IEEE 802.3dg Task Force Getting to a less demanding Link Segment

Steffen Graber, Pepperl+Fuchs





# Background

- The suggested noise environment (see presentation "100BASE-T1L PSANEXT, PSAACR-F and RL Proposal") and insertion loss limit (see presentation "100BASE-T1L Insertion Loss Proposal") in combination lead to link segment definitions close to the edge of what can be reasonably implemented using a DFE based PHY.
- Due to the necessary increase of the insertion loss limit for higher temperatures, the overall system margin further decreases by approx. 2.5 dB at 20 MHz (about f<sub>Nyquist</sub> / 2).
- Therefore the goal of this presentation is to **provide a base for a discussion on how to allow for a higher system margin** by defining the noise environment depending on the insertion loss.
- The idea is not to add an unnecessary burden for shorter link segments, by allowing a higher crosstalk between the segments in this case, while on the other side still allowing to run up to 500 m link segments using shielded AWG16 cables.
- This would e.g. allow to place the **inline terminals directly next to each other for shorter link segments** and also add some additional margin for resonance effects seen at short link segments.
- Long link segments can be realized by adding separation elements between the terminal blocks/connectors to reduce the crosstalk at the unshielded connectors.

# **Application Background**

- (Petro-)Chemical plants can be distinguished in different groups related to size and number of field devices:
  - Small plants
    - Typically less than 2 000 field devices.
    - Typical trunk segment length less than 200 m.
  - Mid-scale plants (typical chemical plant in Europe or the US)
    - Typically 2 000 to 4 000 field devices.
    - Typical trunk segment length between 200 to 300 m, some few up to 400 m.
  - Oil refinery or large LNG applications (typically in Europe or the US)
    - Typically 4 000 to 10 000 field devices.
    - Typical trunk segment length between 200 and 400 m, some few up to 500 m.
  - Large-scale plants (large petrochemical plants, large refineries, oil and gas infrastructure, mainly in Middle East and Asia)
    - More than 10 000 field devices, up to even 100 000 field devices (typically in the range 20 000 to 50 000 field devices).
    - Typically many 400 m to 800 m long trunk segments, some few segments even more than 1 000 m (supported by current fieldbus installations).
    - Most segments can be supported by having 500 m trunk and 200 m spur segment length (which would allow for a maximum of 700 m in total).
    - Supported by current fieldbus applications, for 100BASE-T1L need for intermediate switches (for 10BASE-T1L most trunk segments will be supported).

### **Application Background**

- While for many small and mid-scale plants 400 m trunk segment lengths are working well, especially in the oil and gas industry large-scale plants are pretty common, especially in Middle East and Asia.
- Due to the very high number of nodes in these plants, even if the overall number of these applications is small compared to the number of typical mid-scale applications, a relevant amount of switches/field devices will be sold into such applications and thus needs support for 500 m trunk length (from an application perspective even significantly more, but limited by technical reasons).
- By providing IL adaptive crosstalk limits, for many applications simplified installation rules (e.g. up to 400 m segment length) can be applied, while still supporting segments up to 500 m in length (with reduced PSANEXT/PSAACR-F limits and a tighter controlled installation practice).

#### **Length dependent Insertion Loss**

- One possible way can be to define e.g. three different insertion loss limits at e.g. 20 MHz and modify the PSAFEXT and PSAACR-F limits, based on the insertion loss.
- On the next slide, the proposed IL limit curve (adapted for higher temperatures):

$$IL(f) = \left(5.42 \times \sqrt{f} + 0.044 \times f + \frac{1.76}{\sqrt{f}}\right) + 5 \times 0.02 \times \sqrt{f} \text{ with } 0.1 \text{ MHz} \le f \le 60 \text{ MHz}$$

is plotted for e.g. 300 m, 400 m, and 500 m AWG16 cables (equivalent to 240 m/320 m/400 m AWG18 cables) and 5 inline connectors.

- What can be seen is, that the insertion loss values @ 20 MHz are 15.8 dB (300 m), 20.9 dB (400 m) and 26 dB (500 m).
- So there is a difference in the insertion loss of approx. 5 dB @ 20 MHz per 100 m of cable.
- These limits (16 dB, 21 dB and 26 dB) can be used to adjust the crosstalk limits, based on the insertion loss, so that for shorter link segments a higher crosstalk is allowed and vice versa.

# **Length dependent Insertion Loss**



# Length dependent Crosstalk

- The increase of the insertion loss limit for higher temperatures, decreases the overall system margin by approx. 2.5 dB at 20 MHz (about f<sub>Nyquist</sub> / 2).
- Providing an additional margin of a further 2.5 dB in the noise environment would allow the currently suggested noise environment (PSANEXT and PSAACR-F limits) for a link segment length of up to 400 m (5 dB less insertion loss @ 20 MHz compared to the 500 m).
- For a 300 m long link segment, even 5 dB more crosstalk could be allowed, e.g. to allow for a higher resonance of the connector crosstalk or inline connectors with a higher crosstalk.
- For a 500 m long link segment, the crosstalk at the terminal blocks/connectors must be reduced by about 5 dB (which can be done by adding separation elements).
- This would allow for short (up to 300 m/240 m, AWG16/AWG18) link segments, even a relaxed installation practice (a little worse terminal blocks, less twisting of the wires), for medium length link segments (up to 400 m/320 m) a "normal" installation practice with non-separated terminal blocks can be used, while for long link segments (up to 500 m/400 m) special care to reduce the crosstalk by adding separation elements must be taken.

#### **IL dependent PSANEXT/PSAACR-F Limits**

• Suggested PSANEXT limits (depending on insertion loss):

$$PSANEXT [dB] = \begin{cases} 55 + 5 \times N & for \ 0.1 \ MHz \ \le f < 10 \ MHz \\ 55 + 5 \times N - 15 \times \log_{10} \left(\frac{f}{10}\right) & for \ 10 \ MHz \ \le f \le 60 \ MHz \end{cases}$$

$$with \ N = \begin{cases} 0 & for \ 16 \ dB < IL(20 \ MHz) \\ 1 & for \ 16 \ dB \le IL(20 \ MHz) < 21 \ dB \\ 2 & for \ 21 \ dB \le IL(20 \ MHz) \end{cases}$$

• Suggested PSAACR-F limit (depending on insertion loss):

$$PSAACR - F [dB] = \begin{cases} 55 + 5 \times N & \text{for } 0.1 \text{ } MHz \leq f < 2 \text{ } MHz \\ 41 + 5 \times N - 20 \times \log_{10} \left(\frac{f}{10}\right) & \text{for } 2 \text{ } MHz \leq f \leq 60 \text{ } MHz \end{cases}$$
$$with N = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 16 \text{ } dB < IL(20 \text{ } MHz) \\ 1 & \text{for } 16 \text{ } dB \leq IL(20 \text{ } MHz) < 21 \text{ } dB \\ 2 & \text{for } 21 \text{ } dB \leq IL(20 \text{ } MHz) \end{cases}$$

# **Possibility to Relax Crosstalk Specification**

- Presentations <a href="https://www.ieee802.org/3/dg/public/May\_2022/zimmerman\_3dg\_01a\_03\_15\_2023.pdf">https://www.ieee802.org/3/dg/public/May\_2022/Tingting\_3dg\_01\_15032023\_v2.pdf</a> perform a Salz SNR based margin analysis for the suggested link segment parameters.
- These presentations show a pretty high margin with the new PSANEXT and PSAACR-F noise models or even anticipated a 5 dB relaxed noise model compared to the originally suggested values in this presentation.
- Therefore it seems to be acceptable to relax the original crosstalk specification suggested in this presentation by 5 dB and still provide a reasonable margin for a DFE based PHY implementation.
- The next slide shows the PSANEXT and PSAACR-F with 5 dB relaxed limit lines (therefore it is expected that there is no need to add separation elements, even for the 500 m link segments, which simplifies the installation rules).

# Summary (5 dB relaxed Limits)

• Suggested PSANEXT limits (depending on insertion loss):

$$PSANEXT \ [dB] = \begin{cases} 50 + 5 \times N & for \ 0.1 \ MHz \ \le f < 10 \ MHz \\ 50 + 5 \times N - 15 \times \log_{10} \left(\frac{f}{10}\right) & for \ 10 \ MHz \ \le f \le 60 \ MHz \end{cases}$$
$$with \ N = \begin{cases} 0 & for \ 16 \ dB < IL(20 \ MHz) \\ 1 & for \ 16 \ dB \le IL(20 \ MHz) < 21 \ dB \\ 2 & for \ 21 \ dB \ \le IL(20 \ MHz) \end{cases}$$

• Suggested PSAACR-F limit (depending on insertion loss):

$$PSAACR - F [dB] = \begin{cases} 50 + 5 \times N & \text{for } 0.1 \text{ } MHz \leq f < 2 \text{ } MHz \\ 36 + 5 \times N - 20 \times \log_{10} \left(\frac{f}{10}\right) & \text{for } 2 \text{ } MHz \leq f \leq 60 \text{ } MHz \end{cases}$$
$$with N = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 16 \text{ } dB < IL(20 \text{ } MHz) \\ 1 & \text{for } 16 \text{ } dB \leq IL(20 \text{ } MHz) < 21 \text{ } dB \\ 2 & \text{for } 21 \text{ } dB \leq IL(20 \text{ } MHz) \end{cases}$$

# Thank you!

03/15/2023 | Page 11 IEEE 802.3dg Task Force