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## Introduction

- This presentation expands the list of specs proposed in rodes 3df 01b 221012
- Completes the same spec list used on previously published PMDs
- Consider these specs a good starting point, and expect further refinement based on contributions and discussions as the task force progresses


## MonteCarlo Analysis on FWM Probability

Added power and extinction ratio distributions compared to previous results in rodes 3df 01b 221012

MC parameters:

- 100,000 iterations
- Max fiber length: 10 km
- Fiber ZDW based on realistic distribution rodes 3df 01b 221012
- OMA normal distribution centered at 4.4dBm minOMA@maxTDECQ
- ER uniform distribution 4 dB to 5.5 dB
- Uniform wavelength distribution within passband for each channel







## Tx Spec proposal

Following spec approach on rodes 3df 01b 221012:
TDECQmax $=3.9 \mathrm{~dB}$ for full range
TDECQmax for limited dispersion $=3.2 \mathrm{~dB}$

FWM allocation of 0.7 dB is probably still overly conservative

- $\quad<0.4 \mathrm{~dB}$ may be achievable when using realistic fiber distribution

| Description | 800G-LR4 proposal | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signaling rate, each lane (range) | 112.5-113.3 | GBd |
| Modulation format | PAM4 |  |
| Lane wavelengths (range) | 1294.6 to 1296.6 <br> 1299.1 to 1301.1 <br> 1303.6 to 1305.6 <br> 1308.1 to 1310.1 | nm |
| Side-mode suppression ratio (SMSR), (min) | 30 | dB |
| Total average launch power (max) | 11.5 | dBm |
| Average launch power, each lane (max) | 5.5 | dBm |
| Average launch power, each lane (min) | -0.9 | dBm |
| Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMAouter), each lane (max) | 5 | dBm |
| Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMAouter), each lane (min) for TDECQ $<1.4 \mathrm{~dB}$ <br> for $1.4 \mathrm{~dB} \leq$ TDECQ $\leq 3.9 \mathrm{~dB}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \\ & 0.5+\text { TDECQ } \end{aligned}$ | dBm dBm |
| Difference in launch power between any to lanes | 3 | dB |
| Transmitter and dispersion eye closure for PAM4 (TDECQ), each lane (max) <br> $-7 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm} \leq$ Dispersion $\leq 7 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$ <br> $-27 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm} \leq$ Dispersion $\leq 9 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & 3.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{dB} \\ & \mathrm{~dB} \end{aligned}$ |
| Transmitter eye closure for PAM4 (TECQ), each lane (max) | 3.2 | dB |
| \|TDECQ-TECQ| (max) | 2.5 | dB |
| Over/under-shoot (max) | 22 | \% |
| Transmitter power excursion (max) | 3.1 |  |
| Extinction ratio, each lane (min) | 4 | dB |
| Transmitter transition time (max) | 13 | ps |
| Average launch power of OFF transmitter, each lane (max) | -16 | dBm |
| $\mathrm{RIN}_{15.6} \mathrm{OMA}$ (max) | -139 | dBm |
| Optical return loss tolerance (max) | 15.6 | dB |
| Transmitter reflectance (max) | -26 5 | dB |

## Rx Spec proposal

Rx sensitivity of -5.5 dBm @ TDECQ $=1.4 \mathrm{~dB}$ is achievable based simulation analysis:
rodes 3df 01b 221012
,and experimental data:
kuschnerov 3df 02221012

| Description | 800 G LR4 proposal | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Signaling rate, each lane (range) | $112.5-113.3$ | GBd |
| Modulation format | PAM4 |  |
| Lane wavelengths (range) | 1294.6 to 1296.6 | 1299.1 to 1301.1 |
|  | 1303.6 to 1305.6 |  |
| Damage threshold, each lane | 1308.1 to 1310.1 | nm |
| Average receive power, each lane (max) | 6.5 | dBm |
| Average receive power, each lane (min) | 5.5 | dBm |
| Receive power (OMAouter), each lane (max) | -8 | dBm |
| Difference in receive power between any two lanes (OMAouter) (max) | 5 | dBm |
| Receiver reflectance (max) | 3.3 | dB |
| Receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), each lane (max) <br> for TECQ <1.4 dB <br> for 1.4 dB $\leq$ TECQ $\leq 3.9 ~ d B ~$ | -26 | dB |
| Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), each lane (max) | -5.5 | dBm |
| Conditions of stressed receiver sensitivity test: | $-6.9+$ TECQ | dBm |
| Stressed eye closure for PAM4 (SECQ), lane under test | -3 | dBm |
| OMAouter of each aggressor lane | 3.9 | dB |

## Link Power Budget



## Conclusion

- Presented a complete set of specs for 800G-LR4
- This is the same set of specs reliably used on 400G-FR4/LR4
- Updated FWM penalty allocation
- Many of the specs will be developed in sync with 800G-DR4/FR4
- This proposal is a good starting point, and we expect further refinement as the task force progresses

Thank you

Appendix

## Maximum Individual Fiber PMD coefficient - cabled fiber

## Information provided from [1]

- Analysis of fiber PMD and cabled fiber PMD
- AllWave fiber is G.652D with 12 km loose tube cables (single section)
- Distribution shape is different as assumed in the 100G LR1 DGD specification derivation (see page 3)
- Overall statistics show that the distributions of spooled and cabled fibers are very similar


Figure 7. The PMD coefficient distribution for 264 AllWave $®$ fibres in 12 km loose tube cable. The inset shows the PMD link distribution. The resulting LDV is $0.028 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{kkm}$.

## FWM penalty assessment

The PMD coefficient distribution for 1653 G.652D fibers in 12 km loose tube cable. The inset shows the link PMD distribution.

The resulting link design value (LDV) is $\mathbf{0 . 0 5} \mathrm{ps} / \sqrt{ } \mathbf{k m}$.


Reference: T. Geisler, "Low PMD transmission fibers and cables," Proc. SPIE 6781, Passive Components and Fiber-based Devices IV, 67811U (21 November 2007); doi: 10.1117/12.745256. ttps://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007SPIE.6781E..1UG/abstract

## Simulation conditions


$\square$ Even under the worst-case alignment of ZDW and laser frequencies, the FWM-induced "outage" is $<10^{-3}$.
$\square$ Thus, the FWM-induced overall outage probability can be $\sim 3 \times 10^{-6}$, which is reasonably low (liu_3df_01a_221012).

