C/ FM	SC FM	P 1	L 31	# 47	C/ FN
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia			Nicho
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comn
		rs, Physical Layers, and man			Т
		ike "the sky", although we have			Sugg
		e types). This should be more ets' self descriptions:	ike the text in ti	ne PAR 5.2.0.	lt
adds	Physical Layer s	specifications and manageme			Propo
		er specifications and manage			P
	2.5 GD/S, 5 GD/S	s, 10 Gb/s, 25 Gb/s and 50 Gl ters:	o/s Physical Laye	er specifications and	
adds -	400 Gb/s Physic	cal Layer specifications and m		ameters;	C/ 1
		pecifications and managemer		_	Dawe
		er specifications and manage eature of this project is "based			Comn
	ology".				Μ
		e adding any MAC parameters		"Define Ethernet MAC	
•		oks like we are re-using what	we have).		Sugge A
Suggeste	dRemedy				in
	ge these three to	exts:			Ca
0	1 line 30: amendment inclu	udes Media Access Control pa	arameters for 80	0 Gb/s and Physical	in 8
		ent parameters for 400 Gb/s			
0	3, Abstract:				Propo
		s MAC parameters, Physical L 02.3 format frames at 400 Gb			P A
	13, self descript				In
		udes Physical Layer specifica	tions and manag	ement parameters for	
400 G All to:	b/s and 800 Gb	/s operation.			C/ 45
This a	amendment add	s Physical Layer specification	s and managem	ent parameters for 400	Dawe
Gb/s a	and 800 Gb/s ba	ased on based on 100 Gb/s p	er lane signaling		Comn
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			D ta
-	POSED REJECT				
		deed defining MAC paramete			Sugge
		rs to be the same as for some a 800 Gb/s Ethernet generally			lr 1
		HYs with lane rates other that			8
					8
					Propo
					Р

C/ FM	SC FM	P 8		L 15	# 40	
Nicholl, Sha	awn	AMD				
Comment T There is	ype ER s a typo in "Gary I	Comment Status Nichol".	D			(bucket1)
SuggestedF It should	Remedy d be "Gary Nichol	I".				
Proposed R PROPC	esponse DSED ACCEPT.	Response Status	w			
C/ 1	SC 1.4.145a	P 3*	1	L 1	# 48	
Dawe, Piers	3	Nvidia	a			
Comment T Missing		Comment Status 0GAUI-n C2C and	-	C2M		(bucket1)

SuggestedRemedy

Add 1.4.145a 800 Gb/s Attachment Unit Interface (800GAUI-n): Two kinds of physical instantiation of the PMA service interface to extend the connection between 800 Gb/s capable PMAs over n lanes, used for chip-to-chip (C2C) or chip-to-module (C2M) interconnections. One width of 800GAUI-n is defined: the eight-lane 800GAUI-8 C2C and 800GAUI-8 C2M. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Annex 120E.)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a new definition for 800GAUI-n based on the definition for 400GAUI-n in 1.4.145. Implement with editorial license.

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.7.5	P 40	L 3	# 49
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		(bucket1)

D1.0 comment 118: Missing entries in transmit fault, *receive fault and transmit disable tables*

SuggestedRemedy

In the tables for receive fault and transmit disable, include rows for 100GBASE-VR1, 100GBASE-SR1, 200GBASE-VR2, 200GBASE-SR2, 400GBASE-VR4, 400GBASE-SR4, 800GBASE-VR8, 800GBASE-SR8 and 400GBASE-DR4, 400GBASE-DR4-2, 800GBASE-DR8, 800GBASE-DR8-2 Revise the rubrics.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 45	Page 1 of 32
SC 45.2.1.7.5	2023-01-28 12:34:05 P

CI 45	SC 45.2.1.1	38	P 44	L 25	# 50	Cl 45	SC	45.2.3.19		P 47	L 28	# 51
Dawe, Pier	rs	I	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	rs			Nvidia		
Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment St	atus D		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment	Status D		(bucket1
chip tra 100G/la interfer Annex	ansmitter equali ane AUIs or not rence tolerance	ization, receive d t. Most of 120F i "Receiver interfe	irection, lane mplies it doe erence tolera	e 0 register bit de esn't except 120F Ince is defined b	and 400GAUI-n chip-to- efinitions - applies for F.3.2.4 Receiver y the procedure in gured by management		ambled <i>IRemec</i> 00G	idle test ly	oatterns are o	gister (Registe lefined for 25/4 Status W		OGBASE-R PCS only.
Suggested	IRemedy					,	,	ACCEPT				
If it doe	esn't, say so in t	these sections be	ecause the te	erms "100GAUI-	to include 800GAUI-n. ·2, 200GAUI-n, and	C/ 45	SC	45.2.3.25		P 47	L 31	# 33
400GA 120F.3		alified n are too	wide now, ar	nd address their	use (or not) in	Ran, Adee	;			Cisco		
It would	d help to add th				g tables, either in the	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment	Status D		(bucket1
PMA cl	lauses where th	nere are such tab	les already,	or the AUI annex	xes.							per bit; this continues
-	, OSED ACCEPT	Response Sta F IN PRINCIPLE. n 45.2.1.135, 45		2.1.137, 45.2.1. ²	138 and update Annex	essen	tially the	e same.			nes, we have 32 s	
PROPO Include 120F if Implem	OSED ACCEPT e "800GAUI-n" i f appropriate. nent with editori	, F IN PRINCIPLE. n 45.2.1.135, 45	2.1.136, 45.			essen This is PCSs	ially the repetit are def	e same. ive, not h ined (for c	elpful for read example 1.6T	ders, and will re BASE-R).		orial work when future
PROPO Include 120F if Implem	OSED ACCEPT e "800GAUI-n" i f appropriate. nent with editori SC 45.2.3	Г IN PRINCIPLE. n 45.2.1.135, 45 al license.	2.1.136, 45. P 46	2.1.137, 45.2.1.7 <i>L</i> 26	138 and update Annex # 45	essen This is PCSs It may aligne	tially the repetit are def be bett d", and	e same. ive, not h ined (for ter to hav have all t	elpful for read example 1.6T e one subclau he remaining	ders, and will re BASE-R). use, 45.2.3.25. bits defined to	equire further edito 1, with a full defin ogether using som	orial work when future ition of "lane 7 ething like "defined
PROPO Include 120F if Implem Cl 45 Huber, Ton	OSED ACCEPT e "800GAUI-n" i f appropriate. nent with editori SC 45.2.3 m	Г IN PRINCIPLE. n 45.2.1.135, 45 al license.	.2.1.136, 45.: <i>P</i> 46 Nokia		# 45	essen This is PCSs It may aligne	tially the repetit are def be bett d", and	e same. ive, not h ined (for ter to hav have all t	elpful for read example 1.6T e one subclau he remaining	ders, and will re BASE-R). use, 45.2.3.25. bits defined to	equire further edito	orial work when future ition of "lane 7 ething like "defined
PROPO Include 120F if Implem Cl 45 Huber, Ton Comment 7 There i Table 4	OSED ACCEPT e "800GAUI-n" i f appropriate. nent with editori SC 45.2.3 m <i>Type</i> E is some ambigu 45-233. In my c	F IN PRINCIPLE. n 45.2.1.135, 45 al license. <i>Comment St</i> uity in the use of	2.1.136, 45. <i>P</i> 46 Nokia <i>atus</i> D green vs blac reen text is u	L 26 ck coloring for th used to indicate a		essen This is PCSs It may aligne similar This c 3.53),	tially the repetit are def be bett d", and ly to 45 an remo	e same. ive, not h ined (for ter to hav have all t 5.2.3.25.1 ove most .2.3.26a (elpful for read example 1.6T e one subclau he remaining ' - as done fo of the text in	ders, and will re BASE-R). Jse, 45.2.3.25. bits defined to r example in 4 45.2.3.25 (for 1 54). It may als	equire further edito 1, with a full defin ogether using som 5.2.3.49 and 45.2 register 3.52), 45.	orial work when future ition of "lane 7 ething like "defined
PROPO Include 120F if Implem Cl 45 Huber, Ton Comment 7 There i Table 4	OSED ACCEPT = "800GAUI-n" i f appropriate. nent with editori SC 45.2.3 m Type E is some ambigu 45-233. In my u able or figure) th	r IN PRINCIPLE. n 45.2.1.135, 45 al license. <i>Comment St</i> uity in the use of understanding, g	2.1.136, 45. <i>P</i> 46 Nokia <i>atus</i> D green vs blac reen text is u	L 26 ck coloring for th used to indicate a	# 45 <i>(bucket1)</i> ne clause references in	essen This is PCSs It may aligne similar This c 3.53), subcla The ne	tially the repetit are def be bett d", and dy to 45 an remo and 45 uses in ew text	e same. ive, not h ined (for have all t 5.2.3.25.1 ove most .2.3.26a (to one (s should ac	elpful for read example 1.6T e one subclau he remaining ' - as done fo of the text in for register 3. milar to 45.2. Idress the nu	ders, and will re BASE-R). Jse, 45.2.3.25. bits defined to r example in 4 45.2.3.25 (for 54). It may als 3.50). mber of lanes	equire further edito 1, with a full defin ogether using som 5.2.3.49 and 45.2 register 3.52), 45. so be possible to n	orial work when future ition of "lane 7 ething like "defined .3.50. 2.3.26 (for register
Cl 45 Huber, Ton Comment 7 There i Table 2 (or a ta Suggested Assum 45.2.3.	OSED ACCEPT = "800GAUI-n" i f appropriate. nent with editori SC 45.2.3 m Type E is some ambigu 45-233. In my u able or figure) th <i>IRemedy</i> ning my understa	r IN PRINCIPLE. n 45.2.1.135, 45 al license. <i>Comment St</i> uity in the use of understanding, gi nat is not itself pro- anding of the cor ent in 802.3df (be	P 46 P 46 Nokia atus D green vs blac reen text is u esent in this	L 26 ck coloring for th ised to indicate a amendment prrect, since 45.2	# 45 <i>(bucket1)</i> ne clause references in	essen This is PCSs It may aligne similar This c 3.53), subcla The ne clause Simila	tially the repetit are def be bett d", and dy to 45 and 45 uses in ew text 82, cla r chang	e same. ive, not h ined (for have all t 5.2.3.25.1 ove most .2.3.26a (to one (s should ac suse 119, jes can be	elpful for read example 1.6T e one subclau he remaining " - as done fo of the text in for register 3. milar to 45.2. Idress the nu and clause 1 e applied in 4	ders, and will re BASE-R). bits defined to r example in 4 45.2.3.25 (for r 54). It may als 3.50). mber of lanes r 72.	equire further edite 1, with a full defin ogether using som 5.2.3.49 and 45.2 register 3.52), 45. so be possible to n that exist in every	orial work when future ition of "lane 7 ething like "defined .3.50. 2.3.26 (for register nerge these three
Cl 45 Huber, Ton Comment 7 There i Table 2 (or a ta Suggested Assum 45.2.3.	OSED ACCEPT = "800GAUI-n" if appropriate. nent with editori SC 45.2.3 m Type E is some ambigu 45-233. In my u able or figure) th <i>IRemedy</i> hing my understa .58 are all prese ext rather than g	r IN PRINCIPLE. n 45.2.1.135, 45 al license. <i>Comment St</i> uity in the use of understanding, gi nat is not itself pro- anding of the cor ent in 802.3df (be	P 46 Nokia atus D green vs blaa reen text is u esent in this nvention is co cause they a	L 26 ck coloring for th ised to indicate a amendment prrect, since 45.2	# 45 (bucket1) ne clause references in a reference to a clause 2.3.25, 45.2.3.49, and	essen This is PCSs It may aligne similar This c 3.53), subcla The ne clause Simila and 45	tially the repetit are def be bett d", and dy to 45 an remo and 45. uses in ew text 82, cla r chang 5.2.5.16	e same. ive, not h ined (for have all t 5.2.3.25.1 ove most .2.3.26a (to one (s should ac ause 119, ges can be a for DTE	elpful for read example 1.6T e one subclau he remaining " - as done fo of the text in for register 3. milar to 45.2. Idress the nu and clause 1 e applied in 4	ders, and will re BASE-R). bits defined to r example in 4 45.2.3.25 (for r 54). It may als 3.50). mber of lanes r 72.	equire further edite 1, with a full defin ogether using som 5.2.3.49 and 45.2 register 3.52), 45. so be possible to n that exist in every	orial work when future ition of "lane 7 ething like "defined .3.50. 2.3.26 (for register nerge these three PCS when referring to
PROPC Include 120F if Implem Cl 45 Huber, Ton Comment T Table 4 (or a ta Suggested Assum 45.2.3. black to Proposed F	OSED ACCEPT = "800GAUI-n" if appropriate. nent with editori SC 45.2.3 m Type E is some ambigu 45-233. In my u able or figure) th <i>IRemedy</i> hing my understa .58 are all prese ext rather than g	T IN PRINCIPLE. n 45.2.1.135, 45 al license. <i>Comment St</i> understanding, g and is not itself pro- anding of the cor ent in 802.3df (be green text. <i>Response St</i>	P 46 Nokia atus D green vs blaa reen text is u esent in this nvention is co cause they a	L 26 ck coloring for th ised to indicate a amendment prrect, since 45.2	# 45 (bucket1) ne clause references in a reference to a clause 2.3.25, 45.2.3.49, and	essen This is PCSs It may aligned similar This c 3.53), subcla The ne clause Simila and 45 Suggested	tially the repetit are def be bett d", and dy to 45 an remo and 45. uses in ew text 82, cla r chang 5.2.5.16 <i>(Remed</i>)	e same. ive, not h ined (for have all t 5.2.3.25.1 ove most .2.3.26a (to one (s should ac buse 119, les can be for DTE	elpful for read example 1.6T e one subclau he remaining ' - as done fo of the text in for register 3. milar to 45.2. Idress the nu and clause 1 e applied in 4 E XS.	ders, and will re BASE-R). Jise, 45.2.3.25. bits defined to r example in 4 45.2.3.25 (for i 54). It may als 3.50). mber of lanes f 72. 5.2.4.16 and 4	equire further edite 1, with a full defin ogether using som 5.2.3.49 and 45.2 register 3.52), 45. so be possible to n that exist in every 5.2.4.16a for PHY	orial work when future ition of "lane 7 ething like "defined .3.50. 2.3.26 (for register nerge these three PCS when referring to ' XS, and in 45.2.5.16
PROPC Include 120F if Implem Cl 45 Huber, Ton Comment T Table 4 (or a ta Suggested Assum 45.2.3. black to Proposed F	OSED ACCEPT = "800GAUI-n" i f appropriate. nent with editori SC 45.2.3 m Type E is some ambigu 45-233. In my u able or figure) th <i>IRemedy</i> ning my underst. .58 are all prese ext rather than a <i>Response</i>	T IN PRINCIPLE. n 45.2.1.135, 45 al license. <i>Comment St</i> understanding, g and is not itself pro- anding of the cor ent in 802.3df (be green text. <i>Response St</i>	P 46 Nokia atus D green vs blaa reen text is u esent in this nvention is co cause they a	L 26 ck coloring for th ised to indicate a amendment prrect, since 45.2	# 45 (bucket1) ne clause references in a reference to a clause 2.3.25, 45.2.3.49, and	essen This is PCSs It may aligned similar This c 3.53), subcla The ne clause Simila and 45 Suggested	tially the repetit are def be bett d", and dy to 45 and 45 uses in ew text 82, cla r chang 5.2.5.16 <i>(Remed</i>) je the s	e same. ive, not h ined (for have all t 5.2.3.25.1 ove most .2.3.26a (to one (s should ac ause 119, jes can be a for DTE	elpful for read example 1.6T e one subclau he remaining " - as done fo of the text in for register 3. milar to 45.2. Idress the nu and clause 1 e applied in 4 E XS. s suggested	ders, and will re BASE-R). Jise, 45.2.3.25. bits defined to r example in 4 45.2.3.25 (for i 54). It may als 3.50). mber of lanes f 72. 5.2.4.16 and 4	equire further edite 1, with a full defin ogether using som 5.2.3.49 and 45.2 register 3.52), 45. so be possible to n that exist in every	orial work when future ition of "lane 7 ething like "defined .3.50. 2.3.26 (for register nerge these three PCS when referring to ' XS, and in 45.2.5.16

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.25 Page 2 of 32 2023-01-28 12:34:05 P

	SC 45.2.3.26.11	P 51	L 34	# 35	Cl 45	SC 45.2.3.48	a P	53	L 46	# 52
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvi	dia		
Comment T	<i>,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	mment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	•••	Comment Statu			(bucket)
Stray "1	I" in "(see 1119.2.6.2.	2 and 172.2.6.2.2)."					n that this is an opt es that), it defines th		Also, 172.3	5.5 doesn't define the
uggestedF	Remedy				Suggested		es that), it defines th	le counter.		
Change	e "1119" to "119".					ample, change				
Proposed R PROPC	Response Res DSED ACCEPT.	sponse Status W			See 1 to	72.3.5 for a defin	ition of this register			
45	SC 45.2.3.26.a	P 49	L 39	# 34	See 1 [°] Proposed		ition of this optiona			
Ran. Adee		Cisco			•	OSED ACCEPT	Response Status	S VV		
Comment T	ype TR Co	mment Status D		(bucket1)	-		medy with editorial	license		
		2.26.a through 45.2.3.2 PCS (clause 172). Ref			C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.48	b P	54	L 20	# 53
	e subclauses.	PCS (Clause 172). Rei		9.2.2 are not required	Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvi	dia		
Similarl	y in 45.2.3.26a.1 thro	ugh 45.2.3.26a.8 for la	nes 31 through 2	4.	Comment	51	Comment Statu			(bucket
uggestedF	Remedy				-		identical to that of	din 1		
		bit reflects the state of			Suggested	•				
amps_lo 172.2.6		2.2)" to "This bit reflect	s the state of am	ps_lock[19] (see	for bin					
					Proposed	Response	Response Status	s W		
	,				,		•			
		2.3.26.b through 45.2.3	8.26.d and in 45.2	.3.26a.1 through	, PROP The w		,	posed solutior	n does not in	nprove the accuracy or
Apply si	26a.8.	2.3.26.b through 45.2.3	8.26.d and in 45.2	.3.26a.1 through	PROP The w clarity	ording is correct of the draft.	as written. The prop			
Apply si 45.2.3.2 Proposed R PROPC	26a.8. Response Res DSED ACCEPT IN PF	sponse Status W		Ū	, PROP The w	ording is correct	as written. The prop b P	54	n does not in	nprove the accuracy or # 54
Apply si 45.2.3.2 Proposed R PROPC The sug	26a.8. Response Res DSED ACCEPT IN PF ggested remedy shou	sponse Status W RINCIPLE. Id refer to am_lock[23]		Ū	PROP The w clarity C/ 45 Dawe, Pie	ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48 ors	as written. The prop b P Nvi	54 dia		# 54
Apply si 45.2.3.2 roposed R PROPC The sug Impleme	26a.8. Response Res DSED ACCEPT IN PF ggested remedy shou ent proposed remedy	sponse Status W RINCIPLE. Id refer to am_lock[23] , with editorial license.	rather than am_l	ock[19].	PROP The w clarity <i>Cl</i> 45 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i>	ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48 rs Type E	b P Nvin Comment Statu	54 dia s D		· ·
Apply si 45.2.3.2 roposed R PROPC The sug Implem	26a.8. Response Response Res Response Response R	sponse Status W RINCIPLE. Id refer to am_lock[23] , with editorial license.		Ū	PROP The w clarity <i>Cl</i> 45 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> The te	ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48 rs Type E ext should mentio	as written. The prop b P Nvi	54 dia s D		# 54
Apply si 45.2.3.2 roposed R PROPC The sug Implem	26a.8. Response Response Res Response Response R	sponse Status W RINCIPLE. Id refer to am_lock[23] , with editorial license. P 49 Broadcom	rather than am_l	ock[19]. # [123	PROP The w clarity <i>Cl</i> 45 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> The te <i>Suggested</i>	ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48 rs Type E ext should mentio <i>Remedy</i>	as written. The prop b <i>P</i> Nvi <i>Comment Statu</i> n that this is an opt	54 dia s D		# 54
Apply si 45.2.3.2 roposed R PROPC The sug Implement I 45 lavick, Jef omment T	26a.8. Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response remedy shout ent proposed remedy SC 45.2.3.26a of SC 45.2.3.26a of T Contract Co	sponse Status W RINCIPLE. Id refer to am_lock[23] , with editorial license. P 49 Broadcom mment Status D	rather than am_l	ock[19].	PROP The w clarity <i>Cl</i> 45 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> The te <i>Suggested</i> Add: t	ording is correct of the draft. <i>SC</i> 45.2.3.48 rrs <i>Type</i> E ext should mentio <i>IRemedy</i> hese counters ar	as written. The prop b <i>P</i> Nvi <i>Comment Statu</i> n that this is an opt	54 dia s D		# 54
Apply si 45.2.3.2 roposed R PROPC The sug Implem / 45 lavick, Jef omment T df adde	26a.8. Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response remedy shou ent proposed remedy SC 45.2.3.26a ff Sype T Cond PCS lanes 20-31, the second s	sponse Status W RINCIPLE. Id refer to am_lock[23] , with editorial license. P 49 Broadcom	rather than am_l	ock[19]. # [123	PROP The w clarity <i>Cl</i> 45 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> The te <i>Suggested</i> Add: ti	ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48 rs Type E ext should mentio <i>Remedy</i> hese counters an <i>Response</i>	as written. The prop b <i>P</i> <i>Nvia</i> <i>Comment Statu</i> n that this is an opt e optional. <i>Response Status</i>	54 dia s D ional feature.		# 54
Apply si 45.2.3.2 roposed R PROPC The sug Implem I 45 lavick, Jef comment T df adde	26a.8. Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Responsed remedy shoutent proposed remedy SC 45.2.3.26a of SC 45.2.3.26a of T Cc rype T Cc rd PCS lanes 20-31, the Remedy	sponse Status W RINCIPLE. Id refer to am_lock[23] , with editorial license. P 49 Broadcom mment Status D	rather than am_l <i>L</i> 39 se 82.	ock[19]. # [123	PROP The w clarity <i>Cl</i> 45 Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> The te <i>Suggested</i> Add: ti <i>Proposed</i> PROP There	ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48 rs Type E ext should mentio <i>Remedy</i> hese counters an <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT. is no need to me	as written. The prop b <i>P</i> <i>Nvia</i> <i>Comment Statu</i> n that this is an opt e optional. <i>Response Status</i> ention that these co	54 dia s D ional feature. s W unters are opt	L 23	# 54
Apply si 45.2.3.2 Proposed R PROPC The sug Implem C 45 Slavick, Jef Comment T df adde	26a.8. Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response remedy shout ent proposed remedy SC 45.2.3.26a ff SC 45.2.3.26a ff Cype T Control Co	sponse Status W RINCIPLE. Id refer to am_lock[23] , with editorial license. P 49 Broadcom mment Status D ney do not exist in clau	rather than am_l <i>L</i> 39 se 82.	ock[19]. # [123	PROP The w clarity Cl 45 Dawe, Pie Comment The te Suggested Add: ti Proposed PROP There option	ording is correct of the draft. SC 45.2.3.48 rs Type E ext should mentio <i>Remedy</i> hese counters an <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT. is no need to me ality is mentioned	as written. The prop b <i>P</i> <i>Nvia</i> <i>Comment Statu</i> n that this is an opt e optional. <i>Response Status</i> ention that these co d in 172.3.6 which i	54 dia s D ional feature. s W unters are opi s referenced.	L 23	# <u>54</u> (bucket

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45	Page 3 of 32
SC 45.2.3.48b	2023-01-28 12:34:05 P

CI 45	SC 45.2.3.49	P 54	L 51	# 97	C/ 45	SC 45.2.4.4	.a Pt	59 L 59	# 44
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			Dudek, Mi	ke	Marv	/ell	
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	D	(bucket1)
Subjec	t and verbs number	don't match (editorial bug	in base docum	ient)	The su	b-clause title is	wrong		
Suggested	Remedy				Suggested	Remedy			
	ler changing				Chang	e "400G capab	le" to "800G capable"		
	ontents of the Lane (and is invalid other) mapping register is valid vise	when Lane 0 a	ligned bit (3.52.0) is set	Proposed	Response	Response Status	w	
to cont	tent is is or cor	tents are are			PROP	OSED ACCEP	Т.		
	0	an-up and harmonisation	(contents vs. va	alues) would be helpful.	C/ 45	SC 45.2.4.1	6a P 6	63 L 25	# 99
Proposed I	•	Response Status W			Dawe, Pie	-	Nvid		# <u>3</u> 3
-	OSED ACCEPT IN e "is" to "are" in two				Comment		Comment Status		(bucket1)
					5regisi	51	Comment Olalus		
C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.63	P 57	L 8	# 98	Suggested				
Dawe, Pie		Nvidia			00	space. Also in	45 2 5 16a		
Comment	51	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Proposed	•	Response Status	W/	
		or a definition of this coun	er.			OSED ACCEP	•	vv	
Suggested	,								
		a definition of this counte	r.		CI 45	SC 45.2.4.1	6a.1 Pe	64 L 18	# 36
Proposed I	•	Response Status W			Ran, Adee		Cisc	0	
	OSED REJECT.	e 45 is to use the word "a	nd" where there	a is a list of cross	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	; D	(bucket1)
referer							45.2.4.16a.1 through XS (clause 171, base		b lanes 31 through 24, which
C/ 45	SC 45.2.4.4.a	P 59	L3	# 46			quired in these subcla		S). References to
Huber, Tor		Nokia	23	# 1 0		15 0 5 10		¥0	
Comment		Comment Status D		(bucket1)			oclauses for the DTE	XS.	
	<i>, , , , , , , , , ,</i>	should be 800G capable	rather than 400	, ,	Suggested	,			
Suggested				e capació			bit reflects the state		k[31] (see 119.2.6.2.2 and ee 172.2.6.2.2).".
00	e 400G to 800G.					,		. –	,
Proposed I		Response Status W			Apply 45.2.5		s in 45.2.4.16a.2 throu	ugn 45.2.4.16a.8 and	d in 45.2.5.16a.1 through
•	OSED ACCEPT.				Proposed		Response Status	w	
1101					PROP		i coporise otatus	••	

C/ **45** SC **45.2.4.16a.1** Page 4 of 32 2023-01-28 12:34:05 P

CI 45	SC 45.2.4.17	P 65	L 25	# 100	C/ 116	SC 116.1.4	P 89	L 9	# 37
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco		_
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Type ER	Comment Status D		(withdrawn)
		18 and Clause 171" applies to one or the othe	r, at any time.			16-5 column or 2.3ck-2022.	der is different from the orde	r in the publishe	d Std 802.3db-2022 and
Suggested	dRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
	escribed in Clause 1	18 or Clause 171 22.2, 45.2.5.22.3 and so or	2		Reorde	r the columns to	o align with the published sta	ndard.	
		Response Status W	1		Proposed I	•	Response Status Z		
•	POSED REJECT.				PROP	OSED REJECT.			
-	non practice in Clau	se 45 is to use the word "a	nd" where there	is a list of cross	This co	mment was WI	THDRAWN by the commenter	er.	
C/ 45	SC 45.2.7.12.3	P 78	L 10	# 101	C/ 120	SC 120.5.6	P 90	L 6	# 102
Dawe. Pie		Nvidia			Dawe, Pier		Nvidia		
Comment		Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment		Comment Status D ecifies the 200GAUI-2 and 40		(bucket1)
type. (correc	Only one of these bi ct? There are FEC of	in register 7.48 and regist ts is set depending on the options in these registers a	priority resolution	n function" but is this	applica Annex applica	120G, which sp	ecifies the 200GAUI-2 and 4	00GAUI-4 interfa	aces for chip-to-module
Suggested	•				Suggested	•			
Revise	e text if appropriate				Add 80	0GAUI-8			
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
The bi	POSED REJECT. its listed in the title of bits listed can be se	of 45.2.7.12.3 are all for po et.	rt types and not	FEC options. Only one	Annex		e PMA sublayer for 50 Gb/s ne PMA for 800 Gb/s Etherne		
CI 90	SC 90	P 86	L 8	# 5	120 wł	ere applicable.			
Brown, Ma	att	Huawei							
	Туре Т	Comment Status D		800GMII signals					
Comment		ced two new optional signa	als (RX_NUM_BI	T_CHANGE and					
IEEE 8 TX_NI	UM_BIT_CHANGE)	at the PCS service interfa 800GBASE-R PCS or the		r time synchronization					
IEEE 8 TX_NI that ar	UM_BIT_CHANGE) re not defined in the	at the PCS service interfa		r time synchronization					
IEEE a TX_NI that ar Suggested Define interfa	UM_BIT_CHANGE) re not defined in the <i>dRemedy</i> e these optional sigr aces (800GMII) and	at the PCS service interfa	DTE/PHY 800G CS and DTE 800 CS (service inte	r time synchronization XS. IGXS service rface below). For a					
IEEE a TX_NI that ar Suggested Define interfa definit	UM_BIT_CHANGE) re not defined in the <i>dRemedy</i> e these optional sigr aces (800GMII) and tion of these signals	at the PCS service interfa 800GBASE-R PCS or the hals in the 800GBASE-R P as inputs to the PHY 800G	DTE/PHY 800G CS and DTE 800 CS (service inte	r time synchronization XS. IGXS service rface below). For a					
IEEE a TX_NI that ar Suggested Define interfa definit Proposed	UM_BIT_CHANGE) re not defined in the <i>dRemedy</i> e these optional sigr aces (800GMII) and tion of these signals	at the PCS service interfa 800GBASE-R PCS or the hals in the 800GBASE-R P as inputs to the PHY 800G refer to Clause 90 as appr	DTE/PHY 800G CS and DTE 800 CS (service inte	r time synchronization XS. IGXS service rface below). For a					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120 SC 120.5.6 Page 5 of 32 2023-01-28 12:34:05 P

ed remedy does not aces defined in this e previous sentence ng the same list of r e phrase "the C2C i	(late) (bucket1) G page 242 lines 28 and t improve it. annex right after the first t. The word "these" refers names (subject of the interfaces", and in line 42 the clause rather than as a # 137 (late) (bucket1)	SuggestedRemedy Change to One, two, four, or 200GAUI-2 C2C, Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC The PICS as a fo all four C2C listed grouping the state However, the wor Change: "One independent data in each direction for 800GAUI-8 C2 to: "One independent data paths in eac	eight independent 400GAUI-4 C2C, i <i>Response</i> CEPT IN PRINCIP rm applies to a sin 1. Therefore, using ements with "or" in d "and" appears in lependent data par paths in each dire for 400GAUI-4 C2C 2C" Jent data path in e h direction for 2000 GAUI-4 C2C, or eig	t data paths in e and 800GAUI-8 e Status W LE. gle implementation the word "and" istead would red in the current text the current text the current text ction for 200GA C, and eight inde each direction for GAUI-2 C2C, for	each direction for C2C, respective ation, which does as suggested is duce clarity. t, and should be tion for 100GAUI AUI-2 C2C, four i ependent data part r 100GAUI-1 C20 our independent d	not necessarily have inappropriate, and changed to "or". I-1 C2C, two independent data paths aths in each direction C, two independent data paths in each
and 42, and in 1200 ed remedy does not aces defined in this e previous sentence ng the same list of r e phrase "the C2C i s part of a subordial <i>L</i> 1	G page 242 lines 28 and t improve it. s annex right after the first e. The word "these" refers names (subject of the interfaces", and in line 42 the clause rather than as a # 137	Very wordy, could SuggestedRemedy Change to One, two, four, or 200GAUI-2 C2C, Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC The PICS as a fo all four C2C listed grouping the state However, the wor Change: "One independent data in each direction for 800GAUI-8 C2 to: "One independent data paths in eacc direction for 400G	eight independent 400GAUI-4 C2C, i <i>Response</i> CEPT IN PRINCIP rm applies to a sin 1. Therefore, using ements with "or" in d "and" appears in lependent data par paths in each dire for 400GAUI-4 C2C 2C" Jent data path in e h direction for 2000 GAUI-4 C2C, or eig	at data paths in e and 800GAUI-8 e Status W PLE. gle implementat the word "and" instead would red in the current text the in each direct ection for 200GA C, and eight inde each direction for iGAUI-2 C2C, for	each direction for C2C, respective ation, which does as suggested is duce clarity. t, and should be tion for 100GAUI AUI-2 C2C, four i ependent data part r 100GAUI-1 C20 our independent d	r 100GAUI-1 C2C, shy not necessarily have inappropriate, and changed to "or". I-1 C2C, two independent data paths iaths in each direction C, two independent data paths in each
and 42, and in 1200 ed remedy does not aces defined in this e previous sentence ng the same list of r e phrase "the C2C i s part of a subordial <i>L</i> 1	t improve it. s annex right after the first e. The word "these" refers names (subject of the interfaces", and in line 42 the clause rather than as a # 137	SuggestedRemedy Change to One, two, four, or 200GAUI-2 C2C, Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC The PICS as a fo all four C2C listed grouping the state However, the wor Change: "One inde independent data in each direction for 800GAUI-8 C2 to: "One independent data paths in eac direction for 400C	eight independent 400GAUI-4 C2C, a <i>Response</i> CEPT IN PRINCIP rm applies to a sin 1. Therefore, using ements with "or" in d "and" appears in lependent data par paths in each dire for 400GAUI-4 C2C 2C" dent data path in e h direction for 2000 GAUI-4 C2C, or eig	t data paths in e and 800GAUI-8 e Status W LE. gle implementation the word "and" istead would red in the current text the current text the current text ction for 200GA C, and eight inde each direction for GAUI-2 C2C, for	each direction for C2C, respective ation, which does as suggested is duce clarity. t, and should be tion for 100GAUI AUI-2 C2C, four i ependent data part r 100GAUI-1 C20 our independent d	not necessarily have inappropriate, and changed to "or". I-1 C2C, two independent data paths aths in each direction C, two independent data paths in each
ed remedy does not aces defined in this e previous sentence ng the same list of r e phrase "the C2C i s part of a subordiat	t improve it. s annex right after the first e. The word "these" refers names (subject of the interfaces", and in line 42 the clause rather than as a # 137	Change to One, two, four, or 200GAUI-2 C2C, Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC The PICS as a fo all four C2C listed grouping the state However, the wor Change: "One inde independent data in each direction for 800GAUI-8 C2 to: "One independent data paths in eac direction for 400C	400GAUI-4 C2C, a Response CEPT IN PRINCIP rm applies to a sin a ments with "or" in d "and" appears in lependent data pai paths in each director for 400GAUI-4 C2C 2C" Jent data path in e h direction for 2000 GAUI-4 C2C, or eig	and 800GAUI-8 Status W PLE. g the word "and" istead would red the current text th in each direct ection for 200GA C, and eight inde each direction for GAUI-2 C2C, for	tion, which does as suggested is duce clarity. t, and should be tion for 100GAUI AUI-2 C2C, four in ependent data por r 100GAUI-1 C20 pur independent do	not necessarily have inappropriate, and changed to "or". I-1 C2C, two independent data paths aths in each direction C, two independent data paths in each
ed remedy does not aces defined in this e previous sentence ng the same list of r e phrase "the C2C i s part of a subordiat	t improve it. s annex right after the first e. The word "these" refers names (subject of the interfaces", and in line 42 the clause rather than as a # 137	One, two, four, or 200GAUI-2 C2C, Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC The PICS as a fo all four C2C listed grouping the state However, the wor Change: "One inc independent data in each direction for 800GAUI-8 C2 to: "One independen data paths in eac direction for 400G	400GAUI-4 C2C, a Response CEPT IN PRINCIP rm applies to a sin a ments with "or" in d "and" appears in lependent data pai paths in each director for 400GAUI-4 C2C 2C" Jent data path in e h direction for 2000 GAUI-4 C2C, or eig	and 800GAUI-8 Status W PLE. g the word "and" istead would red the current text th in each direct ection for 200GA C, and eight inde each direction for GAUI-2 C2C, for	tion, which does as suggested is duce clarity. t, and should be tion for 100GAUI AUI-2 C2C, four in ependent data por r 100GAUI-1 C20 pur independent do	not necessarily have inappropriate, and changed to "or". I-1 C2C, two independent data paths aths in each direction C, two independent data paths in each
ed remedy does not aces defined in this e previous sentence ng the same list of r e phrase "the C2C i s part of a subordiat	annex right after the first e. The word "these" refers names (subject of the interfaces", and in line 42 the clause rather than as a # 137	PROPOSED ACC The PICS as a fo all four C2C listed grouping the state However, the wor Change: "One inc independent data in each direction for 800GAUI-8 C2 to: "One independent data paths in eac direction for 400G	CEPT IN PRINCIP rm applies to a sin I. Therefore, using ements with "or" in d "and" appears in lependent data pai paths in each dire for 400GAUI-4 C20 2C" dent data path in e h direction for 2000 GAUI-4 C2C, or eig	LE. Ingle implementaria the word "and" istead would red the current text th in each direct ection for 200GA C, and eight inde each direction for IGAUI-2 C2C, for	as suggested is duce clarity. t, and should be tion for 100GAUI AUI-2 C2C, four in lependent data por r 100GAUI-1 C20 pur independent co	inappropriate, and changed to "or". I-1 C2C, two independent data paths aths in each direction C, two independent data paths in each
aces defined in this e previous sentence ng the same list of r e phrase "the C2C i s part of a subordial <i>L</i> 1	annex right after the first e. The word "these" refers names (subject of the interfaces", and in line 42 the clause rather than as a # 137	The PICS as a fo all four C2C listed grouping the state However, the wor Change: "One ind independent data in each direction for 800GAUI-8 C2 to: "One independ data paths in eac direction for 400G	rm applies to a sin I. Therefore, using ements with "or" in d "and" appears in lependent data par paths in each dire for 400GAUI-4 C20 2C" dent data path in e h direction for 2000 GAUI-4 C2C, or eig	ngle implemental the word "and" istead would red in the current text the neach direct ection for 200GA C, and eight inde each direction for GAUI-2 C2C, for	as suggested is duce clarity. t, and should be tion for 100GAUI AUI-2 C2C, four in lependent data por r 100GAUI-1 C20 pur independent co	inappropriate, and changed to "or". I-1 C2C, two independent data paths aths in each direction C, two independent data paths in each
		to: "One independ data paths in eac direction for 4000	dent data path in e h direction for 200 GAUI-4 C2C, or eig	GAUI-2 C2C, fo	our independent o	data paths in each
14 signaling.	(late) (bucket1)	direction for 4000	AUI-4 C2C, or eig			
14 signaling.	(late) (bucket1)			gnt independent	data paths in ea	ich direction for
14 signaling.						
		C/ 120G SC 120	0 0 4 5	P 246	L 26	# 00
			G.3.1.5		L 20	# 32
ignaling.						(h
1		120.5.11.2.2 is no	w included in this	draft.	0G.3.4.3.2, and	(bucket1) 120G.3.4.3.3.
		SuggestedRemedy Make all instance	s of 120.5.11.2.2 a	active cross refe	erences.	
		Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	,	Status W		
	signaling. W		W Comment Type EI 120.5.11.2.2 is no Also in 120G.3.2. SuggestedRemedy Make all instance Proposed Response	signaling. Comment Type ER Comment W 120.5.11.2.2 is now included in this Also in 120G.3.2.2, 120G.3.3.5.2, 1 SuggestedRemedy Make all instances of 120.5.11.2.2	signaling. Comment Type ER Comment Status D W 120.5.11.2.2 is now included in this draft. Also in 120G.3.2.2, 120G.3.3.5.2, 120G.3.3.5.3, 12 SuggestedRemedy Make all instances of 120.5.11.2.2 active cross refe Proposed Response Response Status W	signaling. Comment Type ER Comment Status D W 120.5.11.2.2 is now included in this draft. Also in 120G.3.2.2, 120G.3.3.5.2, 120G.3.3.5.3, 120G.3.4.3.2, and SuggestedRemedy Make all instances of 120.5.11.2.2 active cross references. Proposed Response Response Status W

C/ 120G SC 120G.3.1.5

C/ 124 SC 124.1	P 91	L 21	# 103	C/ 124	SC 124.2	P 94	L 39	# 104
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		muxing rules
Need a section to expla but this is simpler. SuggestedRemedy	ain interoperability of DRn ar	nd DRn-2. Comp	pare 140.11 and 151.12	proble modifie	m won't happen	bect, we set the bit multiplexing on 8-lane 800GBASE-R, this mains for 200GBASE-R and 4	sentence and s	imilar ones will need
	he 400GBASE-DR4 and 40			Suggested				
400GBASE-DR4 are m could be a new subcla	ed that the fiber optic cabling let, and similarly for 800GBA use 124.11a but because it's t these PMDs can be used f	SE-DR8 and 80 so simple this ti	0GBASE-DR8-2". This me and it helps the	Chang to: For Similar	e: See NOTE	R4 and 400GBASE-DR4-2, N(n Clause 167	DTE	
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT Create new content, si	IN PRINCIPLE. milar to subclause 140.11.1,	with editorial lice	ense	-	OSED REJECT	F. ponse to comment #27.		
C/ 124 SC 124.1.1	P 94	L 3	# 130	C/ 124	SC 124.7.1	P 101	L 27	# 105
Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom			Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment Type TR Same as previous com	Comment Status D ment		PMD FLR	Comment The Ol		Comment Status D limits are all the same (delibe	rately, for interop	<i>(bucket1)</i> perability)
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	IRemedy			
Change 1.7E-12 to 3.4	E-12			Chang	e "values" to "v	alue"		
See response to comm	us comment" is comment # eent #131.			-	OSED REJECT	Response Status W T. ss" is generic, independent of	whether values f	or parameters are the
Implement suggested	emedy with editorial license			C/ 124	SC 124.7.2	P 104	L 27	# 106
				Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
				Comment 800GE DR8	51	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
				Suggested	IRemedy			
				Use no	on-breaking hyp	bhen?		
				Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
						T IN PRINCIPLE. non-breaking hyphen.		

C/ 124 SC 124.7.2

	SC 124.8.1	P 107	L 9	# 107
Dawe, Piers	5	Nvidia		
Comment Ty	ype T	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
5, 6, or v 400GBA 400GBA	valid 50GBAS ASE-SR8 sign ASE-VR4, 800	valid 400GBASE-R signal, or E-SR, 100GBASE-SR2, 200G al". 167 has "3, 4, 5, 6, or vali GBASE-VR8, 100GBASE-SR gnal". Is a non-valid 800GBAS	BASE-SR4, or d 100GBASE-\ 1, 200GBASE-	√R1, 200GBASE-VR2, SR2, 400GBASE-SR4,
SuggestedR	Remedy			
800GBA Maybe i	ASE-R signal" n maintenanc	ASE-R signal, or 800GBASE-I three times. e we should delete "valid" in m	0	
	SED REJECT	Response Status W s not broken. No change requir	ed	
C/ 124	SC 124.8.5	P 107	L 1	# 1
Stassar, Per	ter	Huawei		
Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status D		channel
transmit for 1000 loss tole D1.0. Th	tter is tested u GBASE-FR1 ir erance specific he embedded	llet under 124.8.5 "The 400GE sing an optical channel with di n 140.7.5.2, and optical return ed in Table124–6." was agreed compliance channel requirem	spersion and ir loss at the max d as a resolutio	nsertion loss as specified kimum for optical return n to comment #130 to
channel	requirements	pecial section be created with a, following the style of 151.8.5 rel requirements for DR type P	details and esp.1, especially b	ecause there is no
channel precede	requirements ence for chann	pecial section be created with , following the style of 151.8.5	details and esp.1, especially b	pecially a Table with pecause there is no
channel precede SuggestedR Create a 400GBA	requirements ence for chanr <i>Remedy</i> a new subclau	pecial section be created with , following the style of 151.8.5 , el requirements for DR type P use 124.8.5.1 with channel requirements 00GBASE-DR8, and 800GBAS	details and es .1, especially b MDs over 2 km uirements for 4	pecially a Table with because there is no n. 00GBASE-DR4,
channel precede SuggestedR Create a 400GBA proposa	requirements ence for chanr Remedy a new subclau ASE-DR4-2, 8 Il in a presenta	pecial section be created with , following the style of 151.8.5 , el requirements for DR type P use 124.8.5.1 with channel requirements 00GBASE-DR8, and 800GBAS	details and es .1, especially b MDs over 2 km uirements for 4	pecially a Table with because there is no n. 00GBASE-DR4,
channel precede SuggestedR Create a 400GBA proposa Proposed R PROPO	requirements ence for chanr <i>Remedy</i> a new subclau ASE-DR4-2, 8 al in a presents <i>response</i> DSED ACCEP	pecial section be created with a, following the style of 151.8.5 bel requirements for DR type P use 124.8.5.1 with channel requirements 00GBASE-DR8, and 800GBAS ation	details and es 1, especially b MDs over 2 km uirements for 4 SE-DR8-2, follo	pecially a Table with because there is no n. 00GBASE-DR4,

	SC	124.8.9	P 10)9	L 1	# 108
Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia	a		
Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)
Missin	g tab o	r format iss	ue			
Suggested fix	Remed	dy				
-	OSED	ACCEPT II	Response Status N PRINCIPLE. nedy, with editorial li			
C/ 124	SC	124.8.9.1	P 10)9	L 11	# 38
Ran, Adee	1		Cisco			
Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status	D		(bucket1
line ent						
Proposed PROP	Respoi OSED	nse REJECT.	er "receiver sensitivi <i>Response Status</i> consistent with the w	W		
Proposed I PROP The ex The te OMAo	Respor OSED disting v rm "rec uter ins	nse REJECT. wording is c ceiver sensi	Response Status consistent with the w tivity" is generic and	W vording i I (OMAc	in existing clause	s, e.g. Clause 151.
Proposed I PROP The ex The te OMAo or clari	Respon OSED disting v rm "rec uter ins ity of th	nse REJECT. wording is c ceiver sensi stead of ave	Response Status consistent with the w tivity" is generic and	W vording i I (OMAc oposed	in existing clause	s, e.g. Clause 151. to the usage of
Proposed I PROP The ex The te OMAo	Respor OSED disting v rm "rec uter ins ity of th SC	nse REJECT. wording is o ceiver sensi stead of ave ne draft.	Response Status consistent with the w tivity" is generic and arage power. The pro	W vording i I (OMAc oposed	n existing clause buter) just refers change does not	s, e.g. Clause 151. to the usage of t improve the accuracy
Proposed I PROP The ex The te OMAo or clari Cl 124 Ran, Adee Comment	Respor OSED isting v rm "rec uter ins ity of th SC	REJECT. wording is c ceiver sensi stead of ave ne draft. 124.11.3.3 E	Response Status consistent with the w tivity" is generic and arage power. The pro P 11 Cisco Comment Status	W vording i I (OMAc oposed I3 D	in existing clause buter) just refers change does not <i>L</i> 33	s, e.g. Clause 151. to the usage of t improve the accuracy # 39
Proposed I PROP The ex The te OMAo or clari Cl 124 Ran, Adee Comment IEC 61 listed.	Respor OSED tisting v rm "rec uter ins ity of th SC Type 754-7-	REJECT. wording is c ceiver sensi stead of ave the draft. 124.11.3.3 E 4 does not	Response Status consistent with the w tivity" is generic and arage power. The pro P 11 Cisco Comment Status	W vording i I (OMAc oposed I3 D	in existing clause buter) just refers change does not <i>L</i> 33	s, e.g. Clause 151. to the usage of t improve the accuracy # <u>39</u> (bucket1
Proposed I PROP The ex The te OMAo or clari Cl 124 Ran, Adee Comment IEC 61 listed. Suggested	Respor OSED disting v rm "rec uter ins ity of th SC Type 754-7-	REJECT. wording is c ceiver sensi stead of ave the draft. 124.11.3.3 E 4 does not	Response Status consistent with the w tivity" is generic and arage power. The pro P 11 Cisco Comment Status	W rording i I (OMAc oposed I3 D ative ref	in existing clause buter) just refers change does not <i>L</i> 33	s, e.g. Clause 151. to the usage of t improve the accuracy # <u>39</u> (bucket1

C/ 124 SC 124.11.3.3

	SC 124.11.3.	3 P 113	L 3	5	# 6	C/ 162	SC 162.1	P	117	L 4	# 7	
Ran, Adee		Cisco				Ran, Adee	9	Cisc	o		-	
Comment Ty "interfac		Comment Status I - where is that one def		IEC 61754-7-4	(bucket1) 4?		••	Comment Status k-2022, the definition		e loss ratio is ir	า 1.4.344.	(bucket1)
SuggestedR Add "as	-	61754-7-4" after the in	terface name.			Suggested	IRemedy	4.344", in both clau	ises.			
(If it's ar	nother documer	nt, add that instead, an	d make sure th	e document is	listed in 1.3).	Proposed		Response Status				
Add "as	, SED ACCEPT	Response Status V IN PRINCIPLE. 61754-7-4" after the in e 1.3.		nd add a refe	rence to this	•	OSED ACCEPT.					
C/ 124	SC 124.12.4.4	4 P 115	L 2 4	4	# 109							
Dawe, Piers	6	Nvidia										
Comment Ty Items to	ype E OM12 depend	Comment Status)		(bucket1)							
SuggestedR Add maj	Remedy jor options for F	PMD types. These iten	ns will be condi	tionally manda	atory.							
SuggestedR Add maj Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com	Remedy jor options for F ljust: 4 PICS proform a, type 400GBA patible with 400 Pesponse	PMD types. These iten ta tables for Physical M SE-DR4 DGBASE-R PCS and P <i>Response Status</i> V	edium Depend MA	2								
SuggestedR Add maj Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub	Remedy jor options for F ljust: 4 PICS proform a, type 400GBA patible with 400 esponse OSED ACCEPT poclauses for 400	PMD types. These iten a tables for Physical M SE-DR4 0GBASE-R PCS and P	ledium Depend MA V	ent (PMD) sul	blayer and							
SuggestedR Add maj Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub in-force	Remedy jor options for F ljust: 4 PICS proform a, type 400GBA patible with 400 esponse OSED ACCEPT poclauses for 400	PMD types. These item a tables for Physical M SE-DR4 OGBASE-R PCS and P <i>Response Status</i> V IN PRINCIPLE. OGBASE-DR4-2, 800G	edium Depend MA V BASE-DR8 and	ent (PMD) sul 800GBASE-	blayer and							
SuggestedR Add maj Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub	Remedy jor options for F djust: 4 PICS proform a, type 400GBAS opatible with 400 esponse DSED ACCEPT oclauses for 400 124.12.4.2, with SC 162.1	PMD types. These item a tables for Physical M SE-DR4 DGBASE-R PCS and P <i>Response Status</i> V IN PRINCIPLE. DGBASE-DR4-2, 800G h editorial license.	edium Depend MA V BASE-DR8 and	ent (PMD) sul 800GBASE-	Dlayer and DR8-2, similar to							
SuggestedR Add maj Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub in-force C/ 162	Remedy jor options for F djust: 4 PICS proform by type 400GBAS opatible with 400 esponse DSED ACCEPT bolauses for 400 124.12.4.2, with SC 162.1	PMD types. These item that tables for Physical M SE-DR4 DGBASE-R PCS and P Response Status M IN PRINCIPLE. DGBASE-DR4-2, 800G h editorial license. P 116	edium Depend MA V BASE-DR8 and <i>L</i> 3:	ent (PMD) sul 800GBASE-	Dlayer and DR8-2, similar to							
SuggestedR Add maj Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed Ra PROPO Add sub in-force C/ 162 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty The doc	Remedy jor options for F ljust: 4 PICS proform 1, type 400GBAS patible with 400 esponse DSED ACCEPT Delauses for 400 124.12.4.2, with SC 162.1 S ype E	PMD types. These item ta tables for Physical M SE-DR4 DGBASE-R PCS and P Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. DGBASE-DR4-2, 800G h editorial license. P116 Nvidia Comment Status C mixture of 800GMII extern	edium Depend MA V BASE-DR8 and <i>L</i> 3:	ent (PMD) sul 1 800GBASE- 9	blayer and DR8-2, similar to # 110 (bucket1)							
SuggestedR Add maj Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub in-force C/ 162 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty The doc "800GM	Remedy jor options for F djust: 4 PICS proform a, type 400GBAS opatible with 400 desponse OSED ACCEPT oclauses for 400 124.12.4.2, with SC 162.1 s ype E cument uses a r fill Extender Sub	PMD types. These item ta tables for Physical M SE-DR4 DGBASE-R PCS and P Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. DGBASE-DR4-2, 800G h editorial license. P116 Nvidia Comment Status C mixture of 800GMII extern	edium Depend MA V BASE-DR8 and <i>L</i> 3:	ent (PMD) sul 1 800GBASE- 9	blayer and DR8-2, similar to # 110 (bucket1)							
SuggestedR Add maj Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub in-force C/ 162 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty The doc "800GM SuggestedR	Remedy jor options for F djust: 4 PICS proform a, type 400GBAS opatible with 400 desponse OSED ACCEPT oclauses for 400 124.12.4.2, with SC 162.1 s ype E cument uses a r fill Extender Sub	PMD types. These item ta tables for Physical M SE-DR4 DGBASE-R PCS and P Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. DGBASE-DR4-2, 800G h editorial license. P116 Nvidia Comment Status C mixture of 800GMII extern	edium Depend MA V BASE-DR8 and <i>L</i> 3:	ent (PMD) sul 1 800GBASE- 9	blayer and DR8-2, similar to # 110 (bucket1)							
SuggestedR Add maj Also, ad 124.12.4 medium F1 Com Proposed R PROPO Add sub in-force C/ 162 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty The doc "800GM SuggestedR	Remedy jor options for F djust: 4 PICS proform by type 400GBAs opatible with 400 esponse DSED ACCEPT bolauses for 400 124.12.4.2, with SC 162.1 S ype E cument uses a r fill Extender Sub Remedy ponsistent	PMD types. These item ta tables for Physical M SE-DR4 DGBASE-R PCS and P Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. DGBASE-DR4-2, 800G h editorial license. P116 Nvidia Comment Status C mixture of 800GMII extern	edium Depend MA V BASE-DR8 and <i>L</i> 3: Dender and 8000	ent (PMD) sul 1 800GBASE- 9	blayer and DR8-2, similar to # 110 (bucket1)							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 162 SC 162.1 Page 9 of 32 2023-01-28 12:34:05 P

C/ 162 SC 162.1 P117 L7 # 131	C/ 162 SC 162.7 P 122 L 47 # 111					
Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom	Dawe. Piers Nvidia					
Comment Type TR Comment Status D PMD FLR	Comment Type E Comment Status D (bucket1					
The FLR value that results from 2.4E-4 BER is referred to in two places, in lines 7 and 10:	Register for lanes 1 to 3 7 are located at an offset from the lane 0 register.					
"This BER allocation enables a frame loss ratio lower tha 9.2 x 10 $^{-13}$ after processing by the PCS".	SuggestedRemedy Suggest: Registers for lanes 1 to 3 7 are located at offsets from the lane 0 register.					
And on line #10. " to maintain a frame loss ratio lower than 9.2 x 10^-13."	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
This FLR value, 9.2E-13, corresponds to a "non-interleaved" RS(544,514) FEC as used in	Change "Register" to "Registers".					
the 50G & 100G PCS. The value should be changed to 1.7E-12 for 200G and 400G PCS which have 2-way interleaved FEC, and should be changed to 3.4E-12 for 800G PCS with	C/ 162 SC 162.8.1 P 123 L 37 # 8					
4-way interleave FEC.	Ran, Adee Cisco					
This same issue was addressed in comment #62 of 802.3bs D1.3: https://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs_D1p3_comments_final_ID.pdf#page=1	Comment Type E Comment Status D (bucket1) The location of the "NOTE" in Figure 162-2 is unusual.					
3	SuggestedRemedy					
The FLR scaling factor of (1 +MFC)/MFC should be modified to be (1 + 2*MFC)/MFC for	Move the NOTE label to the lower left of the figure.					
the 2-way interleave PCS and to (1 + 4*MFC)/MFC for the 4-way interleaved PCS.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
SuggestedRemedy						
Remove 800G from this paragraph. Keep origin paragraph referring to 200G/400G, but change the FLR value to 1.7E-12.	C/ 162 SC 162.8.11.1 P 130 L 11 # 112					
Add a similar pargraph after this one with references changed from 200G/400G to 800G and FLR value to 3.4E-12.	Dawe, PiersNvidiaComment TypeTRComment StatusD(bucket1)					
Proposed Response Response Status W	These default seeds are different to the ETC defaults. Also, as the Training state machines on each lane are independent, there is no guarantee that setting the seed will have the desired effect of de-correlating the signals of lanes that share a polynomial. It would be better to give the implementer the freedom to make a good choice for his implementation. 45.2.1.168 already says "should".					
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The text in question is from 802.3ck. It is descriptive in nature, and the normative requirement is the BER (at the PMD).						
For 200G and 400G, it is correct that a BER of 2.4e-4 would result in FLR of 1.7e-12 rather	SuggestedRemedy					
than 9.2e-13, and indeed, in 802.3-2022 clauses 121, 122, 123 and 124 have 1.7e-12. However, changes to technical specifications for 200G and 400G are not within the scope	Change "the default value of seed_i" to "the recommended default value of seed_i"					
defined by the PAR for this project.	Proposed Response Response Status W					
For 800G, it is assumed that the PMDs/PMAs have the same BER as for 400G, and having that BER results in FLR of 3.4e-12. This is still smaller than the complete physical layer requirement of 6.2e-11.	PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
In clauses 162 and 163 Remove 800G from this paragraph. Add a new similar paragraph for 800G, but with the FLR value of 3.4E-12. Implement with editorial license.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 162 SC 162.8.11.1 Page 10 of 32 2023-01-28 12:34:05 P

Cl 162	SC 162.9.4	P 125	L 15	# 9	C/ 163 SC 163.1	P 131	L 7	# 132		
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom				
Comment 7	ype ER	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		PMD FLF		
		k-2022, the subclause reference he change in the first row is n			Same as previous of	comment.				
Suggested		ne change in the list low is h	lot required any	more.	SuggestedRemedy					
00	2	ubclause reference, and delet	e "the first row :	and" in the editorial	FLR for 200G/4000 3.4E-12.	G should be changed to 1.7E-12.	For 800G, FLR	should be changed to		
instruct					Proposed Response	Response Status W				
Proposed F PROPC	Response DSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			PROPOSED ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPLE. response to comment #131.				
C/ 162	SC 162.14.3	P 129	L 27	# 113	C/ 167 SC 167.1	.1 P 141	L 46	# 133		
Dawe, Pier	s	Nvidia			Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom				
Comment T	уре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		PMD FLI		
!CR4:0).2 looks like a c	opy and paste from 802.3cd			Same as previous comment, except the value is already updated to 1.7E-12 in part that instructs to "Insert a new third paragraph in 167.1.1"					
Suggested	Remedy					a new third paragraph in 107.1.1				
I think i	t should be CR1	:0.2. Also for KR in 163.13.3	3		SuggestedRemedy Change 1.7E-12 to 3.4E-12 in two places Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #131. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.					
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W								
This ite In claus importa	ses 162 and 163 ince in these cla	ck and is not changed by this , AUIFEC is not a condition for uses.		n, and has no						
Delete	this item in both				C/ 167 SC 167.8	.1 <i>P</i> 148	L 41	# 11		
C/ 162	SC 162.14.3	P 129	L 35	# 10	Ran, Adee	Cisco				
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Comment Type ER	Comment Status D		(bucket1		
Comment T		Comment Status D		(bucket1)	120.5.11.2.2 is now	v included in this draft.				
		k-2022, the reference for iter	n PCS400 is 16	52.1	SuggestedRemedy					
Suggested						an active cross reference.				
Change	e 162.9.4.8 to 16	2.1			Proposed Response	Response Status W				
Proposed F	_	Response Status W			PROPOSED ACCE					

C/ 167 SC 167.8.1

	SC 167.9.2	P 150	L 41	# 114
Dawe, Piers	5	Nvidia		
Comment Ty 800GBA	ype E ASR-VR8	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
SuggestedR 800GBA	Remedy ASE-VR8			
	,	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. -VR8"		
C/ 167	SC 167.10.3.	1a P 154	L 11	# 115
Dawe, Piers	5	Nvidia		
Comment Ty	ype T	Comment Status D		connector
connect		round indicated that "Option east used of three connecto ption.		
SuggestedR	Remedy			
Take wh	natever polls are	e necessary to establish con	sensus and dele	ete Option A.
Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W		
	SED REJECT.	al lane assignment options v	was discussed ir	
commer does no	nt #146 and the t provide suffici note: The com	task force decided to retain ent justification to support th ment page/line were set 154	e suggested rer	nedy.
commer does no [Editor's	nt #146 and the t provide suffici note: The com	ent justification to support th ment page/line were set 154	e suggested rer	nedy.
commer does no [Editor's include f	nt #146 and the t provide suffici note: The com these.]	ent justification to support th ment page/line were set 154	e suggested rer I/11, since the o	nedy. original comment did not
commer does no [Editor's include f C/ 167 Ran, Adee Comment Ty	nt #146 and the t provide suffici note: The com these.] SC 167.10.3.	ent justification to support th ment page/line were set 154 4 P155	Le suggested rer L/11, since the o	medy. original comment did not # 12 (bucket1)
commer does no [Editor's include f C/ 167 Ran, Adee Comment Ty "interfac Suggested R	nt #146 and the t provide suffici note: The com these.] SC 167.10.3. ype E ce 7-4-1: <>" Remedy	ent justification to support th ment page/line were set 154 4 P 155 Cisco Comment Status D	Le suggested rer L/11, since the o L 12 Is it also IEC 61	medy. original comment did not # 12 (bucket1)
commer does no [Editor's include f C/ 167 Ran, Adee Comment Ty "interfac SuggestedR Add "as	nt #146 and the t provide suffici note: The com these.] SC 167.10.3. ype E ce 7-4-1: <>" Remedy defined in IEC	ent justification to support th ment page/line were set 154 4 P 155 Cisco Comment Status D • where is that one defined? 61754-7-4" after the interfac	Le suggested rer L/11, since the o L 12 Is it also IEC 61	medy. briginal comment did not # 12 (bucket1) 1754-7-4?
commer does no [Editor's include f C/ 167 Ran, Adee Comment Ty "interfac SuggestedR Add "as	nt #146 and the t provide suffici note: The com these.] SC 167.10.3. ype E se 7-4-1: <>" Remedy defined in IEC nother documer	ent justification to support th ment page/line were set 154 4 P 155 Cisco Comment Status D • where is that one defined?	Le suggested rer L/11, since the o L 12 Is it also IEC 61	medy. briginal comment did not # 12 (bucket1) 1754-7-4?

C/ 167	SC 167.1	1.4.6 <i>P</i> 158	L 13	# 116							
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia									
<i>Comment</i> These		Comment Status D work to align them to the claus	se	PICS							
SuggestedRemedy Removing Option A will make this task simpler											
Proposed	Response	Response Status W									
Some Remo comm	fixes to the P val of the con ent #115.	PT IN PRINCIPLE. ICS are required to better alignector option A as proposed is addressed in comment #13	in the suggested re								
C/ 167	SC 167.1	1.4.6 <i>P</i> 158	L 31	# 13							
Ran, Adee)	Cisco									
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		PICS							

The status of items OC15 through OC20 includes "AFI:", which makes them conditional on an angled fiber interface. However, the reference 167.10.3.4 also specifies flat fiber interfaces.

The value/comment needs to be different for angled and flat.

SuggestedRemedy

Add or change PICS items for 167.10.3.4 as appropriate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See related slides in brown_3df_xx_2301. !! need URL

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 167 SC 167.11.4.6

C/ 167	SC 167.11.4	4.6	P 158	L 37	# 14		C/ 169	SC	169.5		P 169	L 8	# 118	
Ran, Adee			Cisco				Dawe, Pie	rs			Nvidia			
Comment T	Туре Т	Comment	t Status D			PICS	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment	Status D			skew
1-0 or F	FOCIS 18 R-1) do not appear OC19.	(16-1-0-1-2-0".			esignation FOCIS	6 18 A-	e.g. ht of bits moder 38 ns With t ns), w	tps://iee to buffe n FPG/ out of a he curre hich is u	ee802.org or goes u As don't r total of 2 ent limits, unfortuna	g/3/ba/public/m p with the widt need. For exar 180 ns, which i , the Skew can	ay08/giannako h, we should re nple, if we ass s enough to be be significantl et better prote	opoulos_01_050 evisit this and tal ume 644 Mb/s c e interesting. y more than the	ocked at 160 Mb/s 8.pdf). As the n ke out the paddin locking, we might FEC block time (or bursts on the li	umber g that : save 25.6
Align th	ne value/comm	ient and the su	ıbclause text.							ovenapped in t	ime.			
	Response OSED ACCEP e using the res	, T IN PRINCIP					neces Make	out the a sary, fro coordin	allocation om the al ated cha	locations for P nges in the sub	MA Skew. This oclauses that re		are no longer 12.8 ns for each F limits (e.g. 120.5.	
C/ 169	SC 169.5		P 167	L 14	# 117		Proposed		,	6.2, 167.3.2, 17	,			
Dawe, Piers	S		Nvidia					,		Response S				
Comment T	Туре Е	Comment	t Status D		(bi	ucket1)				onse to comm				
800GA	UI-n interfaces	s)": tautology, a	ambiguous as or	ne could say that	ure 169–8 (multipl t a physically o this differently.	le								
Suggested	Remedy													
169-8 fo In Anne	or a PHY with	multiple 800G	AUI-n"	Ū)GAUI-n and in Fi ire 169-7 and Figi	0								
Proposed R	Response	Response	Status W											
lt is ass 169-7 a	and Figure 169	comment refe -8.		9-4 and Figure 16	69-5, rather than F	Figure								

C/ 169 SC 169.5

C/ 169	SC 169.5	P 169	L 9	# 15
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status D		skew

The skew constraints for 800 Gb/s in ns are the same as those for earlier generations, as early as 40 Gb/s, Table 80-8.

The size of PCS buffers required for deskewing grows linearly with the data rate; the size is quite large even at 400G, and would be doubled at 800G, due to the doubling of the number of PCS lanes. The current skew limit of 160 ns at the PCS receive requires about 150 kilobits per port just for deskewing. This affects both latency and power consumption across the industry.

The original skew limits were probably exaggerated even for 40G, and there is no need to carry them on for new technologies and new PCS designs. The numbers we set in 802.3df will also affect hosts and modules (with XS) in 802.3dj, so are worth considering carefully now.

The numbers below are in "UI" of a PCS lane equal to 37.64706, although most skews are created on physical interfaces where the real UI is 18.82 ps.

- Limit of Skew generated at SP1 is currently 770 "UI", it can safely be reduced to 256 "UI" (512 UI of a PMD, or 8 clock cycles in a typical SerDes).

- Limit of Skew generated at SP2 is currently 1142 "UI", allowing additional skew of ~350 "UI" by the PMA in the module; this can safely be reduced to 128 "UI" (4 clock cycles of a typical SerDes; 384 "UI" including the reduced SP1)

- Limit of Skew generated at SP3 is currently 1434 UI, allowing additional skew of ~290 "UI" by the PMD; this can safely be reduced to 128 "UI" (4 clock cycles of a typical SerDes; 512 "UI" including the reduced SP2)

- Limit of Skew generated at SP4 is currently 3559 UI, allowing additional skew of 2125

"UI" (80 ns, \sim 16 m of fiber) by the media; this can safely be reduced to \sim 4 m of fiber or 512 "UI" (1024 "UI" including the reduced SP3)

- Limit of Skew generated at SP5 is currently 3852 UI, allowing additional skew of ~300 "UI" by the PMD; this can safely be reduced to 128 "UI" (4 clock cycles of a typical SerDes; 1152 "UI" including the reduced SP4)

- Limit of Skew generated at SP6 is currently 4250 UI, allowing additional skew of ~400 "UI" by the PMA; this can safely be reduced to 128 "UI" (4 clock cycles of a typical SerDes; 1280 "UI" including the reduced SP5)

- Limit of Skew generated at the PCS receive is currently 4781 UI, allowing additional skew of ~530 "UI" by the PMA collocated with the PCS; this can safely be reduced to 128 "UI" (4 clock cycles of a typical SerDes; 1408 "UI" including the reduced SP6)

The result could be a reduction of the allowed skew by 70%, which allows a significant saving in PCS buffer size.

The suggested remedy lists skew as an exact number of "UI" and an approximate number in ns (unlike the current table). It can also be the other way around.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the skew table to

Skew point | max skew ns (approx.) | max skew UI

SP1	6.8	256		
SP2	10.2	384		
SP3	13.6	512		
SP4	27.2	1024		
SP5	30.6	1152		
SP6	34 1	280		
PCS	input	37.4	1408	

Change skew limits in the PCS, PMA, and PMD clauses accordingly.

Proposed Response	Response Status	W		
PROPOSED ACCEF Pending review of pro- <ran_3ck_03_2301> !! need URL</ran_3ck_03_2301>	esentation:			
C/ 169 SC 169.5	P 16	59 L 18	# 3	
de Koos, Andras	Microo	chip Technology		
Comment Type T	Comment Status	D		time sync

As explained in 802.3cx (D3.3) Clause 90.7.3, transmitter skew can be problematic for timestamping. This should be flagged when discussing the skew limits for SP1, SP2, SP3.

"Lane skew is possible on a transmitter with multiple PCS and PMA/PMD lanes when these lanes have different static latencies such that their alignment markers appear staggered as they depart the device at the MDI output. Since transmit skew in series with medium skew is not strictly additive, transmit skew can contribute to time synchronization error by obscuring the actual latency of the medium. Transmit skew is expected to be minimized, ideally to zero, representing an ideal case for the accuracy of a TimeSync Client."

SuggestedRemedy

After Table 169-5, add a note that for 800GEGb/s devices that implement timestamping, transmitter skew (skew points SP1, SP2 and SP3) should be minimized, ideally to zero. Can point to Clause 90.7.3.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The restrictions that are being requested in this comment apply only when time synchronization accuracy is required. Reqruirements for time synchronzation are specified in Clause 90 (see 802.3cx). Subclause 90.7.3 "Lane skew" makes a recommendation similar to that requested in this comment. There is no need to repeat this in the introductory and sublayer clauses.

Pending review of the following presentation and task force discussion. https://www.ieee802.org/3/df/public/23_01/0130/dekoos_3df_01_230130.pdf

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 169	Page 14 of 32
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 169.5	2023-01-28 12:34:05 P
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

C/ 169	SC 169.5	P 169	L 38	# 16		C/ 169	SC	169.6	P 169	L 48	# 17
Ran, Adee)	Cisco				Ran, Ade	е		Cisco		
Comment	Туре т	Comment Status D			skew	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		FEC degrade
curren	tly 3.4-0.6=2.8 n	nated by SP4 minus SP3 - the s, corresponding to more thar ibers dynamically "shrink" or "	n 0.5 m of fiber.			signal DFEs	ing. It is and oth verage S	now con ner reaso	tionality in clause 119 is not un mon knowledge that correlat ns) can cause FEC failure event this feature measures is not e	ed errors (which on when the ave	n can occur due to erage SER is "good", so
		ce this contribution by a factor kimum skew variation at points			fiber).				way to predict FEC performan		
Suggested	-							accesse o 800G E	d through management; the F thernet.	EC degrade "Te	ature" should not be
	e the values in t 1.3 69	he SP4 row and below:				Suggestee	dRemea	lv			
SP5 SP6	1.5 89 1.5 80 1.7 N/A S receive 1.9	N/A				Delete	e 169.6		5, and edit other places where ature.	e FEC degrade i	is mentioned in this
		limits in the PCS, PMA, and	PMD clauses a	ccordingly.					to the FEC degrade in clause for the 800GBASE-R PCS ar		stating that FEC
Proposed	Response	Response Status W				Proposed	Respor	nse	Response Status W		
PROPOSED REJECT. The difference in delay of physical lanes is dependent on many factors . Skew variation may be due to fiber length (parallel fiber only) as well as variation in wavelengths, variation in polarization, variation in fiber characteristics, etc. The comment provides no evidence to support the suggested reduction in length variation of 14 cm nor does it consider other potential sources of variation. A rigorous analysis of any anticipated multi-lane					ariation ence to	The F adopt based draft i	EC deg ed base I upon s ncluded	lines for pecificati	ure is specified for the 200GB the PCS and 800GXS sublays ons for 200GBASE and 400G degrade as an optional featu	ers proposed that BASE PHYs. T	at these sublayers be
	nedium is requir ng review of pres					C/ 169	SC	169.8	P 171	L 9	# 119
!! need	URL					Dawe, Pie	ers		Nvidia		
						<i>Comment</i> Same	<i>Type</i> as wha	E t?	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
						Suggestee	dRemed	ly			
									he same notation and conven ons used in 21.6" or "conforms		

used in 21.6" to "conforms to the same notation and conventions as used in 21.6".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The word "same" is superfluous. Change "conforms to the same notation and conventions used in 21.6" To "conforms to the notation and conventions used in 21.6"

Cl	169	
SC	169.8	

C/ 171	SC 171.1	P 179	L 26	# 124	C/ 171	SC	171.2	P 180	L 45	# 55
Slavick, Jef	ff	Broadcom			Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
Comment T	Гуре Т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		FEC degrad
Suggestedf Attach a Proposed R PROPC	Remedy a footnote to ea Response	UI as Optional but at least one ch Optional that specifies that <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. al license.			should FEC d from th option	In't be r legrade ne mod al for th eneratir	making m from the lule's mar ne DTE 80 ng FEC de	tional feature of the PCS. As any errors, the main interest line PCS in the module. The nagement interface. So if it's 00GXS, although one could s egrade from a bad BER, into	for the DTE 800 host could hav optional for the plit receiving a	OGXS is in receiving any re got similar informatior PCS it should be FEC degrade signal,
C/ 171	SC 171.1.1	P 180	L 39	# 120	Delete	with t	he additio	onal FEC degrade signaling d	efined in 171.5'	
Dawe, Piers		P 160 Nvidia	L 39	# 120	Proposed	Respor	nse	Response Status W		
Comment T Some r Suggestedf Say tha 800GX3 is simila Proposed R PROPC	Type E more basic, stra Remedy at the 800GMII E S, that commun ar to the Clause Response DSED REJECT.	Comment Status D tegic concepts are missing fro Extender uses two PCS-like en icate to each other over an 80 72 PCS, and the PHY 800G) Response Status W	ntities, DTE 800 00GAUI-n. Say (S is similar but	that the DTE 800GXS	A com degrad Comm	promis de sign nent #1	aling may 7 propose	AUI-n may result in a BER tha v be beneficial. es deletion of the FEC degrad mment #17.		
C/ 171	SC 171.1.1	P 180	L 40	# 121						
Dawe, Piers	s	Nvidia								
	0GXS doesn't s	Comment Status D upport physical instantiations r it. The XGSs connect to the								
the sam	ne as each othe	er. A 800GAUI-n has to be ph	ysical.							
instanti	e "The 800GXS ations of the 80	leverages all functions in the 0GAUI-n" to "Each 800GXS Is s to a 800GAUI-n, as shown ir	everages all fun							
Proposed R PROPC	Response DSED ACCEPT	Response Status W	-							

C/ 171 SC 171.2

C/ 171 SC 171.3	P 181	L 3	# 2	C/ 171 SC 171.3	P 182	L 9	# 57
de Koos, Andras	Microchip Tec	hnology		Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		time sync	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket
of alignment markers a	lause 90.7.2, an MII extender ind idles. But as described in			Figure 171-2 contains Also, "66B" should be	s the rogue capitals that have ju e "66-bit", twice	ust been remove	ed from Figure 172-2.
this in the 800GXS Sub	blayer.			SuggestedRemedy			
I can make a presentat	tion to explain this further, if n	eeded.		Fix			
(e.g., over physical interior insertion and removal or below the xMII/AUI, where the the the the the the the the the th	NUM_BIT_CHANGE and RX_ erfaces such as instantiated xi of Idles, alignment markers, a en possible, to reduce timest	MII or AUI), it is nd codeword n	s recommended to avoid narkers in the sublayers	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP Update figure accordi Implement with editor	ng to Clause 172 and change 6	66B to 66-bit.	
Annex 90A)."				C/ 171 SC 171.3	P 182	L 45	# 58
SuggestedRemedy				Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
There should be a prov PHY) can optionally av	vision that an MII Extender de oid any modification to the MI	Il stream, and a	any modification of the	Comment Type T As in Figure 172-2, fu	Comment Status D Inctional block diagram for the	PCS	(bucket
position of alignment m input and output.	arkers or codeword markers	with respect to	the MII, between the	SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Please indicate the p	osition of the 800GMII		
PROPOSED REJECT.	1			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W		
	text proposed in this comme					1.0	11 100
	should be stated in Clause 9 d to time synchronization. No			C/ 171 SC 171.3.1	P 183	L 3	# 126
	opted PCS and 800GXS arch			Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom		
Pending review of the f	ollowing presentation and tas	k force discuss	sion	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		(bucket
	g/3/df/public/23_01/0130/dek			Isn't Figure 169-3 a b	etter reference?		
C/ 171 SC 171.3	P 181	L8	# 56	SuggestedRemedy	(and a 100 0		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia	- •	" 50	Change the Figure re			
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		FEC degrade	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
The FEC degrade feat	ure is not very interesting for t I if it is optional for the PCS, it		e AUI inside the	PROPOSED ACCEP	Т.		
800GXS in the same m	nodule.						
SuggestedRemedy							
Delete "Additional FEC	degrade signaling defined in	171.5 is includ	led."				
Proposed Response	Response Status W						
PROPOSED REJECT.	onse to comment #55.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 171 SC 171.3.1 Page 17 of 32 2023-01-28 12:34:05 P

(bucket1)

(bucket1)

(bucket1)

	SC 171.3.2	P 183	L 23	# 18	C/ 171 SC	171.7	P 185	L 46	# 60
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Ty	ype E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment Type	Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
		1A defined in 173.3"			Broken variat	ole name l	out it looks like there is space	in this table to	avoid it
The first it.	t "defined" is sup	perfluous. Compare to the pr	revious paragrap	ohs, which do not have	SuggestedRemed	•			
SuggestedR	Remedy				-		two characters wider, making	the third colum	in narrower.
Delete th	he first instance	of "defined".			Proposed Respor		Response Status W		
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W					IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license.		
PROPO	SED ACCEPT.					171.7	P 186	L 6	# 125
C/ 171	SC 171.5	P 183	L 46	# 4	Slavick, Jeff	171.7	Broadcom	20	# [125
Brown, Matt	t	Huawei			,	т	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
Comment Ty	ype T	Comment Status D		800GMII signals			map the FEC_cw_counter ar	nd FEC_codewo	()
		e in the 800GMII extender su			to PCS space	Э.			
		CS below, but the base stand the 800GBASE-R PCS and D			SuggestedRemed	dy			
(800GM	III). Instead Clau	use 118 makes reference to	status bits in the	PCS (Clause) 119.			the PHY XS and DTE XS MI	DIO space for the	nese counters and map
12	y with common c	conventions, signals across		interface should be	them to the n	ew registe	ers appropriately.		
	باہ مطلب ، میں بیار مار	المستأم مطلا امسم ململم مأسما		ala avulatavaa					
defined	,	egrade state and the signal	referenced in ea	ch sublayer.	Proposed Respor		Response Status W		
defined t SuggestedR	Remedy	0			PROPOSED	ACCEPT	, IN PRINCIPLE.		
defined t SuggestedR Update 8	Remedy 800GMII to inclu	ude FEC degrade signaling a	across the 800G	MII. Update the	PROPOSED Implement su	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license.		
defined t SuggestedR Update 8 800GBA 800GXS	Remedy 800GMII to inclu ASE-R PCS to in S to use the new	ude FEC degrade signaling a include the generation of the FEC degrade signal rather	across the 800G FEC degrade sig	MII. Update the gnal. Update the PHY	PROPOSED Implement su Cl 171 SC	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. P189	L 12	# 41
defined f SuggestedR Update 8 800GBA 800GXS presenta	Remedy 800GMII to inclu ASE-R PCS to in S to use the new ation will be prov	ude FEC degrade signaling a clude the generation of the FEC degrade signal rather vided.	across the 800G FEC degrade sig	MII. Update the gnal. Update the PHY	PROPOSED Implement su C/ 171 SC Nicholl, Shawn	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. P 189 AMD	L 12	# [41
defined f SuggestedR Update 8 800GBA 800GXS presenta Proposed Re	Remedy 800GMII to inclu ASE-R PCS to in S to use the new ation will be prov esponse	ude FEC degrade signaling a include the generation of the FEC degrade signal rather	across the 800G FEC degrade sig	MII. Update the gnal. Update the PHY	PROPOSED Implement su Cl 171 SC Nicholl, Shawn Comment Type	ACCEPT uggested r 171.8.3 E	IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. P 189 AMD Comment Status D	L 12	# 41 (bucket1)
defined t SuggestedR Update & 800GBA 800GXS presenta Proposed Re	Remedy 800GMII to inclu ASE-R PCS to in S to use the new ation will be prov	ude FEC degrade signaling a clude the generation of the FEC degrade signal rather vided.	across the 800G FEC degrade sig	MII. Update the gnal. Update the PHY	PROPOSED Implement su Cl 171 SC Nicholl, Shawn Comment Type	ACCEPT uggested r 171.8.3 E	IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. P 189 AMD	L 12	
defined t SuggestedR Update 8 800GBA 800GXS presenta Proposed Re PROPO	Remedy 800GMII to inclu ASE-R PCS to in S to use the new ation will be prov esponse DSED REJECT.	ude FEC degrade signaling a clude the generation of the FEC degrade signal rather vided.	across the 800G FEC degrade sig than the status t	MII. Update the gnal. Update the PHY	PROPOSED Implement su Cl 171 SC Nicholl, Shawn Comment Type	ACCEPT lggested r 171.8.3 E table has	IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. P 189 AMD Comment Status D	L 12	
defined t SuggestedR Update 8 800GBA 800GXS presenta Proposed Re PROPO	Remedy 800GMII to inclu ASE-R PCS to in S to use the new ation will be prov esponse DSED REJECT. mment was WIT	ude FEC degrade signaling a nolude the generation of the FEC degrade signal rather vided. <i>Response Status</i> Z HDRAWN by the commente	across the 800G FEC degrade sig than the status b er.	MII. Update the gnal. Update the PHY oit(s) in the PCS. A	PROPOSED Implement su Cl 171 SC Nicholl, Shawn Comment Type Fourth row of SuggestedRemed	ACCEPT iggested r 171.8.3 E table has	IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. P 189 AMD Comment Status D		(bucket1)
defined t SuggestedR Update 8 800GBA 800GXS presenta Proposed Re PROPO This con Cl 171	Remedy 800GMII to inclu ASE-R PCS to in S to use the new ation will be prov esponse DSED REJECT. mment was WIT SC 171.5	ude FEC degrade signaling a colude the generation of the FEC degrade signal rather vided. Response Status Z HDRAWN by the commente P183	across the 800G FEC degrade sig than the status t	MII. Update the gnal. Update the PHY	PROPOSED Implement su Cl 171 SC Nicholl, Shawn Comment Type Fourth row of SuggestedRemed	ACCEPT iggested r 171.8.3 E table has dy iden the fi	IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. P 189 AMD Comment Status D text wrapped in first column.		(bucket1)
defined t SuggestedR Update 8 800GBA 800GXS presenta Proposed Re PROPO This con Cl 171 Dawe, Piers	Remedy 800GMII to inclu ASE-R PCS to in 5 to use the new ation will be prov esponse DSED REJECT. mment was WIT SC 171.5	ude FEC degrade signaling a noclude the generation of the FEC degrade signal rather vided. <i>Response Status</i> Z HDRAWN by the commente <i>P</i> 183 Nvidia	across the 800G FEC degrade sig than the status b er.	MII. Update the gnal. Update the PHY bit(s) in the PCS. A # <u>59</u>	PROPOSED Implement su Cl 171 SC Nicholl, Shawn Comment Type Fourth row of SuggestedRemed Propose to wi Proposed Respor PROPOSED	ACCEPT iggested r 171.8.3 E table has dy iden the fi nse ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. P 189 AMD Comment Status D text wrapped in first column. rst column slightly to prevent Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		(bucket1)
defined t SuggestedR Update 8 800GBA 800GXS presenta Proposed Re PROPO This con Cl 171 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty	Remedy 800GMII to inclu ASE-R PCS to in 5 to use the new ation will be prov esponse DSED REJECT. mment was WIT SC 171.5 Sc 171.5	ude FEC degrade signaling a noclude the generation of the FEC degrade signal rather vided. <i>Response Status</i> Z HDRAWN by the commente <i>P</i> 183 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D	across the 800G FEC degrade sig than the status b er. <i>L</i> 49	MII. Update the gnal. Update the PHY bit(s) in the PCS. A # <u>59</u> FEC degrade	PROPOSED Implement su Cl 171 SC Nicholl, Shawn Comment Type Fourth row of SuggestedRemed Propose to wi Proposed Respor PROPOSED	ACCEPT iggested r 171.8.3 E table has dy iden the fi nse ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. P 189 AMD Comment Status D text wrapped in first column. rst column slightly to prevent Response Status W		(bucket1)
defined t SuggestedR Update 8 800GBA 800GXS presenta Proposed Re PROPO This con Cl 171 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Accordir	Remedy 800GMII to inclu ASE-R PCS to in 5 to use the new ation will be prov esponse DSED REJECT. mment was WIT SC 171.5 S ype T ng to 171.8.3, Fi	ude FEC degrade signaling a noclude the generation of the FEC degrade signal rather vided. <i>Response Status</i> Z HDRAWN by the commente <i>P</i> 183 Nvidia	across the 800G FEC degrade sig than the status b er. <i>L</i> 49 ccording to 116.0	MII. Update the gnal. Update the PHY bit(s) in the PCS. A # <u>59</u> <i>FEC degrade</i> 6 and 118.5.3, it's	PROPOSED Implement su Cl 171 SC Nicholl, Shawn Comment Type Fourth row of SuggestedRemed Propose to wi Proposed Respor PROPOSED	ACCEPT iggested r 171.8.3 E table has dy iden the fi nse ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. P 189 AMD Comment Status D text wrapped in first column. rst column slightly to prevent Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		(bucket1)
defined t SuggestedR Update 8 800GBA 800GXS presenta Proposed Re PROPO This con Cl 171 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Accordir optional	Remedy 800GMII to inclu ASE-R PCS to in 5 to use the new ation will be prov esponse DSED REJECT. mment was WIT SC 171.5 Sype T ng to 171.8.3, Fi for 200GXS and	ude FEC degrade signaling a noclude the generation of the FEC degrade signal rather vided. <i>Response Status</i> Z HDRAWN by the commente <i>P</i> 183 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D EC degrade for 800GXS. Au	across the 800G FEC degrade sig than the status b er. <i>L</i> 49 ccording to 116.0	MII. Update the gnal. Update the PHY bit(s) in the PCS. A # <u>59</u> <i>FEC degrade</i> 6 and 118.5.3, it's	PROPOSED Implement su Cl 171 SC Nicholl, Shawn Comment Type Fourth row of SuggestedRemed Propose to wi Proposed Respor PROPOSED	ACCEPT iggested r 171.8.3 E table has dy iden the fi nse ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. P 189 AMD Comment Status D text wrapped in first column. rst column slightly to prevent Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		(bucket1)
defined t SuggestedR Update 8 800GBA 800GXS presenta Proposed Re PROPO This con Cl 171 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Accordir optional SuggestedR	Remedy 800GMII to inclu ASE-R PCS to in 5 to use the new ation will be prov esponse DSED REJECT. mment was WIT SC 171.5 Sype T ng to 171.8.3, Ft for 200GXS and Remedy	ude FEC degrade signaling a noclude the generation of the FEC degrade signal rather vided. <i>Response Status</i> Z HDRAWN by the commente <i>P</i> 183 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D EC degrade for 800GXS. Au	across the 800G FEC degrade sig than the status b er. <i>L</i> 49 ccording to 116.1 the 800GBASE-F	MII. Update the gnal. Update the PHY bit(s) in the PCS. A # <u>59</u> <i>FEC degrade</i> 6 and 118.5.3, it's	PROPOSED Implement su Cl 171 SC Nicholl, Shawn Comment Type Fourth row of SuggestedRemed Propose to wi Proposed Respor PROPOSED	ACCEPT iggested r 171.8.3 E table has dy iden the fi nse ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. P 189 AMD Comment Status D text wrapped in first column. rst column slightly to prevent Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		(bucket1)
defined t SuggestedR Update 8 800GBA 800GXS presenta Proposed Re PROPO This con Cl 171 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty Accordir optional SuggestedR	Remedy 800GMII to inclu ASE-R PCS to in 5 to use the new ation will be prov esponse DSED REJECT. mment was WIT SC 171.5 Sype T ng to 171.8.3, Ff for 200GXS and Remedy entence: FEC de	ude FEC degrade signaling a colude the generation of the FEC degrade signal rather vided. <i>Response Status</i> Z HDRAWN by the commente <i>P</i> 183 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D EC degrade for 800GXS. And d 400GXS. It's optional for t	across the 800G FEC degrade sig than the status b er. <i>L</i> 49 ccording to 116.1 the 800GBASE-F	MII. Update the gnal. Update the PHY bit(s) in the PCS. A # <u>59</u> <i>FEC degrade</i> 6 and 118.5.3, it's	PROPOSED Implement su Cl 171 SC Nicholl, Shawn Comment Type Fourth row of SuggestedRemed Propose to wi Proposed Respor PROPOSED	ACCEPT iggested r 171.8.3 E table has dy iden the fi nse ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. P 189 AMD Comment Status D text wrapped in first column. rst column slightly to prevent Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		(bucket1)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 171 SC 171.8.3 Page 18 of 32 2023-01-28 12:34:05 P

CL 474	SC 474 0 4 0	D 400	1 50	# 64	CI 470	SC 470 4 0	DADA	L 47	# 64
C/ 171	SC 171.8.4.3	<i>P</i> 190 Nvidia	L 50	# 61	C/ 172 Dawe, Pie	SC 172.1.3	<i>P</i> 194	L 41	# 64
Dawe, Pie							Nvidia		
Comment	51	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment		Comment Status D		(bucket1)
	-	'deletion" doesn't get a spec	ai capital letter				with essentially the same title Sublayer (PCS) for 64B/66B,		-R
Suggestee	-				172.1.	3 Physical Codi	ng Sublayer (PCS)	.)po occe_/.e_	
Chang	ge Deletion to del	etion					Sublayer (PCS)	itia an introduct	lion
Proposed	Response	Response Status W				are e.g. 171:	t see something that indicates	s it's an introduct	lion.
PROP	POSED ACCEPT.				171.8	00GMII Extende	er and 800GMII Extender Subl	ayer (800GXS)	
C/ 171	SC 171.8.4.4	P 191	L 5	# 62		1 Summary of n	najor concepts hard specification subclauses	are one lovel hi	abor)
Dawe, Pie		Nvidia	23	# 0Z	Also n		naru specification subclauses	are one level m	gilei)
,		Comment Status D		(hundratd)		3 Summary of f			
Comment		ist be desynchronised to it's	not overthy on in	(bucket1)		Functions withir	the PMA		
qualifi		ist be desynchronised to it's	not exactly as in	Clause 49 without	Suggestea	-			
Suggested	dRemedv					e the title of 172 BASE-R PCS" o	2.1.3 to "Summary of major co	oncepts", "Princi	pal features of the
00	to 172 instead of	49					2.2 to "Detailed specifications"	of the 800GBAS	SE-R PCS" or
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			equiva				
	POSED ACCEPT	,					4 Functions within the PMA co ons within the PMA	ould be somethin	ig like Detailed
		hown in Figure 49–8"			Proposed		Response Status W		
to "Pe	rforms as describ	ed in 172.4.2.3"			•		IN PRINCIPLE.		
C/ 172	SC 172	P 194	L 1	# 63					
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia					sical Coding Sublayer (PCS)"		
Comment		Comment Status D		(bucket1)	10: "1	2.1.3 Summary	of functions"		
		s 49. Physical Coding Sublay	ver (PCS) for 64F	, ,		e: "172.2.4 Trai			
R. "fo	or" isn't great but I	see why it was there in 49.	Back then, 64B/	66B was new and a big	To: "1	72.2.4 Transmi	function"		
		with 8B/10B. Here, it's only		on the way to	Chang	e "171.1.1 Sum	mary of major concepts"		
		C. Type R is very familiar no 172.7.2.2 differs.	Jw.		To: "17	71.1.1 Summary	of functions"		
Suggested					Implen	nent with editori	al license.		
00		from "172. Physical Coding	Sublaver (PCS) i	or 64B/66B, type					
800G	BASE-R" to 172.	Physical Coding Sublayer (P							
	and in the PICS.								
Proposed	Response	Response Status W							
PROP	POSED ACCEPT.								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 172 SC 172.1.3 Page 19 of 32 2023-01-28 12:34:05 P

	00 470 4 0	D / A /							
C/ 172	SC 172.1.3	P 194	L 53	# 128	C/ 172	SC 172.1.4	P 195	L 21	# 67
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment Ty	rpe E	Comment Status D		(bucket1
		n" appears 75 times, "based u I times, "based upon" 5 times		In this document,	Section	8, for example,	that": pompous fluff, and sing , uses "while this specificatio		
Suggested	lRemedy				•		and three times without.		
Maybe	we should char	nge all the new "based upon"	to "based on"		SuggestedR	,			
roposed l	Response	Response Status W					y one in this draft.		
		IN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Re		Response Status W		
Chang	e "based upon"	to "based on" in this Clause					IN PRINCIPLE. to note that, while this speci	figation defines i	intorfacco "
C/ 172	SC 172.1.3	P 195	L 5	# 66			tion defines interfaces"	lication defines i	interraces
Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia			C/ 172	SC 172.2.1	P 197	L 31	# 68
comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)		30 172.2.1	_	231	# 00
		hronisation and lane re-orderi			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		(h
0	,	Id appear in this list, particula	rly as alignment	t markers appear	Comment Ty		Comment Status D		(bucket
	it explanation at	item e.			and Rx.	of subject with	out indication. According to	line 5, there are	only two processes, 1x
Suggested					SuggestedR	emedv			
Please	e add them				SuggestedR Insert "li	•	ve direction Receive proces	s". Reconcile w	hether PCS
Please Proposed I	e add them Response	Response Status W			Insert "Iı	n for the recei	ve direction Receive proces ss is a component of the Rec		
Please Proposed I PROP	e add them <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT	, IN PRINCIPLE.			Insert "Iı	n for the receinization proces			
Please Proposed I PROP Implen	e add them <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT ment suggested	IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license.			Insert "In Synchro Proposed Ro PROPO	n for the recei nization proces esponse SED ACCEPT	ss is a component of the Rec Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.	eive process or	
Please Proposed I PROP	e add them <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT	, IN PRINCIPLE.	L 5	# 65	Insert "In Synchro Proposed Ro PROPO Add "In	n for the receinization proces esponse SED ACCEPT he receive dire	ss is a component of the Rec Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the	eive process or	not.
Please Proposed I PROP Implen	e add them Response OSED ACCEPT nent suggested SC 172.1.3	IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license.		# 65	Insert "In Synchro Proposed Ro PROPO Add "In The sen	n for the receinization processes esponse SED ACCEPT he receive directed becomesses	ss is a component of the Rec Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the	eive process or	not.
Please Proposed I PROP Implen C/ 172 Dawe, Pier	e add them Response OSED ACCEPT nent suggested SC 172.1.3	⁻ IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license. P 195		# 65(bucket1)	Insert "In Synchro Proposed Ro PROPO Add "In The sen continuc	h for the receinization process asponse SED ACCEPT the receive direction becomes usly monitors	ss is a component of the Rec <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the "	eive process or e sentence. e PCS Synchron	not. ization process
Please roposed I PROP Implen	e add them Response OSED ACCEPT ment suggested SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodi	IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit bloc	L 5 cks. As this coo	<i>(bucket1)</i> de is "systematic", it can	Insert "In Synchro Proposed Re PROPO Add "In The sen continue Cl 172	n for the receinization processes esponse SED ACCEPT he receive directed becomesses	ss is a component of the Rec Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P197	eive process or	not.
Please Proposed I PROP Implen	e add them Response OSED ACCEPT ment suggested SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodi coded by throwin	IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit bloo g away the parity block, but t	L 5 cks. As this coo	<i>(bucket1)</i> de is "systematic", it can	Insert "In Synchro Proposed Re PROPO Add "In The sen continue C/ 172 Dawe, Piers	I for the receinization process asponse SED ACCEPT he receive direction the receive direction second process SC 172.2.1	ss is a component of the Rec Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P 197 Nvidia	eive process or e sentence. e PCS Synchron	not. ization process # 69
Please Proposed I PROP Implen Implen I 172 Dawe, Piel Comment T Reed-S be dec good to	e add them Response OSED ACCEPT nent suggested SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodi coded by throwin o mention FEC.	IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit bloo g away the parity block, but t	L 5 cks. As this coo	<i>(bucket1)</i> de is "systematic", it can	Insert "In Synchro Proposed Re PROPO Add "In The sen continue C/ 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty	I for the receinization process esponse SED ACCEPT he receive directed becomes usly monitors SC 172.2.1 ppe E	ss is a component of the Rec Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P 197 Nvidia Comment Status D	e sentence. e PCS Synchron	not. ization process
Please Proposed I PROPU Implem Cl 172 Dawe, Pieu Comment ² Reed-S be dec good to Suggested	e add them Response OSED ACCEPT nent suggested SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodi coded by throwin o mention FEC. IRemedy	IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit bloo ng away the parity block, but t	L 5 cks. As this coo hat's not the poi	<i>(bucket1)</i> de is "systematic", it can nt. Also, it would be	Insert "In Synchro Proposed Re PROPO Add "In The sen continue C/ 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty	I for the receinization process esponse SED ACCEPT he receive directed becomes usly monitors SC 172.2.1 ppe E	ss is a component of the Rec Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P 197 Nvidia	e sentence. e PCS Synchron	not. ization process # <u>69</u>
Please Proposed I PROP Implen 7 172 Dawe, Pier Comment Reed-S be dec good to uggested Chang	e add them Response OSED ACCEPT ment suggested SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodi coded by throwin o mention FEC. IRemedy ge to "Encoding (IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit block g away the parity block, but t	L 5 cks. As this coo hat's not the poi	<i>(bucket1)</i> de is "systematic", it can nt. Also, it would be	Insert "In Synchro Proposed Re PROPO Add "In The sen continuc C/ 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty and ther SuggestedR	I for the receinization process esponse SED ACCEPT he receive direction becomes usly monitors SC 172.2.1 ppe E reordered, de emedy	ss is a component of the Rec Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P197 Nvidia Comment Status D skewed, and the align_status	e sentence. e PCS Synchron <i>L</i> 36	not. ization process # <u>69</u>
Please Proposed I PROPU Implen C/ 172 Dawe, Piel Comment C Reed-S be dec good to Suggested Chang Proposed I	e add them Response OSED ACCEPT ment suggested SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodi coded by throwin o mention FEC. IRemedy ge to "Encoding (Response	IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit blog g away the parity block, but t (decoding with correction) the Response Status W	L 5 cks. As this coo hat's not the poi	<i>(bucket1)</i> de is "systematic", it can nt. Also, it would be	Insert "In Synchro Proposed Re PROPO Add "In The sen continuc C/ 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty and ther SuggestedR	I for the receinization process esponse SED ACCEPT he receive direction becomes usly monitors SC 172.2.1 ppe E reordered, de emedy	ss is a component of the Rec Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ection" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P 197 Nvidia Comment Status D	e sentence. e PCS Synchron <i>L</i> 36	not. ization process # <mark>69</mark>
Please Proposed I PROPU Implem Cl 172 Dawe, Pier Comment Reed-S be dec good to Chang Proposed I PROPU The RS	e add them Response OSED ACCEPT ment suggested SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodi coded by throwin o mention FEC. IRemedy ge to "Encoding (Response OSED REJECT S decoder is specifications)	IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit blog g away the parity block, but t (decoding with correction) the Response Status W	L 5 cks. As this coo hat's not the poi 257-bit blocks w	<i>(bucket1)</i> de is "systematic", it can int. Also, it would be with Reed-Solomon FEC	Insert "In Synchro Proposed Re PROPO Add "In The sen continue Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty and ther SuggestedR and ther Proposed Re	I for the receinization process asponse SED ACCEPT the receive directed inter- tence becomess usly monitors SC 172.2.1 The reordered, decemedy reordered and asponse	ss is a component of the Rec Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. Section" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P197 Nvidia Comment Status D skewed, and the align_status d deskewed, and the align_st Response Status W	e sentence. e PCS Synchron <i>L</i> 36	not. ization process # <mark>69</mark>
Please Proposed I PROPU Implem Cl 172 Dawe, Pier Comment Reed-S be dec good to Suggested Chang Proposed I PROPU The RS the dec	e add them Response OSED ACCEPT nent suggested SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodi coded by throwin o mention FEC. IRemedy ge to "Encoding (Response OSED REJECT S decoder is specoder.	IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit block og away the parity block, but t (decoding with correction) the Response Status W	L 5 cks. As this coo hat's not the poi 257-bit blocks of correction as o	<i>(bucket1)</i> de is "systematic", it can int. Also, it would be with Reed-Solomon FEC ne of the functions of	Insert "In Synchro Proposed Re PROPO Add "In The sen continue Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty and ther SuggestedR and ther Proposed Re	I for the receinization process asponse SED ACCEPT the receive directed becomes usly monitors SC 172.2.1 pe E reordered, de emedy reordered and	ss is a component of the Rec Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. Section" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P197 Nvidia Comment Status D skewed, and the align_status d deskewed, and the align_st Response Status W	e sentence. e PCS Synchron <i>L</i> 36	not. ization process # <u>69</u>
Please Proposed I PROPU Implem Cl 172 Dawe, Pier Comment Reed-S good to Suggested Chang Proposed I PROPU The RS the dec Per 11 symbo	e add them Response OSED ACCEPT nent suggested SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodi coded by throwin o mention FEC. <i>Remedy</i> ge to "Encoding (<i>Response</i> OSED REJECT S decoder is spec- coder. 9.2.5.3 Reed-Scools from the code	IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit blog g away the parity block, but t (decoding with correction) the Response Status W ecified in 119.2.5.3 which lists blomon decoder "The Reed-S eword, corrects them as nece	<i>L</i> 5 cks. As this coo hat's not the poi 257-bit blocks of correction as o colomon decode ssary, and disca	<i>(bucket1)</i> de is "systematic", it can int. Also, it would be with Reed-Solomon FEC me of the functions of r extracts the message ards the parity symbols."	Insert "In Synchro Proposed Re PROPO Add "In The sen continue Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty and ther SuggestedR and ther Proposed Re	I for the receinization process asponse SED ACCEPT the receive directed inter- tence becomess usly monitors SC 172.2.1 The reordered, decemedy reordered and asponse	ss is a component of the Rec Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. Section" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P197 Nvidia Comment Status D skewed, and the align_status d deskewed, and the align_st Response Status W	e sentence. e PCS Synchron <i>L</i> 36	not. ization process # <u>69</u>
Please Proposed I PROPO Implem Cl 172 Dawe, Pier Comment Reed-S be dec good to Suggested Chang Proposed I PROPO The RS the dec Per 11 symbo The pro	e add them Response OSED ACCEPT nent suggested SC 172.1.3 rs Type E Solomon encodi coded by throwin o mention FEC. <i>IRemedy</i> ge to "Encoding (<i>Response</i> OSED REJECT S decoder is spec- coder. 9.2.5.3 Reed-Scools from the code	IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license. P 195 Nvidia Comment Status D ng (decoding) the 257-bit bloc g away the parity block, but t (decoding with correction) the Response Status W ecified in 119.2.5.3 which lists blomon decoder "The Reed-S	<i>L</i> 5 cks. As this coo hat's not the poi 257-bit blocks of correction as o colomon decode ssary, and disca	<i>(bucket1)</i> de is "systematic", it can int. Also, it would be with Reed-Solomon FEC me of the functions of r extracts the message ards the parity symbols."	Insert "In Synchro Proposed Re PROPO Add "In The sen continue Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty and ther SuggestedR and ther Proposed Re	I for the receinization process asponse SED ACCEPT the receive directed inter- tence becomess usly monitors SC 172.2.1 The reordered, decemedy reordered and asponse	ss is a component of the Rec Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. Section" to the beginning of the s "In the receive direction, the " P197 Nvidia Comment Status D skewed, and the align_status d deskewed, and the align_st Response Status W	e sentence. e PCS Synchron <i>L</i> 36	not. ization process # <u>69</u>

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 172	Page 20 of 32
SC 172.2.1	2023-01-28 12:34:05 P

C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.1.1	P 198	L 28	# 42	C/ 172	SC 172.2.4	.1.1 <i>P</i> 19	08 L 37	# 71
Nicholl, Shav	wn	AMD			Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status D		stateless enc-dec	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D	(bucket1)
		stateless encoder at both 4			Usuall	y we write func	tion(something) with no	space	
		172.2.4.1.1 (PCS stateles	s encoder) into (Clause 119 directly.	Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedRe	-				Delete	"alternative".	Also in Table 172-4.		
(PCS sta Or replac	ateless encoder), ce with "tx_raw ve	sub-clause 119.2.4.1.1 cor except replace (twice) "80 ector(s)" instead. Encode and rate matching	0GMII vector(s)"	' with "MII vector(s)".	-	, OSED ACCEP	Response Status T IN PRINCIPLE. etween the functions a		ble 172-1 and Table 172-
Figure 1	19-14." to " stat	te diagram as shown in Fig the stateless encoder spe	gure 119-14 or (fo	or 400GBASE-R PCS	4. C/ 172	SC 172.2.4	.1.1 <i>P</i> 19	08 L 37	# 19
In out of	louioo 172 2 4 1 (I	Encode, rate matching, and	d block distributio	on) ohongo "ototologo	Ran, Adee	•	Cisco		
		2.4.1.1." to "stateless enco			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	D	(bucket1)
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status W			Table	172–1 has "res	et" as the first column,	but reset is not define	ed in clause 172.
		by the P802.3df PAR, any			1 <u>7</u> 1aw	are not denne	d anywhere in this draft		
apply on therefore Cl 172	e out of scope for SC 172.2.4.1.1	P 198	L 32	# 70	Suggested Add te	<i>Remedy</i> xt pointing to th	-	K_T and EBLOCK_T	in 119.2.6.2.1, reset and
apply on therefore <i>Cl</i> 172 Dawe, Piers	SC 172.2.4.1.1	this project. P 198 Nvidia		# [70	Suggested Add te tx_raw Proposed	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response	ne definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC <i>Response Status</i>	K_T and EBLOCK_T ODE in 119.2.6.2.3.	in 119.2.6.2.1, reset and
apply on therefore Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate	SC 172.2.4.1.1 SC T YPE T a alternative: sh	this project. P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D nouldn't it be the same wor	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggested Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response OSED ACCEP	ne definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W	in 119.2.6.2.1, reset and
apply on therefore Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate unneces	SC 172.2.4.1.1 SC T2.2.4.1.1 The alternative: shipsary and there is	this project. P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggested Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response OSED ACCEP	ne definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC <i>Response Status</i> T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editor	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W ial license.	in 119.2.6.2.1, reset and
apply on therefore Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate unneces SuggestedRe	SC 172.2.4.1.1 SC T2.2.4.1.1 SC T a alternative: sh sary and there is emedy	P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D nouldn't it be the same wor no other stateless encode	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggestec Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP Impler	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugge SC 172.2.4	ne definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC <i>Response Status</i> T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editor	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W ial license. 18 <i>L</i> 39	
apply on therefore CI 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate unneces SuggestedRe Delete "a	SC 172.2.4.1.1 SC 172.2.4.1.1 Ape T a alternative: sh sary and there is amedy alternative". Also	this project. P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D nouldn't it be the same wor no other stateless encode p in 172.2.5.8.1.	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggested Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP Impler Cl 172	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugge SC 172.2.4 rs	ne definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC <i>Response Status</i> T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editor 1.1 P19	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W ial license. 8 <i>L</i> 39	
apply on therefore Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate unneces SuggestedRe Delete "a Proposed Re	SC 172.2.4.1.1 SC 172.2.4.1.1 Ape T a alternative: sh sary and there is amedy alternative". Also	P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D nouldn't it be the same wor no other stateless encode	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggestec Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP Impler Cl 172 Dawe, Pie Comment Becau	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugge SC 172.2.4 rs Type T se Figure 119-	the definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC Response Status T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editor 1.1 P19 Nvidia	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W ial license. 18 <i>L</i> 39	# <u>72</u> (bucket1)
apply on therefore Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate unneces SuggestedRe Delete "a Proposed Re	e out of scope for SC 172.2.4.1.1 ype T a alternative: sh sary and there is emedy alternative". Also esponse	this project. P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D nouldn't it be the same wor no other stateless encode p in 172.2.5.8.1.	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggestec Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP Impler Cl 172 Dawe, Pie Comment Becau	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2. Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugge SC 172.2.4 rs Type T se Figure 119- CK_T, C, T, S,	the definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC Response Status T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editor 1.1 P19 Nvidia Comment Status 14 specifically doesn't a	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W ial license. 18 <i>L</i> 39	# <u>72</u> (bucket1)
apply on therefore Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate unneces SuggestedRe Delete "a Proposed Re	e out of scope for SC 172.2.4.1.1 ype T a alternative: sh sary and there is emedy alternative". Also esponse	this project. P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D nouldn't it be the same wor no other stateless encode p in 172.2.5.8.1.	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggested Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP Impler Cl 172 Dawe, Pie Comment Becau LBLO0 Suggested	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugge SC 172.2.4 rs Type T se Figure 119- CK_T, C, T, S, IRemedy	the definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC Response Status T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editor 1.1 P19 Nvidia Comment Status 14 specifically doesn't a	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W ial license. B8 <i>L</i> 39 D pply, we need cross-	# <u>72</u> (bucket1) references to define
apply on therefore Cl 172 Dawe, Piers Comment Ty alternate unneces SuggestedRe Delete "a Proposed Re	e out of scope for SC 172.2.4.1.1 ype T a alternative: sh sary and there is emedy alternative". Also esponse	this project. P 198 Nvidia Comment Status D nouldn't it be the same wor no other stateless encode p in 172.2.5.8.1.	L 32	# [<u>70</u> (bucket1)	Suggested Add te tx_raw Proposed PROP Impler Cl 172 Dawe, Pie Comment Becau LBLO0 Suggested	Remedy xt pointing to th in 119.2.6.2.2 Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugge SC 172.2.4 rs Type T se Figure 119- CK_T, C, T, S, Remedy e the cross-refe	ne definitions of LBLOC and T_TYPE and ENC Response Status T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editor 1.1 P19 Nvidia Comment Status 14 specifically doesn't a ENCODE and so on	K_T and EBLOCK_T CODE in 119.2.6.2.3. W ial license. B8 <i>L</i> 39 D pply, we need cross-	# <u>72</u> (bucket1) references to define

C/ 172 SC 172.2.4.1.1

	172.2.4.1.1	P 198	L 40	# 20	C/ 172 SC	172.2.4.3	P 199) L 10	# 21
Ran, Adee		Cisco			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type	TR C	omment Status D		stateless enc-dec	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status	כ	scramble
These seem to is not a state d would be prefe In addition, for	o be based on diagram, and t erable (as in th r each of these	PE (tx_raw_i-1)" has cell to the state diagram conv the letters are not condit ne similar Table 172–4). the two strings there are the an be merged with the w	rention that "+" is tions, so it isn't v wo rows with two	s a logical-OR, but this very clear. Using "or" o values in "T_TYPE	outputs will b are muxed to The scramble the initial valu	e equal. The ogether into er specificate for the s	his may cause various o the same physical lan ation goes back to 49.2	problems when he, such as pairs 2.6 which says "th nentations may fo	e the same input, their PCSLs from the two flows of identical PAM4 symbols. here is no requirement on price some initial value, e.g.
SuggestedRemedy					-			-	
		gle row with columns:			A presentatio	on with mor	re details will be suppli	ed.	
"0 C or T C					SuggestedReme	dy			
Merge rows 3 a "0 S or D D		gle row with columns: DE (tx_raw_i)".			"Although the	ere is no re	raph in 172.2.4.3: equirement on the initia		
	se Re	esponse Status W					et to different state at ar	iy time (e.g., whe	en reset is asserted), the two
Proposed Respons									
Proposed Respons PROPOSED A		RINCIPLE.			Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status	N	
PROPOSED A !! pulled from t The table is ac	ACCEPT IN P bucket #1 !! ccurate as is.	The proposed merging of			Proposed Respon PROPOSED Pending revie	ACCEPT ew of the p		entor and task for	
PROPOSED A !! pulled from to The table is act or clarity of the Also, reorderin Replace "+" wi	ACCEPT IN P bucket #1 !! ccurate as is. e specification ng the rows wo ith "or" in Tab	The proposed merging on the proposed merging on the second s	ool should be ch		Proposed Responder PROPOSED Pending revie https://www.in Cl 172 SC Dawe, Piers	ACCEPT ew of the p eee802.org 172.2.4.3	Response Status N IN PRINCIPLE. presentation by comme g/3/df/public/23_01/01 P 199 Nvidia	entor and task for 30/ran_3df_02_2 J L 10	30130.pdf # <u>74</u>
PROPOSED A !! pulled from to The table is act or clarity of the Also, reorderin Replace "+" wi Move row 5 to	ACCEPT IN P bucket #1 !! ccurate as is. e specification ng the rows wo ith "or" in Tab	The proposed merging on the proposed merging on the second	ool should be ch	anged to the word "or".	Proposed Responder PROPOSED Pending review https://www.in Cl 172 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type	ACCEPT ew of the p eee802.org 172.2.4.3 TR	Response Status N IN PRINCIPLE. presentation by comme g/3/df/public/23_01/01 P 199 Nvidia Comment Status	entor and task for 30/ran_3df_02_2 0	30130.pdf # <u>74</u> scramble
PROPOSED A !! pulled from to The table is action or clarity of the Also, reorderin Replace "+" wi Move row 5 to C/ 172 SC 1	ACCEPT IN P bucket #1 !! ccurate as is. e specification ng the rows we ith "or" in Tab o row 2, where	The proposed merging of h. However, the "+" symbolic build be helpful. les 172-1 and 172-4. row 1 is the row with re P 198	ool should be ch set = 1.		Proposed Respon PROPOSED Pending revie https://www.ie Cl 172 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type The two scra after restricte	ACCEPT ew of the p eee802.org 172.2.4.3 TR mblers mu	Response Status IN PRINCIPLE. presentation by comme g/3/df/public/23_01/01 P 199 Nvidia Comment Status Inst be desynchronised plexing the two flows.	entor and task for 30/ran_3df_02_2 2	30130.pdf # 74 scramble failure of signal statistics whether they need to be
PROPOSED A !! pulled from the The table is ac or clarity of the Also, reorderin Replace "+" wi Move row 5 to C/ 172 SC 1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type	ACCEPT IN P bucket #1 !! ccurate as is. T e specification og the rows we ith "or" in Tab o row 2, where 172.2.4.1.1 T C	The proposed merging of . However, the "+" symbould be helpful. les 172-1 and 172-4. row 1 is the row with re P 198 Nvidia comment Status D	ool should be ch set = 1.	anged to the word "or".	Proposed Respon PROPOSED Pending revie https://www.ie Cl 172 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type The two scra after restricte offset by mor	ACCEPT eve of the p eee802.org 172.2.4.3 TR mblers mu ed bit multip re than the	Response Status IN PRINCIPLE. resentation by comme g/3/df/public/23_01/01 P 199 Nvidia Comment Status ist be desynchronised plexing the two flows. Skew limit at SP1 or v	entor and task for 30/ran_3df_02_2 2	30130.pdf # 74 scramble failure of signal statistics
PROPOSED A !! pulled from the The table is ac or clarity of the Also, reorderin Replace "+" wi Move row 5 to C/ 172 SC 1 Dawe, Piers	ACCEPT IN P bucket #1 !! ccurate as is. T e specification og the rows we ith "or" in Tab o row 2, where 172.2.4.1.1 T C	The proposed merging of . However, the "+" symbould be helpful. les 172-1 and 172-4. row 1 is the row with re P 198 Nvidia comment Status D	ool should be ch set = 1.	# 73	Proposed Respon PROPOSED Pending revie https://www.ie Cl 172 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type The two scra after restricte offset by mor	ACCEPT ew of the p eee802.org 172.2.4.3 TR mblers mu d bit multip re than the provide a b	Response Status IN PRINCIPLE. resentation by comme g/3/df/public/23_01/01 P 199 Nvidia Comment Status ist be desynchronised plexing the two flows. Skew limit at SP1 or v	entor and task for 30/ran_3df_02_2 2	30130.pdf # 74 scramble failure of signal statistics whether they need to be et is enough. However, it's
PROPOSED A !! pulled from to The table is ac or clarity of the Also, reorderin Replace "+" wi Move row 5 to C/ 172 SC 1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type No indication a SuggestedRemedy	ACCEPT IN P bucket #1 !! ccurate as is. e specification ng the rows we ith "or" in Tab o row 2, where 172.2.4.1.1 T C as to how to a	The proposed merging of . However, the "+" symbould be helpful. les 172-1 and 172-4. row 1 is the row with re P 198 Nvidia comment Status D	bol should be ch set = 1. <i>L</i> 40	# 73	Proposed Respon PROPOSED Pending revie https://www.in Cl 172 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type The two scra after restricte offset by mor very easy to SuggestedRement Say that the enough so th	ACCEPT ew of the p eee802.org 172.2.4.3 TR mblers mu d bit multip re than the provide a b dy two scramt at they will	Response Status N IN PRINCIPLE. resentation by comme g/3/df/public/23_01/01 P 199 Nvidia Comment Status I ist be desynchronised plexing the two flows. Skew limit at SP1 or w big offset by choosing blers should be started	entor and task for 30/ran_3df_02_2 2	30130.pdf # 74 scramble failure of signal statistics whether they need to be et is enough. However, it's
PROPOSED A !! pulled from to The table is ac or clarity of the Also, reorderin Replace "+" wi Move row 5 to C/ 172 SC 1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type No indication a SuggestedRemedy	ACCEPT IN P bucket #1 !! ccurate as is. ' e specification og the rows we ith "or" in Tab or ow 2, where 172.2.4.1.1 T C as to how to a y or" as in Table	The proposed merging of h. However, the "+" symbolic build be helpful. les 172-1 and 172-4. row 1 is the row with re P 198 Nvidia comment Status D dd block types	bol should be ch set = 1. <i>L</i> 40	# 73	Proposed Respon PROPOSED Pending revie https://www.in Cl 172 SC Dawe, Piers Comment Type The two scra after restricte offset by mor very easy to SuggestedRemed Say that the	ACCEPT ew of the p eee802.org 172.2.4.3 TR mblers mu d bit multip re than the provide a b dy two scramt at they will gnals.	Response Status N IN PRINCIPLE. resentation by comme g/3/df/public/23_01/01 P 199 Nvidia Comment Status I ist be desynchronised plexing the two flows. Skew limit at SP1 or w big offset by choosing blers should be started	entor and task for 30/ran_3df_02_2 2	30130.pdf # 74 scramble failure of signal statistics whether they need to be et is enough. However, it's nitial conditions appropriately puts are offset by at least

C/ 172 SC 172.2.4.3

	.4 P 199	L 23	# 75	C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.	4 P 200	L 4	# 22
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
"n" SuggestedRemedy					CS AM tables d contents).	o not convey to the reader	the structure of the	e AMs (common and
•••	er of things (cardinal number) ar more usual?	nd i is an index (c	ordinal) number.	This ca - CM0,	an be improved CM1, CM2 (sti	by splitting the "Encoding" addled, the same values for	column into 4 colu or all lanes)	umns:
Proposed Response	Response Status W				unique per lan			
PROPOSED ACCEP Change variable "n" t	PT IN PRINCIPLE. to "k" in 172.2.4.4 and in Figure	ə 172-3.			est (unique per	addled, the same values fo lane)	or all lanes)	
7 172 SC 172.2.4	.4 <i>P</i> 199	L 25	# 76	The tw	o tables can als	so be joined to one table wi	th 32 rows.	
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Suggested	Remedy			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		(bucket1)		e tables 172-2 ler merging the	and 172-3 as described.		
from 119.2.4.4. Also difference between th SuggestedRemedy		ne tables, we can	say what the	Proposed I PROP The for	Response OSED REJECT rmat of tables 1	Response Status W		rom Cl119. There isn't
to UM5 are unique pe	and Table 172-3, CM0 to CM5 er lane, and UP0 to UP2 are a s those for lanes 0 to 15, respe	pad per lane. UF		C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.		L 5	# 77
Proposed Response	Response Status W	iouvoly.		Dawe, Pier Comment		Nvidia Comment Status D		(hundrate)
	•				51	very hard to use because th	ne cheaders don't	(bucket1)
PROPOSED REJEC	and the state of t		describes the CM, UM	~colum		very hard to use because th		
Subclause 172.2.4.4			110					
Subclause 172.2.4.4	ed to repeat it since the clause	e refers to Clause	115.	Suggested	Remedy			
Subclause 172.2.4.4		refers to Clause	110.			sert a space after each cor	nma	
Subclause 172.2.4.4		e refers to Clause			header row, in	sert a space after each cor Response Status W	mma	

C/ 172 SC 172.2.4.4

C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.4	P 201	L 39	# 78	C/ 172	SC 172.2.4.9	P 202	L 52	# 79
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment	Туре т	Comment Status D		test pattern
x						entions the test- attern apply also	pattern control register (bit 3	.42.3). But does	s 3.42.7 Scrambled idle
Suggestea Use m	Remedy ultiplication symb	ol, twice			Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed		Response Status W			Please	e clarify, and plea	ase refer to 172.3.1 PCS MD	IO function map	ping
	OSED ACCEPT.				Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
					PROP	OSED REJECT			
C/ 172 Slavick, Je	SC 172.2.4.9	P 202 Broadcom	L 48	# 122	!! pulle	ed from bucket #	1 !!		
Comment		Comment Status D		(bucket1)	The pa	attern selection b	its were implemented for lov	ver rate PCS spe	ecifications (e.g.,
to the s Suggested	service interface i Remedy	nostic to the MII rate for refe insteead. 0GMII" to "PCS, at the PCS s	C C		200GE so a se 45.2.3	BASE-R, 400GB eparate bit to sel .19.1 is not spec	e PCS supported more than ASE-R, and now 800GBASE ect a pattern type is not required for use with any PCS in abled or disabled using bit 3.	-R PCS, only on ired. The bit 3.4 the base standa	e pattern is supported, 2.7 defined in
Proposed		Response Status W		,	C/ 172	SC 172.2.5.2	P 203	L 12	# 80
	OSED REJECT.				Dawe. Pie	-	Nvidia	L 12	# 00
		CS for 800 Gb/s Ethernet so			Comment		Comment Status D		(bucket1
Interfa		e term 800GMII is more frequent text. The proposed change of			PCS la	anes can be rece	eived on different lanes of the needs rewording?	e service interfac	()
					Suggested	Remedy			
						gnals received b ng at the transmi	y a PCS can contain PCSLs tting PCS. The PCS receive		
					Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
					PROP This te	OSED REJECT	•		

C/ 172 SC 172.2.5.2

C/ 172 SC	C 172.2.5.8.1	P 204	L 10	# 43	C/ 172	SC 172.2.5.	8.1	P 204	L 23	# 24
Nicholl, Shawn		AMD			Ran, Adee			Cisco		
Comment Type	TR Co	omment Status D		stateless enc-dec	Comment	Type TR	Comme	nt Status D		stateless enc-dec
		eless decoder at both 4 2.2.5.8.1 (PCS stateles					_		_, .	'S or D or T or C".
(PCS statele	create a new sub	-clause 119.2.5.8.1 cor cept replace "800GMII			valid fo or D or Howey	or clause 172 (p T or C" is equi er, the combina	er 172.2.3, valent to "no ation "E E"	EEE and low pow of E". This exclude matches the seco	ver idle are not su es only the combi ond row, and there	efore results in the
Figure 119-	15." to " state d	code and rate matching liagram as shown in Fig e stateless decoder spe	gure 119-15 or (fo	te diagram as shown in or 400GBASE-R PCS .8.1."	R_TYF	PE(rx_coded_i)	would result	ving R_TYPE(rx_c in EBLOCK_R. lified and made m		h any value of
In sub-claus	se 172 2 5 8 (Bloc	ck collection, decode, a	and rate matching	1) change "stateless	Suggestea	Remedy				
	ecified in 172.2.5.	.8.1." to "stateless deco sponse Status W				e the third row any block type				
PROPOSED					Proposed	Response	Respons	se Status W		
	ing the response t	to comment #42.			PROP	OSED REJECT	.			
C/ 172 SC	C 172.2.5.8.1	P 204	L 18	# 23	!! pulle	d from bucket	¥1 !!			
Ran, Adee Comment Type	TR Co	Cisco		(bucket1)				condition covered rows if avoidable		row. There is no need
Table 172-4	4 has "reset" as tł	he first column, but res	et is not defined i	in clause 172.		ole is correct as		e proposed chang		ve the clarity or
			he block types E	, S, D, T, C, DECODE,	01 470	SC 172.2.6.	1	P 204	L 38	# 81
	— ·	CK_R, R_TYPE, and the nywhere in this draft.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		C/ 172	00 172.2.0.				# 01
	are not defined a				Dawe, Pie			Nvidia		
and rx_raw a SuggestedReme Add text poi	are not defined and edy inting to the definition of the definition	nywhere in this draft.	nd EBLOCK_R in	119.2.6.2.1, reset and	Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i>	rs Type T	Comme	ent Status D	es it depend on th	
and rx_raw a SuggestedReme Add text poi rx_raw in 11	are not defined an edy inting to the defini 19.2.6.2.2, and R_	nywhere in this draft. itions of LBLOCK_R ar	nd EBLOCK_R in	119.2.6.2.1, reset and	Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i>	rs <i>Type</i> T ue is to be incre	Comme	ent Status D	es it depend on th	(bucket1)
and rx_raw a SuggestedReme Add text poi rx_raw in 11 Proposed Respo PROPOSEL	are not defined a edy inting to the defini 19.2.6.2.2, and R_ onse Res D ACCEPT IN PR	nywhere in this draft. itions of LBLOCK_R ar _TYPE and DECODE in sponse Status W	nd EBLOCK_R in n 119.2.6.2.3.	119.2.6.2.1, reset and	Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> "its val <i>Suggesteo</i>	rs <i>Type</i> T ue is to be incre	Comme emented": by	ont Status D y how much? Doo	es it depend on tl	(bucket1)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 172 SC 172.2.6.1

C/ 172	SC 172.2.6.2	.2 P 205	L 21	# 82
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment this va	<i>Type</i> E ariable mapped pe	Comment Status D er Table		(bucket1)
Suggested	dRemedy			
	ariable is mapped at line 28	per Table		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	POSED ACCEPT.			
C/ 172	SC 172.3.3	P 209	L 20	# 83
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1)
of unc	corrected codewor	in 119.3.3, the title is ambi rds which would include the ount of errored codewords	ones that didn't l	nave errors and didn't
Suggested	dRemedy			

Add sentence: This counter counts FEC codewords that contain errors that were not corrected.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The text says the definition of the counter is same as in 119.3.3 and provides the reference. The name of the counter is same as in Cl119. Not sufficient justification to make the proposed change.

C/ 172 SC	172.3.6	P 209	L 34	# 25
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		CW counters

The PMA lane muxing is specified with restrictions intended to ensure that all codewords are represented on each physical lane (and ideally have the same BER).

In practice, devices might use muxing that does not meet these restrictions, and the PCS has to work with any muxing scheme. In some schemes, the four FEC decoders may have different BER and different codeword bin counts. This information can be important for link performance analysis and prediction.

It is suggested to have separate counters for each flow. This is sufficient because, within each flow, the BER seen by the two codewords is inherently the same, due to the checkerboard pattern. Also, FEC_cw_counter in 172.3.5 is the same for both flows and need not be duplicated.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the FEC_codeword_error_bin_i variables with two sets of variables, flow<j>_FEC_codeword_error_bin_i, where j goes from 0 to 1.

Add MDIO addresses for these variables and update variable mapping tables as appropriate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The MDIO registers show the combined count from both flows with the intent of providing the net performance of the link. They provide some insight into the correlation of errors on the link. The comment is asking for capability beyond the intented use of these registers.

C/ 172 SC 172.3.6

C/ 173	SC 173.1.3	P 212	L 51	# 84
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре т	Comment Status D		PCSL grouping
	the PCSL (PCS la al lanes	ane) formatted signal to the	appropriate num	nber of abstract or
Suggested	Remedy			
	the PCSL (PCS la ct or physical lane	ane) formatted signal to the es	appropriate num	nber and grouping of
Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
!! pulle	ed from bucket #1	!!		
listed a necess Howev	as a detail here. In sary. ver, the acronym I	ng of lanes is part of the "ada nstead, this detail is specifie PCSL is not properly introdu ne)" to "PCS lane (PCSL)".	d in 173.4. The	proposed change is not
C/ 173	SC 173.1.3	P 213	L 10	# 85
Dawe, Pie	rs	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		link status
forward "Provid that wh	ded. de receive link sta	SAUI-8) receive link status ir tus information in the receiv a PHY XS, it provides link s	e direction": do	we need another bullet,
Suggested	,			
00	mment			
Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	OSED REJECT.			
!! pulle	ed from bucket #1	!!		
functio directio conditi	ns implemented (ons:" The phrase	n 173.1.3 states "The followi when required) by the PMA "when required" implies that IA type. The requirement for	in both the trans some of the fur	smit and receive ncitons listed are

C/ 173	SC 173.1.3	P 213	L 11	# 86
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		(bucket1
		ms of the 800GBASE-R PMA eded earlier, in 173.1.4, 173.2		8, 8:32, and 8:8" but
Suggested	dRemedy			
Insert	a sentence here	e, saying that.		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
		T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editorial lice	nse.	
C/ 173	SC 173.3	P 215	L 43	# 127
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia		
Comment	Type T	Comment Status D		(bucket)
Suggested	-	ould be a normal behaviour fo	n non-x5 module	25.
Discu	SS			
Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
A PHY Figure up to t	e 169-3. The PC the PHY 800GX	Lindication is not defined for S below the PHY 800GXS do S on the receive path. Similar ion so there is no status to pa	es not pass any ly, the PHY 800	signal state information
C/ 173	SC 173.3	P 215	L 49	# 26
Ran, Adee	Э	Cisco		
^	Type ER	Comment Status D		
Comment	<i>Type</i> ER			(bucket
"The F		SNAL.request primitive is gene	erated through a	•

"SIL" is defined in 173.2 as a function, not a set.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the quoted sentence to "The PHY_XS:IS_SIGNAL.request primitive is generated through a signal indication logic (SIL) function that reports signal health".

C/ 173 SC 173.3

Proposed Response	Response Status	w
PROPOSED ACCEPT.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/generation	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	

Page 27 of 3	32
2023-01-28	12:34:05 P

PMA:IS	rs		L 6	# 87
PMA:IS PMA:IS		Nvidia		
PMA:IS	Туре Т	Comment Status D		PMA SI
needs	S_UNITDATA_0: PMA doesn't re to know the two	31.request would be better s 15.request and PMA:IS_UN ally know lane numbers, it do groups to apply the restricted tay as one group.	ITDATA_16:31. pesn't read aligr	ment markers, but it
Suggested	Remedy			
PMA:IS Similar	S_UŇITDATA_1	Y_XS:IS_UNITDATA_0:31.in		
Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
PROP	OSED REJECT.			
ماليم ال	d from bucket #	1 11		
			,	ne lanes from each flow
map to PMA d the lan	iagram is not he	CS lanes. Showing the separ lpful. Since the PMA is conn- nown by the PMA. P 220		groups of lanes in this the PCS (colocated),
map to PMA d the lan Cl 173	iagram is not he e numbers are k SC 173.4.2	pful. Since the PMA is connormal in the PMA.	ected directly to	groups of lanes in this
map to PMA d the lan	iagram is not he e numbers are k SC 173.4.2 rs	lpful. Since the PMA is connormal provided in the PMA. P 220	ected directly to	groups of lanes in this the PCS (colocated),
map to PMA d the lan C/ 173 Dawe, Pier Comment	iagram is not he e numbers are k SC 173.4.2 rs Type TR e that the restrict	Ipful. Since the PMA is conn nown by the PMA. <i>P</i> 220 Nvidia	L 1	groups of lanes in this the PCS (colocated), # 88 <i>muxing rules</i> as of lanes and Skew
map to PMA d the lan C/ 173 Dawe, Pier Comment	iagram is not he e numbers are k SC 173.4.2 rs Type TR e that the restrict ffer the "clock co	Ipful. Since the PMA is conn nown by the PMA. P 220 Nvidia Comment Status D ed bit multiplexing rules exclu	L 1	groups of lanes in this the PCS (colocated), # 88 <i>muxing rules</i> as of lanes and Skew
map to PMA d the lan Cl 173 Dawe, Pier Comment T Ensure that su Suggested	iagram is not he e numbers are k SC 173.4.2 rs Type TR e that the restrict ffer the "clock co	Ipful. Since the PMA is conn nown by the PMA. P 220 Nvidia Comment Status D ed bit multiplexing rules exclu	L 1	groups of lanes in this the PCS (colocated), # 88 <i>muxing rules</i> as of lanes and Skew
map to PMA d the lan Cl 173 Dawe, Pier Comment T Ensure that su Suggested	iagram is not he e numbers are k SC 173.4.2 rs Type TR that the restrict ffer the "clock co Remedy mment	Ipful. Since the PMA is conn nown by the PMA. P 220 Nvidia Comment Status D ed bit multiplexing rules exclu	L 1	groups of lanes in this the PCS (colocated), # 88 <i>muxing rules</i> as of lanes and Skew

C/ 173	SC 173.4.2.1	P 220	L 15	# 27
Ran, Adee		Cisco		
Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status D		muxing rules

As observed in comment #6 against D1.0, the existing restrictions enable a muxing scheme where one of the two PCS flows is always assigned to the LSBs of the PAM4 symbols, while the other flow is always assigned to the MSB.

This scheme (labeled "option B" in ran_3df_01a_2212) will cause an increase of x34 in the frequency of uncorrectable errors in the link partner, compared to the scheme that was assumed for the baseline proposal, which splits the LSBs equally between the two flows ("option A").

Comment #6 suggested restricting the muxing further to prevent using "option B" in the transmitter. The receiver is required to tolerate any muxing order, so transmitters using "option B" would be interoperable, but they should not be considered compliant.

Straw polls taken during the resolution of comment #6 had inconclusive results indicating need for additional information. In discussions since then, no specific examples of applications that would break by the additional restrictions have been found. These restrictions are therefore suggested again. If there is no consensus to have them as mandatory requirements, they can be added as recommendations.

A presentation providing further explanations and justification for the suggested restrictions will be provided.

SuggestedRemedy

In 173.4.2.1 and 173.4.2.2, change the second list item to

"The multiplexing function has an additional constraint that each of the 8 output lanes contain two unique PCSLs from PMA client lanes i = 0 to 15 followed by two unique PCSLs from PMA client lanes i = 16 to 31".

In 173.4.2.3, change the second list item to

"The 4 PCSLs received on an input lane shall be mapped to an output lane such that the Gray-coded PAM4 symbol sequence on the output lane is identical to the Gray-coded PAM4 symbol sequence on the input lane (see 173.4.7.1)."

Modify wording and/or add illustrations with editorial license.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Comment #6 against Draft 1.0 made a similar proposal. Straw polls recorded in the response to comment #6 indicated favor for adopting the proposal but there were many that needed more information. A new presentation provides more information on the problem.

Pending review of the following presentation and task force discussion. https://www.ieee802.org/3/df/public/23_01/0130/ran_3df_01_230130.pdf

Page 28 of 32 2023-01-28 12:34:05 P

C/ 173 S	SC 173.4.2.1	P 220	L 16	# 89	C/ 173	SC	173.4.2.3	P 221	L 9	# 91
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status D		muxing rules	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		muxing rules
Fixing this I doubt tha	is more usefu	bit muxing that Adee has d I than applying any restricte e of lanes containing lanes g to "constructed from".	d muxing on the		ambig	uous: tł e PCSL	his could m s are kept	on any input lane shall be m nean the same lane number together. (I know this text is	(which seems u	innecessary) or merely
SuggestedRei	medy				00		see next co	omment.		
Change The multiplexing function has an additional constraint that each of the 8 output lanes contain two unique PCSLs from PMA client lanes i = 0 to 15 and two unique PCSLs from PMA client lanes i = 16 to 31 to The multiplexing function has an additional constraint that each of the 8 output lanes is					Proposed PROP Target sugge	Respon OSED text is sted rer	ese ACCEPT I may be cl medy in the	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. nanged based on the respor e final text proposed to addr nse to comment #27		
		SLs from PMA client lanes arranged so that after PAM			C/ 173	SC	173.4.2.3	P 221	L 10	# 92
used to fo	rm PAM4 sym	bols are taken alternately fr	om one of the tw	o PCSLs from PMA	Dawe, Pie	rs		Nvidia		
		nd one of the two PCSLs fr			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		muxing rule
Similarly in 173.4.2.2, or delete the restricted muxing rule from the 8:32 PMA, as the XS AUI shouldn't make enough errors to trouble the FEC.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusW					lane":	but to a	void a rog	m an input lane does not ha ue 8:8 PMA turning the beni we can't allow all possible r	ign properly bit-r	
	ED REJECT. sing the respo	nse to comment #27			Suggested	lRemea	ly			
	SC 173.4.2.1	P 220	L 17	# 90				reason not to, require that th (but without requiring prese		
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Proposed	Respon	ise	Response Status W		
Comment Typ		Comment Status D		muxing rules	-		REJECT.	onse to comment #27		
		e two unique anythings. Un ue. I think we mean differei								
120.5 that	each PCS lar	e is used just once, there is	no need for any	v such word.	C/ 173		173.4.3.1	P 221	L 27	# 93
SuggestedRei	medy				Dawe, Pie			Nvidia		
Delete "ur	nique", twice				Comment		TR	Comment Status D		(bucket
Proposed Res		Response Status W					e PMA s ate no moi	hall produce no more than" re than"	while 173.4.3.3	says "the PMA
	ED ACCEPT II	N PRINCIPLE.	e to comment #2	7. Incorporate the	Suggested	Remea	ly			
suggested	d remedy in the	e final text proposed to addr nse to comment #27			there i	sn't, us	e one word	etween produce and genera d not two. hat the limits are higher thar		there is, explain. If
					.	-		D		

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Wording should be consistent with other similar specifications and the heading titles. In 173.4.3.1, change "produce" to "generate".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	

C/ 173	Page 29 of 32
SC 173.4.3.1	2023-01-28 12:34:05 P

C/ 173 SC 173.4.3.3	P 221	L 43	# 94	Cl 173	SC 173.4.7.	2 P 223	L 1	# 28		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee		Cisco				
Comment Type T Comment	t Status D		(bucket1)	Comment T	pe ER	Comment Status D		(bucket1)		
Not clear "as well" as what.					"Precoding for e PAM4 enco	or PAM4 encoded lanes" i ded.	s used in clause 120	, but in clause 173 all		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedR	Remedy					
Please explain.					the title to "Pr	ecoding".				
	Status W			Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W				
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the last sentence in 173.4.3.3 from:					PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement the suggested remedy and make a similar change to 173.4.7.1					
"If there is a physically instantiated 8 limited to no more than 29 ns of Ske				C/ 173 Ran, Adee	SC 173.4.7.	-	L 3	# 29		
to: "In an implementation with one or more physically instantiated 800GAUI-8 interfaces, then the Skew measured at the input to the PMA adjacent to the PMD service interface (SP1 in Figure 169–4 and Figure 169–5) is limited to no more than 29 ns of Skew and no more than 200 ps of Skew Variation"						Cisco				
					уре Т	Comment Status D		precoding		
					The first paragraph of this subclause effectively excludes 800GAUI-8 C2M, making precoding impossible over this interface.					
7 173 SC 173.4.5	P 222	L 38	# 95	Precodi	ng can also be	e beneficial for C2M in ce	rtain cases, and it is	likely implemented as		
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia					many products. Therefore				
Comment Type E Comment	t Status D		(bucket1)	feature	that, if availab	e, can be enabled as req	uired by the applicati	ion.		
This says that the clock architecture is identical to that specified in 120.5.5. Clocking architecture not clock architecture Rates in 120.5.5 are based on bit rates, here bit rate is not mentioned. 120.5.5 addresses cases of 200GBASE-R and 400GBASE-R, not 800G. 120.5.5 says " rearrangement of PCSLs between input lanes and output lanes (although					This would only apply in the interface lanes connected to the AUI, and not to those that are connected to the PMD, so the optical signal will not be affected. The fact that this option is not explicitly defined for 400GAUI-4 C2M etc. does not preclude it from being defined in this project.					
										rearrangements are allowed)" but th
SuggestedRemedy						make both precoding and				
Add material to define what the cloc	king architecture	e for this clause	is	•		22M link (this may affect b		annex 120G).		
Proposed Response Response	Status W			Proposed R		Response Status W				
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rewrite this subclause such that the differences in 800GBASE-R are clear.					PROPOSED REJECT. Precoding is not defined for 100GAUI-1, 200GAUI-2, or 400GAUI-4 C2M in IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022.					
				In order for precoding to be helpful, precoding would have to be mandatory on the transmitter as is the case for 100GAUI-1, 200GAUI-2, and 400GAUI-4 C2C in IEEE Std						
				If preco	802.3ck-2022. If precoding is not mandatory on the transmitter, then the receiver must be able to meet the performance requirements without precoding. Therefore precoding would not be required to					
				meet the	meet the performance requirements, only to potentially exceed it. The comment does not provide sufficient justification for the proposed changes.					
				2/22/22/22/2010						
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editoria COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/a							/ 173 C 173.4.7.2	Page 30 of 32 2023-01-28 12:34:0		

C/ 173 SC 173.4.8	P 223	L 30	# 129	C/ 173	SC 173.5	P 225	L 12	# 134	
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia			
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	Comment 7	Туре т	Comment Status D		registers (late	
says "the PMA shall pro	link status functions identica ovide link status information ation primitive." That's too si 2 PMA, link status	the PMA client	using the	MDİO/I Suggestedi	PMA status vari Remedy	sters 1.604, 1.605 and 1.606, able mapping	precoder reques	st, in Table 173-4,	
SuggestedRemedy					ese registers				
Please write out what a	ctually happens			Proposed F		Response Status W			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			
the different PMA optio	IN PRINCIPLE. 120.5.8. Add text to explain ns and highlight the fact tha UI. Implement with editoiral l	PMA:IS_SIGNA		‼ respo	d from bucket # onse updated o		8-3 rather than Ta	able 173-4	
C/ 173 SC 173.4.11	P 223	L 47	# 30	In regis	sters 1.605 (sub	clause 45.2.1.144) and 1.60			
	F 223 Cisco	L 47	# 30	lanes 2 to 7. In Table 173-3, add rows for registers 1.604, 1.605, and 1.606.					
Comment Type ER						for 1.600, 1.601, 1.602, and	1.603, expanding	to 8 lanes for each.	
120.5.11.2 is now inclu			(Buckett)	Implem	nent with editori	al license.			
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 173	SC 173.6.3	P 227	L 12	# 135	
Make 120.5.11.2 an ac	tive cross reference.			Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Comment 7		Comment Status D		(late) (bucket	
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				toward		ream have defined meanings e network and downstream is he medium.			
C/ 173 SC 173.5	P 224	L 10	# 96	Suggested					
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			00	,	TOP and BOT, or A and B for	or above and belo	ow, picking up wording	
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		(bucket1)	used la	ter in this table				
This says MMDs 8, 9, a	ind 10 while 173.1.4 says 1,	8, 9, 10, and 11		Proposed F	Response	Response Status W			
SuggestedRemedy Reconcile 11				PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. There is a editor's note on page 226 that states "Editor's note: In this draft, the PICS a not yet complete and further updates will be made in a future draft." Rewrite the PICS as appropriate for this clause.					
Proposed Response	Response Status W								
	ith multiple PMA sublayers, and bit numbers in MMDs 8,								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 173 SC 173.6.3 Page 31 of 32 2023-01-28 12:34:05 P

C/ 173	SC 173.6.5	P 2	29 L 2	20	# 31			
Ran, Adee		Cisco)					
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status	D		(bucket1)			
120.5.1	11.2.2 is now inc	luded in this draft.						
Suggested	Remedy							
Make all instances of 120.5.11.2.2 in this table active cross references.								
Proposed I	Response	Response Status	w					
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.							

C/ 173 SC 173.6.5 Page 32 of 32 2023-01-28 12:34:05 P