

IEEE P802.3dg D3.1 100BASE-T1L 1st Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

Cl 190 SC 190.7.1 P140 L1 # R1-1

Willy, Scott Avisto Telecom,Power Plus Communications AG
Comment Type E Comment Status R Editorial

Clause 190.7.1 is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

The clause 190.7.1 is not missing; it is an empty section header. This is an 802.3 tradition, it seems.

To avoid confusion of future naive readers, I suggest keeping the clause and adding text indicating it is an empty section header.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The CRG disagrees with the Commenter. Clause 190.7.1 (found on page 136 - not page 140 as indicated in the comment) serves to group multiple link transmission parameters. Further, as the Commenter states, clause headers without content exist within IEEE 802.3 standards and amendments. Identifying some empty headers and not others would create inconsistent style and likely introduce confusion.

Cl 98D SC 98D.1.1 P161 L29 # R1-2

Graber, Steffen Pepperl+Fuchs SE
Comment Type E Comment Status R Editorial

The note in Annex 98.D.1.1 states: "In many applications, it is reasonable to limit the downshift/upshift sequence to either the PHYs supporting an increased transmit level or PHYs supporting a normal transmit level. Otherwise, a downshift from an increased transmit level to a normal transmit level occurs." This text references a "normal transmit level", while in other locations in the document the term "standard transmit level" is being used.

SuggestedRemedy

Change in the note two times "normal transmit level" to "standard transmit level". Keep the rest of the note as it is.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The CRG refers this change to IEEE SA Editorial staff for consideration during preparation for publication.