ones will need
30 <i>y</i>	"The 400GBASE-DR4 and 40	0GBASE-DR4-2	PMDs can interoperate		de in Clause 16	57.		
	ded that the fiber optic cabling			Suggested	-			
could be a new subc	met, and similarly for 800GBA lause 124.11a but because it's hat these PMDs can be used f o.	so simple this ti	me and it helps the	to: For Similar	e: See NOTE 400GBASE-D rly in 124.7.2 quivalent texts i	R4 and 400GBASE-DR4-2, N	OTE	
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCER Create new content,	PT IN PRINCIPLE. similar to subclause 140.11.1,	with editorial lice	ense	-	OSED REJEC ⁻ ve using the res	T. sponse to comment #27.		
C/ 124 SC 124.1.1	P 94	L 3	# 130	C/ 124	SC 124.7.1	P 101	L 27	# 105
Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom			Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		PMD FLR	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
Same as previous co	omment			The O	MAouter (max)	limits are all the same (delibe	rately, for interop	perability)
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Change 1.7E-12 to 3	.4E-12			Chang	e "values" to "v	value"		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
See response to con	vious comment" is comment # nment #131.				•	T. es" is generic, independent of	whether values f	or parameters are the
Implement suggeste	d remedy with editorial license			C/ 124	SC 124.7.2	P 104	L 27	# 106
				Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
				Comment 800GE DR8		Comment Status D		(bucket1)
				Suggested	IRemedy			
				Use no	on-breaking hyp	ohen?		
				Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
				-		T IN PRINCIPLE. non-breaking hyphen.		

C/ 124 SC 124.7.2 Page 7 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:23 PM

C/ 124	SC 124.8.1	P 107	L 9	# 107
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
5, 6, c 400GE 400GE	or valid 50GBASI BASE-SR8 signa BASE-VR4, 800	valid 400GBASE-R signal, or E-SR, 100GBASE-SR2, 200G al". 167 has "3, 4, 5, 6, or vali GBASE-VR8, 100GBASE-SR gnal". Is a non-valid 800GBA	BASE-SR4, or d 100GBASE-\ 1, 200GBASE-	√R1, 200GBASE-VR2, SR2, 400GBASE-SR4,
Suggested	dRemedy			
800G	ASE-R signal"	ASE-R signal, or 800GBASE- three times. a we should delete "valid" in m	0	
Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
	POSED REJECT ext of the draft is	not broken. No change requir	ed	
C/ 124	SC 124.8.5	P 107	L 1	# 1
Stassar, F	Peter	Huawei		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		channel
transn for 100 loss to D1.0. be mu chann	nitter is tested us OGBASE-FR1 in olerance specifie The embedded ich clearer if a sp el requirements	let under 124.8.5 "The 400GE sing an optical channel with di 140.7.5.2, and optical return d in Table124–6." was agreed compliance channel requirem becial section be created with following the style of 151.8.5 el requirements for DR type P	spersion and ir loss at the max l as a resolutio ents are some details and esp .1, especially b	nsertion loss as specified kimum for optical return n to comment #130 to what indirect and it would pecially a Table with because there is no
Suggested	dRemedy			
400GE		se 124.8.5.1 with channel req 00GBASE-DR8, and 800GBA tion		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
		IN PRINCIPLE.	sentation	

	30	124.8.9	P 1	09	L 1	# 108
Dawe, Pier	s		Nvidia	a		
Comment ⁻ Missin		E r format iss	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)
Suggested fix	Remec	ly				
	OSED	ACCEPT II	Response Status N PRINCIPLE. nedy, with editorial I			
C/ 124	SC	124.8.9.1	P 1	09	L 11	# 38
Ran, Adee			Cisco	1		
Comment	Гуре	Е	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)
same. Suggested				ptional	, but i assume me	name should be the
Insert '	'(OMA_	_outer)" afte	er "receiver sensitiv	ity", 3 i	nstances in this su	bclause.
Fioposed I	Respor	nse	Response Status	w		
PROP The ex The ter OMAo	OSED isting v rm "rec uter ins	REJECT. wording is c æiver sensi	consistent with the w tivity" is generic and	vording d (OMA	outer) just refers t	
PROP The ex The tel OMAo	OSED isting v rm "rec uter ins ty of th	REJECT. wording is c eiver sensi stead of ave	consistent with the w tivity" is generic and	vording d (OMA oposed	outer) just refers t	o the usage of
The ex The ter OMAor or clari	OSED isting v rm "rec uter ins ty of th SC	REJECT. wording is c eeiver sensi stead of ave e draft.	consistent with the v tivity" is generic and erage power. The pr	vording d (OMA oposec 13	outer) just refers t d change does not	o the usage of improve the accuracy
PROP The ex The te OMAo or clari C/ 124 Ran, Adee Comment	OSED isting v rm "rec uter ins ty of th SC Type	REJECT. wording is c eeiver sensi stead of ave e draft. 124.11.3.3 E	consistent with the v tivity" is generic and erage power. The pr P 1 Cisco Comment Status	vording d (OMA oposed 13 D	Louter) just refers t d change does not <i>L</i> 33	o the usage of improve the accuracy
PROP The ex The te OMAo or clari C/ 124 Ran, Adee Comment IEC 61	OSED isting v rm "rec uter ins ty of th SC Type 754-7-	REJECT. wording is c every sensi- stead of aver e draft. 124.11.3.3 E 4 does not	consistent with the v tivity" is generic and erage power. The pr P 1 Cisco Comment Status	vording d (OMA oposed 13 D	Louter) just refers t d change does not <i>L</i> 33	o the usage of improve the accuracy # <u>39</u> (bucket1)
PROP The ex The ter OMAO or clari <i>Cl</i> 124 Ran, Adee <i>Comment</i> IEC 61 listed. <i>Suggested</i>	OSED isting v rm "rec uter ins ty of th SC Type 754-7- Remec	REJECT. wording is c every sensi- stead of aver- e draft. 124.11.3.3 E 4 does not	consistent with the v tivity" is generic and erage power. The pr P 1 Cisco Comment Status	vording d (OMA oposed 13 D ative re	outer) just refers t d change does not <i>L</i> 33 ferences list (1.3);	o the usage of improve the accuracy # 39 (bucket1)

C/ 124 SC 124.11.3.3

	SC 124.11.3.	.3 <i>P</i> 11	3	L 35	# 6	C/ 162	SC 162.1	P 117	L	4	# 7	
Ran, Adee		Cisco				Ran, Adee		Cisco				
Comment Ty	ype E	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)	Comment 7	ype ER	Comment Status D				(bucket1)
"interfac	ce 7-4-1: <>"	- where is that one de	fined? Is	it also IEC 61	754-7-4?	In the p Also in		k-2022, the definition of	frame loss r	ratio is in 1.4	4.344.	
SuggestedF	Remedy											
Add "as	defined in IEC	61754-7-4" after the	nterface r	name.		Suggested Change	-	4.344", in both clauses.				
(If it's ar	nother docume	nt, add that instead, a	nd make	sure the docu	ment is listed in 1.3).	Proposed F	Response	Response Status W				
Proposed R	lesponse	Response Status	w			PROP	OSED ACCEPT.					
Add "as		IN PRINCIPLE. 61754-7-4" after the e 1.3.	interface r	name and add	a reference to this							
C/ 124	SC 124.12.4.	.4 P11	5	L 24	# 109							
Dawe, Piers	3	Nvidia	I									
Comment Ty	ype E	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)							
Items to	OM12 depend	d on PMD type										
	•	I ON FIND type										
Add ma Also, ad 124.12.4	Remedy jor options for I ljust:	PMD types. These ite										
Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub	Remedy jor options for I just: 4 PICS proform , type 400GBA apatible with 40 desponse OSED ACCEPT poclauses for 400	PMD types. These ite na tables for Physical SE-DR4 0GBASE-R PCS and <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE. 0GBASE-DR4-2, 800	Medium E PMA W	Dependent (PN								
Add ma Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub	Remedy jor options for I just: 4 PICS proform , type 400GBA apatible with 40 desponse OSED ACCEPT poclauses for 400	PMD types. These ite na tables for Physical SE-DR4 00GBASE-R PCS and <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE.	Medium E PMA W	Dependent (PN	MD) sublayer and							
Add ma Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub in-force	Remedy jor options for I just: 4 PICS proform , type 400GBA apatible with 40 desponse OSED ACCEPT poclauses for 400	PMD types. These ite na tables for Physical SE-DR4 0GBASE-R PCS and <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE. 0GBASE-DR4-2, 800	Medium E PMA W GBASE-D	Dependent (PN	MD) sublayer and							
Add ma Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub	Remedy jor options for I jjust: 4 PICS proform a, type 400GBA patible with 400 esponse DSED ACCEPT polauses for 400 124.12.4.2, with SC 162.1	PMD types. These ite na tables for Physical NSE-DR4 00GBASE-R PCS and <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE. 0GBASE-DR4-2, 8000 th editorial license.	Medium D PMA W GBASE-D 6	Dependent (PN R8 and 800G	MD) sublayer and BASE-DR8-2, similar to							
Add ma Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub in-force	Remedy jor options for H djust: 4 PICS proform by type 400GBA patible with 400 esponse DSED ACCEPT bolauses for 400 124.12.4.2, with SC 162.1	PMD types. These ite na tables for Physical SE-DR4 00GBASE-R PCS and <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE. 0GBASE-DR4-2, 8000 th editorial license.	Medium D PMA W GBASE-D	Dependent (PN R8 and 800G	MD) sublayer and BASE-DR8-2, similar to							
Add ma Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub in-force C/ 162 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty The doo	Remedy jor options for H ljust: 4 PICS proform , type 400GBA opatible with 400 response DSED ACCEPT Doclauses for 400 124.12.4.2, with SC 162.1	PMD types. These ite ha tables for Physical SE-DR4 00BASE-R PCS and <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE. 00BASE-DR4-2, 8000 th editorial license. <i>P</i> 11 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> mixture of 800GMII ex	Medium E PMA W GBASE-D 6 D	Dependent (PN IR8 and 800G	MD) sublayer and BASE-DR8-2, similar to # [110 (bucket1)							
Add ma Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub in-force C/ 162 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty The doc "800GM	Remedy jor options for F ljust: 4 PICS proform a, type 400GBA apatible with 400 esponse OSED ACCEPT oclauses for 400 124.12.4.2, with SC 162.1 S ype E cument uses a full Extender Sul	PMD types. These ite ha tables for Physical SE-DR4 00BASE-R PCS and <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE. 00BASE-DR4-2, 8000 th editorial license. <i>P</i> 11 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> mixture of 800GMII ex	Medium E PMA W GBASE-D 6 D	Dependent (PN IR8 and 800G	MD) sublayer and BASE-DR8-2, similar to # [110 (bucket1)							
Add ma, Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub in-force C/ 162 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty The door "800GM SuggestedF	Remedy jor options for F ljust: 4 PICS proform a, type 400GBA apatible with 400 esponse OSED ACCEPT oclauses for 400 124.12.4.2, with SC 162.1 S ype E cument uses a full Extender Sul	PMD types. These ite ha tables for Physical SE-DR4 00BASE-R PCS and <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE. 00BASE-DR4-2, 8000 th editorial license. <i>P</i> 11 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> mixture of 800GMII ex	Medium E PMA W GBASE-D 6 D	Dependent (PN IR8 and 800G	MD) sublayer and BASE-DR8-2, similar to # [110 (bucket1)							
Add ma Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub in-force C/ 162 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty The doo "800GM Suggested R	Remedy jor options for H djust: 4 PICS proform by type 400GBA patible with 400 esponse DSED ACCEPT bolauses for 400 124.12.4.2, with SC 162.1 S ype E cument uses a full Extender Sul Remedy ponsistent	PMD types. These ite ha tables for Physical SE-DR4 00BASE-R PCS and <i>Response Status</i> IN PRINCIPLE. 00BASE-DR4-2, 8000 th editorial license. <i>P</i> 11 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> mixture of 800GMII ex	Medium E PMA W GBASE-D 6 D ctender ar	Dependent (PN IR8 and 800G	MD) sublayer and BASE-DR8-2, similar to # [110 (bucket1)							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 162 SC 162.1 Page 9 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:23 PM

C/ 162 SC 162.1 P 117 L 7 # 131	C/ 162 SC 162.7	P 122	L 47	# 111
Dpsasnick, Eugene Broadcom	Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type TR Comment Status D PMD FLR	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
The FLR value that results from 2.4E-4 BER is referred to in two places, in lines 7 and 10:	Register for lanes 1 to 3	3 7 are located at an offset f	rom the lane 0 re	gister.
"This BER allocation enables a frame loss ratio lower tha 9.2 x 10^-13 after processing by the PCS".	SuggestedRemedy Suggest: Registers for I	anes 1 to 3 7 are located at	offsets from the	lane 0 register.
And on line #10. " to maintain a frame loss ratio lower than 9.2 x 10^-13."	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
This FLR value, 9.2E-13, corresponds to a "non-interleaved" RS(544,514) FEC as used in	Change "Register" to "F			
the 50G & 100G PCS. The value should be changed to 1.7E-12 for 200G and 400G PCS which have 2-way interleaved FEC, and should be changed to 3.4E-12 for 800G PCS with	C/ 162 SC 162.8.1	P 123	L 37	# 8
4-way interleave FEC.	Ran, Adee	Cisco		
This same issue was addressed in comment #62 of 802.3bs D1.3: https://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs_D1p3_comments_final_ID.pdf#page=1	Comment Type E The location of the "NO	Comment Status D TE" in Figure 162-2 is unus	ual.	(bucket1)
3	SuggestedRemedy			
The FLR scaling factor of (1 +MFC)/MFC should be modified to be (1 + 2*MFC)/MFC for	Move the NOTE label to	the lower left of the figure.		
the 2-way interleave PCS and to (1 + 4*MFC)/MFC for the 4-way interleaved PCS.	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
uggestedRemedy	PROPOSED ACCEPT.			
Remove 800G from this paragraph. Keep origin paragraph referring to 200G/400G, but change the FLR value to 1.7E-12.	C/ 162 SC 162.8.11.	I <i>P</i> 130	L 11	# 112
Add a similar pararaph offer this and with references changed from 2000/4000 to 2000	Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Add a similar pargraph after this one with references changed from 200G/400G to 800G and FLR value to 3.4E-12.	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
roposed Response Response Status W		e different to the ETC defaul		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The text in question is from 802.3ck. It is descriptive in nature, and the normative requirement is the BER (at the PMD). For 200G and 400G, it is correct that a BER of 2.4e-4 would result in FLR of 1.7e-12 rather	have the desired effect would be better to give	are independent, there is no of de-correlating the signals the implementer the freedor .168 already says "should".	s of lanes that sha m to make a good	are a polynomial. It
than 9.2e-13, and indeed, in 802.3-2022 clauses 121, 122, 123 and 124 have 1.7e-12. So	SuggestedRemedy			
in clauses 162 and 163 the FLR for 200G and 400G should be changed to 1.7e-12.	Change "the default val	ue of seed_i" to "the recomr	mended default v	alue of seed_i"
For 800G, the same BER results in FLR of 3.4e-12. This is still smaller than the complete physical layer requirement of 6.2e-11.	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
	PROPOSED ACCEPT.	1		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SC 162.8.11.1

2023-01-20 3:56:23 PM

		P 125	L 15	# 9	C/ 163 SC	163.1	P 131	L 7	# 132
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Opsasnick, Euge	ne	Broadcom		
Comment Typ	e ER	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		PMD FLF
		k-2022, the subclause refere the change in the first row is r			Same as prev		iment.		
SuggestedRei	medy	5	, ,		SuggestedRemed				abaula ba abaunad ta
00	-	bclause reference, and delet	e "the first row a	and" in the editorial	3.4E-12.	9/400G SN	ould be changed to 1.7E-12. F	OF 800G, FLR	should be changed to
instruction	٦.				Proposed Respor	nse	Response Status W		
Proposed Res PROPOS	sponse ED ACCEPT.	Response Status W					IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #131.		
C/ 162 S	SC 162.14.3	P 129	L 27	# 113	C/ 167 SC	167.1.1	P 141	L 46	# 133
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Opsasnick, Euge	ne	Broadcom		
Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		PMD FLI
		opy and paste from 802.3cd					ment, except the value is alre w third paragraph in 167.1.1"	ady updated to	1.7E-12 in part that
SuggestedRei					SuggestedRemed				
		:O.2. Also for KR in 163.13.3	3		00		E-12 in two places		
This item In clauses importanc	ED ACCEPT I is from 802.3c s 162 and 163 is in these clai			n, and has no	See response	ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. hent #131. remedy with editorial license.		
	s item in both				C/ 167 SC	167.8.1	P 148	L 41	# 11
C/ 162 S	SC 162.14.3	P 129	L 35	# 10	Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Comment Type	ER	Comment Status D		(bucket1
Comment Typ		Comment Status D		(bucket1)	120.5.11.2.2	is now inc	cluded in this draft.		
•		k-2022, the reference for iter	m PCS400 is 16	52.1	SuggestedRemed				
SuggestedRei					Make 120.5.1	1.2.2 an a	active cross reference.		
-	62.9.4.8 to 16				Proposed Respor	nse	Response Status W		
Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status W			PROPOSED	ACCEPT			

C/ 167 SC 167.8.1

	SC 167.9.2	P 150	L 41	# 114
Dawe, Piers	5	Nvidia		
Comment Ty 800GBA	ype E ASR-VR8	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
SuggestedR 800GBA	Remedy ASE-VR8			
	,	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. -VR8"		
C/ 167	SC 167.10.3.	1a P 154	L 11	# 115
Dawe, Piers	5	Nvidia		
Comment Ty	ype T	Comment Status D		connector
connect		round indicated that "Option east used of three connecto ption.		
SuggestedR	Remedy			
Take wh	natever polls are	e necessary to establish con	sensus and dele	ete Option A.
Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W		
	SED REJECT.	al lane assignment options v	was discussed ir	
commer does no	nt #146 and the t provide suffici note: The com	task force decided to retain ent justification to support th ment page/line were set 154	e suggested rer	nedy.
commer does no [Editor's	nt #146 and the t provide suffici note: The com	ent justification to support th ment page/line were set 154	e suggested rer	nedy.
commer does no [Editor's include f	nt #146 and the t provide suffici note: The com these.]	ent justification to support th ment page/line were set 154	e suggested rer I/11, since the o	nedy. original comment did not
commer does no [Editor's include f C/ 167 Ran, Adee Comment Ty	nt #146 and the t provide suffici note: The com these.] SC 167.10.3.	ent justification to support th ment page/line were set 154 4 P155	Le suggested rer L/11, since the o	medy. original comment did not # 12 (bucket1)
commer does no [Editor's include f C/ 167 Ran, Adee Comment Ty "interfac Suggested R	nt #146 and the t provide suffici note: The com these.] SC 167.10.3. ype E ce 7-4-1: <>" Remedy	ent justification to support th ment page/line were set 154 4 P 155 Cisco Comment Status D	Le suggested rer L/11, since the o L 12 Is it also IEC 61	medy. original comment did not # 12 (bucket1)
commer does no [Editor's include f C/ 167 Ran, Adee Comment Ty "interfac SuggestedR Add "as	nt #146 and the t provide suffici note: The com these.] SC 167.10.3. ype E ce 7-4-1: <>" Remedy defined in IEC	ent justification to support th ment page/line were set 154 4 P 155 Cisco Comment Status D • where is that one defined? 61754-7-4" after the interfac	Le suggested rer L/11, since the o L 12 Is it also IEC 61 Re name.	medy. briginal comment did not # 12 (bucket1) 1754-7-4?
commer does no [Editor's include f C/ 167 Ran, Adee Comment Ty "interfac SuggestedR Add "as	nt #146 and the t provide suffici note: The com these.] SC 167.10.3. ype E se 7-4-1: <>" Remedy defined in IEC nother documer	ent justification to support th ment page/line were set 154 4 P 155 Cisco Comment Status D • where is that one defined?	Le suggested rer L/11, since the o L 12 Is it also IEC 61 Re name.	medy. briginal comment did not # 12 (bucket1) 1754-7-4?

C/ 167	SC 167.11.4	4.6 <i>P</i> 158	L 13	# 116
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
<i>Comment</i> These	51	Comment Status D rk to align them to the clause		PICS
Suggested Remo		ill make this task simpler		
PROP Some Remo comm	fixes to the PIC val of the conne ent #115.	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE. S are required to better align ector option A as proposed in t addressed in comment #13.		
C/ 167	SC 167.11.4	I.6 P 158	L 31	# 13
Ran, Adee	9	Cisco		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		PICS

The status of items OC15 through OC20 includes "AFI:", which makes them conditional on an angled fiber interface. However, the reference 167.10.3.4 also specifies flat fiber interfaces.

The value/comment needs to be different for angled and flat.

SuggestedRemedy

Add or change PICS items for 167.10.3.4 as appropriate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See related slides in brown_3df_xx_2301. !! need URL

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 167 SC 167.11.4.6 Page 12 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:23 PM

C/ 167	SC 167.11.4	4.6	P 158	L 37	# 14		C/ 169	SC	169.5		P 169	L 8	# 118	
Ran, Adee			Cisco				Dawe, Pie	rs			Nvidia			
Comment T	Туре Т	Comment	t Status D			PICS	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment	Status D			skew
1-0 or F	FOCIS 18 R-1) do not appear OC19.	(16-1-0-1-2-0".			esignation FOCIS	6 18 A-	e.g. ht of bits moder 38 ns With t ns), w	tps://iee to buffe n FPG/ out of a he curre hich is u	ee802.org or goes u As don't r total of 2 ent limits, unfortuna	g/3/ba/public/m p with the widt need. For exar 180 ns, which i , the Skew can	ay08/giannako h, we should re nple, if we ass s enough to be be significantl et better prote	opoulos_01_050 evisit this and tal ume 644 Mb/s c e interesting. y more than the	ocked at 160 Mb/s 8.pdf). As the n ke out the paddin locking, we might FEC block time (or bursts on the li	umber g that : save 25.6
Align th	ne value/comm	ient and the su	ıbclause text.							ovenapped in t	ime.			
	Response OSED ACCEP e using the res	, T IN PRINCIP					neces Make	out the a sary, fro coordin	allocation om the al ated cha	locations for P nges in the sub	MA Skew. This oclauses that re		are no longer 12.8 ns for each F limits (e.g. 120.5.	
C/ 169	SC 169.5		P 167	L 14	# 117		Proposed		,	6.2, 167.3.2, 17	,			
Dawe, Piers	S		Nvidia					,		Response S				
Comment T	Туре Е	Comment	t Status D		(bi	ucket1)				onse to comm				
800GA	UI-n interfaces	s)": tautology, a	ambiguous as or	ne could say that	ure 169–8 (multipl t a physically o this differently.	le								
Suggested	Remedy													
169-8 fo In Anne	or a PHY with	multiple 800G	AUI-n"	Ū)GAUI-n and in Fi ire 169-7 and Figi	0								
Proposed R	Response	Response	Status W											
lt is ass 169-7 a	and Figure 169	comment refe -8.		9-4 and Figure 16	69-5, rather than F	Figure								

C/ 169 SC 169.5

C/ 169	SC 169.5	P 169	L 9	# 15
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status D		skew

The skew constraints for 800 Gb/s in ns are the same as those for earlier generations, as early as 40 Gb/s, Table 80-8.

The size of PCS buffers required for deskewing grows linearly with the data rate; the size is quite large even at 400G, and would be doubled at 800G, due to the doubling of the number of PCS lanes. The current skew limit of 160 ns at the PCS receive requires about 150 kilobits per port just for deskewing. This affects both latency and power consumption across the industry.

The original skew limits were probably exaggerated even for 40G, and there is no need to carry them on for new technologies and new PCS designs. The numbers we set in 802.3df will also affect hosts and modules (with XS) in 802.3dj, so are worth considering carefully now.

The numbers below are in "UI" of a PCS lane equal to 37.64706, although most skews are created on physical interfaces where the real UI is 18.82 ps.

- Limit of Skew generated at SP1 is currently 770 "UI", it can safely be reduced to 256 "UI" (512 UI of a PMD, or 8 clock cycles in a typical SerDes).

- Limit of Skew generated at SP2 is currently 1142 "UI", allowing additional skew of ~350 "UI" by the PMA in the module; this can safely be reduced to 128 "UI" (4 clock cycles of a typical SerDes; 384 "UI" including the reduced SP1)

- Limit of Skew generated at SP3 is currently 1434 UI, allowing additional skew of ~290 "UI" by the PMD; this can safely be reduced to 128 "UI" (4 clock cycles of a typical SerDes; 512 "UI" including the reduced SP2)

- Limit of Skew generated at SP4 is currently 3559 UI, allowing additional skew of 2125

"UI" (80 ns, \sim 16 m of fiber) by the media; this can safely be reduced to \sim 4 m of fiber or 512 "UI" (1024 "UI" including the reduced SP3)

- Limit of Skew generated at SP5 is currently 3852 UI, allowing additional skew of ~300 "UI" by the PMD; this can safely be reduced to 128 "UI" (4 clock cycles of a typical SerDes; 1152 "UI" including the reduced SP4)

- Limit of Skew generated at SP6 is currently 4250 UI, allowing additional skew of ~400 "UI" by the PMA; this can safely be reduced to 128 "UI" (4 clock cycles of a typical SerDes; 1280 "UI" including the reduced SP5)

- Limit of Skew generated at the PCS receive is currently 4781 UI, allowing additional skew of ~530 "UI" by the PMA collocated with the PCS; this can safely be reduced to 128 "UI" (4 clock cycles of a typical SerDes; 1408 "UI" including the reduced SP6)

The result could be a reduction of the allowed skew by 70%, which allows a significant saving in PCS buffer size.

The suggested remedy lists skew as an exact number of "UI" and an approximate number in ns (unlike the current table). It can also be the other way around.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the skew table to

Skew point | max skew ns (approx.) | max skew UI

SP1 6.8 256	
SP2 10.2 384	
SP3 13.6 512	
SP4 27.2 1024	
SP5 30.6 1152	
SP6 34 1280	
PCS input 37.4 1408	

Change skew limits in the PCS, PMA, and PMD clauses accordingly.

Proposed R	esponse	Response Status	w			
Pending	review of pres k_03_2301>	IN PRINCIPLE. entation:				
C/ 169	SC 169.5	P 1	69	L 18	# 3	
de Koos, Ar	ndras	Micro	chip Te	echnology		
Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status	D		tir	ne sync

As explained in 802.3cx (D3.3) Clause 90.7.3, transmitter skew can be problematic for timestamping. This should be flagged when discussing the skew limits for SP1, SP2, SP3.

"Lane skew is possible on a transmitter with multiple PCS and PMA/PMD lanes when these lanes have different static latencies such that their alignment markers appear staggered as they depart the device at the MDI output. Since transmit skew in series with medium skew is not strictly additive, transmit skew can contribute to time synchronization error by obscuring the actual latency of the medium. Transmit skew is expected to be minimized, ideally to zero, representing an ideal case for the accuracy of a TimeSync Client."

SuggestedRemedy

After Table 169-5, add a note that for 800GEGb/s devices that implement timestamping, transmitter skew (skew points SP1, SP2 and SP3) should be minimized, ideally to zero. Can point to Clause 90.7.3.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The restrictions that are being requested in this comment apply only when time synchronization accuracy is required. Reqruirements for time synchronzation are specified in Clause 90 (see 802.3cx). Subclause 90.7.3 "Lane skew" makes a recommendation similar to that requested in this comment. There is no need to repeat this in the introductory and sublayer clauses.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 169
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 169.5
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

Page 14 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:23 PM

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The word "same" is superfluous.

C/ 169	SC 169.5		P 169	L 38	# 16		C/ 169	SC	169.6	P 169	L 48	# 17
Ran, Adee			Cisco				Ran, Adee)		Cisco		
Comment	Туре Т	Commen	t Status D			skew	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		FEC degrade
curren It seen	tly 3.4-0.6=2. ns unlikely th	8 ns, correspon at fibers dynami	ding to more tha cally "shrink" or	ne media contribu in 0.5 m of fiber. "expand" (effecti or of 4, to 0.7 ns	ively) that much		signali DFEs	ng. It is and oth erage S	now con	ctionality in clause 119 is not un mon knowledge that correlat ns) can cause FEC failure even this feature measures is not e	ed errors (whiclen when the ave	h can occur due to erage SER is "good", so
				s below SP4 too		ilber).	We no	w have	a better	way to predict FEC performar	nce through the	codeword bin counters,
Suggested	Remedy		·				which	can be		d through management; the F		
	e trie values 1.3 69	in the SP4 row a	and below.				Suggestea	lRemea	ly			
SP5 ⁻ SP6 ⁻	1.5 80 1.7 N/A 5 receive 1.1	9 N/A							and 171. ve this fea	5, and edit other places where ature.	e FEC degrade	is mentioned in this
			PCS, PMA, and	PMD clauses ad	ccordingly.					to the FEC degrade in clause for the 800GBASE-R PCS ar		stating that FEC
Proposed I	Response	Response	Status W				Proposed	Respon	ise	Response Status W		
The dif may be in pola suppor potenti	e due to fiber rization, varia t the sugges al sources of	elay of physical I length (parallel ation in fiber cha ted reduction in f variation. A rigo	fiber only) as we racteristics, etc. length variation	ent on many fact ell as variation in The comment p of 14 cm nor doe f any anticipated	wavelengths, va rovides no evide es it consider ot	ariation ence to	PROPOSED REJECT. The FEC degrade feature is specified for the 200GBASE and 400GBASE PHYs. The adopted baselines for the PCS and 800GXS sublayers proposed that these sublayers be based upon specifications for 200GBASE and 400GBASE PHYs. Thus the initial P802.3d draft included the FEC degrade as an optional feature. For task force discussion.					at these sublayers be
	nedium is rea	quired. presentation:					C/ 169	SC	169.8	P 171	L 9	# 119
!! need	URL						Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
							<i>Comment</i> Same	<i>Type</i> as wha	E t?	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
							notatio	e "conf	orms to t	he same notation and conven ons used in 21.6" or "conforms		

Response Status W

Change "conforms to the same notation and conventions used in 21.6"

To "conforms to the notation and conventions used in 21.6"

C/ 169 SC 169.8 Page 15 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:23 PM

C/ 171	SC 171.1	P 179	L 26	# 124	C/ 171	SC 1	171.2	P 180	L 45	# 55
Slavick, Je	eff	Broadcom			Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		FEC degrad
Suggested Attach Proposed I PROP	IRemedy a footnote to ea Response	UI as Optional but at least one ch Optional that specifies that <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. al license.			should FEC d from th option	In't be m egrade ne modu al for the enerating	naking m from the ule's mar e DTE 80 g FEC de	tional feature of the PCS. As any errors, the main interest is line PCS in the module. The nagement interface. So if it's 00GXS, although one could sp egrade from a bad BER, into the	for the DTE 800 host could hav optional for the plit receiving a	OGXS is in receiving any e got similar information PCS it should be FEC degrade signal,
C/ 171	SC 171.1.1	P 180	L 39	# 120	Delete	"with th	ne additio	onal FEC degrade signaling d	efined in 171.5	
Dawe, Pier		P 180 Nvidia	L 39	# 120	Proposed	Respon	se	Response Status W		
Comment Some Suggested Say tha 800GX is simil Proposed I PROPO	Type E more basic, stra <i>Remedy</i> at the 800GMII E (S, that commun lar to the Clause <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT.	Comment Status D tegic concepts are missing fro Extender uses two PCS-like en icate to each other over an 80 72 PCS, and the PHY 800G) Response Status W	ntities, DTE 800 00GAUI-n. Say (S is similar but	that the DTE 800GXS	A com degrad Comm	promise de signa ient #17	lling may propose	AUI-n may result in a BER that v be beneficial. es deletion of the FEC degrad mment #17.		
C/ 171	SC 171.1.1	P 180	L 40	# 121						
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia								
Extend	OGXS doesn't s ler uses them, o	Comment Status D upport physical instantiations r it. The XGSs connect to the er. A 800GAUI-n has to be ph	m or it. There a							
<i>Suggested</i> Chang instant	<i>Remedy</i> e "The 800GXS iations of the 80	leverages all functions in the 0GAUI-n" to "Each 800GXS le s to a 800GAUI-n, as shown ir	Clause 172 PC everages all fun							
Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W	-							

C/ 171 SC 171.2

Microchip Tech ment Status D 0.7.2, an MII extender of . But as described in C xplain this further, if new T_CHANGE and RX_N such as instantiated xM alignment markers, an- ible, to reduce timestan at an MII Extender devi modification to the MII or codeword markers w	device should av Clause 171, there eeded. NUM_BIT_CHAN /II or AUI), it is re and codeword mar amping accuracy vice (PHY 800GX stream, and any	e is no provision to do IGE are not available ecommended to avoid rkers in the sublayers impairments (see (S + standard 800G y modification of the	Also, "66E SuggestedRen Fix Proposed Res Update fig Implemen Cl 171 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ As in Figu	1-2 contains ti 3" should be " medy sponse ED ACCEPT gure according it with editoria SC 171.3 be T ure 172-2, fund	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. g to Clause 172 and change 6 l license. P182 Nvidia Comment Status D ctional block diagram for the F	6B to 66-bit. <i>L</i> 45	(bucket ed from Figure 172-2. # <u>58</u> (bucket
0.7.2, an MII extender of But as described in C xplain this further, if new T_CHANGE and RX_N such as instantiated xM alignment markers, and ible, to reduce timestan at an MII Extender devit modification to the MII or codeword markers w onse Status W	Clause 171, there eeded. NUM_BIT_CHAN /III or AUI), it is re ind codeword mar imping accuracy vice (PHY 800GX stream, and any	Void insertion/deletion e is no provision to do IGE are not available ecommended to avoid rkers in the sublayers impairments (see (S + standard 800G y modification of the	Figure 17 Also, "66E SuggestedRed Fix Proposed Res PROPOS Update fig Implemen Cl 171 S Dawe, Piers Comment Typ As in Figu	1-2 contains ti 3" should be " medy sponse ED ACCEPT gure according it with editoria SC 171.3 be T ure 172-2, func- medy	he rogue capitals that have just 66-bit", twice Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. g to Clause 172 and change 6 I license. P 182 Nvidia Comment Status D ctional block diagram for the F	6B to 66-bit. <i>L</i> 45	# <u>58</u>
. But as described in C xplain this further, if new T_CHANGE and RX_N such as instantiated xM alignment markers, and ible, to reduce timestan at an MII Extender devi modification to the MII or codeword markers w onse Status W	Clause 171, there eeded. NUM_BIT_CHAN /III or AUI), it is re ind codeword mar imping accuracy vice (PHY 800GX stream, and any	e is no provision to do IGE are not available ecommended to avoid rkers in the sublayers impairments (see (S + standard 800G y modification of the	Also, "66E SuggestedRen Fix Proposed Res Update fig Implemen Cl 171 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ As in Figu	3" should be " medy sponse ED ACCEPT gure according it with editoria SC 171.3 be T ure 172-2, fund medy	66-bit", twice <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. g to Clause 172 and change 6 I license. <i>P</i> 182 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ctional block diagram for the F	6B to 66-bit. <i>L</i> 45	# <u>58</u>
T_CHANGE and RX_N such as instantiated xM alignment markers, and ible, to reduce timestar at an MII Extender devi modification to the MII or codeword markers w onse Status W	NUM_BIT_CHAN /III or AUI), it is re nd codeword mar amping accuracy /ice (PHY 800GX stream, and any	ecommended to avoid rkers in the sublayers impairments (see (S + standard 800G y modification of the	Fix Proposed Res PROPOS Update fig Implemen Cl 171 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ As in Figu Suggested Res	sponse ED ACCEPT gure according it with editoria SC 171.3 De T ure 172-2, fund medy	IN PRINCIPLE. g to Clause 172 and change 6 l license. P 182 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ctional block diagram for the F	L 45	
T_CHANGE and RX_N such as instantiated xM alignment markers, and ible, to reduce timestar at an MII Extender devi modification to the MII or codeword markers w onse Status W	NUM_BIT_CHAN /III or AUI), it is re nd codeword mar amping accuracy /ice (PHY 800GX stream, and any	ecommended to avoid rkers in the sublayers impairments (see (S + standard 800G y modification of the	Proposed Res PROPOS Update fig Implemen Cl 171 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ As in Figu Suggested Ref	, ED ACCEPT gure according it with editoria SC 171.3 SC 171.3 De T ure 172-2, fund <i>medy</i>	IN PRINCIPLE. g to Clause 172 and change 6 l license. P 182 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ctional block diagram for the F	L 45	
auch as instantiated xM alignment markers, and ible, to reduce timestan at an MII Extender devi modification to the MII or codeword markers w onse Status W	All or AUI), it is re ad codeword mar amping accuracy vice (PHY 800GX stream, and any	ecommended to avoid rkers in the sublayers impairments (see (S + standard 800G y modification of the	Cl 171 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ As in Figu	, ED ACCEPT gure according it with editoria SC 171.3 SC 171.3 De T ure 172-2, fund <i>medy</i>	IN PRINCIPLE. g to Clause 172 and change 6 l license. P 182 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ctional block diagram for the F	L 45	
modification to the MII or codeword markers w onse Status W	stream, and any	y modification of the	Dawe, Piers Comment Typ As in Figu SuggestedRet	be T ire 172-2, fund medy	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ctional block diagram for the F	-	
modification to the MII or codeword markers w onse Status W	stream, and any	y modification of the	Comment Typ As in Figu SuggestedRei	ire 172-2, fund medy	Comment Status D ctional block diagram for the F	PCS	(bucket
modification to the MII or codeword markers w onse Status W	stream, and any	y modification of the	As in Figu SuggestedRei	ire 172-2, fund medy	ctional block diagram for the F	PCS	(bucket
onse Status W	with respect to th	e MII, between the	••	•	Han of the 2000MU		
			Flease Inc	ulcale life bus			
			D / D	•			
posed in this comment be stated in Clause 90			Proposed Res PROPOS	sponse ED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
e synchronization. Note	e also that align	ment makers are an		SC 171.3.1	P 183 Broadcom	L 3	# 126
P 181	L 8	# 56		e T	Comment Status D		(bucket
Nvidia			51		ter reference?		
ment Status D		FEC degrade	SuggestedRei	medv			
t very interesting for th	ne errors on the A	AUI inside the	•••	•	recne to 169-3		
ptional for the PCS, it s	should be option	nal for the PHY	Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status W		
			PROPOS	ED ACCEPT.			
e signaling defined in 1	171.5 is included	1."					
onse Status W							
comment #55.							
e o	P 181 Nvidia ment Status D t very interesting for th ptional for the PCS, it e signaling defined in onse Status W	P 181 L 8 Nvidia ment Status D t very interesting for the errors on the a ptional for the PCS, it should be option e signaling defined in 171.5 is included onse Status W	Nvidia ment Status D FEC degrade t very interesting for the errors on the AUI inside the ptional for the PCS, it should be optional for the PHY e signaling defined in 171.5 is included." onse Status W	P 181 L 8 # 56 Slavick, Jeff Nvidia 56 Source, Jeff ment Status D FEC degrade t very interesting for the errors on the AUI inside the ptional for the PCS, it should be optional for the PHY Suggested Res Proposed Res PROPOS e signaling defined in 171.5 is included." W	P 181 L 8 # 56 Slavick, Jeff Nvidia FEC degrade Isn't Figure 169-3 a bet ment Status D FEC degrade t very interesting for the errors on the AUI inside the ptional for the PCS, it should be optional for the PHY SuggestedRemedy c signaling defined in 171.5 is included." Proposed Response onse Status W	P181 L8 # 56 Nvidia FEC degrade ment Status D FEC degrade t very interesting for the errors on the AUI inside the ptional for the PCS, it should be optional for the PHY Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type T Comment Status D Isn't Figure 169-3 a better reference? SuggestedRemedy Change the Figure reference to 169-3 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT.	P181 L8 # 56 Nvidia FEC degrade ment Status D FEC degrade t very interesting for the errors on the AUI inside the ptional for the PCS, it should be optional for the PHY Slavick, Jeff Broadcom e signaling defined in 171.5 is included." Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Movidia FEC degrade SuggestedRemedy Isn't Figure 169-3 a better reference? SuggestedRemedy Change the Figure reference to 169-3 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 171 SC 171.3.1 Page 17 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:23 PM

(bucket1)

(bucket1)

(bucket1)

C/ 171	SC 171.3.2	P 183	L 23	# 18	C/ 171	SC 1	71.7	P 185	L 46	# 60
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Dawe, Pie	ers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	ype E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1
		MA defined in 173.3"	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the state of the second	Broke	n variabl	e name	but it looks like there is space	in this table to	avoid it
it.	a "defined" is su	uperfluous. Compare to the pr	evious paragrap	ons, which do not have	Suggested	dRemedy	/			
SuggestedR	Remedv				Make	the right	column	two characters wider, making	the third colum	in narrower.
00	he first instanc	e of "defined".			Proposed	Respons	se	Response Status W		
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W						IN PRINCIPLE.		
PROPO	SED ACCEPT				Impier	nent sug	gested	remedy with editorial license.		
01 474	SC 474 E	D402	1.40	# [C/ 171	SC 1	71.7	P 186	L 6	# 125
C/ 171	SC 171.5	P 183	L 46	# 4	Slavick, Jo	əff		Broadcom		
Brown, Matt		Huawei			Comment		т	Comment Status D		(bucket1
Comment Ty Support		Comment Status D de in the 800GMII extender su	iblavers requires	800GMII signals		171-3 ar S space.	nd 171-5	map the FEC_cw_counter an	d FEC_codewo	ord_error_bin counters
		CS below, but the base stand			Suggested	•	,			
		the 800GBASE-R PCS and D						n the PHY XS and DTE XS MD	NO space for th	nese counters and man
		ause 118 makes reference to conventions, signals across t						ers appropriately.		
		degrade state and the signal			Proposed	Respons	se	Response Status W		
SuggestedR	Remedy							IN PRINCIPLE.		
		lude FEC degrade signaling a			Impler	ment sug	gested	remedy with editorial license.		
		include the generation of the w FEC degrade signal rather			C/ 171	SC 1	71.8.3	P 189	L 12	# 41
	ation will be pro				Nicholl, SI	nawn		AMD		
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1
	SED REJECT				Fourth	n row of t	able has	s text wrapped in first column.		
Pending	review of cite	d presentation.			Suggested	dRemedy	/			
C/ 171	SC 171.5	P 183	L 49	# 59	Propo	se to wid	len the f	irst column slightly to prevent	wrap of *800G)	KS text.
Dawe, Piers	6	Nvidia			Proposed	Respons	se	Response Status W		
Comment Ty	уре Т	Comment Status D		FEC degrade	-			IN PRINCIPLE.		
		FEC degrade for 800GXS. Ad nd 400GXS. It's optional for t			Impler	nent sug	gested	remedy with editorial license.		
SuggestedR	Remedy									
Add a se	entence: FEC	degrade signaling is optional.								
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W								
PROPO	SED ACCEPT									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 171 SC 171.8.3 Page 18 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:23 PM

CL 474	SC 474 0 4 0	D 400	1 50	# 64	CI 470	SC 470 4 0	DADA	L 47	# 64
C/ 171	SC 171.8.4.3	<i>P</i> 190 Nvidia	L 50	# 61	C/ 172 Dawe, Pie	SC 172.1.3	<i>P</i> 194	L 41	# 64
Dawe, Pie							Nvidia		
Comment	51	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment		Comment Status D		(bucket1)
	-	'deletion" doesn't get a spec	ai capital letter				with essentially the same title Sublayer (PCS) for 64B/66B,		-R
Suggested	-				172.1.	3 Physical Codi	ng Sublayer (PCS)	.)po occe_/.e_	
Chang	ge Deletion to del	etion					Sublayer (PCS)	itia an introduct	lion
Proposed	Response	Response Status W				are e.g. 171:	t see something that indicates	s it's an introduct	lion.
PROP	POSED ACCEPT.				171.8	00GMII Extende	er and 800GMII Extender Subl	ayer (800GXS)	
C/ 171	SC 171.8.4.4	P 191	L 5	# 62		1 Summary of n	najor concepts hard specification subclauses	are one lovel hi	abor)
Dawe, Pie		Nvidia	23	# 0Z	Also n		naru specification subclauses	are one level m	gilei)
,		Comment Status D		(hundratd)		3 Summary of f			
Comment		ist be desynchronised to it's	not overthy on in	(bucket1)		Functions withir	the PMA		
qualifi		ist be desynchronised to it's	not exactly as in	Clause 49 without	Suggestea	-			
Suggested	dRemedv					e the title of 172 BASE-R PCS" o	2.1.3 to "Summary of major co	oncepts", "Princi	pal features of the
00	to 172 instead of	49					2.2 to "Detailed specifications"	of the 800GBAS	SE-R PCS" or
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			equiva				
	POSED ACCEPT	,					4 Functions within the PMA co ons within the PMA	ould be somethin	ig like Detailed
		hown in Figure 49–8"			Proposed		Response Status W		
to "Pe	rforms as describ	ed in 172.4.2.3"			•		IN PRINCIPLE.		
C/ 172	SC 172	P 194	L 1	# 63					
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia					sical Coding Sublayer (PCS)"		
Comment		Comment Status D		(bucket1)	10: "1	2.1.3 Summary	of functions"		
		s 49. Physical Coding Sublay	ver (PCS) for 64F	, ,		e: "172.2.4 Trai			
R. "fo	or" isn't great but I	see why it was there in 49.	Back then, 64B/	66B was new and a big	To: "1	72.2.4 Transmi	function"		
		with 8B/10B. Here, it's only		on the way to	Chang	e "171.1.1 Sum	mary of major concepts"		
		C. Type R is very familiar no 172.7.2.2 differs.	Jw.		To: "17	71.1.1 Summary	of functions"		
Suggested					Implen	nent with editori	al license.		
00		from "172. Physical Coding	Sublaver (PCS) i	or 64B/66B, type					
800G	BASE-R" to 172.	Physical Coding Sublayer (P							
	and in the PICS.								
Proposed	Response	Response Status W							
PROP	POSED ACCEPT.								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 172 SC 172.1.3 Page 19 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:23 PM

C/ 172	SC 172.1.3	P 194	L 53	# 100	C/ 172	SC 172.1.4	P 195	L 21	# 67
Dawe. Pier	-	F 194 Nvidia	L 33	# 128	Dawe. Pie		F 195 Nvidia	L Z I	# 67
Comment		Comment Status D		(buokott)	Comment		Comment Status D		(huokota)
In Sect "based	tion 8, "based on d on" appears 11	" appears 75 times, "based u times, "based upon" 5 times	pon" 9 times. I	<i>(bucket1)</i> n this document,	"It is in Sectio	nportant to note t n 8, for example,	that": pompous fluff, and sing , uses "while this specification and three times without.		
Suggested	•				Suggestea				
Maybe	we should chan	ge all the new "based upon" t	o "based on"		••	•	y one in this draft.		
Proposed I	Response	Response Status W							
	OSED ACCEPT e "based upon" t	IN PRINCIPLE. o "based on" in this Clause			-	OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. to note that, while this speci	figation defines i	interfaces "
C/ 172	SC 172.1.3	P 195	L 5	# 66			tion defines interfaces"	lication dennes	Interfaces
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia			C/ 172	SC 172.2.1	P 197	L 31	# 68
Comment 1	21	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
		ronisation and lane re-orderind appear in this list, particular			Comment		Comment Status D		(bucket1)
	t explanation at i		ly as alignment	. markers appear		•••	out indication. According to	line 5, there are	
Suggested	IRemedv				and R	κ. ,	C C		
	add them				Suggestea	Remedy			
Proposed I	•	Response Status W					ve direction Receive proces ss is a component of the Rec		
								•	
-		IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license.			Proposed	Response	Response Status W	·	
Implem	nent suggested r	emedy with editorial license.			PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
Implem C/ 172	SC 172.1.3	emedy with editorial license. P 195	L 5	# 65	PROP Add "li	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.	e sentence.	
Implem C/ 172 Dawe, Pier	SC 172.1.3	emedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia	L 5		PROP Add "li The se	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the	e sentence. e PCS Synchron	
Implem C/ 172 Dawe, Pier Comment T	nent suggested r SC 172.1.3 rs <i>Type</i> E	emedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D	-	(bucket1)	PROP Add "lu The se continu	OSED ACCEPT In the receive direct Intence becomes Lously monitors	IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the "	e PCS Synchron	nization process
Implem C/ 172 Dawe, Pier Comment T Reed-S	nent suggested r SC 172.1.3 rs <i>Type</i> E Solomon encodir	emedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit bloc	ks. As this cod	<i>(bucket1)</i> le is "systematic", it can	PROP Add "In The se continu <i>CI</i> 172	OSED ACCEPT the receive direct entence becomes uously monitors SC 172.2.1	IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P197	e sentence. e PCS Synchron <i>L</i> 36	
Implem C/ 172 Dawe, Pier Comment T Reed-S be dec	nent suggested r SC 172.1.3 rs <i>Type</i> E Solomon encodir	emedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D	ks. As this cod	<i>(bucket1)</i> le is "systematic", it can	PROP Add "li The se continu C/ 172 Dawe, Pie	OSED ACCEPT the receive direction on the receive direction output the rece	IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P 197 Nvidia	e PCS Synchron	nization process # <mark>69</mark>
Implem Cl 172 Dawe, Pier Comment T Reed-S be dec good to	SC 172.1.3 rs <i>Type</i> E Solomon encodir coded by throwing o mention FEC.	emedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit bloc	ks. As this cod	<i>(bucket1)</i> le is "systematic", it can	PROP Add "In The se continu <i>Cl</i> 172 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i>	OSED ACCEPT to the receive direction outling the receive direction outling the receive direction outling the received direction SC 172.2.1 rs Type E	IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P 197 Nvidia Comment Status D	e PCS Synchron	nization process # <mark>69</mark>
Implem CI 172 Dawe, Pier Comment 7 Reed-S be dec good to Suggested	SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodir coded by throwing o mention FEC. IRemedy	emedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit bloc	ks. As this cod at's not the poi	<i>(bucket1)</i> le is "systematic", it can nt. Also, it would be	PROP Add "In The se continu <i>Cl</i> 172 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> and the	OSED ACCEPT in the receive direct intence becomes uously monitors SC 172.2.1 rs Type E en reordered, de	IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P 197 Nvidia	e PCS Synchron	nization process # <mark>69</mark>
Implem Cl 172 Dawe, Pier Comment T Reed-S be dec good to Suggested Chang	SC 172.1.3 rs <i>Type</i> E Solomon encodir coded by throwing o mention FEC. <i>IRemedy</i> le to "Encoding (d	emedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit bloc g away the parity block, but the decoding with correction) the	ks. As this cod at's not the poi	<i>(bucket1)</i> le is "systematic", it can nt. Also, it would be	Cl 172 Dawe, Pie Comment and the Suggested	OSED ACCEPT in the receive direct intence becomes uously monitors . SC 172.2.1 rs Type E en reordered, de Remedy	IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P 197 Nvidia Comment Status D skewed, and the align_status	e PCS Synchron	nization process # [<u>69</u> (bucket1)
Implem Cl 172 Dawe, Pier Comment T Reed-S be dec good to Suggested Change Proposed F	SC 172.1.3 rs <i>Type</i> E Solomon encodir coded by throwing o mention FEC. <i>IRemedy</i> le to "Encoding (d	emedy with editorial license. <i>P</i> 195 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ng (decoding) the 257-bit bloc g away the parity block, but th	ks. As this cod at's not the poi	<i>(bucket1)</i> le is "systematic", it can nt. Also, it would be	PROP Add "In The secontine <i>Cl</i> 172 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> and the <i>Suggested</i> and the	OSED ACCEPT the receive direct intence becomes uously monitors SC 172.2.1 rs Type E en reordered, de <i>Remedy</i> en reordered and	IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P197 Nvidia Comment Status D skewed, and the align_status	e PCS Synchron	nization process # [<u>69</u> (bucket1)
Implem C/ 172 Dawe, Pier Comment T Reed-S be dec good to Suggested Chang Proposed F PROPO The RS	nent suggested r SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodir coded by throwing o mention FEC. IRemedy le to "Encoding (or Response OSED REJECT. S decoder is spe	emedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit bloc g away the parity block, but the decoding with correction) the	ks. As this cod hat's not the poi 257-bit blocks v	<i>(bucket1)</i> le is "systematic", it can nt. Also, it would be with Reed-Solomon FEC	PROP Add "In The se continu <i>Cl</i> 172 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> and the <i>Suggested</i> and the <i>Proposed</i>	OSED ACCEPT in the receive direct intence becomes uously monitors SC 172.2.1 rs Type E en reordered, de <i>Reemedy</i> en reordered and <i>Response</i>	IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " <i>P</i> 197 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D skewed, and the align_status d deskewed, and the align_st	e PCS Synchron	nization process # [<u>69</u> (bucket1)
Implem CI 172 Dawe, Pier Comment T Reed-S be dec good to Suggested Chang Proposed I PROPO The RS the dec	nent suggested r SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodir coded by throwing o mention FEC. IRemedy le to "Encoding (or Response OSED REJECT. S decoder is spe coder.	emedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit bloc g away the parity block, but the decoding with correction) the Response Status W cified in 119.2.5.3 which lists	ks. As this cod hat's not the poi 257-bit blocks v correction as o	<i>(bucket1)</i> le is "systematic", it can nt. Also, it would be with Reed-Solomon FEC ne of the functions of	PROP Add "In The se continu <i>Cl</i> 172 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> and the <i>Suggested</i> and the <i>Proposed</i>	OSED ACCEPT the receive direct intence becomes uously monitors SC 172.2.1 rs Type E en reordered, de <i>Remedy</i> en reordered and	IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " <i>P</i> 197 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D skewed, and the align_status d deskewed, and the align_st	e PCS Synchron	nization process # [<u>69</u> (bucket1)
Implem Cl 172 Dawe, Pier Comment 7 Reed-S be dec good to Suggested Change Proposed R PROPO The RS the dec Per 119	SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodir coded by throwing o mention FEC. <i>IRemedy</i> le to "Encoding (o <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT. S decoder is spe coder. 9.2.5.3 Reed-So	P 195 P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit bloc g away the parity block, but th decoding with correction) the Response Status W	ks. As this cod hat's not the point 257-bit blocks we correction as o blomon decode	<i>(bucket1)</i> le is "systematic", it can nt. Also, it would be with Reed-Solomon FEC ne of the functions of r extracts the message	PROP Add "In The se continu <i>Cl</i> 172 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> and the <i>Suggested</i> and the <i>Proposed</i>	OSED ACCEPT in the receive direct intence becomes uously monitors SC 172.2.1 rs Type E en reordered, de <i>Reemedy</i> en reordered and <i>Response</i>	IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " <i>P</i> 197 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D skewed, and the align_status d deskewed, and the align_st	e PCS Synchron	nization process # <mark>69</mark> (bucket1)
Implem Cl 172 Dawe, Pier Comment 7 Reed-S be dec good to Suggested Chang Proposed R PROPO The RS the dec Per 11 symbol The pro	SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodir coded by throwing o mention FEC. <i>IRemedy</i> le to "Encoding (or <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT. S decoder is spe coder. 9.2.5.3 Reed-So ols from the coder	emedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit bloc g away the parity block, but the decoding with correction) the Response Status W cified in 119.2.5.3 which lists lomon decoder "The Reed-So	ks. As this cod hat's not the point 257-bit blocks we correction as o blomon decode sary, and disca	<i>(bucket1)</i> le is "systematic", it can nt. Also, it would be with Reed-Solomon FEC ne of the functions of r extracts the message ards the parity symbols."	PROP Add "In The se continu <i>Cl</i> 172 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> and the <i>Suggested</i> and the <i>Proposed</i>	OSED ACCEPT in the receive direct intence becomes uously monitors SC 172.2.1 rs Type E en reordered, de <i>Reemedy</i> en reordered and <i>Response</i>	IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " <i>P</i> 197 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D skewed, and the align_status d deskewed, and the align_st	e PCS Synchron	nization process # <mark>69</mark> (bucket1)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 172 Page 20 of 31 SC 172.2.1

2023-01-20 3:56:24 PM

C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.1.1	P 198	L 28	# 42	C/ 172	SC 172.2.4	.1.1 <i>P</i> 19	08 L 37	# 71
Nicholl, Shav	wn	AMD			Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status D		stateless enc-dec	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D	(bucket1)
		stateless encoder at both 4			Usuall	y we write func	tion(something) with no	space	
		172.2.4.1.1 (PCS stateles	s encoder) into (Clause 119 directly.	Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedRe	-				Delete	"alternative".	Also in Table 172-4.		
(PCS sta Or replac	ateless encoder), ce with "tx_raw ve	sub-clause 119.2.4.1.1 cor except replace (twice) "80 ector(s)" instead. Encode and rate matching	0GMII vector(s)"	' with "MII vector(s)".	-	, OSED ACCEP	Response Status T IN PRINCIPLE. etween the functions a		ble 172-1 and Table 172-
Figure 1	19-14." to " stat	te diagram as shown in Fig the stateless encoder spe	gure 119-14 or (fo	or 400GBASE-R PCS	4. C/ 172	SC 172.2.4	.1.1 <i>P</i> 19	08 L 37	# 19
In out of	louioo 172 2 4 1 (I	Encode, rate matching, and	d block distributio	on) ohongo "ototologo	Ran, Adee	•	Cisco		
		2.4.1.1." to "stateless enco			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	D	(bucket1)
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W			Table	172–1 has "res	et" as the first column,	but reset is not define	ed in clause 172.
		by the P802.3df PAR, any			1 <u>7</u> 1aw	are not denne	d anywhere in this draft		
apply on therefore Cl 172	e out of scope for SC 172.2.4.1.1	P 198	L 32	# 70	Suggested Add te	<i>Remedy</i> xt pointing to th	-	K_T and EBLOCK_T	in 119.2.6.2.1, reset and
apply on therefore <i>Cl</i> 172 Dawe, Piers	SC 172.2.4.1.1	this project. P 198 Nvidia		# [70	Suggested Add te tx_raw Proposed	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response	ne definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC <i>Response Status</i>	K_T and EBLOCK_T ODE in 119.2.6.2.3.	in 119.2.6.2.1, reset and
apply on therefore Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate	SC 172.2.4.1.1 SC T YPE T a alternative: sh	this project. P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D nouldn't it be the same wor	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggested Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response OSED ACCEP	ne definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W	in 119.2.6.2.1, reset and
apply on therefore Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate unneces	SC 172.2.4.1.1 SC T2.2.4.1.1 The alternative: shipsary and there is	this project. P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggested Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response OSED ACCEP	ne definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC <i>Response Status</i> T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editor	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W ial license.	in 119.2.6.2.1, reset and
apply on therefore Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate unneces SuggestedRe	e out of scope for SC 172.2.4.1.1 ype T e alternative: sh ssary and there is emedy	P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D nouldn't it be the same wor no other stateless encode	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggestec Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP Impler	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugge SC 172.2.4	ne definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC <i>Response Status</i> T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editor	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W ial license. 18 <i>L</i> 39	
apply on therefore CI 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate unneces SuggestedRe Delete "a	SC 172.2.4.1.1 SC 172.2.4.1.1 Ape T a alternative: sh sary and there is amedy alternative". Also	this project. P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D nouldn't it be the same wor no other stateless encode p in 172.2.5.8.1.	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggested Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP Impler Cl 172	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugge SC 172.2.4 rs	ne definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC <i>Response Status</i> T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editor 1.1 P19	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W ial license. 8 <i>L</i> 39	
apply on therefore Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate unneces SuggestedRe Delete "a Proposed Re	SC 172.2.4.1.1 SC 172.2.4.1.1 Ape T a alternative: sh sary and there is amedy alternative". Also	P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D nouldn't it be the same wor no other stateless encode	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggestec Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP Impler Cl 172 Dawe, Pie Comment Becau	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugge SC 172.2.4 rs Type T se Figure 119-	the definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC Response Status T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editor 1.1 P19 Nvidia	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W ial license. 18 <i>L</i> 39	# <u>72</u> (bucket1)
apply on therefore Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate unneces SuggestedRe Delete "a Proposed Re	e out of scope for SC 172.2.4.1.1 ype T a alternative: sh sary and there is emedy alternative". Also esponse	this project. P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D nouldn't it be the same wor no other stateless encode p in 172.2.5.8.1.	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggestec Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP Impler Cl 172 Dawe, Pie Comment Becau	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2. Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugge SC 172.2.4 rs Type T se Figure 119- CK_T, C, T, S,	the definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC Response Status T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editor 1.1 P19 Nvidia Comment Status 14 specifically doesn't a	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W ial license. 18 <i>L</i> 39	# <u>72</u> (bucket1)
apply on therefore Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate unneces SuggestedRe Delete "a Proposed Re	e out of scope for SC 172.2.4.1.1 ype T a alternative: sh sary and there is emedy alternative". Also esponse	this project. P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D nouldn't it be the same wor no other stateless encode p in 172.2.5.8.1.	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggested Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP Impler Cl 172 Dawe, Pie Comment Becau LBLO0 Suggested	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugge SC 172.2.4 rs Type T se Figure 119- CK_T, C, T, S, IRemedy	the definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC Response Status T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editor 1.1 P19 Nvidia Comment Status 14 specifically doesn't a	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W ial license. B8 <i>L</i> 39 D pply, we need cross-	# <u>72</u> (bucket1) references to define
apply on therefore Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate unneces SuggestedRe Delete "a Proposed Re	e out of scope for SC 172.2.4.1.1 ype T a alternative: sh sary and there is emedy alternative". Also esponse	this project. P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D nouldn't it be the same wor no other stateless encode p in 172.2.5.8.1.	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggested Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP Impler Cl 172 Dawe, Pie Comment Becau LBLO0 Suggested	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugge SC 172.2.4 rs Type T se Figure 119- CK_T, C, T, S, Remedy e the cross-refe	ne definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC Response Status T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editor 1.1 P19 Nvidia Comment Status 14 specifically doesn't a ENCODE and so on	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W ial license. B8 <i>L</i> 39 D pply, we need cross-	# <u>72</u> (bucket1) references to define

C/ 172 SC 172.2.4.1.1 Page 21 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:24 PM

C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.1.1	P 198	L 40	# 20	C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.3	P 199	L 10	# 21
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		scramble
These s is not a would be In additie	eem to be based state diagram, a e preferable (as ion, for each of th	TYPE (tx_raw_i-1)" has cel d on the state diagram conv nd the letters are not condi in the similar Table 172–4). hese two strings there are t e can be merged with the v	vention that "+" is tions, so it isn't ve wo rows with two	a logical-OR, but this ery clear. Using "or" values in "T_TYPE	outputs w are muxe The scra the initial	will be equal. The ed together into mbler specification value for the s	re initialized to the same value his may cause various proble the same physical lane, sur- ation goes back to 49.2.6 wh scrambler". But implementatione new concern, some guida	ems when PCSL ch as pairs of ide ich says "there is ions may force s	s from the two flows entical PAM4 symbols. s no requirement on ome initial value, e.g.
SuggestedR	Remedy				A preser	ntation with mor	re details will be supplied.		
		single row with columns:			SuggestedRe		te details will be supplied.		
Merge ro	ows 3 and 4 to a D D or T ENC	CODE (tx_raw_i)". single row with columns: CODE (tx_raw_i)".			Add the "Althoug	following parag h there is no re ntation sets the	raph in 172.2.4.3: quirement on the initial value e scrambler state at any time		
PROPO	SED ACCEPT I		of rowo doop not	improve the ecouropy	scramble Proposed Re		et to different states." Response Status W		
PROPO The tabl or clarity Also, red Replace	SED ACCEPT II le is accurate as y of the specifica ordering the rows a "+" with "or" in T	N PRINCIPLE. is. The proposed merging tion. However, the "+" syml s would be helpful. Tables 172-1 and 172-4.	bol should be cha		Proposed Re PROPOS Pending	esponse SED ACCEPT review of the p	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. presentation by commentor a		
PROPO The tabl or clarity Also, red Replace Move ro	SED ACCEPT II le is accurate as y of the specifica ordering the rows > "+" with "or" in T w 5 to row 2, wh	N PRINCIPLE. is. The proposed merging tion. However, the "+" syml s would be helpful. Tables 172-1 and 172-4. ere row 1 is the row with re	bol should be cha set = 1.	anged to the word "or".	Proposed Re PROPOS Pending Cl 172	esponse SED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. resentation by commentor a P 199	ind task force rev	view. # [74
PROPO The tabl or clarity Also, red Replace Move ro	SED ACCEPT II le is accurate as of the specifica ordering the rows e "+" with "or" in T w 5 to row 2, wh SC 172.2.4.1.1	N PRINCIPLE. is. The proposed merging tion. However, the "+" syml s would be helpful. Tables 172-1 and 172-4. ere row 1 is the row with re	bol should be cha		Proposed Re PROPOS Pending	esponse SED ACCEPT review of the p SC 172.2.4.3	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. presentation by commentor a		
PROPO The tabl or clarity Also, rec Replace Move ro C/ 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty No indic	SED ACCEPT II le is accurate as ordering the specifica ordering the rows e "+" with "or" in T w 5 to row 2, wh SC 172.2.4.1.1 sype T cation as to how t	N PRINCIPLE. is. The proposed merging tion. However, the "+" syml s would be helpful. Tables 172-1 and 172-4. ere row 1 is the row with re	bol should be cha set = 1.	anged to the word "or".	Proposed Re PROPOS Pending Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty The two after rest offset by	esponse SED ACCEPT review of the p SC 172.2.4.3 pe TR scramblers mu tricted bit multip more than the	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. resentation by commentor a P 199 Nvidia	L 10 bid a gross failure ard to say wheth er any offset is er	# 74 scramble e of signal statistics er they need to be nough. However, it's
PROPO The tabl or clarity Also, red Replace Move ro Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty No indic SuggestedR	SED ACCEPT II le is accurate as ordering the rows e "+" with "or" in T w 5 to row 2, wh SC 172.2.4.1.1 Sype T cation as to how f Remedy	N PRINCIPLE. is. The proposed merging tion. However, the "+" syml s would be helpful. Tables 172-1 and 172-4. ere row 1 is the row with re P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D to add block types	bol should be cha set = 1. <i>L</i> 40	anged to the word "or". # 73	Proposed Re PROPOS Pending Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty The two after rest offset by	esponse SED ACCEPT review of the p SC 172.2.4.3 pe TR scramblers mut tricted bit multip more than the y to provide a b	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. resentation by commentor a P 199 Nvidia Comment Status D ist be desynchronised to avo plexing the two flows. It is his Skew limit at SP1 or whether	L 10 bid a gross failure ard to say wheth er any offset is er	# 74 scramble e of signal statistics er they need to be nough. However, it's
PROPO The tabl or clarity Also, rec Replace Move ro Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty No indic SuggestedR If you m Proposed R	SED ACCEPT II le is accurate as ordering the specifica ordering the rows e "+" with "or" in T w 5 to row 2, wh SC 172.2.4.1.1 Sype T cation as to how the Remedy uean "or" as in Ta	N PRINCIPLE. is. The proposed merging tion. However, the "+" syml s would be helpful. Tables 172-1 and 172-4. ere row 1 is the row with re P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D	bol should be cha set = 1. <i>L</i> 40	anged to the word "or". # 73	Proposed Re PROPOS Pending Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty The two after rest offset by very eas Suggested Re Say that enough s	esponse SED ACCEPT review of the p SC 172.2.4.3 pe TR scramblers mu tricted bit multip more than the y to provide a b emedy the two scramb	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. resentation by commentor a P 199 Nvidia Comment Status D ist be desynchronised to avo plexing the two flows. It is his Skew limit at SP1 or whether	L 10 bid a gross failure ard to say wheth- er any offset is er ramblers' initial c at their outputs a	# 74 scramble e of signal statistics er they need to be nough. However, it's conditions appropriately. are offset by at least
PROPO The tabl or clarity Also, rec Replace Move ro Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty No indic SuggestedR If you m Proposed R	SED ACCEPT II le is accurate as ordering the rows e "+" with "or" in T w 5 to row 2, wh SC 172.2.4.1.1 Sype T cation as to how the Remedy hean "or" as in Tate response	N PRINCIPLE. is. The proposed merging tion. However, the "+" syml is would be helpful. Tables 172-1 and 172-4. ere row 1 is the row with re <i>P</i> 198 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D to add block types able 172-4, change + to or,	bol should be cha set = 1. <i>L</i> 40	anged to the word "or". # 73	Proposed Re PROPOS Pending Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty The two after rest offset by very eas Suggested Re Say that enough s	esponse SED ACCEPT review of the p SC 172.2.4.3 pe TR scramblers mu tricted bit multip more than the y to provide a b emedy the two scrambles that they will ID signals.	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. presentation by commentor a P 199 Nvidia Comment Status D Ist be desynchronised to avo plexing the two flows. It is has Skew limit at SP1 or whether big offset by choosing the sc	L 10 bid a gross failure ard to say wheth- er any offset is er ramblers' initial c at their outputs a	# 74 scramble e of signal statistics er they need to be nough. However, it's conditions appropriately. are offset by at least

C/ 172 SC 172.2.4.3

	.4 P 199	L 23	# 75	C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.	4 P 200	L 4	# 22
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
"n" SuggestedRemedy					CS AM tables d contents).	o not convey to the reader	the structure of the	e AMs (common and
•••	er of things (cardinal number) ar more usual?	nd i is an index (c	ordinal) number.	This ca - CM0,	an be improved CM1, CM2 (sti	by splitting the "Encoding" addled, the same values for	column into 4 colu or all lanes)	umns:
Proposed Response	Response Status W				unique per lan			
PROPOSED ACCEP Change variable "n" t	PT IN PRINCIPLE. to "k" in 172.2.4.4 and in Figure	ə 172-3.			est (unique per	addled, the same values fo lane)	or all lanes)	
7 172 SC 172.2.4	.4 <i>P</i> 199	L 25	# 76	The tw	o tables can als	so be joined to one table wi	th 32 rows.	
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Suggested	Remedy			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)		e tables 172-2 ler merging the	and 172-3 as described.		
from 119.2.4.4. Also difference between th SuggestedRemedy		ne tables, we can	say what the	Proposed I PROP The for	Response OSED REJECT rmat of tables 1	Response Status W		rom Cl119. There isn't
to UM5 are unique pe	and Table 172-3, CM0 to CM5 er lane, and UP0 to UP2 are a s those for lanes 0 to 15, respe	pad per lane. UF		C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.		L 5	# 77
Proposed Response	Response Status W	iouvoly.		Dawe, Pier Comment		Nvidia Comment Status D		(hundrate)
	•				51	very hard to use because th	ne cheaders don't	(bucket1)
PROPOSED REJEC	and the state of t		describes the CM, UM	~colum		very hard to use because th		
Subclause 172.2.4.4			110					
Subclause 172.2.4.4	ed to repeat it since the clause	e refers to Clause	115.	Suggested	Remedy			
Subclause 172.2.4.4		refers to Clause	110.			sert a space after each cor	nma	
Subclause 172.2.4.4		e refers to Clause			header row, in	sert a space after each cor Response Status W	mma	

C/ 172 SC 172.2.4.4

C/ 172	SC 17	2.2.4.4	P 201	L 39	# 78	C/ 172	SC	172.2.5.2	P 203	L 12	# 80
Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	ers		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре І	E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	t Type	Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1
х									ved on different lanes of the	service interface	e from which they were
uggested	Remedy					•	•		eeds rewording?		
Use m	ultiplicatio	on symbo	ol, twice			Suggeste		dy			
•	Response OSED AC		Response Status W			orderi	ignals r	ne transmitt	a PCS can contain PCSLs i ing PCS. The PCS receiver		
C/ 172	SC 17	2.2.4.9	P 202	L 48	# 122	Proposed	- I Respoi	nse	Response Status W		
Slavick, J	eff		Broadcom			-		REJECT.			
Comment	Туре -	т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)				ith the text Clause 119. The		
			nostic to the MII rate for refer	rencing in the fu	ture. We could refer	propo	sed ren	nedy does	not improve the clarity or acc	curacy of the dra	aft.
	service in	iterface i	nsteead.			C/ 172	SC	172.2.5.8.	1 P 204	L 10	# 43
Suggested						Nicholl, S	Shawn		AMD		
	·		GMII" to "PCS, at the PCS s	ervice interface	2	Comment	t Type	TR	Comment Status D		stateless enc-de
Proposed	Response	9	Response Status W						stateless decoder at both 4		
PROF	OSED RE	EJECT.				place	the nev	w sub-claus	e 172.2.5.8.1 (PCS stateles	s decoder) into	Clause 119 directly.
			S for 800 Gb/s Ethernet so			Suggeste	dReme	dy			
			term 800GMII is more freque			••			v sub-clause 119.2.5.8.1 cor	taining the curre	ent text of 172.2.5.8.1
	of the dra		text. The proposed change of	ioes not improv	e the accuracy or), except replace "800GMII v		
olarity						with "	rx_raw v	vector" inst	ead.		
C/ 172	SC 17	2.2.4.9	P 202	L 52	# 79	In sut	n-clause	119258	(Decode and rate matching)	change "sta	te diagram as shown in
Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia						ate diagram as shown in Fig		
Comment	Туре -	т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	or 800	OGBASI	E-R PCS) b	by the stateless decoder spe	cified in 119.2.5	.8.1."
test-pa	attern app	oly also?	attern control register (bit 3.4	2.3). But does	3.42.7 Scrambled idle				(Block collection, decode, a 2.2.5.8.1." to "stateless deco		
Suggestee	,					Proposed	l Respoi	nse	Response Status W		
Please	e clarify, a	and pleas	e refer to 172.3.1 PCS MDIC	D function mapp	ing	PROF	POSED	REJECT.			
Proposed	Response	e	Response Status W			Resol	lve usin	g the respo	onse to comment #42.		
	OSED RE										
			s were implemented for lowe								
			PCS supported more than o								
			SE-R, and now 800GBASE-F ct a pattern type is not requir								
60.00		ot specifi	ed for use with any PCS in the	he base standa	d. The scrambled idle						
so a s 45.2.3	. 19. 1 15 110										
45.2.3			led or disabled using bit 3.42								
45.2.3											
45.2.3											

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 172 SC 172.2.5.8.1 Page 24 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:24 PM

C/ 172	SC 172.2.5.8.1	P 20	04 L 18	3 #	23
Ran, Adee	e	Cisco			
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)
Table	172–4 has "reset"	as the first column,	but reset is not o	lefined in clause	172.
		BLOCK_R, R_TYPE ed anywhere in this		ypes E, S, D, T,	C, DECODE,
Suggested	dRemedy				
		efinitions of LBLOC d R_TYPE and DEC			2.1, reset and
Proposed	Response	Response Status	W		
	OSED ACCEPT IN				
Impler	ment the suggested	I remedy with editor	rial license.		
C/ 172	SC 172.2.5.8.1	P 20	04 L 23	3 #	24
Ran, Adee	e	Cisco			
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)
In Tab	le 172-4, row 3, co	lumn "R_TYPE (rx_	_coded_i)", the va	alue is "S or D or	T or C".
valid f	or clause 172 (per	llues (based on 119 172.2.3, EEE and lo ent to "not E". This	ow power idle are	not supported).	Therefore, "S
same	rx_raw, EBLOCK_	n "E E" matches th R. So having R_TY uld result in EBLOC	PE(rx_coded_i-1		
This m	neans the table car	be simplified and r	made more reada	able.	
Suggested	Remedy				
	ge the third row to t any block type E	he following conten BLOCK_R".	ts:		
Proposed	Response	Response Status	w		
The pi to cov	er the same condit ble is correct as w	cludes a condition c on in two rows if av itten. The proposed	oidable.		

Cl 172	SC 172.2.6.1	P 204	L 38	# 81
Dawe, Piers	5	Nvidia		
Comment T	ype T	Comment Status)	(bucket1)
"its valu	e is to be incren	nented": by how much	? Does it depend on	the circumstances?
SuggestedF	Remedy			
Add "by	one", or whatev	ver is meant.		
	, SED ACCEPT	Response Status V IN PRINCIPLE. Incremented" to "is to b		
C/ 172	SC 172.2.6.2.	2 P 205	5 L 21	# 82
Dawe, Piers	5	Nvidia		
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status)	(bucket1)
this vari	able mapped pe	er Table		
SuggestedF	Remedy			
this vari Also at	able is mapped line 28	per Table		
Proposed R	esponse	Response Status	v	
PROPC	SED ACCEPT.			
CI 172	SC 172.3.3	P 20 9	L 20	# 83
Dawe, Piers	5	Nvidia		
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status)	(bucket1)
of unco	rected codewor		e the ones that didn't	ding. This isn't a count have errors and didn't rrected.
SuggestedF				

Add sentence: This counter counts FEC codewords that contain errors that were not corrected.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The text says the definition of the counter is same as in 119.3.3 and provides the reference. The name of the counter is same as in Cl119. Not sufficient justification to make the proposed change.

C/ 172 SC 172.3.3

7 172	SC 172.3.6	P 209	L 34	# 25	C/ 173	SC 173.1.3	P 213	L 10	# 85
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia		
Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status D		CW counters	Comment T	<i>уре</i> т	Comment Status D)	(bucket1
are repres	ented on eacl	s specified with restrictions in h physical lane (and ideally h th use muxing that does not	nave the same B	ER).	forward "Provid	ed. e receive link s	DGAUI-8) receive link sta tatus information in the r o a PHY XS, it provides	eceive direction": do	we need another bullet,
has to wo	rk with any mu	uxing scheme. In some sche	mes, the four FE	C decoders may have) direction?			
		ent codeword bin counts. Th nd prediction.	is information ca	in be important for link	Suggested	Remedy			
	·				Per cor	nment			
		separate counters for each fl n by the two codewords is in			Proposed F	•	Response Status V	1	
checkerbo		Also, FEC_cw_counter in 172			The op		in 173.1.3 states "The f (when required) by the		
SuggestedRei	medy				directio	ns:" The phrase	e "when required" implie	s that some of the fu	incitons listed are
		word_error_bin_i variables w d_error_bin_i, where j goes fr		ariables,		nal upon the P A type in 173.4		ent for each of the fur	nctions listed is specified
) addresses fo	or these variables and update	e variable mappir	ng tables as	C/ 173	SC 173.1.3	P 213	L 11	# 86
appropriat					Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia		
appropriat	ie.	Response Status W			Dawe, Piers Comment T		Nvidia Comment Status D	•	(bucket1,
appropriat Proposed Res PROPOSI The MDIC	te. sponse ED REJECT.) registers sho	ow the combined count from			<i>Comment T</i> 173.4 s	<i>ype</i> E ays "Three forr		PMA are defined: 32	()
appropriat Proposed Res PROPOSI The MDIC the net pe	te. <i>ponse</i> ED REJECT.) registers sho rformance of t	ow the combined count from the link. They provide some	insight into the c	orrelation of errors on	<i>Comment T</i> 173.4 s	<i>Type</i> E ays "Three forr ormation is nee	Comment Status D ns of the 800GBASE-R	PMA are defined: 32	()
appropriat Proposed Res PROPOSI The MDIC the net pe the link. T	te. ED REJECT. D registers sho rformance of he comment i	ow the combined count from the link. They provide some is asking for capability beyon	insight into the co of the intented us	orrelation of errors on se of these registers.	Comment 7 173.4 s that info Suggested	<i>Type</i> E ays "Three forr ormation is nee	Comment Status D ns of the 800GBASE-R ded earlier, in 173.1.4, 1	PMA are defined: 32	<i>(bucket1)</i> :8, 8:32, and 8:8" but
appropriat Proposed Res PROPOS The MDIC the net pe the link. T Cl 173	te. <i>ponse</i> ED REJECT.) registers sho rformance of t	by the combined count from the link. They provide some is asking for capability beyon P 212	insight into the c	orrelation of errors on	Comment 7 173.4 s that info Suggested Insert a Proposed F	Type E ays "Three forr prmation is nee Remedy sentence here Response	Comment Status D ns of the 800GBASE-R ded earlier, in 173.1.4, 1 a, saying that. Response Status V	PMA are defined: 32 73.2 and 173.3	()
appropriat Proposed Res PROPOS The MDIC the net pe the link. T C/ 173	te. Sponse ED REJECT.) registers sho rformance of he comment i SC 173.1.3	by the combined count from the link. They provide some is asking for capability beyon <i>P</i> 212 Nvidia	insight into the co of the intented us	orrelation of errors on se of these registers. # 84	Comment 7 173.4 s that info Suggested Insert a Proposed F PROPC	Type E ays "Three form prmation is nee Remedy sentence here Response DSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D ns of the 800GBASE-R ded earlier, in 173.1.4, 1 e, saying that. Response Status V IN PRINCIPLE.	PMA are defined: 32 73.2 and 173.3	()
appropriat Proposed Res PROPOSI The MDIC the net pe the link. T CI 173 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ	te. ED REJECT. D registers sho rformance of the comment in SC 173.1.3 e T PCSL (PCS In	by the combined count from the link. They provide some is asking for capability beyon P 212	insight into the c ad the intented us L 51	orrelation of errors on se of these registers. # 84 (bucket1)	Comment 7 173.4 s that info Suggested Insert a Proposed F PROPC	Type E ays "Three form prmation is nee Remedy sentence here Response DSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D ns of the 800GBASE-R ded earlier, in 173.1.4, 1 a, saying that. Response Status V	PMA are defined: 32 73.2 and 173.3	()
appropriat Proposed Ress PROPOSI The MDIC the net pe the link. T CI 173 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ Adapt the	te. Sponse ED REJECT.) registers sho rformance of the comment in SC 173.1.3 e T PCSL (PCS lianes	ow the combined count from the link. They provide some is asking for capability beyon <i>P</i> 212 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D	insight into the c ad the intented us L 51	orrelation of errors on se of these registers. # 84 (bucket1)	Comment 7 173.4 s that info Suggested Insert a Proposed F PROPC	Type E ays "Three form prmation is nee Remedy sentence here Response DSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D ns of the 800GBASE-R ded earlier, in 173.1.4, 1 e, saying that. Response Status V IN PRINCIPLE.	PMA are defined: 32 73.2 and 173.3	()
appropriat Proposed Res PROPOSI The MDIC the net pe the link. T Cl 173 S Dawe, Piers Comment Typ Adapt the physical la SuggestedRen Adapt the	te. Sponse ED REJECT. D registers sho rformance of i he comment i SC 173.1.3 e T PCSL (PCS Is anes medy	ow the combined count from the link. They provide some is asking for capability beyon <i>P</i> 212 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ane) formatted signal to the ane) formatted signal to the	insight into the condition of the intented us <i>L</i> 51 appropriate number	orrelation of errors on se of these registers. # 84 <i>(bucket1)</i> ber of abstract or	Comment 7 173.4 s that info Suggested Insert a Proposed F PROPC	Type E ays "Three form prmation is nee Remedy sentence here Response DSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D ns of the 800GBASE-R ded earlier, in 173.1.4, 1 e, saying that. Response Status V IN PRINCIPLE.	PMA are defined: 32 73.2 and 173.3	(/
appropriat Proposed Ress PROPOSI The MDIC the net pe the link. T C/ 173 S Dawe, Piers Comment Typ Adapt the physical la Suggested Rei Adapt the	te. ED REJECT. D registers sho rformance of the he comment in SC 173.1.3 e T PCSL (PCS list anes medy PCSL (PCS list r physical land	ow the combined count from the link. They provide some is asking for capability beyon <i>P</i> 212 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ane) formatted signal to the ane) formatted signal to the	insight into the condition of the intented us <i>L</i> 51 appropriate number	orrelation of errors on se of these registers. # 84 <i>(bucket1)</i> ber of abstract or	Comment 7 173.4 s that info Suggested Insert a Proposed F PROPC	Type E ays "Three form prmation is nee Remedy sentence here Response DSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D ns of the 800GBASE-R ded earlier, in 173.1.4, 1 e, saying that. Response Status V IN PRINCIPLE.	PMA are defined: 32 73.2 and 173.3	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 173 SC 173.1.3 Page 26 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:24 PM

P 215	L 43	# 127	C/ 173	SC 173.4	P 217	L 6	# 87
Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment Ty	oe T	Comment Status D		(bucket1
800GXS". Why not? The moass that information to the 8:	odule knows if its 32 PMA, which c	primitive is not s incoming signal is an e.g. squelch	PMA:IS_ PMA:IS_ 2. The P needs to	JNITDATA_ JNITDATA_ MA doesn't r know the two	0:15.request and PMA:IS_UN eally know lane numbers, it do groups to apply the restricted	ITDATA_16:31. pesn't read aligr	request as in Figure 172- nment markers, but it
			Show two PMA:IS_ Similarly	groups of 1 JNITDATA_ for the 32 PH	16:31.request. IY_XS:IS_UNITDATA_0:31.in		
S on the receive path. Similar	ly, the PHY 800G		PROPOS	ED REJECT		NITDATA 0:31	Figure 172-2 shows the
P 215	L 49	# 26	two group	s, one from	0:15 and the other from 16:31	, to show how t	he lanes from each flow
Cisco							
Comment Status D		(bucket1)	the lane r	umbers are	known by the PMA.		
NAL.request primitive is gene	rated through a s	set of SIL that reports		SC 173.4.2	P 220	L 1	# 88
2 as a function. not a set.							
·····, ·····							muxing rules
			that suffe	r the "clock o			
Response Status W	oporto orginar rioa						
					Response Status W		
			PROPOS	, ED REJECI	-		
	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D In this case a PHY_XS:IS_SIC 800GXS". Why not? The m bass that information to the 8: uld be a normal behaviour for <i>Response Status</i> W indication is not defined for to below the PHY 800GXS does 5 on the receive path. Similarly on so there is no status to pass <i>P</i> 215 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> D NAL.request primitive is gene 2 as a function, not a set. Intence to "The PHY_XS:IS_S tion logic (SIL) function that re <i>Response Status</i> W	Nvidia Comment Status D In this case a PHY_XS:IS_SIGNAL.indication p 800GXS". Why not? The module knows if its bass that information to the 8:32 PMA, which c uld be a normal behaviour for non-XS module <i>Response Status</i> W indication is not defined for the PHY XS. See S below the PHY 800GXS does not pass any s S on the receive path. Similarly, the PHY 800G on so there is no status to pass along. P 215 L 49 Cisco Comment Status D NAL.request primitive is generated through a s 2 as a function, not a set. htence to "The PHY_XS:IS_SIGNAL.request p tion logic (SIL) function that reports signal heat <i>Response Status</i> W	Nvidia (bucket1) A this case a PHY_XS:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is not 800GXS". Why not? The module knows if its incoming signal is BougXS". Why not? The module knows if its incoming signal is is BougXS". Why not? The module knows if its incoming signal is is BougXS". Why not? The module knows if its incoming signal is is BougXS". Why not? The module knows if its incoming signal is is BougXS". Why not? The module knows if its incoming signal is is Indication is not defined for the PHY XS. See Figure 171-2 and 6 Selew the PHY 800GXS does not pass any signal state information 6 So not here receive path. Similarly, the PHY 800GXS receiver path has 6 Boug there is no status to pass along. 2 P215 L 49 26 Cisco 2 Comment Status D (bucket1) NAL request primitive is generated through a set of SIL that reports 2 as a function, not a set. Pate to "The PHY_XS:IS_SIGNAL.request primitive is generated thought health". Response Status W M Secons	NvidiaDawe, PiersComment StatusD(bucket1)in this case a PHY_XS:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is not 800GXS". Why not? The module knows if its incoming signal is bass that information to the 8:32 PMA, which can e.g. squelch uld be a normal behaviour for non-XS modules.PMA:IS_L PMA:IS_L 2. The PI needs to The output SuggestedRe Show two PMA.IS_L Similarly, the PHY 800GXS receiver path has on so there is no status to pass along.Dawe, Piers Comment Tyte PMA:IS_L Similarly, the PHY 800GXS receiver path has on so there is no status to pass along.Dawe, Piers PMA:IS_L Similarly, the PHY 800GXS receiver path has on so there is no status to pass along.Proposed Res PROPOSP215L 49# 26Comment StatusD(bucket1)VAL.request primitive is generated through a set of SIL that reportsCl 1732 as a function, not a set.Dawe, Piers Comment Tyte Ensure th that suffe SuggestedRe Per comment StatusDawe, Piers Comment Tyte PMA diag the lane r2 as a function, not a set.Cl 173Dawe, Piers Comment Tyte Ensure th that suffe SuggestedRe Per comment Proposed Res PROPOS	Nvidia Dawe, Piers Comment Status D (bucket1) absorb Comment Status D (bucket1) Response Status W 2. The PMA doesn't r indication is not defined for the PHY XS. See Figure 171-2 and S below the PHY 800GXS does not pass any signal state information on the receive path. Similarly, the PHY 800GXS receiver path has on so there is no status to pass along. SuggestedRemedy P215 L 49 26 Cisco (bucket1) There are 32 PCS lan two groups, one from map to the set of 32 F VAL.request primitive is generated through a set of SIL that reports 2 as a function, not a set. Cl 173 SC 173.4.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR Ensure that the restrict that suffer the "clock of suggestedRemedy" PMA diagram is not the the restrict that suffer the "clock of suggestedRemedy" VAL.request primitive is generated through a set of SIL that reports Cl 173 SC 173.4.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR Ensure that the restrict that suffer the "clock of suggestedRemedy" Per comment Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT	NvidiaDawe, PiersNvidiaComment StatusD(bucket1)In this case a PHY_XS:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is not 800GXS''. Why not? The module knows if its incoming signal is ass that information to the 8:32 PMA, which can e.g. squelch uld be a normal behaviour for non-XS modules.Dawe, PiersNvidiaResponse StatusWindication is not defined for the PHY XS. See Figure 171-2 and below the PHY 800GXS does not pass any signal state information on no there is no status to pass along.Show two groups of 16 input lanes, PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0:31.request The output lanes can stay as one group.P215L49# 26Cisco 	Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status D (bucket1) n this case a PHY_XS:IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is not assort at information to the 8:32 PMA, which can e.g. squelch uld be a normal behaviour for non-XS modules. Dawe, Piers Comment Type T Comment Status D Response Status W Show the two groups of 16 input lanes, PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0:15.reque PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0:31.request. SuggestedRemedy So low the PHY 800GXS does not pass any signal state information on the receive path. Similarly, the PHY 800GXS receiver path has on so there is no status to pass along. Show two groups of 16 input lanes, PMA:IS_UNITDATA_0:31.indication lanes i PMA inclinal block diagram. P215 L49 26 Comment Status D (bucket1) NAL.request primitive is generated through a set of SIL that reports 2 as a function, not a set. P120 L1 2 as a function, not a set. Comment Type TR Comment Status D L1 2 as a function, not a set. Nidia Comment Type TR Comment Status D L1 2 as a function, not a set. Response Status W P200 L1 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status D L1

C/ 173 SC 173.4.2

C/ 173	SC 173.4.2.1	P 220	L 15	# 27
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		muxing rules

As observed in comment #6 against D1.0, the existing restrictions enable a muxing scheme where one of the two PCS flows is always assigned to the LSBs of the PAM4 symbols, while the other flow is always assigned to the MSB.

This scheme (labeled "option B" in ran_3df_01a_2212) will cause an increase of x34 in the frequency of uncorrectable errors in the link partner, compared to the scheme that was assumed for the baseline proposal, which splits the LSBs equally between the two flows ("option A").

Comment #6 suggested restricting the muxing further to prevent using "option B" in the transmitter. The receiver is required to tolerate any muxing order, so transmitters using "option B" would be interoperable, but they should not be considered compliant.

Straw polls taken during the resolution of comment #6 had inconclusive results indicating need for additional information. In discussions since then, no specific examples of applications that would break by the additional restrictions have been found. These restrictions are therefore suggested again. If there is no consensus to have them as mandatory requirements, they can be added as recommendations.

A presentation providing further explanations and justification for the suggested restrictions will be provided.

SuggestedRemedy

In 173.4.2.1 and 173.4.2.2, change the second list item to

"The multiplexing function has an additional constraint that each of the 8 output lanes contain two unique PCSLs from PMA client lanes i = 0 to 15 followed by two unique PCSLs from PMA client lanes i = 16 to 31".

In 173.4.2.3, change the second list item to

"The 4 PCSLs received on an input lane shall be mapped to an output lane such that the Gray-coded PAM4 symbol sequence on the output lane is identical to the Gray-coded PAM4 symbol sequence on the input lane (see 173.4.7.1)."

Modify wording and/or add illustrations with editorial license.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Comment #6 against Draft 1.0 made a similar proposal. Straw polls recorded in the response to comment #6 indicated favor for adopting the proposal but there were many that needed more information. A new presentation provides more information on the problem.

Pending review of the following presentation and task force discussion. ran_3df_01_2301

C/ 173	SC	173.4.2.1	P 2	20	L 16	# 89
Dawe, Pier	S		Nvidi	а		
Comment T	уре	TR	Comment Status	D		muxing rules
Fixing t I doubt	his is that th	more usefu ne language	bit muxing that Add I than applying any of lanes containin g to "constructed fro	restricte g lanes v	d muxing on the	e XS. e ordering restriction
Suggested	Remed	dy				
contain PMA cl to The mu constru client la used to client la Similarl	Itiplex two u ient la Itiplex cted f ines i form ines i y in 1	nique PCS nes i = 16 t ting functior rom two PC = 16 to 31, PAM4 sym = 0 to 15, a 73.4.2.2, or	o 31 has an additional SLs from PMA clie arranged so that af bols are taken alter nd one of the two F delete the restricte	constrair nt lanes ter PAM nately fro PCSLs fro d muxing	that each of the that each of the i = 0 to 15 and two i = 0 to 15 and the	o unique PCSLs from he 8 output lanes is two PCSLs from PMA first bits of the pairs wo PCSLs from PMA
AUI sho Proposed F			ugh errors to trouble		C.	
PROPO	DSED	REJECT.	Response Status nse to comment #2			
11000111						
C/ 173	SC	173.4.2.1	P 2	20	L 17	# 90
		173.4.2.1	P 2 Nvidi	-	L 17	# 90

I doubt that one can have two unique anythings. Unique means one of a kind, so if there are two, they aren't unique. I think we mean different, but as it is obvious enough from 120.5 that each PCS lane is used just once, there is no need for any such word.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "unique", twice

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Target text may be changed based on the response to comment #27. Incorporate the suggested remedy in the final text proposed to address comment #27. Resolve using the response to comment #27

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 173 SC 173.4.2.1 Page 28 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:24 PM

C/ 173	SC 173.4.2.3	P 221	L 9	# 91	C/ 173	SC 173.4.3.3	P 22	1 L 43	# 94
Dawe, Pier		Nvidia	-•		Dawe, Pie		Nvidia	-	
Comment		Comment Status D		muxing rules	Comment		Comment Status	D	(bucket1)
"The 4	PCSLs received on	any input lane shall be n	napped to the sa	•		ear "as well" as v	vhat.		· · · · · ·
		n the same lane number gether. (I know this text i	•	,	Suggestee	dRemedy			
	1 .	gether. (I know this text i	s based on my c	omment - apologies.)	Please	e explain.			
Suggested	. And see next comr	mont			Proposed	Response	Response Status	w	
					PROF	OSED ACCEPT			
Proposed I	OSED ACCEPT IN F	esponse Status W				ge the last sente	nce in 173.4.3.3		
Target	text is may be chan	nged based on the responsed to addr		•				-8 as well, then the Ske o more than 200 ps of \$	ew measured at SP1 is Skew Variation."
Resolv	e using the response	e to comment #27			to:	in a la se a station a			
C/ 173	SC 173.4.2.3	P 221	L 10	# 92					GAUI-8 interfaces, then ervice interface (SP1 in
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia						no more than 29 ns of	Skew and no more
Comment	Туре т С	Comment Status D		muxing rules	than 2	200 ps of Skew V	ariation"		
		an input lane does not ha			C/ 173	SC 173.4.5	P 22	2 L 38	# 95
		8:8 PMA turning the ben e can't allow all possible		nuxed "option A" into	Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Suggested	•		re ordening.		Comment	Type E	Comment Status	D	(bucket1)
symbo Proposed I PROP	ls that it receives (bu Response R OSED REJECT.	son not to, require that th at without requiring prese esponse Status W			Clocki Rates 120.5 120.5	ing architecture r in 120.5.5 are b 5 addresses cas 5 says " rearra	not clock architecture ased on bit rates, here ses of 200GBASE-R an angement of PCSLs be	cal to that specified in bit rate is not mentione ad 400GBASE-R, not 8 stween input lanes and has rules forbidding so	ed. 300G. output lanes (although
	e using the response				Suggestee	dRemedy		-	-
C/ 173	SC 173.4.3.1	P 221	L 27	# 93	Add m	naterial to define	what the clocking arch	itecture for this clause	is
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia			Proposed	Response	Response Status	w	
Comment	51	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	PROF	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
	ays "the PMA shal I generate no more t	l produce no more than" han"	while 173.4.3.3	says "the PMA	Rewri	te this subclause	such that the differen	ces in 800GBASE-R ar	re clear.
Suggested	Remedy								
there is	sn't, use one word no	een produce and genera of two. the limits are higher that		there is, explain. If					
Proposed I	Response R	esponse Status W							
Wordin	OSED ACCEPT IN F ng should be consiste 3.1, change "produce	ent with other similar spe	ecifications and t	he heading titles. In					
COMMENT		ched A/accepted R/reje		I T/technical E/editorial G/g NSE STATUS: O/open W/wi		d Z/withdrawn		C/ 173 SC 173.4.5	Page 29 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:2

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

2023-01-20 3:56:24 PM

C/ 173	SC 173.4.7.2	2 P 223	L 1	# 28	C/ 173	SC 173.4	4.8 P 22	23 L 3	0 # [29		
Ran, Adee	•	Cisco			Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia	a				
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Гуре Т	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)		
	are PAM4 encoc	r PAM4 encoded lanes" is us ded.	sed in clause 120), but in clause 173 all	says "t PMA:IS	he PMA sha S_SIGNAL.ii	PMA link status functions all provide link status infor ndication primitive." That e 8:32 PMA, link status	mation to the PM	A client using the			
Chang	e the title to "Pre	ecoding".					e 0.52 FIVIA, IITK Status					
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			Suggested	-	hat actually happens					
		IN PRINCIPLE.					2 11					
Implen	nent the sugges	ted remedy and make a sim	ilar change to 17	3.4.7.1	Proposed I	,		w				
C/ 173	SC 173.4.7.2	2 P 223	L 3	# 29			EPT IN PRINCIPLE. ce to 120.5.8. Add text to	explain how the F	PMA link status is	IA link status is handled for		
Ran, Adee	•	Cisco			the diff	erent PMA o	options and highlight the	fact that PMA:IS				
Comment	Туре т	Comment Status D		precoding	is not o	arried over	an AUI. Implement with e	ditoiral licence.				
The fir	st paragraph of	this subclause effectively ex	cludes 800GAUI-	8 C2M, making	C/ 173	SC 173.4	4.11 P 22	23 L 4	7 # 🗄	0		
precoc	ling impossible o	over this interface.			Ran, Adee		Cisco					
Precoding can also be beneficial for C2M in certain cases, and it is likely implemented as part of the SerDes in many products. Therefore, it would be good to allow it as an optional					Comment TypeERComment StatusD(bucket1)120.5.11.2 is now included in this draft.							
		e, can be enabled as require in the interface lanes connec			Suggested Make 1	,	n active cross reference.					
		, so the optical signal will no			Proposed I		Response Status	14/				
	ct that this optio being defined ir	n is not explicitly defined for	400GAUI-4 C2M	etc. does not preclude	,	DSED ACCI	,	vv				
Suggestea	•	r tills project.			C/ 173	SC 173.5	5 P 22	24 L 10	0 # 🤅	6		
	-	make both precoding and de	ecoding optional f	or PMAs lanes that are	Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia	à				
		2M link (this may affect both			Comment	Гуре Т	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			This sa	iys MMDs 8	, 9, and 10 while 173.1.4	says 1, 8, 9, 10, a	and 11			
Preco	OSED REJECT ding is not define k-2022.	•	-2, or 400GAUI-4	C2M in IEEE Std	Suggested Recon	2						
In orde transm 802.30 If prec perform meet t	er for precoding hitter as is the ca k-2022. oding is not mar nance requirem he performance	to be helpful, precoding wou ase for 100GAUI-1, 200GAU ndatory on the transmitter, th ents without precoding. Then requirements, only to potent of provide sufficient justification	II-2, and 400GAU nen the receiver n refore precoding tially exceed it.	I-4 C2C in IEEE Std nust be able to meet the would not be required to	Chang "For im corresp to: "For im	DSED ACCI e the text a plementatic ponding regi	Response Status EPT IN PRINCIPLE. t line 9 from: ons with multiple PMA sub ister and bit numbers in M ons with multiple PMA sub ister and bit numbers in M	layers, additiona MDs 8, 9, and 10 layers, additiona) as necessary." I PMA sublayers u	se the		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 173	Page
SC 173.5	2023

ge 30 of 31 23-01-20 3:56:24 PM

C/ 173	SC	173.5	P 2 :	25	L 12	# 134
Dawe, Pier	s		Nvidia	a		
Comment 7	уре	т	Comment Status	D		(late) (bucket1)
			ters 1.604, 1.605 and able mapping	1.606,	precoder request	, in Table 173-4,
Suggestedl	Reme	dy				
Add the	ese re	gisters				
Proposed F	Respo	nse	Response Status	w		
It is ass In regis Ianes 2 In Table	ters 1 to 7. to 173	d that the d .605 (sub	IN PRINCIPLE. comment refers to Ta clause 45.2.1.144) ar vs for registers 1.604 Il license.	nd 1.606	6 (subclause 45.2	
C/ 173	SC	173.6.3	P 2	27	L 12	# 135
Dawe, Pier	s		Nvidia	а		
Comment 7		т	Comment Status	D		(late) (bucket1
Suggestedl These	R <i>eme</i> could	dy	ne medium. TOP and BOT, or A a	and B fo	or above and below	w, picking up wording
Proposed F	Respo	nse	Response Status	w		
PROPO There is not yet) SED s a ec comp	ACCEPT ditor's note	IN PRINCIPLE. on page 226 that sta urther updates will be opropriate for this cla	ates "Ec made i		draft, the PICS are
CI 173	SC	173.6.5	P 2 :	29	L 20	# 31
Ran, Adee			Cisco	1		
Comment 7 120.5.1		ER is now inc	Comment Status sluded in this draft.	D		(bucket1
Suggestedl Make a			20.5.11.2.2 in this ta	ble activ	/e cross reference	es.
Proposed F PROPC		nse ACCEPT	Response Status	w		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 173 SC 173.6.5 Page 31 of 31 2023-01-20 3:56:24 PM