# Optical modeling of 100 GBd PAM4 with relevance to single-wavelength 200 Gb/s per lane PMDs

Jonathan Ingham, Patrick Dumais and Eric Bernier Huawei Technologies Canada, Co., LTD.

IEEE P802.3df 200 Gb/s, 400 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet Task Force Montreal, Canada, July 2022

1

## Introduction

- Initial 100 GBd PAM4 optical modeling
  - Gaussian-filtered Tx pulse shape
  - Single wavelength
  - SMF chromatic dispersion (up to 2 km fiber length)
  - TDECQ reference receiver
- Investigation of TDECQ dependency on TP2 transition time, RIN and TDECQ reference equalizer length
- Aim is to begin to better understand sensitivity of link performance to key parameters

## Target

Ethernet Rate	Assumed Signaling Rate	AUI	BP	Cu Cable	MMF 50m	MMF 100m	SMF 500m	SMF 2km	SMF 10km	SMF 40km
200 Gb/s	200 Gb/s	Over 1 lane 200GAUI-1		Over 1 pair 200GBASE-CR1			Over 1 Pair	Ove <u>r 1</u> Pair		
400 Gb/s	100 Gb/s							Over 4 Pair		
	200 Gb/s	Over 2 lanes 400GAUI-2		Over 2 pairs 400GBASE-CR2			Over 2 Pair			
800 Gb/s	100 Gb/s	Over 8 lanes 800GAUI-8	Over 8 lanes 800GBASE-KR8	Over 8 pairs 800GBASE-CR8	Over 8 pairs 800GBASE-VR8	Over 8 pairs 800GBASE-SR8	Over 8 pairs TBD	Over 8 pairs TBD		
	200 Gb/s	Over 4 lanes 800GAUI-4		Over 4 pairs 800GBASE-CR4			Over 4 pairs	1) Over 4 pairs		
								2) Over 4 λ's	→ More work required	
	TBD								Over single SMF in each direction	Over single SMF in each direction
1.6 Tb/s	100 Gb/s	Over 16 lanes 1.6TAUI-16							De	pends on the
	200 Gb/s	Over 8 lanes 1.6TAUI-8		Over 8 pairs 1.6TBASE-CR8			Over 8 pairs	Over 8 pairs	Mo	odulation scheme

## Model block diagram



A simple model is best to take into account major trends for performance at the system level.

## Simulation parameters

### Τх

Modulation format: PAM4 Symbol rate: 106.25 GBd Pattern: PRBS13Q Pulse shape at TP2: Baud-rate rectangular pulse convolved with Gaussian filter. Variable transition time (20% - 80%) in the filter step response Center wavelength: 1304.5 nm (for worst-case dispersion) RIN: variable Chirp factor: 0 ER: 3.5 dB

#### SMF

Length: 2000 m Zero-dispersion wavelength: 1324 nm Zero-dispersion slope: 0.093 ps/(nm<sup>2</sup> km)

#### Rx

TDECQ reference LPF: 4<sup>th</sup>-order Bessel-Thomson with –3 dBe at 53.125 GHz TDECQ reference FFE: T-spaced with variable number of taps TDECQ target SER: 4.8 x 10<sup>-4</sup>

## Noise-free eye diagrams at TP2



TP2 transition time: 3 ps



TP2 transition time: 5 ps



TP2 transition time: 7 ps

# Noise-free eye diagrams after TDECQ reference receiver







TP2 transition time: 3 ps

### TP2 transition time: 5 ps

### TP2 transition time: 7 ps

TDECQ reference FFE: 5 tap

## TDECQ vs TP2 transition time



Simulation specific parameters: TDECQ reference FFE: 5 tap Red: RIN = -140 dB/Hz (-131.7 dB/Hz RIN OMA) Green: RIN = -150 dB/Hz (-141.7 dB RIN OMA) \*Equivalent aggregate Tx bandwidth

- For equivalent aggregate Tx bandwidth of 45 to 55 GHz, RIN variation from –140 dB/Hz to –150 dB/Hz causes significant TDECQ variation
- Even at RIN of -150 dB/Hz, TP2 transition time must be lower than  $\approx 6 \text{ ps}$  for acceptable TDECQ

## TDECQ vs RIN



Simulation specific parameters: TDECQ reference FFE: 5 tap Red: TP2 transition time = 6 ps Green: TP2 transition time = 3 ps

• Dependency of TDECQ on RIN becomes stronger with increasing TP2 transition time

## TDECQ vs reference FFE length



Simulation specific parameters: TDECQ reference FFE: 5 tap RIN: --150 dB/Hz Red: TP2 transition time = 6 ps Green: TP2 transition time = 3 ps

- Even for the limiting case of a TP2 transition time of 6 ps, there is no benefit from more than 5 FFE taps
- No justification to increase TDECQ reference equalizer length from 5 taps

## Conclusions

- For single-wavelength 200 Gb/s per lane PMDs using 100 GBd PAM4:
  - Currently we do not expect need to change TDECQ reference equalizer from 5-tap FFE
  - Currently there is no evidence that DFE is required in the reference equalizer
  - Currently there is evidence that a tighter RIN OMA specification (compared with 50 GBd PAM4 PMDs) is helpful

## Next steps

- Investigate other Tx models, including chirp
- Investigate effect of stronger FEC (higher symbol rate and higher pre-FEC BER threshold)
- Include a wider set of industry parameters to the simulation sets

## Call for contributions

- Tx performance guidance from Task Force is welcome
- We can compute expected curves given the following:
  - Bandwidth or frequency response (S<sub>21</sub>)
  - RIN
  - Chirp factor