| C/FM SC FM | P 1 | L33 | # 332 | C/ FM | SC FM | P13 | LO | # 468 |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|----------------|--|
| Zimmerman, George | ADI,APLgp,C | Cisco,Marvell,On | Semi,Sony | Slavick, Je | eff | Broadcom | | |
| | Comment Status D eed to consider amendme cess. Commenter's review this amendment. | | | Suggested | Introduction, the second se | Comment Status D ne describtion of 802.3dj does | | (Common) (bucket annexes. |
| review the draft for consis | k to the list of amendments stency with 802.3dk espec <i>Response Status</i> W | | itors are encouraged to | Proposed PROP | Response OSED ACCEI | to be Annex 174A through 186 <i>Response Status</i> W PT IN PRINCIPLE. ested remedy with editorial lice | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN Based on input from the infollows: Amendment #10: IEEE P Amendment #11: IEEE P Amendment #12: IEEE P Amendment #13: IEEE P Using the amendment nu Add 802.3da and 802.3dl Add 802.3da and 802.3dl Add 802.3da and 802.3dl amendment abstract list | I PRINCIPLE. 802.3 working group chair, 802.3da 802.3dk 802.3dj 802.3dg mbers and order above < to the amendment list on < to the amendment abstr < to the amendment list on on page 13. liber (12) to the title on page | n page 1 line 33. act list on page 1 the cover page | 3 (page 1) and the | Comment Likely be incl Suggested Consu 802.30 Proposed PROP | that 802.3da a uded. <i>Remedy</i> It with 802.3 le Ik from the late Response OSED ACCEI | P13 ADI,APLgp, <i>Comment Status</i> D and 802.3dk will publish before eadership on likely amendment est drafts of those. <i>Response Status</i> W PT IN PRINCIPLE. sonse to comment #332. | | (Common) (bucket) at their abstracts should |
| C/FM SC FM | P12 | L 54 | # 284 | C/ FM | SC FM | P13 | L1 | # 722 |
| Maguire, Valerie | | | onsulting and Cisco | Dawe, Pie | | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Type E Missing information on th SuggestedRemedy Insert, "IEEE Std 802.3da™-20> Amendment 1X—This an appropriate modifications 10BASE-T1S Physical La reconciliation sublayers, synchronization protocols on the 10 Mb/s mixing se | Comment Status D e P802.3da amendment to P802.3da amendment to enhance the 10 Mb/s s ayer in a new, multidrop-or management parameters, s, and optional power deliv gment)." Response Status W | 2.3-2022 specifie shared-medium (r hly physical layer Ethernet support | (Common) (bucket) s additions and multidrop) mode of the specification (including t for time | Suggested Insert: This a amend Ethern fiber. Make Proposed PROP | Ik is ahead of Remedy IEEE Std 802 mendment inc Iment adds Pf et optical inter other changes Response OSED ACCEI | | d management p | parameters for 100 Gb/s |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ FM SC FM Page 1 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:46 PM

| CI 00 SC 0 |) | P 0 | LO | # 293 | C/ 1 | SC 1 | .3 | P 53 | L 49 | # 434 |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|----------------|---|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Brown, Matt | | Alphawave Se | mi | | Ran, Adee | | | Cisco Systems | 5 | |
| Comment Type | т | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Comment | Туре | т | Comment Status D | non |) (bucket) MDI reference |
| The PICS subc | clause in n | nany clauses and annexes is | incomplete. | | | | | rmative references list include | | |
| SuggestedRemedy Update PICS s | | in all clauses and annexes a | s necessary. | | | | | ger available, and in some cas d (which suggests that a new | | |
| Proposed Respons PROPOSED A | | Response Status W | | | | | | nly the most recent draft (typic re removed. | ally with versic | on number x.y.z) is |
| CI 00 SC 0 |) | P373 | L 43 | # 615 | used a | s norma | ative refe | manual (12.3.1 item c): "Draft rences as long as they are: (-) | Dated (-) Rea | dily available (-) |
| Palkert, Thomas | | Samtec, Maco | | | Retriev archive | , | copy of <i>i</i> | ALL drafts shall be submitted t | to IEEE SA to | be placed on file as an |
| 21 | TR ication sho | Comment Status D ould use 92.5 ohm impedanc | | al) Reference impedance neasurements | Thus, i | f we kee | ep a date | d draft, it should be archived i | n IEEE SA. | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | This co | omment | pertains | to the following references: | | |
| | | to specify 92.5 ohm impeda | nce | | "SFF-8 | 665. Re | ev 1.9.4. | April 1, 2022" (QSFP+) - 1.9.4 | is a draft that | is no longer available. |
| Proposed Respons PROPOSED A | | Response Status W | | | | | | 8. The published version, 1.9, | | |
| Resolve using | the respor | nse to comment #63. | | | match | the date | e; Rev 1. | , April 19, 2024" (SFF cross re 1 is from 2019-10-01 and is ap | | |
| C/ 1 SC 1. | .1.3.2 | P 52 | L 21 | # 469 | this pro | лесі. П | le curren | t draft is 1.1.6. | | |
| Slavick, Jeff | | Broadcom | | | | | |), April 16, 2024" - (QSFP2 co | | |
| Comment Type | Е | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | | | | n the date; Rev 1.0 is from 202 or this project. The current dra | | ioes not include |
| Do we need to | actually li | st the number of widths? It's | a laundry list | just introduce it as a list. | | | • | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | / | | | | | | | 00/QSFP-DD1600 Hardware \$ /ers, Rev 7.1, June 25, 2024.7 | | |
| Change "Four Change "Two v | widths" to widths" to | "The following widths" on pg "The following widths" on pg 'the following widths" on pg5 | 53 line 6 | line 40 | but it is one. | s a not a | a draft; th | ere is no reason to refer to a s | pecific versior | a rather than the latest |
| Change "four w Change "two w | widths" to ' vidths" to " | the following widths" on pg5 the following widths" on pg5 the following widths" on pg5 | 6 line 19 | | this is | indeed t | he curre |), June 11, 2023, SFP2 Cage, nt version (which does not incl | ude SFF224, s | subject of another |
| Proposed Respons | se | Response Status W | | | | ent) but est one. | it is not a | a draft; there is no reason to re | erer to a specif | ic version rather than |
| | ating the n now many | umber of widths is not neces width variants to expect. The the draft. | | | Since t they sh use un | hese ar hould rei dated re | fer to doo eferences | tive references that apply to m cuments that are available to r s where possible. Per the style rafts "shall be numbered and o | eaders in the f manual (12.3 | uture. Thus, we should |

An editor's note may be used to indicate the current draft and as a reminder that "drafts shall be submitted to IEEE SA".

| TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general | C/ 1 | Page 2 of 184 |
|---|--------|---------------------|
| COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn | SC 1.3 | 7/7/2025 1:05:46 PM |
| | | |

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SuggestedRemedy

For each of the indicated references that is a draft, add an editor's note (to be removed before publication) indicating the revision number and date as of D2.1, and a reminder to update to the latest draft revision and date and provide a copy for the archive prior to publication.

Make similar changes as appropriate in the text that refers to these form factors in Annex 179C.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license using the versions provided in the comment.

| C/ 1 | SC 1.3 | P 53 | L 53 | # 435 |
|-----------|--------|---------------|-------------|-------|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco Systems | | |

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

(withdrawn)

Footnote 6 refers to OSFP1600, but OSFP is a normative reference not just for OSFP1600 but also for the original OSFP, which is used in the base standard (e.g. clause 136).

Similarly, Footnote 7 refers to QSFP-DD1600, but QSFP-DD is a normative reference for the base standard.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "1600" in both footnotes.

```
Proposed Response Response Status Z
```

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

| C/ 1 | SC 1.3 | P53 | L 54 | # 145 |
|-------------|--------|-------|-------------|-------|
| Huber, Thor | nas | Nokia | | |

Comment Status D non) (bucket) MDI references

This footnote indicates where to find SFP-DD224, QSFP224, and QSFP-DD1600 specifications, but the normative reference associated with this footnote is "QSFP-DD/QSFPDD-800/QSFP-DD1600 Hardware Specification for QSFP Double Density 8x Pluggable Transceivers", which makes no mention of SFP224 or QSFP224, and following the URL in the footnote does not take the reader to a site with documents that have information about SFP-DD224 or QSFP224 formats (nor does the normatively referenced document have that information).

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

Align the footnote with the referenced document by replacing "SFP-DD224, QSP224" with "QSFP-DD, QSFP-DD800"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The comment identifies incorrect references to the MDI connector types defined in Annex 179C. The suggested remedy introduces new MDI connector types (QSFP-DD and QSFP-DD800) that are not explicitly required for this document. The footnote should be updated to capture the MDI connector types necessary for this document and that are included in the appropriate reference material.

Resolve using response for Comment #436.

| C/ 1 | SC 1.3 | P 53 | L 54 | # 436 |
|----------|----------------|--|----------------|---------------------------|
| Ran, Ade | e | Cisco System | ns | |
| Comment | t Type TR | Comment Status D | ion) | (bucket) MDI References |
| | | fication is not the reference fo is an SFF specification). | r SFP-DD224 (v | which does not exist yet) |
| Suggeste | edRemedy | | | |
| Dolot | te "SFP-DD224, | OSED224 and | | |

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

| C/ 1 | SC 1.4.92g | P 54 | L 40 | # 581 | C/ 1 | SC | 1.5 | P58 | L28 | # 545 |
|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Nicholl, Sh | hawn | AMD | | | Schreiner | r. Stepha | an | Rosenberger | Hochfrequenzt | echnik GmbH & Co. KG |
| Comment | Type ER | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Comment | Type | т | Comment Status D | · | (Common) (bucke |
| 800GE | BASE-DR4-2 inc | ns of 1.6TBASE-DR8-2, 2000 orrectly point to Clause 181. | | | menti | | | ntioned in the abbreviations. nd TCTL / LCTL would be a | | |
| Clause 1.4.10 802.3, 1.4.13 802.3, 1.4.18 802.3, | g 1.6TBASE-DR e 182.) 44 200GBASE-I , Clause 182.) 44c 400GBASE-I , Clause 182.) 44ca 800GBASE- , Clause 182.) | 8-2: IEEE 802.3 Physical La DR1-2: IEEE 802.3 Physical DR2-2: IEEE 802.3 Physical DR4-2: IEEE 802.3 Physica | Layer least 2 l Layer least 2 l | km. (See IEEE Std km. (See IEEE Std | LCL, Proposed PROF Add ti ILcd c | Ldc and TCTL, a <i>I Respon</i> POSED he follow differenti | ILcd into t nd LCTL" ase ACCEPT ving abbre al-mode to | he abbreviations or change within the document <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. viations: o common-mode insertion lo differential-mode insertion lo | oss | .dc, and ILcd" into "TCL, |
| PROP | Response POSED ACCEPT ment suggested | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license | | | <i>Cl</i> 30 Huber, Th | | 30.3.2.1.2 | P 61 Nokia | L11 | # 146 |
| | <i>Type</i> ER nt text: " using | P 54 AMD <i>Comment Status</i> D the physical coding sublayer Std 802.3, Clause 174.)" | L 46 | # <u>580</u> (<i>Common</i>) (<i>bucket</i>) se 175 for 1.6 Tb/s | Comment There PCS. Suggeste | t Type e is no lo dRemea | ly | Comment Status D DOGBASE-ER1 PCS; ER1 a nd text to insert 800GBASE- | | |
| Propos Suggested | | e correct Clause number. | | | Proposed PROF | , | ose ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | |
| Propos | sed text: " usin tion. (See IEEE \$ | g the physical coding sublay Std 802.3, Clause 175.)" | ver defined in Cla | use 175 for 1.6 Tb/s | C/ 30 Slavick, J | | 30.3.2.1.2 | P 61 Broadcom | L16 | # 470 |
| , PROP | Response POSED ACCEPT ment suggested | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license | | | Comment Claus Suggeste | se 186 is | | Comment Status D S anymore. So it's just a 80 | 00GBASE-R PH | <i>(Logic) (bucket</i> IY now. |
| | | | | | Remo | ove the t | ext associ | ated with 800GBASE-ER1 f | rom 30.3.2.1.2 a | and 30.3.2.1.3 |
| | | | | | Proposed PROF | • | ose ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | |

C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2

| C/ 30 | SC 30.3.2.1.3 | P61 | L 31 | # 147 |
|---------------|---|--|------------------------|---|
| Huber, T | homas | Nokia | | |
| Commen | t Type TR | Comment Status | D | (Logic) (bucket) |
| There PCS | • | 0GBASE-ER1 PCS | ; ER1 and ER1-20 PH | Ys use the 800GBASE-R |
| Suggeste | edRemedy | | | |
| Delet | te the instruction an | d text to insert 800G | BASE-ER1 after 4000 | GBASE-R |
| Proposed | d Response | Response Status | w | |
| PRO | POSED ACCEPT. | | | |
| CI 30 | SC 30.5.1.1.2 | P62 | 2 L 27 | # 148 |
| Huber, T | homas | Nokia | | |
| Commen | t Type E | Comment Status | D | (Logic) (bucket) |
| | BASE-DR1-2 shou er than after 200GBA | | e 200GBASE-DR4 and | after 200GBASE-DR1 |
| Suggeste | edRemedy | | | |
| previ 2000 | ous editing instruction | on to say "Insert the move the space so | following new entries. | BASE-DR1-2. Modify the before the esntry for 200GBASE-DR1-2 are |
| | | Deenenee Cteture | 14/ | |
| Proposed | d Response | Response Status | vv | |

| CI 30 | SC : | 30.5.1.1.2 | P6 | 2 | L 30 | # 3 |
|------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| Marris, Ai | rthur | | Cade | ence De | sign Systems | |
| Comment | Туре | т | Comment Status | D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| | | | ASE-DR1-2 should 200GBASE-DR1 d | | | nner FEC requirement |
| Suggeste | dRemed | У | | | | |
| | | | PCS/PMA over sing GBASE-R Inner FE | | le fiber PMD" to " | 200GBASE-R |
| Make | similar o | changes to | 400GBASE-DR2-2 | 2, 800G | BASE-DR4-2,and | d 1.6TBASE-DR8-2) |
| | 0 | | PCS/PMA over sing GBASE-LR1 Inner | , | | |
| Proposed | Respon | se | Response Status | w | | |
| PROF | POSED | ACCEPT IN | N PRINCIPLE. | | | |
| Imple | ment the | suggested | d remedy except: | | | |
| | | | PCS/PMA over sing GBASE-R Inner FE | | | |
| Imple | ment wit | h editorial I | license. | | | |
| C/ 30 | SC : | 30.5.1.1.2 | P6 | 3 | L 36 | # 149 |
| Huber, Th | nomas | | Nokia | a | | |
| Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status | D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| | | 0 | 0GBASE-ER1 PCS vever they do have | , | | HYs use the er 800GBASE-R PHYs. |
| Sugaeste | dRemed | v | | | | |

SuggestedRemedy

Change the description of 800GBASE-ER1 and 800GBASE-ER1-20 so they begin with "800GBASE-R PCS and 800GBASE-ER1 PMA over single-mode fiber PMD with a reach..."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2

| | SC 30.5.1.1.2 | P63 | L 47 | # 150 | CI 45 | SC 45.2.1 | P 72 | L 27 | # 153 |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|-------------------------|
| luber, Tho | omas | Nokia | | | Huber, The | omas | Nokia | | |
| omment T | Туре Е | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket |
| | | fore 800GBASE-KR8 is th | | | Regist | ers 1.2412 throu | gh 1.2423 are used for ER1 F | EC as well as Ir | nner FEC. |
| | | since they are currently ad (s). This instruction can be | | | Suggestea | Remedy | | | |
| uggested | - | | combined with | ine previous one. | Chang | e the "Inner FEC | C" to "Inner FEC or ER1 FE | EC" for each s | set of registers in the |
| | • | ion "Insert the following ne | w entry intro the | | range. | | | | |
| SYNTA | AX" section of 30.5 | .1.1.2 before the entry for 8 | 00GBASE-KR8 | (inserted by IEEE Std | Proposed | | Response Status W | | |
| | f-2024)", and remo struction. | ove the space so that the te | ext for 800GBAS | E-KR4 is part of the | PROP | OSED ACCEPT | | | |
| • | | Response Status W | | | C/ 45 | SC 45.2.1.6 | P 74 | L 20 | # 725 |
| , | OSED ACCEPT. | | | | Dawe, Pie | ſS | Nvidia | | · |
| 30 | SC 30.13.1.1 | P65 | L16 | # 151 | Comment as am | 51 | Comment Status D Std 802.3df-2024 | | (Logic) (bucket |
| luber, Tho | omas | Nokia | | | Suggestea | Remedv | | | |
| comment T | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | | - | Std 802.3df-2024 and IEEE St | td 802.3dk-202x | |
| | me mgmt registers t here doesn't men | s/attributes are used for ER tion ER1 FEC. | 1 FEC as are us | sed for Inner FEC, but | Show | | nese bits made by P802.3dj | | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | |
| Change | e "If a Clause 45 M | IDIO Interface to PMA/PMI | D, Inner Fec, WI | S," | - | OSED ACCEPT | - | | |
| to | | | | | | | ent #332 confirms that 802.3 ed remedy with editorial licen | | o precede 802.3dj. |
| | ause 45 MDIO Inte | erface to PMA/PMD, Inner I | -EC or ER1 FEC | J, WIS," | Implen | ionit the buggeot | culturedy with cultural licen | SE. | |
| "If a Cl | | erface to PMA/PMD, Inner I t from "For Inner FEC:…" to | | | Cl 45 | SC 45.2.1.6 | P74 | L 41 | # 726 |
| "If a Cla Change | e the second bulle | t from "For Inner FEC:" to | o "For Inner FEC | | | SC 45.2.1.6 | • | | # [726 |
| "If a Cla Change Make t | e the second bulle he same changes | t from "For Inner FEC:" to to 30.13.1.2 through 30.13 | o "For Inner FEC | | Cl 45 Dawe, Pie Comment | SC 45.2.1.6 rs Type ER | P 74 Nvidia Comment Status D | L 41 | (Logic) (bucket |
| "If a Cla Change Make t Proposed F | e the second bulle he same changes | t from "For Inner FEC:" to | o "For Inner FEC | | Cl 45 Dawe, Pie Comment So tha | SC 45.2.1.6 rs Type ER t the reviewers of | P 74 Nvidia | L 41 | (Logic) (bucket |
| "If a Cla Change Make t Proposed F PROPO | e the second bulle he same changes Response | t from "For Inner FEC:" to to 30.13.1.2 through 30.13 | o "For Inner FEC | C or ER1 FEC:" | Cl 45 Dawe, Pie Comment So tha | SC 45.2.1.6 rs Type ER t the reviewers c rrect style, and w | P 74 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D an confirm that the new mate | L 41 | (Logic) (bucket |
| "If a Cli Change Make ti Proposed F PROPO | e the second bulle he same changes Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1 | t from "For Inner FEC:" to to 30.13.1.2 through 30.13 <i>Response Status</i> W | o "For Inner FEC .1.12 | | Cl 45 Dawe, Pie Comment So tha the co | SC 45.2.1.6 rs Type ER t the reviewers c rect style, and w Remedy | P 74 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D an confirm that the new mate | L 41 rial is inserted in dy taken | (Logic) (bucket |
| "If a Cli Change Make ti Proposed F PROPC C/ 45 Huber, Tho | e the second bulle he same changes Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1 omas | t from "For Inner FEC:" to to 30.13.1.2 through 30.13 Response Status W P 71 | o "For Inner FEC .1.12 | C or ER1 FEC:" | Cl 45 Dawe, Pie Comment So tha the co | SC 45.2.1.6 rs Type ER t the reviewers of rect style, and w Remedy show the sub-re | P 74 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D an confirm that the new mate <i>i</i> thout using a bit that's alread | L 41 rial is inserted in dy taken | (Logic) (bucket |
| "If a Cli Change Make ti Proposed F PROPO Cl 45 Huber, Tho Comment T | e the second bulle he same changes Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1 omas Type T | t from "For Inner FEC:" to to 30.13.1.2 through 30.13 <i>Response Status</i> W P71 Nokia | 2 "For Inner FEC 1.12 <i>L</i> 48 | C or ER1 FEC:" # 152 (Logic) (bucket) | Cl 45 Dawe, Pie Comment So tha the co Suggested Please Proposed | SC 45.2.1.6 rs Type ER t the reviewers of rect style, and w Remedy show the sub-ro Response OSED ACCEPT | P74 Nvidia Comment Status D an confirm that the new mater vithout using a bit that's alread bws below and above, each ti Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. | L 41 trial is inserted in dy taken me. | (Logic) (bucket |
| "If a Cli Change Make ti PROPO 2/ 45 Huber, Tho Comment To The Tir | e the second bulle he same changes Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1 omas Type T meSync Inner FEC | t from "For Inner FEC:" to to 30.13.1.2 through 30.13 <i>Response Status</i> W <i>P</i> 71 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D | 2 "For Inner FEC 1.12 <i>L</i> 48 | C or ER1 FEC:" # 152 (Logic) (bucket) | Cl 45 Dawe, Pie Comment So tha the co Suggested Please Proposed PROP Add to | SC 45.2.1.6 rs Type ER t the reviewers of rect style, and w Remedy show the sub-ro Response OSED ACCEPT the bottom of th | P74 Nvidia Comment Status D an confirm that the new mater vithout using a bit that's alread bows below and above, each ti Response Status W | L 41 trial is inserted in dy taken me. | (Logic) (bucket |
| "If a Cli Change Make ti Proposed F PROPO 2/ 45 Huber, Tho Comment To The Tir Suggested | e the second bulle he same changes Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1 omas Type T meSync Inner FEC Remedy | t from "For Inner FEC:" to to 30.13.1.2 through 30.13 <i>Response Status</i> W <i>P</i> 71 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D | 5 "For Inner FEC 1.12 <i>L</i> 48 ters are also use | C or ER1 FEC:" # 152 (Logic) (bucket) ed for ER1 FEC. | Cl 45 Dawe, Pie Comment So tha the co Suggested Please Proposed PROP Add to | SC 45.2.1.6 rs Type ER t the reviewers of rect style, and w Remedy show the sub-ro Response OSED ACCEPT the bottom of th | P74 Nvidia Comment Status D an confirm that the new mate ithout using a bit that's alread ows below and above, each ti Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. e description unchanged row. | L 41 trial is inserted in dy taken me. | (Logic) (bucket |
| "If a Cli Change Make ti Proposed F PROPO C/ 45 Huber, Tho Comment To The Tir Suggested | e the second bulle he same changes Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1 omas Type T meSync Inner FEC Remedy e "Time Sync inner | t from "For Inner FEC:" to to 30.13.1.2 through 30.13 <i>Response Status</i> W <i>P</i> 71 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D t transmit and receive regis | 5 "For Inner FEC 1.12 <i>L</i> 48 ters are also use | C or ER1 FEC:" # 152 (Logic) (bucket) ed for ER1 FEC. | Cl 45 Dawe, Pie Comment So tha the co Suggested Please Proposed PROP Add to | SC 45.2.1.6 rs Type ER t the reviewers of rect style, and w Remedy show the sub-ro Response OSED ACCEPT the bottom of th | P74 Nvidia Comment Status D an confirm that the new mate ithout using a bit that's alread ows below and above, each ti Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. e description unchanged row. | L 41 trial is inserted in dy taken me. | (Logic) (bucket |

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.6

| Cl 45 | SC 45.2.1.10 | P 77 | L32 | # 154 | C/ 45 | SC 45.2.1. | 60c | P82 | L 4 | # 5 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Huber, Th | nomas | Nokia | | | Marris, Artl | hur | | Cadence Des | sign Systems | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | Туре Е | Comme | nt Status D | | (Logic) (bucket |
| | | not currently included in the | | | Typo, r | missing "2" | | | | |
| | , | tional extended ability regist | ers for 200G and | 1400G PHYS | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| Bring | | 0 and Table 45-14. Update of | escription for a | one value for bit | | | | MD extended abil nded ability 2 regi | | |
| "1 = F to: | | G/400G extended abilities lis | Ū | Ū. | Proposed F | Response OSED ACCEI | | e Status W | | |
| | and 1.75 (400G)" | | in regioner i | .20 (2000) 01 109.01010 | CI 45 | SC 45.2.1 | 60c.1 | P 82 | L 21 | # 582 |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | Nicholl, Sh | awn | | AMD | | |
| PROF | POSED ACCEPT. | | | | Comment | Type ER | Comme | nt Status D | | (Logic) (bucket |
| CI 45 | SC 45.2.1.23 | P 79 | L 24 | # 155 | | | c.1 contains th tion for 1.74.1 | | 1.74.0 register w | hile 45.2.1.60c.2 |
| Huber, Th | nomas | Nokia | | | The MI | DIO register d | ofinitions soct | ions are typically | ordered from bit | <n> to bit 0 |
| Comment | | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Suggested | 0 | | ions are typically | ordered nom bit | |
| The d | escription for bit 1 | .25.1 should also identify the | abilities in regis | oter 1.74. | 00 | se the followin | a text: | | | |
| Suggeste | dRemedy | | | | 1 10003 | | y ieni. | | | |
| | ge " and has th ers 1.73 and 1.74' | e abilities listed in register 1. | 73" to "… and h | as the abilities listed in | | | contain the inf .74.0 register. | | 1.1 register. 45.2 | .1.60c.2 should contain |
| ' | Response POSED ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | | In othe | er words, it sho | ould read as fo | bllows: | | |
| | 00.45.0.4.00 | 070 | 105 | " 150 | 45.2.1. | .60c.1 800GB | ASE-ER1 abili | ity (1.74.1) | | |
| C/ 45 | SC 45.2.1.23 | P 79 | L 35 | # 156 | When | read as a one | hit 1 74 1 inc | licates as a 80 | | MA/PMD type. When |
| Huber, Th | | Nokia | | | | | | as a 800GBA | | |
| Comment | | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | 45.0.4 | | | | | |
| | diting instruction t df-2024 | o insert 45.2.1.23.aa should | note that 45.2.1. | 23.a was inserted by | 45.2.1. | .000.2 000GD | ASE-ER1-20 a | ability (1.74.0) | | |
| | dRemedy | | | | | | | licates as a 80 as a 800GBASE | | 0 PMA/PMD type. MD type. |
| | ge to sav "Insert 4 | 5.2.1.23.aa before 45.2.1.23 | a (as inserted b. | y IEEE Std 802.3df- | Proposed I | Response | Respons | e Status W | | |
| Chan | | | | | PROP | OSED REJEC | CT. | | | |
| Chang 2024) | as follows:" | Boononoo Statua IN | | | - | | | | | |
| Chang 2024) Proposed | | Response Status W | | | The su remedy | iggested reme | edy does not p | | | oport the suggested |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.60c.1 Page 7 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:46 PM

| C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.60 | e.3 P84 | L16 | # 157 | C/ 45 | SC 45.2.1.16 | 8c | P 96 | L 46 | # 554 |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| Huber, Thomas | Nokia | | | Nicholl, Sh | awn | | AMD | | |
| Comment Type ER This subclauses conce | Comment Status D rns 1.6TBASE-DR8, but the | text refers to 1.6 | <i>(Logic) (bucket)</i> TBASE-DR2. | Comment In the | | Comment S 45-133c the Bi | | ontains 1.1476.1 | <i>(Logic) (bucke</i> 5:9 text. |
| SuggestedRemedy Change both instances | of "1.6TBASE-DR2" in the | text to "1.6TBASE | E-DR8". | Suggested Propos | - | the first row of | f Table 45-133 | 3c in the Bit(s) co | olumn. |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT | Response Status W | | | Proposed PROP | Response OSED ACCEPT. | Response S | Status W | | |
| C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.16 | 8a P95 | L 6 | # 4 | C/ 45 | SC 45.2.1.16 | 8d | P 97 | L13 | # 555 |
| Marris, Arthur | Cadence De | sign Systems | | Nicholl, Sh | awn | | AMD | | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | | Comment S | | | (Logic) (bucke |
| Typo "PRBS" should b SuggestedRemedy | e "PRBS31" | | | | over from anoth | | escription colu | umn contains son | ne incorrect text that is |
| assignment of bits in th | ent of bits in the PRBS seed the PMA/PMD PRBS31 seed | value lane 0 regis | ster" | and de | S lane synchron skewed | | | _ | |
| assignment of bits in the Also change "The assignment of bits in the a | e PMA/PMD PRBS31 seed gnment of bits in the PMA/P gnment of bits in the PMA/Pl and 7 of page 95 <i>Response Status</i> W | value lane 0 regis MD training patter | ster" rn lanes 1 through 7 | and de 0 = loc <i>Suggesteo</i> Propos | skewed al_rx_ready or re <i>Remedy</i> se the following te | emote_rx_ready ext: | ly is false on a | iny lane of the int | erface |
| assignment of bits in th Also change "The assig registers" to "The assig 7 registers" on lines 6 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT | e PMA/PMD PRBS31 seed gnment of bits in the PMA/P gnment of bits in the PMA/Pl and 7 of page 95 <i>Response Status</i> W | value lane 0 regis MD training patter | ster" rn lanes 1 through 7 | and de 0 = loc Suggestea Propos 1 = PC and de | skewed al_rx_ready or re <i>Remedy</i> se the following te | emote_rx_ready ext: ization is comp e. | ly is false on a olete. This bit i | iny lane of the int | |
| assignment of bits in th Also change "The assigned registers" to "The assigned registers" on lines 6 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT | e PMA/PMD PRBS31 seed gnment of bits in the PMA/P gnment of bits in the PMA/Pl and 7 of page 95 <i>Response Status</i> W 8b P96 | value lane 0 regis MD training patter MD PRBS31 seed | ster" rn lanes 1 through 7 I value lanes 1 through | and de 0 = loc Suggestea Propos 1 = PC and de | skewed al_rx_ready or re <i>Remedy</i> se the following to S lane synchron skew is complete S lane synchron | emote_rx_ready ext: ization is comp e. | ly is false on a olete. This bit i complete. | iny lane of the int | erface |
| assignment of bits in th Also change "The assig registers" to "The assig 7 registers" on lines 6 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.16 Marris, Arthur | e PMA/PMD PRBS31 seed gnment of bits in the PMA/P gnment of bits in the PMA/Pl and 7 of page 95 <i>Response Status</i> W 8b P96 | value lane 0 regis MD training pattel MD PRBS31 seed | ster" rn lanes 1 through 7 I value lanes 1 through | and de 0 = loc Suggested Propos 1 = PC and de 0 = PC Proposed | skewed al_rx_ready or re <i>Remedy</i> se the following to S lane synchron skew is complete S lane synchron | emote_rx_ready ext: ization is comp e. ization is not co <i>Response S</i> | ly is false on a olete. This bit i complete. | iny lane of the int | erface |
| assignment of bits in th Also change "The assig registers" to "The assig 7 registers" on lines 6 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.16 Marris, Arthur | e PMA/PMD PRBS31 seed gnment of bits in the PMA/P noment of bits in the PMA/Pl and 7 of page 95 Response Status W | value lane 0 regis MD training patter MD PRBS31 seed | ster" rn lanes 1 through 7 I value lanes 1 through # 6 | and de 0 = loc Suggestea Propos 1 = PC and de 0 = PC Proposed I PROP | skewed al_rx_ready or re <i>Remedy</i> se the following to S lane synchron skew is complete S lane synchron <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. | emote_rx_read ext: ization is comp e. ization is not co <i>Response</i> S | ly is false on a olete. This bit i complete. Status W | iny lane of the int | erface locked_mux is true |
| assignment of bits in th Also change "The assi registers" to "The assi 7 registers" on lines 6 Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.16 Marris, Arthur Comment Type E Typo, missing word "in | e PMA/PMD PRBS31 seed gnment of bits in the PMA/P noment of bits in the PMA/Pl and 7 of page 95 Response Status W | value lane 0 regis MD training patter MD PRBS31 seed <i>L</i> 3 | ster" rn lanes 1 through 7 I value lanes 1 through # 6 | and de 0 = loc Suggestea Propose 1 = PC and de 0 = PC Proposed PROP | skewed al_rx_ready or re <i>Remedy</i> se the following te S lane synchron skew is complete S lane synchron <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.17 | emote_rx_read ext: ization is comp e. ization is not co <i>Response</i> S | ly is false on a plete. This bit i complete. Status W P 97 | iny lane of the int | erface |
| assignment of bits in th Also change "The assigned registers" to "The assigned registers" on lines 6 a Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.16 Marris, Arthur Comment Type E Typo, missing word "in SuggestedRemedy Change "The assignmed | e PMA/PMD PRBS31 seed gnment of bits in the PMA/P noment of bits in the PMA/Pl and 7 of page 95 Response Status W | value lane 0 regis MD training patter MD PRBS31 seed <i>L</i> 3 sign Systems | ster" rn lanes 1 through 7 I value lanes 1 through # 6 <i>(Logic) (bucket)</i> ister" to "The | and de $0 = \log 0$ Suggestea Proposed 1 = PC and de 0 = PC Proposed PROP CI 45 Huber, The Comment | skewed al_rx_ready or re <i>Remedy</i> se the following to S lane synchron skew is complete S lane synchron <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.17 omas <i>Type</i> E | emote_rx_read ext: ization is comp e. ization is not co <i>Response S</i> 5 <i>Comment S</i> | ly is false on a olete. This bit i complete. Status W P 97 Nokia Status D | indicates that all_ | erface _locked_mux is true # 158 |
| assignment of bits in th Also change "The assigned registers" to "The assigned registers" on lines 6 a Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.16 Marris, Arthur Comment Type E Typo, missing word "in SuggestedRemedy Change "The assignment of bits in th | ae PMA/PMD PRBS31 seed gnment of bits in the PMA/P gnment of bits in the PMA/Pl and 7 of page 95 Response Status W 8b P96 Cadence De Comment Status D terface" ent of bits in the PMA/PMD to | value lane 0 regis MD training patter MD PRBS31 seed <i>L</i> 3 sign Systems | ster" rn lanes 1 through 7 I value lanes 1 through # 6 <i>(Logic) (bucket)</i> ister" to "The | and de 0 = loc Suggested Proposed 1 = PC and de 0 = PC Proposed PROP Cl 45 Huber, The Comment The 'in | skewed al_rx_ready or re Remedy se the following te S lane synchron skew is complete S lane synchron Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.175 omas Type E ner FEC' TimeSy | emote_rx_read ext: ization is comp e. ization is not co <i>Response S</i> 5 <i>Comment S</i> | ly is false on a olete. This bit i complete. Status W P 97 Nokia Status D | indicates that all_ | erface _locked_mux is true # 158 |
| assignment of bits in th Also change "The assigned registers" to "The assigned registers" on lines 6 a Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.16 Marris, Arthur Comment Type E Typo, missing word "in SuggestedRemedy Change "The assignment of bits in th | e PMA/PMD PRBS31 seed gnment of bits in the PMA/P and 7 of page 95 <i>Response Status</i> W | value lane 0 regis MD training patter MD PRBS31 seed <i>L</i> 3 sign Systems | ster" rn lanes 1 through 7 I value lanes 1 through # 6 <i>(Logic) (bucket)</i> ister" to "The | and de $0 = \log 0$ Suggested Proposed $1 = PCand de0 = PCProposedPROPCl 45Huber, TheCommentThe 'inSuggested$ | skewed al_rx_ready or re <i>Remedy</i> se the following te S lane synchron skew is complete S lane synchron Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.175 omas Type E ner FEC' TimeSy Remedy | emote_rx_ready ext: ization is comp e. ization is not co <i>Response</i> S 5 <i>Comment</i> S ync registers an | ly is false on a colete. This bit i complete. Status W P 97 Nokia Status D Ire also used fo | indicates that all_ | erface _locked_mux is true # 158 |
| assignment of bits in th Also change "The assig registers" to "The assig 7 registers" on lines 6 a Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.16 Marris, Arthur Comment Type E Typo, missing word "in SuggestedRemedy Change "The assignment of bits in th Proposed Response | e PMA/PMD PRBS31 seed gnment of bits in the PMA/P and 7 of page 95 <i>Response Status</i> W | value lane 0 regis MD training patter MD PRBS31 seed <i>L</i> 3 sign Systems | ster" rn lanes 1 through 7 I value lanes 1 through # 6 <i>(Logic) (bucket)</i> ister" to "The | and de 0 = loc Suggestea Proposed 1 = PC and de 0 = PC Proposed PROP C/ 45 Huber, The Comment The 'in Suggestea Chang In table | skewed al_rx_ready or re Remedy se the following te S lane synchron skew is complete S lane synchron Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.175 omas Type E ner FEC' TimeSy Remedy e " PMA/PMD a | emote_rx_ready ext: ization is comp e. ization is not co <i>Response</i> S 5 <i>Comment</i> S ync registers an and inner FEC e "inner FEC" to | ly is false on a olete. This bit i complete. Status W P97 Nokia Status D re also used fo c" to "PMA to "inner FEC o | indicates that all_ <i>L</i> 44 or ER1 FEC /PMD, inner FEC or ER1 FEC" in th | erface _locked_mux is true # [<u>158</u> <i>(Logic) (bucke</i> C, and ER1 FEC" |

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 45
 Page 8 of 184

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 45
 7/7/2025 1:05:46 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 45
 7/7/2025 1:05:46 PM

| CI 45 | SC 45.2.1.17 | 7a P 99 | L 5 | # 159 | C/ 45 SC | 45.2.1.216 | P101 | L 33 | # 556 |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Huber, Th | omas | Nokia | | | Nicholl, Shawn | | AMD | | |
| Comment The 'ir | 51 | Comment Status D vnc registers are also used | for ER1 FEC | (Logic) (bucket) | <i>Comment Type</i> Missing a sp | _ | Comment Status D 5-180, row 1.2200.4 des | cription column. | (Logic) (bucket) |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | Current toxt | "1 =IFEC dec | odor" | | |
| Chang 1.1818 | | eSync FEC sublayer trans | mit path delay (Re | gisters 1.1813 through | SuggestedReme | | | | |
| data d | elay registers are | e to the first paragraph: "The used with Inner FEC suble | ayers and the ER1 | FEC sublayer." | Proposed Respo PROPOSED | | Response Status W | | |
| - | | existing text and table to re | place 'inner FEC' | with 'FEC sublayer'. | C/ 45 SC | 45.2.1.217.6a | a <i>P</i> 103 | L 3 | # 558 |
| | similar changes t | | | | Nicholl, Shawn | | AMD | | |
| | Response OSED ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | | Comment Type | TR (| Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket |
| Missin in P80 Suggestec Propos | <i>Type</i> ER g a note that this g a new section a 2.3dj. <i>IRemedy</i> | AMD Comment Status D Table 45-180 was amende after the table that describe | s the new field tha | at is added to the table | FEC status v P802.3dj Su "Table 186-8 references to Since there a one that is d found in "45. pertains only | variable mappi b-Clause "186. b - 800GBASE b 1.2201 regist are (at least) tw escribe in Clau 2.1.217.6a IFE v to the Clause aded (1.2201.4 | wo IFEC receivers (i.e. or use 186), it would help th EC received local degrad 186 IFEC. Same comm | to 1.2201 registe s" also contains i les and MDIO ma ne that is describ le reader to enha led (1.2201.5)" to | r. references to IFEC. apping" contains wed in Clause 152 and nce the description o clarify that this field |
| Insert | 45 2 1 216aa hel | ore 45.2.1.216.a as follows | | | •• | • | 17.6a): "Bit 1.2201.5 is s | set to one when t | he 800GBASE-ER1 |
| | | graded SER enable (1.2200 | | | | er detects the v | value consecutive 800 | | |
| the ab When | ility is supported. set to a zero, de return a zero if th ded SER. | e IFEC decoder to indicate When set to a one, this va graded SER detection is dis e IFEC does not have the a <i>Response Status</i> W | riable enables deg sabled. Writes to t | graded SER detection. his bit are ignored and | correct the ty Proposed te | /po 1.2201.4 (xt (for 45.2.1.2 er detects the v | , besides adding "800GB current text) to 1.2201.5 17.6b): "Bit 1.2201.4 is s value consecutive 800 | (proposed text). set to one when t | he 800GBASE-ER1 |
| degrad | Resnonse | | | | | _ | | | |
| degrad Proposed | Response OSED ACCEPT. | • | | | Proposed Respo | nse R | Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉 | | |

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.217.6a Page 9 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:46 PM

| C/ 45 | SC 45.2.1.222 | P104 | L 8 | # 559 | C/ 45 | SC | 45.2.1.258 | P 109 | L 22 | # 560 |
|----------------------|--------------------|--|--|------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|--|---------------------|----------------------|
| Nicholl, Sha | awn | AMD | | | Nicholl, S | nawn | | AMD | | |
| Comment 7 | Type ER | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | Туре | ER | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| P802.3 | dj draft. | es up to lane 31, the legacy 1, lower 16 bits are shown ii | J. J | , | Inner_ Inner_ | FEC_u FEC_c | ncorrected_ | er FEC decode" defines Inr _cw_counter, Inner_FEC_to ts_counter. "Table 177-8 o tormo | otal_bits_counte | r, and |
| bits are | e shown in registe | r 1.2213; FEC lane 2, lower for FEC lane 3, upper 16 bit | 16 bits are sho | | Curre | ntly, the | descriptior | o column of "Table 45-212h | | |
| | t text: "FEC lane | 1, lower 16 bits are shown i r 1.2213; FEC lane 2, lower | | | conta | ns "FE0 | C corrected | ontains FEC_corrected_cw_ codewords". It is inconsist in both columns. | | |
| Proposed F | | ts are shown in register 1.22 Response Status W | 215; etc." | | definit | ions", " | Table 45-21 | "Table 45-212i Inner FE 2j Inner FEC total bits re ted bits register bit definitio | gister bit definiti | |
| | JOED ACCELLT. | | | | Suggeste | Remed | ly | | | |
| CI 45 | SC 45.2.1.258 | P 109 | L 3 | # 7 | | • | • | scription column of "Table 4 | | |
| Marris, Arth | nur | Cadence Des | ign Systems | | | | | efinitions" to Inner_FEC_con rrected codewords". | rrected_cw_cou | nter and the Name |
| Comment 7 | Гуре Е | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | colum | | | | | |
| Correct | t table name | | | | | | | in "Table 45-212i Inner F | | |
| Suggestedl | Remedy | | | | | | | 2j Inner FEC total bits re ted bits register bit definitio | | ons", and "Table 45- |
| | | —PMA/PMD status 1 registatus 1 registatus 1 register bit definitions | | s" to "Table | Proposed | Respor | ise | Response Status W | | |
| 45–212 Proposed F | 0 | Response Status W | | | PROF | OSED | ACCEPT. | | | |
| r ioposeu r | Coponse | \mathcal{M} | | | | | | | | |

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

| TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general | |
|---|--|
| COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn | |
| SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line | |

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.258

Page 10 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:46 PM

| C/ 45 | SC 45.2.1.262 | P111 | L12 | # 561 |
|-----------------|---|---|------------------|---------------------------|
| Nicholl, S | Shawn | AMD | | |
| Commen | t Type T | Comment Status D | | (Logic) FEC bin counters |
| | | ers contains FEC_corrected ounter, FEC_cw_counter, F | | _error_bin_i (1 <= i <= |
| | | EC_cw_counter defines a 48 is mapped to registers de | | |
| | | EC_codeword_error_bin_i de ped to registers defined in 4 | | |
| | codeword received | FEC_cw_counter defines a 4 is mapped to the register | | |
| | | FEC_codeword_error_bin_i ped to the registers defined | | |
| which | n includes inner_FE ame time, there is i | "Table 45-212l Inner FEC :C_codeword_error_bin_0 (i. no FEC_cw_counter that cor | .e. codewords | with no bit errors). At |
| lt wor Claus | | consistent with the definition | of FEC statis | tics found in other 802.3 |
| Suggeste | dRemedy | | | |
| | ose adding a new 4 codeword received | 8-bit register FEC_cw_coun . | ter that count | s once for each Inner |
| | ose deleting the inn ndant if FEC_cw_co | er_FEC_codeword_error_bi | in_0 register, s | since it becomes |
| Proposed | l Response | Response Status W | | |
| PRO | POSED ACCEPT I | N PRINCIPLE. | | |
| same bin_0 | format as previous | d to add RS FEC counters to sly defined RS FEC counters 0 value can be dervied from | s in clauses 10 | 61 and 172 without a |
| for al | I new Inner FEC cla | inner_FEC_codeword_error auses and the PMA test bloc odeword_error_bin_0 should | k counters as | a convience for the user. |
| The I | nner FEC clauses | 177 and 184 currently define | e these counte | rs on a per lane basis: |
| | | | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Inner FEC corrected cw counter Inner_FEC_uncorrected_cw_counter Inner_FEC_total_bits_counter Inner FEC corrected bits counter Inner_FEC_codeword_error_bin_k

Adding a total number of codewords ("FEC cw counter") to the new Inner FEC counters would be a useful addition.

In Clause 45, 177, and 184:

Add "Inner_FEC_cw_counter" to report the total number of Inner FEC codewords received (on a per lanes basis in Clause 177). Implement with editorial license.

| C/ 45 | SC 45.2.1.262 | P 1 1 | 1 | L12 | # | 562 |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|---|-----|---|-----------------|
| Nicholl, Shav | vn | AMD | | | | |
| Comment Ty | be ER | Comment Status | D | | (| Logic) (bucket) |

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Currently, the description column of "Table 45-212I -- Inner FEC codeword error bin register

definitions" contains inner_FEC_codeword_error_bin_0 through inner_FEC_codeword_error_bin_4, while "Table 177-8 -- Inner FEC status variables and MDIO mapping" contains Inner FEC codeword error bin k. In other words, the first letter is capitalized in one case, but not in the other case.

SuggestedRemedy

Propose updating the description column of "Table 45-212I -- Inner FEC codeword error bin register definitions" to contain Inner_FEC_codeword_error_bin_0 through Inner FEC codeword error bin 4 to enhance searchability of the document.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

When referring to the Inner FEC sublayer the "I" in "Inner" should be capitalized. Capitalize the word "Inner" in the entries in the description column, that is change "inner" to "Inner".

> C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.262

Page 11 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:46 PM

| CI 45 | SC 45.2.1.264 | P111 | L 49 | # 723 | C/ 45 | SC | 45.2.3.1 | P116 | L37 | # 724 |
|--------------|--|--|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|--|------------------|------------------------------|
| Dawe, Pie | ers | Nvidia | | | Dawe, Pie | ers | | Nvidia | | |
| Comment | Type E | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | Туре | ER | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket |
| PMAL | - not defined, and | somehow unmemorable. | f it were to be ke | ept, it would need to be | Editor | r's note | (to be rem | oved after first working group | o ballot): doesi | n't respect SA balloters |
| | | ons list, but PMA lane / PMA worth coining an abbreviation | | ch less often than PCS | Suggeste | dReme | dy | | | |
| Suggested | | worth coming an abbreviate | in for it. | | Chang | ge to: E | ditor's note | e (to be removed after first S/ | A ballot): | |
| 00 | | ane, throughout the draft | | | 11 tim | | | | | |
| | | | | | Proposed | • | | Response Status W | | |
| | Response OSED REJECT. | Response Status W | | | | | | IN PRINCIPLE. e (to be removed after first St | tandarde Acco | ciation ballot): 11 times |
| | | ed in 176.1.3 and used exter | nsively througho | ut the 802.3dj standard. | | ge i0. ⊏ | | | lanuarus Assu | • |
| | | | | | C/ 45 | SC | 45.2.3.2 | P117 | L 43 | # 445 |
| [Editor | r's note: changed | subclause from 45.2.1.26 to | 45.2.1.264] | | Ran, Ade | е | | Cisco System | IS | |
| C/ 45 | SC 45.2.1.264 | P112 | L 5 | # 295 | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) AN timeou |
| Brown, Ma | att | Alphawave Se | emi | | | | | _inhibit_timer, minimum 60 s | seconds, creat | es an unacceptably long |
| comment | Type E | Comment Status D | (L | ogic) (bucket) possesive | minim | num tim | e to retry A | AN. | | |
| | | nar is inconsistent with simil | ar phrases used | through this draft and | | | | ster restart of AN was preser | | |
| is une | cessary here. | | | | https:/ | //www.ie | eee802.or | g/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_0 |)2a_2505.pdf. | |
| | dRemedy | | | | The c | hanges | proposed | to clause 45 appear on slide | 7 of ran_3dj_ | 02a_2505. |
| | ge "Lane 0's" to "L ge "Lane 1's" to "L | | | | Suggeste | dReme | dv | | | |
| - | Response | | | | 00 | | • | to clause 45 per slide 7 of ra | an_3dj_02a_2 | 505, with editorial license. |
| , | POSED ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | | Proposed | Respor | nse | Response Status W | - | |
| FROF | USED ACCEPT. | | | | , | , | | IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| C/ 45 | SC 45.2.1.269 | P115 | L 45 | # 10 | | | | ollowing presentation and CR | | |
| Marris, Art | thur | Cadence Des | ign Systems | | https:/ | //www.ie | eee802.or | g/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_0 |)2a_2505.pdf | |
| Comment | Type E | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | [Edito | or's note | : CC 73, 1 | 19, 172, 175] | | |
| Chang | ge "lower" to "botto | om" to match Annex 178B no | omenclature | | C/ 45 | 50 | 45.2.3.8 | P119 | L23 | # 160 |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | | | | 45.2.3.0 | | L Z 3 | # 160 |
| Chang | ge "lower AUI" to " | bottom AUI" in two places | | | Huber, Th | | - | Nokia | | (Lesis) (husles) |
| roposed | Response | Response Status W | | | Comment | | E guido who | Comment Status D | hoforo tho firm | (Logic) (bucket |
| PROP | OSED ACCEPT. | , | | | | | | en inserting new subclauses a' rather than 'X.Y.Za" | belore the first | existing subclause, the |
| | | | | | Suggeste | dReme | dy | | | |
| | | | | | 00 | | • | ruction to say "Insert 45.2.3.8 | 3.a and 45.2.3. | 8.b before 45.2.3.8.1" |
| | | | | | Proposed | - | - | Response Status W | | |
| | | | | | • | • | | | | |
| | | | | | PROF | POSED | ACCEPT. | | | |

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 45
 Page 12 of 184

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 45
 7/7/2025 1:05:46 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 45
 7/7/2025 1:05:46 PM

| C/ 69 | SC 69 | 04.0 | P128 | L 50 | # 474 | C/ 69 | 80 | | P128 | L 50 | # 470 |
|----------------|--|-------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Slavick, | | 9.1.2 | P 128 Broadcom | L 30 | # 471 | Slavick, J | | 69.2.3 | P128 Broadcom | L 30 | # 473 |
| | | TD | | | | | | TD | | | |
| Commer | | TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Commen | | TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) |
| | nges to 69. | | missing. | | | | 0 | 69.2.3 are | missing. | | |
| 00 | edRemedy | | | | | Suggeste | | | | | |
| Ame | end Figure 6 | 69-5 fror | n 802.3df to add on 1.6T the s | ame stack as | 800G. | | | | alking about the new PHYs nended by 802.3df. | Add this paragr | aph after the one 11th |
| PRC | | CCEPT | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. | | | "Back and 1 Claus | kplane E 1.6TBAS se 119, 1 | Ethernet a SE-KR8. the PMA (| lso specifies 200GBASE-KR The 200GBASE-KR1 embodi defined in Clause 176, and th | ment employs t e PMD defined | he PCS defined in in Clause 178, and |
| CI 69 | SC 69 | 9.2.1 | P128 | L 50 | # 472 | | | | eration using 4-level PAM ove SE-KR2 embodiment employ | | |
| Slavick, | Jeff | | Broadcom | | | PMA | defined | I in Clause | e 176, and the PMD defined in | n Clause 178, a | nd specifies 400 Gb/s |
| Commer | nt Type | TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | | | | I PAM over two differential pa loys the PCS defined in Clau | | |
| Chai | nges to 69. | .2.1 are i | missing. | | | and t | he PMD |) defined i | n Clause 178, and specifies 8 | 300 Gb/s operat | tion using 4-level PAM |
| Ame | edRemedy end 69.2.1 t ended in 802 | to add in | the Clause 170 RS and 1.6T | /III to the list c | f MIIs. This clause was | PCS Claus | defined | in Clause and speci | aths in each direction. The 1. e 175, the PMA defined in Cla fies 1.6 Tb/s operation using | use 176, and th | ne PMD defined in |
| Propose | d Respons | е | Response Status W | | | Proposed | l Respo | nse | Response Status W | | |
| | | | , IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. | | | | | | IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license. | | |
| C/ 69 | SC 69 | 9.2.3 | P128 | L 50 | # 474 | | | | | | |
| Slavick, | Jeff | | Broadcom | | | | | | | | |
| Commer Chai | <i>nt Type</i> nges to 69. | TR .2.3 are i | Comment Status D missing. | | (Common) (bucket) | | | | | | |
| 00 | <i>edRemedy</i> reference t | | 174-3 to the last paragraph of | 69.2.3 as am | eded by 802.3df. | | | | | | |
| Propose | d Respons | е | Response Status W | | | | | | | | |
| | | | IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. | | | | | | | | |

| CI 69 | SC 69 | 9.4 | P128 | L 50 | # 475 | CI 73 | SC 73.4.1 | P129 | L 26 | # 56 |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|--|----------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Slavick, J | Jeff | | Broadcom | | | Jones, Cl | nad | Cisco System | is, Inc. | |
| Comment | t Type | TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Comment | Туре Е | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucke |
| The c | delay cons | train refe | erences are missing. | | | Use o | of "may". | | | |
| Suggeste | dRemedy | | | | | Suggeste | dRemedy | | | |
| Add t | he followin | ng 69.3 i | n the appropriate locations: | | | replac | ce "may be" with | "are". | | |
| | | | ormative delay specifications r also referenced in 80.4. | may be found | in 117.1.4, 119.5, | PROF | Response POSED REJECT | Response Status W | uggested remed | dy. |
| | | | ormative delay specifications r also referenced in 80.4. | may be found | in 117.1.4, 119.5, | The I | EEE SA standar | ds style manual states "The wo hin the limits of the standard (r | ord may is used | to indicate a course of |
| | | | ormative delay specifications r also referenced in 169.4. | may be found | in 170.1.4, 172.5, | | | may" in the text referred to in 7 p-Negotiation process simultar | | |
| | | | rmative delay specifications menoed in 174.4. | ay be found in | n 170.1.4, 175.5, 176.8, | indica | iting that it is per | mitted to advertise multiple tec | chnologies simu | ltaneously. |
| Proposed | l Response | е | Response Status W | | | | | | | |
| - | | | IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. | | | | | | | |
| C/ 69 | SC 69 | 9.5 | P 128 | L 50 | # 476 | | | | | |
| Slavick, J | Jeff | | Broadcom | | | | | | | |
| Comment | t Type | TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | | | | | |
| | dj clauses PMD" Clau | | at of clauses the PICS cover. his list. | It appears we | insert only the "FEC" | | | | | |
| Suggeste | dRemedy | | | | | | | | | |
| | t in the list Clause 178 | | ses in the first paragraph of 69 | .5 as amende | d by 802.3df: "Clause | | | | | |
| Proposed | l Response | е | Response Status W | | | | | | | |
| - | | | IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. | | | | | | | |

C/ 73 SC 73.4.1

| CI 73 | SC 73.4.1 | P129 | L 31 | # 439 | C/ 73 | SC 73. | 4.2 | P 130 | L15 | # 296 |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|----------------------------|---|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco Systems | S | | Brown, Mat | tt | | Alphawave Ser | ni | |
| Comment Ty | ype T | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment 7 | Гуре Е | | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) possesive |
| | | ability it does not possess" | | | | possesive essary he | | nar is inconsistent with similar | phrases u | used through this draft and |
| "will" is r | not suitable - it is | s a requirement, not a statem | nent of fact. | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| "advertis | se" is typically us | sed for abilities, and is prefer | able over "send | l" here. | | e "link par n page 13 | | o "link partner" | | |
| SuggestedR | Remedy | | | | Proposed F | | | Response Status W | | |
| Change | e to "but it shall no | ot advertise an ability it does | not possess". | | ' | OSED AC | CEDT | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed Re | Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | | |
| | SED ACCEPT II | | | | C/ 73 | SC 73. | 4.3 | P130 | L 27 | # 538 |
| | | owing text in 73.6.2.4: "Multip vice shall support the data se | | | Levin, Itam | ar | | Altera corp. | | |
| advertis | ses. It is the respo | onsibility of the Arbitration fu | nction to detern | nine the common mode | Comment 7 | Гуре Т | R | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket |
| | - | does not possess" legacy tex | | ate to change "will" to | | - | | PHY is connected to the MD | I through t | the Transmit Switch |
| "shall" a Impleme | as indicated in the ent suggested re ent with editorial | e suggested remedy. emedy and update PICS item license and update other Cla | LE8 in 73.11.4 | .3 to point to 73.4.1. | conforr <i>Proposed F</i> PROP(The rel | n to all of Response OSED AC evant stat | the PH CEPT I e name | Y specifications within 20 ms Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. is "AN_GOOD_CHECK". medy with editorial license. | of the AN- | -GOOD_CHECK state entry. |
| "shall" a Impleme Impleme necessa | as indicated in the ent suggested re ent with editorial | e suggested remedy. medy and update PICS item | LE8 in 73.11.4 | .3 to point to 73.4.1. | conforr <i>Proposed F</i> PROP(The rel | n to all of Response OSED AC evant stat | the PH CEPT I e name | Y specifications within 20 ms <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. e is "AN_GOOD_CHECK". | of the AN- | -GOOD_CHECK state entry. |
| "shall" a Impleme Impleme | as indicated in the ent suggested re ent with editorial ary. SC 73.4.2 | e suggested remedy. medy and update PICS item license and update other Cla | LE8 in 73.11.4 ause PICS subc | .3 to point to 73.4.1. | conforr <i>Proposed F</i> PROP(The rel | n to all of Response OSED AC evant stat | the PH CEPT I e name | Y specifications within 20 ms <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. e is "AN_GOOD_CHECK". | of the AN- | GOOD_CHECK state entry. |
| "shall" a Impleme necessa 2/ 73 Huber, Thor Comment Ty | as indicated in the ent suggested re ent with editorial ary. SC 73.4.2 mas <i>iype</i> E | e suggested remedy. medy and update PICS item license and update other Cla P130 Nokia Comment Status D | LE8 in 73.11.4 ause PICS subc | .3 to point to 73.4.1. clause references if # 161 (Logic) (bucket) | conforr <i>Proposed F</i> PROP(The rel | n to all of Response OSED AC evant stat | the PH CEPT I e name | Y specifications within 20 ms <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. e is "AN_GOOD_CHECK". | of the AN- | GOOD_CHECK state entry. |
| "shall" a Impleme necessa C/ 73 Huber, Thor Comment Ty "An Auto SuggestedR | as indicated in the ent suggested re ent with editorial ary. SC 73.4.2 mas ype E o-Negotiation ab Remedy | e suggested remedy. medy and update PICS item license and update other Cla P130 Nokia | LE8 in 73.11.4 ause PICS subc <i>L</i> 13 is awkward wor | .3 to point to 73.4.1. clause references if # <u>161</u> <i>(Logic) (bucket)</i> ding. | conforr <i>Proposed F</i> PROP(The rel | n to all of Response OSED AC evant stat | the PH CEPT I e name | Y specifications within 20 ms <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. e is "AN_GOOD_CHECK". | of the AN- | GOOD_CHECK state entry. |

Cl 73 SC 73.4.3

| CI 73 | SC 73.5.1 | P131 | L 9 | # 455 | CI 73 | SC 73.8 | P140 | L 6 | # 727 |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------|------------------|--|---------------|------------------------------------|
| le, Xiang | | Huawei | | | Dawe, Pier | S | Nvidia | | |
| Comment T | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) | Comment 7 | ype E | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| | | al peak-to-peak output voltag | e for DME shou | Id be the same for all | Cramp | ed table title | | | |
| | or compatibility i | easons. | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| uggested | - | | | | Make it | s box full width | | | |
| | e case 2. | | | | Proposed F | Response | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed F | , | Response Status W | | | | | IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| | DSED REJECT | t provide sufficient justification | on to support the | e suagested remedy. | Implem | ent suggested | remedy with editorial license. | | |
| | | . , | | | CI 73 | SC 73.10.2 | P 142 | L13 | # 444 |
| | tability was disc plenary meeting | cussed during resolution of c | omment # 261 a | against draft D1.4 at the | Ran, Adee | | Cisco System | IS | |
| | | rg/3/dj/comments/D1p4/8023 | dj_D1p4_comm | ents_final_clause.pdf | Comment 7 | ype TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) AN timeout |
| limit the | e AN DME trans | at the March meeting and i smitted voltage to 1000 mV r | | | minimu | m time to retry | | · | es an unacceptably long |
| | e PHY." | | | | | | aster restart of AN was presen | | |
| 73 | SC 73.6.2.4 | P134 | L1 | # 477 | | | | | |
| lavick, Jef | ff | Broadcom | | | | 0 1 1 | to clause 73 appear on slide | 7 of ran_3dj_ | 02a_2505. |
| Comment T | | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Suggested | - | | | |
| table in | serts itself in th | p on the next page which is t e middle of list. | ine, but the next | t section begins first and | 73 per | slide 7 of ran_3 | 9.1.1 from the base standard dj_02a_2505, with editorial lic 73.9.1.1 and 73.10.2, Table 7 | ense. | It the changes to clause |
| uggested Con vo | , | e to occur before the next su | h soction? | | Proposed F | Response | Response Status W | , | |
| | | | D-Section: | | PROPO | DSED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| | DSED ACCEPT | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license | | | | | following presentation and CF rg/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_(| | |
| 73 | SC 73.6.2.5 | P133 | L 50 | # 440 | [Editor | s note: CC 45, | 119, 172, 175] | | |
| Ran, Adee | 00 75.0.2.5 | Cisco Syster | | # 440 | | | | | |
| Comment T | Tvpe T | Comment Status D | 115 | (Logic) (bucket) | | | | | |
| "FEC c | apability (F4, F2 | 2, F3, F0, F1) is encoded in l ode requests, rather than ca | | | | | | | |
| Suggested | Remedv | • | | | | | | | |
| 00 | e to "FEC capat | pility and request bits (F4, F2 | , F3, F0, F1) are | e encoded in bits | | | | | |
| Proposed R | Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | |
| • | DSED ACCEPT | • | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ed ER/editorial required GR spatched A/accepted R/reje | | d T/technical E/editorial G/g | | Ll/upoptiofied | C/ 73 Z/withdrawn SC 73 | | Page 16 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 |

| CI 73A | SC 73A.1a | P 657 | L 6 | # 42 |
|--------------|-----------|------------------|------------|------------------------|
| Lusted, Kent | t | Synopsys | | |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) AN host types |

There are now three CR host loss classes for 200 Gb/s per lane PHYs: HL, HN, HH. For interoperability, a host needs to know the host loss class of the partner to determine if the two host end points can support the inserted cable assemble. The local CR host knows apriori of its host class. The local host also can access the cable assemble class via management means such as CMIS contents inside the plug end. However, the partner's host class remains elusive.

Contribution planned for July session.

SuggestedRemedy

Define two new bits in the Extended FEC and Technology Ability Message code link codeword in location D42:43 as "CR Host Class for 200 Gb/s per lane PHYs". Abbreviated EH0:1

D42 D43 Class

- 0 0 Host Nominal HN
- 0 1 Host Loss HL
- 1 0 Host High HH
- 1 1 Reserved

change the second paragraphs as follows:

"Extended Technology Ability bits EA0:EA27 map to bits D16:D41 (U0:U25), CR Host Class for 200 Gb/s per lane PHYS D42:D43 (U26:U27) and Extended FEC capability bits EF0:EF3 map to bits D44:D47 (U28:31). Reserved fields are sent as zero and ignored on receive."

Update Table 73A-1a appropriately.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In support of this comment, the following contribution was presented to the "Joint logic/optical/electrical ad hoc" on the 26th June:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/adhoc/optics/0625_OPTX/lusted_3dj_adhoc_01a_2506 26.pdf

Implement the changes outlined on slides 7 and 8 of lusted_3dj_adhoc_01a_250626 with editorial license.

| C/ 116 | SC 116.1.4 | P148 | L 1 | # 232 |
|-----------|------------|------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Huber, Th | omas | Nokia | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT PHY tables |

ILT is mandatory for 200G/lane PHYs and AUIs. 178B appears in the tables in the 200G/lane PMD clauses as Required. As such, it should appear in the tables in the introduction as well

SuggestedRemedy

Update Table 116-3 to show that 178B is conditionally required (based on whether 200G AUIs are used), 116-3aa so show that 178B is mandatory, 116-3a o show it as conditional, 116-3b to show it as mandatory, 116-4 to show it as conditional,116-4a to show it as mandatory, 116-5 to show it as conditional, and 116-5a to show it as mandatory. There may be older 200G and 400G PMD clauses that also need to be updated to indicate the optional use of the 200G/lane AUIs and conditional use of ILT

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Comment #233 addresses the same concern in Clause 169.

Unlike other clauses listed in the these tables, Annex 178B defines functionality within a PMD sublayer or an AUI component.

ILT might be defined uniquely within each clause/annex that uses it or (as we have done in the past) or it might be defined in a common location and referenced from each clause or annex that needs it.

For past generations of CR and KR PHYs, link training was defined either in the CR or KR clause and referenced from the other clause. We did not need to reference it from the tables in the introduction clauses.

For the tables in 116 and 168, since ILT is defined for 200 Gb/s per lane AUIs and a these AUIs may be used in a physical layer implementation with 100 Gb/s or lower per lane PMD we would have to list Annex 178B in every clause table in clauses 116 and 169 and the context would have to be clearly layed out.

Also, note that we do not in practice reference subsidiary clauses/annexes in these tables, e.g., the annexes that define COM for AUIs and electrical PMDs in Annex 93A and 178A. Furthermore, the context of ILT is rather muddy as it could be the exchange of information between link partners on an ISL or it could mean the coordination of ISL along path using in-band signaling, or both.

Several comments against Annex 178B are proposing clarity and terminology for these two cases. Once these comments are addressed it may be more obvious how to address this comment.

For CRG discussion.

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4

| C/ 116 | SC 116.1.4 | P148 | L 6 | # 728 | C/ 116 | SC 116.1.4 | P148 | B L 26 | # 730 |
|----------------------|--|--|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Dawe, Pier | rs | Nvidia | | | Dawe, Pier | S | Nvidia | | |
| comment [·] | Type E | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Comment | Гуре Т | Comment Status | D | (Common) (bucket) |
| | -> two or four | | | | | | I PMA is shown as con- nat's not to do with the | | needed if one wants a |
| uggested | - | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| Chang PHY ty | | correlation (200GBASE coppe | r with 2 or 4 lar | nes) | Chang | e C to O and/or | revise the footnote. Al | so in 116-3a 4 and 9 | 5. |
| to | | (000000000 | <i>.</i> | | Proposed I | Response | Response Status | w | |
| | /pe and clauses milarly for other t | (200GBASE copper with two tables | or four lanes) | | PROP | OSED REJECT | | | |
| Proposed I | | Response Status W | | | | | optional. It is mandator | | |
| | OSED REJECT. | • | | | | UI-1 C2C of C2 UI-1 C2C of C2 | M) and not required at M). | all given other cond | itions (e.g., there is no |
| The st | yle guide allows | some flexibility especially allo | | | | | , | | " [100 |
| | | consistent with the title of Figu words: "Table 116–5—PHY to | | | C/ 116 | SC 116.1.4 | P149 | 9 <i>L</i> 34 | # 162 |
| | with 4, 8, or 16 | - | po ana oladoo | | Huber, Tho | | Nokia | _ | |
| 2/ 116 | SC 116.1.4 | P148 | L10 | # 729 | Comment T | | Comment Status | - | (Common) (bucket) |
| Dawe, Pier | | Nvidia | 210 | # 125 | | | n Table 116-3a are inco n is clause 73 rather tha | | be the left-most column. |
| comment | | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | (the tex | t was correct ir | | | s were introduced here in |
| | | PMA below any SM PMA | | | 802.3d | ,, | | | |
| | | | | | Suggested | | | | |
| Suggested | - | between 119 and 120. Also | in 116-32 / 20 | 15 | • | | I swap the order of the | first two columns so | o 73 comes first. |
| | | | III 110-5a 4 and | 10. | Proposed F | • | Response Status | W | |
| PROP | Response OSED REJECT. | | | | - | | IN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with editoria | al license. | |
| | | er diagram, but rather as state nd clauses. It is therefore relev | | | C/ 116 | SC 116.2.9 | P15 | 5 L35 | # 731 |
| | | particular subjective rule. Ther | | | Dawe, Pier | s | Nvidia | | |
| | | ed other than that proposed by accuracy or clarity of the stand | | r. The proposed change | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status | D | (Common) (bucket) |
| | | | | | be ISL [*] remove | T. However, the ed, and optical F | e "IS_" in the primitives | has outlived its use one would recognis | er link (178B), this would fulness and should be a as training, even if there |
| | | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| | | | | | Find a | better name for | this, such as ISS (inter | r-sublayer startup), o | or remove 178B. |
| | | | | | Proposed I | Response | Response Status | w | |
| | | | | | The ac feature | for many PMD | I ILT are sufficient as th | nex 178B would not | ndatory and necessary be an acceptable way to |
| COMMENT | T STATUS: D/dis | ed ER/editorial required GR/s | | | | U/unsatisfied | | C/ 116 SC 116.2.9 | Page 18 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 |

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 116.2.9 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

| C/ 116 | SC 11 | 6.2.9 | P155 | L37 | | # 732 | | C/ 116 | SC 11 | |
|------------------|---|----------------|--|----------------|--------------|------------------|--------|-----------------|---------------------------|--|
| Dawe, Pie | ers | | Nvidia | | | | | Dawe, Pie | ers | |
| Comment | Туре Т | rr C | omment Status D | | (Comm | on) ILT termir | nology | Comment | Type 1 | |
| | , | | rgon: inter-sublayer lir | , I | · • • | | Also | is supported by | | |
| • | I suspect that "transmitter states, receiver states" misuse "transmitter" "receiver". | | | | | | | SuggestedRemedy | | |
| Suggestee | | | | | | | | These | PHY type | |
| Rewrit 174.2. | | h approprait | e references, or remo | ve 178B. Sim | ilarly in e. | g. 169.2.10, | | Also ir | n 169.2.10 | |
| | | _ | | | | | | Proposed | Response | |
| Proposed | | | esponse Status W | | | | | | POSED AC | |
| | | CEPT IN P | RINCIPLE. ms used in the subcla | use that are d | efined onl | v in Annex 17 | 78R | | hat ILT is ve using th | |
| | | | me clarification would | | | | 00 | Reson | ve using i | |
| | | | erences to transmitter | | | | | C/ 116 | SC 11 | |
| | ed in the re rm "DATA | | nex 178B. Comment | #191 propose | s a specif | ic qualification | n to | Huber, Th | omas | |
| | | | n 116.2.9 to the follow | ving: | | | | Comment | Type 1 | |
| and co | oordinates | 0. | ILT) facilitates the ord of a series of ISLs al | , , | | , | · · · | | supported that supp | |
| in 178 Undat | |) and 174 2 | 12 in a similar way. | | | | | Suggested | dRemedy | |
| | | editorial lice | | | | | | If the i | intent is to | |
| C/ 116 | SC 11 | 6 2 0 | P155 | L 42 | | # 163 | | | cate PHY: | |
| | | 0.2.9 | | L 42 | | # 103 | | | LT is supp Y that uses | |
| Huber, Th | | | Nokia | | | | | Proposed | | |
| Comment | | - | omment Status D | | , | A/TRAINING | | • | POSED AC | |
| | | | mode" is intended to | | | | | - | ve usina tł | |

While it is clear what "DATA mode" is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, that term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended here (see 1.4.278) Annex 178B.5 indicates that in the context of ILT, "data mode" means the variable tx_mode has the value 'data', which is associated with being in the PATH_UP state per figure 178B-8. As such, it would be more clear if the text in 116.2.9 referred to the PATH_UP state.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #732.

| C/ 116 | SC 116.2.9 | P 155 | L 44 | # 733 |
|---|---|--|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Dawe, Pie | rs | Nvidia | | |
| Comment is supp | <i>Type</i> TR ported by - yuk | Comment Status D | 5mm | on) ILT description type |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| | PHY types include 169.2.10 and 17 | de an ILT sublayer: /4.2.12. | | |
| - · | _ | | | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉 | | |
| PROP Note ti | OSED ACCEPT | • | ction within a PM | D or AUI component. |
| PROP Note the Resolv | OSED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. Jblayer, but rather it is a fund | ction within a PM | D or AUI component. # 164 |
| PROP Note the Resolv | OSED ACCEPT hat ILT is not a su ve using the respo SC 116.2.9 | IN PRINCIPLE. Jblayer, but rather it is a func onse to comment #53. | | |
| PROP Note ti Resolv <i>Cl</i> 116 Huber, Th | OSED ACCEPT hat ILT is not a surve using the respo SC 116.2.9 omas | IN PRINCIPLE. ublayer, but rather it is a func- onse to comment #53. P155 | L 45 | |
| PROP Note th Resolv C/ 116 Huber, Th Comment ILT is | OSED ACCEPT hat ILT is not a surve using the respo SC 116.2.9 omas Type T | IN PRINCIPLE. Jublayer, but rather it is a func- onse to comment #53. P155 Nokia Comment Status D PHY that uses a 200GAUI- | L 45 omm | # 164 |

If the intent is to list the PMDs that support ILT, change 'PHY' to 'PMD'. If the intent was to indicate PHYs that can support ILT, replace the sentence that introduces the dashed list with "ILT is supported by any 200GBASE-R PHY that uses a 200GAUI-1. any 400GBASE-R PHY that uses a 400GAUI-2, or any PHY that uses one of the following PMD types:"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #53.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 116 SC 116.2.9 Page 19 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| C/ 116 | SC 116.2.9 | P155 | L155 | # 53 | C/ 116 | SC 116.3.2 | P156 | L 48 | # 8 |
|--|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------|---|---|--------------|--------------------|
| D'Ambrosia | a, John | Futurewei, U.S | 6. Subsidiary of | Huawei | Marris, Art | hur | Cadence Des | sign Systems | |
| Comment T | Type TR | Comment Status D | ommo | on) ILT description types | Comment | Type E | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) |
| | | enly notes ILT for PHY types s | | | Striket | hrough and und | erlining not correct on line 48 | | |
| | | ort ILT if using 200Gb/s based ed on a 200 Gb/s AUI is used. | AUIS of the phy | sical layer can support | Suggestea | lRemedy | | | |
| | | for 169.2.10, and 174.2.12 | | | | | d strike throughs to indicate o | | |
| SuggestedF | Remedy | | | | | | igure 116–2 through Figure 1 derline "through Figure 116–3 | | strikethrough "and |
| | ent language o | | | | Proposed | | Response Status W | | |
| https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/adhoc/electrical/25_0605/dambrosia_3dj_elec_02_2506 05.pdf with editorial license for each of the subclauses noted. | | | osia_3dj_elec_02_2506 | • | | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | |
| Proposed R | | Response Status W | | | Implen | nent the sugges | ted remedy with editorial licer | nse. | |
| , PROPC | , DSED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | C/ 116 | SC 116.3.2 | P157 | L 6 | # 672 |
| The sug followin | 00 | y appears to reference the inco | orrect URL. The | correct URL is like the | Dawe, Pie | rs | Nvidia | | |
| | 0 | rg/3/dj/public/adhoc/electrical/2 | 25_0605/dambro | osia_3dj_elec_01_2506 | Comment | Туре Е | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket |
| 05.pdf | | | · | Primitives for other instances, of inter-sublayer interfaces, are | | | | | |
| | | 64 addresses this same conce ed remedy is an improvement t | | r comments propose | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| to bette | er explain the co | ontexts of ILT which may requ | | | 00 | any commas | | | |
| to bette remedy | er explain the co | | | | 00 | • | Response Status W | | |
| to bette remedy For CR | er explain the co /. G discussion. | ontexts of ILT which may requ | ire the an evolu | tion of the suggested | Too m Proposed PROP | Response OSED ACCEP1 | , IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| to bette remedy For CR 7 116 | G discussion. SC 116.3.2 | P156 | | | Too m Proposed PROP Remov | Response OSED ACCEPT ve both commas | , IN PRINCIPLE. s using appropriate editorial m | nark-up. | |
| to bette remedy For CR 7 116 Dawe, Piers | r explain the co /. G discussion. SC 116.3.2 s | ontexts of ILT which may requ P156 Nvidia | ire the an evolu | tion of the suggested # 671 | Too m Proposed PROP Remov | Response OSED ACCEP1 | , IN PRINCIPLE. s using appropriate editorial m | nark-up. | |
| to bette remedy For CR 7 116 Dawe, Piers Comment T | r explain the co /. G discussion. SC 116.3.2 s fype T | ontexts of ILT which may requ P156 Nvidia Comment Status D | ire the an evolu | # <u>671</u> (Common) (bucket) | Too m Proposed PROP Remov | Response OSED ACCEPT ve both commas | , IN PRINCIPLE. s using appropriate editorial m | nark-up. | |
| to bette remedy For CR C/ 116 Dawe, Piers Comment T Now that | r explain the co G discussion. SC 116.3.2 s Fype T at we are used | ontexts of ILT which may requ P156 Nvidia | ire the an evolu | # <u>671</u> (Common) (bucket) | Too m Proposed PROP Remov | Response OSED ACCEPT ve both commas | , IN PRINCIPLE. s using appropriate editorial m | ark-up. | |
| to bette remedy For CR C/ 116 Dawe, Piers Comment T Now the Suggested | r explain the co G discussion. SC 116.3.2 s Type T at we are used Remedy | P 156 P 156 Nvidia Comment Status D to these generic primitives, the | <i>L</i> 14 <i>L</i> 14 For IS_ is redunda | # 671 (Common) (bucket) | Too m Proposed PROP Remov | Response OSED ACCEPT ve both commas | , IN PRINCIPLE. s using appropriate editorial m | nark-up. | |
| to bette remedy For CR C/ 116 Dawe, Piers Comment T Now the Suggested | r explain the co G discussion. SC 116.3.2 s Type T at we are used Remedy e it, so that we | ontexts of ILT which may requ P156 Nvidia Comment Status D | <i>L</i> 14 <i>L</i> 14 For IS_ is redunda | # 671 (Common) (bucket) | Too m Proposed PROP Remov | Response OSED ACCEPT ve both commas | , IN PRINCIPLE. s using appropriate editorial m | nark-up. | |
| to bette remedy For CR C/ 116 Dawe, Piers Comment T Now the SuggestedF Remove request | r explain the co G discussion. SC 116.3.2 s <i>Type</i> T at we are used <i>Remedy</i> e it, so that we t. | P 156 P 156 Nvidia Comment Status D to these generic primitives, the | <i>L</i> 14 <i>L</i> 14 For IS_ is redunda | # 671 (Common) (bucket) | Too m Proposed PROP Remov | Response OSED ACCEPT ve both commas | , IN PRINCIPLE. s using appropriate editorial m | ark-up. | |
| to bette remedy For CR C/ 116 Dawe, Piers Comment T Now the SuggestedF Remove request Proposed R PROPC | Fr explain the co G discussion. SC 116.3.2 S Fype T at we are used Remedy e it, so that we t. Response DSED REJECT | P 156 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D to these generic primitives, the have e.g. PMA:UNITDATA_i.r <i>Response Status</i> W | <i>L</i> 14 <i>L</i> 14 IS_ is redunda equest. This ma | # <u>671</u> (<i>Common</i>) (<i>bucket</i>) Int ay need a maintenance | Too m Proposed PROP Remov | Response OSED ACCEPT ve both commas | , IN PRINCIPLE. s using appropriate editorial m | nark-up. | |
| to bette remedy For CR C/ 116 Dawe, Piers Comment T Now the SuggestedF Removi request Proposed R PROPC The "IS | Fr explain the control of the contro | P156 P156 Nvidia Comment Status D to these generic primitives, the have e.g. PMA:UNITDATA_i.r Response Status W | <i>L</i> 14 <i>L</i> 14 e IS_ is redunda equest. This ma | # 671 (<i>Common</i>) (<i>bucket</i>) Int ay need a maintenance | Too m Proposed PROP Remov | Response OSED ACCEPT ve both commas | , IN PRINCIPLE. s using appropriate editorial m | nark-up. | |
| to bette remedy For CR C/ 116 Dawe, Piers Comment T Now the Suggested Remove request Proposed R PROPO The "IS Althoug | Fr explain the co G discussion. SC 116.3.2 S Type T at we are used Remedy e it, so that we t. Response DSED REJECT S_" prefix on the gh it is not strict | P 156 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D to these generic primitives, the have e.g. PMA:UNITDATA_i.r <i>Response Status</i> W | <i>L</i> 14 <i>L</i> 14 e IS_ is redunda equest. This ma n multiple genera points out, it do | # 671 (Common) (bucket) (Common) (bucket) (ations of PHY types. es provide extra | Too m Proposed PROP Remov | Response OSED ACCEPT ve both commas | , IN PRINCIPLE. s using appropriate editorial m | nark-up. | |
| to bette remedy For CR Cl 116 Dawe, Piers Comment T Now tha SuggestedF Remove request Proposed R PROPC The "IS Althoug informa Etherne | Fr explain the co G discussion. SC 116.3.2 S Type T at we are used Remedy e it, so that we t. Response DSED REJECT S_" prefix on the ph it is not strict thiton. Within thi et. Making char | P156 P156 Nvidia Comment Status D to these generic primitives, the have e.g. PMA:UNITDATA_i.r Response Status W See primitives is consistent with ly necessary, as the comment is project it is not possible to ch ages for 1.6T would make the r | <i>L</i> 14 <i>L</i> 14 e IS_ is redunda equest. This ma n multiple genera points out, it do hange this for 20 harming inconsist | # 671 (Common) (bucket) (Common) (bucket) Int ay need a maintenance ations of PHY types. es provide extra 10G, 400G, or 800G tent and would | Too m Proposed PROP Remov | Response OSED ACCEPT ve both commas | , IN PRINCIPLE. s using appropriate editorial m | nark-up. | |
| to bette remedy For CR Cl 116 Dawe, Piers Comment T Now that SuggestedF Remove request Proposed R PROPC The "IS Althoug informa Ethernet therefor | Fr explain the co G discussion. SC 116.3.2 S Type T at we are used Remedy e it, so that we t. Response DSED REJECT S_" prefix on the ph it is not strict thiton. Within thi et. Making char | P156 P156 Nvidia Comment Status D to these generic primitives, the have e.g. PMA:UNITDATA_i.r Response Status W See primitives is consistent with ly necessary, as the comment is project it is not possible to ch ages for 1.6T would make the r problems than it solves. The p | <i>L</i> 14 <i>L</i> 14 e IS_ is redunda equest. This ma n multiple genera points out, it do hange this for 20 harming inconsist | # 671 (Common) (bucket) (Common) (bucket) Int ay need a maintenance ations of PHY types. es provide extra 10G, 400G, or 800G tent and would | Too m Proposed PROP Remov | Response OSED ACCEPT ve both commas | , IN PRINCIPLE. s using appropriate editorial m | nark-up. | |
| to bette remedy For CR Cl 116 Dawe, Piers Comment T Now that SuggestedF Remove request Proposed R PROPC The "IS Althoug informa Ethernet therefor | ar explain the co G discussion. SC 116.3.2 S Type T at we are used Remedy e it, so that we t. Response DSED REJECT S_" prefix on the bh it is not strict ation. Within thi et. Making char re cause more | P156 P156 Nvidia Comment Status D to these generic primitives, the have e.g. PMA:UNITDATA_i.r Response Status W See primitives is consistent with ly necessary, as the comment is project it is not possible to ch ages for 1.6T would make the r problems than it solves. The p | <i>L</i> 14 <i>L</i> 14 e IS_ is redunda equest. This ma n multiple genera points out, it do hange this for 20 harming inconsist | # 671 (Common) (bucket) (Common) (bucket) Int ay need a maintenance ations of PHY types. es provide extra 10G, 400G, or 800G tent and would | Too m Proposed PROP Remov | Response OSED ACCEPT ve both commas | , IN PRINCIPLE. s using appropriate editorial m | nark-up. | |

C/ 116 SC 116.3.2

comments.

| C/ 116 | SC 1 | 16.3.3.3.1 | P161 | L 4 | # 165 |
|------------|------|------------|------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| Huber, The | omas | | Nokia | | |
| Comment | Туре | ER | Comment Status D | | (bucket) ILT service interface |

The text regarding the values of the SIGNAL_OK parameter is not sufficiently clear in a number of aspects. As the first paragraph states, IN_PROGRESS and READY are only supported if ILT is supported. The paragraphs about the OK and FAIL values refer to "if the service interface supports the values IN_PROGRESS and READY", which is needlessly complex wording; the condition is more succinctly expresed as "if ILT is supported", rather than if the states that ILT uses are supported. Further, since the meanings of OK and FAIL are different depending on whether ILT is used, instead of saying 'here are four values of SIGNAL_OK', and embedding in those definitions the details of whether ILT is used or not, it would be more clear to say 'SIGNAL_OK has these values if ILT is used, and these values if ILT is not used'.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the second through fifth paragraphs with this text (text spills beyond the bottom of the cell):

If ILT is not used:

A value of OK indicates that communication with the next lower sublayer is established (but does not guarantee that valid data is being presented to the next higher sublayer).

A value of FAIL indicates that the sublayer has not established commuication to the next lower sublayer, and data is not being presented to the next higher sublayer (the rx_symbol parameters are undefined).

If ILT is used:

A value of OK indicates that valid data is being presented by the sublayer to the next higher sublayer in the rx_symbol parameters.

A value of READY indicates that communication is established with the next lower sublayer but communication with the peer interface is not fully established yet. The rx_symbol parameters presented to the next higher sublayer do not respresent traffic data and might be invalid. Management intervention is not required.

A value of IN_PROGRESS indicates that the sublayer is establishing communication with the next lower subalyer. Data is not being presented by the sublayer to the next higher sublayer (the rx_symbol parameters are unspecified). Management intervention.is not required.

A value of FAIL indicates that an attempt to communicate with the next lower sublayer has failed. Data is not being presented to the next higher sublayer (rx_symbol parameters are unspecified)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Note that this comment is proposing to rearrange the text so that it is easier to parse. The proposed changes are an improvement to the clarity of the draft.

Some of the details, such as the context of ILT, might be affected by resolution of other D2.0 comments.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license with consideration of other related

| | SC 116.3.3. | 3.1 <i>P</i> 161 | L16 | # 673 |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| Dawe, Pier | rs | Nvidia | | |
| Comment commu | 51 | Comment Status D | ILT | service interface (bucket, |
| Suggested | | n, not with. Needs clarificatio | n. | |
| Proposed I PROP | Response OSED REJEC1 | Response Status W | | |
| | lue okay indica with" is appropr | tes a two-way communicatior iate. | ns with the other | sublayer is established. |
| C/ 116 | SC 116.5 | P167 | L 32 | # 457 |
| Slavick, Je | eff | Broadcom | | |
| Comment | Type E | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) |
| | | A types that do odd lane skew of using multiple "or" options. | | it's a comma |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| | | | | |
| PHY in To: "by | cludes any of t the 200GBAS | BASE-R 1:8 or 8:1 PMA or 40 hese PMA types." E-R 1:8 PMA, 200GBASE-R & MA if the PHY includes any of | 8:1 PMA, 400G | BASE-R 2:16 PMA and |
| PHY in To: "by 400GB | cludes any of t the 200GBAS ASE-R 16:2 PM | hese PMA types." E-R 1:8 PMA, 200GBASE-R ≀ MA if the PHY includes any of | 8:1 PMA, 400G | BASE-R 2:16 PMA and |
| PHY in To: "by 400GB Proposed I PROP | icludes any of t the 200GBAS ASE-R 16:2 PI Response OSED ACCEP ⁻ | hese PMA types." E-R 1:8 PMA, 200GBASE-R 8 | 8:1 PMA, 400Gl these PMA type | BASE-R 2:16 PMA and |
| PHY in To: "by 400GB Proposed I PROP | icludes any of t the 200GBAS ASE-R 16:2 PI Response OSED ACCEP ⁻ | hese PMA types." E-R 1:8 PMA, 200GBASE-R 6 MA if the PHY includes any of <i>Response Status</i> W T IN PRINCIPLE. | 8:1 PMA, 400Gl these PMA type | BASE-R 2:16 PMA and |
| PHY in To: "by 400GB Proposed I PROPO Implem CI 116 | Includes any of t the 200GBAS BASE-R 16:2 PM Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugges SC 116.5 | hese PMA types." E-R 1:8 PMA, 200GBASE-R & MA if the PHY includes any of <i>Response Status</i> W T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editorial lice | 8:1 PMA, 400Gl these PMA type nse. | BASE-R 2:16 PMA and s. " |
| PHY in To: "by 400GB Proposed I PROPO Implen | Includes any of t (the 200GBAS (ASE-R 16:2 P) Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugges SC 116.5 Iff | hese PMA types." E-R 1:8 PMA, 200GBASE-R 8 MA if the PHY includes any of <i>Response Status</i> W T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editorial lice P167 | 8:1 PMA, 400Gl these PMA type nse. | BASE-R 2:16 PMA and es. " # <u>456</u> |
| PHY in To: "by 400GB Proposed I PROPO Implem C/ 116 Slavick, Je Comment Footno | icludes any of t the 200GBAS ASE-R 16:2 PN Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugges SC 116.5 off Type ER | hese PMA types." E-R 1:8 PMA, 200GBASE-R & MA if the PHY includes any of <i>Response Status</i> W T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editorial lice <i>P</i> 167 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> D anot underlined. The new reference | 8:1 PMA, 400Gl these PMA type nse. <i>L</i> 32 | BASE-R 2:16 PMA and as. " # <u>456</u> (Common) (bucket, |
| PHY in To: "by 400GB Proposed I PROPU Implem Cl 116 Slavick, Je Comment Footno | Activities any of t to the 200GBAS ASE-R 16:2 Pt Response OSED ACCEP nent the sugges SC 116.5 off Type ER off ER off D is new but priately underline | hese PMA types." E-R 1:8 PMA, 200GBASE-R & MA if the PHY includes any of <i>Response Status</i> W T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editorial lice <i>P</i> 167 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> D anot underlined. The new reference | 8:1 PMA, 400Gl these PMA type nse. <i>L</i> 32 | BASE-R 2:16 PMA and as. " # <u>456</u> (Common) (bucket, |
| PHY in To: "by 400GB Proposed I PROPU Implem Cl 116 Slavick, Je Comment Footno approp Suggested | Includes any of t ty the 200GBAS BASE-R 16:2 PM Response OSED ACCEP Internet the sugges SC 116.5 Internet SC 116.5 Internet SC 116.5 Internet SC 116.5 Internet SC 116.5 Internet SC 116.5 Internet In | hese PMA types." E-R 1:8 PMA, 200GBASE-R & MA if the PHY includes any of <i>Response Status</i> W T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editorial lice <i>P</i> 167 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> D anot underlined. The new reference | 8:1 PMA, 400Gi these PMA type nse. <i>L</i> 32 erences in the N | BASE-R 2:16 PMA and bs. " # <u>456</u> (Common) (bucket) |

Page 21 of 184

7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 116

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 116.5

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 116.5
 SC
 116.5

| C/ 116 | SC 116.5 | P168 | L 9 | # 674 | C/ 119 | SC 119.2.4.1 | P 174 | L 27 | # 339 |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|---------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| Dawe, Pie | rs | Nvidia | | | Zimmerma | n, George | ADI,APLgp,C | isco,Marvell,On | Semi,Sony |
| | GBd PMD lar | Comment Status D ne lane signaling rate | | (Common) (bucket) | | - this comment a | Comment Status D so applies to the same state g text, which correctly descr | ement in 192.2.5 | |
| Also in Proposed PROP The co | GBd lane a Table 169-6. <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEF omment is poir red) are releva | at lane signaling rate (3 times, p Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. Iting out that the columns and r Int to AUI lanes as well as PMD | elated footnote | s (113.4375 GBd | BEHA) "using specify implem this is "alterna comme a state | /IOR specified in the state-diagram an implementation entation (includir a descriptive state ative stateless en ent on that). I car less encoding, bu | ced by improper text which i 802.3 is an IMPLEMENTAT encoder" and "using the al- on, not a behavior. IEEE St g magic) that produces the ement, not a shall. If you fix coder" stuff, which I presum n understand that it may be it that behavior is without a ment that this describes, app | FION. ternative statele to 802.3 specifie same behavior to the language, y he produces the useful to also de requirement tyin | ss encoder"- would s behaviors. Any is acceptable. I note rou don't need all that same output. (see next escribe the behavior as g to it. |
| [Editor | 's note: CC: 1 | | nse. | | standa markei | rd, not modified), lock, PCS synch | where it says "The PCS sha ronization, Transmit, and Re s 119-14 and 119-15 are the | all perform the fu eceive as specif | unctions of alignment ied in the respective |
| / 119 | SC 119.2.1 | | L 9 | # 675 | respec | tively). | | | - |
| Dawe, Pie Comment data-u | Туре Е | Nvidia Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Also, if the sta | you do this, the a te diagram and the | needs to be augmented with alternative stateless encode ere is no scope issue I can are considered implementat | r/decoder just be see that would I | ecomes a description of limit the phy types. |
| , | nits Response | Response Status W | | | same r differer | esult. If they don | remedy is written assuming 't then there is an interopera of "stateless decoder" and | ability issue and | the option and |
| | | published draft in the context c | | | | | defect exists, uncaught in Il eed to be addressed there ir | | f. When this is properly |
| use a | ata units whe | leas other clauses use data-u | nits . Clause i | 19 uses data-units. | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| | | ice of 119.2.1 change "data uni 119.2.1, and with the rest of su | | | Replac "The sa 119.2.4 Delete | te the strikeout of the lines 31 through ame encoding is of 1.1.2 but will be 1 119.2.4.1.1 head | P174 L27 through 30. n 50 ("The transmit PCS" described as a stateless en 19.2.4.1.1 after these edits) ing and contents 1.2 (now 119.2.4.1.1) to Stat | ncoder in 119.2.4 | I.1.1." (note this is now |
| | | | | | Move F Delete Replac "The sa | strikeout of P175 P176 L13&14 (boo header 119.2.5.8 e P175 L37 ("The ame decoding is o | dy text of 119.2.5.8.1) to P1 | P176 L6 (end of | |

| TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general | C/ 119 | Page 22 of 184 |
|---|--------------|---------------------|
| COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn | SC 119.2.4.1 | 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM |
| SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line | | |

| | ow 119.2.5.8.1) to State | | escoption. | C/ 119 | SC 119.2.4 | .1 | P 174 | L 33 | # 431 |
|---|--|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---|-------------------|--|
| 119.7.4.1 (Page 180) Delete o Change TF2 to "Transmit 64E | | | | Ran, Adee | | 0 | Cisco System | | |
| reference to 119.2.6.3, chang Delete TF3 row. 119.7.4.2 (page 181) Delete of | | eless decoder ro | w | | g the stateless | encoder/dec | nt Status D oder to only new l ne previously defir | PHYs is not requ | ateless encoder/decoder lired for interoperability, m functions. |
| Change row RF7 Feature to C 119.2.6.3, change status to M Delete RF8 row | | 19-14, subclause | e reference to | Additic | nally, the addi | tional wording | g makes interpreti | ng the standard r | more cumbersome. |
| | ponse Status W | | | for sup | | et metadata (| expected new proj | • | ready-defined PHYs point these non- |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR | - | | | Suggested | • | | | | |
| Resolve using the response to C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.1 | P174 | L 32 | # 584 | Delete used ir | the list of PHY | use the Clau | 1 and in 119.2.5.8 se 119 PCSs. | , to enable the s | tateless functions to be |
| Nicholl, Gary | Cisco System | | | Proposed I | | | e Status W | | |
| <i>,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | mment Status D | | ateless encoder/decoder | • | OSED ACCEF | | | | |
| Since the new stateless enco with the legacy state-diagram | | | | | e using the rea | | | | |
| types being defined in 802.3d | j. The stateless encode | | | C/ 119 | SC 119.2.4 | .1 | P174 | L 52 | # 67 |
| 200GBASE-R and 400GBASI | R PHY types. | | | Bruckman | Leon | | Nvidia | | |
| Same comment for the statel | ess decoder in 119.2.5 | .8. | | Comment | Type ER | Comme | nt Status D | | (Logic) (bucket |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Missin | g dot | | | | |
| Update the description in 119 stateless decoder, respecive types. | | | | S <i>uggested</i> Add a | | of the phrase | (after "payload") | | |
| Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR | - | | | Proposed I PROP | Response OSED ACCEF | | e Status W | | |
| Resolve using the response to | | L32 | # 676 | | | | | | |
| o 1 | P174 | L 3Z | # 070 | | | | | | |
| C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.1 | P 174 Nvidia | L 32 | # 070 | | | | | | |
| C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.1 Dawe, Piers | | - | # 070 | | | | | | |
| C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.1 Dawe, Piers | Nvidia <i>mment Status</i> D - there is only one kind | CS sta | ateless encoder/decoder | | | | | | |
| Cl 119 SC 119.2.4.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Co. alternative stateless encoder | Nvidia mment Status D - there is only one kind s encoder" | CS sta | ateless encoder/decoder | | | | | | |

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SC 119.2.4.1

CS stateless encoder/decoder

| C/ 119 | SC 119.2.4.1.2 | P174 | L17 | # 331 | |
|----------|----------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|--|
| Zimmerma | an, George | ADI,APLgp,C | isco,Marvell,OnS | Semi,Sony | |

Comment Type ER

Comment Status D

The description here for the stateless decoder - presumably meant to add clarity to the state diagram - leads the reader on a wandering trip through several places in IEEE Std 802.3 and adds more confusion than clarity. It is not a requirement, because the state diagram is a requirement, so it should be written for clarity, if at all. Note it took a long time to wind through this description - much longer than it was worth.

119.2.4.1.2 leads to 119.2.6.2.2 seemingly for a very short description of tx_raw, which could have been stated directly. Then it sends you to Table 172-1 for the mapping itself (which is still in 802.3df, not 802.3-2022), which has little content except to point to the function "ENCODE" in 172.2.6.2.3, which itself points to 119.2.6.2.3, which then says "the ENCODE function shall encode the block as specified in 119.2.3.", which is 9 subsections describing the 64B/65B encoding, and itself mostly points to 82.2.3.x (various subsections). When you're done, it is difficult to see exactly where the stateless encoding/decoding map ends up. If the stateless description is to provide clarity, it is lost on me. It appears to be largely teh mapping in 82.2.3, which could be pointed to directly, and any changes described directly.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text of 119.2.4.1.2 to read:

The stateless encoder generates 66-bit blocks based only on the current and preceding 200GMII/400GMII

transfers. Each 200GMII/400GMII transfer is mapped into a 72-bit vector tx_raw<71:0>, by placing TXC<0> thorough TXC<7> in tx_raw<0> through tx_raw<7>, respectively, and TXD<0> thorugh TXD<63> in tx_raw<8> through tx_raw<71>, respectively. The encoder uses the constants LBLOCK_T and EBLOCK_T and the variables reset, tx_raw, and tx_coded defined in 119.2.6.2.1. When reset is one, the encoder outputs the value of LBLOCK_T, and when an invalid block type is specified (see Table 172-1) it outputs EBLOCK_T. Otherwise the encoding follows 119.2.3, which uses the control codes and mappings specified in Table 82-1.

Similarly change text of 119.2.8.2 as above for the decoder.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #669.

| C/ 119 | SC 119.3.1 | P177 | L 20 | # 68 |
|-------------|------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| Bruckman, L | eon | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Ty | vpe TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) FEC bin counters |

Bin counters are defined for 1 to 15 errors, no bin for 0 errors. In 45.2.1.264 the PMA test block error bin counters are defined for 0 to 15.

SuggestedRemedy

Define the FEC codeword error bin counters to be 0 to 15 errors

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The existing PCS clauses 161 and 172 do not contain a FEC bin counter for 0 errors (bin_0). The FEC bin counters being added to clauses 119 and 175 follow the same approach (so that the FEC error reporting is consistent across all clauses using RS FEC). A FEC bin count for zero errors can be derived from the other counters as (total _cw - corrected_cw - uncorrected_cw).

| C/ 119 | SC 119.6 | P 178 | L19 | # 441 |
|------------|----------|------------------|-----|---------------------|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco Systems | | |
| Comment Ty | be TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) AN timeout |

The timeout for link_fail_inhibit_timer, minimum 60 seconds, creates an unacceptably long minimum time to retry AN.

A proposal to enable faster restart of AN was presented in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_02a_2505.pdf.

The changes proposed to clause 119 appear on slides 5-6 of ran_3dj_02a_2505.

SuggestedRemedy

Implement the changes to clause 119 per slides 5-6 of ran_3dj_02a_2505, with editorial license.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Pending review of the following presentation and CRG discussion. https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_02a_2505.pdf

[Editor's note: CC 45, 73, 172, 175]

C/ 119 SC 119.6

| C/ 120 | SC 120.1.4 | P 184 | L11 | # 677 | C/ 120F | SC 120F.1 | P663 | L 38 | # 573 |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Dawe, Piers | | Nvidia | | | Nicholl, Sh | nawn | AMD | | |
| Comment T | /pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | Туре Е | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) |
| Confusi | on between out | out and transmit side (possib | oly also in items | 5 and 6) | | | e 120F-1 Example 100GAU | | |
| SuggestedR | emedy | | | | | | C relationship to the ISO/IEC the IEEE 802.3 Ethernet mod | | |
| | the signaling direction for a | rate range for a PMA outp PMA" | out" to " the sign | aling rate range in the | | | enhanced with a more concise | | |
| Proposed R | esponse | Response Status W | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| For a Pl | | o an xAUI-n in the same "pa mit direction. The text is cori | | CS, the PMA output | INTER | FACE" with "Al | n of the legend, propose repla JI", replacing "MEDIA INDEP MEDIUM ATTACHMENT" wi | ENĎENT INTEF | |
| C/ 120F | SC 120F.1 | P 662 | L1 | # 539 | In the | right-hand colur | nn of the legend propose add | ling "AUI = ATT/ | ACHMENT UNIT |
| Levin, Itama | ar | Altera corp. | | | INTER | FACE", adding | "MII = MEDIA INDEPENDEN | | |
| Comment T | /pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | PHYS | | ATTACHMENT". | | |
| optional | TXEQ. There a | channel reach for C2C it ma re different TX tuning mecha | anisms in C2C a | ent to content with and C2M and also in the | | | es throughout P802.3dj (espe a similar manner. | cially in the Ann | exes) whose legend |
| function | al specifications | s (see 176C.3) which may ca | ause confusion. | | Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | |
| SuggestedR | | | | | - | OSED REJECT | | | |
| Align thi | s sub-clause wi | th annex 176C.3 functional s | specification | | | 120F-1 exists i UI-16 C2C. | n the base standard 802.3df | and was only mo | odified to add the new |
| Proposed R | esponse | Response Status W | | | - | | es (in 120F and elsewhere in | the draft) would | make the figures |
| Annex 1 In 802.3 | ck, the 1.6TAU | at 100 Gb/s per lane and wa I-16 C2C maximum IL recom | nmendation is 20 | 0 dB at 26.56 GHz | different from numerous similar figures in existing clauses, would require significant editorial work and would not substantically improve the clarity of the figure. Also, the suggested definitions for "AUI" and "MII" are inconsistent with existing definitions | | | | |
| This am | endment adds | ation is included in the elect a 16-lane interface, 1.6TAU | | | of thes respec | | 198 and 1.4.393, which are sp | Decific to 10 IVID/ | s and 100 Gb/s, |
| specifica | ations other that | n the width. | | | C/ 169 | SC 169.1.3 | P186 | L10 | # 678 |
| | | | | | Dawe, Pie | rs | Nvidia | | |
| | | | | | Comment | Туре Е | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) |
| | | | | | | s too long and v | they all are, it's in the text the vordy; it uses sentence consti | | |
| | | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| | | | | | Chang | e "800 Gb/s P⊦ | IY using" to "Uses" | | |
| | | | | | Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | |
| | | | | | - | OSED REJECT | - a complete definition of a PH | type. A signific | ant characteristic of the |

The reference text is a complete definition of a PHY type. A significant characteristic of the PHY type is that it supports 800 Gb/s data rate. The definition as written is consistent with many other definitions for previously defined PHY types of many different data rates.

| TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener | al required T/technical E/editorial G/general | C/ 169 | Page 25 of 184 |
|--|--|------------|---------------------|
| COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected | RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn | SC 169.1.3 | 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM |

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

| C/ 169 | SC 169.1 | .4 | P187 | L1 | # 233 | C/ 169 | SC | 169.2.4b | P 190 | L 3 | # 680 |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| luber, Tho | omas | | Nokia | | | Dawe, Pie | rs | | Nvidia | | |
| Comment T | Туре Т | Comment S | Status D | (| Common) ILT PHY tables | Comment | Туре | Е | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket, |
| | | r 200G/lane PHYs | | | | In the | title: FE | EC sublaye | r -> plural, or spell them out | | |
| | ane PMD cla ction as well | uses as Required | . As such, it sh | nould appear in | the tables in the | Suggested | Remed | dy | | | |
| Suggested | | | | | | 800GE | BASE-F | R Inner FEC | C, 800GBASE-LR1 Inner FE | C and 8000 | BASE-ER1 FEC sublayers |
| Update conditio (includi conditio update | e table 169-2 onal for the k ing FR4-500 onal for all P | and conditional for HYs. It may be ne | table 169-3 to or xR8. Updat ecessary to als | show 178B as e table 169-3a o update the Pl | R4 PHYs and mandatory for xR4 to include 178B as MD clauses that were v AUIs as optional and | The su define that th | OSED Ibclaus s a set ere are | REJECT. e defines a of two 8000 multiple ty | Response Status W general category of FEC su GAUI-n types. It is clear whe pes as listed in the suggeste bes not improve the clarity of | n reading t ed remedy. | he content of the subclause |
| Proposed F | | Response S | Status W | | | C/ 169 | SC | 169.2.9 | P190 | L 25 | # 57 |
| | OSED REJE | CT. esponse to comm | ont #222 | | | Jones, Ch | ad | | Cisco System | s, Inc. | |
| C/ 169 | SC 169.2 | | P189 | L 47 | # 679 | <i>Comment</i> Use of | <i>Type</i> "may". | E | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket |
| Dawe, Pier | rs | | Nvidia | | | Suggested | Remed | dv. | | | |
| Comment 1 | | Comment S | | | (Common) (bucket) | •• | | - | support" to "optionally suppo | rts" | |
| chip-to- *The* 8 | -chip (C2C) : 800GAUI-n C | achment Unit Inter and chip-to-modul 2C *is* specified i 2M *is* specified | e (C2M) implei in Annex 120F | mentations. and Annex 176 | | Proposed PROP | , | nse ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | |
| Suggestedl | | | | | | C/ 169 | SC | 169.2.10 | P 190 | L35 | # 681 |
| *An* 80 | 00 Gb/s Atta | chment Unit Interfa | ace (800GAUI | -n) 800GAL | II-n is defined for chip-to- | Dawe, Pie | rs | | Nvidia | | |
| Two typ | pes of 800G | o-to-module (C2M) AUI-n C2C are spe AUI-n C2M are | | | nnex 176C. | <i>Comment</i> ILT jar | • • | TR ain. | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT terminology |
| Proposed F | • | Response S | Status W | | | Suggested | Remed | dy | | | |
| | , OSED REJE | , | | | | See ar | n earlie | r comment | | | |
| The tex than "a | | to a particular typ | e, not an insta | ince, of an xGA | UI-n, thus "the" rather | Proposed | Respor | nse | Response Status W | | |
| The op | ening paragi ragraphs cle | aph clearly states ar indicate where o ges do not improv | one might find | the specificatio | ation types and the last ns. | - | | | N PRINCIPLE. nse to comment #732. | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 169 SC 169.2.10

thernet Initial Working Group ballot comme

| | | E P802.3dj D2.0 | 200 Gb/s, 40 | 00 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, and | d 1.6 Tb/s Eth |
|-----------|--|--|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| C/ 169 | SC 169.2.10 | P 190 | L 41 | # 166 | C/ 169 |
| Huber, Th | omas | Nokia | | | Huber, Tho |
| Comment | Type E | Comment Status D | mon | DATA/TRAINING mode | Comment T |
| term h | nas specific mean | ATA mode" is intended to m ing for 1000BASE-T PHYs th | hat differs from v | vhat is intended here | ILT is ir dashed |
| | | 8B.5 indicates that in the con ne value 'data', which is asso | | | Suggested |
| state | per figure 178B-8. ATH_UP state. | As such, it would be more of | | | If the in to indic with "IL |
| 00 | | transition to DATA mode." t | o "coordinate th | e transition to the | followin |
| | _UP state (see Fi | | | | Proposed F |
| ' | Response | Response Status W | | | PROPO Resolve |
| | POSED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | C/ 169 |
| C/ 169 | SC 169.2.10 | P190 | L 42 | # 297 | Maki, Jeffe |
| Brown, Ma | | Alphawave S | | | Comment 7 |
| Comment | | Comment Status D | | on) ILT description types | 800GB/ |
| ILT is | <i></i> | t in the PHYs, but also in the | | / / // | no reas receive entire li |
| Suggestee | dRemedy | | | | Suggested |
| | sical layer implem | entation supports ILT if any | | | Add 80 that cor |
| | ' | BASE-CR4, 800GBASE-DR 4, 800GBASE-LR4, 800GAL | , | | Proposed F |
| Updat | e 116.2.9 and 174 ment with editorial | 1.2.12 similarly. | n-4 C2C, 800GP | 101-4 CZIM. | PROPO ILT is n Howeve |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | one of t |
| | | | | | - |

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #53.

| C/ 169 | SC 169.2.10 | P 190 | L 43 | # 167 |
|------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Huber, The | omas | Nokia | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | omm | non) ILT description types |

in principle supported by any 800GBASE-R PHY that uses a 200G/lane AUI. The d list here is the PMDs that can support ILT.

Remedy

ntent is to list the PMDs that support ILT, change 'PHY' to 'PMD'. If the intent was cate PHYs that can support ILT, replace the sentence that introduces the dashed list LT is supported by any 800GBASE-R PHY that uses an 800GAUI-4 or one of the ng PMD types:"

| Proposed Response | Response Status W |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| PROPOSED ACCEPT II | N PRINCIPLE. |
| Resolve using the respo | nse to comment #53. |

| 1 69 S | SC 169.2.10 | P190 | L 52 | # 546 |
|---------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| aki, Jeffery | | Juniper Networks | 5 | |
| omment Type | e TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT coherent |

3ASE-LR1, 800GBASE-ER1-20, and 800GBASE-ER1 are missing in the list. There is son to exclude coherent PHY types from using ILT. They will benefit from optical er adaption and thus ability to receive Ready To Send signaling for the bring up of the link (PHY) as is the case for IMDD PHY types.

Remedy

00GBASE-LR1, 800GBASE-ER1-20, and 800GBASE-ER1 (See additional comments prrect missing mandatory ILT support for these PHY types.)

Response Response Status W

OSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

not defined for any of the PMD types listed in the suggested remedy. ver, the physical layer implementation using these PMD types might support ILT in the AUIs. This is resolved by the response to comment #53. Comment #418 and #419 propose to add some form of ILT to these PMD types. Pending resolution of #418 and #419.

C/ 169 SC 169.2.10

Page 27 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| C/ 169 SC | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---------------------------|---|--------------|------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| | C 169.3.2 | P 191 | L17 | # 682 | C/ 169 | SC 169.3.2 | P 193 | L 38 | # 564 |
| Dawe, Piers | | Nvidia | | | Nicholl, Sha | iwn | AMD | | |
| Comment Type | Е | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Comment T | уре Т | Comment Status D | | (Common) (buck |
| 0 | | HY 800GXS above isn't calle | ed the PMA serv | vice interface | | s no figure show | ving 800GBASE-R inter-subl | ayer service inte | erfaces including |
| SuggestedRem Insert comn | | | | | SuggestedF | - | | | |
| | D ACCEPT | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. | | | R Inner | | 00GBASE-R inter-sublayer s w figure "800GBASE-R inter | | |
| Add a comr | na between | "800GXS" and "above". | | | Proposed R | esponse | Response Status W | | |
| C/ 169 SC | C 169.3.2 | P 191 | L17 | # 563 | | SED REJECT | | | |
| Nicholl, Shawn | | AMD | | | | | e 191 line 26 points to Figure sublayer and the FEC servic | | |
| Comment Type | TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | 000000 | | | | 0. |
| Current text | : " betwee | n the Inner FEC or Segment | ed FEC, and the | e PMA. PCS" | | | | | |
| | ext: " betw | een the Inner FEC or 800GB | ASE-ER1 FEC | and the PMA, PCS" | | | | | |
| Proposed Resp PROPOSEI | onse D ACCEPT | een the Inner FEC or 800GB <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to commet #168. | ASE-ER1 FEC | and the PMA, PCS" | | | | | |
| Proposed Resp PROPOSEI Resolve usi | onse D ACCEPT | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. | L17 | and the PMA, PCS" # 168 | | | | | |
| Proposed Resp PROPOSEI Resolve usi Cl 169 SC | onse D ACCEPT ng the respo C 169.3.2 | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to commet #168. | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Resp PROPOSEI Resolve usi | onse D ACCEPT ng the respo C 169.3.2 | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to commet #168. P191 | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Resp PROPOSEI Resolve usi Cl 169 SC Huber, Thomas Comment Type While the E | onse D ACCEPT ng the respo C 169.3.2 E R1 FEC is a | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to commet #168. P191 Nokia | L 17 FEC, that term is | # 168 (Common) (bucket) sn't being used | | | | | |
| Proposed Resp PROPOSEI Resolve usi Cl 169 SC Huber, Thomas Comment Type While the E elsewhere in SuggestedRem | onse D ACCEPT ng the respo C 169.3.2 E R1 FEC is a n the text, so edy | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to commet #168. P191 Nokia Comment Status D an example of a segmented F | L 17 FEC, that term is | # 168 (Common) (bucket) sn't being used | | | | | |
| Proposed Resp PROPOSEI Resolve usi Cl 169 SC Huber, Thomas Comment Type While the E elsewhere in SuggestedRem | D ACCEPT ng the respo C 169.3.2 E R1 FEC is a n the text, so edy egmented FI | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to commet #168. P191 Nokia Comment Status D an example of a segmented R o probably better to call it the | L 17 FEC, that term is | # 168 (Common) (bucket) sn't being used | | | | | |

C/ 169 SC 169.3.2

| C/ 169 | SC 169.4 | P196 | L12 | # 341 | C/ 169 | SC | 169.5 | P 198 | L14 | # 169 | |
|---------|--|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|
| de Koos | Andras | Microchip Te | echnology | | Huber, Th | omas | | Nokia | | | |
| Commer | t Type T | Comment Status D | | (Common) PLI Delay | Comment | Туре | т | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | |
| reac | n - given the delay | pecifying the max delay cons s in the near-end and far-en | d physical layers | s, and given the buffer | In Figu FEC. | ures 16 | 9-4 and 1 | 69-5, it needs to be more cle | ear that "Inner Fl | EC" can also be the ER1 | |
| | | there is a maximum length overflow when using link PA | | can be supported while | Suggested | dReme | dy | | | | |
| | | ys through the near-end and | | al layers? It is not at all | Repla | ce "Inne | er FEC" ir | both figures with "Inner FE | C or ER1 FEC". | | |
| clear | | <i>.</i> | | | Proposed | Respor | nse | Response Status W | | | |
| | | Iffer device be designed with sition? Maybe, maybe not. | some awarene | ss of the near-end | PROP | POSED | ACCEPT | , IN PRINCIPLE. | | | |
| | | areness of the far-end physic | cal laver's comp | onsition. Crucially, the | | | | in Figure 169-4 is representation | ative of PHY typ | es that include the FEC | |
| | | t have an MII extender, whic | | | , | · | | use 184 or Clause 186. | | | |
| (plus | the delays throug | h the extra PMA layers). | | | | | | er stack is quite specific to the | | | |
| | | d is not very helpful in figurir | | | | vers is r | , | nereas the PMA above the C | lause 164 and C | lause 100 FEC | |
| | | the physical layer given the cy has existed since MII-Ext | | | , | · | | inclusive of PHY types usin | g the FEC subla | aver defined in Clause | |
| | , | Ill extenders, the range of ph | | | 184 ar | nd Clau | use 186. | | 0 | | |
| so th | e delay error-bars | due to an extra AUI+PMA, f | or example, wer | e small. | C/ 169 | SC | 169.5 | P199 | L1 | # 565 | |
| Sam | e comment can ap | oply to 200Gb/s, 400Gb/s an | id 1.6Tb/s clause | es. | Nicholl, SI | hawn | | AMD | | | |
| Suggest | edRemedy | | | | Comment | Туре | ER | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | |
| | | lues that an implementor ne ayer stacks) through the ent | | | | above "F ins a typ | 0 | 9-5 800GBASE-R Skew po | ints for a PHY v | vith two 800GAUI-n" | |
| , | d Response POSED REJECT. | Response Status W | | | Currer | nt text: | "Replace | Figure 169-4 with the followi | ng figure:" | | |
| | | ut an issue that would be he | loful to resolve. | It is not clear what the | Suggested | dReme | dy | | | | |
| | | | | | Propo | sed tex | t: "Replac | e Figure 169-5 with the follo | wing figure:" | | |
| wors | worst case net delay for a physical layer implementation might be. However, the suggested remedy does not provide sufficient detail to implement. A | | | | | Proposed Response Response Status W | | | | | |
| How | plete proposal is n | | | | | | | | | | |

C/ 169 SC 169.5

| C/ 169 | SC 169.5 | P 201 | L36 | # 327 | C/ 170 | SC 170.1 | P 202 | L12 | # 683 |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------|---|------------------|---|--|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Brown, Ma | att | Alphawave Se | emi | | Dawe, Pie | ers | Nvidia | | |
| Comment | Туре Е | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| In Tab | le 169-6, footno | otes a and b are identical. | | | | | ne characteristics of the Recon | ciliation Sublaye | er (RS) *The* RS, |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | | | cteristics | | | |
| Merge | footnote a and | b into a single footnote. | | | Suggestee | | | | |
| Proposed | • | Response Status W | | | | havior of the 80 b/s and 1.6 Tb/ | 00 Gb/s Reconciliation Sublaye s | er (RS) for | |
| - | | T IN PRINCIPLE. indeed the same. However, fo | otooto o io inoo | rraat | Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | |
| Chang "The s | ge footnote a to symbol ~~ indica | | maximum Ske | w Variation in bits based b/s." | The te 106, a | | T. tics" is consistent with langua <u>c</u> mment does not provide suffic | | |
| C/ 169 | SC 169.8 | P 201 | L 48 | # 170 | C/ 170 | SC 170.4.3 | P 207 | L7 | # 684 |
| Huber, Th | omas | Nokia | | | Dawe, Pie | | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Subcla by 802 | ause 169.8 (PIC | Comment Status D S summary) needs to be upda | ated to refer to r | <i>(Common) (bucket)</i> new PMD clauses added | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D or options for MAC rate, as in 8 | 31.5.2.3 and 171 | (Logic) (bucket) |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | | Suggested | dRemedy | | | |
| Bring | in clause 169.8 | | | | Split tl | his item into two |) | | |
| Chang follows | S | ction: graph of subclause 169.8 (as a graph of the existing 169.8, and | | , | The ci (200G | OSED REJEC urrent approach bE and 400Gb | Response Status W T. in 170.1 (800GbE and 1.6Tbl E). The comment correctly poir ns for the different MAC rates | nts out that 81.5 | .2.3 also defines two |
| | | nrough Clause 173 or Clause | | | require | | ause 117 or 170, as none of th | | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | Unthe | | | | |
| | | T IN PRINCIPLE. sted remedy with editorial licer | se. | | | | | | |

C/ 170 SC 170.4.3

| C/ 171 | SC 171.1 | P 2 1 | 1 L 24 | # 566 |
|--------------|----------|----------------|---------------|------------------|
| Nicholl, Sha | wn | AMD | | |
| Comment Ty | /pe E | Comment Status | D | (Logic) (bucket) |

In the legend for Figure 171-1 -- "800GXS and 1.6TXS relationship to the ISO/IEC Open System Interconnection (OSI) reference model and the IEEE 802.3 Ethernet model" several lines are wrapping onto a second line. It decreases readability.

Currently "1.6TAUI-n = 1.6 Tb/s n-LANE ATTACHMENT UNIT INTERFACE" is wrapping. Currently "800GAUI-n = 800 Gb/s n-LANE ATTACHMENT UNIT INTERFACE" is wrapping.

SuggestedRemedy

Propose the following text:

Option1) Propose modifying the legend to move the second column (i.e. DTE, MAC, MDI, etc.) further to the right. That should allow space to avoid the text wrap. See "Figure 171-3a -- Example 1.6TBASE-R PMA layering with 1.6TXS" for an example of this solution.

Option2) Propose using the term AUI in the legend of the figure. The term AUI is already defined in Sub-Clause 1.4.198 "Attachment Unit Interface (AUI)" of 802.3-2022. In other words, for Figure 171-1, propose the legend say "1.6TAUI-n = 1.6 Tb/s n-LANE AUI" and "800GAUI-n = 800 Gb/s n-LANE ATTACHMENT UNIT INTERFACE". Optionally (if deemed necessary by the editors), add a new entry (above DTE) "AUI = ATTACHMENT UNIT INTERFACE" to the legend.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rearrange appropriately to fix the text wrap.

| | SC · | 171.1a | P 212 | L14 | # 685 |
|--|---|---|--|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Dawe, Pier | s | | Nvidia | | |
| Comment 7 | Гуре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) MII FLR |
| | | .6TMII Extended of s | ender is expected to meet t | he frame loss ra | tio specifications in |
| Suggestedl | Remed | 'y | | | |
| | | tender usin cifications ir | g SM-PMAs or a 1.6TMII E ו 174A.4 | Extender is expe | cted to meet the frame |
| Proposed F | Respon | se | Response Status W | | |
| are cor Howev Add an | npliant er, it wo inform The 80 | the corespond build be help ative note i OGMII or 1. | ent met with significant ma onding specifications. oful to point this out. n 171.1a as follows: .6TMII Extender inherently | | |
| 800GA | | | are compliant." | 10 | |
| 800GA C/ 171 | SC · | 1.61AUI-n | P216 | L 2 | # 686 |
| 800GA <i>Cl</i> 171 Dawe, Pier | SC [,] | 171.3.3 | P 216 Nvidia | L 2 | # 686 |
| 800GA C/ 171 Dawe, Pier Comment 7 | SC [,] s Type | 171.3.3 T | P 216 Nvidia Comment Status D | _ | # 686 (Logic) (bucket) |
| 800GA Cl 171 Dawe, Pier Comment T average | SC ⁻ s Type e data | 171.3.3 T rate on the | P 216 Nvidia | _ | # 686 (Logic) (bucket) |
| 800GA C/ 171 Dawe, Pier Comment 7 averag Suggested | SC ⁻ s Type e data Remed | T Trate on the | P 216 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D 800GMII - there are two 8 | 00GMIIs. Simila | # <u>686</u> (Logic) (bucket) |
| 800GA C/ 171 Dawe, Pier Comment 7 averag Suggested | SC - s Type e data Remed erage d | T rate on the y ata rate acr | P 216 Nvidia Comment Status D | 00GMIIs. Simila | # 686 (Logic) (bucket) |
| 800GA Cl 171 Dawe, Pier Comment 1 averag Suggested the ave | SC Type e data Remed erage da ly in 17 | T rate on the y ata rate acr 1.3.3 | P 216 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D 800GMII - there are two 8 | 00GMIIs. Simila | # 686 (Logic) (bucket) |

It is evident from the fact that this note is in subclause 171.3.3 that it is referring to the 800GMII below the PHY 800GXS and not the 800GMII below the RS. The same applies to the note in 171.3.3a, which applies to the 1.6TMII below the PHY 1.6TXS.

C/ 171 SC 171.3.3

| C/ 171 SC 171.3.3 | a P 216 | L 25 | # 687 | C/ 172 | SC 172.2.5.2 | P 242 | L 9 | # 171 | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------|---------------------|---|--|---|-------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Dawe, Piers | Nvidia | | | Huber, Th | omas | Nokia | | | | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | | |
| will is deprecated | | | | | | lified from "PMA service inter | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | ow the PCS may be a FEC or sufficiently clear that it is the | | | | |
| Change will be to | is - several places | | | layer. | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | SuggestedRemedy Change the first sentence to read: | | | | | | |
| PROPOSED REJEC | | | | | | | | | | |
| The use of will in son | ne contexts is deprecated as si recated and shall not be used v | tated in the IEEE | E SA Style Manual: | | 0 | be received in any order from | the service inte | erface below the PCS." | | |
| | atements of fact." The use of " | | | Proposed Response Response Status W | | | | | | |
| statement of fact, not | t a requirement. | | | PROP | OSED ACCEPT | | | | | |
| C/ 171 SC 171.9.5 | 5.1 P231 | L 47 | # 688 | C/ 172 | SC 172.2.5.2 | P 242 | L18 | # 432 | | |
| Dawe, Piers | Nvidia | | | Ran, Adee | | Cisco System | าร | | | |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment Type TR Comment Status D Stateless encoder/decode As shown in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_03a_2505.pdf, there is a potential for corrupted data reaching the PCS client after uncorrectable codeword is processed, due to error multiplication due to scrambler error multiplication that occurs | | | | | | |
| For the PHY XS, this | may be a misuse of "Transmit | | | | | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | | | |
| Use separate items f | or PHY XS and DTE XS | | | | itely in flow 0 an | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | increased that the Decel | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEP | , PT IN PRINCIPLE. | | | For the 800GBASE-R PCS, this can be addressed by adding a requirement that the Reed- Solomon decoder applies error extension, as described on slides 23 and 24 of | | | | | | |
| | | | | | lj_03a_2505. | | | | | |
| For the table in 171.9 | 9.5.1 change the text in the fea | ture column for | PICS items TF1 and | Since | this PCS is alrea | ady defined, this comment ma | av raise question | is of scope. It is | | |
| TF2 from "Transmit 6 | 64B/66B encoder" to "64B/66 | B encoder" | | provid | ed to facilitate di | scussion of the technical cha | nge separately f | rom the scope of the | | |
| For the table in 171.9 | 9.5.2 change the text in the fea | ature column for | PICS items RF13 and | | - | maintenance request will be | submitted in the | e future. | | |
| | 64B/66B decoder" to "64B/6 | | | Suggested | - | | | | | |
| C/ 172 SC 172 | P 236 | LO | # 240 | | | 02.3df-2024 into this amendn codeword is detected in any | | | | |
| Cox, lan | Broadcom | - | | followi | ng the uncorrect | able codeword is replaced, at | iter processing b | by the descrambler of | | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | | w, by a block co nent with editoria | prresponding to 4 EBLOCK_R | blocks (or 16 e | rror characters). | | |
| | s 236-243 reads P802.3df and | not di. | (20910) (500000) | | | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. | | | | | | |
| | rom 802.3df to 802.3dj | | | ROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #669. | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP | Response Status W | | | | | | | | | |
| FRUPUSED AUCEP | 1. | | | | | | | | | |

C/ 172 SC 172.2.5.2 Page 32 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| or link_fail_inhibit_time to retry AN. enable faster restart o ee802.org/3/dj/public/ | of AN was preser | econds, creates | # 442 (Common) AN timeout s an unacceptably long | | nomas <i>Type</i> r to say | 172.7.4.7 E CRn/KRn r | P 243 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D rather than enumerate all t | L17 the CRn and KRn | # 173 (Logic) (bucke PMDs in the PICS |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| or link_fail_inhibit_time to retry AN. enable faster restart o ee802.org/3/dj/public/ | t Status D er, minimum 60 s of AN was preser | econds, creates | () | Comment Easier | <i>Туре</i> r to say | | Comment Status D | he CRn and KRn | |
| or link_fail_inhibit_time to retry AN. enable faster restart o ee802.org/3/dj/public/ | er, minimum 60 s of AN was preser | · | () | Easier | r to say | | | he CRn and KRn | |
| e to retry AN. enable faster restart o ee802.org/3/dj/public/ | of AN was preser | · | an unacceptably long | | | CRn/KRn ı | rather than enumerate all t | the CRn and KRn | PMDs in the PICS |
| enable faster restart o ee802.org/3/dj/public/ | | ated in | | Suggester | | | | | |
| ee802.org/3/dj/public/ | | ted in | | Suggestet | dRemea | ły | | | |
| | | | | | | | R8, 800GBASE-CR4, 8000 r 800GBASE-KRn PMD" | 3BASE-KR8, or 80 | 00GBASE-KR4 PMD" |
| proposed to clause 17 | 2 appear on slid | es 5-6 of ran_3o | dj_02a_2505. | Proposed | | | Response Status W | | |
| 'y | | | | - | | REJECT. curate as w | written and consistent with | what has been dc | one in previous drafts |
| e changes to clause 1 | 72 per slides 5-6 | of ran_3dj_02a | _2505, with editorial | and si | imilar cla | auses (e.g. | . Clause 119). Changing C | | |
| se Response | Status W | | | C/ 173 | SC | 173.1.1 | P 244 | L18 | # 689 |
| | | Cdiscussion | | Dawe, Pie | ers | | Nvidia | | |
| | | | | Comment | Туре | Е | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucke |
| 00 45 70 440 475 | | | | forms | | | | | |
| CC 45, 73, 119, 175] | | | | Suggested | dRemea | ly | | | |
| 172.6 | P 242 | L36 | # 172 | types | | | | | |
| | Nokia | | | Proposed | Respon | ise | Response Status W | | |
| | | | (Logic) (bucket) | PROF | POSED | ACCEPT II | N PRINCIPLE. | | |
| ed to repeat all of the | n here. At the sa | me time, it is m | | Delete | e the wo | ords "forms | of" on page 244 line 18. | | |
| v | | | | C/ 173 | SC | 173.1.1a | P 244 | L 35 | # 690 |
| | ASE-CR4, 800GE | BASE-KR8, or 8 | 00GBASE-KR4 PMD" | Dawe, Pie | ers | | Nvidia | | |
| | | , | | Comment | Туре | т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucke |
| se Response | Status W | | | suppo | orts | | | | |
| PROPOSED REJECT. The text is accurate as written and consistent with what was done in previous drafts and | | | | | | ły | | | |
| similar clauses (e.g. Clause 119). Changing CR8/CR4 to CRn , etc., does not improve the readability of the draft. | | | | | , | | Response Status W | | |
| | | | | | roposed | I wording c ⁱ | hange does not improve th | ne technical clarity | or accuracy of the tex |
| | ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI w of the following pres ee802.org/3/dj/public/ CC 45, 73, 119, 175] 172.6 E Comment which AN is mandato ed to repeat all of ther equirements apply to W GBASE-CR8, 800GBA SE-CRn or 800GBAS se Response REJECT. curate as written and of s (e.g. Clause 119). C | ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. w of the following presentation and CR ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_C CC 45, 73, 119, 175] 172.6 P242 Nokia E Comment Status D which AN is mandatory are already ex- ed to repeat all of them here. At the sa equirements apply to CRn and KRn Pl V GBASE-CR8, 800GBASE-CR4, 800GB SE-CRn or 800GBASE-CR4, 800GB SE-CRn or 800GBASE-KRn PMD" se Response Status W REJECT. curate as written and consistent with w s (e.g. Clause 119). Changing CR8/CF | ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. w of the following presentation and CRG discussion. ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_02a_2505.pdf CC 45, 73, 119, 175] 172.6 P 242 L 36 Nokia E Comment Status D which AN is mandatory are already explained in the tate and to repeat all of them here. At the same time, it is mate equirements apply to CRn and KRn PMDs. W GBASE-CR8, 800GBASE-CR4, 800GBASE-KR8, or 80 SE-CRn or 800GBASE-CR4, 800GBASE-KR8, or 80 SE-CRn or 800GBASE-KRn PMD" se Response Status W REJECT. curate as written and consistent with what was done in s (e.g. Clause 119). Changing CR8/CR4 to CRn , etc., | ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. w of the following presentation and CRG discussion. ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_02a_2505.pdf CC 45, 73, 119, 175] 172.6 P 242 L 36 # 172 Nokia E Comment Status D (Logic) (bucket) which AN is mandatory are already explained in the tables in clause 169, so ed to repeat all of them here. At the same time, it is maybe useful to at least equirements apply to CRn and KRn PMDs. W GBASE-CR8, 800GBASE-CR4, 800GBASE-KR8, or 800GBASE-KR4 PMD" SE-CRn or 800GBASE-CR4, 800GBASE-KR8, or 800GBASE-KR4 PMD" SE Response Status W REJECT. curate as written and consistent with what was done in previous drafts and s (e.g. Clause 119). Changing CR8/CR4 to CRn , etc., does not improve the | Se Response Status W C/ 173 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dawe, Pie w of the following presentation and CRG discussion. Dawe, Pie cc 45, 73, 119, 175] C/ 173 172.6 P 242 L 36 # 172 Nokia Interview Version Suggester E Comment Status D (Logic) (bucket) Proposed which AN is mandatory are already explained in the tables in clause 169, so ed to repeat all of them here. At the same time, it is maybe useful to at least equirements apply to CRn and KRn PMDs. Dawe, Pie V SBASE-CR8, 800GBASE-CR4, 800GBASE-KR8, or 800GBASE-KR4 PMD" Dawe, Pie See Response Status W C/ 173 Dawe, Pie REJECT. Suggester Suggester Currate as written and consistent with what was done in previous drafts and s (e.g. Clause 119). Changing CR8/CR4 to CRn , etc., does not improve the he draft. Suggester PROF | See Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. w w of the following presentation and CRG discussion. Bawe, Piers cc 45, 73, 119, 175] CC 45, 73, 119, 175] T2.6 P 242 L 36 # 172 Nokia SuggestedRemed types E Comment Status D (Logic) (bucket) which AN is mandatory are already explained in the tables in clause 169, so equirements apply to CRn and KRn PMDs. Delete the wo V BASE-CR8, 800GBASE-CR4, 800GBASE-KR8, or 800GBASE-KR4 PMD" Dawe, Piers Comment Type Sc Dawe, Piers SE-CRn or 800GBASE-CR4, 800GBASE-KR8, or 800GBASE-KR4 PMD" Cl 173 SC W BASE-CR8, 800GBASE-CR4, 800GBASE-KR8, or 800GBASE-KR4 PMD" Delete the wo V Base Response Status W Sc Dawe, Piers Comment Type supports SuggestedRemed connects to Proposed Response Proposed Response Proposed Response V Sc Response Status W RE_CCT. SuggestedRemed connects to Proposed Response SuggestedRemed SuggestedRemed | se Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. w of the following presentation and CRG discussion. ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_02a_2505.pdf Dawe, Piers CC 45, 73, 119, 175] Nokia IT2.6 P 242 L 36 IT2 Nokia Nokia SuggestedRemedy types Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT II Delete the words "forms SuggestedRemedy types Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT II Delete the words "forms CI 173 SC 173.1.1a Dawe, Piers Comment Status D (Logic) (bucket) Delete the words "forms Sec CR8, 800GBASE-CR4, 800GBASE-KR8, or 800GBASE-KR4 PMD" Sc 173.1.1a Dawe, Piers CI 173 SC 173.1.1a Dawe, Piers Comment Type T supports Sec Response Status W SuggestedRemedy connects to REJECT. Proposed Response T supports SuggestedRemedy connects to Proposed Response Reject C. Ci 173 SC 173.1.1a Dawe, Piers Comment Type T supports SuggestedRemedy connects to | ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. w of the following presentation and CRG discussion. dee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_02a_2505.pdf CC 45, 73, 119, 175] T2.6 P242 L36 # 172 Nokia E Comment Status D (Logic) (bucket) which AN is mandatory are already explained in the tables in clause 169, so ad to repeat all of them here. At the same time, it is maybe useful to at least equirements apply to CRn and KRn PMDs. y BBASE-CR8, 800GBASE-CR4, 800GBASE-KR8, or 800GBASE-KR4 PMD" SE-CRn or 800GBASE-CR4, 800GBASE-KR8, or 800GBASE-KR4 PMD" SE_CRn or 800GBASE-CR4, 800GBASE-KR8, or 800GBASE-KR4 PMD" SE_CRT. purate as written and consistent with what was done in previous drafts and (e.g. Clause 119). Changing CR8/CR4 to CRn , etc., does not improve the he draft. CI 173 SC 173.1.1a P244 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type T Comment Status D supports SuggestedRemedy connects to Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. | See Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. v of the following presentation and CRG discussion. Notia CC 45, 73, 119, 175] C 43, 73, 119, 175] Dawe, Piers Nvidia T72.6 P242 L 36 # 172 Nokia Nokia SuggestedRemedy types F Comment Status D (Logic) (bucket) which AN is mandatory are already explained in the tables in clause 169, so ad to repeat all of them here. At the same time, it is maybe useful to at least equirements apply to CRn and KRn PMDs. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. V SBASE-CR8, 800GBASE-CR4, 800GBASE-KR8, or 800GBASE-KR4 PMD" Delete the words "forms of" on page 244 line 18. C/ 173 SC 173.1.1a P244 L 35 Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 173 SC 173.1.1a P244 L 35 Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 173 SC 173.1.1a P244 L 35 Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 173 SC 173.1.1a P244 L 35 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type T Comment Status D supports SuggestedRemedy connects to |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 173 SC 173.1.1a Page 33 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type T Comment Status D (Logic) (i Figure 173-3 is missing the possibility that a 32:4 PMA could be connected. Also, the explanatory notes b and c seem unnecessary. It should be quite obvious to any reader inst' is PHY_XS when the sublayer below the PMA is a PHY 800GXS and FEC when FEC sublayer (or PMA when it is a PMA). SuggestedRemedy At the bottom of the figure, just under the 32 output lanes and 32 input lanes, add "or PMA" after PHY 800GXS, and in the explanation of "inst", add "or PMA" after PHY_XS | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Figure 173-3 is missing the possibility that a 32:4 PMA could be connected. Also, the explanatory notes b and c seem unnecessary. It should be quite obvious to any reade 'inst' is PHY_XS when the sublayer below the PMA is a PHY 800GXS and FEC when FEC sublayer (or PMA when it is a PMA). SuggestedRemedy At the bottom of the figure, just under the 32 output lanes and 32 input lanes, add "or PMA" after PHY 800GXS, and in the explanation of "inst", add "or PMA" after PHY 200GXS, and in the explanation of "inst", add "or PMA" | | | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy At the bottom of the figure, just under the 32 output lanes and 32 input lanes, add "or PMA" after PHY 800GXS, and in the explanation of "inst", add "or PMA" after PHY_X3 | | | | | | | |
| At the bottom of the figure, just under the 32 output lanes and 32 input lanes, add "or PMA" after PHY 800GXS, and in the explanation of "inst", add "or PMA" after PHY_XS | | | | | | | |
| Delete notes b and c and the references to them in the explanation of 'inst'. | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response Response Status W | | | | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. | | | | | | | |
| Update Fig 173-3 to add "800GBASE-R SM-PMA" to the list of sublayers below the PMA. Update the footnotes below the figure as appropriate. Implement with editorial license. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | clauses as Required. As such, it should appear in the tables in the introduction as wel | |
| SuggestedRemedy Update tables 174-2 and 174-3 to include 178B as conditional for all PMDs | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #232. | | | | | | | |
| CI 174 SC 174.1.4 P248 L30 # 176 | | | | | | | |
| Huber, Thomas Nokia | | | | | | | |
| Comment TypeTComment StatusD(Common) (aTable 174-3 is missing clause 73 Auto-Negotiation | | | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | |
| Add a column for Clause 73 Auto-Negotiation and indicate it as Mandatory for both 1.6TBASE-KR8 and 1.6TBASE-CR8. | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| C/ 174 SC 174.1.4 | P 248 | L 32 | # 528 | C/ 174 | SC 174. | 2.1 | P 248 | L 51 | # 692 |
|---|---|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Dudek, Mike | Marvell | | | Dawe, Pie | rs | | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Comment | Type T R | Comn | nent Status D | | (Common) (bucke |
| Clause 73 auto-negoti table 169-2 and tables | ation is missing from the elec | trical Phys in ta | ble 174-3. (Compare | physic | ally instantia | ated | | | |
| | 110-3 amu 110-3a. | | | Suggested | lRemedy | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy Add it. | | | | expose | ed | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Proposed Response Response Status W | | | | | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT | Response Status W | | | For da | | Gb/s and high | er, the term "physic es that are exposed | | ' is used consistently |
| C/ 174 SC 174.2.1 | P 248 | L 48 | # 423 | As an | example, in | 120.5.3 "The | imits for Skew and | Skew Variation | |
| Ran, Adee | Cisco System | าร | | | | | ecified at Skew poir mprove the accura | | e draft |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | | - | - | • | | |
| | 393 with reference to Clause at "The MII is not intended to | | | C/ 174 | SC 174. | 2.5 | P 249 | L 39 | # 693 |
| match this definition. | | be physically in | | Dawe, Pie | | 0 | Nvidia | | |
| | | | | Comment | | | nent Status D | | nmon) PMD instantiation |
| "MII" has been used in and should not be car | other clauses in a way that o ied on. | contradicts the o | definition. This is wrong, | | | | nts in IC design one combinations of PN | | ement, one |
| The text can say that ? | SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | |
| | use 1.6TMII everywhere inst | | | Chang | e instantiati | ons to combin | ations | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Proposed I | Response | Respo | nse Status W | | |
| Change "MII" to "1.6TI clause, with editorial li | MII", and change the expande cense. | ed acronym acc | ordingly, across this | | OSED REJ | | | | |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT | The word instantiation is appropriate. Annex 176B provides guidance on how a set of AU are to be instantiated within a physical layer implementation, and in particular how each i delimited with particular PMA types. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | C/ 174 | SC 174. | 2.11 | P 250 | L 26 | # 58 |
| | | | | Jones, Ch | ad | | Cisco Syster | ns, Inc. | |
| | | | | Comment Use of | <i>Type</i> E "may". | Comn | nent Status D | | (Common) (bucke |
| | | | | Suggested change | , | nally support" | to "optionally supp | oorts" | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

C/ 174 SC 174.2.11

| C/ 174 | SC 174.2.12 | P 250 | L 42 | # 177 | C/ 174A | SC 174A.3 | P 677 | L35 | # 590 | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Huber, The | omas | Nokia | | | Shrikhande | e, Kapil | Marvell | | | | |
| Comment [·] | Туре Т | Comment Status D | mon |) DATA/TRAINING mode | Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucke | | |
| term h (see 1. variabl state p | as specific mean .4.278) Annex 17 le tx_mode has tl | DATA mode" is intended to m ning for 1000BASE-T PHYs th 78B.5 indicates that in the cor he value 'data', which is asso . As such, it would be more c | nat differs from whether the second sec | what is intended here ta mode" means the g in the PATH_UP | path" is Switch path". service | s a bit vague. N to End host). S Since the error e interface of the | Error ratio allocation for ar etwork path may mean a r should search for a more d allocation is from the PLS e other RS, suggest using ', PCS-to-FEC, etc. termin | nulti-hop network p escriptive term to u service interface o "RS-to-RS" ? or M | bath (e.g. End Host to use instead of "network f one RS to the PLS AC-to-MAC ? This is | | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | Suggested | lRemedy | | | | | |
| | | e transition to DATA mode." to | o "coordinate th | e transition to the | Replac | e "network path | n" in the subclause title wit | h "RS-to-RS". | | | |
| | UP state (see F | | | | Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | | | |
| | , OSED ACCEPT | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #732. | | | Ultima RS-FE | te the path is fro | TIN PRINCIPLE. om MAC to MAC. Also, RS " to MAC-to-MAC path. | can easily be mis | interpreted as meaning | | |
| C/ 174 | SC 174.6 | P 259 | L 34 | # 178 | | • | | | 11 105 | | |
| Huber, The | omas | Nokia | | | C/ 174A | SC 174A.3 | P 677 | L 44 | # 105 | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Bruckman, | | Nvidia | | | | |
| Clause | e 182 is also rele | vant to 1.6TBASE-R. | | | Comment | 51 | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucke | | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | | 0 0 | R is repeated several time | es | | | |
| Chang 182" | ge "Clause 175 th | rough Clause 180" to "Clause | e 175 through C | Clause 180 or Clause | | ve the notes reg | arding the FLR not being | | sublayer. Add a general | | |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | | | | f 74A.2 with the note's text | | | | |
| PROP | OSED ACCEPT. | | | | Proposed I | , | Response Status W | | | | |
| C/ 174A | SC 174A | P 677 | L 21 | # 292 | - | OSED REJECT note is specific to | o the path covered in the s | subclause. Using a | common note | | |
| Brown, Ma | att | Alphawave Se | emi | | elsewhere would not be as helpful. The notes in the current locations are more he proposed changes do not improve the clarity or accuracy of the draft. | | | | | | |
| Comment [·] | Type TR | Comment Status D | (C | ommon) Error ratio figure | propos | eu changes uu | not improve the clainty of a | | 111. | | |
| | | various paths or domains des eader of the annex. | scribed in 174A. | 3 through 174A.7 would | | | | | | | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | | | | | | | |
| Add a | diagrams illustra | ting the paths described in 17 | 74A.3 through 1 | 74A.7. | | | | | | | |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 174A SC 174A.3
| C/ 174A | SC 1 | 74A.4 | Р | 678 | L3 | # 36 | C/ 174A | SC 174A.5 | P 678 | L17 | # 591 |
|--|---|--|---|--------------------------|-------------------|---|--|---|-------------------|-----|------------------|
| Salvekar, | Atul | | Cac | dence Des | ign Systems | | Shrikhande | e, Kapil | Marvell | | |
| genera with a S <i>uggested</i> Chang | related is ally. I bel Binomia dRemedy ge "If the | lieve the al Distribu / errors at | Comment Statu Gaussian Distributic correct term to put tion. | vs D ons. Howe | ver, I believe th | (Common) (bucket) is not to be the case entically distributed (iid) | Comment T Cross I Suggested Add cro Proposed F | Type E reference to 174 Remedy pss reference | Response Status W | | (Common) (bucket |
| input c | | S-FEC are | e iid with a Binomia 174A with editoria | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed | | • | Response Status | | | | | | | | |
| Uncorr of erro A bino | ors on an omial dist | neans than ny other s tribution i | at the probability of ymbol. This term is s a statistical repre f bits or symbols. | s used bro | adly throughou | | | | | | |
| C/ 174A | SC 1 | 74A.5 | Р | 678 | L10 | # 106 | | | | | |
| Bruckman | , Leon | | Nvio | dia | | | | | | | |
| Comment A figur | | TR ake this r | Comment Statu nuch more clear | ns D | (0 | Common) Error ratio figure | | | | | |
| S <i>uggested</i> Add a | , | | e link in 174A.5, 17 | 74A.6 and | 174A.7 | | | | | | |
| | , POSED A | CCEPT | Response Status IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment # | | | | | | | | |

C/ 174A SC 174A.5

| | C 174A.6 | P 678 | L 28 | # 585 | C/ 174A | SC 174 | 4A.8 | P 679 | L 24 | # 402 |
|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| licholl, Gary | | Cisco Syster | ms | | Mi, Guango | an | | Huawei Tech | nologies Co | ., Ltd |
| Comment Type | TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) FLR allocation | Comment 7 | уре Е | R | Comment Status D | | (Common) (buck |
| During the I https://www | March plena | GBASE-ER1/ER1-20. ry the consensus was to ad g/3/dj/public/25_03/brown_3 | | | senten using P | ce says "A | A metho sureme | he error ratio tests for 200G od for constraining the error nts" The test method f | ratio of a PH | IY based on error masks |
| | NOE-ERI/ER | 1-20. | | | Suggestedl | Remedy | | | | |
| Also, see th | ne final respo | onse to comment #16 in | | | change | the word | "PHY" | to "ISL" in the mentioned se | entence. | |
| https://www | ieee802.or | g/3/dj/comments/D1p4/8023 | 3dj_D1p4_comm | ents_final_clause.pdf. | Proposed F | Response | | Response Status W | | |
| | | ecision is that 800GBASE-E | | | PROPO | OSED AC | CEPT. | | | |
| other 802.3 but not both | dj PHYs, in n (see slide ⁻ | that you are only allowed t 18 of brown_3dj_04a_2503) | o have AUIs in For other 802. | the PHY or Extender, 3dj PHYs you are | C/ 174A | SC 174 | 4A.8 | P679 | L 25 | # 401 |
| | | both the PHY and the Exte | | | Mi, Guango | an | | Huawei Tech | nologies Co | ., Ltd |
| This means | tit is nossihl | e to have a host design that | t contains two A | Ills (one in an Extender | Comment 1 | vpe T | R | Comment Status D | Ū | (Common) block error ra |
| support all o | other 802.3c | at would not support an 800 lj PHYs. GBASE-ER1/ER1-20 PHY : | | | histogra smaller | am being than 1.4 | below t 5e-11. h | sed for block error evaluatio the Hmax histogram mask, of nowever, when using the Hm .55e-11, which is not passin | or checking I hax to calcul | block error ratio being ate its corresponding bloc |
| | honging the | EL D allocation for the 2000 | | 1.20 DHV to be | Suggestedl | Remedy | | | | |
| consistent v | with all other | FLR allocation for the 800G 802.3dj PHYs, such that th 20 PHY can be deployed in. | nere are no restr | | I am sti Adam f | | nfused | by this now. no suggested r | emedy at thi | s time. I will reach out to |
| ER1/ER1-2 | 0 PHÝ, with | n #3 in brown_3dj_04a_250 or without an AUI, is define turn means reducing the FL | d as 6 x 10-11 (| consistent with all other | | SED RE | JECT. | Response Status W does not provide suffcient d | etail to imple | ement. |
| 10-11 to 5.8 | 8 x 10-11. | - | | | C/ 174A | SC 174 | 4A.8.1 | P 679 | L38 | # 403 |
| uggestedRem | edy | | | | Mi, Guango | an | | Huawei Tech | nologies Co | Ltd |
| | | tion for 800GBASE-ER1/ER g/3/dj/public/25_03/brown_3 | | | Comment 7 | | R | Comment Status D | • | subclause hierarchy (buck |
| | | anges in clauses 187 and 1 | | | There is the hier | | e sub-c | lause under 174A.8, which i | s 174A.8.1, | no need to have this level |
| A supportin | a presentati | on will be provided. | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| | | | | | remove | the hiera | achy of | 174A.8.1, make its sub-clau | ses 174A.8. | х |
| roposed Resp | | Response Status W | | | Proposed F | Response | | Response Status W | | |
| | ent proposes | s to change a decision made e comment makes a good o | | | The su | | ierarch | IN PRINCIPLE. y could indeed be improved | See related | I slides in the following |

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 174A
 Page 38 of 184

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 174A
 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 174A
 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| C/ 174A SC 174A.8.1.2 | P 681 | L3 | # 586 | C/ 174A | SC 174A.8.1 | l.2 P681 | L 31 | # 404 |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Shrikhande, Kapil | Marvell | | | Mi, Guangc | an | Huawei | Technologies Co., L | td |
| Comment Type T C | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Comment T | ype TR | Comment Status D | (| Common) block error ratio |
| Stating "5 consecutive PAM equivalently, 10 consecutive come from 6 PAM4 symbol SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence to be consecutive PAM4 symbols consecutive bits". | 14 symbols" is clear, but e bits" which could be co s. I believe we want it to "Test symbols are defin ", period. I.e. remove th esponse Status W PRINCIPLE. the wording. However, i noe the error checker is defined as non-overlapp | onfusing since 1 be 5 consecutiv ed as non-overl e last part "or, e t is helpful to po working with bits ping groups of 5 | ince goes on to say "or, 0 consecutive bits could ve PAM4 symbols. apping groups of 5 quivalently, 10 int out that the set of 5 s, not directly with consecutive PAM4 | Comment T The tota of test_l block error rati should p longer-tr A statisi accurate Recons H_m(k) very lon the proju upper b SuggestedF Recosic Proposed R PROPC The com or ensu projectic measure determin C/ 174A Bruckman, I Comment T In Hm(i) SuggestedF Define " | ype TR al number of te block_total_co tio is met, eithe provide an acc erm testing or itcal projection e. ider the statem is a statistical g window if no ection based o ound on the va Remedy der the state m response DSED REJECT nmenter points ring the ultimation beyond rea- ement(s). Ultim ne a reasonab SC 174A.8.1 Leon ype TR 0(k) it is not cle Remedy 'm" response DSED REJECT | Comment Status D st blocks being measure unt_i should be sufficient er by direct measurement urate prediction of the va at least provide an upper is an estimate of future of nent on "accurate prediction possibility which is obsert t infinite. It is unclear how on the data could represent alue. ent of the statistical proje <i>Response Status</i> W sout a challenge not just te FLR performance goal dily available data is requinately, some expert know le project, thus statemen 1.3 P681 Nvidia Comment Status D ar what m represents. W | (d and analyzed is re ly large to reliably vi- c or statistical projec- lue of Hm(i)(k) that is bound on the value events with level of of on ". ved over a window vi- v to decide whether int the value of long- ection. with this methodolo in general. To deter ired unless sufficient dedge and intution vi- t is written in a non- <i>L</i> 18 | Common) block error ratio equried as: " The value erify that the expected tion. The projection would be observed over a." confidence. It can not be of measurement in a the measured data and term observation or the gy but with determining rmine the FLR some it time is allocated to the vill be required to specific way. # 107 (Common) (bucket |

| C/ 174A SC 174A.8.1.3 P681 L19 # 574 | C/ 174A SC 174A.8.1.4 P681 L50 # 575 |
|---|--|
| Nicholl, Shawn AMD | Nicholl, Shawn AMD |
| Comment Type TR Comment Status D (Common) (bucket) | Comment Type TR Comment Status D (Common) (bucket |
| Current text: " defined as follows: - Hm (i)(k) where k < 16 is the is the probability of k test symbol errors in a test block for lane i. - Hm (i)(16) is the probability of more than 15 test symbol errors in a test block for lane i." | Current text: " are 17-bin error histograms representing a count of the number of test blocks with k test symbol errors for $k < 16$ and a count of the number of test blocks with 16 or more test symbol errors for $k = 16$." |
| SuggestedRemedy | Reading this text, it sounds like these histograms are simply error counts, while an earlier |
| Propose deleting the duplicate text ("is the is the") and align the text with 174A.8.1.2 and | section defined them as a ratio between error counts and total count. |
| 174A.8.1.4 Sub-Clauses. | SuggestedRemedy |
| | Propose the following text: |
| Propose the following text: | Option1 (most preferred by commenter): Introduce the term "ratio". |
| Option1 (most preferred by commenter): Introduce the term "ratio". | |
| Proposed text: " defined as follows: - Hm (i)(k) where k < 16 is the ratio (to total number of test blocks analyzed) of k test symbol errors in a test block for lane i. | Proposed text: " are 17-bin error histograms representing the ratio (to total number of test blocks analyzed) of test blocks with k test symbol errors for $k < 16$ and the ratio (to total number of test blocks analyzed) of test blocks with 16 or more test symbol errors for $k = 16$. |
| Hm (i)(16) is the ratio (to total number of test blocks analyzed) of 16 or more test symbol errors in a test block for lane i." | Option2 (less preferred by commenter): Retain the term "probability". |
| Option2 (less preferred by commenter): Retain the term "probability". | Proposed text is: " are 17-bin error histograms representing the probability of k test symbol errors in a test block for $k < 16$ and the probability of 16 or more test symbol errors in a test block for $k = 16$. |
| Proposed text: " defined as follows: - Hm (i)(k) where k < 16 is the probability of k test symbol errors in a test block for lane i. | Proposed Response Response Status W |
| - Hm (i)(16) is the probability of 16 or more test symbol errors in a test block for lane i." | PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. |
| Proposed Response Response Status W | Implement option #2 (aligning the wording with 174A.8.1.3) in the suggested remedy with |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. | editorial license. |
| The current text is not incorrect after addressing the repeating text "is the". | C/ 174A SC 174A.8.1.5 P682 L17 # 576 |
| Proposed option 2 is more helpful as it relates the definition to 16 errors rather than 15. The H_m is indeed calculated as a ratio per the desciption in Option 1 but the result is the | Nicholl, Shawn AMD |
| probability and this is the quality that we use to determine the statistics. | Comment Type ER Comment Status D (Common) (bucket |
| Implement option 2 in the suggested remedy with editorial license. | Current text: "For each lane i, measure the error histogram Hm(k) (see 174A.8.1.3) and assign Hm(k) to Hm (i)(k)." However, 174A.8.1.3 does not define Hm(k) rather it defines Hm(i)(k). |
| | SuggestedRemedy |
| | Propose to make the text more concise. |
| | Proposed text: "For each lane i, measure the error histogram Hm(i)(k) (see 174A.8.1.3)." |
| | Proposed Response Response Status W |
| | PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license. |

C/ 174A SC 174A.8.1.5 Page 40 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| C/ 174A | SC 17 | 74A.8.1.5 | P 682 | L23 | # 137 |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|-----------------|---|
| Noujeim, L | _eesa | | Google | | |
| Comment [·] | Туре | т | Comment Status D | | (Common) block error ratio |
| and so | o makes i | no allowar | om randomly distributed erron nee for burstiness of errors; igher bins. | | es (at the specified BER) in unreasonably tight mask |
| Suggested | lRemedy | | | | |
| Adjust accord | | k to increa | ase the allowed ratio in bins | 8-15, and re | educe in bins ~1-4 |
| Proposed I | Respons | е | Response Status W | | |
| indicat more p Any ot cases | te a fail. I precise m ther curve with unce | t indicates netric as d e would be orrelated e | baragraph, this test confirms that if the lane fails this test efined in 178A.8.1.6. based upon some correlat errors that should pass. bes not provide sufficient d | it then it is n | ecessary to test with the tion and would fail some |
| C/ 174A | SC 17 | 74A.8.1.5 | P 682 | L 26 | # 38 |
| Liu, Cathy | | | Broadcom Inc | | |
| Comment ' | Туре | т | Comment Status D | | (withdrawn) |
| 0000000 | | | | | |
| The as always | s true. Wl | hen pre-co | quation 174A-6 of BER=1/2 oding is applied, or inner ha old which results in the error | mming deco | |

SuggestedRemedy

Either we ingor the special cases with pre-coding or inner code decoding, but add a note to clarify the assumption. Or we can apply two cases to the equation 174A-6 as following: RSSER = 1 –(1 – 2BER)⁵ for no precoding and inner code decoding; and RSSER = 1 –(1 - BER)⁵ for precoding or inner code decoding.

| Γ roposed response Γ response status \boldsymbol{L} | Proposed Response | Response Status | Ζ |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|---|
|---|-------------------|-----------------|---|

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

| C/ 174A | SC 174A.8.1 | 1.6 | P682 | L37 | # 577 |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| Nicholl, Sha | awn | | AMD | | |
| Comment T | Type ER | Comment S | Status D | | (Common) (buc |
| | Hm(k) to Hm (i | | | | see 174A.8.1.3) and Im(k) rather it define |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| Propos | e to make the t | text more concis | se. | | |
| Propos | ed text: "For ea | ach lane i, meas | ure the error h | istogram Hm(i) | (k) (see 174A.8.1.3)." |
| Proposed R | Response | Response S | tatus W | | |
| | | T IN PRINCIPLE | | | |
| Implem | ient the sugges | sted remedy with | n editorial licen | se. | |
| C/ 174A | SC 174A.8.1 | 1.7 | P 683 | L 2 | # 578 |
| Nicholl, Sha | awn | | AMD | | |
| Comment T | Type ER | Comment S | Status D | | (Common) (bud |
| Howeve | er, 174Á.8.1.3 (| ach lane i, meas does not define onv(He(k) , Hm(| Hm(k) rather | |) (see 174A.8.1.3)." i)(k). |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| Propos | e to make the t | text more concis | se. | | |
| | | each lang i me | | r histogram Hrr | ı(i)(k) (see 174A.8.1.3 |
| | | nconv(He(k), Hr | n(i)(k)) (see' | | |
| Propos | ed text: "d) h | | .,.,. | n | |
| Proposed R Proposed R PROPC | ed text: "d) h Response OSED ACCEP1 | nconv(He(k), Hr | tatus W | | |
| Proposed R Proposed R PROPC | ed text: "d) h Response OSED ACCEP1 | nconv(He(k) [°] , Hr <i>Response</i> S T IN PRINCIPLE | tatus W | | |
| Proposed R Proposed R PROPC | ed text: "d) h Response OSED ACCEP1 | nconv(He(k) [°] , Hr <i>Response</i> S T IN PRINCIPLE | tatus W | | |
| Proposed R Proposed R PROPC | ed text: "d) h Response OSED ACCEP1 | nconv(He(k) [°] , Hr <i>Response</i> S T IN PRINCIPLE | tatus W | | |
| Proposed R Proposed R PROPC | ed text: "d) h Response OSED ACCEP1 | nconv(He(k) [°] , Hr <i>Response</i> S T IN PRINCIPLE | tatus W | | |
| Proposed R Proposed R PROPC | ed text: "d) h Response OSED ACCEP1 | nconv(He(k) [°] , Hr <i>Response</i> S T IN PRINCIPLE | tatus W | | |
| Proposed R Proposed R PROPC | ed text: "d) h Response OSED ACCEP1 | nconv(He(k) [°] , Hr <i>Response</i> S T IN PRINCIPLE | tatus W | | |

C/ 174A SC 174A.8.1.7

| C/ 174A | SC 1 | 74A.8.1.7 | P683 | L7 | # 405 | C/ 174A | SC | 174A.10.1 | .3 | P685 | L18 | # 406 |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Mi, Guangca | an | | Huawei Techn | ologies Co., | Ltd | Mi, Guang | can | | | Huawei Tech | nologies Co., Lto | k |
| Comment Ty | ype | TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) block error ratio | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment | Status D | (C | common) block error ratio |
| counters says "Fo He(k).", To meas To repea | s are n or p tin It is u sure p at the | neasured in nes, iterativ nclear wha times the l same mea | error ratio method for a sing independently for each lane. vely assign the result of hcor at does the p times mean in engths of blocks? and use t surement on the same lengt ver the p times of measurer | In the detern nv(He(k), Hi this step. he collected ths of blocks | nination of lane I, step d) n(k)) (see 174A.8.1.4) to as 1 dataset? | value of FEC error ra should longer- A statis | c_cw_c atio is i provic term t sitcal p | counter sho met, either le an accu esting or a | ould be suffici by direct me rate prediction t least provide | ently large to re asurement or si n of the value o e an upper bour | eliably verify that tatistical projecti f Hm(k) that wou nd on the value. | requried as: " The the expected block on. The projection and be observed over onfidence. It can not be |
| SuggestedR | - | y | | | | accura | te. | | | | | |
| please c | clarify. | | | | | | | | | | | f measurement in a |
| | DSED F | REJECT. | Response Status W | | | the pro | jectior | | the data cou | | | ne measured data and erm observation or the |
| | | | natical process being repeat hat from the perspective of t | | | Suggested | Reme | dy | | | | |
| have sin | milar e | | cs, given no other informatic | | | Recos | ider the | e state me | nt of the stati | stical projection | l. | |
| confusio | on. | | | | | Proposed I | Respo | nse | Response | Status W | | |
| C/ 174A | SC 1 | 174A.9 | P683 | L17 | # 108 | | | REJECT. | | | | |
| Bruckman, I Comment Ty This sec | ype | TR not about | Nvidia Comment Status D 200GBASE-LR1 | | (Common) (bucket) | assum which i | ed pro is a pro | jection is r | necessary fror eting the requ | n the data on h ired frame loss | | estimate h_m(16), |
| SuggestedR | Remed | V | | | | C/ 174A | SC | 174A.10.1 | .3 | P 685 | L 40 | # 407 |
| Change | : "2000 | GBASE-LR | 1" to "800GBASE-LR1" | | | Mi, Guang | can | | | Huawei Tech | nologies Co., Lto | |
| Proposed Re PROPO | • | se ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | | Comment [*] typo of | | ER ord then in | Comment the sentence | | | (Common) (bucket) |
| C/ 174A | SC 1 | 74A.9 | P683 | L18 | # 579 | Suggested | Reme | dy | | | | |
| Nicholl, Sha | awn | | AMD | | | change | e "the" | to "then" | | | | |
| | 174A.9 | | Comment Status D tests for 800GBASE-LR1 IS Inner FEC sublayers". | SLs", the tex | (Common) (bucket) t current says " between | The co | OSED mmen | REJECT. t appears | Response s | | tialize He(k), the | e composite error |
| SuggestedR | | | | | | | | Ha(k)." e" in this s | entence is co | rrect. | | |
| • | | | between a pair of 800GB | ASE-LR1 In | ner FEC sublayers" | | | | | | | |
| | DSED A | ACCEPT IN | Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. hse to comment #108. | | | | | | | | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 174A SC 174A.10.1.3 Page 42 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| | 3 P685 | L 45 | # 408 | C/ 175 | SC 17 | 5.1.3 | P 261 | L 5 | # 588 |
|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Mi, Guangcan | Huawei Tech | nologies Co., Lto | k | Shrikhand | e, Kapil | | Marvell | | |
| Comment Type ER | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Comment | Туре Т | | Comment Status D | | (withdrawn) |
| missing a word "to" | | | | | | | at transcoding is from four | | |
| SuggestedRemedy change to " expected to b | | | | | blocks. | DUS DUI | et which states that encodi | ng is from eight f | 1.6 I MII data octets to |
| o 1 | | | | Suggested | , | | | | |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | | blocks | (256B/25 | 7B)". | et to "Transcoding from (to) | four 66-bit block | ts to (from) 257-bit |
| C/ 174A SC 174A.12 | P686 | L 22 | # 409 | Proposed | Response | | Response Status Z | | |
| Mi, Guangcan | | nologies Co., Lto | | PROP | OSED RE | JECT. | | | |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | 0 | Common) block error ratio | This c | omment w | as WIT | HDRAWN by the comment | er. | |
| Table 174A-1, FLR was o | changed from 6.2e-11 to 6e the xMII extenders and PC | e-11. The reason | ning seems to be the | C/ 175 | SC 17 | 5.1.3 | P261 | L10 | # 69 |
| | such case as cascading to | | | Bruckman | , Leon | | Nvidia | | |
| The title of Table 174A-1 | "optical PHYs with no FEC | C sublayer or with | h an inner FEC | Comment | Туре Т | R | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket |
| | that Table 174A-3 does no SE-ER1 and 800GBASE-E Ises. | | | here. I | | ed in si | and signaling" is an option milar sections in 802.3df (8 S) | | |
| | ct the performance of a Eth | ernet device mu | ich, but may cause | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| some confusion of the rea | aders. | | | | | | EC degrade detection and | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | 、 • | , | end of the text for this bulle | et | |
| | | ther errro allocat | ion table for the case of | Proposed | <i>Response</i> OSED RE | | Response Status W | | |
| Change back to 6.2e-11 f ER coherent PMDs | for Table 174A-1. Add and | | | PROP | OOLD IVE | | | | |
| Change back to 6.2e-11 t ER coherent PMDs | Response Status W | | | FEC d | egrade sig | naling i | s required. Only the FEC of | legrade detection | n is optional. The fact |
| Change back to 6.2e-11 t ER coherent PMDs | | | | FEC d that FI | egrade sig EC degrad | inaling i e detec | tion is an optional feature o | r that it was miss | sing from the overview |
| Change back to 6.2e-11 t ER coherent PMDs Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. As the comment notes, th | | | | FEC d that FI list in (| egrade sig EC degrad CL 119 and | inaling i e detec d CL 11 | s required. Only the FEC of tion is an optional feature of 9 does not mean it should i re to warrent being listed in | r that it was miss not be listed here | sing from the overview e. FEC degrade is a |
| Change back to 6.2e-11 t ER coherent PMDs Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. As the comment notes, th impact on the BER requir During discussion of the s interest in the support of | Response Status W | I PMD sublayers here were individu IY, even if the PI | in a PHY. uals who expressed HY had one or more | FEC d that FI list in (| egrade sig EC degrad CL 119 and | inaling i e detec d CL 11 | tion is an optional feature o 9 does not mean it should i | r that it was miss not be listed here | sing from the overview e. FEC degrade is a |

C/ 175 SC 175.1.3

| C/ 175 | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|----------------|---------------------------|
| | SC 175.2.1 | P 263 | L10 | # 70 | C/ 175 SC | C 175.2.4.6 | P 265 | L17 | # 454 |
| Bruckman, | , Leon | Nvidia | | | He, Xiang | | Huawei | | |
| Comment 1 | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment Type | TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) AM padding |
| PMA is | s also a sublayer | , and inner FEC shall be capi | talized | | | | is not defined clearly in the | | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | | | whenever there is a clock (tw ased on the context is that if | | |
| | | r FEC sublayer" to: "PMA or li "inner FEC" to "Inner FEC" | nner FEC sublag | /ers" | concatenate is also an ir | e them you w iterpretation | will get a "continuously-runnin of the word "free" to be each | ng" PRBS9 sec | quence; and finally there |
| Proposed F | Response | Response Status W | | | random see | | age was used in previous sta | andarda and th | a nad is discorded on |
| the sar "When | me time); therefo communicating referring to the Ir | es with either a PMA sublayer ore, the singular "sublayer" is with the PMA or inner FEC s nner FEC sublayer, the "I" sho | correct. The cor ublayer, the 1.6 ould indeed be o | ntext is: FBASE-R PCS uses" | especially to clearly or de | o the non-Er efine in a wa | sters were designed. Explaning nglish speaking regions. It way ny that showing we really don | ould be a nice | |
| Change | e instances of "ir | nner FEC" to "Inner FEC" thro | bughout the draf | t when referencing an | SuggestedRem | edy | | | |
| Inner F Implerr | e instances of "ir EC sublayer. hent with editoria 's note: CC: 45, | al license. | bughout the draf | t when referencing an | Change "Th | ie initial valu to "The initia | e of the PRBS9 pattern gene I value of the PRBS9 patterr rros." | | |
| Inner F Implerr [Editor' | EC sublayer. nent with editoria | al license. 175, 184] | bughout the draf | | Change "Th all zeros." | e initial valu o "The initia er than all ze | I value of the PRBS9 patterr | | |
| Inner F Implerr | EC sublayer. nent with editoria 's note: CC: 45, SC 175.2.4.1 | al license. 175, 184] | | t when referencing an # 670 | Change "Th all zeros." 1 pattern othe Proposed Resp | ne initial valu o "The initia er than all ze onse | I value of the PRBS9 pattern pros." | | |

sequence of blocks sent by the MAC TX function. SuggestedRemedy

Change the stateless 64B/66B encoder from the current definition in Table 172-1 to something like:

block and does not need to also look at the previous incoming block to validate the

"When reset is asserted, tx_coded is set to LBLOCK_T, otherwise tx_coded = $ENCODE(tx_raw)$ where LBLOCK_T is defined in 175.2.6.2.1 and the ENCODE function is defined in 175.2.6.2.3." or a much simplified table closer in form to Table 172-1.

Implement with editorial license.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comments #669. As currently written, it would be acceptable to allow the "free running pattern" to be continously updated in every clock cycle of an implementation or to allow a concatination of pad values to be a continuous PRBS9 pattern. However, it would not be a correct (or desirable) interpretation that every pad be allowed to have the same 133-bit pattern, which would be allowed with the change proposed in the suggested remedy since it would allow the pad of each alignment marker to have the same initial value.

In addition, the term "free running" should be hyphenated.

The "initial state" of the PRBS9 pattern generator can be made more clear with the following change:

Change the 5th sentence of the 2nd paragraph of 175.2.4.6,

From:

"The initial value of the PRBS9 pattern generators may be any pattern other than all zeros." To:

"The initial value of the PRBS9 pattern generators after PCS reset may be any pattern other than all zeros."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 175 SC 175.2.4.6 Page 44 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| discussic definition loose inte updating | review of the related slid on, it can be decided how a as in 177.4.7.2 (the scra erpretation to allow for m pattern generator. iicholl_3dj_01_2507.pdf | v free-running show | uld be interpreted ained from the pr | l, either as a very strict evious pad) or a more | Cl 175 SC 175 . Salvekar, Atul Comment Type ER Put in Generator F | Comme | P 272 Cadence Des nt Status D | L 13 sign Systems | # 37 (Logic) (bucket) |
|---|---|---------------------|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| C/ 175 | SC 175.2.4.6 | P 265 | L28 | # 298 | SuggestedRemedy | | | | |
| Brown, Matt | | Alphawave S | emi | | Change "X^58 scr | ambler" to "G(x) | =1 + x^39 + x^58 | 3" | |
| Comment Ty | pe E Comm | ent Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Proposed Response | Respons | e Status W | | |
| Use of po is uneces SuggestedRe | ossesive grammar is inco ssary here. emedy | | lar phrases used | | "scrambler". It wo | ler" on this page ial itself as the b uld be more app | e is just a label for lock label would l ropriate to use th | lose the reference ne name of the fur | lock in the figure - e that the block is the nction as defined in the al to be used in the |
| Change | "PCS lane's" to "PCS lan | ie" | | | scrambler is define | | | | |
| Proposed Re | , | se Status W | | | | | | · | |
| PROPOS | SED ACCEPT. | | | | In figure 175-7, on from: | page 272, char | ge the block labe | els at line 12 | |
| C/ 175 | SC 175.2.4.6.1 | P 266 | L10 | # 694 | "X^58 scrambler" | | | | |
| Dawe, Piers | | Nvidia | - | | to: "Scrambler" | | | | |
| Comment Ty | pe TR Comm | ent Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | | | | | |
| This is a | specification, not a scho | ol lecture. am_x i | s not an example | , we are defining its | C/ 175 SC 175. | 2.5.3 | P 273 | L 40 | # 433 |
| | re. 179 linear fit has "de | fine", which is bet | er although we d | on't usually write in the | Ran, Adee | | Cisco Systen | ns | |
| imperativ | | | | | Comment Type TR | Comme | nt Status D | CS sta | ateless encoder/decoder |
| SuggestedRe | emedy | | | | | | | | _2505.pdf, there is a |
| Change Let am_> bit transr | <119:0> be the alignme | nt marker for PCS | lane x, x=0 to 15 | , where bit 0 is the first | potential for corrup processed, due to | | | | ble codeword is ely in flow 0 and flow 1. |
| to The aligr is the firs | nment marker for PCS la t bit transmitted. | , | 15, is defined as | am_x<119:0>. Bit 0 | For the 1.6TBASE Solomon decoder ran_3dj_03a_2505 | applies error ext | | | ement that the Reed- and 25 of |
| Make sin | nilar changes elsewhere. | | | | SuggestedRemedy | | | | |
| Proposed Re PROPOS | sponse Respon SED REJECT. | se Status W | | | 257b block followir | ng the uncorrect | able codeword is | | y of the two flows, the ne descrambler) by a |
| | ding is identical to wordir | | | | block correspondir Implement with ed | | aracters. | | |
| as 91.5.2 | 2.6, 119.2.4.4.1, 119.2.4. | 4.2, 134.5.2.6, 15 | 2.5.3.6, and 161. | 5.2.6.1. There are | Proposed Response | Respons | e Status W | | |

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #669

many examples of the phrasing "Let <some variable> be or represent or equal something"

throughout the base standard and amendments.

C/ 175 SC 175.2.5.3

| C/ 175 | SC | 175.2.5.3 | P 273 | L 41 | # 669 |
|------------|------|-----------|------------------|------|--------------------------|
| Opsasnick, | Euge | ne | Broadcom | | |
| Comment T | ype | TR | Comment Status D | CS s | tateless encoder/decoder |

In ran_3dj_03a_2505.pdf, it was shown that the 64B/66B stateless decoder defined in 175.2.5.9, by reference to 172.2.5.9.2, may allow a corrupted 66-bit block to pass through to the MAC with a small probability. This can occur due to the error propagation of the descrambler from an uncorrectable FEC codeword into the first block the the following good FEC codeword. The 64B/66B stateless decoder does mark every block following an ERROR block as an ERROR which was originally intended to cover the de-scramber error propagation, but it does not work as intended due to the merging of data streams from the two parallel RX flows prior to the 64B/66B decoding.

SuggestedRemedy

The Reed-Solomon FEC decoder within each RX flow of the 1.6TbE PCS, by reference to to 119.2.5.3, causes every 66-block within two interleaved RS-FEC codewords to be set to an error block when one or both of the codewords is found to be uncorrectable. This should be extended to the four 66-bits blocks that make up the first 257-bit block of the following codeword to account for the errors possibly being propagated by the de-scramber that follows within each flow.

In addition, the 64B/66B stateless decoder in 175.2.5.9 can and should be simplified to not set each 66-block after an error block to also be set to an error block since this does not work as intended and the correct marking can be done more easily in the RE-FEC decoder within each RX flow.

The RS decoder in 200GbE, 400GbE and 800GbE PCS clauses 119.2.5.3 and 172.2.5.3 should also be updated to extend the marking of error blocks to the four 66-bits blocks that make up the first 257-bit block that follows an uncorrectable FEC codeword for all PHYs that can use the stateless 64B/66B decoder.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Pending review of the related slides in the following editorial presentation and CRG discussion.

<URL>/nicholl_3dj_01_2507.pdf

| C/ 175 | SC 175.2.5.3 | P 273 | L 50 | # 71 |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Bruckman | , Leon | Nvidia | | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| There | may be undetected | ed errors | | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| | e: "errors that we | | | |
| to: "err | ors that were det | ected but not corrected" | | |

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

| C/ 175 | SC 1 | 75.2.6.2. | 2 P 276 | L 20 | # 72 |
|-----------|------|-----------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Bruckman, | Leon | | Nvidia | | |
| Comment T | Гуре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |

The behavior of hi_ser is specified in 175.2.5.3. No need to detail it in the variables definitions.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the definition of hi_ser to: "Boolean variable that is set to true if hi_ser is asserted (see 172.2.5.3). Otherwise, this variable is set to false."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The suggested remedy is a circular definition using "hi_ser" to define "hi_ser". This could be changed to something like "Boolean variable that is asserted as defined in 175.2.5.3"; however, the definition is correct as written and is worded almost exactly the same as the definition of hi_ser in 119.2.6.2 - it only removes the MDIO mapping description - so that the reader can quickly see that it behaves the same as in the 200G/400G PCS. In addition, 175.2.5.3 does not actually have this definition, but only has a cross-reference to 119.2.5.3 where hi_ser is described in the text, so it is much more convenient for the reader to have this succinct definition immediately available instead of needing to track through multiple cross-references.

| C/ 175 | SC 175.2.6.2.4 | 4 P 277 | L17 | # 73 |
|-------------|----------------|------------------|-----|------------------|
| Bruckman, L | eon | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |

The text of the definition of this counter is different from the one in 119.2.6.2.4

SuggestedRemedy

Change the definition of amp_counter to: "This counter counts the interval of 32768 FEC codewords containing normal alignment marker payload sequences."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This counter definition is indeed worded slightly differently from the counter of the same name in 119.2.6.4. However, it matches the wording of the same counter in 172.2.6.2.4. This was discussed at length and the wording was carefully refined during the comment resolution of the 802.3df standard. See comment #I-80 in

<https://www.ieee802.org/3/df/comments/D3p0/8023df_D3p0_comments_final_clause.pdf>. Therefore, no change should be made.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 175 SC 175.2.6.2.4 Page 46 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| C/ 175 | SC 175.5 | P 280 | L 4 | # 589 |
|------------|---------------------|------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Shrikhande | , Kapil | Marvell | | |
| Comment T | <i>уре</i> т | Comment Status D | (L | ogic) PCS delay constraint. |

The 1.6TbE PCS and XS delay constraint value chosen in 802.3dj (400ns) is half of that specified for 800GE (800ns). There isn't a strong justification for cutting the delay constraint in half for 1.6TbE (compared to 800GE) : both 1.6TE and 800GE use the same FEC, and functional blocks within the PCS are the same. While there is a small reduction in FEC codeword accumulation latency since 1.6TbE uses 4x400G FEC while 800GE uses 4x200G FEC, this reduction is only ~ 12.5ns. Additionally, the delay constraint for 800GE PCS is the same as 400GE and 200GE PCS (~800ns). To enable a broad base of designs, across end-hosts as well as modules, recommend changing the 1.6TbE PCS/XS delay constraint value to match 800GE/400GE/200GE.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the delay constraint for 1.6TbE PCS (and XS) to be the same as 800GE (800ns or 2500 pause quanta).

| Proposed Response Response Status | w |
|-----------------------------------|---|
|-----------------------------------|---|

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

| C/ 175 | SC 175.6 | P 280 | L17 | # 340 |
|------------|----------------------------------|---|---------|-----------------------|
| de Koos, J | Andras | Microchip Tec | hnology | |
| Comment | Type E | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| _ | , _ | tilane_ability variable is asserted | | |
| PCS_ | timesync_mult | path data delays are reported a: tilane_ability variable is asserted t as if A, and B" when it should s | ? | rue, report as if A". |
| PCS_ | timesync_mult ext says "repor | ilane_ability variable is asserted | ? | rue, report as if A". |

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

| C/ 175 | SC · | 175.7 | F | 280 | L 30 | # 443 |
|--|--|--|--|--|-------------------|--|
| Ran, Adee | • | | Cis | co System | าร | |
| Comment [·] | Туре | TR | Comment State | is D | | (Common) AN timeout |
| | | or link_fail to retry A | | imum 60 s | seconds, creates | an unacceptably long |
| | | | ster restart of AN g/3/dj/public/25_05 | | | |
| The ch | nanges | proposed | to clause 175 app | ear on slid | les 5-6 of ran_3c | lj_02a_2505. |
| Suggested | Remed | ly | | | | |
| Implen license | | e changes | to clause 175 per | slides 5-6 | of ran_3dj_02a_ | _2505, with editorial |
| Proposed I | - | | | | | |
| | Respon | se | Response Statu | s W | | |
| | | | Response Statu | s W | | |
| PROP | OSED / | ACCEPT | , IN PRINCIPLE. | | G discussion | |
| PROP Pendir | , OSED / ng revie | ACCEPT w of the fo | , IN PRINCIPLE. | on and CF | | |
| PROP Pendir | , OSED / ng revie | ACCEPT w of the fo | , IN PRINCIPLE. | on and CF | | |
| PROP Pendir https:// | OSED / ng revie /www.ie | ACCEPT w of the for eee802.org | , IN PRINCIPLE. | on and CF | | |
| PROP Pendir https:// | OSED / ng revie /www.ie /s note: | ACCEPT w of the for eee802.org | IN PRINCIPLE. bilowing presentat g/3/dj/public/25_05 3, 119, 172] | on and CF | | # 695 |
| PROP Pendir https:// | OSED / ng revie /www.ie 's note: SC / | ACCEPT w of the for eee802.org CC 45, 7 | IN PRINCIPLE. blowing presentat g/3/dj/public/25_05 3, 119, 172] | on and CF /ran_3dj_(| 02a_2505.pdf | # 695 |
| PROP Pendir https:// [Editor C/ 176 | OSED / ng revie /www.ie /s note: SC / | ACCEPT w of the for eee802.org CC 45, 7 | IN PRINCIPLE. blowing presentat g/3/dj/public/25_05 3, 119, 172] | on and CF /ran_3dj_(2 288 dia | 02a_2505.pdf | # <mark>695</mark> (Logic) (bucket) |
| PROP Pendir https:// [Editor C/ 176 Dawe, Pier Comment | OSED / ng revie /www.ie /s note: SC / rs Type | ACCEPT w of the fo eee802.org CC 45, 7 176.1.1 T | IN PRINCIPLE. bllowing presentat g/3/dj/public/25_05 3, 119, 172] <i>F</i> Nv | on and CF /ran_3dj_(2 288 dia | 02a_2505.pdf | |
| PROP Pendir https:// [Editor C/ 176 Dawe, Pier Comment | OSED / ng revie /www.ie 's note: SC / rs Type types o | ACCEPT w of the for ee802.org CC 45, 7 176.1.1 T f the - del | IN PRINCIPLE. bilowing presentat g/3/dj/public/25_05 3, 119, 172] <i>F</i> Nv <i>Comment State</i> | on and CF /ran_3dj_(2 288 dia | 02a_2505.pdf | |
| PROP Pendir https:// [Editor C/ 176 Dawe, Pier Comment Three Suggested | OSED / ng revie /www.ie 's note: SC / rs Type types o | ACCEPT w of the fa ee802.org CC 45, 7 176.1.1 T f the - del ly | IN PRINCIPLE. bilowing presentat g/3/dj/public/25_05 3, 119, 172] <i>F</i> Nv <i>Comment State</i> | on and CF /ran_3dj_(2 288 dia | 02a_2505.pdf | |
| PROP Pendir https:// [Editor C/ 176 Dawe, Pier Comment Three Suggested | OSED / ng revie /www.ie /s note: <i>SC</i> rs <i>Type</i> types o <i>Remed</i> the, as | ACCEPT w of the for eee802.org CC 45, 7 176.1.1 T f the - del ty in 173 | IN PRINCIPLE. ollowing presentat g/3/dj/public/25_05 3, 119, 172] <i>F</i> Nv <i>Comment Stati</i> te the, as in 173 | on and CF /ran_3dj_(? 288 dia <i>u</i> s D | 02a_2505.pdf | |
| PROP Pendir https:// [Editor Cl 176 Dawe, Piel Comment Three Suggested Delete Proposed I | OSED / ng revie /www.ie /s note: <i>SC</i> rs <i>Type</i> types o <i>IRemed</i> the, as <i>Respon</i> | ACCEPT w of the for eee802.org CC 45, 7 176.1.1 T f the - del ty in 173 | IN PRINCIPLE. bilowing presentat g/3/dj/public/25_05 3, 119, 172] <i>F</i> Nv <i>Comment State</i> | on and CF /ran_3dj_(? 288 dia <i>u</i> s D | 02a_2505.pdf | |
| PROP Pendir https:// [Editor C/ 176 Dawe, Pier Comment Three Suggested Delete Proposed I PROP The co | OSED / ng revie /www.ie /s note: /s <i>SC</i> rs <i>Type</i> types o / <i>Remed</i> the, as <i>Respon</i> OSED I | ACCEPT w of the for eee802.org CC 45, 7 176.1.1 T f the - del /y in 173 ise REJECT. | IN PRINCIPLE. ollowing presentat g/3/dj/public/25_05 3, 119, 172] <i>F</i> Nv <i>Comment Statu</i> te the, as in 173 <i>Response Statu</i> | on and CF /ran_3dj_(?288 dia us D s W justification | D2a_2505.pdf | (Logic) (bucket) suggested remedy. |

C/ 176 SC 176.1.1

| | SC 176.1.4 | P 290 | L 35 | # 74 | C/ 176 | SC 176.2 | P 292 | L 51 | # 76 |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--------------------|------------------------|
| ruckman, Le | eon | Nvidia | | | Bruckman, | , Leon | Nvidia | | |
| omment Typ | e TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucke |
| | | quired in all cases described Delay alternating PCSLs by tw | | | | sistent naming w 294 line 8) | vith the paragraphs above. See | e similar paragra | aph in section 176.3 |
| uggestedRe | medy | | | | Suggested | lRemedy | | | |
| If this is a | list of general | I function that are not necess | arily needed in a | all cases then delete: | Chang | e: "from the sub | blayer above the PMA" to: "fro | m the client sub | layer" |
| If it is a fu | | 400GBASE-R PMAs". rictions then indicate for whic nt sections. | h cases each fu | nction is used | Proposed I PROP | Response OSED ACCEP | Response Status W | | |
| roposed Res | | Response Status W | | | C/ 176 | SC 176.3 | P 294 | L12 | # 77 |
| PROPOS | ED REJECT. | | | | Bruckman, | , Leon | Nvidia | | |
| | | general functions used by the | | | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucke |
| way RS-F | EC codeword | ific to the 200GBASE-R and interleaving and is called ou II SM PMAs when required. | | | | | IGNAL_OK is being considere is more deltailed. | ed. In the similar | paragraph of section |
| TUTICUOTIS | ale used by a | ii Sivi FiviAs when required. | | | Suggested | IRemedy | | | |
| / 176 | SC 176.1.5 | P 291 Nvidia | L 23 | # 75 | to: "the | e received SIGN | d SIGNAL_OK value." NAL_OK parameter from the si uest(SIGNAL_OK))." | ublayer above th | ne PMA |
| | | | | | (| | | | |
| omment Tvr | | | | (Logic) (bucket) | Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | |
| | | Comment Status D | limit the xAUI-m | (Logic) (bucket) to a single value. | Proposed I PROP | Response OSED ACCEP ⁻ | Response Status W | | |
| omment Typ In tables ⁻ uggestedRe | 176-1 and 176 | | limit the xAUI-m | | PROP | OSED ACCEPT | , Т. | / 9 | # 70 |
| In tables f | 176-1 and 176 <i>medy</i> | Comment Status D | | to a single value. | PROP C/ 176 | OSED ACCEP | т. Р 296 | L8 | # 78 |
| In tables ? In tables ? In tables ? | 176-1 and 176 <i>medy</i> | Comment Status D 5-2 no need for a foot note to 5-2 change: xAUI-m instance | | to a single value. | PROP C/ 176 Bruckman | OSED ACCEP SC 176.4.1 , Leon | Т. Р 296 Nvidia | L 8 | |
| In tables uggestedRe In tables to 1.6TAL | 176-1 and 176 <i>medy</i> 176-1 and 176 JI-16 and remo | Comment Status D 5-2 no need for a foot note to 5-2 change: xAUI-m instance | | to a single value. | PROP Cl 176 Bruckman Comment | OSED ACCEP SC 176.4.1 , Leon <i>Type</i> T R | т. Р 296 | L8 | # 78 (Logic) (bucke |
| In tables uggestedRe In tables to 1.6TAL | 176-1 and 176 <i>medy</i> 176-1 and 176 JI-16 and remo | Comment Status D 5-2 no need for a foot note to 5-2 change: xAUI-m instance by e footnote | | to a single value. | PROP Cl 176 Bruckman, Comment Missing | OSED ACCEP SC 176.4.1 , Leon <i>Type</i> TR g arrowhead | Т. Р 296 Nvidia | L 8 | |
| In tables ggestedRe In tables to 1.6TAL pposed Res PROPOS | 176-1 and 176 medy 176-1 and 176 JI-16 and remo sponse ED REJECT. | Comment Status D 5-2 no need for a foot note to 5-2 change: xAUI-m instance ove footnote Response Status W | s that are tagged | to a single value. | PROP C/ 176 Bruckman, Comment Missin, Suggested | OSED ACCEP SC 176.4.1 , Leon Type TR g arrowhead IRemedy | T. P 296 Nvidia Comment Status D | | |
| In tables uggestedRe In tables to 1.6TAL roposed Res PROPOS The tables inserted ir | 176-1 and 176 medy 176-1 and 176 JI-16 and remo sponse ED REJECT. s 176-1 and 1 hto the tables | Comment Status D 5-2 no need for a foot note to 5-2 change: xAUI-m instance by e footnote | s that are tagged ing variable "x". it is only valid fo | to a single value. d with the footnote "a" If 1.6TAUI-16 is or the x=1.6T SM- | PROP C/ 176 Bruckman, Comment Missin, Suggested | OSED ACCEP SC 176.4.1 , Leon <i>Type</i> TR g arrowhead <i>IRemedy</i> e arrowhead to | Т. Р 296 Nvidia | | |

C/ 176 SC 176.4.1

| B 1 . | 176.4.2.3.1 | P 298 | L 3 | # 79 | C/ 176 | SC 176.4.2.4 | I.2 P30 | 0 | L 29 | # 180 |
|--|--|--|-------------------|--|--|---|---|---|-------------|--------------------------|
| Bruckman, Leon | | Nvidia | | | Huber, Tho | omas | Nokia | | | |
| Comment Type | TR Co | mment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | Гуре Е | Comment Status | D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| The same info | ormation is prov | ided in the text and in | the eqautions be | low | The first | st sentence has | a list of two items sep | arated with a | comma rath | ner than 'and'. |
| SuggestedRemed | ly | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| 400GBASE-R | 16:2 PMA, it e | R 8:1 PMA, it equals N quals N × 136 RS-FEC "where N is an integer | symbols, where | | 400GB | ASE-R 16:2 PN | | | the 200GBA | SE-R 8:1 and |
| Proposed Respons | | ponse Status W | • | | Proposed I | 1 | Response Status | W | | |
| PROPOSED F | | | | | PROP | OSED ACCEPT | • | | | |
| | | _ | | | Cl 176 | SC 176.4.3 | P 30 | 4 | L 46 | # 299 |
| The draft is co clarity of the te | | . The suggested reme | dy does not impr | ove the accuracy or | Brown, Ma | tt | Alpha | wave Semi | | |
| , | | | | | Comment | Гуре Е | Comment Status | D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| | 176.4.2.4 | P 298 | L37 | # 179 | The wo | ould "may" is to | be used for the conte | t "is allowed t | о". | |
| Huber, Thomas | | Nokia | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| Comment Type | | mment Status D | "which omploy | <i>(Logic) (bucket)</i> are not necessary to. | | e "is allowed to" | | | | |
| understand the | e sentence (the | y are additional explan | atory information | n), so they should be | • | nent same in 17 | | | | |
| separated by o | commas both b | efore and after the phr | ases. | | Proposed I | | Response Status | W | | |
| SuggestedRemed | 'y | | | | | ge 304, line 46: | IN FRINCIFLE. | | | |
| Add a comma as follows: | after 800GBAS | SE-R 32:4 PMAs and a | fter 1.6TBASE-R | 16:8 PMA, so it reads | | | PCS lanes is allowed lanes proceeds thoug | | ough " | |
| | and a second | | 400GBASE-R | 16:2, and 800GBASE- | In subo | clause 179.9.5.2 | 2, on page 406, line 8: | | | |
| R 32:4 PMAs, | which employ | the 200GBASE-R 8:1 symbol-pair multiplexir quartet multiplexing. | | | 0 | e: "The receiver e receiver may o | is allowed to control th | ne" | | |
| R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which ei | which employs mploys symbol- | symbol-pair multiplexir | | | to: "Th | e receiver may o | is allowed to control th control the" | 1e" | | |
| R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which er | which employ mploys symbol- se Res | symbol-pair multiplexir quartet multiplexing. | | | to: "The [Editor | e receiver may o s note: CC: 176 | is allowed to control th control the" 6, 179] | | | |
| R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which er Proposed Respon PROPOSED F | which employ mploys symbol- se Res REJECT. | symbol-pair multiplexir quartet multiplexing. <i>ponse Status</i> W | g, but not by the | 1.6TBASE-R 16:8 | to: "Th | e receiver may o | is allowed to control th control the" 6, 179] | | L 16 | # 80 |
| R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which er Proposed Respon PROPOSED F | which employ mploys symbol- se Res REJECT. | symbol-pair multiplexir quartet multiplexing. | g, but not by the | 1.6TBASE-R 16:8 | to: "Th [Editor <i>Cl</i> 176 Bruckman, | e receiver may o s note: CC: 176 SC 176.4.3.2 Leon | is allowed to control th control the" 6, 179] 2 P30 Nvidia | 95 | L16 | # 80 |
| R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which er Proposed Respon PROPOSED F | which employ mploys symbol- se Res REJECT. | symbol-pair multiplexir quartet multiplexing. <i>ponse Status</i> W | g, but not by the | 1.6TBASE-R 16:8 | to: "Th [Editor C/ 176 Bruckman, Comment | e receiver may o s note: CC: 176 SC 176.4.3.2 Leon Type TR | is allowed to control the control the" 5, 179] 2 P 30 Nvidia Comment Status | 15 i D | L 16 | # 80 (Logic) (bucket) |
| R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which er Proposed Respon PROPOSED F | which employ mploys symbol- se Res REJECT. | symbol-pair multiplexir quartet multiplexing. <i>ponse Status</i> W | g, but not by the | 1.6TBASE-R 16:8 | to: "Th [Editor C/ 176 Bruckman, Comment | e receiver may o s note: CC: 176 SC 176.4.3.2 Leon Type TR | is allowed to control th control the" 6, 179] 2 P30 Nvidia | 15 i D | L16 | |
| R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which er Proposed Respon PROPOSED F | which employ mploys symbol- se Res REJECT. | symbol-pair multiplexir quartet multiplexing. <i>ponse Status</i> W | g, but not by the | 1.6TBASE-R 16:8 | to: "Th [Editor C/ 176 Bruckman, Comment In the r Suggested | e receiver may o s note: CC: 176 SC 176.4.3.2 Leon Type TR receive function <i>Remedy</i> | is allowed to control the control the" 5, 179] 2 P 30 Nvidia Comment Status | D D ot steps | | |
| R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which er Proposed Respon PROPOSED F | which employ mploys symbol- se Res REJECT. | symbol-pair multiplexir quartet multiplexing. <i>ponse Status</i> W | g, but not by the | 1.6TBASE-R 16:8 | to: "Th [Editor C/ 176 Bruckman, Comment In the r Suggested | e receiver may o s note: CC: 176 SC 176.4.3.2 Leon Type TR receive function Remedy e: "to the next st | is allowed to control the control the" 5, 179] 2 P30 Nvidia Comment Status there are processes r | D D teps processe | | |
| R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which er Proposed Respon PROPOSED F | which employ s mploys symbol- se Res REJECT. | symbol-pair multiplexir quartet multiplexing. <i>ponse Status</i> W | g, but not by the | 1.6TBASE-R 16:8 | to: "Th [Editor C/ 176 Bruckman, Comment In the r Suggested Chang Proposed I | e receiver may o s note: CC: 176 SC 176.4.3.2 Leon Type TR receive function Remedy e: "to the next st Response | is allowed to control the control the" 5, 179] 2. P 30 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> there are processes r teps" to: "to the next s | D D teps processe | | |
| R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which er Proposed Respon PROPOSED F | which employ s mploys symbol- se Res REJECT. | symbol-pair multiplexir quartet multiplexing. <i>ponse Status</i> W | g, but not by the | 1.6TBASE-R 16:8 | to: "Th [Editor C/ 176 Bruckman, Comment In the r Suggested Chang Proposed I PROP | e receiver may o s note: CC: 176 SC 176.4.3.2 Leon Type TR receive function Remedy e: "to the next st Response DSED ACCEPT | is allowed to control the control the" 5, 179] 2. P 30 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> there are processes r teps" to: "to the next s <i>Response Status</i> | D D tot steps teps processe W | es" | (Logic) (bucket) |

| C/ 176 | SC 176.4.3.2. | 1 P 305 | L 28 | # 696 | C/ 176 | SC 17 | 76.7.1.2 | P 316 | L 24 | # 449 |
|-----------|--------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|--|------------------|-----------------------|
| Dawe, Pie | rs | Nvidia | | | He, Xiang | | | Huawei | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket |
| round- | robin and round r | obin | | | | | | agement, how would precoo | | |
| Suggested | IRemedy | | | | | | | Inderstand this is the languat troduced. Combining this wil | | |
| alterna | ating, in rotation | | | | | | | vithout precoding may not be | | |
| roposed | Response | Response Status W | | | precod | ding turne | d on? | | | |
| PROP | OSED REJECT. | | | | Suggestea | Remedy | | | | |
| Round | l-robin is a comm | on term that has been used | in multiple claus | es in the standard (e.g. | | | | o implement precoding on th defined to have precoding d | | |
| clause | s 23, 46, 81, 82, | 91, 119, 134, 148, 149, 152 |) | | Proposed | Response | е | Response Status W | | |
| The pr | oposed wording o | change does not improve the | e technical clarity | or accuracy of the text. | PROP | OSED R | EJECT. | | | |
| C/ 176 | SC 176.7.1.2 | P316 | L11 | # 181 | Resolv | ve using t | he respo | nse to comment #186 | | |
| Huber, Th | omas | Nokia | | | [Editor | r's note: C | C: 176, | 177] | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | C/ 176 | SC 17 | 7672 | P316 | L 28 | # 81 |
| | | ured either based on ILT (as implementation" (as in the la | | | | | 0.7.2 | | L 20 | # 01 |
| | | oder_{tx rx}_{in out}_enable_ | | | Bruckman | , | | Nvidia | | (Lessie) (hereise |
| | | doesn't sound like the user I | | | Comment Missin | | ER | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucke |
| Suggested | lRemedy | | | | | g word | | | | |
| | | bles entirely, or treat them a | | | Suggested | | | | | |
| | | some value in the user knov T is not being used is that t | | | | • | | opback mode enabled" to: "W | When local loops | back mode is enabled" |
| | | a per-lane basis, make that | | | Proposed | , | | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | PROP | OSED A | CCEPT. | | | |
| | | - | | | | | | | | |

PROPOSED REJECT.

Resolve using the response to comment #186 [Editor's note: CC: 176, 177]

> C/ 176 SC 176.7.2

| | SC 176.7.4.2 | P 317 | L16 | # 9 | C/ 17 |
|--|--|---|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Marris, Ai | rthur | Cadence Des | ign Systems | | Ofelt |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comr |
| | PRB31Q pattern ne een sent to the ch | eeds decoding before being s ecker. | sent to the PRBS | S31 checker, not after it | M T |
| Suggeste | dRemedy | | | | 5 P |
| provid enabl | ded by the PRBS3 | ved" to "preceded" in "The P 1 checker (see 176.7.4.1), fo iray mapping in the PAM4 de 177.6.2.2 | ollowed by invers | se precoding (if | e T is th |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | C |
| PROF | POSED ACCEPT I | N PRINCIPLE. | | | b g |
| Danla | | | | | S |
| Repla | ace the word tollow | ved" by "preceded" as per th | le suggested ren | nedy in 176.7.4.2. | tr |
| | | n 177.6.2.2 because wording | g is different and | I the suggested remedy | Sugge |
| does | not apply. | | | | U |
| C/ 176 | SC 176.8 | P 318 | L 7 | # 567 | te sl |
| Nicholl, S | hawn | AMD | | | n |
| Comment | | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | W |
| | | 6-7 Delay constraints" also | o pertain to 2000 | | re b |
| | | hey don't just pertain to 8000 | | | a |
| | | | | | th |
| | | nitions for bit times and paus | se_quanta can b | e found in 169.4." | Propo |
| | | | | | |
| Suggeste | dRemedy | | | | P |
| Propo | osed text: " the d | efinitions for bit times and pa | ause_quanta car | ו be found in 116.4, | P |
| Propo 169.4 | osed text: " the d , and 174.4" | efinitions for bit times and pa | ause_quanta car | n be found in 116.4, | P T |
| Propo 169.4 | osed text: " the d | efinitions for bit times and pa | ause_quanta car | ו be found in 116.4, | P T P |
| Propo 169.4 Proposed | osed text: " the d , and 174.4" | Response Status W | ause_quanta car | n be found in 116.4, | P T |
| Propo 169.4 Proposed PROF | osed text: " the de , and 174.4" <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT I | Response Status W | ause_quanta car | n be found in 116.4, | P T P |
| Propo 169.4 Proposed PROF Chan | osed text: " the de , and 174.4" <i>I Response</i> POSED ACCEPT I ge from | Response Status W | | | P T p d |
| Propo 169.4 Proposed PROF Chan " the to | osed text: " the de , and 174.4" <i>I Response</i> POSED ACCEPT I ge from e definitions for bit | Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. times and pause_quanta ca | an be found in 16 | 59.4 " | P T di |
| Propo 169.4 Proposed PROF Chan " the to | osed text: " the d , and 174.4" <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT I ge from e definitions for bit e definitions for bit | Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. | an be found in 16 | 59.4 " | P T p d |
| Propo 169.4 Proposed PROF Chan " the to " the | osed text: " the d , and 174.4" <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT I ge from e definitions for bit e definitions for bit | Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. times and pause_quanta ca | an be found in 16 | 59.4 " | P T p d |
| Propo 169.4 Proposed PROF Chan " the to " the | osed text: " the d , and 174.4" <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT I ge from e definitions for bit e definitions for bit | Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. times and pause_quanta ca | an be found in 16 | 59.4 " | P T p d |
| Propo 169.4 Proposed PROF Chan " the to " the | osed text: " the d , and 174.4" <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT I ge from e definitions for bit e definitions for bit | Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. times and pause_quanta ca | an be found in 16 | 59.4 " | P T p d |

| C/ 176B SC 176B | P699 L12 | # 263 |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Ofelt, David | Juniper Networks | |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | (Common) legacy 50 ppm |

ave changed the ppm tolerance of the 200Gb/s SERDES to be 50ppm in all cases. eads to interoperability issues when plugging an older PMD (generated with 25Gb/s or s SERDES) into a new 200Gb/s SERDES-based receiver or when a new 802.3dj s plugged into an older box using 25Gb/s or 50Gb/s SERDES due to the fact one those links generates data at 100ppm and the receive side can only handle 50ppm. plution is to insert an XS to do rate matching. At the moment, I believe this interop is not called out anywhere in the draft. I'd like to add in something in the draft to bring ader's attention to the fact that this issue exists. Adding the required XS also will PTP accuracy to suffer. Note that this was not an issue in the 100Gb/s SERDES se they were specified to tolerate 100pm at the receiver, so there were no multiational interop issues. This is also not a problem when 100Gb/s source and 200Gb/s ed PMDs are connected because the 100Gb/s SERDES are specified to have nitters that are 50ppm.

Remedv

ofully, I don't have fully worked out edit, but will be happy to work with the editorial n finding a solution. One approach would be to add two examples in clause 176B ng the stack with an included XS for an existing 100ppm-based PMD plugged into a 00Gb/s-based host and a new 200Gb/s sourced PMD plugged into an older system. ould also include a comment that PTP performance will be impacte due to the ement for that XS to add or delete idles to match the rates. Another apporach would add a comment to all the places that 50ppm receiver tolerance is specified, but there ot of those and the way 176B is structured seems to lend itself well to documenting sue.

Response Response Status W

OSED REJECT.

provides good justification for providing some guidance for cases where a plemented based on the original requirements must work with the PHY types newly d in this proiect.

ver, the suggested remedy does not provide sufficient detail to implement.

ailed consensus contribution is encouraged.

C/ 176B SC 176B

| C/ 176B SC 176B.2 | P 700 | L 8 | # 270 | C/ 176B SC | C 176B.4 | P 702 | L 40 | # 266 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Wang, Xuebo | Huawei | | | Wang, Xuebo | | Huawei | | |
| Comment Type E Commer | nt Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Comment Type | т | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket |
| "of" is missing between "the numbe | er" and "upper". | | | | | PMA instantiations seems to | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | er, for 200 Gb/s and 400 Gb/s nex 176B.5, some cases are n | | • |
| Add "of" between "the number" and | d "upper". | | | with 25 Gbp | os per lane | and 50 Gbps per lane are not | included for no | |
| Proposed Response Response | e Status W | | | • | | ested to add those missing ca | ises. | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT. | | | | SuggestedRem | • | | | |
| C/ 176B SC 176B.2 | P701 | L 40 | # 271 | | | I2: change the title "8:1 and 8 8:1 PMA instantiations for 20 | | |
| Wang, Xuebo | Huawei | 240 | | four 50 Gb/s | s physical l | anes. | | |
| 0 | nt Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | 2. On Page interface. | 703, Line 1 | 1: change "n = 2 or 4" to "n = | 2, 4 or 8" to in | clude 200GAUI-8 |
| Typo: "my" should be changed to " | | | | | 704, Line 2 | 21 and 22: change "{n,p}" to "p | ". This change | is consistent with the |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | 6B-1 and avoids the trouble of 85, change "120E (C2M)" to "1 | | |
| Change "my" to "may". | | | | | | 14, change " $n = 2 \text{ or } 4$ " to " $n = 2 $ | | |
| | e Status W | | | interface. | 705 1 | | 000 (000) | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT. | | | | | | 1, change "120E (C2M)" to "1 7, change "n = 2 or 4" to "n = | | |
| | | | | interface. | | - | | |
| C/ 176B SC 176B.3 | P 702 | L 22 | # 272 | | | 23 and 24: change "{n,p}" to "p 6B-1 and avoids the trouble of | | |
| Wang, Xuebo | Huawei | | | 9. On Page | 707, Line 3 | 30, change the title "16:8, 16:4 | , and 16:2 PM | A instantiations for |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | nt Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | | | o "16:16, 16:8, 16:4, and 16:2 BASE-SR16 PMD. | PMA instantia | tions for 400GBASE-R |
| "4:32 BM-PMA" should be changed | d to "4:32 SM-PM | IA", as the PMA | above it is an SM-PMA. | | | 36, change "p is 2, 4, or 8" to | "p is 2, 4, 8, o | r 16". |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | 4, change " 16:{4,8,16}:{4,8}, | | |
| Change "4:32 BM-PMA" to "4:32 S | M-PMA". | | | | | able titles to "{4,8,16}" in Line Line 30 on Page 710. | 21 on Page 70 | 8, Line 4 and Line 28 on |
| Proposed Response Response | e Status W | | | 13. On Pag | e 708, Line | 8, change "n=4" to "n=4, 8, o | r 16" to include | 400GAUI-8 and |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT. | | | | 400GAUI-10 14 On Pag | | s. 14, change "p=4" to "p=4, 8, | or 16" to includ | e PMDs with 8 and 16 |
| | | | | physical lan | , | | | |
| | | | | 15. On Pag physical lan | | 34, change "p=4: or 8" to "p= | 4, 8, or 16" to i | nclude PMD with 16 |
| | | | | | | 709 and Line 53 on Page 710 |), change "p=4 | or 8" to "p=4, 8, or 16" |
| | | | | to include P | PMD with 16 | physical lanes. | | • |
| | | | | | | 15 and 16, change "{m, n}" to 17, change "n=4 or 8" to "n=4 | | |
| | | | | interface. | | | | |
| | | | | | | 20, add "n=16: 120C (C2C)" 23, change "{n,p}=4 or 8" to " | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 176B
 Page 52 of 184

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 176B.
 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 176B.
 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| Proposed R | , | | Response Sta IN PRINCIPLE. | | | | C/ 176B | SC 176B.5.1 | P 710 | L10 | # 280 |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| | - | | ed remedy with | editorial licen | se. | | Wang, Xueb | 0 | Huawei | | |
| C/ 176B | SC 1 | 76B.4.2 | | P706 | L1 | # 278 | Comment Ty | rpe E | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket |
| Wang, Xuet | | 700.4.2 | F | luawei | - 1 | # <u>210</u> | | is missing betv 51 on Page 71 | ween m=2 and 176. The sa I0. | me happens in L | ine 16, 19, 24, 36, 42, |
| Comment T | ype | E | Comment Sta | atus D | | (Common) (bucket) | SuggestedRe | emedy | | | |
| | | | | | | e only talks about 6B.5.2 and Line 27 on | Add a co | olon between 2 | 2 and 176 in Line 10, 16, 19 | 9, 24, 36, 42, 45, | and 51 on Page 710. |
| | | L176B.6.2 | | | | 0D.3.2 and Line 27 on | Proposed Re | esponse | Response Status W | | |
| SuggestedR | | | | D 700 | | | | | IN PRINCIPLE. | ense. | |
| Delete " | '400GB | ASE-R P | PHYs" in Line 1 PHYs" in Line 1 PHYs" in Line 27 | on Page 711 | | | C/ 176B | SC 176B.6.1 | P713 | L 28 | # 274 |
| Proposed R | | | Response Sta | 0 | | | Wang, Xueb | 0 | Huawei | | |
| , | , | | • | | | | Comment Ty | | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket |
| PROPO | SFD A | CCFPT I | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | | | | | | |
| For 200 | G and 4 | 400G, the | | | | e the instantiations | The note | e should descr | ibe how an n:p PMA is forn | ned instead of an | m:n PMA |
| For 200 defined | G and 4 | 400G, the subclause | ere are no define e. However, the | re is one def | ined 800G PH | e the instantiations ′ type that may use | The note SuggestedRe | | ibe how an n:p PMA is forn | ned instead of an | m:n PMA |
| For 200 defined these in instantia | G and 4 in this s instantiat ations a | 400G, the subclause tions as r are also re | ere are no define e. However, the noted in the sen elevant to the 80 | re is one def tence "These 00GBASE-R | ined 800G PHነ e | | SuggestedRo Change | e <i>medy</i> the sentence ' | ibe how an n:p PMA is forn "The combination of m:32 F :32 PMA and 32:p PMA forn | PMA and 32:n PM | |
| For 200 defined these in instantia shown (Delete " | G and 4 in this s istantiat ations a (with Inr '200GB | 400G, the subclause tions as r are also re ner FEC) SASE-R P | ere are no defin- e. However, the noted in the sen elevant to the 80 in Figure 176B- PHYs" in Line 1 | re is one def tence "These DOGBASE-R -2." on Page 706 | ined 800G PHY PHY type defin | ' type that may use | SuggestedRo Change | e <i>medy</i> the sentence ' nbination of n: | "The combination of m:32 F | PMA and 32:n PM | |
| For 200 defined these in instantia shown (Delete " | G and 4 in this s istantiat ations a (with Inr '200GB | 400G, the subclause tions as r are also re ner FEC) SASE-R P | ere are no define e. However, the noted in the sen elevant to the 80 in Figure 176B- | re is one def tence "These DOGBASE-R -2." on Page 706 | ined 800G PHY PHY type defin | ' type that may use | SuggestedRo Change "The cor Proposed Ro PROPOS | emedy the sentence ' nbination of n: esponse SED ACCEPT | "The combination of m:32 F 32 PMA and 32:p PMA for <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. | PMA and 32:n PM ms an n:p PMA". | |
| For 200 defined these in instantia shown (Delete " Delete " | IG and 4 in this s instantiat ations a (with Inr '200GB '400GB | 400G, the subclause tions as r are also re ner FEC) SASE-R P | ere are no defin- e. However, the noted in the sen elevant to the 80 in Figure 176B- PHYs" in Line 1 | re is one def tence "These DOGBASE-R -2." on Page 706 | ined 800G PHY PHY type defin | ' type that may use | SuggestedRo Change "The cor Proposed Ro PROPOS | emedy the sentence ' nbination of n: esponse SED ACCEPT | The combination of m:32 F 32 PMA and 32:p PMA for <i>Response Status</i> W | PMA and 32:n PM ms an n:p PMA". | |
| For 200 defined these in instantia shown (Delete " Delete " | IG and 4 in this s astantiat ations a (with Inr 200GB 400GB SC 17 | 400G, the subclause tions as r are also re ner FEC) ASE-R P ASE-R P | ere are no defin e. However, the noted in the sen elevant to the 80 in Figure 176B- PHYs" in Line 1 PHYs" in Line 1 | re is one def tence "These 00GBASE-R -2." on Page 706 on Page 711 | ined 800G PHY PHY type defin | ✓ type that may use ned in Clause 185 and | SuggestedRo Change "The cor Proposed Ro PROPOS | emedy the sentence ' nbination of n: esponse SED ACCEPT | "The combination of m:32 F 32 PMA and 32:p PMA for <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with editorial lic | PMA and 32:n PM ms an n:p PMA". | |
| For 200 defined these in instantia shown (Delete " | G and 4 in this s astantiat ations a (with Inr 200GB '400GB '400GB | 400G, the subclause tions as r are also re ner FEC) ASE-R P ASE-R P | ere are no defin e. However, the noted in the sen elevant to the 80 in Figure 176B- PHYs" in Line 1 PHYs" in Line 1 | re is one def tence "These 00GBASE-R -2." on Page 706 on Page 711 P706 tuawei | ined 800G PHY PHY type defin | ✓ type that may use ned in Clause 185 and | SuggestedR Change "The cor Proposed Re PROPOS Impleme | emedy the sentence ' nbination of n: esponse SED ACCEPT ent the sugges SC 176B.6.2 | "The combination of m:32 F 32 PMA and 32:p PMA for <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with editorial lic | PMA and 32:n PM ms an n:p PMA". cense. | /IA forms an m:n PMA" to |
| For 200 defined these in instantia shown (Delete " C/ 176B Wang, Xuet Comment Ty "Figure | G and 4 in this s istantiat ations a (with Inr 200GB. '400GB. '400GB. SC 17 bo ype 176B-2 | 400G, the subclause tions as r are also re ner FEC) BASE-R P BASE-R P 76B.4.2 T should | ere are no defin e. However, the noted in the sen elevant to the 80 in Figure 176B- PHYs" in Line 1 PHYs" in Line 1 HYs" in Line 1 HYs" be changed to | re is one def tence "These 00GBASE-R -2." on Page 706 on Page 711 P706 Iuawei atus D 'Figure 176B | PHY type defin | <pre>/ type that may use // ty</pre> | SuggestedRo Change "The cor Proposed Ro PROPOS Impleme Cl 176B | emedy the sentence ' nbination of n: esponse SED ACCEPT ent the sugges SC 176B.6.2 o | The combination of m:32 F 32 PMA and 32:p PMA form <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with editorial lice P715 | PMA and 32:n PM ms an n:p PMA". cense. | /IA forms an m:n PMA" to |
| For 200 defined these in instantia shown (Delete " Delete " C/ 176B Vang, Xuet Comment Ty "Figure | G and 4 in this s istantiat ations a (with Inr 200GB. '400GB. '400GB. SC 17 bo ype 176B-2 | 400G, the subclause tions as r are also re ner FEC) BASE-R P BASE-R P 76B.4.2 T should | ere are no defin e. However, the hoted in the sen elevant to the 80 in Figure 176B- PHYs" in Line 1 PHYs" in Line 1 HYs" in Line 1 H | re is one def tence "These 00GBASE-R -2." on Page 706 on Page 711 P706 Iuawei atus D 'Figure 176B | PHY type defin | <pre>/ type that may use // ty</pre> | SuggestedRe Change "The cor Proposed Re PROPOS Impleme Cl 176B Wang, Xueb Comment Ty PMD doe | emedy the sentence ' nbination of n: esponse SED ACCEPT sent the sugges SC 176B.6.2 o <i>pe</i> T es not exist in | "The combination of m:32 F 32 PMA and 32:p PMA for <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with editorial lic <i>P</i> 715 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> D Extender. The example sho | PMA and 32:n PM ms an n:p PMA". cense. <i>L</i> 39 puld be like: an ir | /A forms an m:n PMA" to # 279 (Common) (bucket |
| For 200 defined these in instantia shown (Delete " Delete " C/ 176B Wang, Xuet Comment Ty "Figure 176B-3 | G and ² in this s astantiat ations a (with Inr 200GB '400GB '400GB SC 17 bo SC 17 bo 176B-2 instead | 400G, the subclause tions as r are also re ner FEC) ASE-R P ASE-R P 76B.4.2 T T should d of 176B | ere are no defin e. However, the noted in the sen elevant to the 80 in Figure 176B- PHYs" in Line 1 PHYs" in Line 1 HYs" in Line 1 HYs" be changed to | re is one def tence "These 00GBASE-R -2." on Page 706 on Page 711 P706 Iuawei atus D 'Figure 176B | PHY type defin | <pre>/ type that may use // ty</pre> | SuggestedRe Change "The cor Proposed Re PROPOS Impleme Cl 176B Wang, Xueb Comment Ty PMD doe 800GAU | emedy the sentence ' nbination of n: esponse SED ACCEPT ent the sugges SC 176B.6.2 o cpe T es not exist in I-n and one B | "The combination of m:32 F 32 PMA and 32:p PMA for <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with editorial lic P715 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> D | PMA and 32:n PM ms an n:p PMA". cense. <i>L</i> 39 puld be like: an ir | /A forms an m:n PMA" to # 279 (Common) (bucket |
| For 200 defined these in instantia shown (Delete " Delete " C/ 176B Wang, Xuet Comment Ty "Figure 176B-3 SuggestedF | G and ² in this s astantiat ations a (with Inr 200GB '400GB '400GB SC 17 bo SC 17 bo ype 176B-2 instead Remedy | 400G, the subclause tions as r are also re ner FEC) SASE-R P SASE-R P 76B.4.2 T T Should d of 176B | ere are no defin e. However, the hoted in the sen elevant to the 80 in Figure 176B- PHYs" in Line 1 PHYs" in Line 1 H Comment Sta be changed to ' -2. The same is | re is one def tence "These DOGBASE-R -2." on Page 706 on Page 711 P706 Huawei atus D 'Figure 176B ssue happen | PHY type defin L3 -3", as the Exte s in Line 3 on F | <pre>/ type that may use // ty</pre> | SuggestedRe Change "The cor Proposed Re PROPOS Impleme Cl 176B Wang, Xueb Comment Ty PMD doe 800GAU SuggestedRe | emedy the sentence ' nbination of n: esponse SED ACCEPT ent the sugges SC 176B.6.2 o ype T es not exist in I-n and one B emedy | The combination of m:32 F 32 PMA and 32:p PMA form Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with editorial lic P715 Huawei Comment Status D Extender. The example sho 800GAUI-n is denoted "SE | PMA and 32:n PM ms an n:p PMA". cense. <i>L</i> 39 puld be like: an ir | /A forms an m:n PMA" to # 279 (Common) (bucket |
| For 200 defined these in instantia shown (Delete " Delete " C/ 176B Wang, Xuet Comment Ty "Figure 176B-3 SuggestedF | G and 4 in this s astantiat ations a with Inr 200GB 400GB 5C 17 bo ype 176B-2 instead Remedy a "Figure | 400G, the subclause tions as r are also re ner FEC) BASE-R P BASE-R P 76B.4.2 T " should d of 176B-2 | ere are no defin e. However, the hoted in the sen elevant to the 80 in Figure 176B- PHYs" in Line 1 PHYs" in Line 1 H Comment Sta be changed to ' -2. The same is | re is one def tence "These DOGBASE-R -2." on Page 706 on Page 711 P706 Huawei atus D 'Figure 176B ssue happen B-3" in Line 3 | PHY type defin L3 -3", as the Exte s in Line 3 on F | 7 type that may use and in Clause 185 and # 273 (<i>Common</i>) (<i>bucket</i>) ender is shown in Figure Page 711. | SuggestedRe Change "The cor Proposed Re PROPOS Impleme Cl 176B Wang, Xueb Comment Ty PMD doe 800GAU SuggestedRe | emedy the sentence ' nbination of n: esponse SED ACCEPT ent the sugges SC 176B.6.2 o ype T es not exist in I-n and one B emedy | "The combination of m:32 F 32 PMA and 32:p PMA for <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with editorial lic <i>P</i> 715 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> D Extender. The example sho | PMA and 32:n PM ms an n:p PMA". cense. <i>L</i> 39 puld be like: an ir | /A forms an m:n PMA" to # 279 (Common) (bucket |
| For 200 defined these in instantia shown (Delete " Delete " C/ 176B Wang, Xuet Comment Ty "Figure 176B-3 SuggestedR Change Proposed R | G and 4 in this s astantiat ations a with Inr 200GB. 400GB. 5C 17 bo 5C 17 bo 776B-2 instead Remedy 9 "Figure 2espons | 400G, the subclause tions as r are also re ner FEC) BASE-R P BASE-R P 76B.4.2 T " should d of 176B-2 | ere are no defin e. However, the hoted in the sen elevant to the 80 in Figure 176B- PHYs" in Line 1 PHYs" in Line 1 PHYs" in Line 1 <i>Comment Sta</i> be changed to ' -2. The same is | re is one def tence "These DOGBASE-R -2." on Page 706 on Page 711 P706 Huawei atus D 'Figure 176B ssue happen B-3" in Line 3 | PHY type defin L3 -3", as the Exte s in Line 3 on F | 7 type that may use and in Clause 185 and # 273 (<i>Common</i>) (<i>bucket</i>) ender is shown in Figure Page 711. | SuggestedRe Change "The cor Proposed Re PROPOS Impleme Cl 176B Wang, Xueb Comment Ty PMD doe 800GAU SuggestedRe | emedy the sentence ' nbination of n: esponse SED ACCEPT ent the sugges SC 176B.6.2 o ype T es not exist in I-n and one B emedy "one B PMD" | The combination of m:32 F 32 PMA and 32:p PMA form Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with editorial lic P715 Huawei Comment Status D Extender. The example sho 800GAUI-n is denoted "SE | PMA and 32:n PM ms an n:p PMA". cense. <i>L</i> 39 puld be like: an ir | /A forms an m:n PMA" to # 279 (Common) (bucket |

C/ 176B SC 176B.6.2 Page 53 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| C/ 176B S | SC 176B.6.2 | P 715 | L 44 | # 275 | C/ 176C | SC 176C. | 2 | P 720 | L 5 | # 39 |
|--|--|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Wang, Xuebo | | Huawei | | | Liu, Cathy | | | Broadcom Ind | с. | |
| Comment Type | e T | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Comment T | vpe E | Comment | Status D | | (Electrical) BER_addeo |
| "B", respec | ctively, per CL | interfaces and bit-multiplexe 176B.6.2. However, "S" and | l "B" are missing | g in the titles of Table | | R_added is nt are two-b | | x 10 ^ -4. It is t | hree-bit decimal | . Other places in the |
| | | e happens in the titles of 17 Ing also does not fit with the | | | SuggestedF | emedy | | | | |
| SuggestedRen | | .g | | | Change | to 2.84 x 10 |) ^ -4 | | | |
| Change the "800 Gb/s Change the | e title of Table 32:4:32 and 3 e title of Table | 176B-25 "800 Gb/s 32:4:32 2:8:32 (S or B) PMA instant 176B-26 "800 Gb/s 32:8:8: | iations"; 32 and 32:4:4:3 | 82 (n = m) PMA | | SED REJE | Response - CT. esponse to comm | | | |
| | | o/s 32:8:8:32 and 32:4:4:32 176B-27 "800 Gb/s PMA 3 | | | C/ 176C | SC 176C. | 3 | P 721 | L15 | # 40 |
| instantiatio | ons" to "800 GI | o/s 32:4:8:32 and 32:8:4:32 | (n≠m, SB or BS | S) PMA instantiations". | Liu, Cathy | | | Broadcom Ind | с. | |
| Proposed Res | ponse | Response Status W | | | Comment T | vpe T | Comment | Status D | ctric | al) (bucket) C2C channel |
| | ED ACCEPT IN the suggestee | N PRINCIPLE. d remedy with editorial licen | se. | | channel | could have | no connector or | up to one conne | ector. The figure | channel. The C2C might misleading the |
| 7 176B S | SC 176B.7.1 | P 717 | L 2 | # 276 | | | ve one connecto | r" for the C2C ir | nterconnect. | |
| Vang, Xuebo | | Huawei | | | SuggestedF | - | | lan in antion - I | | |
| comment Type | e E | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | | | that the connect | • | | |
| "or 8" is re | dundant. | | | | Proposed R | , | Response | Status W | | |
| SuggestedRen | nedy | | | | PROPC | SED ACCE | Ы. | | | |
| - | 011 in 1 in n 0 nu | Page 717. | | | C/ 176C | SC 176C. | 6.2 | P 723 | L17 | # 614 |
| Delete "or | 8 In Line 2 or | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | Response Status W | | | Palkert, Tho | mas | | Samtec, Mac | om | |
| roposed Res | | 0 | | | Palkert, Tho Comment T | | Comment | , | | al) Reference impedance |
| roposed Resp PROPOSE | oonse ED ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | # 077 | Comment T | vpe TR | <i>Comment</i> s should be 92.5 | Status D | | al) Reference impedance |
| roposed Resp PROPOSE / 176B S | ponse | Response Status W | L24 | # 277 | Comment T | <i>vpe</i> TR dance value | | Status D | | al) Reference impedance |
| Proposed Resp PROPOSE 7 176B S Vang, Xuebo | bonse ED ACCEPT. CC 176B.7.2 | Response Status W P718 Huawei | L24 | | Comment T All impe SuggestedF | vpe TR dance value remedy | | Status D ohms | | al) Reference impedance |
| Proposed Resp PROPOSE 7 176B S Vang, Xuebo Comment Type | ED ACCEPT. | Response Status W P718 Huawei Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Comment T All impe SuggestedF | vpe TR dance value emedy reference ir | es should be 92.5 npedance to 92.8 | Status D ohms 5 ohms | | al) Reference impedance |
| Proposed Resp PROPOSE Cl 176B S Wang, Xuebo Comment Type | bonse ED ACCEPT. EC 176B.7.2 E E d "n=8" should | Response Status W P718 Huawei | | (Common) (bucket) | Comment T All impe SuggestedF Change Proposed R | vpe TR dance value emedy reference ir esponse | es should be 92.5 | Status D 5 ohms 5 ohms Status W | | al) Reference impedance |
| Proposed Resp PROPOSE 7 176B S Vang, Xuebo Comment Type "n=16" and 1.6TAUI-m SuggestedRen Change "n | ED ACCEPT. C 176B.7.2 E E d "n=8" should n. medy =16" to "m=16 | Response Status W P718 Huawei Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) | Comment T All impe SuggestedF Change Proposed R PROPC | vpe TR dance value remedy reference in esponse SED ACCE | es should be 92.5 npedance to 92.4 <i>Response</i> | Status D 5 ohms 5 ohms 5 tatus W E. | | al) Reference impedance |

C/ 176C SC 176C.6.2

| C/ 176C SC 176C.6 | .2 P723 | L18 | # 66 | C/ 176C | SC 176C.6. | 3 P 723 | L 46 | # 493 |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Mellitz, Richard | Samtec | | | Dudek, Mike | | Marvell | | |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | | al) Reference impedance | Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | (E | Electrical) RLdc and RLco |
| The reference imped | ance for measurement should | align with the tes | st fixture reference. | The con | nmon-mode t | o differential-mode output retu | rn loss specifica | tions is missing for C2C |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | SuggestedRe | emedy | | | |
| Change line to: | | | | | | to Table 176C-2 using the san | | |
| | The reference impedance for differential specifications is 92.5 ohms. The reference impedance for common-mode specifications is 23.125 ohms. | | | | | e a minimum loss consider as e comment for C2M for both the de input return loss specification | nis new specifica | ation and the differential- |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | Proposed Re | sponse | Response Status W | | |
| PROPOSED ACCER | PT IN PRINCIPLE. | | | | SED REJECT | - | | |
| Popolyo uping the re | sponse to comment #63. | | | | | ications are consistent with pre 2.7.1, 163.9.2, 83D.3.1, 120F.3 | | |
| | • | | | | | receiver and channel specifica | | |
| C/ 176C SC 176C.6 | .3 P723 | L 39 | # 504 | | | propagated into the transmitte | er. This, it is not | clear that a new |
| Dudek, Mike | Marvell | | | | ion is require ment does no | d. ot provide sufficient justification | to implement t | he suggested remedy. |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) AC CM | | | , , | • | |
| C2C with a tighter Bl | w Frequency AC common mod ock Error ratio requirement. Th | | | C/ 176C Ghiasi, Ali | SC 176C.6. | 3 P724 Ghiasi Qunati | L 22 um/Marvell | # 362 |
| this difference. | | | | Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | (4 | Electrical) Package class |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | , package A and package B, r | | |
| - | ue to 30mV in table 176C-2. | | | | | r Class B. Is it total loss? Whice, is that class A? | at happens if or | ne has Class B |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | 1 0 | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEP | PT IN PRINCIPLE. | | | SuggestedRe | | o determine DUT package is C | lace A or B | |
| Resolve using the re | sponse to comment #506. | | | | | table 176C-7 | 1835 A 01 D. | |
| | | | | Proposed Re | sponse | Response Status W | | |
| | | | | PROPOS | SED ACCEP | T IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| | | | | | | are described in Table 176C-7 benificial to add the suggested | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Add the | reference to 7 | Table 176C-7 in the J4u_03 ro | w of Table 176C | C-2 . |

C/ 176C SC 176C.6.3 Page 55 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| 176C SC 176C.6.3.1 | D704 | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| | P 724 | L35 | # 109 | C/ 176C | SC 176C.6.3 | 5 P 726 | L 38 | # 62 |
| ruckman, Leon | Nvidia | | | Mellitz, Rich | | Samtec | | |
| 51 | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) ILT | Comment Ty | | Comment Status D | | cal) Reference impedant |
| There is no Type E defined | in Annex 178B | | | ERL imp | bedance should | be aligned to Rd and 17 | 9B. | |
| uggestedRemedy | | | | SuggestedR | emedy | | | |
| Change: "Type E" to: "Type E1" | | | | Add line The refe | | al impedance for the test | fixture ERL comp | utation shall be 92.5 |
| | esponse Status W | | | ohms. | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT. | | | | Proposed R | esponse | Response Status W | | |
| | | | | PROPO | SED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| / 176C SC 176C.6.3.1 lavick, Jeff | P 724 Broadcom | L 35 | # 462 | Resolve | using the resp | onse to comment #63. | | |
| | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | | | | | |
| There is ILT has a Type E1 | | | | | | | | |
| uggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | |
| Change Type E to Type E1 | | | | | | | | |
| 0 11 11 | esponse Status W | | | | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P | • | | | | | | | |
| Resolve using the response | | | | | | | | |
| [Editor's note: Changed sub | clause/page from 176C. | 5.3.1/706 to 1 | 76C.6.3.1/724] | | | | | |
| 176C SC 176C.6.3.5 | P 726 | L18 | # 606 | | | | | |
| alkert, Thomas | Samtec, Maco | om | | | | | | |
| omment Type TR C | Comment Status D | ctr | ical) Reference impedance | | | | | |
| The C2C specification shou | Ild use 92.5 ohm impeda | nce for transn | nitter and receiver ERL | | | | | |
| uggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | |
| add line in Table 176C-3 to | specify 92.5 ohm impeda | ance | | | | | | |
| roposed Response Re | esponse Status W | | | | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P | RINCIPLE. | | | | | | | |
| Resolve using the response | to comment #63 | | | | | | | |
| Resolve using the response | | | | | | | | |

| C/ 176C SC 176C.6.4.2 P727 L9 # 535 | Cl 176C SC 176C.6.4.4 P727 L 33 # 365 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Dudek, Mike Marvell | Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell | | | | | |
| Comment Type TR Comment Status D (Electrical) C2C channel | Comment Type TR Comment Status D (Electrical) RLdc and | | | | | |
| There isn't a minimum loss specified for the C2C channel. Inserting the the minimum channel loss from the KR interference tolerance test isn't appropriate. | The more critical return loss is common mode to differential, but for some reason in clau 176C instead RLcd is defined | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | SuggestedRemedy | | | | | |
| Consider whether using the same minimum loss used for the interference tolerance test is | Change RLcd to RLdc (common mode to differential) | | | | | |
| appropriate. If so add to 176C.7.2. The recommended minimum channel insertion loss is 13dB. | Proposed Response Response Status W | | | | | |
| On page 727 line 9 replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in | PROPOSED REJECT. | | | | | |
| 178.9.3.4" with "using an amplitude tolerance test channel" Add a sentence to the end of the paragraph. The loss of the amplitude tolerance test channel including the package loss of the compliant transmitter used in the test is equal to the Test 1 loss in table 176C-5. If not then replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using a minimal loss channel" | Receiver differential-to-common mode return loss specified for KR and AUI C2C is consistent with prior specifications in the 802.3ck standard. The comment states that RLdc is more critical, but does not explain why. The comment does not provide sufficient justification to support the proposed change. | | | | | |
| | C/ 176C SC 176C.6.4.4 P727 L 33 # 366 | | | | | |
| Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. | Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell | | | | | |
| | Comment Type TR Comment Status D Electrical) (bucket) RL m | | | | | |
| reference to 178.9.3.3 is 14.5 dB to 15.5 dB, is inconsistent with the minimum IL for receiver interference tolerance (9.5 dB to 10.5 dB, specified in Table 176C-5, which includes all but the DUT's package). To be consistent, the minimum TP0d-TP5d loss should be 10 dB + 6 dB (ILdd of the reference class A package) = 16 dB. (This implies just 4 dB for TP0-TP5 with two class A packages). Comment #537 addresses a similar issue in clause 178, where the low-loss channel target IL in Table 178-10 is 15 dB. With the same considerations, the recommended minimum for | SuggestedRemedy We should at least extend the RLdc to 67 GHz. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #363. | | | | | |
| KR channels should be 15+6=21 dB. | C/ 176C SC 176C.6.4.5.3 P729 L48 # 532 | | | | | |
| Danding discussion in the CDC of the minimum loss values, implement the following | Dudek, Mike Marvell | | | | | |
| Pending discussion in the CRG of the minimum loss values, implement the following changes: | Comment Type TR Comment Status D (Common) prece | | | | | |
| • | The C2C receeiver should be able to determine whether pre-coding is used. | | | | | |
| Change the first paragraph in 176C.6.4.2 from ""using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4," to "using the channel specified in Table 176C-5 | SuggestedRemedy | | | | | |
| for Test 1". Add a recommended minimum insertion loss of 16 dB in Table 176C-6. | Change "test transmitter equalizer using the ILT function" to "test transmitter equalizer and precoder using the ILT function" Also for KR on page 368 line 22 | | | | | |
| In 176C.7.2, change "maximum" to "minimum and maximum" and clarify that the channel is from TP0d to TP5d. | Proposed Response Response Status W | | | | | |
| Make similar changes in Clause 178 except that the recommended minimum is 21 dB. | PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. | | | | | |
| Implement with editorial license. | Resolve using the response to comment #534. | | | | | |

C/ 176C SC 176C.6.4.5.3

| CI 176C SC 176C.7 | P 731 | L13 | # 536 | C/ 176C | SC 176C.7 | , P 731 | L17 | # 503 | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|-----------------|--------------------------|--|
| Dudek, Mike | Marvell | | | Dudek, Mike |) | Marvell | | | |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) C2C channel | Comment Ty | vpe TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) C2C channel | |
| It isn't clear what the cl | nannel includes. (including w | here the lldd is | measured from). | | | tion for differential-mode to co | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | d allow a very large amount of o | common mode | to be input to the Rx. | |
| Change the description 53.125 GHz (recomme | | pecification t | o the channel specification for o | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | | on with the s quation 179- | same equation as used for KR (28) | (equation 178-6 | 6) or as used for CR | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | Proposed R | esponse | Response Status W | | | |
| Resolve using the resp | onse to comment #535. | | | PROPO | SED ACCER | PT IN PRINCIPLE. | | | |
| Cl 176C SC 176C.7 Healey, Adam Comment Type T There is potential confu | | for the k In Table 178-11. | R channel b | sses an inconsistency in the sp ut not for the C2C channel. d rows for ILcd and ILdc referri rial license. | | · | | | |
| | o be from TP0d to TP5d, the nd TP5 and the input to the E | | | C/ 176C | SC 176C.7 | 7.1 P731 | L 18 | # 323 | |
| TP0 or TP5. | | | | Brown, Matt | | Alphawave S | emi | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Comment Ty | vpe TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) AC coupling | |
| | ility of any confusion, state th TP0d to TP5d (similar to what | | | In Table 176C-6 (C2C channel characteristics), the "Maximum AC-coupling 3 dB corner frequency" is specified as 50 kHz, whereas the corner for KR (Table 178-11), CR (179.11), | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | | / (176D.6.4) | is 100 kHz. | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | SuggestedR | | | | | |
| Resolve using the resp | onse to comment #535. | | | U | "50 kHz" to | | | | |
| 5 | | | | Proposed R | , | Response Status W | | | |
| | | | | The sug Howeve | gested reme r, comment | PT IN PRINCIPLE. dy would make Annex 176C cc #543 suggests a different chan | ge. | ne other interfaces. | |

Make the frequency consistent with KR, CR, and C2M, considering the response to comment #543.

Cl 176C SC 176C.7.1

| CI 176C SC 176C.7.1 | P 733 | L 4 | # 259 | C/ 176C | SC 176C.7.1 | P 734 | L 9 | # 540 |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Shakiba, Hossein | Huawei Techr | nologies Canada | | Levin, Itam | ar | Altera corp. | | |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | rical) C | OM quantization noise | Comment 7 | Гуре Т | Comment Status D | Elect | trical) (bucket) COM FFE |
| Following first comment, o | uantization noise parame | ers should be add | ed to Table 176C-8. | | | nest allowed tap index is 56 wh | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | ating tap is 50. Given that the between the comment and hig | | |
| Add two quantization nois slide 17 of the accompany | ring document for the prop | | able. Please refer to | Suggested | | | | |
| Also, see shakiba_3dj_ele Proposed Response | c_01_250626.pdf. Response Status W | | | | ix the comment ing the aparent of | and highest index to be 54 or discerpency. | add clarifying te | ext in the comment |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN | | | | Proposed F | Response | Response Status W | | |
| Resolve using the response | | | | | OSED REJECT. | | | |
| C/ 176C SC 176C.7.1 | P 733 | L10 | # 238 | | | precursor tap, and there are 5 e 50th postcursor tap, as in th | | cursor (main) taps. |
| Mellitz, Richard | Samtec | 210 | # 230 | | | rg/3/dj/public/25_01/ran_3dj_0 | | ge=24>. |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | ctrical) | Reference impedance | C/ 176C | SC 176C.7.3 | P 734 | L 43 | # 607 |
| Adjust COM voltage to 46 | .25 ohms measurement re | ference. | · | Palkert, Th | omas | Samtec, Maco | m | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Comment 7 | Type TR | Comment Status D | ctrica | al) Reference impedance |
| Change | | | | The C2 | C specification | should use 92.5 ohm impedar | nce for channel | ERL |
| A_vto 0.415 A_feto 0.415 | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| A_neto 0.610 | | | | | • | 9 to specify 92.5 ohm impeda | ince | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | Proposed F | Response | Response Status W | | |
| PROPOSED REJECT. | | | | PROPO | OSED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| Resolve using the respon | se to comment #237. | | | Resolv | e using the resp | onse to comment #63. | | |
| C/ 176C SC 176C.7.1 | P 733 | L 46 | # 258 | | | | | |
| Shakiba, Hossein | Huawei Techr | nologies Canada | | | | | | |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | rical) C | OM quantization noise | | | | | |
| Following first comment, a 176C-8 is needed. | in updated value for One-s | ided noise spectra | al density in Table | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | |
| Change One-sided noise refer to slide 17 of the acc Also, see shakiba_3dj_ele | ompanying document for | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN | PRINCIPLE. se to comment #243. | | | | | | | |

C/ 176C SC 176C.7.3

| | att Type | 176D.3 TR | P 741 Alphawave Semi Comment Status D |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Comment T The red | Туре | | • |
| The red | | | Comment Status D |
| | auireme | | |
| service | ntence i e interfa | is prefixed ace define | he C2M interface includes ILT is b d with the word "Specifically," as d in the previous sentence. C2M to a CR interface. The ILT is a ra |
| also be | e time to | o subdivid | he way as done for CR (see 179.8 ded the C2M functional specificat 2C in Annex 176C. |
| Suggested | Remedy | ly | |
| | | | e similar 178.8.9 and 179.8.9 in <i>l</i> e functional specification into sub |
| PROP The fur corresp is no n | OSED A nctional ponding leed to r | ACCEPT I specifica g PMD sul repeat the | ation part of AUI-C2M in 176D-3 i bclause 179.8 because, as state e content of 179.8 or use its subc |
| not req Note th #666 is | quired. If hat com s accept | If the ILT s ment #66 oted, then | s about ILT should appear togeth specification is different then it sh 66 suggests having the same initi no exception will be necessary. |
| | the set service equiva specifi also be The sa Suggested Create Consid Proposed a PROP The fu corres is no n Howey not rec Note th #666 is | the sentence service interfa equivalent fur specification i also be time t The same app <i>SuggestedRemed</i> Create a new Consider orga <i>Proposed Resport</i> PROPOSED The functiona corresponding is no need to However, the not required. Note that corr #666 is accep | The requirement that the the sentence is prefixed service interface define equivalent functionally specification in the sam also be time to subdivid The same applies for C SuggestedRemedy Create a new subclaus Consider organizing the Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT The functional specifica corresponding PMD su is no need to repeat the However, the sentence not required. If the ILT Note that comment #66 |

The same argument applies to this comment (C2M) and comment #39 (C2C).

| C/ 176D | SC 176D.3 | P 741 | L19 | # 324 |
|-------------|-----------|------------------|-----|------------------|
| Brown, Matt | | Alphawave Semi | | |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) ILT |

buried within a paragraphs. Also, though ILT was related to the M interface is defined as being rather major function and deserves 9.8.9) and KR (see 178.8.9). It may ations into subclauses.

Annex 176C.3. bclauses.

is short and mostly refers to the ed, it is functionally equivalent. There clause structure. ther, and the word "specifically" is should be noted as an exception. itialize value for PMDs and AUIs. If

Edit the 3rd and 4th paragraphs in both 176D.3 and 176C.3 to separate the ILT-related content and write it as an exception, with editorial license, and considering the response to comment #666.

[Editor's note: CC: 176C, 176D]

| C/ 176D | SC 176D.6.3 | P 745 | L16 | # 506 |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----|--------------------|
| Dudek, Mike | | Marvell | | |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) AC CM |

The module AC common-mode input tolerance is 80mV max full band and 32mV for the low frequency. The allowed host output AC common-mode full band is however 85mV max (and 30mV max for the low frequency). The host output value should not be higher than the module input tolerance full band, and there isn't a reason why the module should tolerate more than the host outputs at low frequency.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the full band AC common-mode output voltage for the host from 85mV to 80mV. Consider also changing the low frequency from 30mV to 32mV to match the module tolerance.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

There are several comments related to the AC common mode voltage.

The editorial team will prepare a proposal for resolving all these comments.

For CRG discussion after reviewing the editorial proposal.

| C/ 176D | SC 176D.6.3 | P 745 | L 21 | # 492 |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Dudek, Mike | | Marvell | | |
| Comment Typ | e TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) RLdc and RLcd |

The differential-mode to common mode input return loss module specification in combination with the common-mode to differential-mode return loss specification for the host output are inadequate, allowing for an interfereing signal that is only 16dB below the wanted signal at frequencies above 35GHz. (The specifications are probably adequate for the original purpose in CR because there is a minimum loss of 16dB at Nyquist between these points). These specifications are also weaker than the specifications for 100G chip to module in 802.3ck

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the references to equations 179-20 in tables 176D-2 and 176D-3 and equation 179-27 in tables 176D-4 and 176D-5 with references to new equations. The equations should be 25-22(f/106.25) from 0.05 to 53.12 GHz and 19-10(f/106.25) from 53.12 to 67 GHz which are the same equations as used for 100G C2M scaled in frequency. In addition to this change in order to measure this the common-mode to differential-mode return loss for the mated compliance boards need to be improved. Change equation 179B-8 and Figure 179B-5 to 30-26(f/106.25) from 0.05 to 53.12 GHz and 22-10(f/106.25) from 53.12 to 67 GHz

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The current frequency masks are based on the MTF masks with a small relaxation as shown in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_09/ran_3dj_01_2409.pdf#page=14. The MTF masks were adopted based on contributed measurements. The suggested remedy includes changing the MTF masks to have a line from 30 dB at DC

to 17 dB at 53.125 GHz. This would cause the contributed test fixture data to fail. The comment claims that the current specifications allow "an interfereing signal that is only 16dB below the wanted signal at frequencies above 35GHz". It is unclear what signal path is assumed by that claim. Note that the s-parameters are specified only at the test fixtures, not at the transmitter and receiver devices.

C/ 176D SC 176D.6.3 Page 61 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| C/ 176D | SC 176D.6.3 | P 745 | L 38 | # 352 |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Ghiasi, Ali | | Ghiasi Qunatu | m/Marvell | |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) VEC |

We currently have no effective output compliance test method for C2M or input caliburtion of stressor. We replaced VEC with with JRMS, EOJ, and J4U back in Sept 2024 and it has been more than 9 months without any proof that using jitter alone is sufficient for receive compliance.

SuggestedRemedy

TDECQ/EECQ already captrues the jitter as shown in ghiasi_3dj_01a_2409 but also captures amplitude penalty and the effect of PM to AM conversion in thre same way as receiver will observe the penalty. In COM we use reference equalizer to determine compliance, in 802.3ck we used VEC/VEO with a reference equalizer and in OIF Linear and RTLR we use EECQ with reference equalizer for compliance. We have not proven that discrete jitter measurements without a reference equalizer is sufficient for C2M compliance. Task force need to investigate either show that current methology works otherwise replace it with CKmethod or OIF EECQ before going to SA ballot.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The CRG has previously considered similar comments, the recent one being comment #261against D1.3 (see

<https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/comments/D1p3/8023dj_D1p3_comments_final_clause.pdf# page=35>).

As noted in the response to that comment, there was no support for the suggested changes.

The response also noted that TDECQ is not a specification of AUI-C2M, but of optical transmitters.

Although TDECQ is irrelevant for AUI-C2M, it should be noted that the claims made in previous comments and repeated here (in the suggested remedy) have been refuted; there is no consensus that TDECQ of optical transmitters captures the effect of jitter (the referenced presentation was about EECQ, defined outside of 802.3 for linear optical modules, and used a a high-loss host channel; the resulting signal does not represent the output of optical PMDs defined in P802.3dj, nor the module output in C2M).

The C2M methodology of previous 802.3 projects, mentioned in the suggested remedy ("VEC/VEO"), assumes a transmitted with fixed equalization. The AUI-C2M specified in Annex 176D includes Tx equalization that is adjustable by the peer (host or module) receiver using ILT. Thus, a single "stressed eye" test signal calibrated with VEC/EH is irrelevant. The introduction of adjustable Tx equalization required a change in specification methodology; the well-established CR compliance methodology was adopted by comments #186-#189 against D1.0 (see

<https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/comments/D1p0/8023dj_D1p0_comments_final_id.pdf#page =42>). Note that the EECQ method mentioned in the suggested remedy is not suitable for adjustable Tx equalization and is thus irrelevant for this project.

Tx jitter measurements and Rx jitter tolerance are part of the CR compliance methodology.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 176D

SC 176D.6.3

Page 62 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

Discrete jitter frequencies are used in jitter tolerance testing, to create a verifiable set of requirements.

The comment claims that "We currenlty have no effective output compliance test method for C2M or input caliburtion of stressor". These claims are counterfactual; output compliance is defined by Table 176D–2 and Table 176D–3, and input compliance is defined by Table 176D–4 and Table 176D–5. For both input and output, all parameters are testable using the methodology in 176D.8. Specifically, "stress" for input interference tolerance is calibrated using COM as specified in 176D.8.12.

This methodology of transmitter and receiver specifications has been shown to work by successful deployment of multiple generations of CR, KR, and C2C devices and links up to at 100 Gb/s with demonstrated interoperability across multiple products. The EECQ alternative mentioned in the suggested remedy has been used only for LPO, as defined by OIF, and does not have a similar proven record.

The comment does not provide any data to show that there is a problem that needs solving.

| C/ 176D | SC | 176D.6.4 | P 745 | L 47 | # 447 |
|-----------|------|----------|---|-------------|--------------------------|
| Ran, Adee | | | Cisco Syste | ems | |
| Comment 7 | Туре | т | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) AC coupling |
| | | | s using capacitors is becor ame time, modules are bu | | |

CMOS processes just like the host ASICs.

It is common to have on-die AC coupling in the receiver, which works well assuming the common mode is limited to the same range on both sides. if both sides have this feature, it is possible to avoid external AC coupling in modules (both Tx and Rx), but it is currently an explicit requirement.

We should consider removing this requirement.

This would require:

- Adding DC common mode range specifications for module output and input. These can be consistent with the host's respective specifications..

- Adding DC common mode tolerance specifications for host input and output. These can be consistent with the module's respective specifications.

- Changing text and figures to remove the AC coupling requirements.

SuggestedRemedy

Add common mode range and tolerance specifications and update the text and figures as listed in the comment, with editorial license.

Proposed Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The C2M interface required AC coupling within the module (see 176D.3, 176D.6.4, and 176D.6.6). For modules that include AC coupling, the changes suggested in this comment would have no effect.

The proposal includes new requirements to hosts that would enable interoperability with non-AC-coupled modules (with certain DC common mode), thus providing broader potential for implementations. If accepted, additional draft changes may be needed to make AC coupling in modules optional.

The suggested remedy is effectively

1. Add DC common mode specifications for module input and output, with a range of 0.2 to 1 V (consistent with host)

2. Add DC common mode tolerance specifications for host input and output, with a range of 0.15 to 1.05 V (consistent with module).

For CRG discussion.

| C/ 176D | SC 176D.6.4 | P 746 | L 24 | # 412 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Mi, Guang | can | Huawei Tech | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd | | | | | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) R_peak | | | | |
| met by | | of module output in AUI-C M model as shown by the | | | | | | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | | | | |
| change | e Rpeak to 0.456 a | s a starting point. A contrib | oution will be pr | ovided. | | | | |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | | | | | |
| The cu more a Note th and us | appropriate limits what R_peak is calc | was adopted without supp ould be welcome. Ilated from scope measure filter with a 3 dB BW of 60 | ements with no | | | | | |
| C/ 176D | SC 176D.6.4 | P 746 | L34 | # 414 | | | | |
| Mi, Guang | can | Huawei Tech | nologies Co., L | td | | | | |

As Ali's contribution ghiasi_3dj_02b_2505, dSNDR is a complicated parameter. Rich's contribution further proposed to set a set of SNDR_ref values.

Comment Status D

For module vendors, both SNDR and dSNDR are newly introduced, and dependent on the IL at the host side. It is not practical for the module vendors to test for all the IL variations.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

The AUI C2M methodology affects both the SERDES/euqipment and the optical module community. The newly introduced parameters need to be open for consideration from both sides, and find consensus in simplfying the measurements.

Proposed Response Response Status W

TR

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #481.

C/ 176D SC 176D.6.4 (Electrical) SNDR

| C/ 176D | SC 176D.6.4 | P 746 | L 38 | # 353 | C/ 176D | SC 176D | .6.5 | P 747 | L13 | # 507 |
|-----------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Ghiasi, Ali | | Ghiasi Qunat | um/Marvell | | Dudek, Mik | e | | Marvell | | |
| Comment T | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) VEC | Comment 7 | <i>уре</i> т | (| Comment Status D | | (Electrical) AC CM |
| of stres | ssor. We replace | fective output compliance te d VEC with with JRMS, EO, ns without any proof that usi | I, and J4U back | in Sept 2024 and it has | output the hos | AC common at should tole | n-mode f | te input tolerance is 80mV full band is however only 6 pre than the module output | 0mV max. T | |
| Suggested | | | | | Suggested | 2 | C | on-mode input tolerance f | ull bond from (| 20m/(to 60m)/ |
| TDECC capture receive | Q/EECQ already es amplitude pena er will observe the | captrues the jitter as shown alty and the effect of PM to A penalty. In COM we use re we used VEC/VEO with a re | AM conversion ir ference equalize | n thre same way as r to determine | Proposed F | Response | R | Pesponse Status W PRINCIPLE. | un band nom d | |
| and RT | LR we use EEC | Q with reference equalizer for | or compliance. V | Ve have not proven | Resolv | e using the | response | e to comment #506. | | |
| | | urements without a referection need to investigate either s | | | C/ 176D | SC 176D | .6.6 | P 747 | L35 | # 141 |
| otherwi | ise replace it with | CKmethod or OIF EECQ be | efore going to SA | A ballot. | Hidaka, Ya | suo | | Credo Semico | nductor, Inc. | |
| Proposed F | | Response Status W | | | Comment 7 | <i>уре</i> т | (| Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) |
| - | OSED REJECT. | onse to comment #352. | | | Module | input speci | fication | should refer to TP1, not TF | P1a. | |
| | o . | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| C/ 176D | SC 176D.6.5 | P 747 | L12 | # 354 | Change | e TP1a to T | P1 in the | e caption of Table 176D-5. | | |
| Ghiasi, Ali | - | Ghiasi Qunat | um/Marvell | | Proposed F | ' | | esponse Status W | | |
| Comment T | 51 | Comment Status D s 32 mV which is more than | Ov lorger then li | (Electrical) AC CM | PROP | DSED ACC | EPT. | | | |
| | ith only 15 mV | S 52 HTV WHICH IS HIDLE HIAH | zx larger triarr in | | C/ 176D | SC 176D | .6.6 | P 74 7 | L 36 | # 505 |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | Dudek, Mik | e | | Marvell | | |
| | | would be the larget source of | of VCM(LF), reco | mmend increasing to | Comment T | ype TR | (| Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) |
| 20 mV | | | | | | | | e best measured at the inp | | |
| Proposed F PROP(| Response OSED ACCEPT I | Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. | | | at TP1 | | owever | 744 line 23 and as is done that 176D.8.10 specifically | | |
| Resolv | e using the respo | onse to comment #506. | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| | | | | | Change | e from "spec | ification | s at TP1a" to "Specifiction | s at TP1" | |
| | | | | | Proposed F | Response | R | esponse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤 | | |
| | | | | | | | | PRINCIPLE. e to comment #141. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

C/ 176D SC 176D.6.6

| C/ 176D SC 176D.7.1 | P 748 | L 25 | # 654 | C/ 176D |
|---------------------|---------------|-------------|-------|-----------|
| Swenson, Norman | Nokia, Point2 | | | Mi, Guang |

Comment Type ER Comment Status D (Electrical) Host connector

Figure 176D-6 includes a connector, which is actually a mated connector, though that is not clear.

SuggestedRemedy

Draw a vertical line down the center of the rectangle labeled connector to indicate that both parts of the mated connector are included in the 28.2dB Host channel loss. Compare with figures 176D-4 and 176D-5. Change "Connector" to "Mated Connector" in the figure so it is clear that the loss of the mated connector is included on the Host channel loss.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The current figure, which has no vertical line, results from the resolution of comment #115 against D1.1 (see

<https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/8023dj_D1p1_comments_final_clause.pdf# page=43>) and can be found in the related presentation

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_09/ran_3dj_03a_2409.pdf>

The box in the figure is not a mated connector pair but only the connector in the host, which is part of the host channel for loss budgeting purposes, as indicated by the arrow at the top of the figure. Therefore, the vertical middle line, which existed in previous drafts, has been removed.

This figure matches the architectural diagram in Figure 176D-2. However, the intent of the figure can be clarified in the text.

Add the following informative NOTE after Figure 176D-6:

NOTE---For loss budgeting purposes, the connector is considered part of the host. Implement with editorial license.

| C/ 176D SC 176D.7.1 | P 748 | L37 | # 413 |
|---------------------|--------------|------------------|-------|
| Mi, Guangcan | Huawei Techn | ologies Co., Ltd | |

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

(Electrical) Host connector

In the reference insertion loss budget of AUI-C2M, the connector loss was not specified. However, in CL179A.4 for CR channel parameter, a 2.45dB of mated connector insertion loss was assumed. Since the CR can be implemented as DAC, which has been using the same mated connector and packaging formfacotr as many of the IMDD pluggable modules, the same connector loss could be used in the reference channel model of AUI-C2M for a clear illustration.

SuggestedRemedy

indicate a connector loss of 2.45dB in the drawing of Figure 176D-6, add appropriate description to the text.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The connector loss has been deliberately omitted from Figure 176D-6 as a result of comments #115, #515, and #566 against D1.1 (see

<https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/8023dj_D1p1_comments_final_clause.pdf# page=43>) as can be seen in the related presentation

<a>https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_09/ran_3dj_03a_2409.pdf>.

As stated in comment #566 against D1.1, "the connector loss is significant and will not be the same for all connectors <...> the connector is part of the host and its loss should be included".

The response to comment #654 adds a NOTE that clarifies this intent further.

The connector loss should not be mentioned in 179A.4 either, because it is part of the host channel and is not "assumed". Instead, the HCB (assumed/reference) loss should be mentioned. This is addressed by comment #502. Resolve using the responses to comments #654 and #502.

| C/ 176D | SC 176D.7.1 | P 750 | L17 | # 261 |
|--------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Shakiba, Hos | sein | Huawei Techno | ologies Canad | la |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | rical |) COM quantization noise |

Following first comment, quantization noise parameters should be added to Table 176D-7.

SuggestedRemedy

Add two quantization noise parameters with suggested values to the table. Please refer to slide 18 of the accompanying document for the proposed change. Also, see shakiba_3dj_elec_01_250626.pdf.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #243.

C/ 176D SC 176D.7.1

| | C/ 176D SC 176D.7.2 P748 L51 # 350 |
|--|--|
| C/ 176D SC 176D.7.1 P751 L23 # 260 | |
| Shakiba, Hossein Huawei Technologies Canada | |
| Comment Type TR Comment Status D rical) COM quantization noise | |
| Following first comment, an updated value for One-sided noise spectral density in Table 176D-7 is needed. | The partial channel is only needed for cable assembly CR and not for C2M which has the complete S-Parameters |
| SuggestedRemedy | SuggestedRemedy |
| Change One-sided noise spectral density in Table 176D-7 (page 751, line 23) value. | Partial channel not need for C2M COM and should be removed |
| Please refer to slide 18 of the accompanying document for the proposed change. Also, see shakiba_3dj_elec_01_250626.pdf. | Proposed Response Response Status W |
| Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #243. | PROPOSED REJECT. The CRG has previously considered similar comments, the recent one being comment #151 against D1.4 (see <https: 3="" 8023dj_d1p4_comments_final_clause.pdf#<br="" comments="" d1p4="" dj="" www.ieee802.org="">page=27>, which was rejected.</https:> |
| C/ 176D SC 176D.7.2 P748 L45 # 655 | As noted in the response to that comment, the host channel model, which is used in |
| Swenson, Norman Nokia, Point2 | dSNDR (176D.8.7) and in host interference tolerance test calibration (176D.8.12.2), |
| Comment Type ER Comment Status D (Electrical) C2M COM | includes the partial channel (subject of this comment) and physical MCB and HCB, (see, e.g., Figure 176D-7b). |
| "COM calculation, as defined in 178A.1, is also used for calibration of noise in the interference tolerance test (see 176D.8.12)." What is the meaning of "also", that is, in addition to what? It is not clear, as no other purpose was mentioned here. | The partial host channel constitutes most of the 32 dB IL which is the consenus IL budget for the C2M channel. Therefore, it should not be removed. This comment does not provide any information that was not included in previous comments. |
| SuggestedRemedy | |
| Clarify (This may be the purpose of the note on p. 749, line 9. If that is the case, I believe | C/ 176D SC 176D.7.2 P749 L34 # 609 |
| the text of the note belongs in the main text as a sentence leading into the sentence in question.) | Palkert, Thomas Samtec, Macom |
| Proposed Response Response Status W | Comment Type TR Comment Status D ctrical) Reference impedance |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. | All impedance values should be 92.5 ohms |
| As noted in the first paragraph of 176D.7.2, the COM _model_ "defines the assumed | SuggestedRemedy |
| capabilities of the transmitter and receiver functions of the C2M components". Separately | Change COM Impedance to 92.5 ohms |
| | |
| from that, COM calculation (which uses the model, but is not the model) is used for | Droppood Dooppoo |
| | Proposed Response Response Status W |
| from that, COM calculation (which uses the model, but is not the model) is used for | Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. |

C/ 176D SC 176D.7.2

| C/ 176D | SC 176D.7.2 | P 749 | L 51 | # 140 | C/ 176D | 3 | SC 176D.8. |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Hidaka, Yasu | 10 | Credo Semico | nductor, Inc. | | Ghiasi, Ali | | |
| omment Typ | | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | Comment 7 | 51 | |
| | |)^(-3) in Table 176D-6 seems lim_3dj_01a_2409, slide 2. | a typo of 5.79x | (10^(-3). It is 5.79x10^(- | The VC | CM(LF, FB) is r | nea |
| SuggestedRe | | lim_30j_01a_2409, slide 2. | | | Suggested | Remedy | |
| 00 | 5.97x10^(-3) to | 5.79x10^(-3). | | | | on mode is big n failures. Cha | |
| Proposed Res | sponse | Response Status W | | | Proposed F | Response | R |
| PROPOS | ED ACCEPT. | | | | | OSED REJEC | |
| C/ 176D | SC 176D.7.2 | P 750 | L 23 | # 239 | | finition of peak t D1.2, see < | to-p |
| Mellitz, Richa | | Samtec | | | https:// | www.ieee802.o | |
| Comment Typ | | Comment Status D | ctric | al) Reference impedance | | , following pre //www.ieee802 | |
| 51 | | 46.25 ohms measurement rei | | , | <https: <="" td=""><td>//www.ieee802</td><td>.org/3</td></https:> | //www.ieee802 | .org/3 |
| SuggestedRe | medv | | | | | ed in these cor ers and degrade | |
| Change | | | | | at a pro | bability much | lower |
| A_vto 0.4 | | | | | The su | ggested remed b. However, no | ly is ba data b |
| A_feto 0.4 A_neto 0. | | | | | | itters and that | |
| Proposed Res | sponse | Response Status W | | | | | |
| PROPOS | ED REJECT. | | | | | | |
| Resolve u | using the respo | onse to comment #237. | | | | | |
| / 176D | SC 176D.8.1 | P 751 | L 50 | # 358 | | | |
| Ghiasi, Ali | | Ghiasi Qunatu | m/Marvell | | | | |
| Comment Typ | De TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | | | |
| Differentia for level d | | n-mode signals are not define | ed in 93.8.1.3, j | ust the figure is used | | | |
| SuggestedRe | medy | | | | | | |
| Replace v | with, Differenti | al and common-mode signal | evels definition | is given by 93.8.1.3. | | | |
| Proposed Res | sponse | Response Status W | | | | | |
| | ED REJECT. | | | | | | |
| | | nt in the comment, the differe first paragraph of 93.8.1.3: | ential and comn | non-mode signals are | | | |
| "The diffe | rential output | voltage v_di is defined to be \$ | | | | | |
| mode out | put voltage v_ | cmi is defined to be one half | of the sum of S | Li and SLi <n>".</n> | | | |

C/ 176D SC 176D.8.1

| C/ 176D SC 176D.8.2 P752 L 29 # 142 | C/ 176D SC 176D.8.2 P752 L 29 # 361 |
|--|---|
| lidaka, Yasuo Credo Semiconductor, Inc. | Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell |
| Comment Type T Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) | Comment Type TR Comment Status D (Electrical) Th |
| ERL definition in 93A.5 needs a parameter M that is not defined in Table 176D-8, because M is not used in COM definition in Annex 178A. | Line 30 says that "Tfx equal to twice the test fixture delay", statement is not clear. SuggestedRemedy |
| SuggestedRemedy | Tfx for measurement of Host Input/Output is twice the HCB delay. |
| Add M to Annex 178A in the same way as Annex 93A and to all related tables that refer Annex 178A. | Tfx for measurement of Module Input/Output is twice the MCB delay. Suggest to move Tfx into the table and make the above as footnotes in the table. |
| Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. | We shouldn't state in IEEE standard "Tfx is provided by the test fixture provider", what about if fixture suplier doesn't! |
| Annex 178A does not refer to 93A.5, so it does not need a value for M. | Proposed Response Response Status W |
| M should be provided by a clause that invokes 93A.5, along with all other parameters. In previous clauses M was part of the COM parameter tables (with value 32), but in this project it is not. Therefore, it needs to be added, preferably as an ERL parameter. Add a row for "Number of samples per unit interval", M, with value 32, in the following | PROPOSED REJECT. The test fixture delay is defined in detail in the second paragraph of 176D.8.2 for both host and module measurements. Based on these definitions, the statement should be clear. The suggested remedy does not match the second paragraph and would not improve |
| tables: Clause 178: Table 178–7, Table 178–8, Table 178–14 Clause 179: Table 179–9, Table 179–14 Annex 176C: Table 176C–3, Table 176C–9 Annex 176D: Table 176D–8 | clarity. The statement that Tfx is provided by the test fixture provider" was added by the response to comment #199 against D1.1, see < https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/8023dj_D1p1_comments_final_clause.pdf#pa ge=77>. It should be understood as a requirement. The suggested remedy does not provide an alternative phrasing for this statement. |
| tables: Clause 178: Table 178–7, Table 178–8, Table 178–14 Clause 179: Table 179–9, Table 179–14 Annex 176C: Table 176C–3, Table 176C–9 | The statement that Tfx is provided by the test fixture provider" was added by the response to comment #199 against D1.1, see < https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/8023dj_D1p1_comments_final_clause.pdf#pa ge=77>. It should be understood as a requirement. The suggested remedy does not |
| tables: Clause 178: Table 178–7, Table 178–8, Table 178–14 Clause 179: Table 179–9, Table 179–14 Annex 176C: Table 176C–3, Table 176C–9 Annex 176D: Table 176D–8 Annex 179B: Table 179B–1 | The statement that Tfx is provided by the test fixture provider" was added by the response to comment #199 against D1.1, see < https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/8023dj_D1p1_comments_final_clause.pdf#pa ge=77>. It should be understood as a requirement. The suggested remedy does not provide an alternative phrasing for this statement. |
| tables: Clause 178: Table 178–7, Table 178–8, Table 178–14 Clause 179: Table 179–9, Table 179–14 Annex 176C: Table 176C–3, Table 176C–9 Annex 176D: Table 176D–8 Annex 179B: Table 179B–1 | The statement that Tfx is provided by the test fixture provider" was added by the response to comment #199 against D1.1, see < |
| tables: Clause 178: Table 178–7, Table 178–8, Table 178–14 Clause 179: Table 179–9, Table 179–14 Annex 176C: Table 176C–3, Table 176C–9 Annex 176D: Table 176D–8 Annex 179B: Table 179B–1 | The statement that Tfx is provided by the test fixture provider" was added by the response to comment #199 against D1.1, see < |
| tables: Clause 178: Table 178–7, Table 178–8, Table 178–14 Clause 179: Table 179–9, Table 179–14 Annex 176C: Table 176C–3, Table 176C–9 Annex 176D: Table 176D–8 Annex 179B: Table 179B–1 | The statement that Tfx is provided by the test fixture provider" was added by the response to comment #199 against D1.1, see < https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/8023dj_D1p1_comments_final_clause.pdf#page=77>. It should be understood as a requirement. The suggested remedy does not provide an alternative phrasing for this statement. C/ 176D SC 176D.8.2 P752 L44 # 608 Palkert, Thomas Samtec, Macom Comment Type TR Comment Status D ctrical) Reference impedance The C2M specification should use 92.5 ohm impedance for TP1a ERL SuggestedRemedy |

C/ 176D SC 176D.8.2

| 01.4765 | 00 4765 6 6 | 0==0 | 1.50 | # 200 | | 00 4707 0 5 | | · · · · | # [100 |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| C/ 176D | SC 176D.8.2 | P 752 | L 50 | # 360 | C/ 176D | SC 176D.8.6 | P75 | | # 463 |
| Ghiasi, Ali Commont Tu | | Ghiasi Qunatu Comment Status D | n/Marvell | | Slavick, Jet | | Broado Comment Status | | (Electrical) Tresservel's |
| SuggestedRe | , r why Nbx is zero e <i>medy</i> |) | tono | (Electrical) ERL | 179.4.1 the Anr | s no reference to). In 179 there a lexes. Can we a | the number TAPs the re separate sub-clause align the C2M and C2 | e C2M TxFIR supplie ses for the FIR and I C description to refe | <i>(Electrical) Tx equalizer</i> es (no reference to LT but it's combined in r to 179 with exceptions |
| 00 | | 1 which number of fixed FFE | taps | | | - | and start up condition | S. | |
| The host response The mod 179.11.3 | SED REJECT. ERL definition is to comment #3 lule ERL definition. Nbx for CR cab | Response Status W s consistent with that of the 71 addresses the value of N on is consistent with that of the ole assembly is also 0 for sin | bx for CR host ne CR cable as | S. | The tra link trai excepti * Table | e the text of 176 nsmit equalizer i ning (ILT) functio ons: 179D-9 is used | n for Type E1 interfa | cified in 179.4.1 and ce as defined in 179 8 for coefficient initia | |
| Resolve | using the respor | nse to comment #371. | | | | | and coefficient limits a e coefficient limits and | | |
| C/ 176D | SC 176D.8.6 | P 753 | L 36 | # 541 | | | | | |
| Levin, Itamai | r | Altera corp. | | | Replace | e the text of 176 | C.5.3.1 with the follow | /ing: | |
| 6 are exa SuggestedRe | no preset that ha actly the same. actly | Comment Status D as a different than 0 precurs | or c(1). Also - t | | link trai excepti * Table | ning (ILT) functio ons: 179D-9 is used | | ce as defined in 179 8 for coefficient initia | |
| | | <> 0. this may help with 0 et 6 or add a comment in this | | | Proposed F | esponse | Response Status | w | |
| Proposed Re PROPOS Preset #(<https: v<br="">page=69 <https: v<br="">adding "i <https: v<br="">identical requeste as a sepa</https:></https:></https:> | SED REJECT. 6 was added by www.ieee802.org >, and the relate www.ieee802.org nitialize" as a se www.ieee802.org to preset 6, but d using the ILT p arate request. | Response Status W the response to comment #' g/3/dj/comments/D1p3/80236 d presentation g/3/dj/public/25_01/simms_3 parate row is explained in sl g/3/dj/public/25_01/ran_3dj_f for PMDs it is identical to pre protocol, e.g. to return to the | 25 against D1 dj_D1p3_comm dj_01a_2501.p des 12-20 the 01_2501.pdf>. set #1. These initial value, w | 3, see nents_final_clause.pdf# df>. The motivation for related presentation For AUIs "initialize" is presets can be thout having "initialize" | The sug readabi Note th AUIs. If used. Implem respons [Editor's | lity. at another comn #666 is accepte ent the suggeste se to comment # s note: CC: 1760 | would provide a more nent, #666, suggests d, the exceptions will ed remedy with editori 666. | using the same initia not be necessary ar al license, and with | lize setting for PMDs and a single table can be |
| Gb/s) tha Note that | at had zero posto | w earlier PAM4 specification cursor c(1) for all presets.) can be requested using ILT R locking). | , | | | | | | |
| The com The prop | ment does not p oosed change do | provide sufficient justification ses not contain sufficient deta | to support the ail to implemen | suggested remedy. t. | | | | | |
| COMMENT S | STATUS: D/disp | ER/editorial required GR/g atched A/accepted R/reject clause, page, line | | | | U/unsatisfied Z | | Cl 176D SC 176D.8.6 | Page 69 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 |

| C/ 176D SC 1 | 76D.8.7 | P 754 | L 20 | # 355 | C/ 176D | SC 17 | 6D.8.7 | P 754 | L 34 | # 357 |
|---|-----------------------------|--|------|-------|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell | | | | | Ghiasi, Ali | | | Ghiasi Qunatu | m/Marvell | |
| Comment Type TR Comment Status D (Electrical) SNDR The dSNDR procedure for host is not clear as some some of the paragraph are for determination of reference SNDR but the last paragraph is for actual measurement of DUT SNDR. SuggestedRemedy Here are sugestions: - Please separate the measurement of reference channel SNDR from measurement of DUT SNDR - Please separate the measurement of reference channel SNDR from measurement of DUT SNDR - After definition of reference SNDR "calculate reference SNDR" | | | | | Suggested The mo PRBS3 PCS da genera output transitio | NDR pro Remedy odule inpu 31Q or ata, with t tor with targe on time o | uts at TF transmit et maxim f | Comment Status D or DUT measurement is miss of on each lane are driven by equalization (see 176D.8.6) num steady-state voltage as sement of DUT SNDR. | / asynchronous set to preset 1, | , and calibrated at the |
| - Then last step Proposed Respons PROPOSED A | o is dSND se CCEPT II | sed for measurement of DUT R=DUT SNDR - Ref SNDR <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. nse to comment #481. | | | The ad added i <https: <br="">page=3</https:> | , OSED RE dition of a in respon //www.iee 39>. The | EJECT. asynchro se to co e802.or commer | Response Status W phous signals at the host input mment #423 against D1.3, si g/3/dj/comments/D1p3/8023 it noted that the situation is c | ee dj_D1p3_comr lifferent for mo | nents_final_clause.pdf# dule SNDR, since the |
| | | | | | | | | and the input interferer signate requirement in this case. In | | |

In this comment, the suggested remedy is to add the same signals for module SNDR measurement,

additional signals were added only to the host SNDR measurement.

The comment but does not provide sufficient justification to support the suggested remedy.

| C/ 176D | SC 176 | D.8.7 | P 754 | L 34 | # 356 |
|-------------|----------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Ghiasi, Ali | | | Ghiasi Quna | tum/Marvell | |
| Comment T | уре Т | R Con | ment Status D | | (Electrical) SNDR |
| The dS | NDR proc | edure for mo | ule is not clear as so | ome some of the | paragraph are for |

determination of reference SNDR but the last paragraph is for actual measurement of DUT SNDR.

SuggestedRemedy

Here are sugestions:

- Please separate the measurement of reference channel SNDR from measurement of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{DUT}}$ SNDR

- After definition of reference SNDR "calculate reference SNDR"

- In the 2nd part clarly identify this procedure is for measurement of DUT SNDR

- Then last step is dSNDR=DUT SNDR - Ref SNDR

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #481.

| TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general | C/ 176D | Page 70 of 184 |
|---|-------------|---------------------|
| COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn | SC 176D.8.7 | 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM |
| SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line | | |

| no reference / example test-fixture like in the previous annex 163B, that meets the requirements for TPO SuggestedRemedy can we add an example rest-fixture annex for 200G similar to 163B with the COM values to serve as a reference for dVf, dSNR, etc'? Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The test fixtures for AUI-C2M are specified in Annex 179B. Their reference ILdd as functions of frequency are given in equations 179B-1 and 179B-2, which can serve as examples. Reference values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other commenter. | C/ 176D | SC 176D.8.7 | P 754 | L 36 | # 542 | C/ 176D | SC 176D.8.1 | 11 P 755 | L12 | # 411 | |
|--|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--------------------|
| no reference / example test-fluture like in the previous annex 163B, that meets the requirements for TP0 suggested/Remedy can we add an example rest-fluture annex for 200G similar to 163B with the COM values to serve as a reference for dV1. SIAR, etc? PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The test fluture is for AU-C2M are specified in Annex 179B. Their reference ILdd as functions of fraguency are given in equations 179B-1 and 179B-2, which can serve as a subject of several as a result to get the method described in 16p. The test fluture is for AU-C2M are specified in Annex 179B. Their reference ILdd as functions of fraguency are given in equations 179B-1 and 179B-2, which can serve as assamples. Reference values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other comments. Resolve using the response to comment #481. The values that we specified in Annex 179B. Their reference ILdd as functions of fraguency are given in equations 179B-1 and 179B-2, which can serve as assamples. Resolve using the response to comment #481. The values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other to the second meets the block error ratio to the second meets to block error ratio distribution with 100G/L data and Michael He's 200G/L data have shot statistical projection can be very subjective approach, sometimes even impossible. Reference/ Constrained are expected for distribution with 100G/L data and Michael He's 200G/L data have shot statistical projection can be very subjective approach, sometimes even impossible. Reference/ Constrained Response Response to comment #481. Reference/ Constrained Response Res | Levin, Itama | r | Altera corp. | | | Mi, Guango | an | Huawei 1 | echnologies Co., | Ltd | |
| requirements for TPO SuggestedRemedy can we add an example rest-flature annex for 200G similar to 163B with the COM values to serve as a reference for 4Vf, GNR, etc? PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The test flotures for AULC and are specified in Annex 179B. Their reference ILdd as functions of frequency are given in equations 179B-1 and 179B-2, which can serve as examples. Reference values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other comments. Resolve using the response to comment #481. Beforence values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other comments. Resolve using the response to comment #481. Beforence values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other comments. Resolve using the response to comment #481. Beforence values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other comments. Resolve using the response to comment #481. Beforence values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other decays to observe. Begories are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other resolve using the response to comment #481. Beforence values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other decays to observe. Begories are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other decays to observe. Begories are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other decays to observe. Begories are decays and the block error relication the block error relication the severent instead and the block error relication of the severent instead and the block error relication of the severent. Begories are decays and the block error relication of the system. Begories are decays and the block error relication of the system. Begories are decays and the block error relication of the system. Begories are decays and the block error relication of the system. Begories are decays are decays | Comment Ty | vpe T | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) SNDR | Comment 7 | ype TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) Block erro | r rati |
| Suggester/Sentery Can we add an example rest-fixture annex for 200G similar to 163B with the COM values to serve as a reference for dVt, dSNR, etc? Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The test fixtures for AUL-C2M are specified in Annex 179B. Their reference ILdd as functions of trequency are given in equations 179B-1 and 179B-2, which can serve as examples. Reference values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other comments. Resolve using the response to comment #481. Why previous contribution with 100G/L data and Michael He's 200G/L data have sho statistical projection can be very subjective approach, sometimes even impossible. My previous contribution with 100G/L data and Michael He's 200G/L data have sho statistical projection can be very subjective approach, sometimes even impossible. Suggester/Remedy Suggester/Remedy Consider the approach of using BER, and use block error method as recommendal informative test to complement verification of the system. PROPOSED REFERCENCE Resolve using the response to comment #481. Suggester/Remedy Suggester/Remedy Consider the approach of using BER, and use block error method as recommendal informative test to complement verification of the system. PROPOSED REFERCENCE Response Status W PROPOSED REFERCENCE Response Calculate and successible. Suggester/Remedy Consider the approach of using BER (as in suggester dremedy) has be to avoid the system. PROPOSED REFERCENC Response Status W PROPOSED REFERCENC Response Status SU PROPOSED CORCELESCENC Response Status SU PROPOSED CORCENCENCENC Response Status SU PR | requirem | nents for TP0 | est-fixture like in the previou | is annex 163B, t | hat meets the | based of | on the receiver | | | | |
| serve as a reference for dVI, dSNR, etc? ² <i>roposed Response Response Status</i> W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The test fixtures for AUI-C2M are specified in Annex 179B. Their reference ILdd as functions of trequency are given in equations 179B-1 and 179B-2, which can serve as examples. Reference values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other comments. Resolve using the response to comment #481. W previous contribution with 100G/L data and Michael He's 200G/L data have sho statistical projection can be very subjective approach, sometimes even impossible. eliminates the block error ratio based on the histogram. The expected measurement time of getting direct measurement result for each of t tistogram consists of 17 bins, with bin 0.to bin 15 should be measured or projective with value, to calculated bin 160. The expected measurement time of getting direct measurement result for each of t tistogram consists of 17 bins, with bin 0.to bin 15 should be measured or projective statistical projection can be very subjective approach, sometimes even impossible. eliminates the block error ratio based on the biot error ratio (which is calculated u istogram) being objective approach, sometimes even impossible. eliminates the block error ratio (which is calculated u istogram) being objective metric for link performance, especially when it comes to usuality comparison. Whether or not a UUT passes the requirement can be der on an engineer's experience and judgement. Judgement of amplitude tolerance of the module input and host input based on blor ratio is not enomical feasible. SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED REJECT. The normative specification represents the parformance that users can expect from compliant devices. Proposed Response or complement verification of the system. Proposed Response or normal the specification represents the parformance that users can expect from compliant devices. Proposed Response to comment #205 against 10 See - 1205.1 and eartice | 00 | | | | | | | location is to meet the blo | ock error ratio of 1. | 45e-11 measured usi | ng |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The test fixtures for AUI-C2M are specified in Annex 179B. Their reference ILdd as functions of requency are given in equations 179E-1 and 179B-2, which can serve as examples. Reference values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other comments. Resolve using the response to comment #481. The sex of the expected measurement time of getting direct measurement result for each of the test_block, error_bin, is impractical in both DVT and volume testing. An estimated days to observe 1 event in bin 15 in the cases of the upper limit Humax. For practical roducts, performance are expected to be better than Hmax, making it even longer observe. Wy previous contribution with 100G/L data and Michael He's 200G/L data have sho statistical projection can be very subjective approach, sometimes even impossible. eliminates the block error histogram and the block error ratio (which is calculated u histogram) being objective metric for link performance, especially when it comes to quantitative comparison. Whether or not a DUT passes the requirement can be der on an engineer's superifice and judgement. Judgement of amplitude tolerance of the module input and host input based on blor ratio is not ecnomical feasible. Suggested/Remedy Consider the approach of using BER, and use block error method as recommendal informative tests to complement verification of the system. Proposed Response Response Response BEL W PROPOSED REJECT. The normative specification represents the performance that users can expect for compliant devices. Specification of receiver performance using BER (as in suggested remedy) has bee in 120G, 1.1 and earling to proposal to define error requirements b frame loss ratio, in reponse to comment #205 against D10. See -thtps://www.ieee802.org/3/djrpublic/24_05/ran_3dj_04a_2405.pd/s, and Starw poll #5 in | | | | similar to 163B v | vith the COM values to | | | - | | ogram and compare i | t to |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPE. The test futures for AULC2M are specified in Annex 179B. Their reference ILdd as functions of frequency are given in equations 179B-1 and 179B-2, which can serve as examples. Reference values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other comments. Resolve using the response to comment #481. The est future of the expected measurement time of getting direct measurement result for each of the expected measurement time of getting direct measurement result for each of the expected measurement time of getting direct measurement result for each of the expected measurement time of getting direct measurement result for each of the expected measurement time of getting direct measurement result for each of the expected measurement time of getting direct measurement result for each of the expected measurement time of getting direct measurement result for each of the expected measurement time of getting direct measurement result for each of the products, performance are expected to be better than Hinax. For practice products, performance are expected to be better than Hinax, making it even longer observe. My previous contribution with 100G/L data and Michael He's 200G/L data have sho statistical projection can be very subjective approach, sometimes even impossible. Build and an engineer's experience and judgement. Judgement of amplitude tolerance of the module input and host input based on blo ratio is not economical feasible. SuggestedRemedy Consider the approach of using BER, and use block error method as recommendare informative test to complement verification of the system. PROPOSED REJECT. The normative specification represents the performance wing BER (as in suggested remedy) has be in 120G-11 and earlier C2M clauses. However, it has enabled devices to claim cor while having correlated errors that degrade li | Proposed Re | esponse | Response Status W | | | The his | togram consist | s of 17 bins with bin 0 to | hin 15 should he r | measured or projecte | Ч |
| examples. Reference values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other comments. Resolve using the response to comment #481. My previous contribution with 100G/L data and Michael He's 200G/L data have sho tatistical projection can be very subjective approach, sometimes even in prossible. My previous contribution with 100G/L data and Michael He's 200G/L data have sho tatistical projection can be very subjective approach, sometimes even inpossible. eliminates the block error histogram and the block error ratio (which is calculated u histogram) being objective emit for link performance, especially when it comes to quantitative comparison. Whether or not a DUT passes the requirement can be deg on an engineer's experience and judgement. Judgement of amplitude tolerance of the module input and host input based on blo- ratio is not eccomplement verification of the system. <i>Proposed Response Response Response Status</i> W PROPOSED REJECT. The normative specification represents the performance expected thas enabled devices to claim oor while having correlated errors that degrade link performance expected into roomplant devices. Specification represents the performance expected for complaint devices. Specification represents the performance expected for complaint devices. Specification represents the performance beyond users can expect from complaint devices. Specification represents the performance beyond users can expect from complaint devices. Specification represents the performance beyond users can expect from complaint devices. Specification represents the performance expected in This has been claimed to be a 'hole in the specification' and is undesirable. The block error ratio method is a result of a proposal to define error requirements be trane loss ratio, in response to comment #203 qapinse 10.0. See ~https://www.ieee802.org/3/djrpublic/24_05/ran_3dj_04a_2405.pdf>, and straw poll #5 in | The test | fixtures for AUI- | C2M are specified in Annex | | | with va | lue, to calculate | ed bin 16p. | | | |
| Reference values are currently only required for dSNDR, which is a subject of several other comments. Resolve using the response to comment #481. My previous contribution with 100G/L data and Michael He's 200G/L data have sho statistical projection can be very subjective approach, sometimes even impossible. eliminates the block error histogram and the block error ratio (which is calculated un histogram) being objective metric for link performance, especially when it comes to quantitative comparison. Whether or not a DUT passes the requirement can be der on an engineer's experience and judgement. Judgement of amplitude tolerance of the module input and host input based on blor ratio is not economical feasible. SuggestedRemedy Consider the approach of using BER, and use block error method as recommendal informative test to complement verification of the system. PROPOSDE REJECT. The normative specification represents the performance that users can expect from compliant devices. Specification of receiver performance using BER (as in suggested remedy) has been in 120G.1.1 and earlier C2M clauses. However, it has enabled devices to claim com while having correlated enrors that degrade link performance beyond user expectant. The block error rows that degrade link performance beyond user expectant. The block error rows that degrade link performance beyond user expectant. The block error rows that degrade link performance beyond user expectant. The block error ratio (which is a subject) of a proposal to define error requirements b frame loss ratio, in response to comment #205 against D10. See "https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_05/ran_3dj_04a_2405.pdf>, and staw polit #5 in metal with #1 and staw polit #1 and staw polit #1 and staw polit #5 in metal with #1 and | | | re given in equations 1790-1 | i and 1750-2, w | nich can serve as | | | | | | 10 |
| Resolve using the response to comment #481. products, periorhalice are expected to be better than Hinks, finking it even forger observe. My previous contribution with 100G/L data and Michael He's 200G/L data have sho statistical projection can be very subjective approach, sometimes even impossible. eliminates the block error ratio (which is calculated u histogram) being objective metric for link performance, especially when it comes to quantitative comparison. Whether or not a DUT passes the requirement can be dep on an engineer's experience and judgement. Judgement of amplitude tolerance of the module input and host input based on blor ratio is not ecnomical feasible. SuggestedRemedy Consider the approach of using BER, and use block error method as recommendal informative test to complement verification of the system. PROPOSED REJECT. The normative specification represents the performance that users can expect from compliant devices. Specification of receiver performance using BER (as in suggested remedy) has bee in 120G, 1.1 and earlier C2M clauses. However, it has enabled devices to claim cor while having correlated error stat degrade link performance beyond user expectation of while scale derors that degrade link performance vepord user expectation the system and to be devices to claim cor eveporate of a mole derive expectation of a proceiver performance beyond user expectation. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The normative specification represents the performance beyond user expectation for eview performance beyond user expectation is nodesirable. | | | irrently only required for dSN | IDR, which is a s | subject of several other | | | • | | 0 | 5 |
| statistical projection can be very subjective approach, sometimes even impossible. eliminates the block error histogram and the block error ratio (which is calculated u histogram) being objective metric for link performance, especially when it comes to quantitative comparison. Whether or not a DUT passes the requirement can be dep on an engineer's experience and judgement. Judgement of amplitude tolerance of the module input and host input based on blo ratio is not ecnomical feasible. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Consider the approach of using BER, and use block error method as recommendal informative test to complement verification of the system. <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The normative specification represents the performance that users can expect from compliant devices. Specification of receiver performance using BER (as in suggested remedy) has be in 1206.1.1 and earlier C2M clauses. However, it has enabled devices to claim cor while having correlated errors that degrade link performance beyond user expectation This has been claimed to be a "hole in the specification" and is undesirable. The block error ratio method is a result of a proposal to define error requirements b frame loss ratio, in response to comment #205 against D1.0. See <http: 24_05="" 3="" dj="" public="" ran_3dj_04a_2405.pdf="" www.ieee802.org="">, and straw poil #5 in</http:> | | | | | | products, performance are expected to be better than Hmax, making it even longer to observe. | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy Consider the approach of using BER, and use block error method as recommendation informative test to complement verification of the system. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The normative specification represents the performance that users can expect from compliant devices. Specification of receiver performance using BER (as in suggested remedy) has been in 120G.1.1 and earlier C2M clauses. However, it has enabled devices to claim com while having correlated errors that degrade link performance beyond user expectation. The block error ratio method is a result of a proposal to define error requirements b frame loss ratio, in response to comment #205 against D1.0. See https://www.ieee802.org/3/di/comments/D1p0/8023dj_D1p0_comments_final_id.p=49 >, the related presentation https://www.iee802.org/3/di/public/24_05/ran_3dj_04a_2405.pdf >, and straw poll #5 in | | | | | | statistic elimina histogra quantita on an e Judgen | cal projection ca tes the block er am) being object ative comparison engineer's exper- ment of amplitud | an be very subjective app rror histogram and the blo ctive metric for link perfor on. Whether or not a DUT rience and judgement. de tolerance of the modul | roach, sometimes ock error ratio (whio mance, especially passes the require | even impossible. Thi ch is calculated using when it comes to ement can be depend | s the dent |
| Consider the approach of using BER, and use block error method as recommendat informative test to complement verification of the system. <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> W PROPOSED REJECT. The normative specification represents the performance that users can expect from compliant devices. Specification of receiver performance using BER (as in suggested remedy) has bee in 120G.1.1 and earlier C2M clauses. However, it has enabled devices to claim cor while having correlated errors that degrade link performance beyond user expectation This has been claimed to be a "hole in the specification" and is undesirable. The block error ratio method is a result of a proposal to define error requirements b frame loss ratio, in response to comment #205 against D1.0. See <https: 3="" 8023dj_d1p0_comments_final_id.p<br="" comments="" d1p0="" dj="" www.ieee802.org="">=49>, the related presentation <https: 24_05="" 3="" dj="" public="" ran_3dj_04a_2405.pdf="" www.ieee802.org="">, and straw poll #5 in</https:></https:> | | | | | | | | reasible. | | | |
| informative test to complement verification of the system. <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The normative specification represents the performance that users can expect from compliant devices. Specification of receiver performance using BER (as in suggested remedy) has been in 120G.1.1 and earlier C2M clauses. However, it has enabled devices to claim cor- while having correlated errors that degrade link performance beyond user expectation This has been claimed to be a "hole in the specification" and is undesirable. The block error ratio method is a result of a proposal to define error requirements b frame loss ratio, in response to comment #205 against D1.0. See <https: 3="" 8023dj_d1p0_comments_final_id.p<br="" comments="" d1p0="" dj="" www.ieee802.org="">=49>, the related presentation <https: 24_05="" 3="" dj="" public="" ran_3dj_04a_2405.pdf="" www.ieee802.org="">, and straw poll #5 in</https:></https:> | | | | | | | | | | | , |
| PROPOSED REJECT. The normative specification represents the performance that users can expect from compliant devices. Specification of receiver performance using BER (as in suggested remedy) has been in 120G.1.1 and earlier C2M clauses. However, it has enabled devices to claim com- while having correlated errors that degrade link performance beyond user expectation This has been claimed to be a "hole in the specification" and is undesirable. The block error ratio method is a result of a proposal to define error requirements b frame loss ratio, in response to comment #205 against D1.0. See <https: 3="" 8023dj_d1p0_comments_final_id.p<br="" comments="" d1p0="" dj="" www.ieee802.org="">=49>, the related presentation <https: 24_05="" 3="" dj="" public="" ran_3dj_04a_2405.pdf="" www.ieee802.org="">, and straw poll #5 in</https:></https:> | | | | | | informa | tive test to con | | | as recommendation | / |
| The normative specification represents the performance that users can expect from compliant devices. Specification of receiver performance using BER (as in suggested remedy) has been in 120G.1.1 and earlier C2M clauses. However, it has enabled devices to claim correlated errors that degrade link performance beyond user expectation. This has been claimed to be a "hole in the specification" and is undesirable. The block error ratio method is a result of a proposal to define error requirements b frame loss ratio, in response to comment #205 against D1.0. See ">https://www.iee802.org/3/dj/comments/D1p0/8023dj_D1p0_comments_final_id.p=49>">https://www.iee802.org/3/dj/public/24_05/ran_3dj_04a_2405.pdf> , and straw poll #5 in | | | | | | Proposed F | Response | Response Status W | | | |
| in 120G.1.1 and earlier C2M clauses. However, it has enabled devices to claim cor while having correlated errors that degrade link performance beyond user expectati This has been claimed to be a "hole in the specification" and is undesirable. The block error ratio method is a result of a proposal to define error requirements b frame loss ratio, in response to comment #205 against D1.0. See <https: 3="" 8023dj_d1p0_comments_final_id.p<br="" comments="" d1p0="" dj="" www.ieee802.org="">=49>, the related presentation <https: 24_05="" 3="" dj="" public="" ran_3dj_04a_2405.pdf="" www.ieee802.org="">, and straw poll #5 in</https:></https:> | | | | | | The no | rmative specific | | ormance that user | s can expect from | |
| frame loss ratio, in response to comment #205 against D1.0. See <https: 3="" 8023dj_d1p0_comments_final_id.p<br="" comments="" d1p0="" dj="" www.ieee802.org="">=49>, the related presentation <https: 24_05="" 3="" dj="" public="" ran_3dj_04a_2405.pdf="" www.ieee802.org="">, and straw poll #5 in</https:></https:> | | | | | | in 1200 while h This ha | 6.1.1 and earlie aving correlated is been claimed | r C2M clauses. However, d errors that degrade link d to be a "hole in the spec | it has enabled dev performance beyo ification" and is un | vices to claim compli- nd user expectations desirable. | ance |
| and straw poll #5 in | | | | | | frame <https: <br="">=49>, t</https:> | oss ratio, in res //www.ieee802. he related pres | ponse to comment #205 org/3/dj/comments/D1p0/ entation | against D1.0. See 8023dj_D1p0_con | nments_final_id.pdf# | |
| <hr/> | | | | | | and stra | aw poll #5 in | o , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | · | |
| TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/ 176D Page 7 | | | | | | | | o , , , | | Page 71 o | |

Additional modifications were adopted by the response to comment #324 against D1.1, see https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/8023dj_D1p1_comments_final_clause.pdf#

and the related presentation

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_09/healey_3dj_02a_2409.pdf>.

This presentation includes the statistical projection from partial histogram measurements.

The equivalent BER assuming uncorrelated errors for different ISLs is provided in 174A.12, but this is not the specification and should not be pointed to by the PMDs and AUIs. The way to verify sufficiently low correlation is described in 174A.8 through 174A.10.

The assumption for AUIs is to have very low effect on the block/codeword error ratio. While direct verification of these specifications without statistical projection would require a long test, vendors can use statistical projection and/or claim compliance using internal pass/fail criteria that predict meeting the full requirements. These criteria may vary, e.g. based on knowledge of the design and internal metrics (e.g. SNR) that are beyond the scope of the standard.

The suggested remedy does not contain sufficient details for the CRG to understand and agree to and for the editor to implement.

Resolve comments #391, #394, #396, #411, and #593 along with each other.

| | SC 1 | 76D.8.11 | P 7 | 55 | L 21 | # 410 |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Mi, Guango | an | | Huaw | ei Technologi | ies Co., L | td |
| Comment T | уре | TR | Comment Status | D | lec | trical) Amplitude tolerance |
| steady | state vo ame tim | Itage. In th | is note, it says the | steady-state | voltage i | ne as the maximum s defined with preset 1. nless it specifically |
| It is ver | y confus | sing which | voltage is used ar | d how it is de | fined. | |
| SuggestedF Please | | | | | | |
| Proposed R | espons | e l | Response Status | w | | |
| requirer The sec clarifies output s These t the defi Howeve | ments s cond pa that a r signal. wo para nition of er, this r rst para kimum t econd p connected | pecified in ragraph de receiver un graphs tog steady-sta nay be clar graph, cha ransmitter aragraph, ed that is co | der test can contri- lether imply that that voltage. The n- ified further by so nge "as the maxin steady-state volta change "The stea onnected to the in | erates in DAT tate voltage as of the equalize the signal seen ote makes this me rewording. hum steady-st ge". dy-state voltag put of the rece | A mode's being a s being a er setting by the ro s more es cate volta ge is mea eiver und | 2. transmitter metric, and to create a suitable eceiver is different from kplicit. ge (see 176D.8.4)" to "as asured for the transmitter er test" to "The |
| that is c transmi | | d in the tes | | d as specified | in 176D. | 8.4 at the output of the |
| that is o transmi transmi | tter use | | .t". | • | in 176D. L 35 | # <u>533</u> |
| that is o transmi transmi Cl 176D | tter use SC 1 | d in the tes | .t". | 58 | | |
| that is c transmi transmi C/ 176D Dudek, Mik Comment 7 | tter use SC 1 e īype | d in the tes 76D.8.12.4 TR | .t". P 7 | 58 ell D | L 35 | # <u>533</u> (Common) precoding |
| that is c transmi Cl 176D Dudek, Mik Comment 7 The C2 | tter use SC 1 e ype M recee | d in the tes 76D.8.12.4 TR viver should | rt". P 7 Marv <i>Comment Status</i> | 58 ell D | L 35 | # <u>533</u> (Common) precoding |
| that is c transmi transmi Cl 176D Dudek, Mik Comment 7 The C2 SuggestedF Change | tter use SC 17 e Jype M recee Remedy e "PRBS | d in the tes 76D.8.12.4 TR viver should 31Q patter | t". P 7 Marv <i>Comment Status</i> be able to deterr | 58 ell D nine whether p pattern with th | L 35 pre-codin | # <u>533</u> (Common) precoding |
| that is of transmi transmi Cl 176D Dudek, Mik Comment 7 The C2 SuggestedF Change | tter use SC 1 e ype M recee Remedy e "PRBS receiver | d in the tes 76D.8.12.4 TR eiver should 31Q patter would sele | t". P7 Marv Comment Status be able to deterr n" to "PRBS31Q | 58 D D nine whether p pattern with th rotocol" | L 35 pre-codin | # <u>533</u> (<i>Common) precoding</i> g is used. |

Resolve using the response to comment #534.

C/ 176D SC 176D.8.12.4
| C/ 177 | SC 177.1 | P 327 | L11 | # 241 | C/ 177 | SC 177.2 | |
|--|---|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Gorshe, St | teve | Microchip Te | chnology | | Huber, Th | omas | |
| Comment [·] | Туре Е | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | Туре Е | Commen |
| | | s in this figure. It is defined in this figure and others. | n some figures a | as meaning "Signal | | ld be better to r e this text if new | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | Suggested | dRemedy | |
| | SIL is used in mu abbreviation list i | utliple figures without consist n clause 1.5 | ent definition, I r | ecommend adding SIL | DR2-2 | ce "The numbe 2, 4 for 800GBA | |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | | 1.6TB/ with | ASE-DR8-2." | |
| | OSED ACCEPT. 's note: CC: 1, 1 | | | | "The n | number of paral 800GBASE-R P | |
| C/ 177 | SC 177.1.1.3 | P 326 | L 6 | # 583 | Proposed | Response | Response |
| Nowell, Ma | ark | Cisco | | | PROP | POSED ACCEP | Т. |
| Comment | Туре Е | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | C/ 177 | SC 177.2 | |
| | | which summarizes the functi | | | Bruckman | | |
| 177.1.3 | 3 doesn't include | the basic detail that it is a B | CH(128,120) en | icoding/decoding. | Comment | , | Commen |
| | | | | | | 51 | ed in adjacent |
| For rea | | sistency these two subclause | es snouia provia | le similar information to | | eters are under | |
| | ader. | sistency these two subclaus | es snouia provia | e similar information to | param | | fined." and in t |
| the rea <i>Suggested</i> In clau | ader. <i>IRemedy</i> Ise 177.1.3, inclu | sistency these two subclauson de the description that that the | · | | param param Suggested | neters are under neters on all lan dRemedy | fined." and in t es are unspec |
| the rea Suggested In clau | ader. <i>IRemedy</i> ise 177.1.3, inclu I(128,120) | | · | | param param <i>Suggestec</i> Use si | neters are under neters on all lan | fined." and in t es are unspec in both parag |
| the rea Suggested In clau is BCH Proposed I | ader. <i>IRemedy</i> ise 177.1.3, inclu I(128,120) | de the description that that the Response Status W | · | | param param <i>Suggestec</i> Use si | neters are under neters on all lan d <i>Remedy</i> imilar lenguage same change in | fined." and in t es are unspec in both parag |
| the rea Suggested In clau is BCH Proposed I PROP | ader. /Remedy lse 177.1.3, inclu i(128,120) Response OSED ACCEPT. | de the description that that the description that that the the contract the the the the the the the the the th | he inner FEC en | coding for Clause 177 | param param Suggested Use si Make Proposed PROP | neters are under neters on all lan <i>dRemedy</i> imilar lenguage same change in <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEP | fined." and in t es are unspec in both parag n the two last <i>Response</i> T IN PRINCIP |
| the rea Suggested In clau is BCH Proposed I PROP Cl 177 | ader. Remedy Ise 177.1.3, inclu I(128,120) Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 177.1.3 | de the description that that the Response Status W | · | | param param Suggested Use si Make Proposed PROP Use th | neters are under neters on all lan <i>dRemedy</i> imilar lenguage same change in <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEP ne same langua | fined." and in t es are unspec in both parag n the two last <i>Response</i> T IN PRINCIP |
| the rea Suggested In clau is BCH Proposed I PROP CI 177 Bruckman | ader. /Remedy /se 177.1.3, inclu /(128,120) Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 177.1.3 , Leon | de the description that that the Response Status W P326 Nvidia | he inner FEC en | # 82 | param param Suggested Use si Make Proposed PROP | neters are under neters on all lan <i>dRemedy</i> imilar lenguage same change in <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEP | fined." and in t es are unspec in both parag n the two last <i>Response</i> T IN PRINCIP |
| the rea Suggested In clau is BCH Proposed I PROPU CI 177 Bruckman, Comment | ader. <i>Remedy</i> Ise 177.1.3, inclu I(128,120) <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. SC 177.1.3 , Leon <i>Type</i> E | de the description that that the Response Status W P326 Nvidia Comment Status D | he inner FEC en | coding for Clause 177 | param param Suggested Use si Make Proposed PROP Use th | neters are undefineters on all lan dRemedy imilar lenguage same change in Response POSED ACCEP ne same langua SC 177.3 | fined." and in t es are unspec in both parag n the two last <i>Response</i> T IN PRINCIP |
| the rea Suggested In clau is BCH Proposed I PROPO Cl 177 Bruckman, Comment The co | ader. <i>Remedy</i> Ise 177.1.3, inclu I(128,120) <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT. <i>SC</i> 177.1.3 , Leon <i>Type</i> E phyvolutial interlea | de the description that that the Response Status W P326 Nvidia | he inner FEC en | # 82 | param param Suggested Use si Make Proposed PROP Use th CI 177 | neters are under neters on all lan dRemedy imilar lenguage same change in Response POSED ACCEP ne same langua SC 177.3 nomas | fined." and in t es are unspec in both parag n the two last <i>Response</i> T IN PRINCIP |
| the rea Suggested In clau is BCH Proposed I PROP Cl 177 Bruckman Comment The co Suggested | ader. Remedy Ise 177.1.3, inclu I(128,120) Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 177.1.3 , Leon Type E provolutial interlea IRemedy | de the description that that the Response Status W P326 Nvidia Comment Status D Iver is "a convolutional interle | he inner FEC en | # <u>82</u> (Logic) (bucket) | param param Suggested Use si Make Proposed PROP Use th C/ 177 Huber, Th Comment Clause | neters are undefineters on all lan dRemedy imilar lenguage same change in Response POSED ACCEP ne same langua SC 177.3 nomas Type T e 182 is not the | fined." and in t es are unspec in both parag n the two last j <i>Response</i> T IN PRINCIP ige as rx side. <i>Commen</i> only PMD tha |
| the rea Suggested In clau is BCH Proposed I PROPO Cl 177 Bruckman, Comment The co Suggested Chang | ader. Remedy Ise 177.1.3, inclu I(128,120) Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 177.1.3 , Leon Type E provolutial interlea IRemedy e: "using the con Response | Ide the description that that the Response Status W P326 Nvidia Comment Status D Iver is "a convolutional interleaver" to: "us Response Status W | he inner FEC en | # <u>82</u> (Logic) (bucket) | param param Suggested Use si Make Proposed PROP Use th C/ 177 Huber, Th Comment Clause below the int | neters are under interers on all lan dRemedy imilar lenguage same change in Response POSED ACCEP ne same langua SC 177.3 iomas Type T | fined." and in t es are unspec in both parag n the two last <i>Response</i> T IN PRINCIP ge as rx side. Commen only PMD that is not limited t . Rather than of |
| the rea Suggested In clau is BCH Proposed I PROPO CI 177 Bruckman, Comment The co Suggested Chang | ader. Remedy Ise 177.1.3, inclu I(128,120) Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 177.1.3 , Leon Type E provolutial interlea IRemedy Ie: "using the con | Ide the description that that the Response Status W P326 Nvidia Comment Status D Iver is "a convolutional interleaver" to: "us Response Status W | he inner FEC en | # <u>82</u> (Logic) (bucket) | param param Suggested Use si Make Proposed PROP Use th C/ 177 Huber, Th Comment Clause below the int | aeters are undefiniteers on all lan <i>dRemedy</i> imilar lenguage same change in <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEP the same langua SC 177.3 somas <i>Type</i> T the 182 is not the the Inner FEC the Inner FEC the Inner fec | fined." and in t es are unspec in both parag n the two last <i>Response</i> T IN PRINCIP ge as rx side. Commen only PMD that is not limited t . Rather than of |
| the rea Suggested In clau is BCH Proposed I PROPO CI 177 Bruckman, Comment The co Suggested Chang | ader. Remedy Ise 177.1.3, inclu I(128,120) Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 177.1.3 , Leon Type E provolutial interlea IRemedy e: "using the con Response | Ide the description that that the Response Status W P326 Nvidia Comment Status D Iver is "a convolutional interleaver" to: "us Response Status W | he inner FEC en | # <u>82</u> (Logic) (bucket) | param param Suggested Use si Make Proposed PROP Use th Cl 177 Huber, Th Comment Clause below the int potent | atters are undefiniteers on all lan dRemedy imilar lenguage same change in Response POSED ACCEP the same langua SC 177.3 tomas Type T the 182 is not the the Inner FEC terface in 183.3 tial need to regul dRemedy ge "the PMD se | fined." and in t es are unspec in both paragg n the two last p <i>Response</i> T IN PRINCIP ge as rx side. <i>Commert</i> only PMD that is not limited t . Rather than a |
| the rea Suggested In clau is BCH Proposed I PROPO CI 177 Bruckman, Comment The co Suggested Chang Proposed I | ader. Remedy Ise 177.1.3, inclu I(128,120) Response OSED ACCEPT. SC 177.1.3 , Leon Type E provolutial interlea IRemedy e: "using the con Response | Ide the description that that the Response Status W P326 Nvidia Comment Status D Iver is "a convolutional interleaver" to: "us Response Status W | he inner FEC en | # <u>82</u> (Logic) (bucket) | param param Suggested Use si Make Proposed PROP Use th C/ 177 Huber, The Comment Clause below the int potent Suggested Chang | atters are undefiniteers on all lan dRemedy imilar lenguage same change in Response POSED ACCEP the same langua SC 177.3 iomas Type T e 182 is not the the Inner FEC terface in 183.3 tial need to regul dRemedy ge "the PMD se | fined." and in t es are unspec in both paragg n the two last p <i>Response</i> T IN PRINCIP ge as rx side. <i>Commert</i> only PMD that is not limited t . Rather than a |

C/ 177 Page 73 of 184 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 177.3 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

| C/ 177 | SC 1 | 77.2 | P 328 | L 14 | # 182 |
|------------|------|------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Huber, The | omas | | Nokia | | |
| Comment | Туре | Е | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |

pecific PMDs here and create a potential need to regularly added that use this inner FEC.

streams, n, is 1 for 200GBASE-DR1-2, 2 for 400GBASE-800GBASE-FR4, and 800GBASE-LR4, and 8 for

n, is 1 for 200GBASE-R PHYs, 2 for 400GBASE-R PHYs, for 1.6TBASE-R PHYs."

| Proposed Response | Response Status | w | |
|-------------------|-----------------|---|--|
|-------------------|-----------------|---|--|

| C/ 177 | SC 177. | 2 P 328 | L 21 | # 83 |
|----------|---------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Bruckmar | n, Leon | Nvidia | | |
| Comment | Type ER | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |

ent paragraphs. In the first paragraph: ", the tx_symbol the next paragraph: "the corresponding rx_symbol cified.

agraphs. t paragraphs of 177.3

se Status W

IPLE.

| C/ 177 | SC 177.3 | P 328 | L 45 | # 183 |
|------------|----------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Huber, Tho | omas | Nokia | | |
| Comment 7 | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |

ent Status D

nat is used with this inner FEC, so the service interface to the PMD service interface in 182.3. It could also be enumerating all the clauses (which would create a the clause), a more generic statement can be used.

ce defined in 182.3" to "the PMD service interface for the

se Status W

| CI 177 SC 177.4.2 | P 331 | L 29 | # 184 | C/ 177 SC 177.4.5 | P 333 | L18 | # 698 |
|---|---|--------------------|------------------|--|---|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Huber, Thomas | Nokia | | | Dawe, Piers | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Type E Awkward grammer in | Comment Status D | lane is fed" | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment Type TR alpha | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| SuggestedRemedy Change to "Data from | n the deskwed PMA lane is fed | | | SuggestedRemedy Define | | | |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP Change: | Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE. wed PMA lane is fed" | | | Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP ⁻ Add definition for alph | Response Status W TIN PRINCIPLE. a as "alpha is a primitive elerr | nent in Galois Fie | eld GF(2^7)." |
| to: | wed PMA lane is fed" | | | C/ 177 SC 177.4.5 Dawe, Piers | Р 333 Nvidia | L 20 | # 699 |
| Cl 177 SC 177.4.2 Bruckman, Leon | Р 331 Nvidia | L 30 | # 84 | Comment Type TR x | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| Comment Type E Missing word | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | SuggestedRemedy Define | | | |
| 5 | om deskewed PMA lane" to: "T | The data from a de | skewed PMA lane" | Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT x in poly is not defined | Response Status W | is common know | vledge to implementers. |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using the res | Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE. sponse to comment #184. | | | C/ 177 SC 177.4.5 | P 333 Nvidia | L 24 | # 700 |
| Cl 177 SC 177.4.5 Dawe, Piers | Р 333 Nvidia | L16 | # 697 | Comment Type TR T | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| Comment Type ER is most naturally defir | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | SuggestedRemedy Define | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy Clean up Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP Remove "most natura | - | | | Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP ⁻ Add definition for T : " | Response Status W I IN PRINCIPLE. the superscript "T" denotes a | matrix transpose | e operator" |

C/ 177 SC 177.4.5

| 0 | Daaa | 1.05 | // | 0/ 477 00 477 4 5 | | | // |
|---|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| C/ 177 SC 177.4.5 | P333 | L 25 | # 701 | C/ 177 SC 177.4.5 | P 334 | L1 | # 704 |
| Dawe, Piers | Nvidia | | | Dawe, Piers | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Type TR MSB | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment Type TR ^-1 | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket |
| SuggestedRemedy Define | | | | SuggestedRemedy Define | | | |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT MSB is defined in 1.5 | Response Status W T. and is used across the docur | nent. | | Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Add definition for "^-1" | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. as: "the superscript "-1" den | otes a matrix inve | ersion operator." |
| C/ 177 SC 177.4.5 | P333 | L 30 | # 702 | C/ 177 SC 177.4.5 | P 334 | L 4 | # 705 |
| Dawe, Piers | Nvidia | | | Dawe, Piers | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Type TR big dot | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment Type TR generator matrix vs. G | Comment Status D eneration matrix - confusingl | y similar names | (Logic) (bucket |
| SuggestedRemedy Define | | | | SuggestedRemedy Rename one | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | Proposed Response | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEP | | roduct." | | PROPOSED ACCEPT Rename to "generator | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEP Add definition for bit c | T IN PRINCIPLE. dot : " ••" denotes matrix dot pr | roduct." <i>L</i> 50 | # 703 | PROPOSED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. | L 37 | # [185 |
| PROPOSED ACCEP Add definition for bit of C/ 177 SC 177.4.5 | T IN PRINCIPLE. dot : " ••" denotes matrix dot pr | | # 703 | PROPOSED ACCEPT Rename to "generator | IN PRINCIPLE. matrix". | L 37 | # 185 |
| PROPOSED ACCEP Add definition for bit of Cl 177 SC 177.4.5 Dawe, Piers | T IN PRINCIPLE. dot : " "•" denotes matrix dot pr P333 | | # 703 (Logic) (bucket) | PROPOSED ACCEPT Rename to "generator Cl 177 SC 177.4.7 | IN PRINCIPLE. matrix". P 334 | L37 | |
| PROPOSED ACCEP Add definition for bit of Cl 177 SC 177.4.5 Dawe, Piers | T IN PRINCIPLE. dot : " "•" denotes matrix dot pr P333 Nvidia | | | PROPOSED ACCEPT Rename to "generator <i>Cl</i> 177 SC 177.4.7 Huber, Thomas <i>Comment Type</i> T Figure 177-7 is a bit co | IN PRINCIPLE. matrix". P334 Nokia Comment Status D onfusing. The 1024-bit pad is | the equivalent n | <i>(Logic) (bucket)</i> umber of bits as "8x |
| PROPOSED ACCEP Add definition for bit of Cl 177 SC 177.4.5 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR big dot SuggestedRemedy Define Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP | T IN PRINCIPLE. dot : " "•" denotes matrix dot pr P333 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D <i>Response Status</i> W | | | PROPOSED ACCEPT Rename to "generator Cl 177 SC 177.4.7 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T Figure 177-7 is a bit or Inner FEC codewords' and subclauses under appropriate, as there is (8704 Inner FEC code codewords (a total of 8 careless reader as 870 explicitly indicate 1088 | IN PRINCIPLE. matrix". P334 Nokia Comment Status D | the equivalent n s padding bits as he use of "8x" in In the text under e are 1088 block uld easily be misi ewords It would a | <i>(Logic) (bucket,</i> umber of bits as "8x described by the text the figure is not the horizontal brace s of 8 Inner FEC nterpreted by a also be helpful to |
| PROPOSED ACCEP Add definition for bit of Cl 177 SC 177.4.5 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR big dot SuggestedRemedy Define Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP | T IN PRINCIPLE. dot : " "•" denotes matrix dot pr P333 Nvidia Comment Status D Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE. | | | PROPOSED ACCEPT Rename to "generator Cl 177 SC 177.4.7 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T Figure 177-7 is a bit co Inner FEC codewords' and subclauses under appropriate, as there is (8704 Inner FEC code codewords (a total of 8 careless reader as 870 explicitly indicate 1088 1088/1089 ratio. | IN PRINCIPLE. matrix". P334 Nokia Comment Status D onfusing. The 1024-bit pad is the figure. More generatlly, t is no multiplication going on. words), the intent is that ther 8704 codewords), but this cou 04 blocks of 8 Inner FEC cod | the equivalent n s padding bits as he use of "8x" in In the text under e are 1088 block uld easily be misi ewords It would a | <i>(Logic) (bucket,</i> umber of bits as "8x described by the text the figure is not the horizontal brace s of 8 Inner FEC nterpreted by a also be helpful to |
| PROPOSED ACCEP Add definition for bit of Cl 177 SC 177.4.5 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR big dot SuggestedRemedy Define Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP | T IN PRINCIPLE. dot : " "•" denotes matrix dot pr P333 Nvidia Comment Status D Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE. | | | PROPOSED ACCEPT Rename to "generator Cl 177 SC 177.4.7 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T Figure 177-7 is a bit co Inner FEC codewords' and subclauses under appropriate, as there is (8704 Inner FEC code codewords (a total of 8 careless reader as 870 explicitly indicate 1088 1088/1089 ratio. SuggestedRemedy In the pad blocks, repl | IN PRINCIPLE. matrix". P334 Nokia Comment Status D onfusing. The 1024-bit pad is t, but of course is not that, it's the figure. More generatlly, t is no multiplication going on. words), the intent is that ther 8704 codewords), but this cou- 04 blocks of 8 Inner FEC code blocks, as that would more ace "8x Inner FEC codeword the text under the brace, add | the equivalent n s padding bits as he use of "8x" in In the text under e are 1088 block uld easily be misi ewords It would a clearly relate bac s" with "1024 bits | <i>(Logic) (bucket</i> umber of bits as "8x described by the text the figure is not the horizontal brace s of 8 Inner FEC nterpreted by a also be helpful to k to the text about the s". In the other blocks, |
| PROPOSED ACCEP Add definition for bit of Cl 177 SC 177.4.5 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR big dot SuggestedRemedy Define Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP | T IN PRINCIPLE. dot : " "•" denotes matrix dot pr P333 Nvidia Comment Status D Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE. | | | PROPOSED ACCEPT Rename to "generator Cl 177 SC 177.4.7 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T Figure 177-7 is a bit co Inner FEC codewords' and subclauses under appropriate, as there is (8704 Inner FEC code codewords (a total of 8 careless reader as 870 explicitly indicate 1088 1088/1089 ratio. SuggestedRemedy In the pad blocks, repl change "8x" to "8". In | IN PRINCIPLE. matrix". P334 Nokia Comment Status D onfusing. The 1024-bit pad is t, but of course is not that, it's the figure. More generatlly, t is no multiplication going on. words), the intent is that ther 8704 codewords), but this cou- 04 blocks of 8 Inner FEC code blocks, as that would more ace "8x Inner FEC codeword the text under the brace, add | the equivalent n s padding bits as he use of "8x" in In the text under e are 1088 block uld easily be misi ewords It would a clearly relate bac s" with "1024 bits | <i>(Logic) (bucket)</i> umber of bits as "8x described by the text the figure is not the horizontal brace s of 8 Inner FEC nterpreted by a also be helpful to k to the text about the s". In the other blocks, |

| C/ 177 | SC 177.4.7.3 | P 336 | L 4 | # 85 | C/ 177 | SC 177.5.1 | P336 | L 36 | # 187 |
|------------------|---|---|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------|------------------------|
| Bruckman | , Leon | Nvidia | | | Huber, Thon | nas | Nokia | | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment Ty | pe E | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket |
| The bi | t pair interleaving | function for the pad field is r | not described. | | The last | sentence is | a comma splice. | | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | SuggestedR | emedy | | | |
| the lin | es of: "After Inner | e bit-pair interleaving fucntion FEC encoding, the eight pa | | | | to read: "The PAM4 deco | hard-decision PAM4 decoding | function in F | igure 177.2. The soft- |
| Also re | efer to comment a | as decribed in 177.4.6". gainst the figures in Clause ion function liocation. | 177 vs the ones | in Annex 177A | Proposed Re PROPO | esponse SED ACCEP | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | C/ 177 | SC 177.5.2 | P 337 | L9 | # 86 |
| - | OSED ACCEPT | - | | | Bruckman, L | eon | Nvidia | | |
| | | describing the bit-pair inte described in 177.4.6" | erleaving as "The | e 8 pad codewords are | Comment Ty | | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucke |
| | Ū | | | | , | , | sed to frame the data stream in | the state diagra | |
| C/ 177 | SC 177.4.8.2 | P 336 | L15 | # 186 | 177-10. | | | the state diagre | in chickin in right of |
| Huber, Th | omas | Nokia | | | SuggestedR | emedy | | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Change: | "The eight c | odewords inserted as pad (see | 177.4.7) are use | ed to frame the data |
| impler | nentation", what is | ured either based on ILT or is the purpose of having the s }_enable_i" variables to ena | set of | - | To: "The | eight codew | removed before the received date to receive the received date to receive the received as pad (see 177.4 ata is processed further." | | |
| lane/d | irection? It doesn | 't sound like the user has ar | ny need to contro | ol these settings. | Proposed Re | esponse | Response Status W | | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | | , SED ACCEP | , | | |
| config intent | uration if there is a in the case that IL | bles entirely, or treat them a some value in the user know T is not being used is that th ake that more clear. | ving what the co | nfiguration is Or, if the | | | | | |
| Chabic | Poononaa | Response Status W | | | | | | | |
| Proposed | Response | | | | | | | | |

When training is disabled, the user needs to configure the precoder on both sides to the same value, depending on the implementation. The language used here is consistent with similar language in clause 120 and other clauses, and is intentionally vague to allow for a variety of implementation choices.

[Editor's note: CC: 176, 177]

C/ 177 SC 177.5.2

| C/ 177 | SC 177.5.2 | P 337 | L19 | # 281 |
|------------|------------|------------------|-----|------------------|
| Ren, Hao | | Huawei | | |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |

The definition of the candidate location and the synchronization location is not clear.

The candidate location is the inner FEC codeword boundary of a valid set of codewords. The candidate location is regarded as the synchronization location when the candidate location is confirmed valid for a second window of 128b-bit blocks.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

The synchronization process searches for a valid set of codewords in a window of 128-bit blocks, confirms the candidate location is valid for a second window of 128b-bit blocks and then monitors that the synchronization location continues to be valid during operation. to:

[A]: The synchronization process searches for a valid set of codewords in a window of 128bit blocks. The boundary of these codewords is marked as candidate location, which is confirmed as the synchronization location if it is valid for a second window of 128b-bit blocks. The synchronization process continuously validates the synchronization location during operation.

[B]: The synchronization process searches for a valid set of codewords in a window of 128bit blocks, marking the boundary of these codewords as candidate location, confirms the candidate location as sychronization location by validating for a second window of 128b-bit blocks, and then monitors that the synchronization location continues to be valid during operation.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Breaking the sentence can improve clarity. Use language as follows:

"The synchronization process searches for a valid set of codewords in a window of 128-bit blocks, marking the boundary of these codewords as a candidate location. A candidate location is confirmed as the synchronization location if it is valid for a second window of 128b-bit blocks. The synchronization process continuously validates the synchronization location during operation."

| C/ 177 | SC 177.5.2 | P 337 | L 20 | # 188 |
|-----------|---------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Huber, Th | iomas | Nokia | | |
| Comment | Type E | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| "128b | -bit blocks" has a | stray b | | |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | |
| Chang | no to "128 bit blog | ale" | | |

Change to "128-bit blocls'

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

| C/ 177 | SC 17 | 7.5.5 | P33 | 38 | L 31 | # 568 |
|-------------|--------|-------|----------------|----|-------------|------------------|
| Nicholl, Sh | nawn | | AMD | | | |
| Comment | Туре в | Ξ | Comment Status | D | | (Logic) (bucket) |

Current text: "The decoder is expected to correct all codewords with one bit error. It may also be able to correct ..."

The current sentence, although containing no language that indicates a mandatory requirement, might be interpreted by readers as a requirement.

It is preferred to clarify the language as improved soft-decision decoder performance (gain) may be obtained by an implementation that is not bound by a rule to correct all codewords with one bit error

SuggestedRemedy

Referring to 802.3-2022 Sub-Clause "1.1.6 Word usage", perhaps the word "should" provides sufficient clarity.

Proposed text: "The decoder should correct all codewords with one bit error. It may also be able to correct \ldots "

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

| C/ 177 | SC 177.5.5 | P 339 | L 5 | # 282 |
|-----------|------------|------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Ren, Hao | | Huawei | | |
| Comment T | vpe TR | Comment Status D | | (Loaic) FEC bin counters |

pe TR Comment Status D (Logic) FEC bin counters

The number of Inner_FEC_codeword_error_bin_k counters can be decreased. k = 0 should be ignored, because this counter value can be calculated from other counters. Also in 802.3ck, k=0 is not set for RS-FEC error bin counter as in 161.6.17.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

A set of four 32-bit counters where counter k counts once for each codeword received with exactly k bits corrected (flipped) when fas_lock is true (k = 0 to 3).

to:

A set of three 32-bit counters where counter k counts once for each codeword received with exactly k bits corrected (flipped) when fas_lock is true (k = 1 to 3).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Resolve using the response to comment #561.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 177 SC 177.5.5 Page 77 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| has exactly two bits co Error bin 3 increments The text in Sub-Clause | AMD <i>Comment Status</i> D fas_lock is true (k = 0 to 3). I prrected, then Inner_FEC_cod when three or more bits are e "177.5.5 Inner FEC decode" prror bin register definitions". | eword_error_bin corrected in an li is inconsistent v | 1_2 is incremented. nner FEC codeword." | Suggested | <i>Type</i> ng "the" | ER | Nvidia Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
|---|--|--|---|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Current text: " when has exactly two bits co Error bin 3 increments The text in Sub-Clause Inner FEC codeword e | fas_lock is true (k = 0 to 3). I prrected, then Inner_FEC_coo when three or more bits are e "177.5.5 Inner FEC decode" | eword_error_bin corrected in an li is inconsistent v | n Inner FEC codeword _2 is incremented. nner FEC codeword." | Missin Suggested | ng "the" | ER | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| has exactly two bits co Error bin 3 increments The text in Sub-Clause Inner FEC codeword e | prrected, then Inner_FEC_coc when three or more bits are e "177.5.5 Inner FEC decode" | eword_error_bin corrected in an li is inconsistent v | 1_2 is incremented. nner FEC codeword." | Suggested | 0 | | | | |
| Error bin 3 increments The text in Sub-Clause Inner FEC codeword e | when three or more bits are e "177.5.5 Inner FEC decode" | is inconsistent | nner FEC codeword." | 00 | Remedv | | | | |
| The text in Sub-Claus Inner FEC codeword e | e "177.5.5 Inner FEC decode' | is inconsistent v | | Chano | | • | | | |
| Inner FEC codeword e | | | | | ge: "is pro | ocessed by | / Inner FEC sublayer" to: "is | processed by | the Inner FEC sublayer" |
| | | | | Proposed PROP | Respons POSED A | | Response Status W | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | C/ 177 | SC 1 | 77.6.1.4 | P 340 | L10 | # 189 |
| | en fas_lock is true (k = 0 to 4) | | | Huber, Th | | | Nokia | | |
| | two bits corrected, then Inner 4 increments when four or m | | | Comment | | т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) Test patterns |
| codeword." | | | | | | | natural consequence of su | bclause 177.6. | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | PRBS | 31 gene | rator at the | e input to the PAM4 encode | r, it stands to re | eason that there can be |
| PROPOSED REJECT | | | | | | | e output of the PAM4 encoon nabling the PRBS31 generation | | a unique test pattern, |
| | e 184 Inner FEC is 4, and the unters share the same MDIO | | | Suggested | | | labiling the Prepeer genera | | |
| written. | | | | 00 | - | | if there is some value in no | oting that this pa | attern exists, rather |
| C/ 177 SC 177.5.5 | P 339 | L11 | # 87 | than s | aying the | inner FE | C shall include it, just state | that enabling th | he PRBS31 generator |
| Bruckman, Leon | Nvidia | 211 | | | | | a PRBS31Q pattern at the | output of the P | AM4 encoder. |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Proposed | ' | | Response Status W | | |
| | egarding when are the 8 parity | bits removed | (LOGIC) (DUCKEI) | | | | I PRINCIPLE. ead "The PRBS31Q test pa | attern is produc | ed by the PRBS31 |
| SuggestedRemedy | garang mon are the e party | | | | | | and the PAM4 encoder (se | | |
| 00 , | section: "Parity bits are then re | emoved from ea | ch Inner FEC codeword" | C/ 177 | SC 1 | 77.6.2.3 | P 340 | L 41 | # 90 |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | Bruckman | | 77.0.2.5 | Nvidia | 241 | # 00 |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT | , | | | Comment | | TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| | • | | | | | | n in Figure 177-2. | | |
| C/ 177 SC 177.5.8 | P 339 | L 26 | # 88 | Suggested | | | | | |
| Bruckman, Leon | Nvidia | | | 00 | - | | d by Inner FEC test pattern | checker locatio | on in Figure 177-2 |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Proposed | | | , , | oneoner loodin | |
| The convolutional inte | rleaver function is not trivial. | leeds a more de | tailed description | • | POSED R | | Response Status W | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | .2.3, this checker is not part | of 177. It is in | the PMA above the |
| Add a figure that desc | ribes the convolutional deinte | rleaver (refer to | 184.5.8) | Inner I | FEC. | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT Add figure to illustrate | IN PRINCIPLE. the convolutional deinterleave | ng process. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| TYPE: TR/technical requir | ed ER/editorial required GR/ | general required | I T/technical E/editorial G/g | general | | | C/ 17 | 7 | Page 78 of 184 |

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SC 177.6.2.3

7/7/2025 1:05:47 PM

| C/ 177 S | C 177.10 | P 346 | L 47 | # 571 | C/ 177 S | SC 177.10 | P 346 | L 47 | # 570 |
|----------------|-------------------------|---|-------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------------------------|
| Nicholl, Shawn | I | AMD | | | Nicholl, Shaw | า | AMD | | |
| Comment Type | e E | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment Typ | e TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) FEC bin counters |
| | Table 177-8 | column of the "Inner_FEC_co Inner FEC status variables | | | "Inner_FE | C_codewore | ing in the "MDIO register/bin n I_error_bin_k (Inner FEC lane IDIO mapping". | | |
| | | d for rows "Inner_FEC_codev odeword_error_bin_k (Inner F | | (Inner FEC lane 1)" | | | ed for rows "Inner_FEC_codew odeword_error_bin_k (Inner F | | k (Inner FEC lane 1)" |
| SuggestedRem | iedy | | | | SuggestedRer | nedy | | | |
| | lane 0)" row | atus variable" column of the " v of "Table 177-8-Inner FEC s | | | | 0)" row of "1 | bin number" column of the "Inr able 177-8-Inner FEC status v | | |
| | | f rows "Inner_FEC_codeword odeword_error_bin_k (Inner F | | | | C_codewore | _FEC_codeword_error_bin_k (d_error_bin_k (Inner FEC lane | | |
| Proposed Resp | oonse | Response Status W | | | Proposed Res | ponse | Response Status W | | |
| | 7-8 there is C_codeword | a reference to the defintion o _error_bin_k" (to subclause 1 | | | The max b | | : e 184 Inner FEC is 4, and the unters share the same MDIO r | | |
| | | | | | C/ 177A S | SC 177A | P 765 | L1 | # 453 |
| | | | | | He, Xiang | | Huawei | | |
| | | | | | Comment Typ | e TR | Comment Status D | | (Legie) Test veste |
| | | | | | | | | | (Logic) Test vecto |
| | | | | | | | not been updated since scram a updated to reflect the change | | |
| | | | | | | 'A should be | | | |
| | | | | | Annex 177 SuggestedRer | 'A should be nedy | | | |
| | | | | | Annex 177 SuggestedRer | 'A should be <i>nedy</i> ation with zij | e updated to reflect the change | | |

C/ 177A SC 177A

| C/ 177A SC | ; 177A | P 765 | L 21 | # 294 | C/ 178 | 30 | 178.2 | P 357 | L 5 | # 638 |
|---|--------------------------|--|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------|---|---|-----------------|--|
| rown, Matt | | Alphawave Se | mi | | Li, Mike | | | Altera (An Inte | l compnany) | |
| omment Type | TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) Test vector | Comment | Туре | т | Comment Status D | Elect | rical) (bucket) BERadde |
| | | ctors do not include scramblin ambling was added in a later o | | s specified in 177.4.7.2 | 1.) BEI | Raddeo | | R contribution outside of the | | |
| SuggestedReme Provide a ne | - | tor set which includes scramb | ing of the pad | bits. | FÉC m | ust be | included i | link is PCS-to-PCS including I in the PHY-based measurement d (compared with the incoming | ent. To use FE | C decoder, the incoming |
| Proposed Respo | onse | Response Status W | | | to use | PMA-b | ased bloc | k error measurement). | | |
| | | IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #453. | | | not 8e- | 6 acco | rding to C | ink have xMII extender outsid L-174A.4). able 174A-3, xMII extender (if | | , o |
| C/ 177A SC | : 177A | P 765 | L 46 | # 110 | spec. | naidarir | a all of th | ese, the BERsdded value for | CL 179.2 shou | ld not he simple 80 6 |
| Bruckman, Leon | n | Nvidia | | | | | | -6 * Number_of_C2C_SubLay | | |
| Comment Type | TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) Test vector | sublaye | er link l | between t | he two ends MACs. | | |
| Figure 177A | -1 shows t | ne pad insertion in a different | position than F | Figure 177-2 | Suggested | Remea | ly | | | |
| SuggestedReme | - | | | | | | | value from 8e-6 to 8e-6 * Nun link between the two ends M/ | | SubLayerLink outside of |
| | the pad in | stent. sertion in Figure 177-2 to be b e after the 8:1 PAM4 interleav | | r FEC encoder, or move | Proposed F PROP | ' | nse REJECT. | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed Respo | onse | Response Status W | | | Resolv | e usino | the resp | onse to comment #639. | | |
| | | IN PRINCIPLE. elated slides in the following e | ditorial preser | ntation and CRG | C/ 178 | • | , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | P 359 | L 23 | # 300 |
| discussion. <url>/nicho</url> | oll_3dj_01_ | _2507.pdf. | | | Brown, Ma | | _ | Alphawave Se | emi | |
| C/ 178 SC Bruckman, Leon | 178.1 | Р 357 Nvidia | L1 | # 91 | | are no | | <i>Comment Status</i> D es". This is likely a carry-over e FEC lanes. | from 802.3ck fo | <i>(Electrical) (bucket</i> or 100GBASE-KR1 |
| Comment Type Table 178-4 | ER footnotes | Comment Status D are in the next page | | (Electrical) (bucket) | Suggested Change | | | to "PCS". | | |
| SuggestedReme Make sure th table. | | s of Table 178-4 are in the sa | me page with | their correspondent | Proposed F PROP | , | ose ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed Respo PROPOSED The placeme | OREJECT. ent of table | Response Status W s and footnotes may change i vill address such changes for | | | | | | | | |

C/ 178 SC 178.7

| | SC 178.8.1 | P 360 | L15 | # 640 | C/ 178 | SC 1 |
|--------------------|---|--|-------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Cl 178 Swenson, | | P 300 Nokia, Point2 | L 13 | # 640 | Bruckman, I | |
| Comment | | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) link diagram | Comment Ty | |
| The te | est points in the fig | gure are not the test points at 0v, which is not shown in the | | ID is spoecified. The | The ILT block dia | functi |
| "The t | est points" implie | s that these are the only test | points. | | SuggestedR | emed |
| Delete senter | ge the title of the s e the word "The" a | section from "Specified Test F at the beginning of the first se 'he PMD is specified at test p | ntence. Add | a sentence after the first | In Figure the SIGI function Apply al | NAL_C in the so to F |
| | Response | Response Status W | | | Proposed Re | • |
| • | POSED ACCEPT | • | | | PROPO The edit | - |
| | | onse to comment #92. | | | | SC 1 |
| C/ 178 | SC 178.8.1 | P 360 | L 23 | # 303 | C/ 178 | |
| Brown, Ma | att | Alphawave Se | emi | | Brown, Matt Comment Ty | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) link diagram | The die | |
| | | medium begins at the MDI. A | | | SuggestedR | |
| | | and TP5d. Further, in most ca cases that reference the TP0 | | | Change | - |
| | | d to Tp5d, ILdd, at 53.125 GH | | | Proposed R | |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | | PROPO | • |
| | | the following changes: and "channel" beginning at TI | DO and TDE | | Resolve | usina |
| | label at TP0 and | and channel beginning at H | | | | uoning |
| · · | | 5 5 | o ana mo. | | C/ 178 | SC 1 |
| Apply | similar changes t | 5 5 | | | | SC 1 |
| Proposed | Response | TP5 "MDI". To Figure 176C-2. Response Status W | o and tho. | | C/ 178 | SC 1 |
| Proposed PROF | Response POSED ACCEPT | TP5 "MDI". To Figure 176C-2. Response Status W | | | C/ 178 Brown, Matt | SC 1 ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;;;;;; |
| Proposed PROF | Response POSED ACCEPT | TP5 "MDI". to Figure 176C-2. <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. | | | C/ 178 Brown, Matt Comment Ty Figure 1 | SC 1 ype 78-2. abel w |
| Proposed PROF | Response POSED ACCEPT | TP5 "MDI". to Figure 176C-2. <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. | | | CI 178 Brown, Matt Comment Ty Figure 1 similar la | SC 1 SC 1 78-2. 78-2. Remedy abel at |
| Proposed PROF | Response POSED ACCEPT | TP5 "MDI". to Figure 176C-2. <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. | | | CI 178 Brown, Matt Comment Ty Figure 1 similar la SuggestedR Add a la | SC 1 ype 78-2. abel w Remedy bel at milar o |

| Cl 178 | SC 178.8.1 | P 360 | L 24 | # 92 |
|-------------|------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Bruckman, L | .eon | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Ty | rpe TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) link diagram |

ne ILT function and SIGNAL OK handling is missing. In the optical PMDs appears in the ock diagram figures

estedRemedy

Figure 178-2 add the ILT function above the PMD transmit and receive functions. Show e SIGNAL_OK as an input to the ILT function at the left side and as an output to the ILT nction in the right side (refer for example to Figure 180-2) oply also to Figure 179-2.

sed Response Response Status W

ROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

ne editorial team will prepare a visual proposal for the updated figure for CRG discussion.

| C/ 178 | SC 178.8.1 | P 360 | L 32 | # 304 |
|-------------|------------|------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Brown, Matt | | Alphawave Semi | | |
| Comment Ty | be ER | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) link diagram |

ne die is labelled "device", whereas the "device" is the combination of die and package.

stedRemedy

nange label pointing to the die on the left side of the Figure 178-2 to "Die".

sed Response Response Status W

ROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

ER

esolve using the response to comment #92.

| C/ 178 | SC 178.8.1 | P 360 | L 33 | # 302 |
|-------------|------------|----------------|-------------|-------|
| Brown, Matt | | Alphawave Semi | | |

Comment Status D (Electrical) link diagram

gure 178-2. The interface at TP0 is helpfully labelled as "package-to-board interface". A nilar label would be helpful at TP0d.

stedRemedy

d a label at TP0d "die-to-package interface". oply similar change to Figure 176C-2.

sed Response Response Status W

ROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. esolve using the response to comment #92.

| C/ 178 | SC 17 | 78.8.1 | | P360 | L38 | # 301 | C/ 178 | SC | 178.8.9 | | P361 | L13 | # 416 |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|-------------------|--|---|---------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| Brown, M | latt | | | Alphawave S | emi | | Ran, Adee | | | | Cisco System | ns | |
| Commen | t Type | E | Comment S | Status D | Elect | rical) (bucket) possesive | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment S | tatus D | (Co | ommon) ILT local_pattern |
| | of possesivecessary h | | nar is inconsis | tent with simi | | through this draft and | | | | | | | ty of ILT as specified by uired across ISLs. |
| Suggeste | dRemedy | | | | | | In DM | De that | t have a tr | aining protocol k | out it's disable | d the "quiet" a | ad "local pattorn" modes |
| Chan | Change "transmitter's" to "transmitter" Change "receiver's" to "receiver" Implement similar in Figure 179-2, Table 179-10, Figure 176C-2, Table 176C-4, Table 176D-4, Table 176D-5. | | | | | | In PMDs that have a training protocol but it's disabled, the "quiet" and "local pattern" mode are the method of communicating the RTS to the peer. However, the local pattern is currently not defined. | | | | | | |
| On pa | age 723 lir | ne 26 cha | ange "compon ige "transmitte | ent's" to "com | iponent". | | | | | ILT function sub 82 (which have a | | | al specifications in |
| | | | | | rameters" | | Suggested | Reme | dy | | | | |
| Proposed PRO | measured parameters" to "measured transmitter parameters" <i>roposed Response Response Status</i> W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The table footnotes listed in the comment include the phrase "at the test transmitter's | | | | | | Specify that PRBS31Q (which may be generated by the PMA, see 176.7.4.2) is the pattern used when mr_training_enable is false and tx_mode has the value local_pattern (see 178B.14.3.1). | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | e does not improve the | Proposed Response Response Status W | | | | | | |
| techr Howe differ In Fig | nical clarity ever, in the ential pair. gure 178-2 | or accurate link diag Thus the Figure 1 | acy of the tex gram figures, t e text can be i | t. he SL and DL mproved. jure 176C-2, c | signals are two | sides of the same ter's" to "transmitter- | This c topic (The co | ommer 415, 41 ommen | nt address 17, 418, a nts and the | nd 419). | os, and there a nedy are reason | onable, but cons | omments on the same sensus is not obvious. encouraged. |
| [matt |] this is jus | st poor sty | yle and only u | sed rarely; we | e 99% of the time | e use the <noun></noun> | C/ 178 | SC | 178.8.9 | | P 361 | L25 | # 305 |
| | | | | | output, module in | put, amplifier gain, etc., | Brown, Ma | att | | | Alphawave S | emi | |
| etc., | etc. I be pi | ulling this | one from the | bucket. | | | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment S | tatus D | | (Electrical) (bucket) |
| | | | | | | | transm | nitter no | ot the MD | transmitter on e I and to be clear rom the link pee | tit is controllir | | lly controlling the PMD smitter only in |
| | | | | | | | Suggested | Reme | dy | | | | |
| | | | | | | | transm | , hitter ou | utput on e | ansmitter output ach lane based 179.8.9, 176C.3 | on requests f | rom the peer int | control the PMD terface". |

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 178 SC 178.8.9

| CI 178 SC 178.8.9 | P 361 | L 26 | # 190 | C/ 178 | SC 178.9.1 | 1 P 361 | 1 L 43 | # 611 |
|--|---|---|---|--------------|--------------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| luber, Thomas | Nokia | | | Palkert, The | omas | Samteo | c, Macom | |
| term has specific mea (see 1.4.278) Annex | Comment Status D "DATA mode" is intended to m aning for 1000BASE-T PHYs th 178B.5 indicates that in the cor | hean here in the c hat differs from w ntext of ILT, "data | hat is intended here a mode" means the | Suggested | edance value Re <i>medy</i> | Comment Status I s should be 92.5 ohms | D ctri | ical) Reference impedanc |
| | the value 'data', which is asso -8. As such, it would be more c | | | Proposed F | esponse | npedance to 92.5 ohms Response Status | N | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | PROPO | SED ACCE | PT IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| Change "coordinate t PATH_UP state (see | he transition to DATA mode." to Figure 178B-8)." | o "coordinate the | transition to the | Resolve | Ū | esponse to comment #63. | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | C/ 178 | SC 178.9.1 | 1 P 361 | 1 L 43 | # 63 |
| PROPOSED ACCEP | | | | Mellitz, Ric | | Samteo | | |
| Resolve using the res | sponse to comment #191. | | | Comment 7 | | Comment Status | | ical) Reference impedance |
| C 178 SC 178.8.9 | P361 | L 31 | # 706 | | | dance for measurement s | hould align with the t | est fixture reference. |
| Dawe, Piers | Nvidia | | | Suggested | - | | | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) Tx equalizer | Change | e line to: | | | |
| | nt indexes k_list = $\{-3, -2, -1, 0\}$ | 0, 1} Too much r | nerdy, too little English. | | | dance for differential spec non-mode specifications i | | ns. The reference |
| SuggestedRemedy | Inctional model is a FFE with th | hasa tans" langu | ana Savaral clauses | Proposed F | | Response Status | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | lese taps langue | | PROPO | SED ACCER | PT IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEP Change the text in the | T IN PRINCIPLE. e last paragraph of 178.8.9 to " | | | The edi | torial team w | omments related to the re ill prepare a proposal for after reviewing the editor | resolving all these co | omments. |
| conditions in Table 17 | ibed 179.9.4.1 and supports th 79-8". | e coenicient inde | xes and initial | C/ 178 | SC 178.9.1 | 1.2 P 363 | 3 L 32 | # 616 |
| C/ 178 SC 178.9 | P361 | L 40 | # 707 | Palkert, Th | omas | Samteo | c, Macom | |
| | | L 40 | # 107 | Comment T | ype TR | Comment Status | D ctri | ical) Reference impedanc |
| Dawe, Piers | Nvidia Comment Status D | trical | (huakat) abaraatariatiaa | The KR | specification | n should use 92.5 ohm im | pedance for TP0v te | st fixture |
| Comment Type TR characteristics | | (ncar) | (bucket) characteristics | Suggested | Remedv | | | |
| | | | | | - | 3-7 to specify 92.5 ohm in | npedance | |
| | | | | Proposed F | esponse | Response Status | N | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | , | •• | |
| SuggestedRemedy specifications | D | | | PROPC | DSED ACCEI | PT IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| SuggestedRemedy specifications Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC | Response Status W T. neader is consistent with prior e | electrical PMD cla | auses and with other | | | esponse to comment #63. | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 178 SC 178.9.1.2 Page 83 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 178 SC 178.9.2 | P 361 | L 47 | # 708 | C/ 178 | SC 178.9.2 | P 362 | L 24 | # 494 |
|--|--|-------------------|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Dawe, Piers | Nvidia | | | Dudek, Mike | | Marvell | | |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | trical |) (bucket) characteristics | Comment Ty | rpe TR | Comment Status D | (| Electrical) RLdc and RLc |
| characteristics SuggestedRemedy specifications | | | | which we end rece | ould allow 100 | on for common-mode to diffe % of the common mode retur acted as interfering differentia | n energy from | the channel and the far |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | SuggestedR | emedy | | | |
| PROPOSED REJECT Resolve using the res | Г. ponse to comment #707. | | | that ther | e is no minimu | to Table 178-6 using the same um loss for the channel so con s from the channel can create | mmon-mode re | flections from the far |
| C/ 178 SC 178.9.2 | P 361 | L 48 | # 641 | Proposed Re | | Response Status W | | |
| Swenson, Norman | Nokia, Point2 | | | , | SED REJECT | 1 | | |
| | Comment Status D hat specifications must be me | t at TP0v, but T | <i>(Electrical) (bucket)</i> P0v has not yet been | Resolve | using the resp | oonse to comment #493. | | |
| defined. | | | | C/ 178 | SC 178.9.2 | P 362 | L 36 | # 495 |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Dudek, Mike | | Marvell | | |
| Change the sentence TP0v (see 178.9.2.1) | to "The transmitter on each la | ine shall meet th | e specifications at | Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) TX SNR_IS |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP | Response Status W | | | noise so | | intersymbol-interference ratio not included in the COM analy | | |
| C/ 178 SC 178.9.2 | P361 | L53 | # 709 | SuggestedR | emedy | | | |
| Dawe, Piers | Nvidia | 200 | # 100 | | | on to a difference signal-to-re | | |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D der BT4. And why 60 GHz? | oucke | et) TX measurement filter | interfere where th | nce for the particle for the particular tenders in the particular tenders in the particular tenders is the particular tend | e reference is the value of sig ckage claimed. Make the sa the COM module appropriate ons and put in the value that r | ame change for to the specific | C2C, C2M and CR |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Proposed Re | | Response Status W | , | |
| Change to 5th order, \$ | 53.125 GHz | | | PROPO | SED REJECT | | | |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT The comment lacks ju | Response Status W L Istification to support the sugg | ested remedy. | | SNR_IS The sug clauses | l specification gested remedy 162 and 163, a | t indicate a problem that need for the purpose mentioned in y is a new idea that deviates f and would result in a lot of changes and insufficiant details | the comment. rom existing sp anges in the dr | pecifications, e.g. aft. It has insufficient |
| | | | | | bition with expl , is encourage | anation of the problem and so | olution, and wit | h a detailed proposal for |

C/ 178 SC 178.9.2

| | SC 178.9.2.1 | | L 49 | # 643 | C/ 17 |
|--|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------|
| Swenson, | Norman | Nokia, Point2 | | | Brow |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | bucke | et) Tx measurement filter | Com |
| 802.30 | ck. Are these sa | methods for measuring transi me methods applicable here? able here, or should Clause 1 | Annex 163A re | efers to use of Clause | F b r |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | Sugg |
| Please | e clarify. | | | | l |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | A |
| The m | | nnex 163A is aapplicable wher licable for measuring transmit | | is currently referred to. | 4 4 1 |
| C/ 178 | SC 178.9.2.1 | P 362 | L 49 | # 644 | N |
| Swenson, | Norman | Nokia, Point2 | | | Prop |
| Comment | Type ER | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | F |
| examp | ole test fixture. A | e is described in Annex 163B. description of an example tes perhaps a description of a po | st fixture would | be a drawing of a | C/ 1 |
| referei | | ves example electrical charac e calculated. (I am not certain | | | Kocs Com |
| Suggested | , | | | | ۲ r |
| Chang | | BB gives example electrical ch e calculated." | aracteristics of | a test fixture for which | |
| D | Response | Response Status W | | | i |
| roposea | | , | | | Sugg |
| • | OSED ACCEPT | | | | Sugg / |
| PROP | | | / 49 | # 642 | _ |
| PROP | SC 178.9.2.1 | P 362 | L 49 | # 642 | Sugg Prop |
| PROP C/ 178 Swenson, | SC 178.9.2.1 Norman | P 362 Nokia, Point2 | L 49 | | Sugg / / Prop F |
| CI 178 Swenson, Comment "meas shown | SC 178.9.2.1 Norman <i>Type</i> ER urements of the in Figure 178–3 | P 362 | utput of a test fi | (<i>Electrical</i>) (bucket) xture (TP0v) as | Sugg / / |
| PROP Cl 178 Swenson, Comment "meas shown descrii | SC 178.9.2.1 Norman <i>Type</i> ER urements of the in Figure 178–3 bed in Annex163 | P362 Nokia, Point2 <i>Comment Status</i> D transmitter are made at the ou and described in Annex 163A | utput of a test fi | (<i>Electrical</i>) (bucket) xture (TP0v) as | Sugg / / Prop F |
| Cl 178 Swenson, Comment "meas shown descrii Suggested Chang the ou | SC 178.9.2.1 Norman <i>Type</i> ER urements of the in Figure 178–3 bed in Annex163 <i>IRemedy</i> | P362 Nokia, Point2 Comment Status D transmitter are made at the ou and described in Annex 163A A, which it is not. | utput of a test fi \" reads like the | (<i>Electrical) (bucket)</i> xture (TP0v) as e test fixture is | Sugg Prop |
| Cl 178 Swenson, Comment "meas shown descrii Suggested Chang the ou | SC 178.9.2.1 Norman <i>Type</i> ER urements of the in Figure 178–3 bed in Annex163 <i>IRemedy</i> ge to "the transmit tput of a test fixtu in Figure 178–3 | P362 Nokia, Point2 Comment Status D transmitter are made at the ou and described in Annex 163A A, which it is not. | utput of a test fi \" reads like the | (<i>Electrical) (bucket)</i> xture (TP0v) as e test fixture is | - |

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 178 SC 178.9.2.1.2

Page 85 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 178 | SC | 178.9.2.1 | P 363 | L6 | # 306 |
|---------------|---------|---------------|---|---------------|------------------------------|
| Brown, Ma | tt | | Alphawave Se | emi | |
| Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) KR test fixture |
| begins | at TP | 0. Also, it w | uous where the test fixture b rould be good to properly des fine the start and end points | scribe the TF | Od interface. This figure |
| Suggested | Reme | dy | | | |
| In Figu | ire 178 | 3-3 do the fo | bllowing: | | |

P363

L6

306

test point TP0 at the "package-to-board interface". w a dashed line at this TP0 interface. ust the test fixture line/arrow to end at this TP0 interface. a label at the TP0d interface "die-to-package interface". 78.9.2.1 add the following sentence... e transmitter test fixture is between TP0 and TP0v."

te similar updates for the receiver test fixture in 178.9.3.1 and Figure 178-4. ed Response Response Status W OPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

lement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

| C/ 178 | SC 178.9.2.1.2 | P 363 | L 24 | # 595 |
|------------|----------------|----------|-------------|-------|
| Kocsis, Sa | m | Amphenol | | |
| | | | | |

nt Type **TR** Comment Status D ctrical) Reference impedance ERL for a test fixture at TPOv is defined without a reference impedance. The implied

rence impedance is inferred from 178.9.1, 100-ohm. The use of a 100-ohm reference edance for ERL is not consistent throughout D2P0.

tedRemedy

definition of a 92.5-ohm reference impedance for the ERL computation, consistent with ex179B.

ed Response Response Status W

OPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

SC 178.9.2.1

olve using the response to comment #63.

| C/ 178 | SC 178.9.2.1. | 2 P 363 | L 25 | # 307 | C/ 178 | SC 178.9.2.2 | P 364 | L 4 | # 309 |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Brown, Ma | att | Alphawave Se | emi | | Brown, Mat | t | Alphawave Se | emi | |
| with an gated of | ears that to meas appropriate imp out. | Comment Status D ure ERL properly the test fixt edance or reflections from th | | | Suggested | Table 178-7 sho Remedy | Comment Status D uld be Table 178-8. | e 178-8". | (Electrical) (bucke |
| Suggested | • | dance for measuring the ERI | of TDOV | | Proposed F | | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed I | | Response Status W | al IFUV. | | • | OSED ACCEPT. | | | |
| PROP The de Either test en test me | OSED REJECT. escription is consi of the methods s igineers to verify ethod. | stent with the initial specifica uggested in the comment, ar the quality of the test fixture. does not provide sufficient d | nd possibly oth The standard | ers, could be used by does not prescribe the | | <i>Type</i> TR R specification sh | P 364 Samtec, Mac <i>Comment Status</i> D ould use 92.5 ohm impedan | Ci | # 617 Trical) Reference impedanc |
| C/ 178 | SC 178.9.2.1. | 2 P 363 | L 45 | # 59 | Suggestedi | - | to specify 92.5 ohm impedar | | |
| Mellitz, Ric | chard | Samtec | | | Proposed F | | | | |
| Comment [®] ERL in | 51 | <i>Comment Status</i> D be aligned to Rd and 179B. | ctri | cal) Reference impedance | • | DSED ACCEPT | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| Suggested | | 0 | | | Resolv | e using the resp | onse to comment #63. | | |
| Add lin | ne: | al impedance for the test fixt | ure ERL comp | utation shall be 92.5 | C/ 178 Ghiasi, Ali | SC 178.9.2.3 | P 364 Ghiasi Qunat | L 28 um/Marvell | # 367 |
| Proposed I PROP | Response OSED ACCEPT | Response Status WIN PRINCIPLE. | | | | k common mode | Comment Status D return loss frequency was u | | lectrical) (bucket) RL mask |
| Resolv | ve using the respo | onse to comment #63. | | | Suggestedl We sho | 2 | nd the RLcc to 67 GHz. | | |
| C/ 178 | SC 178.9.2.2 | P364 | L 3 | # 308 | Proposed F | Response | Response Status W | | |
| Brown, Ma | itt | Alphawave Se | emi | | - | DSED REJECT. | | | |
| | | Comment Status D ameters, it would be helpful | o follow "diffe | (Electrical) (bucket) rence ERL" with variable | Resolv | e using the resp | onse to comment #363. | | |
| | e "difference ERI | " to "difference ERL dERL" | | | | | | | |
| Proposed I | 0 | Response Status W | . , | | | | | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 178 SC 178.9.2.3

| Cl 178 | SC 178.9.2.4 | P 364 | L 34 | # 710 | C/ 178 | SC 1 | 178.9.2.6 | P36 | 4 | L 53 | # 48 |
|----------------------|----------------------|---|----------------|---|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Dawe, Pier | ſS | Nvidia | | | Mellitz, Ri | chard | | Samte | С | | |
| Comment [·] | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) Tx N_v | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status | D | Elec | ctrical) (bucket) TX SCMR |
| Nv = 4 | 00 ! That's ludic | rously rare, 4^400 is 7e240. | . 100 is enou | ıgh | | | replaced \ (eq 179-9) | /_peak^2 with P_sig | nal. SCMR s | hould be | aligned with |
| Suggested | - | | | | Suggested | ` ' | · · / | | | | |
| • | | rever it is 400 in this draft | | | | - | | √_peak^2 with P_sig | nal. SCMR s | hould be | aligned with |
| Proposed I | | Response Status W | | | SNDR | (meas) | (eq 179-9) |) | | | 5 |
| - | OSED REJECT. | gth is intended to measure | the steady-s | tate voltage, which may | | | tion 178-1 a10(P sia | with nal / VCM_FB^2) | | | |
| have a | long settling tim | e. Limiting the measuremer | nt length doe | s not serve any purpose | In P36 | 5 line 4 | 5 - (5 | _ / | | | |
| | | ure dependence. nt in the comment is irreleva | ant since in p | practice the transmit | Replac V_pea | | efined in 1 | 79.9.4.1.2 | | | |
| equaliz | er will likely not l | be in preset 1 anyway, and | | | With | | fine of the sec | wetter 170 0 | | | |
| encour The co | | tification to support the sug | aested reme | dv. | P_sigr Proposed | | | quation 179-8 | | | |
| C/ 178 | SC 178.9.2.4 | P364 | | # 478 | • | • | ACCEPT. | Response Status | vv | | |
| Healey, Ac | | Broadcom, I | | | | | | 0.00 | F | 140 | # 054 |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | C/ 178 | | 178.9.2.7 | P36 | | L12 | # 351 |
| | | .] is calculated based on the | | | Ghiasi, Ali | | TD | | Qunatum/M | arvell | |
| | | this subclause is about tran ation should be based on th | | , 0, | <i>Comment</i> The re | | TR pacakge | Comment Status A and B SDNR are k | | c value | (Electrical) SNDR |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | Suggested | Remedy | У | | | | |
| Chang | e "receiver" to "tr | ansmitter". | | | | | are the va | | | 0444 | in and East land for |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | | | | | //3/dj/public/24_11/he o community reference | | | |
| PROP | OSED ACCEPT. | | | | Proposed | - Respon | se | Response Status | w | | |
| | | | | | PROP | OSED A | ACCEPT I | N PRINCIPLE. | | | |
| | | | | | Resolv | ve using | the respo | onse to comment #48 | 1. | | |
| | | | | | C/ 178 | SC 1 | 178.9.3.2 | P36 | 6 | L 23 | # 310 |
| | | | | | Brown, Ma | att | | Alphav | vave Semi | | |
| | | | | | Comment 178.9. | | T uld be com | Comment Status | | | (Electrical) (bucket) |
| | | | | | Suggested | Remed | v | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 178.9.3.5" to "178.9.3 | 3.3 through 1 | 78.9.3.5" | |
| | | | | | Proposed PROP | • | se ACCEPT. | Response Status | w | | |
| | | | | uired T/technical E/editorial G PONSE STATUS: O/open W/v | Proposed PROP /general | Respon OSED / | se ACCEPT. | Response Status | - | | Page 87 of 7/7/2025 |

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

| There isn't a minimum loss specified for the KR channel. Specifying this as the minimum channel loss from the KR interference tolerance test may not be appropriate. It is also not very clear what loss is being referred to. 0.8V SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Consider whether using the same minimum loss used for the interference tolerance test is appropriate. If so add to 178.10.2. "The recommended minimum channel insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using an amplitude tolerance test channel" Add a sentence to the end of the paragraph. The loss of the amplitude tolerance test channel including the package 0.8V C/ 178 SC 178.9.3.4.1 P366 L 50 # 312 | C/ 178 | SC 178.9 | .3.3 | P 366 | L 29 | # 537 | C/ 178 | SC | 178.9.3.4.1 | P 366 | L 48 | # 711 |
|---|------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|
| There isn't a minimum loss specified for the KR channel. Specifying this as the minimum channel loss from the KR interference tolerance test may not be appropriate. It is also not what loss is beging referred to. 0.8V SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Consider whether using the same minimum loss used for the interference tolerance test is appropriate. If so add to 178.10.2. "The recommended minimum channel insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using an amplitude tolerance test channel" Add a sentence to the end of the paragraph. The loss of the anplitude tolerance test channel including the package loss of the compliant transmitter used in the test is equal to the Test 1 loss in table 178-10. C1 178 SC 178.9.3.4.1 P 366 L 50 # [312] If not then replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using an amplitude tolerance test channel" including the package loss of the compliant transmitter used in the test is equal to the Test 1 loss in table 178-10. C1 178 SC 178.9.3.1 P 366 L 32 # [311] If not then replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using the response Status W Response Status D (Electrical) (bucket) ITC PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status D (Electrical) (bucket) SuggestedRemedy If Tab SC 178.9.3.3 P 366 L 32 # [311] To "The channel noise source emulates crosstalk, noise, and any other non-equalizable signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." VargestedRemedy Channel noise source emulates cross | Judek, Mi ^l | ke | | Marvell | | | Dawe, Pier | rs | | Nvidia | | |
| channel loss from the KR interference tolerance test may not be appropriate. It is also not work loss is being referred to. SuggestedRemedy Consider whether using the same minimum loss used for the interference tolerance test is appropriate. If so add to 178.10.2. "The recommended minimum channel insertion loss is 18dB." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Networks and the test is equal to the test is to appriate in the test is equal to the Test 1 to so in table 178-10.3." Page (L50) # (312) in the neplace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" Page (L50) # (312) if not then replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" Number (L10) if not then replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" Number (L10) if not then replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" Number (L10) if not the replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" Number (L10) roposed Response Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #535. Comment Type T Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The me formal word "may" should be used instead of "is allowed to". Per style guide: "The word may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)." The channel noise source em | comment | Туре Т | Comm | ent Status D | | (Electrical) ITOL | Comment | Туре | Е | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket |
| Uggested/Renedy Consider whether using the same minimum loss used for the interference tolerance test is appropriate. If so add to 178.10.2. "The recommended minimum channel insertion loss is 18dB." Proposed Response Response Status W On page 727 line 9 replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using an amplitude tolerance test channel" holding the package loss of the compliant transmitter used in the test is equal to the Test 1 loss in table 178-10. C1 178 SC 178.9.3.4.1 P 366 L 50 # 312 If not then replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using a minimal loss channel" M table sensors Response Status M PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status W Consider what is "noise," allow constalk, and noise are and any other non-equalizable signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." for The channel noise source emulates crosstalk, noise, and any other non-equalizable signal perturbations that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." To "The channel noise source emulates crosstalk, noise, and any other non-equalizable signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." for The channel noise source erepresent non-equalizable signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." To "The channel noise source erepresent non-equalizable signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." for The channel noise source erepresent non-equalizable | channe | el loss from | he KR interfei | ence tolerance tes | | | Suggested | | dy | | | |
| Cursted where the main limit of the set using a channel interfere the default effect of the appropriate. If is a dd to 178.10.2. "The recommended minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using an amplitude tolerance test channel including the package loss of the compliant transmitter used in the test is equal to the Test 1 loss in table 178-10. PROPOSED ACCEPT. If not then replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using a minimal loss of the amplitude tolerance test channel including the package loss of the compliant transmitter used in the test is equal to the Test 1 loss in table 178-10. Cl 178 SC 178.9.3.1 P 366 L 50 # 312 If the the replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using a minimal loss channel" Comment Type T Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) ITC So crosstalk is noise, so in this sentence what is "noise", also crosstalk, and noise are noise or intrinsic noise, and any other noise ource emulates crosstalk, and noise are noise or intrinsic noise, and any other non-equalizable signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." Torposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Channel noise source emulates crosstalk, noise, and any other non-equalizable signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." Torposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Channel noise source emulates crosstalk, noise, and any other non-equalizable signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitt | uggested | lRemedy | | | | | | • | | | | |
| 178.9.3.4" with "using an amplitude tolerance test channel" Add a sentence to the end of the paragraph. The loss of the amplitude tolerance test channel including the package loss of the compliant transmitter used in the test is equal to the Test 1 loss in table 178-10. If not then replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using a minimal loss channel" More that the paragraph. The loss of the amplitude tolerance test channel including the package loss of the compliant transmitter used in the test is equal to the Test 1 loss in table 178-10. Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi ropposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Sec 178.9.3.3 P 366 L 32 # 311 rown, Matt Alphawave Semi Ormment Type T Comment Status W rown, Matt Alphawave Semi D (Electrical) (bucket) rown, Matt Alphawave Semi To "The channel noise source emulates crosstalk, noise, and any other non-equalizable signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." rown d may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)." Use that the permissible signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." rown, Matt Alphawave Semi Comment Type T Comment Status D Cleetriciol) (bucket) rown wo | approp | oriate. If so | | | | | , | , | | Response Status W | | |
| of the paragraph. The loss of the amplitude tolerance test channel including the package loss of the compliant transmitter used in the test is equal to the Test 1 loss in table 178-10 Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi If no then replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using a minimal loss channel" W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #535. Response Status W [Editor's note: Changed Line from 9 to 29] To The channel noise source emulates crosstalk, and nontines crosstalk, and non-time arity, which I don't think are intended here. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The more formal word "may" should be used instead of "is allowed to". Per style guide: "The word may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)." Proposed Response Response Status W uggestedRemedy Change "is allowed to" to "may". Implement also on page 727 line 13, page 755 line 16. K General coise source emulates crosstalk, noise, and any other non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." | | | | | | | C/ 178 | SC | 178.9.3.4.1 | P366 | L 50 | # 312 |
| loss of the compliant transmitter used in the test is equal to the Test 1 loss in table 178-10 Comment Type T Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) ITC if not then replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" So crosstalk is noise, so in this sentence what is "noise", also crosstalk and noise are not distortions per se, but rather perturbations. Is noise" failer noise or intrinsic noise? Distortion implies a changing of the launched signal such as insertion loss, bandwidth, and non-linearity, which 1 don't think are intended here. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. So crosstalk is noise, so in this sentence what is "noise", also crosstalk and noise are not distortions per se, but rather perturbations. Is noise referring to alien noise or intrinsic noise? Distortion implies a changing of the launched signal such as insertion loss, bandwidth, and non-linearity, which 1 don't think are intended here. SuggestedRemedy Itag in the expense set instand of "s allowed to". Per style guide: "The word may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)." Itag introduced by a transmitter or channel." ruggestedRemedy Change "is allowed to" to "may". The channel noise source represents non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." ruggestedRemedy Change "is allowed to" to "may". The channel noise source represents non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." ruggestedRemedy Change "is allowed to" to "may". The channel noise | | | | | | | Brown, Ma | itt | | Alphawave S | emi | |
| If not then replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using a minimal loss channel" distortion implies a changing of the launched signal such as insertion loss, bandwidth, and non-linearity, which I don't think are intended here. roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #535. Editor's note: Changed Line from 9 to 29] // 178 SC 178.9.3.3 P 366 L 32 # 311 rown, Matt Alphawave Semi Or the channel noise source emulates crosstalk, alien and intrinsic noise, and any other non-equalizable signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." The more formal word "may" should be used instead of "is allowed to". Per style guide: "The word may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)." "The channel noise source emulates crosstalk, noise, and any other non-equalizable signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." ruggestedRemedy Change "is allowed to" to "may". The channel noise source emulates crosstalk, noise, and any other non-equalizable signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." ruggestedRemedy Change "is allowed to" to "may". The channel noise source emulates crosstalk, noise, and any other non-equalizable signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." ruggestedRemedy "The channel | | | | | | | Comment | Туре | т | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) ITO |
| Resolve using the response to comment #535. [Editor's note: Changed Line from 9 to 29] // 178 SC 178.9.3.3 P 366 L 32 # 311 rown, Matt Alphawave Semi omment Type T Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The more formal word "may" should be used instead of "is allowed to". Per style guide: "The vord may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)." WPROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. UggestedRemedy Change "is allowed to" to "may". Implement also on page 727 line 13, page 755 line 16. The channel noise source represents non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." roposed Response Response Status W | with "u | sing a minir | al loss chann | el" | im insertion loss | specified in 178.9.3.4" | distorti noise? | ons pe Distor | er se, but rat rtion implies | her perturbations. Is noise a changing of the launched | referring to al d signal such | ien noise or intrinsic as insertion loss, |
| [Editor's note: Changed Line from 9 to 29] If 178 SC 178.9.3.3 P 366 L 32 # 311 If or Transmitter or channel Alphawave Semi Comment Type T Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The more formal word "may" should be used instead of "is allowed to". Per style guide: "The word may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)." "The channel noise source emulates crosstalk, noise, and any other non-equalizable signal perturbations that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." Proposed Response Response Status W "The channel noise source represents non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." "The channel noise source represents non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." "The channel noise source represents non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." "The channel noise source represents non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." "The channel noise source represents non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." "The channel noise source represents non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." "To "The channel noise source represents non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced by a transmitter or chan | PROP | OSED ACC | | | | | Suggested | Reme | dy | | | |
| [Editor's note: Changed Line from 9 to 29] If 178 SC 178.9.3.3 P 366 L 32 # 311 Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi To "The channel noise source emulates crosstalk, alien and intrinsic noise, and any other non-equalizable signal perturbations that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." Comment Type T Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The more formal word "may" should be used instead of "is allowed to". Per style guide: "The word may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)." PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "is allowed to" to "may". Implement also on page 727 line 13, page 755 line 16. The channel noise source represents non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." Wroposed Response Response Status W | Resolv | ve using the | esponse to co | omment #535. | | | Chang | e "The | e channel no | se source emulates crossi | alk, | |
| AT 178 SC 178.9.3.3 P 366 L 32 # [311] Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi Alphawave Semi non-equalizable signal perturbations that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." Comment Type T Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The more formal word "may" should be used instead of "is allowed to". Per style guide: "The word may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)." PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Change "is allowed to" to "may". "The channel noise source emulates crosstalk, noise, and any other non-equalizable signal distortions that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." Stronge "is allowed to" to "may". "The channel noise source represents non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." Wroposed Response Response Status W | [Editor | 's note: Cha | nged Line from | n 9 to 29] | | | transm | itter or | r channel." | | | |
| Comment Type T Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The more formal word "may" should be used instead of "is allowed to". Per style guide: PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "The word may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)." Proposed Remedy "UggestedRemedy Change "is allowed to" to "may". The dnanel noise source represents non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced by a transmitter or channel." Proposed Response Response Status W | / 178 | SC 178.9 | .3.3 | P 366 | L32 | # 311 | | | | | | |
| The more formal word "may" should be used instead of "is allowed to". Per style guide: "The word may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)." <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change "is allowed to" to "may". Implement also on page 727 line 13, page 755 line 16. <i>roposed Response</i> Response Status W | rown, Ma | itt | | Alphawave S | emi | | Proposed I | Respoi | nse | Response Status W | | |
| "The word may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to)." "UggestedRemedy Change "is allowed to" to "may". Implement also on page 727 line 13, page 755 line 16. Proposed Response Response Status W | | | | | | | PROP | OSED | ACCEPT IN | I PRINCIPLE. | | |
| Uggested Remedy "The channel noise source represents non-equalizable impairments that may be introduced Change "is allowed to" to "may". by a transmitter or channel." Implement also on page 727 line 13, page 755 line 16. by a transmitter or channel." Proposed Response Response Status W | "The w | ord may is i | sed to indicat | e a course of action | | | "The cl | hannel | l noise sourc | | | her non-equalizable signal |
| Change "is allowed to" to "may". by a transmitter or channel." Implement also on page 727 line 13, page 755 line 16. by a transmitter or channel." Proposed Response Response Status | uggested | Remedy | | | | | | hannel | l noise sourc | e represents pop-equaliza | hla impairmar | ate that may be introduced |
| | | | | | 16. | | | | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT. | roposed i | Response | Respor | nse Status W | | | | | | | | |
| | PROP | OSED ACC | PT. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

C/ 178 SC 178.9.3.4.1

| C/ 178 | SC 178.9.3.4.2 | P 367 | L17 | # 313 | C/ 178 | SC 178.9 | .3.4.3 | P368 | L 21 | # 316 |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------------|
| Brown, Mat | t | Alphawave Se | mi | | Brown, Ma | att | | Alphawave S | emi | |
| Comment T | ype ER | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | Comment | Туре Т | Comm | nent Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) |
| | | below this table are exceptio otate the exceptions. | ns vs addition | material. Usually, we | | | should be let | tered list, not numb | pered list. | |
| SuggestedF | Remedy | | | | Suggested | nat as lettere | d liet | | | |
| Identify | the relevant exce | ptions within a dashed list. | | | Proposed | | | | | |
| Proposed R PROPC | Response DSED ACCEPT II | Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. | | | , | OSED ACCE | , | nse Status W | | |
| | | | | | C/ 178 | SC 178.9 | .3.4.3 | P368 | L 44 | # 317 |
| • | ent the suggeste nent #314. | d remedy with editorial licens | se, with consid | leration of the response | Brown, Ma | att | | Alphawave S | emi | |
| C/ 178 | SC 178.9.3.4.2 | P367 | L 21 | # 314 | Comment | | | nent Status D I by amplitude. Also | "higher noise" | (Electrical) (bucket) |
| Brown, Mat | t | Alphawave Se | mi | | | | be hyphenate | | , nighti noise | |
| Comment T | <i>уре</i> Е | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| | | t so should be formatted as | dashed list. | | | | | gher voltage" or "h then add a hyphen | | |
| SuggestedF Reform | at as dashed list. | | | | Proposed | Response | Respor | nse Status W | | |
| Proposed R | | Deenenee Statue M | | | PROP | OSED ACCE | | CIPLE. | | |
| • | DSED ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | | Chang | je the text fro | m "higher am | plitude values" to " | higher noise val | ues." |
| C/ 178 | SC 178.9.3.4.2 | P 367 | L35 | # 315 | | | | | | |
| Brown, Mat | t | Alphawave Se | mi | | | | | | | |
| Comment T | <i>уре</i> Е | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | | | | | | |
| | he same list valu | t so should be formatted as es (e.g., a), b), c)), for two so | | <i>i</i> | | | | | | |
| SuggestedF | Remedy | | | | | | | | | |
| Reform | at as dashed list. | | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed R | Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | | |

C/ 178 SC 178.9.3.4.3

| <u></u> | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| C/ 178 | SC 178.9.3.5 | P 369 | L 4 | # 496 | C/ 178 | SC 178.9. | 3.5 | P 369 | L 7 | # 318 |
| Dudek, M | ike | Marvell | | | Brown, Ma | itt | | Alphawave S | emi | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) JTOL | Comment | Type TR | Comment | Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) |
| Not si receiv Suggeste | vers. | olerance signal with noise in | addition to the ji | tter under-stresses | freque J_RMS | ncy and ampli S and J4u_03 | tude set accord are measured a | ing to Case F fr | om Table 179–1 dal jitter with fre | ured with the jitter 12." I think it means that quency and amplitude |
| Delet | e the exception "N | lo broadband noise is added , calculated per the method | | | for Tab clarity. | | applied. Also, I tl | nink this can be | broken into a p | air of subbullets for |
| | | the jitter included, calculated | | | Suggestea | Remedy | | | | |
| dB." | | r change for C2C on page 7 | | , | Chang | | | | | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | | he COM para lu is substitute | meter calibratio | n described in 9 | 93C.2 item 7): | |
| The te | | eivers is consistent with the | | | JF | RMS and J4u | , | | | ith frequency and |
| | | 137, 162, and 163 and in AU TOL) is separate from interfe | | | Proposed | Response | Response | Status W | | |
| The e in res | exclusion of additive ponse to comment | re noise from JTOL was a de it #140 against D1.3. See | eliberate decisior | | | | PT IN PRINCIPI ested remedy w | | nse. | |
| | | rg/3/bj/comments/P8023bj-I s.pdf#page=64> and the rela | | | C/ 178 | SC 178.9. | 3.7 | P 369 | L13 | # 348 |
| <https: actio<="" actions="" td="" www.com=""><td>s://www.ieee802.o</td><td>rg/3/bj/public/jan13/dawe_3</td><td>bj_01_0113.pdf></td><td></td><td>Ghiasi, Ali</td><td></td><td></td><td>Ghiasi Qunat</td><td>tum/Marvell</td><td></td></https:> | s://www.ieee802.o | rg/3/bj/public/jan13/dawe_3 | bj_01_0113.pdf> | | Ghiasi, Ali | | | Ghiasi Qunat | tum/Marvell | |
| | | cifications in annexes 83E, ² plerance tests, do not include | | | Comment | Tvpe TR | Comment | Status D | Elec | ctrical) (bucket) RL masks |
| | either. | | | | | | or RLcd was 50 | GHz, going up | to 50 GHz is no | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| The C | R test methodolo | gy (Tx and Rx) has enabled | interoperability b | etween products in | Suggestea | Remedy | | | | |
| multip | le generations. N | o indication has been provid | | | Sugge | st to increase | to 67 GHz | | | |
| | ements are insuff | | ilu aamaliaata th | a taat it haa nat haan | Proposed | Response | Response | Status W | | |
| show | | nethodology will unnecessar ed change will improve inter | | | • | , OSED REJEC | , | •• | | |
| uue li | 00001311635. | | | | | | | | | |

Resolve using the response to comment #363.

C/ 178 SC 178.9.3.7

| C/ 178 | SC 178.10 | P 370 | L 26 | # 319 | C/ 178 | SC 178 | 10 | P 370 | L 44 | # 49 |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| Brown, Ma | tt | Alphawave Se | emi | | Mellitz, Ric | hard | | Samtec | | |
| Comment T | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) KR Channel | Comment | Туре ТЕ | 2 | Comment Status D | (| Electrical) Channel SCMR |
| differer to TP5 | nt channels: one | Innel" are never defined. And, is MDI to MDI (or TP0 to TP1 prevalent, and latter only for ing in 178.10.6. |) and the other | r is die to die (or TP0d | skew v interop | vould be inc | cluded ir s not be | as not been considered for i n s-parameters passed to C een specified. Channel com effects. | OM, the effec | t of skew on |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| otherw In Tabl couplin In 178. Margin In 178. recomr | ise indicated, the le 178-11 chang ng 3 dB corner fr 10.1, Change "T (COM) for the c 10.2, change "T mended maximu similar changes | ph in 178.10 and the following e channel is bounded TP0 and e "Maximum AC-coupling 3 df equency between TP0d and T 'he Channel Operating Margin hannel between TP0 and TP5 he recommended maximum c m insertion loss, ILdd, for the in 176C.7 to clarify the bound | d TP5." 3 corner freque P5d" 1 (COM)" to "Th 5" channel insertic channel betwee | ency" to "Maximum AC- ne Channel Operating on loss, ILDD," to "The een TP0d and TP5d" | Chann Add se https:// df replaci i.e. SC Proposed I | el Signal to ection base www.ieee8 ng V_peak MR_CH= 1 | commo d on slid 02.org/3 ^2 with s 0*log10 | Channel characteristics s on mode ratio (SCMR_CH) les 12 and 14 3/dj/public/adhoc/electrical/2 sigma_tn^2 from equation 1 (sigma_ts^2 / VCM_CH^2 <i>Response Status</i> W | min 20 dB 23_1207/mellit 79.15 with c(r | |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | | Basak | o uning the | roopon | as to commont #EO | | |
| - | OSED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. ed remedy with editorial licens | se. | | C/ 178 | SC 178 | - | se to comment #50. P 370 | L 50 | # 480 |
| C/ 178 | SC 178.10 | P370 | L 34 | # 618 | Healey, Ac | lam | | Broadcom, Inc |) . | |
| Palkert, Th | | Samtec, Maco | - | # 010 | Comment | Туре Т | | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) KR CON |
| Comment T The KF Suggested | Type TR R specification sl Remedy | Comment Status D nould use 92.5 ohm impedanc | <i>ctric</i> ce for KR chan | al) Reference impedance nel impedance | packag transm models | e transmis ission line and this ir | sion line length p ntroducto | oh states that COM is calcu e length parameters and on arameters. However, there ory paragraph does not me ow Class A and Class B mo | ce with the Te are also Class ntion this. It w | est 2 package s A and Class B package ould be useful to include |
| add lin | e in Table 178-1 | 1 to specify 92.5 ohm impeda | ince | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| Proposed I PROP | Response OSED ACCEPT | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. | | | Add te packag 176C.7 | e classes | hat COM | I is calculated with the para channel under test is intend | meters for the ded to support | e transmitter and receiver a. Add similar text in |
| Resolv | e using the resp | onse to comment #63. | | | Proposed I | Response | | Response Status W | | |
| | | | | | PROP | OSED ACC | EPT IN | PRINCIPLE. | | |
| | | | | | The su | aaested re | medv ac | dd clarity to the draft. Imple | ment the suar | asted remedy with |

C/ 178 SC 178.10.1

| C/ 178 SC 178.10 | .1 <i>P</i> 371 | L1 | # 479 | C/ 178 | SC 178. | 10.1 | P 371 | L 25 | # 713 |
|--|---|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|---|---------------|---------------------------|
| Healey, Adam | Broadcom, Ind | C . | | Dawe, Pier | S | | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status D | ectr | ical) (bucket) COM MLSD | Comment T | Type ER | | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) COI |
| | hood sequence detection (MLSI | | | Confus | ion betwee | n z an | d Z | | |
| | COM." Now that Table 178-12 i mum likelihood sequence detect | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| become redundant. | | | | | | e is ve | ery strongly established, use | something c | ther than z for length, |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | such as | | | _ | | |
| Remove this senten 176D.7.2. | ce. Also remove similar sentenc | es in 179.11.7, | 176C.7.1, and | Proposed F PROP | Response OSED REJI | ECT. | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | Lowerc | ase z is the | svmt | ool that is used to represent p | oackage trac | e lengths for several |
| PROPOSED ACCEI | PT. | | | genera | tions (e.g. C | Clause | es 93, 137, 163). | 0 | Ū |
| C/ 178 SC 178.10 | 0.1 <i>P</i> 371 | L12 | # 378 | | | | denote inductance, so it may oes not add clarity to the star | | idered confusing. |
| Ghiasi, Ali | Ghiasi Qunatu | ım/Marvell | | C/ 178 | SC 178. | 10.1 | P372 | L1 | # 255 |
| Comment Type ER | Comment Status D | , (| bucket) COM parameters | Shakiba, H | lossein | | Huawei Techn | ologies Cana | |
| All symbols such as | Cd(1) or Ls(1) the "(1)" seems I | ke is superscri | pt | Comment 1 | | | Comment Status D | U U | al) COM quantization nois |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Followi | ng first com | ment | , quantization noise paramete | ers should be | e added to Table 178-13. |
| Please make it inline | 9 | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | | | | ise parameters with suggeste | | |
| PROPOSED REJEC | CT. | | | | | | nying document for the propo elec_01_250626.pdf. | osed change | |
| | entheses are intended to be sup | | | Proposed F | | _00j_0 | Response Status W | | |
| all clauses in which definitions in 178A. | COM is used (178, 179, 176C, 1 | 76D) and mate | ches the parameter | | • | EPTI | N PRINCIPLE. | | |
| | edy does not add clarity to the dr | aft. | | Resolv | e using the | respo | onse to comment #243. | | |
| C/ 178 SC 178.10 | 0.1 P371 | L15 | # 712 | | | | | | |
| Dawe, Piers | Nvidia | | | | | | | | |
| Comment Type ER Indices that look like | Comment Status D exponents, should be subscript | , , | bucket) COM parameters | | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | | |
| Change C_d^(1) to (| C_d1 or Cd1, and so on | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED REJEC | Response Status W | | | | | | | | |
| Resolve using the re | esponse to comment 378. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

C/ 178 SC 178.10.1

| C/ 178 | SC 178.10.1 | P 372 | L 7 | # 236 | C/ 178 | SC 178.10.1 | P 372 | L 46 | # 714 |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|---|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------|--|
| Mellitz, Ric | hard | Samtec | | | Dawe, Pier | s | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Adjust | 51 | Comment Status D 16.25 ohms measurement re | | trical) Reference impedance | Comment 7 With a | 51 | Comment Status D an break away from old mista | akes from the | <i>(Electrical) (bucket) Jitte</i> 88/10B days. OIF did |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | this year | ars ago. | | | |
| Chang | e | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| A_vto | | | | | Change | e "Random jitter" | ' to "Gaussian jitter", and sig | na_RJ to sig | ma_GJ |
| A_feto A_neto | | | | | Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed PROP | Response OSED REJECT. | Response Status W | | | "Gauss is in 48 The su | B.1.2 which is tit | rs in only 3 places in 802.3 a tled "Random Jitter". deviates from established 80 f the draft. | | |
| | 0 1 | subclause from 178.19 to 1 | 78 10 11 | | C/ 178 | SC 178.10.1 | P372 | L 46 | # 715 |
| - | | | - | | Dawe, Pier | | Nvidia | • | |
| C/ 178 | SC 178.10.1 | P 372 | L33 | # 379 | Comment 7 | | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) Jitte |
| Ghiasi, Ali | | Ghiasi Qunat | | | | istic jitter values | | | |
| Comment | | Comment Status D | ic | cal) (bucket) table formatting | Suggested | • | | | |
| | ols fp1 and fp2 se | em connected | | | 00 | | ed and D-D jitter should be re | duced | |
| Suggested | - | | | | Proposed I | | Response Status W | | |
| | eed to adjsut or ir | cease spacing | | | | OSED REJECT. | | | |
| • | Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | |
| - | OSED ACCEPT I spacing with edit | - | | | | | provided in the comment lac | • | · |
| C/ 178 | SC 178.10.1 | P372 | L 43 | # 254 | C/ 178 | SC 178.10.3 | P 373 | L 33 | # 596 |
| Shakiba, F | lossein | Huawei Tech | nologies Car | | Kocsis, Sa | | Amphenol | | |
| Comment | | Comment Status D | 0 | cal) COM quantization noise | Comment T | | Comment Status D | | trical) Reference impedanc |
| Follow | 51 | , an updated value for One- | | , , | implied | I reference imped | atTP0 and TP5 is defined wit dance is inferred from 178.9. or ERL is not consistent throu | 1, 100-ohm. | The use of a 100-ohm |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| refer to | slide 15 of the a | e spectral density paramete ccompanying document for | | | Add de Annex | | -ohm reference impedance fo | or the ERL co | omputation, consistent with |
| | - | elec_01_250626.pdf. | | | Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | |
| | Response | Response Status W | | | PROP | OSED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| - | OSED ACCEPT I | N PRINCIPLE. | | | Resolv | e usina the resp | onse to comment #63. | | |

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 178
 Page 93 of 184

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 178.10.3
 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 178
 Page 93 of 184

| awe, Pier | s | | | | | | SC 178A | | | # 243 |
|-----------------|------------|--------------|---|----------------|--|---|---|---|---------------------|---|
| | • | | Nvidia | | | Shakiba, H | lossein | Huawei Te | echnologies Canad | la |
| omment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) ERL | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | rical | I) COM quantization noise |
| Tukey | window: | it's not a | flag (status bit) it's a switch | (control bit) | | Add qu | antization noise. | | | |
| uggested | Remedy | , | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| Chang | e Tukey | v window f | lag to Tukey window | | | | | 1.7.6 Quantization nois | | |
| roposed I | Respons | е | Response Status W | | | | . , , | t for the proposed sub-s | ection content and | text. |
| | OSED R | | | | | Proposed I | | Response Status W | | |
| The pa | rameter | tw in 93A | .5 (as amended by 802.3ck- | 2022) is calle | ed "Tukey window flag". | | OSED ACCEPT I | N PRINCIPLE. EE P802.3dj May 2025 | interim meeting | |
| 178 | SC 17 | 78.10.6 | P375 | L 50 | # 543 | | | | | approved.pdf> indicated |
| evin, Itam | ar | | Altera corp. | | | | | ntization noise model to | the COM calculati | on. |
| omment | Түре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) AC coupling | | 025 Straw Poll #1: e quantization nois | e modeling in COM Anr | ex 178A. I prefer t | he direction of: |
| 100Kh: | z 3dB cu | toff freque | ency requires AC blocking c | apacitors of a | t least XXX nF. This | | change | J | , | |
| poses | two issue | es: 1. it is | hard to find a high quality ca | apacitor that | would behave well across | | | akiba_3dj_01a_2505, s | ide 5 & 15) | |
| | | | ency band (low parasitics), 2 | | | C. nee D. abs | d more informatio | n/something else | | |
| of the operform | • | ng cap - tr | ne parasitics involved with su | uch a capacit | or degrade serdes | (choos | | | | |
| penon | liance | | | | | | s: A: 14, B: 28, C: | 8, D: 10 | | |
| This co | orner fred | quency tra | ades off these factors for bet | ter baseline v | vander mitigation, | | raw poll refers to | • | | |
| | | • | baseline wander from a 2x of | | 1 2 | | | g/3/dj/public/25_05/shak | | |
| be sev | ere and i | may be a | good sacrifice for the benefi | ts of a smalle | er cap. | | | | | c/Optics ad hoc meeting _adhoc_Straw_Polls_250 |
| uggested | Remedy | , | | | | | | ort for the quantization n | | |
| increas | se corner | r freq. to a | at least 250Khz. | | | | 3.b from | | | J |
| roposed I | Respons | e | Response Status W | | | | | g/3/dj/public/adhoc/optic | s/0625_OPTX/sha | kiba_3dj_adhoc_01a_25 |
| • | | | N PRINCIPLE. | | | 0626.p | 001>. 2025 Straw Poll #1 | | | |
| - | | | an update indicating that "> | XXX nF" in the | e comment should be "30 | | | ntization noise in COM A | nnex 178A. I woul | d support the proposed |
| nF". | | • | | | | | | eta_0 and N_qb values | | |
| | | and the su | uggested remedy (250 kHz) | are reasonat | le, but consensus is not | | | _250626 (page 15) | | |
| obviou | | ocond par | agraph of 178.10.6 address | oc "Svetome | with no AC coupling | | N: 1, NMI: 2, A: 025 Straw Poll #2 | | | |
| | | | this may be considered suffi | | with no AC-coupling | | | ntization noise in COM A | nnex 178A prefe | er proposed eta 0 and |
| | | | specifies the channel as "bet | | nd TP5d", which includes | | | 2M, C2C) in shakiba_3d | | |
| | | | he die on both sides. On-die | only AC cou | oling falls under "Systems | | go rules) | | | |
| | | | in the channel". | | | A. opti | | | | |
| | | | clause 178, but likely applie 76D as well. | s to Annex 1 | Tec too, and possibly to | B. opti C. abs | | | | |
| | G discus | | | | | | s: A: 6, B: 17, C: | 12 | | |
| | | | | | | | nent the changes | | | |
| | | | | | | <https:< td=""><td>://www.ieee802.or</td><td></td><td>023dj_D2p0_com</td><td>ment_243_attachment.p</td></https:<> | ://www.ieee802.or | | 023dj_D2p0_com | ment_243_attachment.p |
| | | | | | | | des 3 through 14. | 4700 0 | | (|
| | | | | | | | | 8, 176C-8, and 176D-7, er N_qb with a value of 6 | | |
| | toohaia- | Iroquirod | ED/aditorial required CD/- | onoral rage | ad Theophoical Fladitarial Cl | | • • | | | |
| | | | | | ed T/technical E/editorial G/ DNSE STATUS: O/open W/w | | Ul/uncaticfied 7 | | 178A 178A | Page 94 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:4 |

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

| | | e DER_0 value from the corre s with editorial license. | esponding table. | | - | C 178A.1.7 | , | P 774 | L 32 | # 247 |
|--|---------------------------------|--|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| C/ 178A | SC 178A | P 785 | L19 | # 235 | Shakiba, Hoss | | | | nologies Canada | |
| Mellitz, Rich | | Samtec | | | Comment Type | | Comment S | | , | OM quantization noise |
| Comment Ty | | Comment Status D | ctrica | l) Reference impedance | - | | nt, "sampler" sh | nould be replace | ed with "quantizer | • |
| , | • | parameter is not defined in th | | ,, | SuggestedRen | - | | | | |
| SuggestedR | Remedv | | | | | ampler" to " ed change. | quantizer". Plea | ase refer to slid | te 9 of the accomp | anying document for |
| 00 | v section 178A | .2 | | | Proposed Res | 0 | Response S | Status W | | |
| is compu | uted as follows | parameter with reference Z_(:: (-1)* (S-rho)*A |) to S' s-paramet | er with reference Z_1 | PROPOSE | D ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE | Ξ. | | |
| where: | 1_7_0)//7_1 | 7 0) | | | C/ 178A S | C 178A.1.7 | , | P 774 | L 50 | # 244 |
| | _1−Z_0)/(Z_1+ 1+Z_0)/sqrt(Z_ | | | | Shakiba, Hoss | ein | | Huawei Tech | nologies Canada | |
| | | meter matrix with Z_0 as the | | impedance matrix | Comment Type | TR | Comment | Status D | rical) C | OM quantization nois |
| S' is the | new s-parame | ntry is the impedance of that eter matrix with Z_1 as the ne the impedance of that port | | dance matrix where | Following the sample | | nt, Figure 178A | -7 should show | v addition of the qu | antization noise after |
| Proposed Re | esponse | Response Status W | | | SuggestedRen | nedy | | | | |
| | | IN PRINCIPLE. | efine renormaliza | ation of s-parameters | | zation noise | | Please refer to | slide 6 of the acco | mpanying document |
| in the dr | aft. However, t | the reference impedance for t | he measured ch | annel s-parameters | Proposed Res | oonse | Response S | Status W | | |
| that the | result of the ca | ngle-ended reference resistar ascade() function, as used in tions in the suggested remed | Equation (178A-2 | 2), is correct. | | | IN PRINCIPLE | | | |
| | | rt the s-parameter reference | | | C/ 178A S | C 178A.1.7 | , | P 775 | L 2 | # 245 |
| - | ations and sup | oporting text to 178A.1.4, as s | hown in <url>/</url> | ran_3dj_01_2507 | Shakiba, Hoss | ein | | Huawei Tech | nologies Canada | |
| <slide #:<="" td=""><td>>, with editoria</td><td>l license.</td><td></td><td></td><td>Comment Type</td><td>TR</td><td>Comment S</td><td>Status D</td><td>rical) C</td><td>OM quantization nois</td></slide> | >, with editoria | l license. | | | Comment Type | TR | Comment S | Status D | rical) C | OM quantization nois |
| / 178A | SC 178A.1.3 | P 768 | L 20 | # 610 | Following | irst comme | nt, Table 178A- | 9 should inclue | de quantization noi | se parameters. |
| alkert, Tho | omas | Samtec, Mac | om | | SuggestedRen | nedy | | | | |
| Comment Ty | | Comment Status D should be 92.5 ohms | ctrica | l) Reference impedance | | | noise paramete ent for the prop | | Please refer to sli | de 7 of the |
| • | | | | | Proposed Res | oonse | Response S | Status W | | |
| SuggestedR Channel | | ured with 100 ohms but shoul | d be converted to | o 92.5 ohms | | | IN PRINCIPLE | | | |
| Proposed Re | esponse | Response Status W | | | 1000000 0 | | | | | |
| , PROPO | , SED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | | | | | | |

C/ 178A SC 178A.1.7

| C/ 178A SC 178A.1.7 | P 775 | L15 | # 248 | C/ 178A | SC 178A.1.8 | 3.1 | P 778 | L18 | # 250 |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Shakiba, Hossein | Huawei Techr | ologies Canada | | Shakiba, Ho | ssein | | Huawei Techi | nologies Canada | a |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | rical) (| COM quantization noise | Comment Ty | /pe TR | Comment | Status D | rical) | COM quantization noise |
| C C | t, "sampler" should be replace | ed with "quantize | r". | | | nt, quantization ward filter in Fig | | be added before | sampler output is |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | and the second | SuggestedR | emedv | | | | |
| the proposed change. | uantizer". Please refer to slid | e 9 of the accom | panying document for | | ntization noise | | Please refer to | slide 10 of the a | accompanying |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | Proposed R | | Response S | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the response | | | | , PROPO | , SED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE | Ξ. | | |
| C/ 178A SC 178A.1.7 | P 775 | L19 | # 246 | Resolve | using the resp | ponse to comm | ent #243. | | |
| Shakiba. Hossein | Huawei Techr | ologies Canada | | C/ 178A | SC 178A.1.9 | 0.3 | P 782 | L17 | # 251 |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | 0 | COM quantization noise | Shakiba, Ho | ssein | | Huawei Techi | nologies Canada | a |
| 51 | t, Equation (178A-14) should | , | , | Comment Ty | /pe TR | Comment | Status D | rical) | COM quantization nois |
| r enering met commen | | inolado quantiza | | Followin | a first comme | nt more text sh | habbe ad bluo | to describe the | procedure for deriving |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | function of the | | | procedure for deriving |
| Add quantization noise | PSD to the equation and its | | • | | ability density | | | | procedure for deriving |
| Add quantization noise refer to slide 8 of the ad | ccompanying document for th | | • | the prob SuggestedR | ability density emedy | function of the | quantization no | oise. | |
| Add quantization noise refer to slide 8 of the ac Proposed Response | ccompanying document for th <i>Response Status</i> W | | • | the prob S <i>uggestedR</i> Add the | ability density <i>remedy</i> suggested tex | function of the times the slides 11-1 | quantization no 2 of the accom | oise. | |
| Add quantization noise refer to slide 8 of the ac Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT | ccompanying document for th <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. | | • | the prob SuggestedR Add the Proposed R | ability density emedy suggested tex esponse | function of the tt in slides 11-1 <i>Response</i> S | quantization no 2 of the accom Status W | oise. | |
| refer to slide 8 of the ac Proposed Response | ccompanying document for th <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. | | • | the prob SuggestedR Add the Proposed R PROPO | ability density lemedy suggested tex esponse SED ACCEPT | function of the times the slides 11-1 | quantization no 2 of the accom Status W E. | oise. | ent starting from line 17. |
| Add quantization noise refer to slide 8 of the ac Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the response C/ 178A SC 178A.1.8. | companying document for th <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #243. 1 <i>P</i> 777 | e proposed chan | • | the prob SuggestedR Add the Proposed R PROPO | ability density lemedy suggested tex esponse SED ACCEPT | function of the tt in slides 11-1 <i>Response S</i> IN PRINCIPLE bonse to comm | quantization no 2 of the accom Status W E. | oise. | |
| Add quantization noise refer to slide 8 of the ad Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the response C/ 178A SC 178A.1.8. Shakiba, Hossein | ccompanying document for th <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #243. 1 <i>P</i> 777 Huawei Techr | e proposed chan L 43 vologies Canada | ge. # 249 | the prob SuggestedR Add the Proposed R PROPO Resolve | ability density remedy suggested tex esponse SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 178A.1.9 | function of the tt in slides 11-1 <i>Response S</i> IN PRINCIPLE bonse to comm | quantization no 2 of the accom Status W E. ent #243. P 782 | bise. panying docume | ent starting from line 17. # 252 |
| Add quantization noise refer to slide 8 of the ac Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the response CI 178A SC 178A.1.8. Shakiba, Hossein Comment Type T R | companying document for th <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #243. 1 <i>P</i> 777 Huawei Techr <i>Comment Status</i> D | e proposed chan L 43 tologies Canada <i>rical)</i> (| ge. # 249 COM quantization noise | the prob SuggestedR Add the Proposed R PROPO Resolve Cl 178A | ability density lemedy suggested tex esponse SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 178A.1.9 ossein | function of the tt in slides 11-1 <i>Response S</i> IN PRINCIPLE bonse to comm | quantization no 2 of the accom Status W E. ent #243. P782 Huawei Techn | panying docume L 21 nologies Canada | ent starting from line 17. # 252 |
| Add quantization noise refer to slide 8 of the ad Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the response C/ 178A SC 178A.1.8. Shakiba, Hossein Comment Type TR Following first commen | ccompanying document for th <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #243. 1 <i>P</i> 777 Huawei Techr | e proposed chan L 43 tologies Canada <i>rical)</i> (| ge. # 249 COM quantization noise | the prob SuggestedR Add the Proposed R PROPO Resolve C/ 178A Shakiba, Ho Comment Ty | ability density lemedy suggested tex esponse SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 178A.1.9 pssein upe TR | function of the tt in slides 11-1 <i>Response</i> S IN PRINCIPLE bonse to comm 0.3 <i>Comment</i> S | quantization no 2 of the accom Status W E. ent #243. P782 Huawei Techn Status D | bise. panying docume L 21 nologies Canada <i>rical)</i> | ent starting from line 17. # 252 |
| Add quantization noise refer to slide 8 of the ad Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the response C/ 178A SC 178A.1.8. Shakiba, Hossein Comment Type TR Following first commen SuggestedRemedy | companying document for the Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #243. 1 P777 Huawei Techr Comment Status D t, "sampler" should be replace | <i>L</i> 43 nologies Canada <i>rical)</i> (ed with "quantize | ge. # 249 COM quantization noise r". | the prob SuggestedR Add the Proposed R PROPO Resolve C/ 178A Shakiba, Ho Comment Ty Followin | ability density lemedy suggested tex esponse SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 178A.1.9 SSein ype TR g first commen | function of the tt in slides 11-1 <i>Response</i> S IN PRINCIPLE bonse to comm 0.3 <i>Comment</i> S | quantization no 2 of the accom Status W E. ent #243. P782 Huawei Techn Status D | bise. panying docume L 21 nologies Canada <i>rical)</i> | # 252 a COM quantization nois |
| Add quantization noise refer to slide 8 of the ad Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the response C/ 178A SC 178A.1.8. Shakiba, Hossein Comment Type TR Following first comment SuggestedRemedy | companying document for th <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #243. 1 <i>P</i> 777 Huawei Techr <i>Comment Status</i> D | <i>L</i> 43 nologies Canada <i>rical)</i> (ed with "quantize | ge. # 249 COM quantization noise r". | the prob SuggestedR Add the Proposed R PROPO Resolve Cl 178A Shakiba, Ho Comment Ty Followin SuggestedR Add qua | ability density remedy suggested tex esponse SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 178A.1.9 SSein ype TR g first comment remedy intization noise | function of the tt in slides 11-1 <i>Response</i> S IN PRINCIPLE conse to comm 0.3 <i>Comment</i> S nt, Equation (17 e PSD to the eco | quantization no 2 of the accom Status W E. ent #243. P782 Huawei Techr Status D 78A-36) should | bise. panying docume <i>L</i> 21 nologies Canada <i>rical)</i> include quantiza | # 252 COM quantization nois |
| Add quantization noise refer to slide 8 of the ad Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the response Cl 178A SC 178A.1.8. Shakiba, Hossein Comment Type TR Following first comment SuggestedRemedy Change "sampler" to "q the proposed change. | companying document for the Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #243. 1 P777 Huawei Techr Comment Status D t, "sampler" should be replace | <i>L</i> 43 nologies Canada <i>rical)</i> (ed with "quantize | ge. # 249 COM quantization noise r". | the prob SuggestedR Add the Proposed R PROPO Resolve Cl 178A Shakiba, Ho Comment Ty Followin SuggestedR Add qua docume | ability density lemedy suggested tex esponse SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 178A.1.9 Ssein /pe TR g first comment lemedy intization noise nt for the prop | function of the tt in slides 11-1 <i>Response</i> S IN PRINCIPLE bonse to comm 0.3 <i>Comment</i> S nt, Equation (17 e PSD to the ecosed change. | quantization no 2 of the accom Status W E. ent #243. P782 Huawei Techi Status D 78A-36) should guation. Please | bise. panying docume <i>L</i> 21 nologies Canada <i>rical)</i> include quantiza | # 252 a COM quantization nois ation noise PSD. |
| Add quantization noise refer to slide 8 of the ad Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the response C/ 178A SC 178A.1.8. Shakiba, Hossein Comment Type TR Following first commen SuggestedRemedy Change "sampler" to "q | ccompanying document for th <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #243. 1 <i>P</i> 777 Huawei Techr <i>Comment Status</i> D t, "sampler" should be replace juantizer". Please refer to slid <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. | <i>L</i> 43 nologies Canada <i>rical)</i> (ed with "quantize | ge. # 249 COM quantization noise r". | the prob SuggestedR Add the Proposed R PROPO Resolve Cl 178A Shakiba, Ho Comment Ty Followin SuggestedR Add qua docume Proposed R | ability density lemedy suggested tex esponse SED ACCEPT using the resp SC 178A.1.9 SSein ype TR g first comment emedy intization noise nt for the prop esponse | function of the tt in slides 11-1 <i>Response</i> S IN PRINCIPLE conse to comm 0.3 <i>Comment</i> S nt, Equation (17 e PSD to the eco | quantization no 2 of the accom Status W E. ent #243. P782 Huawei Techi Status D 78A-36) should quation. Please Status W | bise. panying docume <i>L</i> 21 nologies Canada <i>rical)</i> include quantiza | # 252 # COM quantization noise ation noise PSD. |

C/ 178A SC 178A.1.9.3

| C/ 178A SC 178A.1.10 | P 783 | L19 | # 253 | C/ 178B |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Shakiba, Hossein | Huawei Tech | nologies Canada | | D'Ambrosia, |
| Comment Type TR Con | nment Status D | rical) | COM quantization noise | Comment Typ |
| Following first comment, quan applied to the feed-forward filte | | be added before | sampler output is | ISL is a n For exam |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | interfaces training fo |
| Add quantization noise to the document for the proposed ch | | slide 14 of the a | ccompanying | Additiona training b |
| Proposed Response Resp | oonse Status W | | | SuggestedRe |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI | | | | Separate |
| Resolve using the response to | comment #243. | | | PMDs. C the corre |
| C/ 178A SC 178A.1.10.1 | P 784 | L 36 | # 262 | Proposed Re |
| Shakiba, Hossein | Huawei Tech | nologies Canada | L | PROPOS |
| Comment Type TR Con | nment Status D | | (Electrical) | ILT does |
| Proper handling of negative M | | | s presented in COM ad | indicate t |
| hoc and approved (shakiba_3 Pointed out by Adee during the | | | at the implication of | The inter differene |
| this on the draft. This commer | | | | |
| how a possible negative delta | | | | C/ 178B |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Mi, Guangca |
| Add a new paragraph at the e | | | | Comment Typ |
| "Due to the addition of this add | | | | ILT shoul |
| MLSD-based receiver, there merforms better. In these case | | | | 800GBAS potentiall |
| by ignoring the last term in Eq | | | | link cond |
| COM_DFE. This process shou | | | | differentia |
| approximations in math and ca | alculations, similar cas | ses are encounte | ered." | opex and |
| | oonse Status W | | | This com |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI With editorial license, insert th | | hoforo the last n | prograph in 1781 1 10 | SuggestedRe |
| "If the value of COM calculate | | | | Extend IL |
| value of COM is set to be equa | | | · | condition |
| | | | | LR1 inne |

| C/ 178B | SC 178 | 3 P78 | 6 L | 6 | # 484 |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| D'Ambrosia | , John | Future | wei, U.S. Subs | idiary of Hu | uawei |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status | D | | (Common) ILT scope |
| For examinterface training Addition | mple, the t es". Howe for the inte ally, as thi | v capability, and needs to b itle indicates "Inter-sublay ver, it is the understanding erfaces as well as the total is is a new capability, it is n AUIs and PMDs. | er link training of the comme path. | for electricants that thi | al and optical is clause covers link |
| SuggestedR | | | | | |
| Separat PMDs. | e Annex 1 | 78B into 3 Annexes - one f /ith tables pointing to Anne. | | | |
| Proposed R | esponse | Response Status | w | | |
| The inte | ntion is th | Ls in a path have complete at ILT will be the same for <i>i</i> an be listed in the Annex. | AUI componen | | Ds. If there will be |
| Mi, Guangca | | | ei Technologies | - | # <u>391</u> |
| Comment Ty | | | 0 | | Common) ILT coheren |
| ILT shou 800GBA potentia link cond different | uld be sup SE-LR1 a Ily intercha dition. By a | ported for coherent optical and 800GBASE-LR4 modul angable in pairs in deployin allowing ILT in 800GBASE- otical port, and use one rou e development. | es can be used ig network equi LR1, the host | ninimum 80 d in the san pment dep equipment | DOGBASE-LR1 spec. ne switch/router, and ending on the fiber does not need to |
| This cor | nment als | o requires updates to sub o | clause 160.2.10 |) in page19 | 0. |
| SuggestedR | omody | | | | |
| Suggesteur | enteuy | | | | |

condition of the ISL path between two LR1 PMDs could be derived from the states of the LR1 inner FEC, where dsp frame locking and aligning are already performed. A contribution will be provided.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Note that the section mentioned in the comment as 160.2.10 should be 169.2.10. Pending review of the following presentation and CRG discussion. <URL>/mi_3dj_01_2507.pdf

C/ 178B SC 178B Page 97 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 178B | SC 178B | P 786 | L12 | # 424 | C/ 178B | SC | 178B.2 | P 786 | L18 | # 220 |
|--|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco System | S | | Huber, The | omas | | Nokia | | |
| Comment Ty | pe T | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT scope | Comment | Туре | т | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT scope |
| end-to-er the forme | nd (RS-to-RS er, but is syste | tinction between "ILT", which is) path bring-up procedure. The em-level result, while ILT is a l r may be helpful, e.g. "Physica | e latter is an ab ocal mechanis | ility that is enabled by m. | is the e overvie accura | end-to- ew text ite - tha | end path s . The "con at may be | confusing. ILT has two aspect tartup behavior. These need inuous exchange of fixed-le what happens during the train ng is completed. | I to be more cle ngth training fra | early separated in the ames" is not entirely |
| SuggestedRe | emedy | | | | Suggested | Remed | dy | | | |
| to disting Proposed Re PROPOS | uish it from " s <i>ponse</i> SED ACCEP1 | Nysical layer startup procedure ILT" used over a single ISL. Im <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #220. | | | ILT de optimiz a smoo length | scribes zing pe oth pat training | erformance h start-up. g frames b | rocesses that serve two pur on individual ISLs, and coor The individual link training is etween peer interfaces of ar | rdination of ISL s performed via n ISL that enab | s along a path to enable a the exchange of fixed- le the transmitter to |
| | SC 178B.2 | P 786 | L18 | # 374 | | | | ce of the ISL. Path start-up is et of ISLs that exist betweer | | |
| Ghiasi, Ali | 30 17 0B.2 | Ghiasi Qunatu | | # 374 | Proposed I | Respor | nse | Response Status W | | |
| signal or SuggestedRe I suggest All electri All optica | unctions are RTS. Desigr emedy t the following ical link training layer signalin | Comment Status D included in the ILT: Electrical L hating everting as ILT is rather g definition: ng called "ELT" called "OLT" g RTS called "ILT" or could be | confusing thro | bughout the draft. | Rewritt ILT de purpos to enal of fixed to optin status Implen | e the p scribes ble a si d-length mize th indicat nent wi | aragraph as a set of p timizing pe mooth path h training f he performa- tions acros ith editorial | rocesses for electrical and or informance on individual ISLs in start-up. The individual link rames between peer interfact ance of the ISL. Path start-u is the set of ISLs that exist b | s, and coordina training is per ces of an ISL th p is performed | ation of ISLs along a path formed via the exchange hat enable the transmitter via the exchange of |
| Proposed Re | 0, | Response Status W | | | C/ 178B | SC | 178B.2 | P 786 | L19 | # 498 |
| | • | TIN PRINCIPLE. | | | Dudek, Mil | ke | | Marvell | | |
| | | ponse to comment #220. | | | Comment Type E Comment Status D (Commo The english isn't good. | | | | | (Common) ILT scope |
| | | | | | Suggested | Remed | dy | | | |
| | | | | Change "in a ISL or multi-ISL paths" to "in a ISL path or multi-ISL paths" | | | | | paths" | |
| | | | | | The se | OSED entence ent #22 | ACCEPT e subject o 20. | Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. f this comment is proposed to a kept, implement the sugge | · | |

In case this statement is kept, implement the suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 178B SC 178B.2

| CI 178B SC 178B. | 2 P 786 | L 20 | # 553 | C/ 178B | SC 178B.3 | | P 786 | L 33 | # 52 |
|---------------------------------------|---|----------------|---------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Maki, Jeffery | Juniper Netwo | orks | | D'Ambrosia | a, John | I | ⁻ uturewei, U | .S. Subsidiary o | f Huawei |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT scope | Comment 7 | Гуре Е | Comment St | atus D | | (Common) ILT scop |
| length training fram | supports these functions througes between peer interfaces in an | ISL" indicates | s training frames are | Given t helpful | he introduction if the term inte | n of inter-sublayer er-sublayer link (IS | link training SL) was displ | to the Ethernet ayed graphically | world, it would be / for the reader. |
| continuously exchai | nged. The presumed purpose to ate their equalization coeficients | be continous v | vould be for the AUI | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| training such as with | ted training is occurring. | | | https:// | ent figure on F www.ieee802.0 with editorial li | org/3/dj/public/adh | noc/electrical | /25_0605/damb | rosia_3dj_elec_02_2506 |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Proposed F | | Response Sta | otus M | | |
| | –2—Control field structure for E using traffic and recovered cloc | | dicator that updated | PROP | DSED ACCEP | T IN PRINCIPLE. | | RG discussion | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | | of presentation | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCE Resolve using the re | PT IN PRINCIPLE. esponse to comment #220. | | | C/ 178B | SC 178B.3 | | P 786 | L 34 | # 222 |
| C/ 178B SC 178B. | 3 P786 | L 25 | # 124 | Huber, Tho | mas | I | Nokia | | |
| Mascitto, Marco | Nokia | - | | Comment 7 | Гуре Е | Comment St | atus D | | (Common) ILT scop |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | | | s somewhat awky | | | |
| You define terms in | this subclause but named the s 3-2022 and rename it "Definition | | , ,, , | the def ISL. As | inition should I written, it sug | be consistent as to gests that the ISL | o whether the | e sublayers are | lementation are. Also, or are not part of the ing the PMAs) or a pair |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | s plus the me | dium. | | | |
| Rename subclause | "Definitions". | | | Suggested | - | | | | |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE | Response Status W | | | | | | PMA sublay | ers, or the MDI | between a pair of PMD |
| C/ 178B SC 178B. | 3 P 786 | L 31 | # 221 | Proposed F | Response | Response Sta | atus W | | |
| Huber, Thomas | Nokia | 201 | | - | | T IN PRINCIPLE. | | | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | An ISL is not the MDI, it includes the PMD that perfroms the ILT function. Change: "A physically instantiated link between a pair of adjacent sublayers." | | | | | |
| 21 | I component in Annex 178B use | s the terms 'A | ()() | To: "A | physically insta | antiated link betwe | | | |
| 'AUI bottom compo | nent', while related text in 45.2.1 ent'. The terms should be consistent. | .269 uses 'upp | er AUI component' and | Implem | ent with editor | rial license. | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | | |
| | rks better than upper and bottor ponent' and 'lower AUI compone | | e definition in 178B.3 to | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | | |

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 178B SC 178B.3

| C/ 178B SC 178B.3 | P 786 | L 36 | # 112 | C/ 178B | SC 178B.3 | P 786 | L 41 | # 113 |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mascitto, Marco | Nokia | | | Mascitto, M | larco | Nokia | | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status D ned as the link between two | adiacont cublav | (Common) ILT scope | Comment T | | Comment Status D might be too short and risks | | n) ILT definitions (bucket |
| sublayers themselves. layer implementation (e | ISLs can be between two ad e.g., connecting PMAs in a si omous systems (e.g., connect | jacent sublayers ngle PHY) or be | s in the same Physical etween adjacent | Suggested | Remedy | his term is equivalent to link | - | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | with | | | | |
| | be an xAUI-n between a pair entation or a pair of PMDs ar | | | | | ISL is an MDI between two | PMDs, this term | is equivalent to link |
| with | | | | Proposed R | | Response Status W | | |
| | UI-n between a pair of PMA tween a pair of PMD sublaye Response Status W | | | PROPO Change To: "In | SED ACCEP : "For a PMD t | IN PRINCIPLE. his term is equivalent to link the ISL is between two PMI | | quivalent to link partner" |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT | , | | | C/ 178B | SC 178B.4 | P 786 | L 52 | # 223 |
| Resolve using the resp | oonse to comment #222. | | | Huber, Tho | mas | Nokia | | |
| C/ 178B SC 178B.3 | P 786 | L38 | # 115 | Comment T | <i>уре</i> т | Comment Status D | non) | ILT components (bucke |
| Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E Add single and multi-IS | Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D SL definiton here to help with | 178B.5. | (Common) ILT scope | one or t Howeve | wo physically er, an end-to-e | n is confusing. The text beginstantiated interfaces, specind path between two PCS corrighted provides the M | fically AUI or PMI ould include as ma | D components." any as 5 ISLs: two AUIs |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| | n comprises exactly two subla ee or more sublayers connec | | | | aragraph was the paragraph. | not present, the information i | n the rest of the c | lause is still clear. |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | Proposed R | Response | Response Status W | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT | - | | | PROPC | OSED ACCEP | IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| Resolve using the resp | onse to comment #116. | | | | t sentence is in more understa | nportant, but it and the rest oundable. | of the paragraph | should be reworded to |
| | | | | "Device instanti one phy (Annex never p interfac | es in a path hav ated interface i vsically instanti 176D) or AUI- hysically instanti es is a retimer | n with the following: re one or two physically insta s either a PMD or an AUI co ated interface is a PMA adja C2C (Annex 176C) interface ntiated). An example of a dew with an AUI-C2C (Annex 170 the other side." | mponent. An exa cent to a PCS wit (the interface with vice with two physic | mple of a device with h a single AUI-C2M h the PCS or PHY XS is sically instantiated |
| | | | | Implem | ent with editor | al license. | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 O

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 S

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 S

C/ 178B SC 178B.4 Page 100 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 178B | SC | 178B.4 | P 78 | 6 L5 | 2 # 114 | |
|-------------|---------|--------------|--|------------------|--|----|
| Mascitto, M | Marco | | Nokia | | | |
| Comment | Туре | Е | Comment Status | D | (Common) (bucket) I | LT |
| | | | | | tiated interfaces" or to "PMD c text to improve clarity. | or |
| Suggested | IReme | dy | | | | |
| Delete | "[] s | specifically | PMD or AUI compon | ents" from sente | ence. | |
| | nent si | | IN PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial I P78 | | 2 # 458 | |
| Slavick, Je | eff | | Broad | com | | |
| Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status | D | (Common) (bucket) I | LΤ |
| | ed inte | | | | nave one or two physically ng to one and two? Or PMD | |
| | | | | | | |

SuggestedRemedy

Change the 2nd paragraph from:

Devices in a path may include one or two physically instantiated interfaces, specifically PMD or AUI components. An example of the former is a PMA adjacent to a PCS or to a PHY XS with a single AUI-C2M (Annex 176D) or AUI C2C (Annex 176C) interface (the interface with the PCS or PHY XS is never physically instantiated). An example of the latter is a retimer with an AUI C2C (Annex 176C) interface on one side and an AUI-C2M (Annex 176D) on the other side.

To:

Devices in a path may include zero, one or two physically instantiated interfaces between the MAC and the PMD. Figure 176B-1 depicts a device with zero physically instantiated interfaces. The left two stacks in Figure 176B-2 depict a device with a single xAUI interface, either a AUI-C2M (Annex 176D) or AUI-C2C (Annex 176C). The right 3 stacks in Figure 176B-2 depicts a device with two xAUI interfaces.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ILT is only applicable to physically instantiated interfaces. The use of "later" and "former" is confusing. Resolve using the response to comment #114.

| C/ 178B | SC 178B.4 | P 787 | L 5 | # 224 |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| Huber, Thom | nas | Nokia | | |
| Comment Ty | pe T | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT |

While it's true that there are "one or more per-lane functions", this language is misleading. For an n lane interface there are exactly n per-lane functions.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "one or more per-lane functions" to "one per-lane function for each physical lane"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: "and one or more per-lane functions"

To: "and one per-lane function for each lane associated with the interface"

| C/ 178B | SC 178B.4 | P 787 | L 30 | # 375 |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Ghiasi, Ali | | Ghiasi Qunatu | ım/Marvell | |
| Comment T | ype TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT function |
| | 178B-1 is trying | to convey two different messa | ages and com | pining the two function |

SuggestedRemedy

Some suggested improvements Call them figure 1A and 1B Figrue 1A is for AUI so it needs two ILT functions in the box (left and right) Figure 1B better to show as following: -Receive function connected to Transmit Function left-right (output SLi) -Receive function to Transmit Function right-left (input DLi) -Duplicate per-lane ILT function one for Egress and one for Ingress

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

ILT is one function. Only in the case of a retimer we have two functions. An AUI may include a single ILT function if it is not part of a retimer.

The transmit and receive functions of ILT are closely related, separating them may cause more confusion than adding clarity.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 178B SC 178B.4 Page 101 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| | 8707 | 1.07 | # 000 | 01 4700 | 00.470 | | 0707 | 1.07 | # 005 |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| C/ 178B SC 178B.5 | P 787 | L 37 | # 290 | C/ 178B | SC 178 | 3.5 | P787 | L37 | # 225 |
| Brown, Matt | Alphawave S | emi | | Huber, Tho | mas | | Nokia | | |
| Comment Type TR C | omment Status D | | (Common) ILT scope | Comment 7 | Type E | Com | ment Status D | | (Common) ILT layout |
| The term inter-sublayer link sublayer link (or ISL). Each MAC sublayers. It is possibl defines a path start-up proto links, where supported, to d | ISL is one of several po e only a subset of the IS pool which uses the outc etermine when the path | ssible physical SLs supports IL come of ILT on between a pai | links between a pair of T. Annex 178B also each of the physical of PCSs or between a | protoco first, an subclau | ol depends nd to have a uses. Furtl | on the per-ILS all the various her, 178B.5.1 | S training protocol, s pieces of that in on seems to be about | o it would be be e subclause rati the individual IS | mal. The path start-up etter to introduce that her than spread across 8 L training rather than the individual ISL training |
| pair of extender suppliers is However, the combination o | | | | Suggestedl | Remedy | | | | |
| This is confusing! SuggestedRemedy Within Annex 178B, clearly and path-start-up protocol) a combination of these two. IL and with PSP the process the specify and references these A contribution will be provide Proposed Response References PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P Pending review of the follow <url>/brown_3dj_04_2507 Resolve along with commer</url> | as being separate from 6 T would refer to the pro- nat links the states of all e two functions separate e to explore this further. esponse Status W RINCIPLE. ring presentation and CF | each other, rati cess with oper ISL on a path. ely. | ner than ILT being a ates on a specific ISL | bracket 178B.5 178B.5 178B.5 178B.5 178B.5 178B.5 178B.5 178B.5 178B.5 178B.5 178B.5 178B.5 | s and are ISL trainin .1 Interface .1.1 Trainin .2 Training .3 Control .4 Status fi .5 Training .6 Polarity .7 Equalizz .8 Training .9 Handsh | not intended t g [new head behavior [cu ng retimers [cu ng xMII Extens frame structure frame lock [cu detection and tion control [cu pattren settin ake timing [cu | urent 178B.5.1] urrent 178B.5.2] ders [current 178B.5] [current 178B.7] [current 178B.7] [current 178B.9] correction [current current 178B.11] g [current 178B.12] urrent 178B.13] | text of the docu 5,3] 178B.10] | |
| | | | | 178B.7 178B.8 | State diag Managem PICS [cur | rams [current ent variables rent 178B.16] | | | · |

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Rearrange the subclauses as suggested with editorial license.

C/ 178B SC 178B.5

| C/ 178B | SC 178B.5 | P 787 | L 39 | # 116 |
|-------------|------------|------------------|------|--------------------|
| Mascitto, M | arco | Nokia | | |
| Comment T | ype E | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT scope |
| Improve | e clarity. | | | |

SuggestedRemedy

Replace: "ILT enables independent ISL training in a multi-ISL path that includes AUI components and PMDs. It also supports operation over paths that include ISLs that do not implement ILT".

With

"ILT supports independent training of ISLs in a multi-ISL path. ILT also operates over paths that include ISLs that do not support ILT".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The referenced text should be improved. Comment #220 proposes to improvement the description and termilogy for the ILT functionality.

Resolve this comment based on the resolution to comment #220.

| C/ 178B | SC 178B.5 | P 787 | L 43 | # 226 |
|------------|-----------|------------------|------|--------------------------|
| Huber, Tho | mas | Nokia | | |
| Comment T | ype T | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT description |

The bullet list that attempts to explain how path start-up works is not succeeding. It is not clear if "ready to send" is related to the local_rts and remote_rts indications or if it is something different. It seems like it must be something different, since the third bullet says you can only send local_rts or remote_rts across an ISL that is ready to send. The last two bullets seem to introduce a notion of "device" that is undefined. The concept of an ISL includes a physical instantiation of an AUI or a medium, so the intended meaning of 'device' is reasonably clear (i.e., the endpoint of an ISL), but it would be better to avoid using 'devices' in the description and focus on ISLs and their endpoints.

SuggestedRemedy

The intended behavior is not really clear, so it's hard to provide a specific remedy. It think the intention is that local_rts originates at the A end PCS and traverses all sublayers and ISLs until it reaches the Z end PCS. Upon receiving local_rts, the Z end PCS signals remote_rts to the A end PCS. (and of course vice versa for Z-->A). So local_rts makes its way down the stack in one system, across the medium, and up the stack in the peer system. In order for local_rts (or remote_rts) to go across an ISL, that ISL must be in a 'ready to send' condition that has nothing to do with the 'local_rts' or 'remote_rts' variables, but instead depends on ILT (for ISLs that support ILT) or some other mechanism (for those that don't support ILT) to determine if the ISL is 'ready to send'. If that is correct, write text accordingly to explain this, and modify the terminology or provide better definitions so that it's clear that "ISL ready to send" is not the same thing as local_rts or remote_rts. If the intended behavior is something else, rewrite the text to be more clear about what is intended.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: "local_rts indicates that an AUI component or PMD is ready to send and receive normal data and propagates from the PCS at one end of the path towards the PCS at the other end of the path."

To: "local_rts indicates that an AUI component or PMD is ready to send and receive normal data (it reached the ISL_READY state in Figure 178B-8) and propagates from the PCS at one end of the path towards the PCS at the other end of the path."

Change: "When a device both sends local_rts and receives remote_rts in both directions" To: "When an AUI component or PMD both sends local_rts and receives remote_rts in both directions"

Change: "When all devices are in data mode, communication on the path is established." To: "When all AUI components or PMDs are in data mode, communication on the path is established."

Replace "device" throughout the Annex with "AUI component or PMD" Implement with editorial license.

C/ 178B SC 178B.5 Page 103 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 178B SC 178B.5 P788 L3 # 465 | C/ 178B SC 178B.5.1 P788 L13 # 117 | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Slavick, Jeff Broadcom | Mascitto, Marco Nokia | | | | |
| Comment Type TR Comment Status D (Common) (bucket) ILT The otherwise is not necessary as the heading says you use one or the other. Image: Common (bucket) is not necessary as the heading says you use one or the other. Image: Common (bucket) is not necessary as the heading says you use one or the other. | Comment Type E Comment Status D (Common) (bucket) IL Improve clarity. | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | SuggestedRemedy | | | | |
| Remove the "otherwise". | Replace "Local variables are sent to the peer interface via the training frames. Remote | | | | |
| Proposed Response Response Status W | variables are received from the peer interface" | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT. | with | | | | |
| C/ 178B SC 178B.5.1 P788 L9 # 227 | "Peer interfaces send local variables and receive remote variables via the training frames". | | | | |
| Huber, Thomas Nokia | Proposed Response Response Status W | | | | |
| Comment Type E Comment Status D mon) ILT description (bucket) "Interface" is vague. I think this clause is about lanes in an ISL. | PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "Local variables are sent to the peer interface via the training frames. Remote variables are received from the peer interface." | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy Replace "interface" with something more specific and clear. "ISL endpoint" and "ISL lane" could be used as appropriate throughout the clause. | To: "Local variables are sent to the peer interface and remote variables are received from the peer interface via the training frames." Implement with editorial license. | | | | |
| Proposed Response Response Status W | C/ 178B SC 178B.5.1 P788 L15 # 228 | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. | Huber, Thomas Nokia | | | | |
| Interface is never concisely defined in Annex 178B. A defining statement near the | Comment Type T Comment Status D (Common) ILT description | | | | |
| beginning would be helpful. Add the following definition to "178B.3 Conventions" "Interface Unless qualified otherwise, a physically instatiated interface, either a PMD or AUI | This clause appears to be about the process for training each lane of an ISL, so it's not clear why local_rts or remote_rts belong here (since they are about the end-to-end path - although the state diagrams clause suggests that each ISL maybe has its own local_rts and remote_rts - but that would mean that local_rts and remote_rts are not signals that propagate from PCS to PCS). While the intended meaning of 'device' is clear, it would be better to describe the protocol in terms of ISLs and the endpoints of ISLs. | | | | |
| component." | SuggestedRemedy | | | | |
| Implement with editorial license. | Clarify what condition it is that causes the propagation_timer to be started presumably it's not related to local_rts and remote_rts (or if it is, the definitions of local_rts and remote_rts need to be modified to make it clear that they apply to each lane of each ISL, not just to PCS-to-PCS communication). | | | | |
| | Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. | | | | |
| | Condition to start the propagation_timer is well defined in the referenced Figure 178B–8 "Training control state diagram". | | | | |
| | Note that in 178B.14.1 it states "Should there be a discrepancy between a state diagram and descriptive text, the state diagram prevails." | | | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 178B SC 178B.5.1 Page 104 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 178B SC 178B.5.1 | P 788 | L16 | # 118 | C/ 178B | SC 178B.5.1 | P 788 | L 30 | # 291 |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| Mascitto, Marco | Nokia | | | Brown, Ma | tt | Alphawave | Semi | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT enable |
| (ISLs) and not the beha | Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. | om PCS to PC | | througl implem where type)", Howev begin o the Cla | 183 there is ra ent, but with the training is not av the latter portior er, it may be hel f Annex 178B s | the confusion around wheth ther definitive text specificates ability to enable and disal vallable with clarification "(or meaning that there is not pful to circumvent any con- tating that the requirement e specifies the interface are s mandatory. | ation that indeed ble. Text in 1788 disabled or not d normative text ir fusing and add for ILT for each | I ISL is mandatory to 3.5.1 allows for a case lefined for the interface in the clause or annex. some clear text at the interface is defined by |
| | | | | Suggested | - | | | |
| Cl 178B SC 178B.5.1 Shrikhande, Kapil | P 788 Marvell | L 21 | # 587 | | I implementatio | ence or similar to the first p n of the ILT function is spe | | |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | |
| | before this term is used. r. receiver ready, which is def | | | , | DSED ACCEPT | , | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | receiver ready, which is def | | | | | onse to comment #126. | | |
| | clarify that this variable is s | ame as receive | er ready defined in | C/ 178B Jones, Cha | SC 178B.5.2 | P 789 Cisco Syst | L 2 | # 54 |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | Comment | | Comment Status D | ems, mc. | (Common) (bucket) IL1 |
| | ner rx_ready or remote_rts to | | shon ao " | Use of | the word guarar | ntee, in two places. This wi mend this replaced with "h | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| To: waiting for either io | cal_rts or remote_rts (see 1 | 78B.14.2.1) to (| change | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| C/ 178B SC 178B.5.1 | P 788 | L 21 | # 466 | change | e "guarantees" to | "helps ensure" in two plac | ces on lines 2 ar | nd 3. |
| Slavick, Jeff | Broadcom | | | Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT timers | PROP | OSED ACCEPT | | | |
| makes for unpredicatab TRAIN_LOCAL is enter | me limit for rx_ready assert le link up behaviors. A time ed to entry to TRAIN_REMO litate predicatble device beh | e limit from the p TE will improve | point at which | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | |
| Presentation for a soluti | on to be provided. | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT I Pending review of the fo <url>/slavick_3dj_01_</url> | llowing presentation and CF | RG discussion. | | | | | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 178B SC 178B.5.2 Page 105 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 178B | SC 178B.5.3 | P 789 | L 24 | # 376 | C/ 178B | SC | 2 178B.5.3 | P 789 | L 47 | # 119 |
|---------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Ghiasi, Ali | | Ghiasi Qunati | um/Marvell | | Mascitto, M | larco | 1 | Nokia | | |
| Comment Typ | De TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT retimer | Comment T | Гуре | Е | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) IL |
| Figure ca | n improve for b | petter representation | | | | | | nes Path as the series of all | | |
| SuggestedRe | emedy | | | | | | | path" or "main path" may ca vas thinking about suggestir | | |
| | the folloiwng: | | | | | | | and scope. Not sure if that is | | |
| | | Fraining/mission modes) | naada | | Suggested | Reme | edy | | | |
| | | e decode to training frame e new block called "Training S | | then connect training | Replac | e "PC | CS to PCS p | path" and "main path" with " | oath". | |
| decode a | nd encode to it | t. | | Ũ | Proposed F | Respo | onse | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed Res | sponse | Response Status W | | | PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. | | | | | |
| retimer, n unreadab | ot a full functio | ence model meant specifica onal diagram. Adding too mu machine" would need to be Is as well as the training fran | ch detail to thi connected to t | s diagram will make it | the sar To: "Al within a | ne be JI cor a PH\ | ehavior as A mponents w | training signaling will continu .UI components within a PH rithin an xMII Extender have I license. | Y." | |
| C/ 178B | SC 178B.5.3 | P 789 | L 44 | # 421 | C/ 178B | 50 | 2 178B.6.2 | P 791 | L 7 | # 229 |
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco System | IS | | | | / 1/00.0.2 | - | | # 229 |
| Comment Typ | De TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT extender | Huber, Tho | | | Nokia | | |
| | | MII extenders does not add | | | Comment T | | E | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT types |
| there is a Ideally, th | PHY XS and F his communicat signal_ok, but | remote_rts between interfac PCS between them. tion should be the same as t the case of an extender is r | the one defined | d in 178B.14.2.1 using | course that na is relev is clear | the n me. F ant fo ly not | name of the Further, the or it" reads t t what is int | likely that any reader of this European PDH frame struc last sentence "Each interfac too much like a requirement ended here (the intent being the O format). | ture, so it migl ce using ILT sl that would sh | ht be better to avoid using hall identify which format ow up in a PICS, but that |

Since this behavior is specific to PHYs attached to extenders, it should be specified in this subclause, preferably with a diagram.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a NOTE in 178B.5.3 stating that, for the purpose of adjacent_signal_ok, the adjacent interface of a PMD in a PHY attached to an xMII extender is the service interface of the PHY XS; and the adjacent interface of the AUI component above the PHY XS is the service interface of the PMD.

Add a figure to illustrate the communication of adjacent_signal_ok between the PMD and the AUI (across the PCS and PHY XS, and possibly other sublayers).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

SuggestedRemedy

The formats E1 and O1 are really about electrical or optical 200G/lane signaling. Maybe it would be better to refer to them that way (i.e., replace "E1" with "electrical 200G/lane" and "O1" with "optical 200G/lane". With that change, the last sentence could be deleted. If the change is made, it should be applied throughout the annex, and potentially in other clauses in the document that may refer to the frame names..

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #634.

C/ 178B SC 178B.6.2

| C/ 178B | SC 178B.6.2 | P 791 | L 7 | # 450 |
|------------|-------------|------------------|------------|--------------------|
| He, Xiang | | Huawei | | |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT types |

The definition of E1 and O1 is unclear.

"Two formats are defined for the control and status fields, E1 and O1." So E1 and O1 are two "formats" for the control and status fields. (This is the origin of E1 and O1 in the document). After this point in 178B, they were used as "E1 interfaces" and "O1 interfaces" all over the places - like in 178B.7. There are also 5 references using "Type E1 interface" and "Type O1 interface" in PMD clauses, like in 183.5.12.

We should do a better definition for these terms in Clause 178B, and use clear references in other clauses.

SuggestedRemedy

First change: Clearly define two types of interfaces, "Type E1 interface" and "Type O1 interface", and stick to these terms all across 178B and the document.

Second change: Change the reference from "178B" to the subclause where they were defined, like "178B.6.2".

| Proposed Response | Response Status | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|-----|--|--|
| PROPOSED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | |
| Resolve using the resp | onse to comment #6 | 34. | | |

C/ 178B SC 178B.6.2 P791 L7 # 634

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **D**

(Common) ILT types

Subclause 178B.6.2 'Control and status fields' says that 'Two formats are defined for the control and status fields, E1 and O1.'. Everywhere else in the draft, however, it seems that E1 and O1 are defined as types of interfaces. For example, subclause 178B.7 'Control field structure' says, 'The structure of the control field for E1 interfaces shall be as shown in Table 178B–2 and for O1 interfaces as shown in Table 178B–3.'.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text 'Two formats are defined for the control and status fields, E1 and O1.' is changed to read 'The type E1 interface and a type O1 interface use different formats for the control and status fields (see 178B.7).'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: "Two formats are defined for the control and status fields, E1 and O1. Each interface using ILT shall identify which format is relevant for it."

To: "The type E1 interface and the type O1 interface use different formats for the control and status fields (see 178B.7)."

Throughout the Annex change "E1" to "Type E1 interface" and "O1" to "Type O1 interface". Implement with editorial license.

| C/ 178B | SC 178B.7 | P 795 | L 4 | # 230 |
|-------------|-----------|------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| Huber, Thom | ias | Nokia | | |
| Comment Ty | pe E | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT |

It would be better to combine tables 178B-2 and 178B-3 into a single table, with one column for the electrical interfaces and one for the optical interfaces. That would make it easier for the reader to see that the formats are the same, except that on optical links some of the fields are not used. The same applies to tables 178B-4 and 178B-5 in clause 178B.8

SuggestedRemedy

Change the table title to 'Control field structure for 200G/lane interfaces' Change the heading of the 3rd column to "Electrical interfaces". Add a fourth column titled "Optical interfaces, and populate it with the information that is in Table 178B-3. Delete Table 178B-3

Make corresponding changes in clause 178B.8 for tables 178B-4 and 178B-5.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The tables as written clearly show what is required for either the optical or electrical interface. There is potential that the function of some reserved bits may be assigned different functions and might be combined in different ways so a combined table would get messy. Currently only two types, E1 and O1, are defined, but others might be defined making the table more crowded and perhaps more diversive.

| C/ 178B | SC 178B.7 | P 796 | L 5 | # | 377 |
|-------------|-----------|--------------|------------|----|-----------------------------|
| Ghiasi, Ali | | Ghiasi Qunat | um/Marvell | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | (0 | $\cdot \cdot \cdot - \cdot$ |

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

(Common) ILT frames

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_05/ghiasi_3dj_01a_2405.pdf looked at number of options for OLT such as Presets, FFE adjustment, OMA control, chirp, inner-outer eye adjustments, but at the time the Task Force decdied to just enable the basic OLT with precoder control. A vendor selected Preset can provide set of Presets optimized for example shorter/longer reacehs, lower OMA more linear or higher OMA less linear, higher peaking or less peaking

SuggestedRemedy

The enhancement to OLT issomehting that Task Force should consider specially that MMF will require enabling Presets. Just like E1 O1 should have 6 Presets, with default Preset 1 only meeting TDECQ, Presets 2-6 may have +1 dB TDECQ penalty. Clasue 183 800GBASE-LR4 and possibly 800GBASE-FR4 are good candiate to have several presets to better mitigate dispersion penalties See ghiasi_3dj_01_2507

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The suggested remedy does not propose an actionable (within the draft) remedy. Pending review and CRG discussion of the following presentation: <URL>/ghiasi_3dj_01_2507>

| TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general | C/ 178B | Page 107 of 184 |
|---|-----------|---------------------|
| COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn | SC 178B.7 | 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM |

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

| C/ 178B | SC 178B.7.1 | P 796 | L 26 | # 485 | C/ 178B | SC 178B.7.6 | 6 P 797 | L1 | # 487 |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---------------|----------------------|
| Kimber, Ma | ark | Semtech | | | Kimber, Ma | ark | Semtech | | |
| | ally confusing as | Comment Status D this only applies to E1 cases uses. There is a comment in | | | Comment T | | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) IL |
| ignored uggested Change The init transmi To Only ap up to si | l on receipt. It wo Remedy e iial condition requ itter equalizer com oplies for E1 intef | uld be better to also state in uest bits are used to select o nfigurations (presets) specific faces. The initial condition re | this text that i ne of the up to ed in the AUI quest bits are | it refers only to E1. o six predefined annexes or PMD clauses. used to select one of the | coeffici bits. To Only ap the coe bits. | e efficient reques ent select oplies to E1 inte fficient specifie | t bits are used to change the erfaces. The coefficient reque d by the coefficient select | | |
| Proposed F PROPC | Response DSED ACCEPT I | Response Status W | | | | OSED ACCEPT | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license | | |
| C/ 178B | SC 178B.7.5 | P 796 | L 50 | # 486 | <i>Cl</i> 178B Bruckman, | SC 178B.8 Leon | Р 797 Nvidia | L 20 | # 111 |
| stating | <i>ype</i> TR ally confusing as | Semtech Comment Status D this only applies to E1 cases red on receipt. It would be be | | | Suggested | Γ bit is not used Remedy | Comment Status D I anyway in Annex 178B. tatus field in Tables 178B-4 a | and 178B-5 to | (Common) ILT frame |
| coeffici To | e efficient select bi ent request. | ts are used to identify the co faces. The coefficient select | | - | Implem Also, de | DSED ACCEPT | t definition in 178B.8.2. | | |
| | | efficient request | Dits are used | to identify the coefficient | C/ 178B | SC 178B.8.5 | 5 P 799 | L1 | # 120 |
| | DSED ACCEPT I | Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. emedy with editorial license. | | | Mascitto, N <i>Comment 1</i> Consist | Type E | Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D or boolean true and "0" for bo | olean false. | (Common) (bucket) IL |
| | | | | SuggestedRemedy Replace "[] and is not set to one" with "and is not set to 1". | | | | | |
| | | | | | Proposed F | Response | Response Status W | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 178B SC 178B.8.5 Page 108 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM
| | P 799 | L 44 | # 467 | C/ 178B SC 178B. | 11.4 P8 | 302 L 25 | # 325 |
|---|---|-----------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Slavick, Jeff | Broadcom | | | Brown, Matt | Alpha | awave Semi | |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | Comment Type T | Comment Status | ; D | Common) (bucket) possesive |
| The fact that polarity_inv sub-clause. | vert persists after training co | mpletes shoul | d be the last part of this | Use of possesive gi is unecessary here. | ammar is inconsistent v | with similar phrases u | used through this draft and |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | SuggestedRemedy | | | |
| Move the 2nd paragraph | h in 178B.10 to be after the N | NOTE. | | | | | age 808 line 17, 4 instances. |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | | ne 44, change "interface | | e" |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT. | | | | Proposed Response | Response Status | W | |
| C/ 178B SC 178B.10 | P 799 | L 50 | # 121 | PROPOSED ACCE | P1. | | |
| Mascitto, Marco | Nokia | | | C/ 178B SC 178B. | 13 P8 | 302 L 47 | # 122 |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT enable | Mascitto, Marco | Nokia | а | |
| 51 | ference to an ISL that can be | administrativ | , , | Comment Type E | Comment Status | ; D | (Common) (bucket) ILT |
| | Id not be allowed. See my co | | | Consistently use "1 | for boolean true and "C |)" for boolean false. | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | SuggestedRemedy | | | |
| Do not allow manageme | ent control of ILT for ISLs req | juired to suppo | ort it. | | nitted training frames is | set to one" with "tra | nsmitted training frames is |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | set to 1". | _ | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT I | - | | | Proposed Response | Response Status | W | |
| Resolve using the respo | onse to comment #126. | | | PROPOSED ACCE | PT. | | |
| | | L 47 | # 461 | | | | |
| C/ 178B SC 178B.11.2 | 2 P 800 | | | | | | |
| C/ 178B SC 178B.11.2 Slavick, Jeff | 2 P800 Broadcom | | | | | | |
| Slavick, Jeff | | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | | | | |
| Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR | Broadcom | | | | | | |
| Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR No pointer to the CHEC | Broadcom Comment Status D | | | | | | |
| Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR No pointer to the CHEC SuggestedRemedy | Broadcom Comment Status D CK_REQ function is provided. | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | | | | |
| Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR No pointer to the CHEC SuggestedRemedy Add the following senter CHECK_REQ is defined | Broadcom Comment Status D CK_REQ function is provided. | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | | | | |
| Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR No pointer to the CHEC SuggestedRemedy Add the following senter CHECK_REQ is defined Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I | Broadcom Comment Status D CK_REQ function is provided. nce to the last paragraph of 1 d in 178B.14.3.1." Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. | 178B.11.2: "T | (Common) (bucket) ILT | | | | |
| Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR No pointer to the CHEC SuggestedRemedy Add the following senter CHECK_REQ is defined Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I Add the following senter | Broadcom Comment Status D CK_REQ function is provided. Ince to the last paragraph of 1 d in 178B.14.3.1." Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. nce to the last paragraph of 1 | 178B.11.2: "T | (Common) (bucket) ILT | | | | |
| Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR No pointer to the CHEC SuggestedRemedy Add the following senter CHECK_REQ is defined Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I | Broadcom Comment Status D CK_REQ function is provided. nce to the last paragraph of 1 d in 178B.14.3.1." Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. nce to the last paragraph of 1 d in 178B.14.3.2.". | 178B.11.2: "T | (Common) (bucket) ILT | | | | |

C/ 178B SC 178B.13

| C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2.1 P803 L46 # 123 | C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2.1 P804 L15 # 125 |
|--|---|
| Mascitto, Marco Nokia | Mascitto, Marco Nokia |
| Comment Type E Comment Status D (Common) ILT adjecency | Comment Type E Comment Status D (Common) (bucket) ILT |
| This is not very clear. I would suggest adding the definition of "adjacent service interface" in subclause 178B.3. | Could be clearer. |
| SuggestedRemedy I would suggest adding the definition of "adjacent service interface" to subclause 178B.3 and referencing a diagram, like the one on Slide 3 of "Making Sense out of ILT" (J. D'Ambrosia, M. Brown, 802.3dj Joint Ad hoc Mtg - 05 Jun 2025). | SuggestedRemedy Replace NOTE with the following text, "There is no specified time limit for ILT to complete. ILT should be restarted if there is an indication of an unrecoverable fault or a livelock situation. The definition of unrecoverable fault is beyond the scope of this annex". Proposed Response Response Status W |
| Adjacent service interface The service interface adjoining a PMD or AUI component to a PMA. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. | PROPOSED REJECT. Although the comment set the comment type to "E", the suggested remedy is a technical change. Although the intent of the comment was an editorial change to the text within the note for clarification, the suggested remedy changes the meaning and intent of the note. |
| The term "adjacent service interface" is not clearly defined. | C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2.1 P804 L15 # 55 |
| Editorial slides will be provided to address this. Resolve along with comment #448. Cl 178B SC 178B.14.2.1 P803 L47 # 448 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems Comment Type T Comment Status D (Common) ILT adjecency The second case in the NOTE says: "For ILT in an AUI component above a PMA, the adjacent service interface is the interface below the AUI component". That is the PMA's service interface. It may be easier to understand if it is stated. Also, a figure illustrating the two cases would be helpful. SuggestedRemedy Change "the adjacent service interface is the interface below the AUI component" to "the adjacent service interface is the PMA service interface (below the AUI component)". Add a figure, with editorial license. | Jones, Chad Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D (Common) (bucket) ILT Use of the work avoid. This will likely be flagged during MEC. Staff review would likely recommend to replace with "help reduce". SuggestedRemedy change "avoid" to "help reduce". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. |
| Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Clarification of the term "adjacent service interface" is not clearly defined. Editorial slides will be provided to address this. Resolve along with comment #123. | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2.1 Page 110 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2.1 P804 L18 # 126 | Cl 178B SC 178B.14.2.1 P804 L27 # 127 |
|--|---|
| Mascitto, Marco Nokia | Mascitto, Marco Nokia |
| Comment Type T Comment Status D (Common) ILT enable | Comment Type E Comment Status D (Common) (bucket) ILT |
| It is my understanding that ILT is mandatory for all ISLs that make use of one or more 200 Gb/s lanes. These links will come up (i.e., tx_mode = data) IFF ILT completes successfully. I cannot envision a use case where ILT would be administratively disabled by system management (but do see the need to mr_restart, of course). Having the ability to disable ILT on these ISLs opens the door to operator misconfiguration, confusion during deployments, and reduces the plug-n-play value of 802.3 interfaces. It gets even more complicated if we consider the case of the multi-ISL path. | Clarify "device". SuggestedRemedy Replace "Boolean variable that controls the resetting of the device" with "Boolean variable that controls the global resetting of the ILT per-interface state machines". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. |
| SuggestedRemedy | |
| Do not allow management control of ILT for ISLs required to support it. | C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2.1 P804 L32 # 459 |
| Proposed Response Response Status W | Slavick, Jeff Broadcom |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove mr_training_enable throughout the Annex. | Comment Type TR Comment Status D 'Common) ILT state diagrams Training status can not be both a AUI component variable and a per-lane training variable. Local_rts is an equivalent status to it and is mapped to a MDIO register bit. |
| Implement with editorial license. | SuggestedRemedy |
| C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2.1 P 804 L 18 # 231 Huber, Thomas Nokia | Move the definition of training_status to 178B14.3.1 Remove the enumeration of "READY" from its definition. Delete training_status <= READY from Figyre 178B-7 |
| Comment Type T Comment Status D (Common) ILT enable | Proposed Response Response Status W |
| It is not clear why the ability to enable/disable ILT (via the mr_training_enable variable) is provided. In what circumstance would it be necessary or desirable for ILT to be turned off for any interface that can support it? Providing this ability complicates the feature (there are multiple places where the value of a variable depends on whether mr_training_enable is true or false) and creates the possibility of misconfiguration between two systems, or between a host and a module, complicating the process of bringing up end-to-end paths. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Reconsider the ability to disable ILT via management configuration. <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #126. | PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. training_status is used by the PMDs and AUIs (see 178.4, 179.4, 180.3, 181.3, 182.3, 183.3, 176C.6 and 176D.4) so it shall be assigned a value by ILT. It is a per-interface variable that is assigned to all lanes of the interface. Define a new variable in 178B.14.3.1: lane_training_status. Defined as: Enumerated variable that indicates the status of the per-lane ILT function. This variable may be assigned one of the following values: IN_PROGRESS, OK, FAIL. Use this new variable in the per-lane state diagrams instead of training_status. Change the definition of the variable training_status to: Enumerated variable that indicates the status of the per-interface ILT function. This variable may be assigned one of the following values: IN_PROGRESS, READY, OK, FAIL. The value READY is assigned by the RTS update state diagram (Figure 178B-8) and other values are assigned according to the lane_training_status variable (see 178B.14.3.1): IN_PROGRESS - lane_training_status variable = IN_PROGRESS for any lane assigned to the interface OK - lane_training_status variable = OK for all lanes assigned to the interface FAIL - lane_training_status variable = FAIL for any lane assigned to the interface Implement with editorial license. |

C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2.1 Page 111 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 178B | SC 178B.14.2 | .4 P8 | 05 | L 1 | # 633 | C/ 178B |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------|----------------|--|------------------|
| Law, David | | HPE | | | | Mascitto, |
| Comment Ty | vpe E | Comment Status | D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | Comment |
| | | | | | to read 'State diagram | Repla |
| | nce there is oni state diagram'. | y one state diagram | figure in | this subclaus | se, Figure 178B–7 'RTS | Suggestee |
| SuggestedR | 0 | | | | | Repla |
| See con | | | | | | diagra lanes. |
| Proposed Re | esponse | Response Status | w | | | used" |
| • | SED ACCEPT. | | | | | machi physic |
| | | | | | | functio |
| C/ 178B | SC 178B.14.3 | - | | L 51 | # 128 | Proposed |
| Mascitto, Ma | | Nokia | - | | | PROF |
| Comment Ty | | Comment Status | D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | The te |
| 0 | "state machines | 5". | | | | C/ 178B |
| SuggestedR | , | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Law, Davi |
| | | frame lock, and the | | | [:] each of the Training s[]" with "An AUI | Comment |
| compon | ent or PMD imp | lements one instand | e of eacl | n of the Train | ing control and the | The va |
| 0 | | e machines, and the | | ated variable | s[]. | 178B. 178B- |
| Proposed Re | | Response Status | w | | | or Fig |
| | SED ACCEPT I | | na contro | l and the Tra | aining frame lock, and | Suggested |
| their ass | ociated variable | es" | 0 | | C | Remo |
| | | ch of the Training co ociated variables" | ntrol and | the Training | frame lock state | 178B. |
| | | | | | | Proposed |
| C/ 178B | SC 178B.14.3 | P8 | 06 | L1 | # 499 | PROP remote |
| Dudek, Mike | | Marve | | | | MDIO |
| Comment Ty | , | Comment Status | | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | Chang definit |
| | | | | | It would read better if the different behaviour. | Impler |
| SuggestedR | emedy | | | | | |
| Move the | e first paragraph | n to after the 3rd par | agraph. | | | |
| Proposed Re | esponse | Response Status | w | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| C/ 178B S | C 178B.14.3 | P 806 | L1 | # 129 |
|----------------|-------------|------------------|----|-----------------------|
| Mascitto, Marc | 0 | Nokia | | |
| Comment Type | e E | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT |

ace instances of "state diagram" with "state machine".

edRemedy

ace "E1 interfaces also implement one instance of the Coefficient update state am and its associated variables and functions independently for each of the n physical s. For O1 interfaces, this diagram and its associated variables and functions are not with "E1 interfaces also implement one instance of the Coefficient update state hine and its associated variables and functions independently for each of the n ical lanes. For O1 interfaces, this state machine and its associated variables and tions are not used".

d Response Response Status W

POSED REJECT.

term used in the IEEE 802.3 standards is "state diagram".

| C/ 178B | SC 178B.14.3 | .1 P807 | L 36 | # 632 |
|------------|--------------|------------------|------|-------------------------|
| Law, David | | HPE | | |
| Comment Ty | pe T | Comment Status D | 'Com | mon) ILT state diagrams |

variables remote_mc_mode and remote_tp_mode are defined in subclause 3.14.3.1 'Variables' but are not used in any of the respective state diagrams, Figure 3-8 'Training control state diagram', Figure 178B-9 'Training frame lock state diagram', gure 178B-10 'Coefficient update state diagram'.

edRemedy

ove the definitions of remote mc mode and remote tp mode from subclause 3.14.3.1 'Variables'.

d Response Response Status W

POSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

ote mc mode is mentioned in 178B.14.3 and in Table 178B-7—Status variables and O mapping. It needs to be defined.

nge titles: 178B.14 to "state diagrams and variables", 178B.14.2 to "Per-interface itions", 178,14,3 to "Per-lane definitions", ement with editorial license.

| C/ 178B SC 178B.14. | 3.1 <i>P</i> 807 | L 44 | # 500 | C/ 178B | SC | 178B.14.3 | .1 <i>I</i> | ^{>} 808 | L 25 | # 415 |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Dudek, Mike | Marvell | | | Ran, Adee | | | Cis | sco Systems | 6 | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | Comment T | Гуре | TR | Comment Stat | us D | (0 | Common) ILT local_patterr |
| "Correspondent" is stra multiple places e.g. 73 | | better, as used | l in the base document in | | | | k that includes m ire 178B–8 is requ | | | lity of ILT as specified by |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | In PMD | e that | don't have | a training protoc | ol and in PM | Ins that have | a it but training is |
| Change "corresponder | nt" to "corresponding" here a | and on line 48. | | | | | | | | communicating the RTS |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | to the p | eer. | | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT | | | | | | | | | attern is incor | nplete - it only says |
| CI 178B SC 178B.14. | 3.1 <i>P</i> 808 | L 2 | # 631 | "transm | nits a p | pattern from | n a valid pattern g | jenerator". | | |
| Law, David | HPE | | | | | | | | | d AUI annex. This |
| Comment Type E Typo. | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | | | | | | | Its are sumbitted for the as a requirement at all): |
| | | | | - For Al | UIs, th | ne local pat | tern is PRBS31Q | , which may | be generated | d by the PMA to which |
| SuggestedRemedy Change ' variable tha | at is set to TRUE when' to | read ' variab | le that is set to true when | - For Pl | MDs ir | n clauses 1 | | elow an SM | I-PMA with no | o inner FEC), the local d fed into the PMD |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT | Response Status W | | | service - For Pl respect generat - For th | interfa MDs ir ively), ted by e PMI | ace. n clauses 1 , the local p , the Inner I | 83 and 185 (belo battern is PRBS31 FEC and fed into | w a clause 1 I encoded by the PMD set | 177 or clause y the Inner FE rvice interface | 184 Inner FEC, EC, which may be |
| | | | | Suggested | Remed | dy | | | | |
| | | | | | | | n of tx_mode (178 d in each clause o | | | |
| | | | | Add the "NOTE uses th | DSED follov - The e ILT | ACCEPT I wing text to | _ | x_mode: | ed by the AUI | component or PMD that |

C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.1

| C/ 178B | SC 178B.14.3 | 3 P 809 | L14 | # 420 | C/ 178B | SC 178B.1 | 4.3.5 | P810 | L 2 | # 627 |
|---|---|---|--------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco Syste | ems | | Law, David | | | HPE | | |
| Comment Ty | pe T | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT timers | Comment T | Гуре Т | Comme | nt Status D | 'Co | mmon) ILT state diagram |
| potential | benefit in havin nent when the a | ww.ieee802.org/3/dj/public g a timer to the ILT trainir adaptation exceeds the ex | ng control state o | _02a_2505.pdf, there is a diagram, to inform | diagrar 'Coeffic | n', Figure 178 | B–9 'Training tate diagram', | are used in Figu frame lock state but are not defir | diagram', and F | |
| 00 | | to clause 175 per slide 11 | of ran 3di 02a | 2505, with editorial | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| license. | 5 | | | , | Add the | e following two | o entries in alp | habetical order | o subclause 17 | 8B.14.3.1: |
| Proposed Re | sponse | Response Status W | | | mr res | tart | | | | |
| Pending | | N PRINCIPLE. Ilowing presentation and (| CRG discussion | | See | 178B.14.2.1. | | | | |
| <url of<="" td=""><td>presentation></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Reset</td><td>178B.14.2.1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></url> | presentation> | | | | Reset | 178B.14.2.1. | | | | |
| C/ 178B | SC 178B.14.3 | 4 P809 | L 4 | # 460 | Proposed F | - | Deenene | a Statua M | | |
| Slavick, Jeff | | Broadcom | | | • | | Respons T IN PRINCI | e Status W | | |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT timers | - | | sponse to con | | | |
| Proposed Re PROPOS Pending | tion of options t sponse SED ACCEPT I | Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. llowing presentation and (| CRG discussion | | | | | | | |
| C/ 178B | SC 178B.14.3 | 5 P809 | L 26 | # 130 | | | | | | |
| Mascitto, Ma | rco | Nokia | | | | | | | | |
| Comment Ty | pe E | Comment Status D | ĆCα | ommon) ILT state diagrams | | | | | | |
| | | herit the variables, function I be a statement to that ef | | previously defined in | | | | | | |
| SuggestedRe | emedy | | | | | | | | | |
| defines th PMDs, ar | he operation of nd makes use o | ce with, "The training cont ILT for AUI components a of the per-interface state d itions (178B.14.3)". | and | (b | | | | | | |
| Proposed Re | sponse | Response Status W | | | | | | | | |
| PROPOS | SED ACCEPT I | N PRINCIPLE. medy with editorial licens | | | | | | | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.5 Page 114 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 178B | SC 178B.14. | 3.5 | P 810 | L 7 | # 626 | C/ 178B | SC 178B. | 14.3.5 | P810 | L 10 | # 628 |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------|--|---|---|----------------|----------------------------|---|--|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Law, David | | | HPE | | | Law, David | I | | HPE | | |
| Comment Typ | e TR | Comme | nt Status D | 'Con | nmon) ILT state diagrams | Comment | Туре Т | Comm | ent Status D | 'Com | mon) ILT state diagrams |
| 178B.14.3 | | gram figures | d by the 'Training's' but is not define | | agram' in subclause ated subclause | 'Trainir | | te diagram' b | e, local_rts and rem ut are not defined in | | |
| In addition | n it annears t | hat the trair | ning status is a r | per-interface vari | able based on the | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| definition | found in 178 | 3.14.2.1 'Va | riables', yet it ap | pears to be drive | en by both the per- | Add the | e following er | itry in alphab | etical order to subc | lause 178B.14.3 | .1: |
| | | | m' (Figure 178B- n not sure how th | | ane 'Training control e. | local_r See | ts 178B.14.2.1 | | | | |
| FAIL state control sta | e, it would set ate diagram c | training_stant | atus for the interf ane in the same i | face to FAIL. If, h | an interface enters the nowever, the Training the PATH_UP state be set to OK. This | | ning_enable 178B.14.2.1 | | | | |
| | eem to be cor | | | | | remote | _ | | | | |
| SuggestedRe | medy | | | | | | 178B.14.2.1 | | 0/11/11 | | |
| Provide a definition for the training_status variable used in Figure 178B–8 'Training control state diagram' in its associated subclause 178B.14.3.1 'Variables'. In addition, clarify the operation of training_status regarding it being driven by both the per-interface 'RTS update state diagram' (Figure 178B–7) and the per-lane 'Training control state diagram'. | | | | In addition, clarify the r-interface 'RTS update | - | , DSED ACCE | , PT IN PRINC | nse Status W CIPLE. comment #130. | | | |
| Proposed Res | | , | se Status W | | | C/ 178B | SC 178B. | 14.3.5 | P 810 | L 13 | # 269 |
| ' | ED ACCEPT | ' | | | | Wang, Xue | ebo | | Huawei | | |
| | ising the resp | - | | | | Comment | Туре Т | Comm | ent Status D | | (Common) ILT timers |
| | | | | | | remote | _tf_lock is fa | se for a long | time, the whole state state state state state state state aximum time duration | ate diagram will b | be trapped in the state |
| | | | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| | | | | | | A cont | ibution to ad | dress this will | l be provided. | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | _ | _ | | |

Response Status W Proposed Response

PROPOSED REJECT.

Pending review of the following presentation and CRG discussion. <URL of presentation>

C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.5

| C/ 178B | SC | 178B.14.3. | 5 P81 | 0 L4 | 5 | # 629 |
|--------------|---------|-------------|---|------------------|-----------|--|
| Law, David | I | | HPE | | | |
| Comment 7 | Гуре | Е | Comment Status | D | (0 | Common) (bucket) ILT |
| diagrar | ns foll | ows the con | ate diagram conven iventions of 21.5.'. T acter as 'Not equals' | able 21–1 'State | | ion used in the state operators' defines |
| Suggested | Reme | dy | | | | |
| Chang 0'. | e the t | ext 'max_re | covery_events !=0' t | o read 'max_rec | overy_eve | ents [not equal sign] |
| Proposed I | Respo | nse | Response Status | w | | |
| PROP | OSED | ACCEPT. | | | | |
| C/ 178B | SC | 178B.14.3. | 5 <i>P</i> 81 | 0 L46 | 5 | # 630 |
| Law, David | I | | HPE | | | |
| Comment 7 | Гуре | Е | Comment Status | D | (0 | Common) (bucket) ILT |
| diagrar | ns foll | ows the con | ate diagram conven ventions of 21.5.'. T an or equal sign] ch | able 21–1 'State | diagram | |
| Suggested | Reme | dy | | | | |
| | | | y_event_count >= m greater than or equal | _ ,_ | | |
| Dronoogel | | | | | - | |

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

| C/ 178B | SC 178B.15 | P 813 | L1 | # 422 |
|------------|------------|------------------|----|-------------|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco Systems | 5 | |
| Comment Ty | pe T | Comment Status D | | (withdrawn) |

"If the MDIO Interface is not implemented, an alternate mechanism to access management variables shall be provided"

Specifically for AUI-C2M, the most prevalent management interface is expected to be CMIS rather than MDIO. We expect CMIS to provide access to these management variables. CMIS should be referenced, at least informatively.

SuggestedRemedy

Append the following sentence: "For example, for modules using AUI-C2M, the Content Management Interoperability Services (CMIS) interface may be used as an alternate mechanism". Add a footnote with a reference to the CMIS specification (undated, since the current version does not address ILT yet).

| | Proposed Response | Response Status | Ζ |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|---|
|--|-------------------|-----------------|---|

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

| C/ 178B | SC | 178B.15 | P8 | 13 | L 50 | # 635 |
|------------------|-------|---------|---|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Law, David | ł | | HPE | | | |
| Comment | Туре | Е | Comment Status | D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT |
| Sugge: two se | | | it reference is provide | ed for lane 0 | , bits for | lanes 1 to 3' is split into |
| Suggested | Remed | ły | | | | |
| • | | | s provided for lane 0, for lanes 1 to 3' | bits for lane | s 1 to 3 | ' to read 'Bit reference is |

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

| C/ 178B SC 178B.10 | 6.1 P815 | L 7 | # 131 | C/ 179 S | C 179.1 | P 383 | L 22 | # 717 |
|--|--|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|---|-----------------|---------------------|
| Mascitto, Marco | Nokia | | | Dawe, Piers | | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | Comment Type | Е | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucke |
| Include complete title | of annex. Forgot "optical". | | | The electric | cal specifica | tions are separate for each ho | ost class - awk | ward |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | SuggestedRem | edy | | | |
| | e with, "The supplier of a proto | col implemer | tation that is claimed to | There are e | electrical sp | ecifications for each host class | 6 | |
| 0 | BB, Inter-sublayer link and optical interfaces, shall co irmance statement (PICS) prot | | lowing protocol | | D ACCEPT | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | | | change does not improve the nore accurate to state that the | | |
| | I remedy and also change the | | | separate. Change "se | | | specifications | |
| | rmance statement (PICS) prot cal and optical interfaces" | Ionna Ior Ann | ex 1766, Inter-Sublayer | C/ 179 S | C 179.1 | P 384 | L 35 | # 718 |
| C/ 178B SC 178B.1 | 5.2.2 <i>P</i> 815 | L36 | # 132 | Dawe, Piers | | Nvidia | | |
| Mascitto, Marco | Nokia | | | Comment Type | ER | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucke |
| Comment Type E | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | Tables 1 ar | nd 2, and 3 | and 4, can be combined | | |
| 21 | of annex. Forgot "optical". | | (| SuggestedRem | edy | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Combine th | em into two | o, as Table 167-2, here and in | other clauses | |
| Replace with "IEEE S and optical interfaces | td 802.3dj-202x, Annex 178B, ". | Inter-sublaye | r link training for electrical | Proposed Resp PROPOSE | D REJECT | | | |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP | Response Status W T. | | | preventing | combinatio | s are significantly different beton of the tables as suggested. ent with other PMD clauses in | | |
| C/ 178B SC 178B.10 | 6.3 P816 | L18 | # 133 | ciauses. | | | | |
| Mascitto, Marco | Nokia | | | | | | | |
| Comment Type E Syntax error. | Comment Status D | | (Common) (bucket) ILT | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | |
| Replace "O<1>" with IL16. | "O.1" per C21. Apply change t | o IL7 through | IL10, and IL12 through | | | | | |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP | Response Status W | | | | | | | |

C/ 179 SC 179.1

| Refer to figure 174A-5. 1) BERaded is the BER contribution outside of the measured subjayer link. 2) Measured subjayer link is PCS-to-PCS including PMD and FEC. Both TX-FEC and RX-FC must PM based block error measurement. The include of the PH-based measurement, To use FEC decoder, the incoming signal must be encoded (compared with the incoming signal does not need to be encoded to use PMA-based block error rates werent). The instadut, its hows AMI extender outside this subjayer link (Its BER budget is not the cable assembly input. The input of the cable assembly i | C/ 179 | SC 179.2 | P 387 | L 46 | # 639 | C/ 179 | SC 179.8.1 | P 390 | L 26 | # 646 |
|--|------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Refer to figure 174A-5. 1) BERadded is the BER contribution outside of the measured subjayer link. 2) Aesured subjayer link is PCS-to-PCS including PMD and FEC. Both TX-FEC and RX-FEC must be included in the PHY-based measurement. To use FEC decader, the incoming signal does not need to be encoded to use PMA-based block arror measurement. The index it is accounted in the incoming signal does not need to be encoded to use PMA-based block arror ratio measurement. 4) with Table 174A-2, table 174A-3, t | i, Mike | | Altera (An Inte | compnany) | | Swenson, | Norman | Nokia, Point2 | | |
| 1.) BERadide is the BER contribution outside of the measured subjayer link. 2) Measured by ECS-1o-PCS IncUding PMD and FEC. Both X-FEC and RX-FEC must be included in the PHY-based measurement. 3) May the measured in the incut to the cable assembly test fixture that feeds the cable to use PMA-based block error measurement). 3) May the measured link have AMII extender outside this subjayer link (is BER budget is not Be6 according to CL-174A-4). 4) with Table the As-3 Nall extender outside this subjayer link (is BER budget is b.) Considering all of these, the BERsdded value for CL-179.2 should not be simple 8e-6. Instead, it should be 64-61 Number_of_C2C_SubLayerLink outside of the measured subjayer link between the two ends MACs. Proposed Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. A PHY receiver needs to lineroperate with a link partner that may or may not include an AUI-C2C. The Extender value from the PHY that is independent of the link partner in a specific link. P33 A PHY receiver needs to lineroperate with a link partner that may or may not include an AUI-C2C. The specied block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The specied block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The specied block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The specied block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The specied block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The specied block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The specied block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The specied block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The specied block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The s | Comment 7 | Гуре Т | Comment Status D | Electi | rical) (bucket) BERadded | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) |
| FEC must be included in the PHY-based measurement. To use FEC decoder, the incoming signal does not need to be encoded to use PMA-based block error measurement). Change the description of TP1 to "The input of the cable assembly test fit the cable assembly input." 3) May the measured link have XMII extender outside this sublayer link (its BER budget is not part of CER < 1.456-11 spec. | 1.) BEF | Radded is the B | ER contribution outside of the | | | | | | | |
| signal must be encoded (compared with the incoming signal does not need to be encoded to use PMA-based block error measurement). 3) May the measured link have xMII extender outside this sublayer link (its BER budget is not 8e-6 according to CL-174.4.4). 4) with Table 174A-2, table 174A-3, xMII extender (if used) is not part of CER < 1.45e-11 spec. 5) Considering all of these, the BERsdded value for CL-179.2 should not be simple 8e-6. Instead, it should be 8e-6' Number_of_C2C_SubLayerLink outside of the measured sublayer link between the two ends MACs. <i>NuggestedRemedy</i> change the ERsdded value for 8e-6 to 8e-6 ' Number_of_C2C_SubLayerLink outside of the measured sublayer link between the two ends MACs. <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> W <i>PROPOSED REJECT</i> . A PHY receiver needs to interoperate with a link partner that may or may not include an AU-C2C. The link partner, This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner in a specific link. <i>ProPOSED REJECT</i> . A PHY receiver needs to interoperate with a link partner that may or may not include an AU-C2C. The link partner, This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner in a specific link. <i>PrOPOSED REJECT</i> . A PHY receiver needs to interoperate with a link partner that may or may not include an AU-C2C. The link partner, This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner in a specific link. <i>PrOPOSED REJECT</i> . The description of TP2 to 'The output of the TP2 or TP3 test fixture that is fed by thost output. <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> W PROPOSED REJECT. The description of TP2 to 'The lost output (corresponding to MDI signals SL-n:) on a TP2 or TP3 test fixture is an ambiguity. The proposed Response <i>Response Status</i> W PROPOSED REJECT IN PRINCIPLE. The phrasing used here is consistent with several previous clauses. However, the word 'pervasive' does not seem to be neccessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from 't | | | | | | Suggestee | dRemedy | | | |
| not Beis according to CL-174A.4), With Table 214A-2, table 174A-3, skill extender (if used) is not part of CER < 1.45e-11 | signal r | nust be encode | d (compared with the incoming | | | | | | able assembly | test fixture that feeds |
| 4) with Table 174A-2, table 174A-3, xMII extender (if used) is not part of CER < 1.45e-11 | | | | e this sublayer | link (its BER budget is | Proposed | Response | Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉 | | |
| a) Considering and index, the DERstudie value for CP 19.2 should not be simple dec. Instead, it should be 86-5 Number of C2C_SubLayerLink outside of the measured sublayer link between the two ends MACs. <i>aggestedRemedy</i> change the BERsdded value from 8e-6 to 8e-6 * Number of C2C_SubLayerLink outside of the measured sublayer link between the two ends MACs. <i>oposed Response</i> Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. A PHY receiver needs to interoperate with a link partner that may or may not include an AUI-C2C. The texpected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C in the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the first or 179.2 to 719. test fixture that is feld by thost output. <i>179</i> SC 179.5 P 388 L41 # @45 <i>omment Type</i> R Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The term "pervasive management does not have a plain and ordinary meaning, nor is it defined anywhere in the document. <i>aggestedRemedy</i> Either drop the word "pervasive" or provide a definition of "pervasive management". <i>oposed Response</i> Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The phrasing used here is consistent with several previous clauses. However, the word "pervasive" does not seem to be necessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or use a dedicated electrical signal". to "the implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". | 4.) with | | | used) is not pa | art of CER < 1.45e-11 | The d | escription of TP1 | 1 is "The cable assembly input | t (correspondin | g to MDI signals SLi |
| SuggestedRemedy Cl 179 SC 179.8.1 P300 L28 Varpage the BERsdded value from 8e-6 to 8e-6 * Number of C2C_SubLayerLink outside of the measured sublayer link between the two ends MACs. Nokia, Point2 Varpage Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. A PHY receiver needs to interoperate with a link partner that may or may not include an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AULC2C. The expected block error ratio accounterror the PHY that is fed5 Sugge | Instead | l, it should be 80 | e-6 * Number_of_C2C_SubLay | | | The te | est fixture is alrea | ady addressed and there is no | | ty or accuracy of the text. |
| the measured sublayer link between the two ends MACs. <i>Troposed Response</i> Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. A PHY receiver needs to interoperate with a link partner that may or may not include an AU-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AU- C2C in the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner. This is a general expectation for the PHY that is independent of the link partner. The prove ER Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The phrasing used here is consistent with several previous clauses. However, the word "pervasive" does not seem to be necessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or employ a dedicated electrical signal" to "the implementer may employ system management o | | | | | | C/ 179 | SC 179.8.1 | P 390 | L 28 | # 647 |
| the measured sublayer link between the two ends MACs. poposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. A PHY receiver needs to interoperate with a link partner that may or may not include an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI- C2C in the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner in a specific link. 179 SC 179.5 P 388 L 41 # <u>645</u> wenson, Norman Nokia, Point2 mment Type ER Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The term "pervasive" anagement" does not have a plain and ordinary meaning, nor is it defined anywhere in the document. uggestedRemedy Either drop the word "pervasive" or provide a definition of "pervasive management". coposed Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The phrasing used here is consistent with several previous clauses. However, the word "pervasive" does not seem to be necessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or employ a dedicated electrical signal" to "the implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". | | | | | SubLayerLink outside of | Swenson, | Norman | Nokia, Point2 | | |
| roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. APHY receiver needs to interoperate with a link partner that may or may not include an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The error possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The error possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C. The error possible additional errors in an AUI-c2C | | | r link between the two ends MA | NCs. | | Comment | Type TR | | | (Electrical) (bucket) |
| PROPOSED REJECT. A PHY receiver needs to interoperate with a link partner that may or may not include an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The fill accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The fill accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The expected block error attract and the possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The fill accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The fill accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The fill accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI-C2C. The field excitation of "pervasive" management. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) SuggestedRemedy Either drop the word "pervasive" or provide a definition of "pervasive management". Proposed Respo | roposed F | Response | Response Status W | | | | 51 | e host output. I believe it is n | ot the host out | , , , , , |
| AUI-C2C. The expected block error ratio accounts for possible additional errors in an AUI- C2C in the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner in a specific link. C1 179 SC 179.5 P388 L41 # 645 Swenson, Norman Nokia, Point2 Comment Type ER Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The term "pervasive management" does not have a plain and ordinary meaning, nor is it defined anywhere in the document. SuggestedRemedy Either drop the word "pervasive" or provide a definition of "pervasive management". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The phrasing used here is consistent with several previous clauses. However, the word "pervasive" does not seem to be necessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or use a dedicated electrical signal" to "the implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". | | | | | | | | | | |
| C2C in the link partner. This is a general expectation from the PHY that is independent of the link partner in a specific link. C1 179 SC 179.5 P388 L41 # 645 Swenson, Norman Nokia, Point2 Comment Type ER Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The term "pervasive management" does not have a plain and ordinary meaning, nor is it defined anywhere in the document. SuggestedRemedy Either drop the word "pervasive" or provide a definition of "pervasive management". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The phrasing used here is consistent with several previous clauses. However, the word "pervasive" does not seem to be necessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or employ a dedicated electrical signal" to "the implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". | | | | | | Suggestee | dRemedy | | | |
| Sci 17.3 P388 L41 # 645 Swenson, Norman Nokia, Point2 Comment Type ER Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The term "pervasive management" does not have a plain and ordinary meaning, nor is it defined anywhere in the document. (Electrical) (bucket) SuggestedRemedy Either drop the word "pervasive" or provide a definition of "pervasive management". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The phrasing used here is consistent with several previous clauses. However, the word "pervasive" does not seem to be necessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or employ a dedicated electrical signal" to "the implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". eddicated electrical signal". | C2C in | the link partner. | . This is a general expectation | | | | | n of TP2 to "The output of the | TP2 or TP3 te | st fixture that is fed by |
| Swenson, Norman Nokia, Point2 Comment Type ER Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The term "pervasive management" does not have a plain and ordinary meaning, nor is it defined anywhere in the document. The description of TP2 is "The host output (corresponding to MDI signals SLi-n-) on a TP2 or TP3 test fixture". SuggestedRemedy Either drop the word "pervasive" or provide a definition of "pervasive management". The description of TP2 is "The host output (corresponding to MDI signals SLi-n-) on a TP2 or TP3 test fixture". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The phrasing used here is consistent with several previous clauses. However, the word "pervasive" does not seem to be necessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or employ a dedicated electrical signal" The implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". | C/ 179 | SC 179.5 | P388 | L 41 | # 645 | | • | • | | |
| Comment Type ER Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) The term "pervasive management" does not have a plain and ordinary meaning, nor is it defined anywhere in the document. SLi <n>) on a TP2 or TP3 test fixture". The test fixture is already addressed and there is no ambiguity. SuggestedRemedy Either drop the word "pervasive" or provide a definition of "pervasive management". The proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The phrasing used here is consistent with several previous clauses. However, the word "pervasive" does not seem to be necessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or employ a dedicated electrical signal" The implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal".</n> | wenson, I | Norman | Nokia, Point2 | | | - | | | onding to MDL | signale SLizes and |
| The term "pervasive management" does not have a plain and ordinary meaning, nor is it defined anywhere in the document. SuggestedRemedy Either drop the word "pervasive" or provide a definition of "pervasive management". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The phrasing used here is consistent with several previous clauses. However, the word "pervasive" does not seem to be necessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or employ a dedicated electrical signal" to "the implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". | comment 1 | Type ER | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | SLi <n< td=""><td>>) on a TP2 or T</td><td>P3 test fixture".</td><td>Ū</td><td>signals SLICP> and</td></n<> | >) on a TP2 or T | P3 test fixture". | Ū | signals SLICP> and |
| Either drop the word "pervasive" or provide a definition of "pervasive management". roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The phrasing used here is consistent with several previous clauses. However, the word "pervasive" does not seem to be necessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or employ a dedicated electrical signal" to "the implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". | | | | lain and ordina | ary meaning, nor is it | | | | | ty or accuracy of the text. |
| Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The phrasing used here is consistent with several previous clauses. However, the word "pervasive" does not seem to be necessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or employ a dedicated electrical signal" to "the implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". | Suggestedl | Remedy | | | | | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The phrasing used here is consistent with several previous clauses. However, the word "pervasive" does not seem to be necessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or employ a dedicated electrical signal" to "the implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". | Either o | drop the word "p | pervasive" or provide a definition | n of "pervasive | e management". | | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The phrasing used here is consistent with several previous clauses. However, the word "pervasive" does not seem to be necessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or employ a dedicated electrical signal" to "the implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". | Proposed F | Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | |
| However, the word "pervasive" does not seem to be necessary, and the sentence can be simplified. Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or employ a dedicated electrical signal" to "the implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". | | | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | | | | | |
| Change from "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or employ a dedicated electrical signal" to "the implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". | Howeve | er, the word "pe | | | | | | | | |
| "the implementer may employ use of pervasive management or employ a dedicated electrical signal" to "the implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". | | | | | | | | | | |
| "the implementer may employ system management or use a dedicated electrical signal". | "the im | plementer may | employ use of pervasive mana | gement or em | ploy a dedicated | | | | | |
| | | nlementor mov | employ system management | yr uso a dodioc | ted electrical signal" | | | | | |
| VPE: TP/technical required EP/editorial required GP/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general | ule im | plementer may | employ system management (| | iteu electrical signal . | | | | | |
| The initial required Enventional required Onvgeneral required Theorinical Electronial Ovgeneral OV 179 | YPE: TR/t | echnical require | ed ER/editorial required GR/g | eneral required | T/technical E/editorial G/ | general | | C/ 17 | 9 | Page 118 of 184 |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 179 SC 179.8.1

Page 118 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 179 | SC 179.8.1 | P 390 | L 30 | # 648 | C/ 179 | SC 179.8.1 | P 390 | L 37 | # 650 |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|-------------|-----------------------|
| Swenson, N | Norman | Nokia, Point2 | | | Swenson, No | orman | Nokia, Poin | t2 | |
| Comment T | ype TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | Comment Ty | be ER | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucke |
| | | host input. I believe it is not ture that is feeds the host input | | but rather the input to | | | TP0d to TP5d" is grammat should be "from TP0d to TF | | It should be "between |
| SuggestedF | Remedy | | | | SuggestedRe | emedy | | | |
| Change host inp | | of TP3 to "The input of the TF | 2 or TP3 test | fixture that feeds the | Ŭ | | P0d and TP5d" | | |
| Proposed R | | Response Status W | | | Proposed Re PROPOS | <i>sponse</i> SED ACCEP ⁻ | Response Status W | | |
| The des DLi <n>) The test</n> |) on a TP2 or TF t fixture is alread | is "The host input (correspond 23 test fixture". dy addressed and there is no a change does not improve the t | mbiguity. | · | | | | | |
| C/ 179 | SC 179.8.1 | P 390 | L 32 | # 649 | | | | | |
| Swenson, N | Norman | Nokia, Point2 | | | | | | | |
| Comment T | ype TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | | | | | |
| output, | | cable assembly output. I beli utput of the cable assembly test | | | | | | | |
| | Remedy | | | | | | | | |
| SuggestedF | | | able assembly | / test fixture that is fed | | | | | |
| Change | the description able assembly | of TP4 to "The output of the c output." | | | | | | | |
| Change | able assembly | | | | | | | | |

C/ 179 SC 179.8.1

| C/ 179 | SC 179. | .8.2 | P3 | 91 | L 31 | # | 191 | |
|------------|---------|------|--------------|----|-------------|-------------|---------|------|
| Huber, Tho | omas | | Nokia | a | | | | |
| Comment 7 | Туре Т | Col | mment Status | D | т | on) DATA/TH | RAINING | mode |

While it is clear what "DATA mode" is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, that term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended here (see 1.4.278) Annex 178B.5 indicates that in the context of ILT, "data mode" means the variable tx_mode has the value 'data', which is associated with being in the PATH_UP state per figure 178B-8. As such, it would be more clear if the text in 179.8.2 referred to the PATH_UP state.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "When operating in DATA mode, ..." to "When operating in the PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8),..."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The two modes of the PMD transmit function are explicitly defined in the first paragraph of 179.8.2: "The PMD transmit function has two operating modes: DATA and TRAINING. The operating mode is controlled by the ILT function (see 179.8.9)". These modes are referenced in multiple places in the draft (although they are not currently defined by all PMDs).

The suggested remedy refers to a state of the training state diagram, but there is a variable, tx_mode, that explicitly controls the "DATA mode" behavior. This variable can be referenced to improve clarity.

Also, DATA and TRAINING modes of the transmit function should be defined for all PMDs that include an ILT function, and all references to these modes should be linked to the transmit function.

In the first pragraph of 179.8.2, change "The operating mode is controlled by the ILT function (see 179.8.9)" to "The operating mode is controlled by the tx_mode variable of the ILT function (see 179.8.9): it is DATA when tx_mode=data, and TRAINING otherwise". Add similar paragraphs in 180.5.2, 181.5.2, 182.5.2, and 183.5.2 (possibly also 185.5.2 and 187.5.2 if ILT is added to these clauses).

Add an explicit reference to the transmit function in all instances of "DATA mode" and "TRAINING mode" across the draft, where appropriate.

Implement with editorial license.

| C/ 179 | SC 179.8.9 | P 393 | L 6 | # 192 |
|------------|------------|------------------|------------|----------------------|
| Huber, The | omas | Nokia | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | mon |) DATA/TRAINING mode |

While it is clear what "DATA mode" is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, that term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended here (see 1.4.278) Annex 178B.5 indicates that in the context of ILT, "data mode" means the variable tx_mode has the value 'data', which is associated with being in the PATH_UP state per figure 178B-8. As such, it would be more clear if the text in 179.8.9 referred to the PATH_UP state.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #191.

| C/ 179 | SC 179.8.9 | P 393 | L 13 | # 464 |
|--------------|------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Slavick, Jef | f | Broadcom | | |
| Comment T | ype TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) presets |

Move Table 179-8 and here. It's relevent only to the ILT function.

SuggestedRemedy

Move Table 179-8 to the end of 179.8.9 and delete 179.9.4.1.3

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The initial conditions (presets) table includes tolerances, and thus it is part of the electrical specifications. Its location is consistent with previous clauses.

The suggested change is not considered an improvement of the draft, and may be confusing to readers.

[Editor's note: Changed page from 379 to 393]

| C/ 179 | SC 179.9 | P3 | 93 | L19 | # 719 |
|--------------------|---|---|----|---------|--------------------------|
| Dawe, Pie | rs | Nvidi | а | | |
| Comment PMD e | <i>Type</i> TR electrical chara | Comment Status acteristics | D | trical) | (bucket) characteristics |
| Suggested PMD e | IRemedy electrical spec | ifications | | | |
| Proposed PROP | Response OSED REJE(| Response Status CT. esponse to comment #7 | | | |

Page 120 of 184

7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 Cl 179

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 179.9

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 Subclause, page, line
 SC 179.9

| C/ 179 | SC 179.9.3 | P 393 | L 40 | # 612 | Cl 179 | SC 179.9.4 | P 394 | L13 | # 446 |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|--|------------------|--------------------------|
| Palkert, T | homas | Samtec, Macc | m | | Ran, Adee | | Cisco System | IS | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | Ci | rical) Reference impedance | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) DC Cl |
| Suggeste | dRemedy | should be 92.5 ohms edance to 92.5 ohms | | | For all | other interfaces, | C common-mode voltage is sp it is specified as a range (0.2 2, and Table 176D–4. | | |
| • | Response POSED ACCEPT | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. | | | which | could cause larg | hit would allow extremely low e in-rush current through the should be avoided. | | |
| Resol | ve using the resp | oonse to comment #63. | | | The sp | ecifications for C | CR hosts should be aligned with | ith those of C2I | M hosts. |
| C/ 179 | SC 179.9.3 | P 393 | L 40 | # 64 | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| Mellitz, Ri | ichard | Samtec | | | Change | e the DC commo | on-mode voltage specification | to a range, 0.2 | 2 to 1 V. |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | Ci | rical) Reference impedance | Proposed F | Response | Response Status W | | |
| The re impec Proposed PROF | dance for commo <i>Response</i> POSED ACCEPT | nce for differential specification n-mode specifications is 23.12 <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #63. | ns is 92.5 oh 25 ohms. | ms. The reference | <https: page=4 The cu in the p The co consist</https: | l2>. rrent comment i previous comme | gested remedy seem reasona | (in-rush current | t) that was not included |
| C/ 179 | SC 179.9.4 | P393 | L 43 | # 734 | Cl 179 | SC 179.9.4 | P 394 | L18 | # 619 |
| | | r 393 Nvidia | L43 | # 134 | Palkert, Th | omas | Samtec, Maco | om | |
| Dawe, Pie <i>Comment</i> Trans | | Comment Status D | tri | ical) (bucket) characteristics | Comment T Improv | <i>Type</i> TR e ERL specificat | Comment Status D tion | | (Electrical) ER |
| Suggeste Trans | dRemedy mitter specificatio | ons | | | Suggested Presen Proposed F | tation to be prov | rided Response Status W | | |
| PROF | Response POSED REJECT. ve using the resp | Response Status W | | | The co can un | derstand the spe | suggested remedy do not cor ecific changes that are being ind CRG discussion. | | detail so that the CRG |

C/ 179 SC 179.9.4

| C/179 SC | ; 179.9.4 | P 394 | L 22 | # 668 | C/ 179 | SC 1 | 79.9.4 | P 394 | L 25 | # 735 |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco System | IS | | Dawe, Pie | ers | | Nvidia | | |
| comment Type | TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) TX max swing | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | (El | lectrical) CR host classe |
| 0.5 volt wou generations values at the | ld reduce th the v_f limit e upper half | 263 against D1.4, limiting the e effective channel reach the was 0.6 V (1.2 Vpp), and in of this range (output swings erate over longer cables an | at devices can current 802.30 above 1 Vpp) | operate on. In previous ok compliant systems, are commonly used to | Which Suggested | i loss? dRemedy | | because H and L are ambi | guous: loss or pe | rformance or length? |
| extend the reach and operate over longer cables and/or improve error statistics. The comment suggested changing the transmitter specifications (v_f and peak-to-peak) and the corresponding receiver amplitude tolerance, but without changing the corresponding COM parameter (A_ne). In https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_03/ran_3dj_03_2503.pdf it was referred to as "Change C" (apply for CR) and "Change D" (also for KR) (slide 3). There was a preference to apply change D, as recorded in straw polls #TF-7 and #TF-8 (see minutes_3dj_2503_approved, page 17). The following options are suggested for CR and KR (no change in C2C and C2M): Change Tx maximum v_f to 0.6 V as proposed. Apply in Tx and Rx specifications (no change in COM A_ne). Change as in option 1 and addiitonally change A_ne accordingly (increase by 20%). Add a footnote in the transmitter specifications tables (179.9.4 and 178.9.2) to allow "engineered links" to operate above the specified v_f; as a model, use the second paragraph of 178.10.6 (operating without AC-coupling in the channel). Add an optional "high swing" mode. In a device that supports high swing mode, it is disabled by default. When it is enabled the transmitter v_f range is 0.5 to 0.6. Enabling this mode is under the responsibility of the system integrator. | | | | | Proposed PROP The cc <https excluc <https >. The hr respor <https page= Chang The e: those The pp C/ 179 Dawe, Pie</https </https </https | Response POSED Fourrent na ://www.id ing nom ://www.id ost class nse to ccc ://www.id 82>. The ging the re kisting na of the ca roposed SC 1 | REJECT. Imes were eee802.or enclature eee802.or names fr mment # eee802.or ey appear naming so ames are able asser change d | Response Status W a included in the baseline p g/3/dj/public/23_11/tracy_ , was adopted by motion # g/3/dj/public/23_11/minute om the baseline proposal 191 against D1.1. See g/3/dj/comments/D1p1/80 in multiple places in the op cheme at this point would b indicative of insertion loss | 3dj_01a_2311.pd 11 in the Novemb s_3cwdfdj_2311_ were subsequent 23dj_D1p1_comr raft and in severa e disruptive. (Low, Nominal, H | f>. The proposal, ber 2023 meeting, see _approved.pdf#page=26 ly adopted by the nents_final_clause.pdf# I presentations. ligh) and are similar to uracy of the text. # 736 |
| | | ur options listed in the comn | nent. As a start | ing point, option A is | | ence sigr | | se-and-distortion ratio, dSN oard is properly defined ar | | |
| suggested. | | _ | | | Suggested | dRemedy | / | | | |
| roposed Respo | | Response Status W | | | Chang | ge to SN | DR, or de | lete and use EECQ | | |
| Straw polls # <https: www<br="">and the rela <https: www<br="">some suppo in "choose o The "D" vote suggested in</https:></https:> | #TF-8 and # v.ieee802.or ted presenta v.ieee802.or to the dire one", and wa e correspond n comments G discussio | N PRINCIPLE. TF-8 in the March 2025 mee g/3/dj/public/25_03/minutes ution g/3/dj/public/25_03/ran_3dj_ ection suggested in this com s runner-up (20 vs 21) in "cl s to Option #1 in this comm #666 and #667. n, implement option 1 in the | _3dj_2503_app _03_2503.pdf# ment: "D" had hicago rules". nent, in addition | page=3>) indicated the maximum votes (21) to the changes | - | , POSED A | CCEPT I | Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. Inse to comment #481. | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 179 SC 179.9.4

| C/ 179 | SC 179 | 001 | P 394 | L 46 | # 370 | C/ 179 | 50 | 179.9.4.1. | P396 | L1 | # 652 |
|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|-----------|-------|------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Ghiasi, Ali | 00 17 | 3.3.4 | Ghiasi Qunatu | | # 370 | Swenson, | | | Nokia, Point | - | # 032 |
| Comment Ty | vne T | R | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) CR host classes | Comment | | ER | Comment Status D | - | (Electrical) (bucket |
| | | | es missing | | | | | | ulse response" using what | setting for the | |
| SuggestedRe | | | | | | clear. | | | | | |
| Please re | | e table ' | 179A-1 | | | Suggested | Reme | dy | | | |
| Proposed Re | | | Response Status W | | | Clarify | | | | | |
| PROPOS | ' | | | | | Proposed | Respo | nse | Response Status W | | |
| the fact t Table 17 only inclu reference | that they 79A-1 (m ludes rec ce. | / have c nentione commer | nost classes is stated in the or lifferent electrical specification and in the suggested remedy) is indations for insertion losses, a oes not improve the technical | ns. s not a defir and is inforn | ition of host classes - it native. It is not a helpful | | | | N PRINCIPLE. nse to comment #651. | | |
| C/ 179 | SC 179 | 9.9.4.1. | 1 P395 | L 47 | # 651 | | | | | | |
| Swenson, No | lorman | | Nokia, Point2 | | | | | | | | |
| Comment Ty | vpe E | ER | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | | | | | | |
| | | | of the transmit equalizer" is n mentioned. | ot well defin | ed, as no list of required | | | | | | |
| SuggestedRe | Remedy | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clarify | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Re | esponse | , | Response Status W | | | | | | | | |
| The calc equalizer Delete th paragrap | culation ser, so "for he words ph of of cequalize | specifie r each" s "For e 179.9.4. er settin | N PRINCIPLE. d in 179.9.4.1.1 is for a specif is not adequate. ach configuration of the trans 1.1, and append the words "f g" to the first paragraph. license. | mit equalize | r" from the second | | | | | | |

C/ 179 SC 179.9.4.1.1

| C/ 179 | SC 179.9.4.1.3 | P 397 | L22 | # 666 | C/ 179 | SC 179.9.4.2 | P 398 | L 30 | # 526 |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco System | S | | Dudek, Mi | ike | Marvell | | |
| Comment T | ype TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) presets | Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) RLN |
| creates modes, point. T potentia In https: | an unnecessary and training/ada his will likely crea al benefit. ://www.ieee802.c | 63 against D1.4, the different burden for implementations ptation algorithms will need ate confusion and interoperation org/3/dj/public/25_03/ran_3d " setting for CR. This was re | . Firmware will to account for t bilty issues tha j_03_2503.pdf i | need to have different he different starting t overshadow any t was proposed to use | meası high lo S <i>uggesteo</i> Add a place | ured waveform. oss hosts, due to dRemedy fter 120D.3.1.2 | | he different initially to be present. | al conditions, or with |
| preserc | | setting for Grv. This was re | | nange A (side 5). | | Response | Response Status W | | |
| (see mii Note tha | nutes_3dj_2503_ at KR was not m | apply this change, as recor approved, page 17). entioned in "Change A" but i R and CR. Thus the intent is | t is assumed th | at the initialize value | The lin 120D. eventu A fitte | 3.1.2 and calcula ually the measure d waveform that o | in 120D.3.1.3 uses the valu ted from the mean signal lev d waveform must tbe used. Joes not account for non-ide ed remedy is inappropriate. | els of the meas | ured waveform, so |
| SuggestedF | Remedy | | | | C/ 179 | SC 179.9.4.5 | P 399 | L1 | # 737 |
| Impleme | ent change A as | shown on slide 3 in ran_3dj | _03_2503, with | editorial license. | Dawe, Pie | ers | Nvidia | | |
| PROPC Straw p <https: <br="">and the <https: <br="">strong s (which i</https:></https:> | Implement change A as shown on slide 3 in ran_3dj_03_2503, with editorial license. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Straw polls #TF-8 and #TF-8 in the March 2025 meeting (see <https: 25_03="" 3="" dj="" minutes_3dj_2503_approved.pdf#page="17" public="" www.ieee802.org=""> and the related presentation <https: 25_03="" 3="" dj="" public="" ran_3dj_03_2503.pdf#page="3" www.ieee802.org="">) indicated strong support of the direction suggested in this comment: in "choose one", options A-D (which include the suggested remedy) had a total of 40, while option E (no change) had 19. Change the "initialize" row in Table 179–8 to be identical to preset 6 instead of preset 1 (as</https:></https:> | | | | | the compliance b dRemedy ge to SNDR, or de Response POSED ACCEPT | Comment Status D se-and-distortion ratio, dSNI board is properly defined and elete and use EECQ <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #481. | | |
| | e 176D–9). references to the | ese tables as necessary (e.g | | ntions) | C/ 179 | SC 179.9.4.5 | .1 P 400 | L 4 | # 740 |
| | ent with editorial | | ., remove exce | plions | Dawe, Pie | ers | Nvidia | | |
| | | | | | Comment Downs | | Comment Status D ignal in SNDR seems fussy | | Electrical) (bucket) SNDR |
| | | | | | Suggested Remo | - | | | |
| | | | | | Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | |
| | | | | | The co | | provide sufficient justification does not provide sufficient of | | |
| TYPE: TR/te | echnical required | ER/editorial required GR/g | neneral required | t T/technical E/editorial G/ | general | | C/ 1 | 79 | Page 124 of 18 |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SC 179.9.4.5.1

7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 179 | SC 179.9.4.5. | B P 400 | L 30 | # 481 | C/ 179 | SC 1 | 79.9.4.6 | P 401 | L36 | # 527 |
|--|---|--|------------------------------------|---|------------|-----------|-----------------------|---|------------------|------------------------------|
| Healey, A | dam | Broadcom, In | с. | | Dudek, Mil | ke | | Marvell | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) SNDR | Comment | Туре | Е | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) jitter |
| param fixed s | neters. This sugge set of reference va | d that the reference SNDR sts that the SNDR test can b lues that are a function of th valent SNDR produced by t | e greatly simpl e preset. The r | ified by specifying a eference values should | | ed but it | would be I | y the transmitter output of the transmitter output of the transmitter to be more precise. | ne lane under | test shouldn't be |
| | r conditions. | valent of one produced by t | | | Chang | e "trans | mitter outp | ut is" to transmitter outputs | of the lanes | not under test are" |
| Suggeste | dRemedy | | | | Proposed I | Respon | se | Response Status W | | |
| | | cedure with a comparison o | | | PROP | OSED A | ACCEPT. | | | |
| <https: actio<="" actions="" td="" www.com=""><td>://www.ieee802.or</td><td>Set the limits to the SNDR^ g/3/dj/public/24_11/healey_3</td><td>3dj_01_2411.pd</td><td>df> for presets 1 to 5.</td><td>C/ 179</td><td>SC 1</td><td>79.9.4.6.1</td><td>P402</td><td>L1</td><td># 738</td></https:> | ://www.ieee802.or | Set the limits to the SNDR^ g/3/dj/public/24_11/healey_3 | 3dj_01_2411.pd | df> for presets 1 to 5. | C/ 179 | SC 1 | 79.9.4.6.1 | P 402 | L1 | # 738 |
| | | preset 6. Add a note that th ling COM table. If desired, th | | • | Dawe, Pier | rs | | Nvidia | | |
| | | mentation of the procedure | | | Comment | Туре | ER | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) jitter |
| | Response POSED ACCEPT I | Response Status W | | | | | should be unnecess | written in English. The three ary. | e-pronged ma | ignet is pretentious, |
| | | ents related to SNDR/dSNE | R. | | Suggested | Remed | V | | | |
| | | epare a proposal for resolvi | | nments. | Chang | e to: Fo | r each trar | sition I in the set A: | | |
| For C | RG discussion and | er reviewing the editorial pro | posal. | | Proposed I | Respon | se | Response Status W | | |
| C/ 179 | SC 179.9.4.6 | P 401 | L 28 | # 741 | | | REJECT. | | | |
| Dawe, Pie | ers | Nvidia | | | | | | ne mathematical symbol ∈. times in IEEE Std 802.3-20 | 22 with insta | and anonning clours 21 |
| Comment | 51 | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) Jitter | to clau | se 144. | Readers a | re assumed to be familiar v | | |
| Dud ji | tter method. Turn | ng off aggressor lanes is de | sperate | | | | | membership". es not improve the technica | al clarity or ac | oursey of the text |
| Suggestee | 2 | | | | i ne pr | oposeu | change ut | | a clarity of ac | curacy of the text. |
| Don't | attempt to isolate | itter | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | | |
| - | OSED REJECT. | provide sufficient justification | n to support the | suggested remedy. | | | | | | |

C/ 179 SC 179.9.4.6.1

| | SC 179.9.4.6 | 5.2 P 402 | L18 | # 739 | C/ 179 | SC 179.9.4. | 7 P 403 | L 2 | # 597 |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Dawe, Pier | rs | Nvidia | | | Kocsis, Sa | im | Amphenol | | |
| omment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) jitter | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | С | trical) Reference impedanc |
| J4u03 uggested | | ed for CR because of the los | ses in the host | | referer | nce impedance | tter at TP2 is defined without is inferred from 179.9.3, 100 | ohm. The use | |
| | • | ther impairments into EECQ | | | • | | not consistent throughout D | 2P0. | |
| | , combine with c Response | · | | | Suggested | • | | | |
| PROP | , OSED REJECT. | | | | Add de Annex | | .5-ohm reference impedance | for the ERL co | omputation, consistent with |
| | RG has previous t D1.3. See | ly considered similar comme | nts, most recent | tly in comment #541 | Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | |
| <https:< td=""><td>://www.ieee802.o</td><td>org/3/dj/comments/D1p3/8023 The response to that comme</td><td></td><td></td><td>PROP</td><td>OSED ACCEP</td><td>T IN PRINCIPLE.</td><td></td><td></td></https:<> | ://www.ieee802.o | org/3/dj/comments/D1p3/8023 The response to that comme | | | PROP | OSED ACCEP | T IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| fact that | at the calculation | of J4u03 was modified by the upporting evidence to the clai | e response to a | nother comment, #306. | Resolv | ve using the res | ponse to comment #63. | | |
| | | CR". Contrary to this claim, so | | | C/ 179 | SC 179.9.4. | 7 P 403 | L 5 | # 743 |
| show th | hat this paramet | er can be measured after eve | en for C2M host | s (after higher losses | Dawe, Pier | rs | Nvidia | | |
| | ssumed for CR r rrent specificatio | nosts), and with sufficient acc | uracy to charact | terize transmitters to | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) EF |
| <https:< td=""><td>.//www.ieee802.o</td><td>org/3/dj/public/25_01/calvin_3</td><td>dj_01b_2501.pd</td><td>df> which references</td><td>mating</td><td>interface disco</td><td>ontinuity - ambiguous and not</td><td>defined.</td><td></td></https:<> | .//www.ieee802.o | org/3/dj/public/25_01/calvin_3 | dj_01b_2501.pd | df> which references | mating | interface disco | ontinuity - ambiguous and not | defined. | |
| | us presentations | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| EECQ, | , mentioned in tr | ne suggested remedy, is not u plementation agreement). No | sed in any iEE | = 802.3 specification (it | Clarify | what this mean | าร | | |
| is defir | | | | | | | | | |
| EECQ | can adequately | and reliably capture the effect | ts of jitter on rea | ceivers. | Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | |
| EECQ The co | can adequately | and reliably capture the effect t provide sufficient justification | ts of jitter on ree | ceivers. suggested remedy. | Proposed I | | Response Status W | | |
| EECQ The co | can adequately | and reliably capture the effect | ts of jitter on ree | ceivers. suggested remedy. ent. | PROP The ex | OSED REJEC | Γ. s since D1.2 and originates f | rom the respo | nse to comment #199 |
| EECQ The co The su | can adequately | and reliably capture the effect t provide sufficient justification does not provide sufficient d | ts of jitter on ree | ceivers. suggested remedy. | PROP The ex agains | OSED REJEC disting text exist t D1.1. This res | Γ. s since D1.2 and originates f sponse was a result of discus | sion in the CR | nse to comment #199 G with consensus on the |
| EECQ The co The su | can adequately omment does no iggested remedy SC 179.9.4.6 | and reliably capture the effect t provide sufficient justification does not provide sufficient d | ts of jitter on rea n to support the letail to impleme | ceivers. suggested remedy. ent. | PROP The ex agains wordin | OSED REJEC tisting text exist t D1.1. This res g "excluding th | Γ. s since D1.2 and originates f sponse was a result of discus e mating interface discontinu | sion in the CR ty". See | G with consensus on the |
| EECQ The co The su C/ 179 Dawe, Pier | can adequately omment does no iggested remedy SC 179.9.4.6 rs | and reliably capture the effect t provide sufficient justification does not provide sufficient d .3 P402 | ets of jitter on ree n to support the letail to impleme L43 | ceivers. suggested remedy. ent. | PROP The ex agains wordin <https: page=</https: | OSED REJEC kisting text exist t D1.1. This res g "excluding th ://www.ieee802 77>. | F. s since D1.2 and originates f sponse was a result of discus e mating interface discontinu .org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/80 | sion in the CR ty". See 23dj_D1p1_co | G with consensus on the mments_final_clause.pdf# |
| EECQ The co The su 7 179 Dawe, Pier Comment 7 EOJ03 | can adequately omment does no iggested remedy SC 179.9.4.6 rs Type TR | and reliably capture the effect t provide sufficient justification does not provide sufficient d 3.3 P402 Nvidia | ets of jitter on ree n to support the letail to impleme L43 | ceivers. suggested remedy. ent. # <u>742</u> (<i>Electrical</i>) (bucket) jitter | PROP The ex agains wordin <https: page=</https: | OSED REJEC kisting text exist t D1.1. This res g "excluding th ://www.ieee802 77>. | Γ. s since D1.2 and originates f sponse was a result of discus e mating interface discontinu | sion in the CR ty". See 23dj_D1p1_co | G with consensus on the mments_final_clause.pdf# |
| EECQ The co The su 2/ 179 Dawe, Pier Comment T EOJ03 for it | can adequately omment does no iggested remedy SC 179.9.4.6 rs <i>Type</i> TR 8 should be inclu | and reliably capture the effect t provide sufficient justification does not provide sufficient d 3.3 P402 Nvidia Comment Status D | ets of jitter on ree n to support the letail to impleme L43 | ceivers. suggested remedy. ent. # <u>742</u> (<i>Electrical</i>) (bucket) jitter | PROP The ex agains wordin <https: page=</https: | OSED REJEC kisting text exist t D1.1. This res g "excluding th ://www.ieee802 77>. | T. is since D1.2 and originates f sponse was a result of discus e mating interface discontinu .org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/80. dy does not provide sufficient | sion in the CR ty". See 23dj_D1p1_co | C with consensus on the mments_final_clause.pdf# |
| EECQ The co The su Cl 179 Dawe, Pier Comment T EOJ03 for it Suggested | can adequately omment does no iggested remedy SC 179.9.4.6 rs Type TR 3 should be inclu | and reliably capture the effect t provide sufficient justification does not provide sufficient d 3.3 P402 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ded in SNDR or EECQ. It's n | ts of jitter on rea n to support the letail to implement <i>L</i> 43 not clear that we | ceivers. suggested remedy. ent. # 742 (<i>Electrical</i>) (bucket) jitter need a separate spec | PROP The ex agains wordin <https: page= The su</https: | OSED REJEC tisting text exist t D1.1. This res g "excluding th ://www.ieee802 77>. Iggested remed SC 179.9.4 | T. is since D1.2 and originates f sponse was a result of discus e mating interface discontinu .org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/80. dy does not provide sufficient | sion in the CR ty". See 23dj_D1p1_co detail to imple <i>L</i> 13 | G with consensus on the mments_final_clause.pdf# |
| EECQ The co The su 2/ 179 Dawe, Pier Comment 7 EOJ03 for it Suggested Ensure | can adequately omment does no iggested remedy SC 179.9.4.6 rs Type TR 8 should be inclu <i>Remedy</i> e that SNDR or E | and reliably capture the effect t provide sufficient justification does not provide sufficient d 3.3 P402 Nvidia Comment Status D | ts of jitter on rea n to support the letail to implement <i>L</i> 43 not clear that we | ceivers. suggested remedy. ent. # 742 (<i>Electrical</i>) (bucket) jitter need a separate spec | PROP The ex agains wordin <https: page= The su Cl 179</https: | OSED REJEC tisting text exist t D1.1. This res g "excluding th ://www.ieee802 77>. uggested remed SC 179.9.4 . | T. Is since D1.2 and originates f sponse was a result of discus e mating interface discontinu .org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/80 dy does not provide sufficient 7 P403 | sion in the CR ty". See 23dj_D1p1_co detail to imple <i>L</i> 13 com | C with consensus on the mments_final_clause.pdf# ement. # <u>620</u> |
| EECQ The co The su 2/ 179 Dawe, Pier Comment T EOJ03 for it Suggested Ensure as it is) | can adequately omment does no iggested remedy SC 179.9.4.6 rs Type TR 3 should be inclu <i>Remedy</i> e that SNDR or E), and delete | and reliably capture the effect t provide sufficient justification does not provide sufficient d .3 P402 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ded in SNDR or EECQ. It's n EECQ include it (by telling the | ts of jitter on rea n to support the letail to implement <i>L</i> 43 not clear that we | ceivers. suggested remedy. ent. # 742 (<i>Electrical</i>) (bucket) jitter need a separate spec | PROP The ex agains wordin <https: page= The su Cl 179 Palkert, Th Comment</https: | OSED REJEC isting text exist t D1.1. This res g "excluding th ://www.ieee802 77>. iggested remed SC 179.9.4. nomas Type TR | T. IS since D1.2 and originates f sponse was a result of discus e mating interface discontinu .org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/80. dy does not provide sufficient 7 P403 Samtec, Ma | sion in the CR ty". See 23dj_D1p1_co detail to imple L13 com | C with consensus on the mments_final_clause.pdf# ement. # <u>620</u> <i>trical) Reference impedance</i> |
| EECQ The co The su 2/ 179 Dawe, Pier Comment T EOJ03 for it Suggested Ensure as it is) Proposed F | can adequately omment does no iggested remedy SC 179.9.4.6 rs Type TR 3 should be inclu Remedy e that SNDR or E), and delete Response | and reliably capture the effect t provide sufficient justification does not provide sufficient d .3 P402 Nvidia Comment Status D ded in SNDR or EECQ. It's n EECQ include it (by telling the Response Status W | ts of jitter on rea n to support the letail to implement <i>L</i> 43 not clear that we | ceivers. suggested remedy. ent. # 742 (<i>Electrical</i>) (bucket) jitter need a separate spec | PROP The ex agains wordin <https: page= The su Cl 179 Palkert, Th Comment</https: | OSED REJEC tisting text exist t D1.1. This res g "excluding th ://www.ieee802 77>. Iggested remed SC 179.9.4. nomas Type TR R specification | T. is since D1.2 and originates f sponse was a result of discus e mating interface discontinu .org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/80. dy does not provide sufficient 7 P403 Samtec, Ma <i>Comment Status</i> D | sion in the CR ty". See 23dj_D1p1_co detail to imple L13 com | CG with consensus on the mments_final_clause.pdf# ement. # <u>620</u> <i>trical) Reference impedance</i> |
| EECQ The co The su 2/ 179 Dawe, Pier Comment T EOJ03 for it Suggested Ensure as it is) Proposed R | can adequately omment does no iggested remedy SC 179.9.4.6 rs Type TR 3 should be inclu <i>Remedy</i> e that SNDR or E), and delete <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT. | and reliably capture the effect t provide sufficient justification does not provide sufficient d .3 P402 Nvidia Comment Status D ded in SNDR or EECQ. It's n EECQ include it (by telling the Response Status W | tts of jitter on rea n to support the letail to implement <i>L</i> 43 not clear that we | ceivers. suggested remedy. ent. # 742 (<i>Electrical</i>) (<i>bucket</i>) jitter need a separate spec pattern is twice as long | PROP The ex agains wordin <https: page= The su <i>Cl</i> 179 Palkert, Th <i>Comment</i> The Cl <i>Suggested</i></https: | OSED REJEC tisting text exist t D1.1. This res g "excluding th ://www.ieee802 77>. Iggested remed SC 179.9.4. nomas Type TR R specification //Remedy | T. is since D1.2 and originates f sponse was a result of discus e mating interface discontinu .org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/80. dy does not provide sufficient 7 P403 Samtec, Ma <i>Comment Status</i> D | sion in the CR ty". See 23dj_D1p1_co detail to imple L13 com com nce for transm | CG with consensus on the mments_final_clause.pdf# ement. # <u>620</u> <i>trical) Reference impedance</i> |
| EECQ The co The su C/ 179 Dawe, Pier Comment T EOJ03 for it Suggested Ensure as it is) Proposed F PROPO Even-o specific | can adequately omment does no iggested remedy SC 179.9.4.6 rs Type TR 3 should be inclu <i>Remedy</i> e that SNDR or E), and delete <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT. odd jitter is a spe cations. | and reliably capture the effect t provide sufficient justification does not provide sufficient d 3.3 P402 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ded in SNDR or EECQ. It's n EECQ include it (by telling the <i>Response Status</i> W ecification parameter for multip | tts of jitter on rea n to support the letail to implement <i>L</i> 43 not clear that we scope that the ple generations | ceivers. suggested remedy. ent. # 742 (<i>Electrical</i>) (<i>bucket</i>) jitter need a separate spec pattern is twice as long of electrical transmitter | PROP The ex agains wordin <https: page= The su <i>CI</i> 179 Palkert, Th <i>Comment</i> The CI <i>Suggested</i> add lin</https: | OSED REJEC isting text exist t D1.1. This res g "excluding th ://www.ieee802 77>. iggested remed SC 179.9.4. nomas Type TR R specification !Remedy ie in Table 179- | F. In the second seco | sion in the CR ty". See 23dj_D1p1_co detail to imple L13 com com nce for transm | CG with consensus on the mments_final_clause.pdf# ement. # <u>620</u> trical) Reference impedance |
| EECQ The co The su Cl 179 Dawe, Pier Comment T EOJ03 for it Suggested Ensure as it is) Proposed I PROPO Even-o specific The co The co | can adequately omment does no iggested remedy SC 179.9.4.6 rs Type TR 3 should be inclu <i>Remedy</i> a that SNDR or E), and delete <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT. odd jitter is a spe cations. omment does no | and reliably capture the effect t provide sufficient justification does not provide sufficient d i.3 P402 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> D ded in SNDR or EECQ. It's n EECQ include it (by telling the <i>Response Status</i> W ecification parameter for multip t indicate a problem that need t provide sufficient justification | the of jitter on reach to support the letail to implement $L 43$ but clear that we be scope that the ple generations dis to be solved. | ceivers. suggested remedy. ent. # 742 (Electrical) (bucket) jitter need a separate spec pattern is twice as long of electrical transmitter suggested remedy. | PROP The ex agains wordin <https: page= The su C/ 179 Palkert, Th Comment The Cl Suggested add lin Proposed J</https: | OSED REJEC isting text exist t D1.1. This res g "excluding th ://www.ieee802 77>. iggested remed SC 179.9.4. nomas Type TR R specification IRemedy ie in Table 179- Response | T. s since D1.2 and originates f sponse was a result of discus e mating interface discontinu .org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/80. dy does not provide sufficient 7 P403 Samtec, Ma Comment Status D should use 92.5 ohm impeda | sion in the CR ty". See 23dj_D1p1_co detail to imple L13 com com nce for transm | CG with consensus on the mments_final_clause.pdf# ement. # <u>620</u> <i>trical) Reference impedance</i> |
| EECQ The co The su C/ 179 Dawe, Pier Comment 7 EOJ03 for it Suggested Ensure as it is) Proposed I PROPO Even-co specific The co The co | can adequately omment does no iggested remedy SC 179.9.4.6 rs Type TR 3 should be inclu <i>Remedy</i> a that SNDR or E), and delete <i>Response</i> OSED REJECT. odd jitter is a spe cations. omment does no | and reliably capture the effect t provide sufficient justification does not provide sufficient d i.3 P402 Nvidia Comment Status D ded in SNDR or EECQ. It's n EECQ include it (by telling the Response Status W ecification parameter for multip t indicate a problem that need | the of jitter on reach to support the letail to implement $L 43$ but clear that we be scope that the ple generations dis to be solved. | ceivers. suggested remedy. ent. # 742 (Electrical) (bucket) jitter need a separate spec pattern is twice as long of electrical transmitter suggested remedy. | PROP The ex agains wordin <https: page= The su C/ 179 Palkert, Th Comment The Cl Suggested add lin Proposed I PROP</https: | OSED REJEC isting text exist t D1.1. This res g "excluding th ://www.ieee802 77>. Iggested remed SC 179.9.4. nomas Type TR R specification IRemedy le in Table 179- Response OSED ACCEP | T. is since D1.2 and originates f sponse was a result of discus e mating interface discontinu.org/3/dj/comments/D1p1/80. dy does not provide sufficient 7 P403 Samtec, Ma <i>Comment Status</i> D should use 92.5 ohm impeda 9 to specify 92.5 ohm impeda Response Status W | sion in the CR ty". See 23dj_D1p1_co detail to imple L13 com com c | C with consensus on the mments_final_clause.pdf# ement. # <u>620</u> trical) Reference impedance |

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 179
 Page 126 of 184

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 179
 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 179
 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 179 | SC 179.9.4.7 | P 403 | L19 | # 371 | C/ 179 | SC 1 | 79.9.4.8 | ŀ | ^{>} 403 | L 35 | # 363 |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Shiasi, Ali | | Ghiasi Qunat | um/Marvell | | Ghiasi, Ali | | | Gh | iasi Qunatu | ım/Marvell | |
| Comment Ty Not clea | ype TR ar why Nbx is zei | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) ERL | Comment 7 802.3cl | | TR non mode | Comment State | _ | | trical) (bucket) RL mask |
| SuggestedR | Remedy | | | | Suggested | Remed | / | | | | |
| Suggest | t to make Nbx=1 | 5 which number of fixed FF | E taps | | We sho | ould at | east exte | nd the RLcc to 67 | GHz. | | |
| Proposed Re | esponse | Response Status W | | | Proposed F | Respon | se | Response Statu | is W | | |
| The N_b not provi Note tha equalize Assumin be doubl | tide sufficient just at the reference the channel (can ng that the same le counting. Suc | ERL is 0 for CR PMDs in 80 stification to support the suge receiver has 15 FFE taps bu able assembly) and are acco taps are used to address d sch discontinuities can create | gested remedy. It they are intenc punted for in COI iscontinuities in t | led to be used to M calculation. the host in ERL would | RLcc m comme <https: page=6 The su <https: <="" td=""><td>nask wa ent #374 //www.i 66>). ipporting //www.i</td><td>4 against eee802.or g presenta eee802.or</td><td>ation, ·g/3/dj/public/24_(</td><td>/D1p1/8023)9/ran_3dj_</td><td>idj_D1p1_comm 01_2409.pdf>, i</td><td>he response to nents_final_clause.pdf# includes masks that</td></https:></https: | nask wa ent #374 //www.i 66>). ipporting //www.i | 4 against eee802.or g presenta eee802.or | ation, ·g/3/dj/public/24_(| /D1p1/8023)9/ran_3dj_ | idj_D1p1_comm 01_2409.pdf>, i | he response to nents_final_clause.pdf# includes masks that |
| cable, ar | nd thus should a | affect ERL. | | | | | | ntributed s-parameters ised a limit of 60 (| | | 42 against D1.0, as |
| C/ 179 | SC 179.9.4.7 | P 403 | L23 | # 60 | noted o | on slide | 3. Howev | er, that comment | addressed | the BT filter bar | ndwidth for transmitter |
| Mellitz, Rich | | Samtec | | | | | | n to implements a | | ed on a scope, v | which requires a higher |
| Comment Ty | • | Comment Status D | ctrica | l) Reference impedance | _ | | | | | | asurement to 67 GHz |
| ERL imp | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SuggestedR Add line The refe ohms. | Remedy e: erence differentia | al impedance for the test fixt | ure ERL comput | ation shall be 92.5 | fixture f remedy If exten includir | frequen y. nding th ng justif | cy masks e bandwic ication, pr | (e.g., Figure 179 Ith to 67 GHz is c | B–4), which onsidered r y masks (in | n are not addres necessary, a cor | ivial changes to test ssed in the suggested |
| SuggestedR Add line: The refe ohms. Proposed Re | Remedy e: erence differentia | al impedance for the test fixt <i>Response Status</i> W | ure ERL comput | ation shall be 92.5 | fixture f remedy If exten includir | frequen y. nding th ng justif ributed | cy masks e bandwic ication, pr | (e.g., Figure 179 Ith to 67 GHz is c oposed frequenc d be encouraged | B–4), which onsidered r y masks (in | n are not addres necessary, a cor | ivial changes to test ssed in the suggested mplete proposal ures), and comparison |
| SuggestedR Add line: The refe ohms. Proposed Re PROPO | Remedy e: erence differentia esponse ISED ACCEPT I | al impedance for the test fixt <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. | ure ERL comput | ation shall be 92.5 | fixture remedy If exten includir to conti | frequen y. nding th ng justif ributed SC 1 | cy masks e bandwic ication, pr data woul | (e.g., Figure 179 Ith to 67 GHz is c oposed frequenc d be encouraged | B–4), which onsidered r y masks (in | n are not addres necessary, a con cluding test fixtu <i>L</i> 35 | ivial changes to test ssed in the suggested mplete proposal |
| SuggestedR Add line: The refe ohms. Proposed Re PROPO | Remedy e: erence differentia esponse ISED ACCEPT I | al impedance for the test fixt <i>Response Status</i> W | ure ERL comput | ation shall be 92.5 | fixture f remedy If exten includir to contr <i>CI</i> 179 Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment T</i> | frequen y. nding th ng justif ributed SC 1 <i>Type</i> | cy masks e bandwic ication, pr data woul 79.9.4.9 TR | (e.g., Figure 179 Ith to 67 GHz is c oposed frequenc d be encouraged | B–4), which onsidered r y masks (in 2404 iasi Qunatu us D | n are not addres necessary, a con cluding test fixtu <i>L</i> 35 um/Marvell <i>Elect</i> | ivial changes to test sed in the suggested mplete proposal ures), and comparison # [<u>364</u> <i>trical) (bucket) RL mask</i> |
| SuggestedR Add line: The refe ohms. Proposed Re PROPO | Remedy e: erence differentia esponse ISED ACCEPT I | al impedance for the test fixt <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. | ure ERL comput | ation shall be 92.5 | fixture f remedy If exten includir to contri <i>Cl</i> 179 Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment T</i> 802.3ct <i>Suggested</i> | frequen y. nding th ng justif rributed SC 1 Type k comm Remed | cy masks e bandwic ication, pr data woul 79.9.4.9 TR non mode | (e.g., Figure 179 Ith to 67 GHz is c oposed frequence d be encouraged <i>be</i> encouraged <i>be</i> Gh <i>Comment State</i> | B–4), which onsidered r y masks (in 2404 iasi Qunatu us D urn loss free | n are not addres necessary, a con cluding test fixtu <i>L</i> 35 um/Marvell <i>Elect</i> | ivial changes to test sed in the suggested mplete proposal ures), and comparison # <u>364</u> <i>trical) (bucket) RL mask</i> |

C/ 179 SC 179.9.4.9

| Cl 179 SC 179.9.5.2 P406 L10 | # 667 | C/ 179 S | C 179.9.5.3 | 3 F | ² 406 | L 26 | # 534 |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Ran, Adee Cisco Systems | | Dudek, Mike | | Ma | rvell | | |
| Comment Type TR Comment Status D As noted in comment #263 against D1.4, the amplitude tolerance require its input, TP3) is not a swing identical to the output of the transmitter. This channel attenution and initial Tx equalization (which is addressed by ano This is despite the fact that the tolerance is defined using the output of the this value is at TP2). The comment suggested adding an informative NOTE to highlight this not readers. SImilar comments exist in Amplitude tolerance subclauses of Al C2M. In https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_03/ran_3dj_03_2503.pdf it was "Change B" (slide 3). There was consensus to apply this change, as recorded in straw polls #T | is is due to both ther comment). ne transmitter (but on-trivial fact for UIs, both C2C and s referred to as | Comment Type It should be SuggestedRem Add a footr disabled as Proposed Resp PROPOSE Precoding definition o it here expl However, p | e explicit th nedy note to PRB s the receive conse D ACCEPT and PRBS31Q icitly. orecoding sh | Comment Statu at the test pattern for S31Q in table 179- er would select usin Response Statu TIN PRINCIPLE. | us D or Interfere 11. Footr g the start- s W I checking es optional or the recei | note to say "With up protocol des are functions of I precoding, so i iver under test, j | the PMA. The t is not required to add |
| (see minutes_3dj_2503_approved, page 17). SImilar notes should be use for all instances of amplitude tolerance. SuggestedRemedy Implement change B as shown on slide 3 in ran_3dj_03_2503, with editor Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Straw polls #TF-8 and #TF-8 in the March 2025 meeting (see <https: 25_03="" 3="" dj="" minutes_3dj_2503_approved<="" public="" td="" www.ieee802.org=""><td></td><td>"the device coefficient to "the device coefficients described i Make simila Implelent w</td><td>settings it w under test and precoon n 179.8.9"</td><td>(DUT) configures the rould select using the rould select using the ding to the settings in 178.9.3.4.3, 176 license.</td><td>ne start-up ne pattern g it would se</td><td>protocol describ generator transn lect using the st</td><td>ed in 179.8.9" nit equalizer art-up protocol</td></https:> | | "the device coefficient to "the device coefficients described i Make simila Implelent w | settings it w under test and precoon n 179.8.9" | (DUT) configures the rould select using the rould select using the ding to the settings in 178.9.3.4.3, 176 license. | ne start-up ne pattern g it would se | protocol describ generator transn lect using the st | ed in 179.8.9" nit equalizer art-up protocol |
| and the related presentation <https: 25_03="" 3="" dj="" public="" ran_3dj_03_2503.pdf#page="<br" www.ieee802.org="">strong support of the direction suggested in this comment: in "choose on (which include the suggested remedy) had a total of 35, while options A a not include it) had 24. Note that a similar informative NOTE appears in the receiver amplitude t definitions of C2C (176C.6.4.2) and C2M (176D.8.11). These notes inclu setting in Table 176D-9" which is currently different from the one in Tabl comment #666 suggests to make the initialize settings the same in both Change the text of the PMD receiver amplitude tolerance subclauses (17 179.9.5.2) to align them with the AUI annexes (176C.6.4.2 and 176D.8.1 informative NOTEs, with the appropriate wording, values, and references Implement with editorial license.</https:> | e", options B-D and E (which do olerance de "the initialize le 179–8. However, tables. 78.9.3.3 and 1), including the | Palkert, Thoma Comment Type The CR sp SuggestedRem add line in Proposed Resp PROPOSE | e TR ecification s nedy Table 179-1 ponse D ACCEPT | Saı Comment Statı | n impedano hm impeda s W | ctrica ce for interference | # 623 al) Reference impedance ce tolerance parameters |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 179 SC 179.9.5.3 Page 128 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 179 | SC 179.9.5 | 5.3 P406 | L 39 | # 744 |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------|--|
| Dawe, Pie | ers | Nvidia | | |
| Comment | Type ER | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) ITOL |
| | 79.2 for definited in 174A.8." | on of block error ratio - not | . 179.9.5.3.5 say | /s "Block error ratio is |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | |
| Chang | ge "See 179.2 i | for definition of block error | ratio." to "See 17 | 9.2 and 174A.8." |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | |
| PROP | OSED ACCER | PT. | | |
| C/ 179 | SC 179.9.5 | 5.3.3 P 40 7 | L11 | # 501 |
| Dudek, Mi | ike | Marvell | | |
| Comment | Type T | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) ITOL |
| host c | hannel and pa | defined in 179A.4 includes ckage separately could lea called in Table 179-16. | | d connector. Listing the |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | |
| "using | | | | ermination models" to mination models. Also in |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | |
| PROP | OSED ACCER | | | |

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In item a of 179.9.5.3.3, change from

"using the receiver host channel, package, and device termination models" to

"using the receiver partial host channel, package, and device termination models". In item a of 176D.8.12.2, change from

"using the host channel, device package, and device termination models" to

"using the partial host channel, package, and device termination models".

| C/ 179 | SC 179.9.5.3 | B.4 P408 | L16 | # 745 |
|-------------|--------------|------------------|-----|-------------------|
| Dawe, Piers | 6 | Nvidia | | |
| Comment T | ype TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) ITOL |

"peak-to-peak differential when measured on an alternating zero-three sequence": this isn't how peak-to-peak voltage is defined these days, and does not appear in 178.9.3.4.1, 176C.6.4.5.1

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "when measured on an alternating zero-three sequence", refer to 176D.8.1.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The pattern generator amplitude will depend on equalization setting and may be lower than 0.8 V if the initial setting is not preset 1. This is indeed an insufficient way to specify pattern generator settings.

Similar issues exist in 178.9.3.4.1 and 176C.6.4.5.1. The text in 176D.8.12.3 is better but still incomplete.

Rewrite the first paragraph of 179.9.5.3.4 in terms of the following requirements:

1. Steady-state voltage v_f set to the minimum specified for a transmitter in Table 179–7 2. Meeting the coefficient range limits defined in 179.9.4.1.5 (which include "The sum of the absolute values shall be less than or equal to 1")

Add an informative NOTE that these requirement imply that the differential peak-to-peak output (voltage as defined in 176D.8.1) at the pattern generator output does not exceed 0.8 V.

In both 178.9.3.4.1 and 176C.6.4.5.1, change the text to refer to v_f instead of peak-topeak voltage, not exceeding the minimum specified for a transmitter (Table 178–6 or Table 176C–2), and add similar informative NOTEs.

In 176D.8.12.3, add a requirement that the pattern generator meets the coefficient range limits defined in 179.9.4.1.5.

Implement with editorial license.

C/ 179 SC 179.9.5.3.4

| C/ 179 | SC 179.9.5.4. | 2 P410 | L3 | # 497 |
|-------------|---------------|------------------|----|-------------------|
| Dudek, Mike | | Marvell | | |
| Comment Ty | rpe TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) JTOL |

Not stressing the jitter tolerance signal with noise in addition to the jitter under-stresses receivers.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The jitter tolerance test procedure is similar to that of 179.9.5.3, with the exception that no noise is injected (i.e., step g in 179.9.5.3.3 is not performed). Instead, jitter with the specified frequency and amplitude is applied to the pattern generator and the jitter amplitude is adjusted to obtain the peak-to-peak jitter specified for that frequency in Table 179–12 at the Tx test reference (see Figure 110–3a). The test channel COM, calculated per 179.9.5.3.3 with the jitter-stressed transmitter output, shall not be lower than the value in Table 179–11."

to

"The jitter tolerance test procedure is similar to that of 179.9.5.3, with the exception that jitter with the specified frequency and amplitude is applied to the pattern generator and the jitter amplitude is adjusted to obtain the peak-to-peak jitter specified for that frequency in Table 179–12 at the Tx test reference (see Figure 110–3a). The test channel COM, calculated per 179.9.5.3.3 with the jitter-stressed transmitter output and the broadband noise added, shall be equal to the value in Table 179–11."

Make the equivalent change for C2M in section 176D.8.13.2 on page 759

| Proposed Response | Response Status W |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| PROPOSED REJECT. | |
| Resolve using the respon | nse to comment #496. |

C/ 179 SC 179.9.5.5

P**410**

L29

598

ctrical) Reference impedance

Kocsis, Sam

Amphenol

Comment Type TR Comme

Comment Status D

The ERL of a receiver at TP3 is defined without a reference impedance. The implied reference impedance is inferred from 179.9.3, 100-ohm. The use of a 100-ohm reference impedance for ERL is not consistent throughout D2P0.

SuggestedRemedy

Add definition of a 92.5-ohm reference impedance for the ERL computation, consistent with Annex179B.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #63.

| C/ 179 | SC 179.9.5 | .6 P4 | 10 | L 44 | # 368 |
|---------------------|---|--|-------|------------------|--|
| Ghiasi, Ali | | Ghias | i Qu | natum/Marvell | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status | D | | (Electrical) RLdc and RLco |
| | ore critical retu stead RLcd is o | | de to | differential, bu | t for some reason in clause |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| Chang | e RLcd to RLd | lc (common mode to dif | fere | ntial) | |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status | w | | |
| - | OSED REJEC | T. sponse to comment #36 | 65. | | |
| C/ 179 | SC 179.9.5 | .6 P4 | 10 | L 47 | # 369 |
| Ghiasi, Ali | | Ghias | i Qu | natum/Marvell | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status | D | 1 | Electrical) (bucket) RL masks |
| 802.3c | k common mo | de to differential return | loss | frequency was | up to 50 GHz |
| Suggested We sh | | xtend the RLdc to 67 G | Hz. | | |
| PROP | Response OSED REJEC /e using the re | · · · | | | |
| C/ 179 | SC 179.10. | 1 P4 ⁻ | 15 | L 45 | # 380 |
| Ghiasi, Ali | | Ghias | i Qu | natum/Marvell | |
| Comment All syn | | Comment Status Cd(1) or Ls(1) the "(1)" | | | <i>al) (bucket) COM parameters</i> script |
| Suggested Please | <i>Remedy</i> make it inline | | | | |
| • | Response OSED REJEC | Response Status T. | w | | |
| Resolv | ve using the rea | sponse to comment #37 | 78. | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 179 SC 179.10.1 Page 130 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 179 SC 179.11 | P 412 | L 23 | # 621 | C/ 179 | SC 179.11 | P 412 | L 38 | # 50 |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Palkert, Thomas | Samtec, Maco | m | | Mellitz, Ric | hard | Samtec | | |
| 51 | nment Status D | | al) Reference impedance | Comment 7 | | Comment Status D | | lectrical) Channel SCMR |
| The CR specification should us SuggestedRemedy add line in Table 179-13 to spe | | | embly | skew w interop | ould be includ | w has not been considered for ed in s-parameters passed to been specified. Channel co ble effects. | COM, the effect | of skew on |
| Proposed Response Resp | oonse Status W | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI | VCIPLE. | | | | | -13—Cable assembly charac nmon mode ratio (SCMR_CF | | / |
| Resolve using the response to | comment #63. | | | Add se | ction based or | slides 12 and 14 | , | |
| C/ 179 SC 179.11 | P 412 | L 29 | # 138 | https:// df | www.ieee802. | org/3/dj/public/adhoc/electrica | al/23_1207/mellitz | _3dj_elec_01_231207.p |
| Noujeim, Leesa | Google | | | | | vith sigma_tn^2 from equation g10(sigma_ts^2 / VCM_CH | | =1 (no TxFFE) |
| Comment Type TR Con | nment Status D | | (Electrical) CA ILdd | Proposed F | | Response Status W | ~2) | |
| Ilddmin is unreasonably high. | | | | | DSED REJEC | • | | |
| SuggestedRemedy Change 16dB to 13dB Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED REJECT. The current value was adopted <https: 3="" <br="" dj="" www.ieee802.org="">page=89>. There were no contributions th with loss lower than 16 dB. Note that cable assembly mea cable, and that the insertion loss</https:> | comments/D1p1/8023 at showed availability, surements include two | dj_D1p1_comr need, or data MCBs and the | nents_final_clause.pdf# of cable assemblies eir counterparts in the | the res Althoug contribu and the The ref (<https .pdf>) v by later (<https 26.pdf></https </https | ulting mode co gh this topic ha uted cable cha erenced presse ://www.ieee80 was made prio comments. A ://www.ieee80 .), but there is | ts an additional specification inversion) through measured is been discussed in the task nnels have "nominal skew" tion of how well the issue is a ntation 2.org/3/dj/public/adhoc/electri r to draft 1.0, but was not ado nother contribution was provi 2.org/3/dj/public/adhoc/optics still no indication of consense data contribution in this area | cable s-paramete force, there is ins The suggested cha addressed by the s ical/23_1207/melli pted as part of the ded more recently /0625_OPTX/mell us on this proposa | rs. sufficient data, since ange is not obvious fix, suggested limit. itz_3dj_elec_01_231207 e baseline proposals or / litz_3dj_adhoc_02_2506 |
| The comment does not indicat | e a problem that need | s to be solved. | | Cl 179 | SC 179.11. | 1 P 412 | L 47 | # 65 |
| The comment does not provide | Sufficient justification | to support the | suggested remedy. | Suggested | <i>Type</i> TR erence imped | Samtec Comment Status D ance for measurement should | | al) Reference impedance st fixture reference. |
| | | | | Change | e line to: | | | |

| TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene | ral required T/technical E/editorial G/general | C/ 179 | Page 131 of 184 |
|---|--|-------------|---------------------|
| COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected | RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn | SC 179.11.1 | 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM |
| SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line | | | |

| C/ 179 SC 179.11.1 | P 412 | L 47 | # 613 | C/ 179 | SC 179.11.3 | P 413 | L 6 | # 599 |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| Palkert, Thomas | Samtec, Maco | om | | Kocsis, S | am | Amphenol | | |
| Comment Type TR All impedance values : SuggestedRemedy | Comment Status D should be 92.5 ohms | ctrica | al) Reference impedance | The ir | RL of a cable ass | Comment Status D sembly at TP1 and TP4 is de mpedance is inferred from 1 | efined without a 179.11.1, 100-oh | nm. The use of a 100- |
| Change reference imp | edance to 92.5 ohms | | | | • | nce for ERL is not consisten | it throughout D2 | P0. |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT | Response Status W | | | Suggester Add d Anne | efinition of a 92.5 | -ohm reference impedance | for the ERL com | nputation, consistent with |
| Resolve using the resp | oonse to comment #63. | | | | Response OSED ACCEPT | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| C/ 179 SC 179.11.2 Dudek, Mike | P 412 Marvell | L 29 | # 529 | | | onse to comment #63. | | |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) CA ILdd | C/ 179 | SC 179.11.3 | P 413 | L 6 | # 653 |
| | n loss is 19dB with a minimur g for measurement accuracy a | | | Swenson, Comment | Type TR | Nokia, Point. Comment Status D | ctric | cal) Reference impedance |
| reduction in the Test 1 Table 179-11 from 15. | e cable minimum loss (for all c test channel insertion losses 5 Min and 16.5 max to 14.5 m | and Cable asse in and 15.5 may | mbly insertion losses in Also modifying | Suggeste | - | how to terminate the far end | | Ū. |
| reduction in the Test 1 Table 179-11 from 15. Table 179A-3 replacir Figure 179A-3 (includi from TP0d to TP5d an 4.1 in the second equa Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT | test channel insertion losses 5 Min and 16.5 max to 14.5 m of 16 with 15 for ILddCA,min a ng the footnotes from 13dB to d 15 instead of of 16 in the first ation footnote. Response Status W | and Cable asse and 15.5 may and 13 with 12 fo 12dB for the mi | mbly insertion losses in | Suggester Speci Proposed PROF The d from a | y a source imped <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT efinition of ERL ir in appropriately fi | dance and a termination imp Response Status W | bedance for the E that "PTDR(t) m neter (TDR), or o | ERL measurement. |
| reduction in the Test 1 Table 179-11 from 15. Table 179A-3 replacir Figure 179A-3 (includi from TP0d to TP5d an 4.1 in the second equa Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT Resolve using the resp C/ 179 SC 179.11.3 Mellitz, Richard Comment Type TR | test channel insertion losses 5 Min and 16.5 max to 14.5 m ing 16 with 15 for ILddCA,min a ing the footnotes from 13dB to d 15 instead of of 16 in the first ation footnote. Response Status W bonse to comment #138. | and Cable asse in and 15.5 may and 13 with 12 fo 12dB for the mi st equation footr | mbly insertion losses in | Suggester Speci Proposed PROF The d from a from r The re 179.1 termir meas | y a source imped Response OSED ACCEPT efinition of ERL in appropriately fine asured different ference different 1.1 as 100 Ohm. ation with the refurement. | dance and a termination imp <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. n 93A.5 (802.3-2022) states Itered time domain reflecton | that "PTDR(t) m neter (TDR), or o S(f) <>" embly specification ameters measure e obvious for an | ERL measurement. hay be acquired directly derived mathematically ions is defined in ement. For TDR, hy person conducting the |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 179 SC 179.11.3 Page 132 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| oujeim, LeesaGoogleomment TypeTComment StatusD(Electrical) | Dawe, Piers Nvidia |
|--|--|
| | |
| | ERL Comment Type TR Comment Status D (Electrical) CR host class |
| ERL calculation shouldn't de-embed to just before mating interface; this language was | Add 4th host class: |
| inherited from adjustment of HCB, but doesn't apply to CATF in the same way. CA ERI should include the connector and launch but this would be removed with the definition of Tfx currently in the draft | CA-A HL HL, HN, HH or HH2 4 |
| uggestedRemedy | HN HL, HN, or HH 3 HH HL or HN 2 |
| Reword to remove reference to the mating interface discontinuity; Tfx should include the RF test connector only. | HH2 HL 1 |
| roposed Response Response Status W | Proposed Response Response Status W |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. CATF (MCB) can have discontinuities or loss prior to the mating interface. These should | PROPOSED REJECT. There is no definition of HH2. be |
| time gated, otherwise the measurement can be influenced by the CATF more than the cable itself. However, the text is unclear about whether the CATF connector should be included in the measurement or time-gated out. This may be worth clarification. | The comment does not indicate a problem that needs to be solved. The comment does not provide sufficient justification to support the suggested remedy. |
| For CRG discussion of what the intent is. | C/ 179 SC 179.11.7.1 P416 L27 # 237 |
| / 179 SC 179.11.3 P413 L19 # 622 | Mellitz, Richard Samtec |
| alkert, Thomas Samtec, Macom omment Type TR Comment Status D ctrical) Reference imped | Comment Type TR Comment Status D ctrical) Reference impedant Adjust COM voltage to 46.25 ohms measurement reference. Comment Status D Comment Status Commen |
| The CR specification should use 92.5 ohm impedance for cable assembly ERL | SuggestedRemedy |
| uggestedRemedy | Change |
| add line in Table 179-14 to specify 92.5 ohm impedance | A_vto 0.415 A feto 0.415 |
| | A_100 0.415 A_neto 0.609 |
| roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. | Proposed Response Response Status W |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. | PROPOSED REJECT. |
| Resolve using the response to comment #63. | There are several comments related to the reference impedance. The editorial team will prepare a proposal for resolving all these comments. |
| | This comment seems to assume that the measurement of v_f is done on a load of 46.25 Ohm single-ended and therefore to obtain the specified limits from the reference transmitter the values need to change. However, there is no proposal to specify measurement on a 46.25 Ohm load. |
| | |

C/ 179 SC 179.11.7.1

| C/ 179 | SC 179.11.7 | .1 P417 | L 8 | # 373 | Cl 179 | SC 179.11. | 7.1 | P 417 | L 21 | # 257 |
|---|--|---|-------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|---|--|------------------|--|
| Ghiasi, Ali | i | Ghiasi Qunat | um/Marvell | | Shakiba, H | ossein | | Huawei Techr | ologies Canada | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | (1 | Electrical) CR host classes | Comment | Type TR | Comment | Status D | rical) (| COM quantization noise |
| | | partial channel for different ho | ost classes, it w | ould be helpful to also | Followi | ng first comme | ent, quantizatio | n noise paramet | ers should be ad | ded to Table 179-18. |
| | | he 3 partial channels | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| Host p | dRemedy Partial HL Class bartial NL Class bartial HH Class | oss = 9.4 dB | | | slide 10 Also, s | 6 of the accom ee shakiba_3c | ipanying docum lj_elec_01_250 | ent for the prop 626.pdf. | | table. Please refer to |
| see be Host H | adds the MCB k elow and similar HL Class loss = 4 NL Class loss = 9 | 1.9 dB | ue then that w | ould give host channel | | OSED ACCEP | Response T IN PRINCIPL sponse to comr | .E. | | |
| | HH Class loss = : | | | | Cl 179 | SC 179.11. | 7.1 | P 418 | L18 | # 256 |
| | | the not max or min losses, so | ome explanatio | n why value in table 179- | Shakiba, H | ossein | | Huawei Techr | ologies Canada | |
| For the | ed which inline to | go with Zp=140 mm will resu o max loss in table 179A-1. | t in loss of 18. | 3 dB when MCB is | | 51 | | Status D value for One-s | , | COM quantization noise ral density in Table |
| | Response | Response Status W | | | Suggested | | | | | |
| PROPOSED REJECT. The comment suggests adding the ILdd values corresponding to the partial host channel of each host class. That could be done by adding another row in Table 179-17. However, the ILdd value is just a result of the existing information in the table, and is not a | | | | le 179-17. in the table, and is not a | Change One-sided noise spectral density parameter value in the table (page 418, line 18). Please refer to slide 16 of the accompanying document for the proposed change. Also, see shakiba_3dj_elec_01_250626.pdf. | | | | | |
| | | Thus, this row would only be ost channel and thus would n | | | Proposed F | Response | Response | Status W | | |
| The N | |). able includes references to th annel ILdd values are listed. | ne informative a | annexes where the | | | T IN PRINCIPL | | | |
| Tecom | imended nost ci | | | | CI 179A | SC 179A.4 | | P 818 | L 37 | # 656 |
| C/ 179 | SC 179.11.7 | .1 P417 | L 8 | # 372 | Swenson, | Norman | | Nokia, Point2 | | |
| Ghiasi, Ali | i | Ghiasi Qunat | um/Marvell | | Comment | Type TR | Comment | Status D | ctrical |) (bucket) LInk Diagram |
| Comment The or | 51 | Comment Status D st classes are defined is in Ta | • | Electrical) CR host classes | | | annel loss is to i ", which is amb | | d host/cable con | nector. But the text |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| | • | e 179A-1 or Host classes sho | ould be added t | to the glossary | Change | e "host connec | ctor" to "mated | host/cable conne | ector". | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | Proposed F | Response | Response | Status W | | |
| PROPOSED REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #370. | | | The ho include | | s provided as a nector up to the | | | a. The host channel connector, which the | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 179A SC 179A.4 Page 134 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 179A | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|-------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| U II JA | SC 179A.4 | P 818 | L 40 | # 502 | C/ 179A | SC 179A.5 | P 819 | L 8 | # 509 |
| Dudek, Mil | ke | Marvell | | | Dudek, Mik | ; | Marvell | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | (Ele | ectrical) Host connector | Comment T | vpe T | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket |
| | | that the assumed mated conn nector losses for cable/pcb/pa | | ss is 2.45dB. Host | and ma | imum insertio | ot show the maximum inser n loss of the cable. There i possible and the maximum | s no illustration of | this as there are |
| Suggested | lRemedy | | | | | eously allowe | | values of all the h | |
| | | e. "The recommended maxin | | | SuggestedF | , | | | |
| | ctor insertion los | are consistent with the host c s of 2.45 dB." If this is not c | | | Change | | ated in Figure 179A-3" to "a | nd is illustrated for | r the HN to HN channel |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | | Proposed R | esponse | Response Status W | | |
| Replac (TP0d- | -to-TP2) or (TP3- | nce with "The recommended r -to-TP5d) are consistent with t specified in 179B.2.1." | | | The firs the text budget, | reference to l describes the which is desc | IN PRINCIPLE. Figure 179A-3 in the second maximum insertion loss, bu ribed later in the paragraph are of "and illustrated in Figure. | t the figure shows (the second refere | the minimum loss ence is correct). |
| C/ 179A | SC 179A.4 | P 818 | L 53 | # 657 | sentend | e instead: "An | example of the channel los | | |
| Swenson, | Norman | Nokia, Point2 | | | 0 | | ited in Figure 179A-2". nce "The HN-to-HN link con | iguration is illustr | atod in Figuro 170A 2" |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | ctrical |) (bucket) Link Diagram | | ent with editori | | iguration is inustra | aled in Figure 175A-2. |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | st-High (HH) should be 4.45 to | o 18.95. | | C/ 179A | SC 179A.5 | P819 | | |
| The Ra | ange(dB) for Hos | | | | | | | / 38 | # 504 |
| | 0 () | | | | | | | L 38 | # 594 |
| Suggested | 0 () | sen ligh (nin) should be 4.45 k | | | Kocsis, Sar | 1 | Amphenol | | |
| Suggested Chang Proposed I PROP | IRemedy je 18.5 to 18.95 Response OSED ACCEPT | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. | | | Kocsis, Sar Comment T | n vpe TR = illustration ir | | | (Electrical) CR test fixture |
| Suggested Chang Proposed I PROP The ex | Remedy 18.5 to 18.95 Response | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. a typo. | | | Kocsis, Sar Comment T The MT hard to SuggestedF | <i>pe</i> TR illustration ir validate. emedy | Amphenol Comment Status D Figure 179A-1 allocates ar | informative refer | (Electrical) CR test fixture ence of the MCB that is |
| Suggested Chang Proposed I PROP The ex | IRemedy je 18.5 to 18.95 Response OSED ACCEPT kisting number is | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. a typo. | | | Kocsis, Sar Comment T The MT hard to SuggestedF Move th | <i>pe</i> TR illustration ir validate. emedy | Amphenol Comment Status D | informative refer | (Electrical) CR test fixture ence of the MCB that is |
| Suggested Chang Proposed I PROP The ex | IRemedy je 18.5 to 18.95 Response OSED ACCEPT kisting number is | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. a typo. | | | Kocsis, Sar Comment T The MT hard to SuggestedF Move th | <i>type</i> TR Fillustration ir validate. <i>emedy</i> e allocation m is in 179B.3 | Amphenol Comment Status D Figure 179A-1 allocates ar | informative refer | (Electrical) CR test fixture ence of the MCB that is |

C/ 179A SC 179A.5

| C/ 179A | SC 179A.5 | P 820 | L 39 | # 289 |
|------------|-----------|------------------|------|------------------------------|
| Heck, Howa | rd | TE Connectivity | | |
| Comment Ty | vpe TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) CR test fixture |

MCB loss specified in the lower left of Figure 179A-1 is not directly measurable as it is currently specified. Indirect measurement methods do not provide the necessary accuracy. The version of the figure in D1.4 was measureable and reverting back to it will resolve the problem. Equation 179B-2 requires modification to make it accurately represent the MCB insertion loss measured with the 2Xthru method

SuggestedRemedy

Change Figure 179A-1 back to the version that was in D1.4 in which the MCB loss was specified as 2.7dB to the MCB via. Change Equation 179B-2 to IL_catref = - $0.0067*f^{1.5+0.0309*f}-0.2523*sqrt(f)+0.0868$. Change the Ildd_catf curve in Figure179B-1 to match the updated equation. A supporting contribution is planned for presentation at the June 26 electrical ad hoc meeting.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

A presentation related to the comment was reviewed in the P802.3dj ad hoc meeting: https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/adhoc/optics/0625_OPTX/ellison_3dj_adhoc_01_250626.pdf

The presentation noted that the MCB cannot be verified directly against the current specifications (which include the connector) and that this can also lead to mated pairs with non-compliant HCBs.

The proposed change is to move the demarcation line of the MCB loss in Figure 179A-1 to exclude the MCB via and the connector (implicitly leaving 3.25 dB for the MCB via and connector) and change Equation 179B-2 to represent only the MCB transmission line.

This proposal would leave the receptacle (part of the MCB) unspecified and unverifiable, and would contradict the text in 179B.3.1 referring to Equation 179B-2 as "The insertion loss of the cable assembly test fixture PCB, test point, connector and any associated vias". Additionally, it is based on an assumption that the connector+via is always the same (e.g. 3.25 dB at 53.125 GHz), but this may vary between form factors and receptacle designs. If this assumption is taken, the suggested MCB loss can be calculated from the informative budget in Figure 179A–1: 5.95-3.25=2.7 dB, and need not be specified.

Equation 179B-2 is a reference and not a specification for test fixtures. The normative specifications are for mated test fixtures in 179B.4. The need to qualify each test fixture separately against the reference is recognized, but methods for achieving that must not ignore the receptable. Further contributions in this area would be welcome.

Note that Figure 179A–1 is informative and is not meant to represent the MCB specification - it is an illustration of loss values (at a single frequency) in Table 179A-1, which are informative for host design (the subject of the 179A.4). See the text describing the figure in 179A.4. The mated test fixure information in Figure 179A–1 is ancillary. This can be clarified further.

Append the following sentence to the text of 179A.4: "These insertion loss values are not

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

expected to be directly measurable."

In the bottom NOTE in Figure 179A-1, add "The MCB ILdd allocation includes the receptacle." In the title of Figure 179A-1, change "insertion loss" to "insertion loss budget".

Add "insertion loss budget" to the titles of Figure 179A-2 and Figure 179A-3.

| C/ 179A | SC 179A.5 | P 821 | L 4 | # 658 |
|------------|-----------|------------------|------------|------------------------------|
| Swenson, N | lorman | Nokia, Point2 | | |
| Comment Ty | /pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) CR test fixture |

What is the extra rectangle labeled Paddle/Wire Termination shown in Fig. 179A-2 that is not shown in the mated test fixtures in Fig 179A-1? It is not explained in the text.

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The rectangle and labels "Paddle/Wire Termination" serve as demarcation of the cable assembly and the host channel, in Figures 179A-1, 2, and 3. The "Paddle" and "Wire Termination" are structures associated with the cable assembly, and are not necessarily present in an HCB (or Mated Test Fixture). The labels are used to identify specific structures that are not documented elsewhere in the figure.

These figures provide illustration as appropriate within an informative Annex. Similar figures with the same features are included in in Annex 162A, added by IEEE Std 802.3ck.

The suggested remedy does not contain sufficient detail for the CRG to discuss a specific change.

C/ 179A SC 179A.5 Page 136 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 179A SC 179A.7 | P 822 | L13 | # 510 | C/ 179B | SC 1 | 179B.1 | P823 | L19 | # 43 |
|---|--|------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| Dudek, Mike | Marvell | | | Mellitz, Rich | nard | | Samtec | | |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | Comment T | ype | TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) MTF - ILdo |
| | ot show that Device package r ere are no such things as TP0 | | | set of c physics particula | oefficie of the ar freq | ent powers test fixtu | using the equation": The Inse s (eq 179B-3, 4, and 5) which e design nor to compliance te d been demonstrated wander | do not appea sting. Measu | r to be tied to the rements of IL at a |
| | ence "Device package models | | | | | | | | |
| channel (Figure 179A- TP0d to TP5d channe | -3);" or replace it with "Device | package mode | ls are included in the | SuggestedF Replace | | У | | | |
| | , , , | | | | | e insertior | loss of the mated test fixture | s is 9.75 dB a | it 53.125 GHz usina |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | Equatio | | | | | Ŭ |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The fact that the TP0d-TP5d channel includes the packages does not need to be accompanied by a figure. These test points are referenced many times in Annex 179A. However, their definition is in 179.8.1 and is not explicitly referenced. In 179A.7, change | | | | With: "The reference fitted insertion loss of the mated test fixtures is 9.75 dB at 53.125 GHz." This resolution is tied to the comment suggesting the removal of sections 17B.2.1, 179B.3.1, 179B.4.1 In other sections and appendixes, the fit loss at Nyquist shall be used for budgeting test | | | | | |
| , 0 | els are included in the TP0d a | nd TP5d chanr | el (Figure 179A–3)" | setups. | | | D | | |
| 1 0 | odels are included in the TP0c | d-to-TP5d chan | nel". | Proposed R | , | | Response Status W | | |
| 800GBASE-CR4, and | points are illustrated in the 200 1.6TBASE-CR8 link block dia e defined in 179.8.1 and illustr | gram of Figure | 179–2" | The cor | nment rence | insertion | nat a fitting operation is applie oss is never measured, so no | | |
| C/ 179B SC 179B | P 823 | L 39 | # 602 | C/ 179B | SC 1 | 179B.1 | P823 | L 22 | # 514 |
| Kocsis, Sam | Amphenol | | | Dudek, Mik | е | | Marvell | | |
| Comment Type ER | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | Comment T | ype | TR | Comment Status D | ctrie | cal) Reference impedance |
| Flip the order of polyn clauses. | omial from decreasing to incre | asing to align f | ormatting with older | | | | ces for measuring the test fixt ifferential) | ures is not list | ted except for the ERL |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | SuggestedF | Remed | y | | | |
| Impacted equations: 1 | 79B-1, -2, -3, -4, -5 | | | Add the | sente | nce (or a | reference impedance subsect | tion) stating "T | The reference |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP ⁻ | Response Status W | | | commo | n-mod | e specific | al specifications is 92.5 ohms ations is 25 Ohms unless spe 0 Ohm for the differential mea | cified otherwis | |
| | | | | Proposed R | espon | se | Response Status W | | |
| | | | | PROPC | SED / | ACCEPT | , IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Resolve using the response to comment #63.

C/ 179B SC 179B.1

| C/ 179B | SC 179B.2 | P823 | L 27 | # 44 | C/ 179B | | SC 179B.2.1 | SC 179B.2.1 P823 | SC 179B.2.1 P823 L34 |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|------------------------------|---|--|
| Mellitz, Rich | ard | Samtec | | | Dudek, Mike | | | Marvell | Marvell |
| omment Ty | vpe TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) MTF - ILdd | Comment Ty | pe TR | | Comment Status D | Comment Status D |
| | | ation uses a complicated set o d to the physics of the test fixed | | | The loss | needs to be b | | etter defined to be less amb | etter defined to be less ambiguous. |
| SuggestedR | | | ure design no | in to compliance testing | SuggestedRe | emedy | | | |
| Replace "The TP: measurin test poin with: The TP2 measurin test poin is 3.8 dB The norr mellitz_3 fmin = 0. | 2 or TP3 test fing the transmit ts are illustrate t or TP3 test fing the transmit ts have a norm analized signal | | s at TP2 and npliance Boa s at TP2 and .46 and 0.52 d according to | TP3. The TP2 and TP3 rd) is required for TP3. The TP2 and TP3 V^2. The fit insertion loss o ### (slide 7 in | mated te loss used sentence Proposed Re PROPOS The sugg an addition necessan Discuss | st fixture minu d when measu s. sponse SED ACCEPT gested remedy onal ambiguity rily less ambig with comment | s ri II r u # | the loss of the specific TP. ng the mated text fixture lo <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. addresses the amibiguity ir egarding the definition of II bus. | N PRINCIPLE. addresses the amibiguity in the definiton egarding the definition of ILtfref. As a re ous. 289. |
| Remove Proposed Re | | .2.1 Response Status W | | | | | | | |
| • | • | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | | | | | |
| | | onse to comment #46. | | | | | | | |
| C/ 179B | SC 179B.2 | P823 | L 29 | # 511 | | | | | |
| Dudek, Mike |) | Marvell | | | | | | | |
| Comment Ty The TP2 show | | Comment Status D points are not well illustrated | n Figure 179- | <i>(Electrical) (bucket)</i> 2 as it does not really | | | | | |
| SuggestedR | emedy | | | | | | | | |
| Add "and | d figure 179A-1 | after Figure 179-2 | | | | | | | |
| Figure 1 is not a g | SED ACCEPT 79-2 does not a good reference | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. show the test fixtures where T | | are defined (HCBs), so it | | | | | |

C/ 179B SC 179B.2.1

| C/ 179B | SC 179B.2.1 | P 823 | L 34 | # 512 |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| Dudek, Mike | | Marvell | | |
| Comment Ty | be TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) CR test fixture |

The point at which the loss is defined needs to be better defined not left ambiguous.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the sentence "The printed circuit board insertion loss is defined as the loss between the reference plane of the RF test connector and the end of the gold fingers on the HCB" between the 1st and 2nd sentences. An alternative (less desirable in my opinion) sentence would be "The printed circuit board insertion loss is defined as the loss between the reference plane of the RF test connector and the nominal contact location on the gold finger".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The term "gold fingers" in the suggested remedy is not defined or used in 802.3 or its normative references (to the best knowledge of the editors).

Both OSFP and QSFP-DD use the term "edge connector". OSFP uses the term "contact pads" (section 3.5: "The OSFP module contains a PCB with contact pads...") and QSFP-DD uses the term "pads" (section 4.1: "The <...> module edge connector consists of a single paddle card with 38 pads on the top and 38 pads on the bottom".

The nominal pad dimensions are 1.07 mm x 0.45 mm in QSFP-DD1600 (Figure 81). OSFP is likely similar (though the dimensions are not stated explicitly). The loss difference across the pad seems insignificant, but the nominal point is likely the center.

Also, The "shall" in the text is vague and does not represent any verifiable requirement. Some rewording is suggested.

In 179B.2.1, change from

"The TP2 or TP3 test fixture printed circuit board (PCB) insertion loss values determined using Equation (179B–1) shall be used as the TP2 or TP3 test fixture reference insertion loss."

to

"The TP2 or TP3 test fixture insertion loss is defined as the insertion loss between the reference plane of the RF test connector and the center of the edge connector pad. The reference insertion loss is defined by Equation (179B–1) and illustrated by Figure 179B–1". Delete "The TP2 or TP3 test fixture PCB reference insertion loss is illustrated in Figure 179B–1."

Make similar rewording in 179B.3.1. Implement with editorial license.

| C/ 179B | SC 179B.2.1 | P823 | L34 | # 600 | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--|-----|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Kocsis, Sa | m | Amphenol | | | | | | | |
| Comment 7 | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) CR test fixture | | | | | |
| Text says "TP2 or TP3 test fixture printed circuit board board (PCB) insertion loss values" implies only PCB material is used in the HCB fixture reference. This is not always the case | | | | | | | | | |
| Suggestedl | Remedy | | | | | | | | |
| | | board (PCB)". Test fixture car There are (3) instances in thi | | | | | | | |

Proposed Response Response Status W

Resolve using the response to comment #512.

| C/ 179B SC | 179B.2.1 | P 823 | L 39 | # 328 |
|--------------|----------|------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Brown, Matt | | Alphawave Semi | | |
| Comment Type | Е | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) |

Variable subscripts should be normal font rather than italic font unless the subscript represents another variable, e.g. an index, f_i where i is and index variable.

SuggestedRemedy

Change variable subscripts to normal font where appropriate through Annex 179B.

| Proposed Response | Response Status | W | |
|--------------------|-----------------|----|--|
| r roposed nesponse | Response Status | ** | |

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

| C/ 179B | SC 179B.2.1 | P 824 | L12 | # 659 |
|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
| Swenson, | Norman | Nokia, Point2 | | |
| Comment ⁻ | Type ER | Comment Status D | t | rical) (bucket) CR test fixture |
| Curve | label is inconsiste | ent with the text. | | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | |

Change ILdd_{catf} to ILdd_{catfref}

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 179B SC 179B.2.1 Page 139 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 179B | SC 179B.3 | P823 | L 27 | # 45 | C/ 179B | SC 179B.3.1 | P 824 | L 33 | # 601 |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Mellitz, Ric | chard | Samtec | | | Kocsis, Sa | m | Amphenol | | |
| Comment T | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) MTF - ILdd | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) CR test fixture |
| | | uation uses a complicated set ied to the physics of the test fi | | | has pr | oven to be difficu | hbly test fixture PCB, test po It to validate. Since the effect rence insertion loss are to be | cts of the diffe | erences between an actual |
| measu test po With: The TF measu | ce: ible assembly iring the cable ints are illustra P1 or TP4 test iring the transn | test fixture (also known as Mor assembly specifications in 179 ated in Figure 179–2. fixture (also known as Host Co nitter and receiver specification rmalize signal power between | 9.11 at TP1 and ompliance Boar ns at TP2 and ⁻ | I TP4. The TP1 and TP4 d) is required for IP3. The TP2 and TP3 | should Suggestea Replac with "c transiti instanc Proposed | be more tangible Remedy e "cable assemb able assembly te on". Update Equ ce in this section. | e. oly test fixture PCB, test poin st fixture, from the RF conne ation 179B-1 appropriately, a <i>Response Status</i> W | nt, ocnnector ector refrence | and any associated vias" e plane to the MDI |
| mellitz_ fmin = | _3dj_03_2505' | al power (P_signal) is calculat ') with fb = 106.25 GHz, Tt = nax = 67 GHz. /B.3.1 | | | Resolv | e using the resp | onse to comment #289. | | |
| | OSED ACCEP | Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE. sponse to comment #46. | | | | | | | |
| C/ 179B | SC 179B.3 | | L32 | # 660 | | | | | |
| Swenson, | | Nokia, Point2 | | | | | | | |
| Comment | | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) CR test fixture | | | | | |
| fixture determ | and the referent ined, given that | e effects of differences betwee nce insertion loss" are to be at the specification in 179B.4 Is t Fixture by itself. | | | | | | | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | | | | | |
| Explair | n how the diffe | rences are to be determined. | | | | | | | |
| Proposed H | Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | |
| The tes 179B.4 The se measu beyond embed The sta Similar | t is not mention entence "The e irements." is no d the scope of and re-embed andard does no | ence insertion loss is provided ned. ffects of differences <> are t ot prescriptive, because metho 802.3. As examples, users ma d S-parameters, or choose to a ot recommend a specific choi- n multiple previous annexes a | o be accounted ods of compens ay use test equi apply guard bar ce. | I for in the ating for differences are pment features to de- ids at the specifications. | | | | | |
| TYPE: TR/ | technical requi | red ER/editorial required GR | general require | ed T/technical E/editorial | G/general | | C/ 17 | 79B | Page 140 of 184 |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 179B SC 179B.3.1 Page 140 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 179B | SC 179B.4 | P 825 | L 3 | # 46 |
|---------------|-----------|------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Mellitz, Rich | ard | Samtec | | |
| Comment Ty | vpe TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) MTF - ILdd |

The Insertion loss specification uses a complicated reference line (eq 179B-3, 4, and 5) which does not appear to be tied to the physics of the test fixture design nor to compliance testing measurements. The reason for the 1.5 power term is not defined. The equation was developed as an average of measurements (kocsis_3dj_adhoc_01_250206). The normalized signal power is expected to track performance better than the specified frequency masks and reference lines

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:

"The TP2 or TP3 test fixture and the cable assembly test fixture are specified in a mated state illustrated in Figure 92–18. The mated test fixtures specifications are given below." With:

The TP2 or TP3 test fixture and the cable assembly test fixture has a normalized signal power (P_signal) of the Insertion loss shall be between 0.31 and 0.34 V^2. The normalized signal power (P_signal) is calculated according to ### (slide 7 in mellitz_3dj_03_2505") with fb = 106.25 GHz, Tt = 6 ps, and fr = 0.55 x fb over the range fmin = 0.05 GHz to fmax = 67 GHz.

Remove section: 179B.3.1 to line 1 on page 825.

Keep the following lines:

The FOM_ILD and is calculated according to 93A.4 with fb = 106.25 GHz, Tt = 6 ps, and fr = 0.55 x fb. The fitted insertion loss and insertion loss deviation are computed over the range fmin = 0.05 GHz to fmax = 67 GHz. FOM_ILD shall be less than or equal to 0.15 dB.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The limit lines were adopted by comment #139 against D1.4 (see

<https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/comments/D1p4/8023dj_D1p4_comments_final_id.pdf#page =33> and the related presentation

<https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_03/sekel_3dj_01_2503.pdf>).

The comment points out that detailed physics behind the mated test fixture equations is not provided. However, such information has not been provided with numerous other limit-mask equations in previous clauses. It is unclear what problem with testing compliance of test fixtures.

The suggested remedy offers an alternative method using a "signal power" metric, but it is not clear how it improves the testability or the quality of test fixtures.

Note that test fixtures are specified with relatively tight region around the reference ILdd, in order to limit variability in measurements of hosts, modules, and cables. It is not clear that the suggested remedy achieves that.

For CRG discusssion.

| Noujeim, Leesa Google Comment Type TR Comment Status D (Electrical) MT Spread between IIdd_MTFmin and IIdd_MTFmax curves is too large | Έ - ILdd |
|---|----------|
| Spread between IIdd_MTFmin and IIdd_MTFmax curves is too large | F - ILdd |
| | |
| | |
| SuggestedRemedy | |
| shift the min curve down and the max curve up, especially in 40-60GHz region | |
| Proposed Response Response Status W | |
| REJECT. The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient detail to implement. The comment does not provide justification for the proposed changes. | |
| C/ 179B SC 179B.4.1 P826 L1 # 604 | |
| Kocsis, Sam Amphenol | |
| Comment Type TR Comment Status D (Electrical) Fe | OM_ILD |
| The rise time used in the FOM_ILD calculation is inconsisent with the rise time used ICN calculations | on |
| SuggestedRemedy | |
| Converge to a single rise time setting for mated test fixture calculations and adjust cr pass/fail limits appropriately. | iteria |
| Proposed Response Response Status W | |
| PROPOSED REJECT. The ICN parameters in Table 179B-2 include T_nt and T_ft, both equal to 4.25 ps. Th | iese |
| parameters affect the spectral power density used to calculate ICN. The FOM_ILD parameter T_t is 6 ps. This value affects the weighting function used in calculation of FOM_ILD from ILD (a function of frequency). | n |

Changing any of these parameters will change the results and may indeed require changing the pass/fail limits. The comment does not propose specific parameter values new limits.

The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient detail to implement.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 179B SC 179B.4.1 Page 141 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| | 00 / | | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|---------|--|-----|------------------------------|---|
| C/ 179B | SC 1798 | 3.4.2 | P 826 | L10 | # 603 | C/ 179B SC 179B.4.3 P826 L44 # 516 |
| Kocsis, San | า | | Amphenol | | | Dudek, Mike Marvell |
| Comment Ty | | | Comment Status D | | ctrical) Reference impedance | Comment Type TR Comment Status D (Electrical) MTF - ILdo |
| | | | procedure for adjusting the in one exists in the COM code | | eference impedance for an | There isn't a specification for the differential-mode to common mode insertion loss but theorectically it will be similar to the common mode to differential insertion loss. The |
| SuggestedR | Remedy | | | | | specification in section 179B.4.3 is very weak and an MCB that only just passes this specification would cause a module to fail the 60mV full band AC common-mode |
| | | | to document the procedure mputation requires a referen | | | specification in Table 176D-3 even if the moudle itself has no AC common mode output noise. |
| Proposed R | esponse | | Response Status W | | | SuggestedRemedy |
| | SED REJ using the | | nse to comment #235. | | | Change Equation 179B-6 (and figure 179B-3) to 30-(21/28)*f from 0.01 to 40GHz and 15 from 40GHz to 67GHz which is the scaled equation from clause 162B.4.3 |
| C/ 179B | SC 1798 | 3.4.2 | P826 | L19 | # 624 | Proposed Response Response Status W |
| Palkert, Tho | mas | | Samtec, Maco | n | | PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | | Comment Status D | C | trical) Reference Impedance | The comment claims that the mask is too weak, and specifically that the Clause 179B mask should satisfy the Clause 162B mask at a minimum. The current mask is based on |
| The CR | specificat | ion sho | uld use 92.5 ohm impedanc | | , , | MTF measurements available as of D1P1, as shown in |
| SuggestedR | Remedv | | | | | <https: 24_09="" 3="" dj="" public="" ran_3dj_01_2409.pdf#page="18" www.ieee802.org="">. The proposed resolution may require more justification regarding the specification requirements</https:> |
| 00 | , | 79B-1 | to specify 92.5 ohm impeda | nce | | in Table 176D-3. |
| Proposed R | esponse | | Response Status W | | | For CRG discussion. |
| PROPO | SED ACC | EPT IN | I PRINCIPLE. | | | C/ 179B SC 179B.4.6 P829 L26 # 517 |
| Resolve | using the | respor | nse to comment #63. | | | Dudek, Mike Marvell |
| C/ 179B | SC 179 | 3.4.2 | P826 | L34 | # 515 | Comment Type E Comment Status D (Electrical) (bucket) |
| Dudek, Mike | e | | Marvell | | | Incomplete sentence (no verb) |
| Comment Ty | уре Т | | Comment Status D | C | ctrical) Reference impedance | SuggestedRemedy |
| | | | aking test fixtures that are 9 | | | Change "voltage determined" to "voltage is determined" |
| | | | not feasible and sections of | | | Proposed Response Response Status W |
| relaxed | | on. H | ch degrades this ERL measu owever it is important that th | | | PROPOSED ACCEPT. |

SuggestedRemedy

Consider adding an additional Mated test fixture ERL specification with a tighter value but with the length of the reflection signal reduced and the Time gated propagation delay set to a non-zero value. It may be necessary to have different settings for the different directions of the measurement.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The suggested remedy does not contain sufficient detail so that the CRG can understand the specific change being suggested.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 179B SC 179B.4.6

Page 142 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:48 PM

| C/ 179B | SC 179B.4.6 | P 829 | L39 | # 605 | C/ 179B | SC | 179B.4.6 | P830 | L14 | # 518 | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|----------------|------------------------|--|
| Kocsis, Sa | m | Amphenol | | | Dudek, Mik | ke | | Marvell | | | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) MTF - ICN | Comment 7 | Гуре | Е | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | |
| | | les in the ICN calculations are ansmitter amplitudes. | e not consister | nt with the expected | missing Suggested | | hz | | | | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | SuggestedRemedy change "th" to "the" | | | | | | |
| Adjust the amplitudes match the transmitter swing and scale the criteria pass/fail limits appropriately. | | | | | | Proposed Response Response Status W | | | | | |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | | PROPO | OSED . | ACCEPT. | | | | |
| PROP | OSED REJECT. | | | | C/ 179B | SC | 179B.4.6 | P830 | L 23 | # 47 | |
| The IC | N parameters in | Table 179B-2 include A_nt a | nd A_ft, both e | equal to 600 mV. These | Mellitz, Ric | hard | | Samtec | | | |
| | | ely factors that affect ICN line | | | Comment 7 | Гуре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) MTF - ICN | |
| | 5 V, but that may | dy-state voltages for CR and / change. | | initiers are currently | "Total integrated crosstalk noise voltage" and "MDFEXT integrated crosstalk noise voltage" is system use case dependent. Aft is not relevant. See "mellitz_3dj_03_2505" SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | |
| | | parameters will change the re | | | | | | | | | |
| the same the ICN limits will need to change. The comment does not provide proposed limits. If the idea is that the limits are scaled linearly based on the change of A_nt and A_ft, then the changes would have no practical effect. | | | | | Remove "Total integrated crosstalk noise voltage" lines (24) Add section describing slide 7 on in "mellitz_3dj_03_2505" for SNR_MDFEXT. Replace: | | | | | | |
| | | include sufficient justification e sufficient detail to impleme | | es and suggested | with: | | grated cros | sstalk noise voltage (max) 40 dB | | | |
| C/ 179B | SC 179B.4.6 | P830 | L14 | # 544 | (slide 1 | 0 in m | ellitz_3dj_(| 03_2505") | | | |
| Schreiner, | Stephan | Rosenberger I | Hochfrequenzt | echnik GmbH & Co. KG | Proposed F | | | Response Status W | | | |
| Comment | Type E | Comment Status D | | (Electrical) (bucket) | | | REJECT. | an alternative method to spe | cify far-and c | occtalk (MDEEXT) | |
| | g "e" at the end o | f "the" | | | The comment provides an alternative method to specify far-end crosstalk (MDFEXT) allowance for a mated test fixture. The comment does not demonstrate the benefits of the | | | | | | |
| Suggested | <i>Remedy</i> e "th" to "the" | | | | | | | ared to the existing method, current method still applies t | | replace the existing | |
| Proposed I | | Response Status W | | | | | | eview of the following preser | | | |
| | OSED ACCEPT. | | | | <https: <="" td=""><td>//www.</td><td>ieee802.or</td><td>g/3/dj/public/25_05/mellitz_3</td><td>3dj_03_2505.µ</td><td>odf></td></https:> | //www. | ieee802.or | g/3/dj/public/25_05/mellitz_3 | 3dj_03_2505.µ | odf> | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

C/ 179B SC 179B.4.6

| C/ 179C | SC 179C.1 | P833 | L 25 | # 437 | C/ 179C | SC 179C. | 1 P 834 | L 4 | # 519 |
|---|--|---|-----------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco System | S | | Dudek, Mik | e | Marvell | | |
| Comment Ty | | Comment Status D | • | ectrical) MDI References | Comment T | <i>уре</i> т | Comment Status D | ЭС | trical) MDI pin assignments |
| | re currently no referred to. | specifications, neither final or | draft, of SFP22 | 24 and SFP-DD224 that | | . , | the PMDs on both ends and | the cable pins h | ave to match. |
| | | ot be finalized with references | to undefined sp | pecifications. | SuggestedF Change | | used" to "shall be used" | | |
| | | ide on a deadline for availabi adline, they will need to be re | | cifications. If they are | | DSED ACCE | Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. | a tha a ' da a a f tha a a | |
| SuggestedR | Remedy | | | | | | not necessarily match on b ich are explicitly described i | | able - for example in |
| specifica removed | ations are not a | e beginning of Annex 179C sta available yet, and that all refer ns are not available by the firs | ences to these | connector types will be | Having a partial breakout cable with pins corresponding to other PMD numbers is valid unconventional) and should not be prohibited. In all breakout cases, the host on the "wide" MDI should be aware of the cable type and configured as to which lanes (PMD numbers) are used for each link in the cable. This is mentioned in this annex, and is worth noting. | | | | |
| These n | notes should rep | place the notes in 179C.2.1 a | nd 179C.2.2. | | menuor | | inex, and is worth noting. | | |
| Proposed R PROPO The con connect | esponse OSED ACCEPT nment identifies tor types define | 9.11.7.2.2 and 179.12 where <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. s an issue regarding the comp d in Annex 179C. onse to comment #483. | | | NOTE of PMD configu Add a s | In cases w s, such as in ration by ma similar note i | | the ends of the ca | able have different number |

C/ 179C SC 179C.1
| C/ 179C | SC 179C.2.1 | | P839 | L 45 | # 483 | C/ 180 | SC 180.2 | | P 432 | L 33 | # 396 |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| D'Ambrosia | ı, John | | Futurewei, U.S | 6. Subsidiary of | fHuawei | Mi, Guang | jcan | | Huawei Tech | nnologies Co., L | _td |
| Comment T | ype TR | Comment S | Status D | (C | ommon) MDI References | Comment | Type TR | Commer | nt Status D | (| Common) Block error rati |
| The refe expecte It is not specific The cur The IEE | ed to include be clear that the r ations. rrent state of de EE P802.3dj sta comment for 1 | | o of this standar 224 specificatio FF-1031 or SF t be approved | d. on will include 2 P-DD is unclea | | param ratio n volum receiv modul While | neter are defined netric. They will t e in the million p er sensitivity is a e vendor, systen | as the input be tested for ports/year leve a huge factor m vendor and maybe theor | OMA at which the each module to el now. That me in cost, both in the the end user. retically perfect, | he receiver hits be shipped, wh ans the time sp terms of CAPE) it is almost imp | o most important opitcal the threshold of an error nich currently has a bent on testing the X and OPEX of the hossible to implement |
| 00 | - | d as the state i | of dovelopment | hin noted area | aizationa ia unalaar | | | | | | |
| Two options are offered, as the state of development in noted organizations is unclear. 1. If development is underway in noted organizations, modify the note to indicate that if the specification is not received for consideration by the Task Force by Jan 2026, the note will be removed and the MDI will be noted in a non-specific manner. 2. Remove any references to the SFF specification and make the section generic. | | | | | | test_b days t produ obser | lock_error_bin_i o observe 1 even cts, performance ve. And to have s | i is impractica nt in bin 15 ir are expecte statistical cor | al in both DVT and in the cases of th and to be better the nfidence, one wo | nd volume testir e upper limit Hr an Hmax, maki puld even requir | esult for each of the ng. An estimated of 10 max. For practical ng it even longer to re to observe over 10 |
| | | | | | | times of the event to make it representative, or the data set to "be sufficiently large to | | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The comment identifies an issue regarding the completeness of the refrences to the MDI connector types defined in Annex 179C. For each of the references noted in the comment, add the following editor's note: "When this draft was published this reference was not available. If this reference is not available for review for the P802.3dj Task Force by January 2026 IEEE 802.3 interim meeting then the reference will be deleted and related MDI specifications will be deleted or appropriately modified (proposal required)." | | | | | | | y verify". | | sentative, or the | | |
| PROPC The cor connect For eac "When t availabl meeting appropr | DSED ACCEPT mment identifie tor types define th of the referent this draft was p le for review for then the referent trately modified | IN PRINCIPLE s an issue rega d in Annex 179 nees noted in th ublished this re the P802.3dj T ence will be del (proposal requi | E. Irding the comp IC. Ie comment, ac Iference was no Task Force by J eted and relate | ld the following ot available. If t anuary 2026 IE | editor's note: his reference is not EEE 802.3 interim | reliabl My pro a time being The c somet ratio (espec requir | y verify". evious contributio span of several used today (a fe lata also showed imes even impo which is calculat ially when it com ement can be de | on with 100G mins would ew seconds), d that statistic ssible. This e red using the nes to quantit ependent on a | i/L data and Mic be required to g that is ~10 time cal projection ca sliminates the bl histogram) bein tative compariso an engineer's ex | hael He's 200G et reasonable re is the length. n be very subjec ock error histog g objective met n. Whether or n perience and ju | /L data have shown that esult. Comparing what is ctive approach, iram and the block error ric for link performance, not a DUT passes the idgement. This is not a |
| PROPC The cor connect For eac "When t availabl meeting appropr | DSED ACCEPT mment identifie tor types define th of the referent this draft was p le for review for then the referent trately modified | IN PRINCIPLE s an issue rega d in Annex 179 nces noted in th ublished this re the P802.3dj T ence will be dele (proposal requi al license. | E. Irding the comp IC. Ie comment, ac Iference was no Task Force by J eted and relate | ld the following ot available. If t anuary 2026 IE | editor's note: his reference is not EEE 802.3 interim | reliabl My pro a time being The c somet ratio (espec requir econo | y verify". evious contribution span of several used today (a fe lata also showed imes even impose which is calculat ially when it com ement can be de mical feasible pa | on with 100G mins would ew seconds), d that statistic ssible. This e ed using the tes to quantit ependent on a arameter to b | i/L data and Mic be required to g that is ~10 time cal projection ca eliminates the bl histogram) bein ative compariso an engineer's ex be used in mass | hael He's 200G et reasonable re is the length. n be very subject ock error histog g objective metr n. Whether or n perience and ju volume product | /L data have shown that esult. Comparing what is ctive approach, iram and the block error ric for link performance, not a DUT passes the |
| PROPC The con connect "When the availabl meeting appropr Implem C/ 179C Ran, Adee | DSED ACCEPT mment identifie tor types define th of the referent this draft was p le for review for then the referent triately modified ent with editoria SC 179C.2.3 | IN PRINCIPLE s an issue rega d in Annex 179 nces noted in th ublished this re the P802.3dj T ence will be del (proposal requi al license. | E. rding the comp IC. le comment, ac ference was no ask Force by J eted and relate ired)." P841 Cisco Systems | Id the following ot available. If t anuary 2026 IE d MDI specifica <i>L</i> 40 | editor's note: his reference is not EEE 802.3 interim ations will be deleted or # [438 | reliabl My pro a time being The c somet ratio (espec requir econo which | y verify". evious contribution span of several used today (a fe lata also showed imes even imposive which is calculate ially when it come ement can be de mical feasible pa typically employ | on with 100G mins would ew seconds), d that statistic ssible. This e red using the nes to quantit ependent on a arameter to b vs automatic to | i/L data and Mic be required to g that is ~10 time cal projection ca eliminates the bl histogram) bein rative compariso an engineer's ex be used in mass testing and valid | hael He's 200G et reasonable re is the length. In be very subject ock error histog g objective metrin n. Whether or n perience and ju volume product ation. | /L data have shown that esult. Comparing what is ctive approach, iram and the block error ric for link performance, not a DUT passes the idgement. This is not a tion in modern industry, |
| PROPC The con connect For eac "When a availabl meeting appropr Implem Cl 179C Ran, Adee Comment T | DED ACCEPT mment identifie tor types define the of the referent this draft was p le for review for then the referent the ditoria SC 179C.2.3 | IN PRINCIPLE s an issue rega d in Annex 179 nces noted in th ublished this re the P802.3dj T ence will be del (proposal requi al license. | E. rding the comp IC. le comment, ac ference was no fask Force by J eted and relate ired)." P841 Cisco Systems Status D | ld the following ot available. If t anuary 2026 IE d MDI specific: <i>L</i> 40 | editor's note: his reference is not EEE 802.3 interim ations will be deleted or # [438 Hectrical] MDI References | reliabl My pro a time being The c somet ratio (espec requir econo which This c | y verify". evious contribution span of several used today (a fe lata also showed imes even impo- which is calculate ially when it com ement can be de mical feasible part typically employ comment applies | on with 100G mins would ew seconds), d that statistic ssible. This e red using the nes to quantit ependent on a arameter to b vs automatic to | i/L data and Mic be required to g that is ~10 time cal projection ca eliminates the bl histogram) bein rative compariso an engineer's ex be used in mass testing and valid | hael He's 200G et reasonable re is the length. In be very subject ock error histog g objective metrin n. Whether or n perience and ju volume product ation. | /L data have shown that esult. Comparing what is ctive approach, iram and the block error ric for link performance, not a DUT passes the idgement. This is not a tion in modern industry, |
| PROPC The con connect For eac "When a availabl meeting appropr Implem Cl 179C Ran, Adee Comment T The Edi | DED ACCEPT mment identifie tor types define the of the referent this draft was p le for review for g then the referent ident with editoria SC 179C.2.3 Type T itor's note is ob | IN PRINCIPLE s an issue rega d in Annex 179 nees noted in th ublished this re the P802.3dj T ence will be del (proposal requi al license. <i>Comment S</i> solete - the reco | E. rding the comp IC. le comment, ac ference was no fask Force by J eted and relate ired)." P841 Cisco Systems Status D ent version of S | ld the following ot available. If t anuary 2026 IE d MDI specific: <i>L</i> 40 s (E SFF-TA-1027 (1 | editor's note: his reference is not EEE 802.3 interim ations will be deleted or # [438 Hectrical] MDI References 1.0.6, | reliabl My pro a time being The c some ratio (espec requir econo which This c Suggested | y verify". evious contribution span of several used today (a fe lata also showed imes even impo- which is calculate ially when it com ement can be de mical feasible part typically employ comment applies | on with 100G mins would ew seconds), d that statistic ssible. This e red using the nes to quantit ependent on a arameter to b rs automatic s to all applic | i/L data and Mic be required to g that is ~10 time cal projection ca eliminates the ble histogram) bein tative compariso an engineer's ex be used in mass testing and valid able opitcal PMI | hael He's 200G et reasonable re es the length. In be very subject ock error histog g objective mett n. Whether or n perience and ju volume product lation. Ds. i.e. CL180~ | /L data have shown that esult. Comparing what is ctive approach, ram and the block error ric for link performance, not a DUT passes the idgement. This is not a tion in modern industry, -183, CL185. |
| PROPC The corr connect "When a availabl meeting appropr Implem CI 179C Ran, Adee Comment T The Edi https://m SuggestedF Delete t | DED ACCEPT mment identifie tor types define the of the referent this draft was p e for review for g then the referent ident with editoria SC 179C.2.3 SC 179C.2.3 Type T itor's note is ob members.snia.c Remedy the note. Response | IN PRINCIPLE s an issue rega d in Annex 179 nces noted in th ublished this re the P802.3dj T ence will be dele (proposal requi al license. Comment S solete - the reco org/document/dl Response S | E. rding the comp IC. le comment, ac ference was no rask Force by J eted and relate ired)." P841 Cisco Systems Status D ent version of S I/36947) does i | ld the following ot available. If t anuary 2026 IE d MDI specific: <i>L</i> 40 s (E SFF-TA-1027 (1 | editor's note: his reference is not EEE 802.3 interim ations will be deleted or # [438 Hectrical] MDI References 1.0.6, | reliabl My pro a time being The c somet ratio (espec requir econo which This d Suggested gener furthe Simila Leave | y verify". evious contribution span of several used today (a fe- lata also showed imes even imposi- which is calculate ially when it com- ement can be de mical feasible partypically employ comment applies dRemedy le the information ations of etherner r evaluation of the r statement on le | on with 100G mins would ew seconds), d that statistic ssible. This e red using the nes to quantit ependent on a arameter to b vs automatic to s to all applica n of BER threat optical PMI he PHY/link/P eaving margin enter and using | i/L data and Mic be required to g that is ~10 time cal projection ca eliminates the bl histogram) bein rative compariso an engineer's ex- be used in mass testing and valid able opitcal PMI eshold under rar Ds. Point out tha 'MD can be done n for not-so-ranc er of this standa | hael He's 200G et reasonable re s the length. n be very subject ock error histog g objective mett n. Whether or n perience and ju volume product ation. Ds. i.e. CL180~ ndom error assu t for links that a e based on the dom links has b | /L data have shown that esult. Comparing what is ctive approach, iram and the block error ric for link performance, tot a DUT passes the idgement. This is not a tion in modern industry, -183, CL185. |
| PROPC The corr connect For eac "When a availabl meeting appropr Implem Cl 179C Ran, Adee Comment T The Edi https://n SuggestedF Delete t Proposed R PROPC | DSED ACCEPT mment identifie tor types define the of the referent this draft was p le for review for then the referent the note different SC 179C.2.3 SC 179C.2.3 Type T itor's note is ob members.snia.c Remedy the note. Response DSED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE s an issue rega d in Annex 179 nces noted in th ublished this re the P802.3dj T ence will be deli (proposal requi al license. <i>Comment S</i> solete - the reco org/document/dl <i>Response S</i> IN PRINCIPLE | E. rding the comp rding the comp rding the comp rding the comp recomment, ac ference was no rask Force by J etted and relate red)." P841 Cisco Systems Status D ent version of S I/36947) does i | ld the following ot available. If t anuary 2026 IE d MDI specific: <i>L</i> 40 S SFF-TA-1027 (nclude QSFP2 | editor's note: his reference is not EEE 802.3 interim ations will be deleted or # [438 Hectrical] MDI References 1.0.6, | reliabl My pro- a time being The c some ratio (espec requir econo which This c Suggested gener- furthe Simila Leave their c | y verify". evious contribution span of several used today (a fe- lata also showed imes even imposi- which is calculate ially when it com- ement can be de mical feasible pa- typically employ comment applies <i>aRemedy</i> le the information ations of etherner r evaluation of the r statement on le- it to the implement | on with 100G mins would ew seconds), d that statistic ssible. This e red using the tes to quantit arameter to b rs automatic to s to all applicant to of BER three et optical PMI he PHY/link/P eaving margi enter and us volume prod | JL data and Mic be required to g that is ~10 time cal projection ca eliminates the blu histogram) bein rative compariso an engineer's ex be used in mass testing and valid able opitcal PMI eshold under rar Ds. Point out tha 2MD can be done n for not-so-rand er of this standa luction stage,. | hael He's 200G et reasonable re so the length. In be very subject ock error histog g objective metrin n. Whether or m perience and ju volume product lation. Ds. i.e. CL180~ Indom error assu to for links that a based on the dom links has b rd to decide wh | /L data have shown that esult. Comparing what is ctive approach, iram and the block error ric for link performance, not a DUT passes the idgement. This is not a tion in modern industry, -183, CL185. unption as previous are prone to burst error, block error ratio method. een use before. ich method to use in |

7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

C/ 180 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 180.2 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Pending review of the following presentation and CRG discussion. <URL>/mi 3dj 01 2507.pdf

A potential solution might be to add an informative note as follows:

"NOTE--This requirement is equivalent to an uncorrelated bit error ratio of 2.28E-10 (see Table 174A-1). Methods to confirm that the errors are suffuciently uncorrellated are specified in 174A.8 through 174A.10.

See also the response to related comment #411.

Resolve comments #391, #394, #396, #411, and #593 along with each other.

| C/ 180 | SC 180.2 | P 432 | L33 | # 395 |
|-----------|----------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Mi, Guang | Ican | Huawei Tech | nologies Co., Lto | 1 |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | (Co | ommon) Block error ratio |

The error ratio allocation provides reference to 174A.5, which defines the PHY to PHY link. The clause itself is focused on optical PMD. Table 174A-1 provide detailed error allocation of the components in the PHY link, and specifically addresses the optical PHYs as this clause. It provides the full picture of error allocation. We should reference it more clearly.

This comment applies to all IMDD opitcal PMDs. i.e. CL180~183, CL185.

SuggestedRemedy

change to "A complete PHY is expected to meet the frame loss ratio specifications in 174A.5, with each component in the PHY meeting the error ratio allocations specified in Table 174A-1. "

This comment applies to all applicable opitcal PMDs. i.e. CL180~183, CL185.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The requirement as written correctly defines the requirements for a complete PHY independent of the specifications of each of the constituent sublavers. However, it may be helpful to clarify that if each of the individual compoents of a PHY

meets the related specifications then the PHY is expected to meet this requirement. Add the following note:

"NOTE--It is expected that a PHY will meet the expected frame loss ratio if all parts of the PHY are compliant to the related error ratio specifications."

| C/ 180 | SC 180.5.12 | P 437 | L 28 | # 193 |
|-----------|-------------|------------------|------|----------------------|
| Huber, Th | omas | Nokia | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | mon |) DATA/TRAINING mode |

mon) DATA/TRAINING mode

While it is clear what "DATA mode" is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, that term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended here (see 1.4.278) Annex 178B.5 indicates that in the context of ILT, "data mode" means the variable tx mode has the value 'data', which is associated with being in the PATH UP state per figure 178B-8. As such, it would be more clear if the text in 180.5.12 referred to the PATH UP state.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH UP state (see Figure 178B-8)."

| Proposed Response | Response Status | w |
|-------------------|-----------------|----|
| | Nesponse Status | ** |

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolve using the response to comment #191.

| C/ 180 | SC 180.6 | P 437 | L 35 | # 521 |
|------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Dudek, Mik | ke | Marvell | | |
| Comment T | Туре т | Comment Status D | | (Optical) (bucket) |

The positioning and ordering of the lanes at the MDI is not specified in 180.9.

SuggestedRemedv

Change the reference from 180.9 to 180A.4

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 180 SC 180.6

Page 146 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| C/ 180 | SC 180.7.1 | P 438 | L 33 | # 16 | C/ 180 | SC 180.7.1 | P43 |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|------------|-------------------|---|
| Johnson, | John | Broadcom | | | Kimber, Ma | ırk | Semte |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | (0 | ptical) IMDD parameters | Comment T | ype TR | Comment Status |
| | | n power and OMA must be in enalty allocation in Table 18 | | dB to account for the | | | nitters can cause BER Keeping Ceq > 1 (0dE |
| Suggeste | dRemedy | | | | Suggested | Remedy | |
| 1. Cl | nange Average lau | e following changes: ınch power, each lane (min) | | | | | ation line after TECQ s actor, Ceq (min) 1 |
| dBm t 3. Ch OMA | o -0.1 dBm, and fr ange footnote (b) of –0.1 dBm with a | al Modulation Amplitude (ON rom -1.2 + max(TECQ,TDEC to read: "An average launcl an infinite extinction ratio." ructions are provided in johr | CQ) to -1 + max(n power of -3.1 (| TECQ,TDECQ). dBm corresponds to an | | , DSED REJECT. | Response Status |
| | Response | Response Status W | | | | | |
| Imple the fo <url< td=""><td></td><td>emedies with editorial license ons and CRG discussion. _2507.pdf</td><td>e. Final resolutio</td><td>on pending review of # 592</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></url<> | | emedies with editorial license ons and CRG discussion. _2507.pdf | e. Final resolutio | on pending review of # 592 | | | |
| He, Micha | el | TeraHop | | | | | |
| Comment | Type T | Comment Status D | | (Common) TDECQ | | | |
| 3.4 dE penal | 3. It means that Tx ty. However, the te | als –1.2 + max(TECQ, TDE(OMAouter shall increase to esting data show 1dB TECQ alty, which means the TECC | compensate TE TDECQ degrad | ECQ/TDECQ induced ation will only cause | | | |

SuggestedRemedy

The TDECQ test methodology needs to be optimized to make it more closely to reflect the real TECQ/TDECQ induced penalty. The expected 1dB TECQ/TDECQ degradation vs it's induced penalty would be at least 0.75dB or above. Some new approaches, e.g. adding 1tap DFE for the ref. equalizer, or narrowing histogram spacing of the eye diagram (referring to rodes_3dj_01_2411) may help. May submit one contribution with collected data to support feasibility.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient detail to implement.

R floor issues as shown in dB) helps to prevent Tx peaking.

specification.

C/ 180 SC 180.7.1

| C/ 180 S | SC 180.7.1 | P 438 | L 51 | # 425 | C/ 180 | SC 1 | 180.7.1 | P4 | 39 | L 28 | # 17 |
|--|---|--|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------|--------------|--|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco System | 5 | | Johnson, | John | | Broad | lcom | | |
| Comment Typ | e TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) Jitter | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status | D | (0 | ptical) IMDD parameters |
| frequencie | es, are not ca | ications are required for optic ptured adequately by existing | specifications, a | and should be limited | | e 180-3 r 180-7. | nust be u | pdated to correspon | d to the 0.2 dE | 3 increase | in OMAouter(min) in |
| by specific performar | | bid correlated errors in receive | rs that would de | egrade link | Suggeste | dRemed | y | | | | |
| A present | ation with mo | re details is planned, but the s sted changes. | suggested reme | dy contains a | d B m a | and -1 + | · max(TÈ | CQ,TDECQ), with ec | itorial license. | | he updated values -0.1 |
| SuggestedRei | medy | - | | | | 0 | | tructions are provide | d in johnson_3 | 3dj_01_250 | 07 |
| 00 | 2 | "Output jitter" row with param | eters, values, a | nd units as in Table | Proposed | | | Response Status | W | | |
| 176D-3 (n | 176D-3 (module output specifications at TP4). | | | | | | | IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #1 | 6. | | |
| In Table 1 (new subc | | n "Output jitter" row with patte | rn 4 or 6, and re | eference to 180.9.14 | C/ 180 | SC 1 | 180.7.2 | P 4 | 40 | L 4 | # 394 |
| | | | | | Mi, Guang | gcan | | Huaw | ei Technologi | es Co., Lto | d |
| | v subclause 1 ional exceptic | 80.9.14 for Output jitter. The | content is to be | taken from 176D.8.9, | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status | D | (Ce | ommon) Block error ratio |
| transmit when the | equalizer is f PHY include | | e for the test pat | tern is derived from the | for the | e block e | | specified in 180.2 is | | | irement of measured t. Reason is the same |
| Implemen | t with editoria | I license. | | | This c | comment | t applies t | to all applicable optic | al PMD Claus | es, i.e. C | CL180~183, CL185 |
| Proposed Res | ponse | Response Status W | | | Suggeste | dRemed | У | | | | |
| | ED REJECT. | | | | instea | d of poir | nting to bl | lock error ratio. Point | to the error al | location cl | ause of 180.2. |
| discussior this topic a Pending re | n there was n are encourag eview of the f | ollowing presentations and C | je at this time. F | | " Mea | asured w | | able 180-6 to: rmance test signal at 80.2. " | TP3 (see 181 | .0.13) for 1 | the error ratio |
| <url>/Ia</url> | n_3dj_xx_250 | J7.pdi. | | | | | t applies t spective | to all applicable optic CL18x. | al PMD Claus | es, i.e. C | CL180~183, CL185. |
| | | | | | Proposed | Respon | se | Response Status | w | | |
| | | | | | PROF | POSED | REJECT. | | | | |
| | | | | | Resol | ve using | the resp | onse to comment #3 | 91. | | |
| | | | | | | ve comn RG discu | | 91, #394, #396, #411 | , and #593 ald | ong with ea | ach other. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TYPE: TR/tecl | hnical require | d ER/editorial required GR/g | eneral required | T/technical F/editorial G/ | neneral | | | | C/ 180 | | Page 148 of 184 |
| | TATUS: D/dis | spatched A/accepted R/rejection | | | | d U/uns | atisfied 2 | Z/withdrawn | SC 180.7.2 | | 7/7/2025 1:05:49 |

C/ 180

He, Michael

Comment Type T

SC 180.7.2

| Cl 180 | SC 180.7.2 | P 440 | L17 | # 18 |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| Johnson, J | John | Broadcom | | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | (0 | ptical) IMDD parameters |
| | | eive power must be increased ocation in Table 180-9. | by 0.2dB to acc | ount for the changes in |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| In Tab | le 180-8, chang | e Average receive power, eac | h lane (min) fror | n -6.3 dBm to -6.1 dBm |
| Suppo | rting editorial in | structions are provided in john | son_3dj_01_25 | 07 |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | |
| PROP | OSED ACCEPT | T IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| Resolv | e using the res | ponse to comment #16. | | |
| C/ 180 | SC 180.7.2 | P 440 | L33 | # 391 |
| Rodes, Ro | berto | Coherent | | |
| Comment [·] | Type TR | Comment Status D | (C | ommon) Block error rati |
| calcula meet tl receive proced | ation. However, he specification er sensitivity is a lures should be | y specification currently relies the methodology is unclear re- , and it lacks guidance on how a primary specification for a PN clear and practical to execute g presentation will be provided | garding the requ to perform a 'si MD receiver, its , while ensuring | ired test duration to atistical projection'. As test and verification |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| 180.8) | , with an error r | asured using the conformance atio allocation one decade low o clauses 181, 182 and 183 | | |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | |
| PROP | OSED REJECT | | | |
| | ng review of the -/rodes_3dj_01 | following presentation and CR _2507.pdf | G discussion. | |
| | | | | |

clear that test will meet its current intent.

This comment might be addressed by the resolution to comment #396.

Resolve comments #391, #394, #396, #411, and #593 along with each other.

| Suggested | Remedy | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | accumulate a limited codeword C-bin curve. Will submit a con | | |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | |
| The su Pendir <url> Resolv</url> | ng review of th /he_m_3dj_x | edy does not provide sufficient of the following presentation and C x_2507.pdf #391, #394, #396, and #593 alo | RG discussio | n. |
| C/ 180 | SC 180.7.3 | 3 P441 | L 42 | # 15 |
| Johnson, J | John | Broadcom | | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) IMDD paramete |
| | | PI and DGD penalties of 0.1 dE 0.2 dB for DGD per johnson_3d | | It should be increased to |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| In Tab | le 180-9, mak | e the following changes: | | |
| 1. Ch 2. Ch 3. Ch 0.5 dB 4. Ch DGD p | ange Power b ange footnote depending or ange footnote enalties. For | on for penalties (for max TDEC budget (for max TDECQ) from 6 e (b) to read: "This channel ir n" e (c) to read: "includes an all cases with a channel insertion on for penalties should be "6.7 | 5.5 dB to 6.7 d sertion loss r pocation of 0.1 loss less thar | dB nay be reduced by up to dB for MPI and 0.2 dB for a 3 dB, as shown in Table |
| 1. Ch 2. Ch 3. Ch 0.5 dB 4. Ch DGD p 180–12 | ange Power b ange footnote depending or ange footnote penalties. For 2, the allocatio | budget (for max TDECQ) from 6 9 (b) to read: "This channel ir 1" 9 (c) to read: "includes an all cases with a channel insertion | b.5 dB to 6.7 (isertion loss r location of 0.1 loss less thar - channel ins | dB nay be reduced by up to dB for MPI and 0.2 dB for a 3 dB, as shown in Table ertion loss". |
| 1. Ch 2. Ch 3. Ch 0.5 dB 4. Ch DGD p 180–12 | ange Power b ange footnote depending or ange footnote enalties. For 2, the allocatio rting editorial | budget (for max TDECQ) from 6 e (b) to read: "This channel ir n" e (c) to read: "includes an all cases with a channel insertion on for penalties should be "6.7 | b.5 dB to 6.7 (isertion loss r location of 0.1 loss less thar - channel ins | dB nay be reduced by up to dB for MPI and 0.2 dB for a 3 dB, as shown in Table ertion loss". |
| 1. Ch 2. Ch 3. Ch 0.5 dB 4. Ch DGD p 180–12 Suppo <i>Proposed I</i> PROP | ange Power b ange footnote depending or ange footnote enalties. For 2, the allocatio rting editorial Response OSED ACCER | budget (for max TDECQ) from 6 (b) to read: "This channel ir 1" (c) to read: "includes an all cases with a channel insertion on for penalties should be "6.7 instructions are provided in joh | b.5 dB to 6.7 (isertion loss r location of 0.1 loss less thar - channel ins | dB nay be reduced by up to dB for MPI and 0.2 dB for 3 dB, as shown in Table ertion loss". |
| 1. Ch 2. Ch 3. Ch 0.5 dB 4. Ch DGD p 180–12 Suppo <i>Proposed I</i> PROP | ange Power b ange footnote depending or ange footnote enalties. For 2, the allocatio rting editorial Response OSED ACCER | budget (for max TDECQ) from 6 (b) to read: "This channel ir 1" (c) to read: "includes an all cases with a channel insertion on for penalties should be "6.7 instructions are provided in john Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE. | b.5 dB to 6.7 (isertion loss r location of 0.1 loss less thar - channel ins | dB nay be reduced by up to dB for MPI and 0.2 dB for 3 dB, as shown in Table ertion loss". |

P**440**

TeraHop

The footnote for receiver sensitivity show that it shall be measured with conformance test

Comment Status D

L 33

593

(Common) Block error ratio

| C/ 180 | SC 180.7.3 | P 441 | L 46 | # 342 | C/ 180 | SC 180.8.1 | P 443 | L 44 | # 285 |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Ghiasi, Ali | i | Ghiasi Qunat | um/Marvell | | Maguire, | Valerie | Copperopolis | s; aff'l w/ CME (| Consulting and Cisco |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | (0 | Optical) IMDD parameters | Comment | | Comment Status D | | (Optical) fiber specs |
| | OGP penalty of 0.1 dE | B would be too small for 2 TBASE-DR8 | 00GBASE-DR1 | /400GBASE- | 11, a | nd Table 183-10 ar | attenuation characteristics i nd associated intro text need | d a careful look | The current revision of |
| MZM. ER=3. and ht Given reflect with ~ Requin Table Table increa. Table | S/CD MPI penalty w Analysis need to be .5, see https://www. itps://www.ieee802.ct that Table 180-12 w tacen of -45 dB and 0.3 dB total penalty, re following adjsutme 180-9 power budget 180-7 average laund uses by +0.2 dB | | l, with half the lo 5_05/ghiasi_3dj_ son_3dj_01a_2 -55 dB and -45 P penalty with a 6.7 dB -3.3 dBm to -3.1 | bss at mid-span, and _01b_2505.pdf 505.pdf dB and zero discrete ddtion of ~0.18 dB, or dBm, OMA(min) | docun speci While dB/kr menti in the what 'newe do thi Suggeste Optio corrs | nent specifies B-63 ies the maximum it's true that ANSI in at 1310nm and 1 oned in the intro particle ANSI/TIA-568.3-6 the draft is trying to r, higher peforming s. dRemedy in A, in Table 180-7 bonding intro text: | bling and Components Star 52.D or B-657 as acceptable cabled attenuation as 0.4 df /TIA-568.3-E specifies the r 550nm, this is not aligned w aragraph to each table. A da C reference. Unecessary co o do is accomodate legacy i g cables with exceptions' as | e fiber for Outsi B/km at 1310nr maximum cable with B-652.D or ash is missing I ommas betweer installed OSP c is the specification 11, and Table 1 | ide Plant cables and m, 1383nm, and 1550nm. ed attenuation as 0.5 B-657 (OS2) as between "TIA" and "568" n 'or' statements. I think cabling, but calling out on is a confusing way to 183-10 and their |
| Proposed PROP | - | | | | G.652 insen fiber 18x-y | 2.D (low water peal sitive) fibers, or the cable requirements y. The use of optic | er cable requirements are s k, dispersion unshifted), or t requirements in Table 18x are satisfied by cables mer cal fiber cables containing IT | type G.657.A1, —yy where they eting the chara TU-T type G.65 | or type G.657.A2 (bend / differ." with "The optical acteristics in Table 52.D (low water peak, |
| Cl 180 | SC 180.7.3 | P 442 | L 6 | # 19 | recon | mended." | pe G.657.A1, or type G.657 | · | insitive) fibers is |
| Johnson, | John | Broadcom | | | Repla | ce "ANSI/TIA 568 | C.3" with "ANSI/TIA-568-C. | .3" | |
| Table Suggested | 2 180-5 must be upda 180-7. dRemedy | Comment Status D ated to correspond to the | 0.2 dB increase | | corrs Repla G.652 | oonding intro text: ce "The optical fib D (low water peal | 1, Table 181-9, Table 182- er cable requirements are s <, dispersion unshifted), or t e requirements in Table 18x | atisfied by cabl type G.657.A1, | les containing ITU-T type or type G.657.A2 (bend |
| | te the Transmitter ON s in Table 180-7, with | MAouter(min) curve in Fig n editorial license. | ure 180-5 to co | rrespond to the updated | fiber 18x–y | able requirements y. Optical fiber cal | are satisfied by cables me bles containing ITU-T type C | eting the chara G.652.D (low wa | cteristics in Table ater peak, dispersion |
| Suppo | orting editorial instruc | ctions are provided in johr | nson_3dj_01_25 | 507 | | s that exceed thes | 1, or type G.657.A2 (bend i e requirements." | insensitive) fibe | ers are examples of |
| Proposed | Response F | Response Status W | | | Repla | ce "ANSI/TIA 568- | -C.3" with "ANSI/TIA-568-C. | | |
| - | ve using the respons | - | | | corrs Repla Repla or typ differ type 18x-y | ce "0.5" with "0.4" ce "0.5" with "0.4" ce "ITU-T type (e G.657.A2 (bend " with "ITU-T typ G.657.A2 (bend ins y." | 6.652.D (low water peak, dis insensitive) fibers, or the re- e G.652.D (low water peak, sensitive), or other fibers me | spersion unshif quirements in dispersion uns eeting the requi | ted), or type G.657.A1, Table 18x–yy where they shifted), type G.657.A1, |

Replace " ANSI/TIA 568-C.3" with "ANSI/TIA-568-E.3"

| TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene | ral required T/technical E/editorial G/general | C/ 180 | Page 150 of 184 |
|---|--|------------|---------------------|
| COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected | RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn | SC 180.8.1 | 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM |
| SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line | | | |

| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | C/ 180 | SC 1 | 80.8.3 | P 444 | L 47 | # 134 |
|---|---|------------------|--|------------|-------------------------|----------|---|-----------------|----------------------------|
| PROPOSED ACCEP | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | Parsons, E | | 00.0.0 | CommScope | - 71 | # 1 34 |
| In 180.8.1 replace "The optical fiber cabl | e requirements are satisfied by | / cables contair | ning ITU-T type G 652 D | Comment | | т | Comment Status D | | (Ontion!) (hustat) |
| (low water peak, dispe | ersion unshifted), or type G.65 | 7.A1, or type G | .657.A2 (bend | | | - | onnect to a single fiber MDI" is | incorroct sing | (Optical) (bucket) |
| insensitive) fibers, or twith | he requirements in Table 180- | -11 where they | differ." | in that | MDI. | | | s inconect sind | e mere are two libers |
| | e requirements are satisfied by | | | Suggested | Remedy | / | | | |
| peak, dispersion unsh recommended." | e of optical fiber cables contain ifted), type G.657.A1, or type (| G.657.A2 (bend | (| are two | o additio | | E-DR1, besides the option to ied MDI optical receptacles, a ace." | | |
| In Tables 180-10, 181 | hange in 181.8.1, 182.8.1 and -9, 182-11 and 183-10 change lation is provided for Outside F | footnote a fror | | to | | | | | |
| C.3." | | | | "For 20 | 0GBAS | E-DR1, b | esides the option to connect | to an MDI with | two fibers, there are |
| | ation is provided for Outside F | Plant cable as c | defined in ANSI/TIA-568- | | ditional : fiber int | | MDI optical receptacles, a sir | gle-row 12-fibe | er interface and a single- |
| C.3." With editorial license. | | | | Proposed I | Respons | se | Response Status W | | |
| | DAAA | 140 | # 20 | PROP | OSED A | CCEPT. | | | |
| C/ 180 SC 180.8.2 | P444 | L10 | # 20 | | | | | | |
| Johnson, John | Broadcom | | | | | | | | |
| | Comment Status D channel insertion loss Table 1 esentation johnson_3dj_01_25 | 80-12 per the u | Optical) IMDD parameters updated MPI penalties | | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | | |
| | maximum channel insertion lo editorial presentation, johnsor | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | - | | | | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEP Resolve using the res | • | | | | | | | | |
| C/ 180 SC 180.8.3 | P444 | L 47 | # 194 | | | | | | |
| Huber, Thomas | Nokia | | | | | | | | |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status D | | (Optical) (bucket) | | | | | | |
| DR MDIs use pairs of | fibers | | | | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | | |
| Change "besides th to connect to a single | e option to connect to a single fiber-pair MDI, …" | fiber MDI," t | o "besides the option | | | | | | |
| | Deenenee Statue M | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉 | | | | | | | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 180 SC 180.8.3 Page 151 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| Cl 180 | SC 180.9.1 | P 445 | L 31 | # 530 |
|-------------|------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Dudek, Mike | | Marvell | | |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) precoding |

PRBS31Q with pre-coding should be listed as a possible test pattern. Also it would be better to reference the description of the 200G per lane PRBS31Q test pattern in 176.7.4.2 rather than the older reference in

SuggestedRemedy

Add PRBS31Q with precoding as an additional test pattern (8) in table 180-13. In table 180-14 add this pattern as an option wherever patter 3 is used. The reference for the test pattern definition should be 176.7.4.2. Change the test pattern generator generator for PRBS31Q from 120.5.11.2.2 to 176.7.4.2. Make equivalent changes to Clause 181.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The comment points out that the reference for the PRBS31Q (pattern 3) test pattern should be 176.7.4.2. The same applies to the square wave (176.7.4.6), PRBS13Q (176.7.4.3), and SSPRQ (176.7.4.5) patterns.

The comment also correctly points out that there is no direction to provide precoding to pattern 3 or pattern 5 (scrambled idle) when required by the receiver.

The comment proposes to address this by adding a new pattern: <PRBS31Q with precoding>. However, a new pattern <scrambled idle with precoding> would also be required, as well.

In operation, precoding is requested in enabled or disabled through the ILT process. Further, given that ILT is mandatory, a receiver might rely upon the ILT process (e.g., starting with a particular training frame pattern) to achieve the best performance. Regardless, a statement is needed in 180.9.12 and 180.9.13 about applying precoding when needed/requested by the receiver.

Change the references for the test patterns as noted above in Table 180-13 and Table 181-11.

In 180.9.12, 180.9.13, 181.9.12, and 181.9.13, add a statement that precoding, as provided by the PMA, is enabled if needed by the receiver.

| C/ 180 | SC 180.9.5 | P 447 | L1 | # 1 |
|--------------|------------|------------------|---------|----------------|
| El-Chayeb, A | Ahmad | Keysight Techno | ologies | |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) TDECQ |

Current definition for TDECQ points to clause 121.8.5.1 where TDECQ is calculated at a pre-FEC target SER. This definition is not a very good indicator of link performance

SuggestedRemedy

Re-define TDECQ and extend it to CER (codeword error ratio) to have better correlation with link performance. CER TDECQ definition need to be technically and economically feasible. A subsequent presentation will be provided at a later ad-hoc meeting.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient detail to implement. Pending review of the following presentation and CRG discussion. <URL>/el-chayeb_3dj_01_250x.pdf

| C/ 180 | SC 180.9.5 | P 447 | L 21 | # 381 |
|-------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Ghiasi, Ali | | Ghiasi Qunat | um/Marvell | |
| Comment 7 | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) TDECQ |

Current TDECQ reference equalizer is only 15 tap FFE where most implementation of DSPs are 20-30 taps FFE with DFE and optional MLSE. Many have raised that real receivers perform much better than reference equalizer which is a good thing, but this also leaves all the margin for RX DSP.

SuggestedRemedy

A reasonblae next step is to supplement the current TDECQ equalizer based on 15T FFE with 1T DFE. The Scope can already support 1TDFE. The reference equalizer is a 15-tap feed-forward equalizer (FFE) and 1-tap decision-feedback equalizer (DFE), where T is the symbol period, with equalizer coefficient constraints as shown in Table 180–15. In table 180-15 add limits for DFE min=-0.4 max=0 see ghiasi_3dj_04_2507

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #384.

C/ 180 SC 180.9.5

| C/ 180 | SC 180.9.5 | P 447 | L 21 | # 393 |
|-----------|------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Rodes, Ro | berto | Coherent | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Common) TDECQ |

The current reference receiver assumption used in TDECQ measurements prevents a large number of transmitters—despite demonstrating excellent real-world receiver performance—from passing the TDECQ test. The reference receiver is significantly less capable than actual implemented receivers. It is proposed to add a 1-tap DFE with a limited maximum value to better reflect practical receiver performance.Supporting presentation will be provided

SuggestedRemedy

replace with:" The reference equalizer is a 15-tap, T-spaced, feed-forward equalizer (FFE) combined with a 1-tap decision feedback equalizer (DFE), where T is the symbol period, with equalizer coefficient constraints as shown in Table 180–15...". In Table 180-15 add limit for 1-tap DFE with max value 0.3. Apply also to clauses 181, 182 and 183

| Proposed Response | Response Status | W | |
|-------------------|-----------------|---|--|
|-------------------|-----------------|---|--|

PROPOSED REJECT. Resolve using the response to comment #384.

| C/ 180 | SC 180.9.5 | P 447 | L 24 | # 721 |
|------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Dawe, Pier | S | Nvidia | | |
| Comment 7 | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) ser |

4.56 x 10⁻⁴ and the related Q t value (see 121.8.5.3) is 3.428

-> Qt = 3.846, 1 dBe better "SNR" (but doesn't change xECQ by that much). (implied 9e-5 but that doesn't matter). do this less for SRS and URS. $10^{10}(3.846/3.428) = 0.5$

SuggestedRemedy

Change Qt to 3.846, 1 dBe better "SNR" (but doesn't change xECQ by that much). (implied 9e-5 but that doesn't matter). Don't change Qt for for SRS and URS. FYI $10*\log 10(3.846/3.428) = 0.5$

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The comment does not provide sufficient and clear justification to support the suggested remedy.

| C/ 180 | SC 180.9.5 | P 448 | L17 | # 430 |
|------------|------------|------------------|-----|---------------|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco Systems | | |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) taps |

The reference equalizer currently allows a very large magnitude for the precursor (i = -1) and postcursor (i = 1) coefficients of the reference receiver. This assumes real receivers will be able to apply similar equalization.

Reference receiver coefficient data was provided in the following contributions: - https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_05/welch_3dj_01_2405.pdf - where most data points have pre/post coefficients within the range -0.3 to +0.1. - https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_09/welch_3dj_01_2409.pdf - where new data sets are included with pre/post cursors that reach approximatley -0.4.

The reference receiver limits were set with margin relative to all provided data sets, such that they are all acceptable, and allowing transmitters that require even stronger equalization. However, there was no evidence or indication in either presentation that these transmitters enable good receiver performance.

Contributed data in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/chayeb_3dj_01_2505.pdf shows that reference receiver coefficients that have large magnitudes, and especially large difference between pre/post coefficients (indicating phase distortion), create severe degradation in real receivers. It is known fact that DSP receiver implementations have limited equalization capability (especially for precursor) and that strong equalization degrades the performance (e.g. due to limited ADC range). It is not expected to be much better in future designs.

Requiring such strong equalization settings indicates poor transmitter waveform shaping and would likely create unexpectedly bad link performance. Even if real transmitters will not have such impairments, a signal with such bad waveform shaping might be used for stressed receiver testing; this should not be allowed.

See

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/adhoc/electrical/25_0605/ran_3dj_elec_01b_250605.pdf , slides 12-18; the suggested remedy has been updated since that presentation.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 180-15, change the Minimum value for i=-1 from -0.5 to -0.3, and for i=1 from .-0.6 to -0.3.

Change the Maximum value for i=1 from 0.2 to 0.1.

Alternatively, specify that the difference between coefficients -1 and +1 of the reference receiver does not exceed +/-0.3.

Apply the same changes in Table 181-13, Table 182-15, and Table 183-14.

Proposed ResponseResponse StatusWPROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.Resolve using the response to comment #392.

| TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general | C/ 180 | Page 153 of 184 |
|---|------------|---------------------|
| COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn | SC 180.9.5 | 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM |
| | | |

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

| C/ 180 | SC 180.9.5 | P 448 | L18 | # 343 | C/ 180 |
|-----------------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Ghiasi, Al | i | Ghiasi Qunatu | ım/Marvell | | Dudek, Mił |
| Comment | | Comment Status D | | (Common) taps | Comment |
| that for | or some weired F | w.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ FE setting still one may have 6 DSP likley due to timing reco | compliant TDÉ | | It is sho https:// that de |
| from s in ma | bution https://ww several suppliers ny good modules | w.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_ was used to set the limits for and we are not sure given that | TDECQ. Limit at we have link | ting the taps can result training if this type of | referen the 1st expect equaliz |
| | | eired transmitter FFE settigns | | | Suggested |
| adjsut | ments will have r | d moduels, looking at the data ninimum impact on module yi | | | Add ar 13, 18 |
| | | g receive BER floor: | triation May C | (1) C(1) topo 0.4 | Proposed I |
| Other see h | improvements an ttps://www.ieee80 | to to 0.1 and add following res re is to use Block TDECQ and)2.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghias | I functional har | dware receiver | PROP Resolv |
| 0 | hiasi_3dj_03_250 _ | | | | C/ 180 |
| | Response | Response Status W | | | Brown, Ma |
| | POSED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | Comment |
| C/ 180 | SC 180.9.5 | P 448 Coherent | L 23 | # 392 | Table 1 normal normal |
| Comment | | Comment Status D | | (Common) taps | Suggested |
| In cha | yeb_3dj_01_250 | 5, 100G module data showed can cause issues at the recei | | ers with intentionally | Chang Implem |
| | | on the maximum absolute dif | | | Proposed I |
| | | would significantly increase th venting their use and reducing | | , i , | PROP |

SuggestedRemedy

add footnote c: "The absolute difference between c(-1) and c(1) shall be less than 0.3.". Apply also to clauses 181, 182 and 183

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. Pending review of the following presentation and CRG discussion. <URL>/ghiasi 3dj 03 2507.pdf

| C/ 180 | SC 180.9.5 | P 448 | L 23 | # 508 |
|-------------|------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Dudek, Mike | | Marvell | | |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) taps |

hown in

//grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/dj/public/25 05/chayeb 3dj 01 2505.pdf (at 100G) lespite a passing TDECQ value, with non optimum Tx settings that require the ence receiver to have a large difference in value between the 1st precursor tap and st postcursor tap, a receiver has excessive BER and post-FEC errors. It is not cted that well tuned transmitters will have this large difference in the reference izer tap values.

dRemedv

an extra requirement to table 180.15 that Abs(C(-1)-C(+1))<0.3. Also to tables 181-82-15 and 183-14

Response Response Status W

POSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Ive using the response to comment #392.

| C/ 180 | SC 180.9.5 | P 448 | L 25 | # 320 |
|-------------|------------|------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Brown, Matt | | Alphawave Semi | | |
| Comment Ty | rpe E | Comment Status D | | (Common) taps (bucket) |

180-15 footnote a is out of sync with the table. Coefficients are labelled as being alized, thus saying they are relative to c(0) is redundant. However, it is not stated what alized means. The table already associates "main tap" with c(0) on row 4.

dRemedv

ge footnote a to: "The normalized tap coefficients are relative to c(0)." ment also in Table 181-13, Table 182-15, and Table 183-14.

Response Response Status W

POSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 180 SC 180.9.5

Page 154 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| C/ 180 | SC 180.9.5 | P 448 | L 27 | # 321 |
|-------------------|---|--|---------------------|--|
| Brown, Matt | | Alphawave Se | emi | |
| Comment Ty | /pe T | Comment Status D | | (Common) taps (bucket) |
| and nor | malized values | 5 footnote b The table spector the other coeffecients. It is normalized coeffecients. | | |
| SuggestedR | emedy | | | |
| similar. | | Equalizer gain is the sum of a start of a st | | ized coefficients." or |
| Proposed R | | Response Status W | | |
| Change Impleme | footnote b to: " | IN PRINCIPLE. Equalizer gain is the sum of 181-13, Table 182-15, and I license. | | ized coefficients." |
| C/ 180 | SC 180.9.6 | P 449 | L14 | # 322 |
| Brown, Matt | | Alphawave Se | emi | |
| Comment Ty | /pe E | Comment Status D | | (Optical) (bucket) |
| is unece | essary here. | mar is inconsistent with simil | ar phrases use | d through this draft and |
| | "transmitter's" | o "transmitter" bage 499 line 16, page 523 li | ine 46. | |
| Proposed R | - | Response Status W | | |
| | SED ACCEPT | | vith editorial lice | ense. |
| C/ 180 | SC 180.9.12 | P 450 | L38 | # 531 |
| Dudek, Mike | 9 | Marvell | | |
| Comment Ty | /pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) precoding |
| | r the precoding be explicitly stat | is used for Receiver sensitiv ed. | ity and stressed | d receiver sensitivity |
| SuggestedR | emedy | | | |
| precodir | 38 inset the set ng during ILT." k 2 of page 451. | ence . "A precoded pattern petween " Table 180-14" Make equivalent changes to | and "The" | f the receiver requests Also after Table 180-14 |
| Proposed R | esponse | Response Status W | | |
| | SED ACCEPT using the respo | IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| Resolve | SED ACCEPT using the response | IN PRINCIPLE. | | d T/technical E/editor NSE STATUS: O/oper |

| C/ 180A | SC 180A | P 850 | L4 | # 51 |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| D'Ambrosi | a, John | Futurew | vei, U.S. Subsid | liary of Huawei |
| Comment | Type ER | Comment Status |) | (Optical) Annex title (bucket) |
| The tit optics. | | is incorrect. This annex | only addresses | MDIs for the DR family of |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| | | | | 800GBASE-DR4, 1.6TBASE- 4-2, and 1.6TBASE-DR8-2 |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status V | v | |
| PROP | OSED REJEC | т. | | |
| The co | omment propos | ses to re-introduce the title | e from D1.4. | |
| Instea that a title to: new su second | d make title ge similar approad : "MDIs for opti ubclause headi d paragraph ar | ch is used in Annex 174A cal PHYs" Change the tit | a scope clause ." with suggeste le of 180A.1 to graph: "180A.2 solution to comm | to limit to 3dj PHYs. Note ed remedy "Change Annex "Scope". Add the following Overview" encompassing the nent #19 was "Accept in |
| The ra | tionale provide | d in the comment #19 ap | plies to this new | v comment. |
| 01 400 4 | 00 4004 | Doco | 10 | # [500] |

| C/ 180A | SC 180A | P 850 | L 9 | # 520 |
|------------|---------|---|------------|-----------------------|
| Dudek, Mik | e | Marvell | | |
| Comment 7 | Гуре Е | Comment Status D | | (Optical) Annex title |
| | | seems over broad as there are title of Annex 179C where a | | |

SuggestedRemedy

Change "optical PHYs" to "Clause 180 and Clause 181 optical PHYs"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Resolve using the response to comment #51.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 180A SC 180A Page 155 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| C/ 180A | SC | 180A.4.1 | P8 | 52 | L17 | # 523 |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Dudek, Mil | ke | | Marve | ell | | |
| Comment | | Т | Comment Status | _ | | (Optical) (bucket) |
| For int | er-oper | rability the | PMDs on both ends | and the | e fiber cable plant | have to match. |
| Suggested | Remed | dy | | | | |
| Chang | e "shoi | uld be used | d" to "shall be used" | . Also | on page 853 line | 47 |
| Proposed I PROP | | nse ACCEPT. | Response Status | w | | |
| C/ 181 | SC | 181.5.12 | P4 | 60 | L 24 | # 195 |
| Huber, The | omas | | Nokia | I | | |
| Comment | Туре | т | Comment Status | D | mon) | DATA/TRAINING mode |
| term h (see 1, variabl state p | as spe .4.278) e tx_m er figu | cific meani Annex 178 ode has th | B.5 indicates that in evalue 'data', which | PHYs t the co is asso | hat differs from w ntext of ILT, "data ociated with being | hat is intended here a mode" means the |

SuggestedRemedy

Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #191.

| C/ 181 | SC 181.7.1 | P 462 | L16 | # 22 |
|--------------|------------|------------------|-----|---------------------------|
| Johnson, Jol | าท | Broadcom | | |
| Comment Ty | pe TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) IMDD parameters |
| | | | | |

The minimum TX launch power and OMA must be increased by 0.1dB to account for the changes in MPI+DGD penalty allocation in Table 181-7.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 181-5, make the following changes:

1. Change Average launch power, each lane (min) from -2.2 dBm to -2.1 dBm.

2. Change Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMAouter), each lane (min) from 0.8 dBm

to 0.9 dBm, and from -0.1 + max(TECQ,TDECQ) to 0 + max(TECQ,TDECQ).

3. Change footnote (b) to read: "An average launch power of -2.1 dBm corresponds to an OMA of 0.9 dBm with an infinite extinction ratio."

Supporting editorial instructions are provided in johnson_3dj_01_2507

| Proposed Response | Response Status W |
|---|-------------------|
| PROPOSED ACCEPT Resolve using the resp | |

| C/ 181 | SC 181.7.1 | P 462 | L19 | # 429 |
|------------|------------|------------------|-----|-------------------------|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco Systems | | |
| Comment Ty | pe T | Comment Status D | | (Common) TDECQ (bucket) |

Table 181-5 has a sub-row of OMA_outer (min): "for TDECQ<0.9 dB"

Shouldn't it be "for max(TECQ, TDECQ)<0.9 dB", as in the similar rows in Table 180-7, Table 182-7, and Table 183-6?

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "for max(TECQ, TDECQ)<0.9 dB".

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 181 SC 181.7.1

| C/ 181 | SC 1 | 81.7.1 | P 462 | L 26 | # 489 | C/ 181 | SC | 181.7.1 | P 463 | L 4 | # 23 |
|-----------|---------|---------------|--|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------|--|--------------|------------------------------|
| Kimber, M | lark | | Semtech | | | Johnson, | John | | Broadcom | | |
| Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) Ceq | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) IMDD parameters |
| | | 0 | tters can cause BER floor iss Keeping Ceq > 1 (0dB) helps | | | Figure Table | | must be u | pdated to correspond to the | 0.1 dB incre | ease in OMAouter(min) in |
| Suggested | dRemedy | y | | | | Suggested | Remed | dy | | | |
| | | | tion line after TECQ specifica ctor, Ceq (min) 1 | ation. | | | | | nin) curve in Figure 181-3 to Q,TDECQ), with editorial lice | | l to the updated values 0.9 |
| Proposed | , | | Response Status W | | | Suppo | orting ed | ditorial inst | ructions are provided in john | son_3dj_01 | _2507 |
| | | REJECT. | onse to comment #491. | | | Proposed | Respor | nse | Response Status W | | |
| C/ 181 | 0 | 181.7.1 | P 462 | L 39 | # 426 | - | | | IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| Ran, Adee | e | | Cisco System | S | | C/ 181 | SC | 181.7.2 | P464 | L18 | # 24 |
| Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) Jitter | Johnson, | | 101.7.2 | Broadcom | 210 | |
| | | | cations are required for optic | | | Comment | | TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) IMDD parameters |
| by spe | | | otured adequately by existing id correlated errors in receive | | | The m | inimum | n RX recei | ve power must be increased ation in Table 181-7. | by 0.1 dB to | |
| | | | e details is planned, but the steed changes. | suggested reme | edy contains a | S <i>uggestec</i> In Tab | | , | Average receive power, eac | h lane (min) |) from -5.7 dBm to -5.6 dBm. |
| Suggested | dRemedy | V | | | | Suppo | ortina ea | ditorial inst | ructions are provided in john | son 3di 01 | 2507 |
| | | | ment against 180.7.1, impler al license. | ment the corres | ponding changes in | Proposed | Respor | nse | Response Status W | oon_ooj_o | |
| Proposed | , | se REJECT. | Response Status W | | | | | | IN PRINCIPLE. | | |

Resolve using the response to comment #425.

C/ 181 SC 181.7.2

SC 181.7.3

| C/ 181 | SC 181.7.3 | P 465 | L32 | # 21 | C/ 181 |
|------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Johnson, | John | Broadcom | | | Lambe |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | (0 | optical) IMDD parameters | Comm |
| | B for MPI and 0.2 | and DGD penalties of 0.5 dB i 2 dB for DGD per consensus pr | | | Ca oth in t |
| 00 | | the following changes: | | | ра |
| | | for penalties (for max TDECQ |) from 3.9 dB to | o 4 dB | Sugge |
| | | dget (max TDECQ) from 7.4 dE | | and the former of Table | Ch |
| | | b, c and d with new footnotes appropriate to CL 181, as given | | | Propos PR |
| | 0 | structions are provided in johns | son_3dj_01_25 | 07 | C/ 181 |
| | Response | Response Status W | | | Johnso |
| - | | FIN PRINCIPLE. | | | |
| Resol | ve using the resp | Jonse to comment #10. | | | Comm Fig |
| C/ 181 | SC 181.7.3 | P 465 | L35 | # 344 | Ta |
| Ghiasi, Al | i | Ghiasi Qunatu | m/Marvell | | Sugge |
| Comment | | Comment Status D | | ptical) IMDD parameters | Up |
| | <i>71</i> ² | .5 dB would be too small for 80 | | . , . | val |
| Suggeste | | | | | Su |
| 00 | | Ity were evaluated with ER of 5 | dB which is to | o high for 200C Si | Propos |
| | | to be based on SER of 5.6E-4, | | | PR |
| | | ww.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ | | | Re |
| | | 02.org/3/dj/public/25_05/johnso | | | |
| | | has 4 discrete reflectance -55 | | | |
| | 0.7 dB total pen | and -35 dB has 0.5 dB of MPI p | penalty with add | ation of ~0.18 dB, or | |
| | re following adjs | 5 | | | |
| | | dget increases from 7.4 dB to 7 | 7.6 dB | | |
| Table | 181-5 average l | aunch power increases from -2 | | Bm, OMA(min) | |
| | ises by +0.2 dB | | | dDat | |
| | 181-6 average r hiasi_3dj_02_25 | eceive power increases from - | 5.7 dBm to -5.5 | a a B m | |
| Occ y | | ~ . | | | |

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #16.

| Lambert, J | Angela | Corn | ing | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Comment | Туре Е | Comment Status | D | | (Optical) (bucke |
| other in the | ink power but respective Op | ation and fiber attenuation dget tables (i.e. Table 18 otical fiber and cable cha uld be "Cabled optical file | 80-9 on p tracterist | . 441 and Table ics tables (in th | e 182-9 on p. 491) and |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| Chang | je "fiber atten | uation" to "cabled optica | l fiber at | tenuation" | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status | w | | |
| PROF | OSED ACCE | PT. | | | |
| C/ 181 | SC 181.7 | .3 P4 | 66 | L 6 | # 25 |
| Johnson, | John | Broa | dcom | | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status | D | (0 | Optical) IMDD paramete |
| | 181-5 must l 181-5. | be updated to correspon | d to the | 0.1 dB increase | in TX OMAouter in |
| Suggested | lRemedy | | | | |
| | | itter OMAouter(min) curv -5, with editorial license. | | ure 181-5 to cor | respond to the updated |
| Suppo | orting editorial | instructions are provide | d in john | son_3dj_01_25 | 07 |
| | - | Response Status | w | | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status | | | |

P 465

L 45

143

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 181 SC 181.7.3 Page 158 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| C/ 181 | SC 181.8 | P 467 | L 4 | # 27 | C/ 181 | SC | 181.8.3 | P 468 | L 45 | # 522 |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Johnson, Jo | ohn | Broadcom | | | Dudek, Mił | ke | | Marvell | | |
| Comment T | ype TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) IMDD parameters | Comment | Туре | Е | Comment Status D | | (withdrawn) |
| Channe | el insertion lo | oss (max) in Table 181-8 should p | point to new T | able 181-xx. | It would | d be go | ood to prov | vide a reference to Annex 180 | A in this sectio | n. |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | Suggested | Remed | dy | | | |
| In Table 181-8, Replace Channel insertion loss(max) value 3.5dB with "See Table 181-xx". Add text in CL 181.8 similar to CL 180.8: "The maximum value of channel insertion loss is dependent on the number and maximum value of the discrete reflectances within the channel as given in Table 181–xx. Discrete reflectances below –55 dB may be ignored when determining the supported channel insertion loss." with editorial license. | | | | | | es the 6TBAS | details of t | | | |
| when d | etermining t | he supported channel insertion lo | oss." with edito | orial license. | Proposed F PROP | , | REJECT. | Response Status Z | | |
| Suppor Proposed F | 0 | instructions are provided in john Response Status W | son_3dj_01_2 | 2507 | This co | ommer | nt was WIT | HDRAWN by the commenter | . | |
| , PROPC | , DSED ACCE | PT IN PRINCIPLE. | | | C/ 181 | SC | 181.8.3 | P 468 | L 46 | # 524 |
| Resolve | e using the r | esponse to comment #16. | | | Dudek, Mił | ke | | Marvell | | |
| C/ 181 | SC 181.8 | .2 P 467 | L 48 | # 26 | Comment | Туре | Е | Comment Status D | | (withdrawn) |
| Johnson, J | ohn | Broadcom | | | | | | 444 in clause 180 provide det | | that also apply to the |
| Comment T | ype TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) IMDD parameters | | | • | cifying which connectors shou | lia de usea. | |
| | | be rewritten to mirror the subclau | | | SuggestedRemedy Either add this information in clause 181.8.3 or move that information into Annex 180A.3 | | | | | |
| | | e, including a table of maximum or reflections, as discussed in cons | | | Proposed F | | | | that mormatic | IT INTO ATTICK TOOA.5 |
| | n_3dj_01_25 | | | | • | , | REJECT. | Response Status Z | | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | FROF | USLD | REJECT. | | | |
| 1. Re-\ 2. Dele 3. Inse | write CL 181 ete old Table ert new Table | changes to CL 181.8.2: .8.2 using the structure and text i 181-10, Maximum value of each 181-xx, Maximum channel inser 1e values given in johnson_3dj_0 | discrete reflection loss vers | ectance. us number of discrete | This co | ommer | nt was WIT | HDRAWN by the commenter | | |
| Suppor | ting editorial | instructions are provided in john | son_3dj_01_2 | 2507 | | | | | | |
| Proposed F | Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | | |
| | | PT IN PRINCIPLE. esponse to comment #16. | | | | | | | | |

C/ 181 SC 181.8.3

| C/ 181 | SC | 181.9.5 | P 471 | L 8 | # 382 | C/ 182 | SC | 182.5.12 | P 487 | L 41 | # 196 |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Ghiasi, Ali | | | Ghiasi Qunatu | m/Marvell | | Huber, Th | nomas | | Nokia | | |
| Comment T | ype | TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) TDECQ | Comment | Туре | т | Comment Status D | monj |) DATA/TRAINING mode |
| DSPs a receiver leaves a SuggestedR | re 20- rs perf all the Remec | 30 taps F form much margin fo <i>ly</i> | nce equalizer is only 15 tap Fl FE with DFE and optional ML n better than reference equali r RX DSP. | SE. Many hav zer which is a | re raised that real good thing, but this also | term h (see 1 variab state | nas spe I.4.278 ble tx_m per figu | ecific meani) Annex 17 hode has th | ATA mode" is intended to me ng for 1000BASE-T PHYs th 3B.5 indicates that in the con e value 'data', which is assoc As such, it would be more cl | at differs from v itext of ILT, "dat ciated with being | what is intended here a mode" means the g in the PATH_UP |
| | | | is to supplement the current be can already support 1TDF | | zer based on 151 FFE | Suggestee | dReme | dy | | | |
| The refe feedbac | erence k equ | e equalize alizer (DF | r is a 15-tap feed-forward equ E), where T is the symbol | alizer (FFE) a | | | | | transition to DATA mode." to gure 178B-8)." | > "coordinate the | e transition to the |
| | | | oefficient constraints as show | n in Table 180 | –15. | Proposed | Respo | nse | Response Status W | | |
| | | 5 add iim dj_04_250 | its for DFE min=-0.4 max=0 7 | | | PROF | POSED | ACCEPT | N PRINCIPLE. | | |
| Proposed R | espor | ise | Response Status W | | | Resol | ve usin | g the respo | onse to comment #191. | | |
| PROPO | SED | REJECT. | , | | | C/ 182 | SC | 182.7.1 | P 487 | L 9 | # 490 |
| Resolve | e usiną | g the resp | onse to comment #384. | | | Kimber, M | 1ark | | Semtech | | |
| C/ 181 | SC | 181.9.5 | P 471 | L35 | # 345 | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) Ceq |
| Ghiasi, Ali | | | Ghiasi Qunatu | ım/Marvell | | | | | tters can cause BER floor iss Keeping Ceq > 1 (0dB) helps | | |
| Comment Ty | | TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) taps | Suggestee | dReme | dy | | | |
| that for | some | weired FF | w.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ E setting still one may have o DSP likley due to timing reco | compliant TDE | | | | | tion line after TECQ specifica ctor, Ceq (min) 1 | ation. | |
| SuggestedR | Remed | lv | | | | Proposed | Respo | nse | Response Status W | | |
| from sev in many problem not resu adjsutm transmit | veral s good still e ult in fa ients v tter FF | suppliers v I modules exist for we ailing good will have n FE casuing | w.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_ was used to set the limits for and we are not sure given tha eired transmitter FFE settigns d moduels, looking at the data ninimum impact on module yi g receive BER floor: o to 0.1 and add following res | TDECQ. Limi at we have link a. Any limit on a in Chayeb the eld and will ad | ting the taps can result training if this type of TDECQ FFE taps must e following tap dress the case of weired | - | | REJECT. | onse to comment #491. | | |
| | | | e is to use Block TDECQ and | | | | | | | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

see https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghiasi_3dj_03a_2505.pdf

Response Status W

see ghiasi_3dj_03_2507

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #392.

Proposed Response

C/ 182 SC 182.7.1 Page 160 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| C/ 182 | SC 182.7.1 | P 488 | L 45 | # 29 | C/ 182 | SC | 182.7.1 | P 489 | L 36 | # 30 |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Johnson, Joh | n | Broadcom | | | Johnson, | John | | Broadcom | | |
| Comment Typ | e TR | Comment Status D | (| Optical) IMDD parameters | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | (| Optical) IMDD parameters |
| to align D | Rn-2 TX laund | e minimum TX launch power ch power with the new values | for 500m DRn | | | e 182-3 182-7. | must be u | pdated to correspond to the 0 | .2 dB increase | e in OMAouter(min) in |
| | | s presentation johnson_3dj_0 | 1_2505. | | Suggestee | dReme | dy | | | |
| SuggestedRe | | | | | | | | min) curve in Figure 182-3 to c | | the updated values -0.1 |
| Chang Chang dBm to -0 Chang | e Average lau e Outer Optic .1 dBm, and f e footnote (b) | he following changes: unch power, each lane (min) f al Modulation Amplitude (OM from -1.2 + max(TECQ,TDEC to read: "An average launch an infinite extinction ratio." | Aouter), each Q) to -1 + max | lane (min) from -0.3 :(TECQ,TDECQ). | Suppo Proposed PROF | orting e <i>Respol</i> POSED | ditorial ins nse ACCEPT | CQ,TDECQ), with editorial lice tructions are provided in johns <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. onse to comment #16. | | 507 |
| Supportin | g editorial inst | tructions are provided in johns | son_3dj_01_2 | 507 | | | | | | |
| Proposed Res | ponse | Response Status W | | | C/ 182 | SC | 182.7.2 | P 490 | L 20 | # 31 |
| | | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | Johnson, | John | | Broadcom | | |
| Resolve u | sing the respo | onse to comment #16. | | | Comment | | TR | Comment Status D | (| Optical) IMDD parameters |
| C/ 182 | SC 182.7.1 | P 489 Cisco System | L 25 | # 427 | sensit | tivity) to | account f | ve power must be increased b or the changes in MPI+DGD p s presentation johnson_3dj_0 [,] | y 0.2 dB (RX penalty allocati | power) and 0.3 dB (RX |
| Comment Typ | e TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) Jitter | Suggestee | dReme | dy | | | |
| Transmitte frequencie by specific performar | er jitter specifi es, are not cap cations to avo nce. | ications are required for optica ptured adequately by existing id correlated errors in receive | specifications rs that would o | k jitter, especially at low , and should be limited degrade link | 1. Cl 2. Cl and fr 3. Ch | hange A hange F rom -5.6 hange S | Average re Receiver s S + TECQ | ne following changes: ceive power, each lane (min) ensitivity (OMAouter), each la to -5.3 + TECQ. ceiver sensitivity (OMAouter), | ne (max) from | -4.7 dBm to -4.4 dBm, |
| | ation with more of the sugges | re details is planned, but the s sted changes. | suggested rem | edy contains a | 1.9 dE | | ditorial inc | tructions are provided in johns | on 2di 01 2 | -07 |
| SuggestedRe | medy | | | | | - | | | 011_30j_01_2t | 507 |
| | ny similar com 2, with editori | nment against 180.7.1, impler ial license. | ment the corre | sponding changes in | - | POSED | ACCEPT | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| Proposed Res | ponse | Response Status W | | | Resol | ve usin | g the resp | onse to comment #16. | | |
| | ED REJECT. | onse to comment # 425. | | | | | | | | |

C/ 182 SC 182.7.2

Page 161 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| C/ 182 | SC 18 | 32.7.2 | P 491 | L3 | # 32 | C/ 182 | SC | 182.7.3 | P 491 | L 33 | # 346 | |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|---|--------------|----------------------------|--|----------|--------------|---|-----------------|---------------------------|--|
| Johnson, | John | | Broadcom | | | Ghiasi, Al | i | | Ghiasi Qunat | um/Marvell | | |
| Comment | Туре . | TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) IMDD parameters | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) IMDD parameters | |
| Figure 182-8. | | ust be upo | dated to correspond to the 0 | 0.3 dB incre | eases in OMAouter in Table | MPI/DGP penalty of 0.4 dB would be excessive for 200GBASE-DR1-2/400GBASE-DR2 2/800GBASE-DR4-2/1.6TBASE-DR8-2 | | | | | | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | | Suggeste | dReme | dy | | | | |
| | | | sitivity (OMAouter) curve in 182-4, with editorial license. | | -4 to correspond to the | MZM. | Analys | sis need to | y were evaluated with ER of be based on SER of 9.6E-3 vw.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25 | , with half the | e loss at mid-span, and | |
| Suppo | orting edito | orial instru | uctions are provided in johns | son_3dj_01 | _2507 | and h | ttps://w | ww.ieee80 | 2.org/3/dj/public/25_05/johns | on_3dj_01a | _2505.pdf | |
| Proposed | Response | Э | Response Status W | | | | | | vith 8 discrete reflectance -55 Ition of ~0.18 dB, or with ~ 0. | | | |
| - | | | PRINCIPLE. | | | dB. | ponan | ly marade | | | | |
| Resolv | ve using t | he respor | nse to comment #16. | | | | | wing adjsu | tments: get decreases from 7.8 dB to | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | unch power increases from - | | 3.1 dBm, OMA(min) | |
| C/ 182 | SC 18 | 32.7.3 | P 491 | L 30 | # 28 | increa | ises by | +0.2 dB | | | | |
| Johnson, . | John | | Broadcom | | | | | | eive power increases from -7 9 dBm, and receive sensitivit | | | |
| Comment | .) | TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) IMDD parameters | | | dj_02_250 | | y also impro | | |
| | | | d DGD penalties of 0.4 dB i B for DGD per consensus p | | | Proposed | Respo | nse | Response Status W | | | |
| | | | s for DGD per consensus p | resentation | johinson_3uj_01_2303. | PROF | OSED | ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | |
| Suggested | - | maka tha | following changes: | | | Resol | ve usin | g the resp | onse to comment #16. | | | |
| | | | r penalties (for max TDECQ |) from 3.8 | dB to 3.7 dB | C/ 182 | SC | 182.7.3 | P 492 | L 3 | # 33 | |
| | | | et (max TDECQ) from 7.8 dl | | and a the fame of Table | Johnson, | John | | Broadcom | | | |
| | | | c and d with new footnotes propriate to CL 182, as giver | | | Comment | Type | TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) IMDD parameters | |
| | | • • • | | | | Figure | e 182-5 | must be u | pdated to correspond to the | changes in C | | |
| | 0 | | uctions are provided in johns | son_3dj_01 | _2507 | and 1 | | | | • | | |
| Proposed | • | | Response Status W | | | Suggeste | dReme | dy | | | | |
| - | | | I PRINCIPLE. hse to comment #16. | | | | orrespo | | OMAouter(min) and Receive updated values in Table 182- | | | |
| | | | | | | Suppo | orting e | ditorial ins | tructions are provided in john | son_3dj_01_ | _2507 | |
| | | | | | | Proposed | Respo | nse | Response Status W | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

C/ 182 SC 182.7.3

| C/ 182 | SC | 182.8 | P 492 | L 47 | # 35 | C/ 182 | SC |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|-----------|------------------------------------|
| Johnson, | John | | Broadcom | | | Huber, Th | nomas |
| Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) IMDD parameters | Comment | Туре |
| Chann | el inse | rtion loss | (max) in Table 182-10 should | point to ne | ew Table 182-xx. | DRn-2 | 2 MDIs |
| Suggested | Remed | dy | | | | Suggeste | dReme |
| 1. Re | | hannel in | sertion loss(max) value 4 dB v | | | | ge "be nnect to |
| inserti within | on loss the cha | is depend annel as g | 3 similar to text in CL 180.8: " dent on the number and maxin iven in Table 182–xx. Discrete ing the supported channel inse | num value e reflectanc | of the discrete reflectances ces below –55 dB may be | | <i>Respoi</i> POSED lve usin |
| Suppo | rting ed | ditorial ins | tructions are provided in johns | on_3dj_01 | 1_2507 | C/ 182 | SC |
| Proposed | Respor | nse | Response Status W | | _ | Parsons, | Earl |
| | • | | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | Comment | Туре |
| | | | onse to comment #16. | | | | hrase " t MDI. |
| C/ 182 | SC | 182.8.2 | P 493 | L 49 | # 34 | Suggeste | dReme |
| Johnson, | | | Broadcom | | | 00 | ge "For |
| Comment CL 182 | | TR hould be r | Comment Status D ewritten to mirror the subclaus | se structure | (Optical) IMDD parameters e and text in CL 180.8.2, | | vo addit e-row 16 |
| numbe | er of dis | screte refle | cluding a table of maximum cl ections, as discussed in conse | | | to | |
| Suggested | | .01_2505. dv | | | | | 200GBA |
| Make | the follo | owing cha | nges to CL 182.8.2: | | | | dditiona 6 fiber i |
| | | | e using the structure and text ir 2-12, maximum value of each | | | Proposed | Respo |
| 3. Ins | ert new | / Table 18 | 2-xx, Maximum channel insert alues given in johnson_3dj_01 | ion loss ve | ersus number of discrete | PROF | POSED |
| Suppo | rting ed | ditorial ins | tructions are provided in johns | on_3dj_01 | 1_2507 | | |
| Proposed | Respor | nse | Response Status W | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #16.

| C/ 182 | SC 182.8.3 | P 494 | L 52 | # 197 |
|------------------|---|--|-------------------|------------------------|
| Huber, Th | omas | Nokia | | |
| Comment DRn-2 | <i>Type</i> T 2 MDIs use pairs | Comment Status D of fibers. | | (Optical) (bucket) |
| Suggestee | dRemedy | | | |
| | | option to connect to a single berpair MDI," | fiber MDI," to | "besides the option |
| , PROF | Response POSED ACCEPT ve using the resp | Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. ponse to comment #135. | | |
| C/ 182 | SC 182.8.3 | P 494 | L 52 | # 135 |
| Parsons, | Earl | CommScope | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Optical) (bucket |
| The p in that | | connect to a single fiber MDI" | is incorrect sinc | e there are two fibers |
| are tw | ge "For 200GBAS | SE-DR1, besides the option to ified MDI optical receptacles, rface." | | |
| to | | | | |
| two ad | | besides the option to connect d MDI optical receptacles, a si | | |
| | Response | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed | Response | | | |

C/ 182 SC 182.8.3

| Cl 182 | SC 182.9.5 | P 497 | L 41 | # 383 |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Ghiasi, Ali | | Ghiasi Qunat | um/Marvell | |
| Comment T | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) TDECQ |
| | | nce equalizer is only 15 tap F | | |
| | | FE with DFE and optional MI better than reference equal | | |
| | all the margin fo | | lizer which is a g | ood thing, but this also |
| Suggested | 0 | - | | |
| 00 | , | is to supplement the current | t TDFCQ equaliz | er based on 15T FFF |
| with 1T | DFE. The Sco | be can already support 1TDF | E. | |
| | | r is a 15-tap feed-forward eq E), where T is the symbol | ualizer (FFE) an | d 1-tap decision- |
| | | oefficient constraints as show | wn in Table 180- | -15. |
| | | its for DFE min=-0.4 max=0 | | |
| | | - | | |
| 0 | iasi_3dj_04_250 _ | | | |
| Proposed I | Response | 7 Response Status W | | |
| Proposed F | Response OSED REJECT. | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed F | Response OSED REJECT. | | | |
| Proposed F | Response OSED REJECT. | Response Status W | L18 | # 347 |
| Proposed F PROPO Resolv | Response OSED REJECT. re using the resp | Response Status W | | # 347 |
| Proposed I PROPO Resolv Cl 182 | Response OSED REJECT. re using the resp SC 182.9.5 | Response Status W onse to comment #384. P498 | | # [<u>347</u> (Common) taps |
| Proposed I PROPO Resolv Cl 182 Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Contrib | Response OSED REJECT. re using the resp SC 182.9.5 Type TR bution https://www | Response Status W onse to comment #384. P 498 Ghiasi Qunat Comment Status D w.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ | um/Marvell _05/chayeb_3dj_ | (Common) taps 01_2505.pdf showed |
| Proposed I PROPO Resolv Cl 182 Ghiasi, Ali Comment 7 Contribution of the second | Response OSED REJECT. ve using the resp SC 182.9.5 Type TR pution https://www r some weired FF | Response Status W onse to comment #384. P 498 Ghiasi Qunat Comment Status D w.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ E setting still one may have | um/Marvell _05/chayeb_3dj_ compliant TDE0 | (Common) taps 01_2505.pdf showed |
| Proposed I PROPOR Resolv CI 182 Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Contributiat for degrad | Response OSED REJECT. te using the resp SC 182.9.5 Type TR Dution https://www r some weired FF le with this 100G | Response Status W onse to comment #384. P 498 Ghiasi Qunat Comment Status D w.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ | um/Marvell _05/chayeb_3dj_ compliant TDE0 | (Common) taps 01_2505.pdf showed |
| Proposed I PROPO Resolv Cl 182 Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Contrib that for degrad Suggested | Response OSED REJECT. ie using the resp SC 182.9.5 Type TR pution https://www r some weired FF le with this 100G Remedy | Response Status W onse to comment #384. P498 Ghiasi Qunat Comment Status D w.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25 E setting still one may have DSP likley due to timing rec | um/Marvell _05/chayeb_3dj_ compliant TDEC overy | <i>(Common) taps</i> 01_2505.pdf showed CQ but BER can |
| Proposed I PROPO Resolv Cl 182 Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Contribution that for degrad Suggested Contribution | Response OSED REJECT. te using the resp SC 182.9.5 Type TR bution https://www r some weired FF le with this 100G Remedy bution https://www | Response Status W onse to comment #384. P498 Ghiasi Qunat Comment Status D w.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ E setting still one may have DSP likley due to timing rec | um/Marvell _05/chayeb_3dj_ compliant TDE0 covery _07/ghiasi_3dj_0 | <i>(Common) taps</i> 01_2505.pdf showed CQ but BER can 2a_2407.pdf with data |
| Proposed I PROPOR Resolv CI 182 Ghiasi, Ali Comment T Contribution that for degrad Suggested Contribution from se | Response OSED REJECT. te using the resp SC 182.9.5 Type TR bution https://www r some weired FF le with this 100G Remedy bution https://www everal suppliers | Response Status W onse to comment #384. P498 Ghiasi Qunat Comment Status D w.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25 E setting still one may have DSP likley due to timing rec | um/Marvell _05/chayeb_3dj_ compliant TDEC covery _07/ghiasi_3dj_0 TDECQ. Limiti | <i>(Common) taps</i> 01_2505.pdf showed CQ but BER can 2a_2407.pdf with data ng the taps can result |

not result in failing good moduels, looking at the data in Chayeb the following tap adjustments will have minimum impact on module yield and will address the case of weired transmitter FFE casuing receive BER floor:

Change C(1) from 0.2 to to 0.1 and add following restriction Max C(1)-C(-1) taps=0.4 Other improvements are is to use Block TDECQ and functional hardware receiver see https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghiasi_3dj_03a_2505.pdf see ghiasi_3dj_03_2507

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #392.

| C/ 183 | SC 183.1 | P 505 | L 48 | # 93 |
|------------------|---|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Bruckman | , Leon | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Wrong | <i>Type</i> ER singular in note | Comment Status D | | (Optical) (bucket) |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| | 0 | e or two 800GAUI-n is imp AUI-n are implemented" | lemented" | |
| • | Response OSED ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | |
| C/ 183 | SC 183.5.12 | P 510 | L 33 | # 417 |
| Ran, Adee | 9 | Cisco Syste | ems | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | (Co | mmon) ILT local_pattern |
| | 0.1 | nk that includes multiple IS y Figure 178B–7 and Figu | | |

In PMDs that have a training protocol but it's disabled, the "quiet" and "local pattern" modes are the method of communicating the RTS to the peer. However, the local pattern is currently not defined.

uggestedRemedy

Specify that PRBS31 encoded by Inner FEC as defined in 177.6.1.1 (which may be generated by the inner FEC sublayer) is the pattern used when mr_training_enable is false and tx_mode has the value local_pattern (see 178B.14.3.1).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #416.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 183 SC 183.5.12 Page 164 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| C/ 183 | SC 183 | 5.12 | P 510 | L33 | # 198 | C/ 183 | SC 1 | 83.7.1 | | P 512 | L 31 | # 330 |
|---|---|------------|--|---------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------|------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Huber, Th | omas | | Nokia | | | Landry, Ga | ary | | т | exas Instrun | nents | |
| Comment | Туре Т | С | comment Status D | mon) | DATA/TRAINING mode | Comment | Туре | Е | Comment Sta | ntus D | | (Optical) (bucket) |
| term h | nas specific | meaning f | mode" is intended to m or 1000BASE-T PHYs t | hat differs from v | hat is intended here | | MA limit Eq 183- | | er TECQ/TDEC | Q values are | referenced to a | an equation outside the |
| | | | indicates that in the co alue 'data', which is asso | | | Suggested | Remedy | / | | | | |
| state p | | 78B-8. As | such, it would be more of | | | To increase readability and maintain parallel structure to to other clauses (e.g., 180, 181, and 182), bring external equation into the table | | | | | | auses (e.g., 180, 181, |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | | | Proposed | Respon | se | Response Sta | tus W | | |
| | | | nsition to DATA mode." 1 e 178B-8)." | to "coordinate the | e transition to the | The ed | ditorial te | | | | | e would ideally improve |
| PROF | PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)." roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #191. | | | | | | ver, the tes, and t | able in c he equati | lause 183 has or | ly half the s ithin the cur | pace available o rent layout. Thus | z. compared to those s the equations are |
| C/ 183 | SC 183 | 7.1 | P 512 | L 29 | # 329 | C/ 183 | SC 1 | 83.7.1 | | P 512 | L37 | # 491 |
| Landry, G | ary | | Texas Instrur | nents | | Kimber, M | ark | | S | emtech | | |
| Comment | Туре Е | С | comment Status D | | (Optical) (bucket) | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Sta | tus D | | (Optical) Ceq |
| | MA limits fo Eq 183-1). | r higher T | ECQ/TDECQ values are | e referenced to a | n equation outside the | | | | itters can cause Keeping Ceq > 2 | | | |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | | | Suggested | Remedy | / | | | | |
| | | | maintain parallel structu ation into the table | ire to to other cla | uses (e.g., 180, 181, | | | | ation line after TE actor, Ceq (min) | | ation. | |
| Proposed | Response | Re | esponse Status W | | | Proposed | Respon | se | Response Sta | tus W | | |
| The erreadal readal Hower clause | PROPOSED REJECT. The editorial team agrees that including the equation within the table would ideally improve readability and maintain consistency with clauses 180, 181, and 182. However, the table in clause 183 has only half the space available compared to those clauses, and the equation does not fit within the current layout. Thus the equations are provided outside of the table and referenced from within the table. | | | | | | | | ntion is that Ceq< n component BW | | | TX EQ (peaking), but it |

C/ 183 SC 183.7.1

| C/ 183 | SC 183.7.1 | P 512 | L 50 | # 428 |
|------------------|---|---|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Ran, Adee | Э | Cisco System | าร | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) Jitter |
| freque by spe | encies, are not ca | ications are required for option ptured adequately by existing id correlated errors in receiv | g specifications, | and should be limited |
| | sentation with mo ary of the sugges | re details is planned, but the sted changes. | suggested reme | edy contains a |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | |
| | to my similar con e 183, with editor | nment against 180.7.1, imple ial license. | ment the corres | ponding changes in |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | |
| - | POSED REJECT. ve using the resp | onse to comment #425. | | |
| C/ 183 | SC 183.7.3 | P 515 | L 32 | # <u>2</u> 88 |
| Johnson, | John | Broadcom | | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | (0 | ptical) IMDD parameters |
| The fc 183.8. | | 183-8 must be updated to re | fer to the revise | d structure of CL |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | |
| In Tab | ole 183-8, make ti | ne following changes: | | |
| | | wing the form of Table 180- 9 j_01_2507, slide 16. | 9, with changes | appropriate to CL 183, |
| Suppo | orting editorial ins | tructions are provided in johr | nson_3dj_01_25 | 07 |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | |
| | · · | | | |

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #16.

| C/ 183 | SC 183 | .7.3 | P 515 | L 44 | # 144 |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|----------------------|---|--|
| Lambert, / | Angela | | Corning | | |
| Comment | Туре Е | Comm | nent Status D | | (Optical) (bucket) |
| other I in the | link power b respective | oudget tables (i.) Optical fiber and | | p. 441 and Table tics tables (in thi | d at the footnote of 182-9 on p. 491) and s case, Table 183-10 |
| Suggested Chang | - | enuation" to "ca | bled optical fiber a | ttenuation" | |
| • | Response POSED ACC | • | nse Status W | | |
| C/ 183 | SC 183 | .8 | P 517 | L 24 | # 287 |
| Johnson, | John | | Broadcom | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | R Comm | nent Status D | (C | ptical) IMDD parameters |
| | nel insertion y for LR4. | loss (max) in T | able 183-9 should | point to new Tab | les 183-xx for FR4 and |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | | |
| | ole 183-9, place Chan See Table 1 | | ss(max) value 4 dB | with "See Table | 183-xx", and 6.3 dB |
| 2. Ad inserti within 800GB | d text in CL on loss is d the channe BASE-LR4. | ependent on the as given in Ta Discrete reflect | ble 183–xx for 800 | imum value of th GBASE-FR4 and IB may be ignore | e discrete reflectances |

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #16.

C/ 183 SC 183.8

| C/ 183 | SC 183.8 | B.2 P518 | L 26 | # 286 | C/ 183 | SC 183.9.5 | P 522 | L10 | # 384 |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Johnson | i, John | Broadcom | | | Ghiasi, Ali | | Ghiasi Qunatu | um/Marvell | |
| Commen | nt Type TR | Comment Status D | (0 | Optical) IMDD parameters | Comment 7 | ype TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) TDECQ |
| with numl | editorial licens | be rewritten to mirror the subcl e, including tables of maximum reflections, as discussed in co 505. | n channel insertior | n loss versus the | DSPs a receive | are 20-30 taps F | nce equalizer is only 15 tap F FE with DFE and optional ML h better than reference equali or RX DSP. | SE. Many hav | e raised that real |
| Suggeste | edRemedy | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | |
| 1. R 2. D 3. In reflec 4. In | e-write CL 18 belete old Table nsert new Table ctances for 80 nsert new Tabl | changes to CL 183.8.2: 3.8.2 using the structure and te e 183-11, maximum value of ea e 183-xx, Maximum channel ins 0GBASE-FR4, with the values e 183-yy, Maximum channel ins 0GBASE-LR4, with the values | ach discrete reflect sertion loss versus given in johnson_ sertion loss versus | tance. s number of discrete 3dj_01_2507, slide 17. s number of discrete | with 1T The ref feedba period, In table | DFE. The Sco erence equalize ck equalizer (Df with equalizer o | to is to supplement the current ope can already support 1TDF er is a 15-tap feed-forward equ FE), where T is the symbol coefficient constraints as show hits for DFE min=-0.4 max=0 07 | E. Jalizer (FFE) ar | nd 1-tap decision- |
| Supr | oortina editoria | l instructions are provided in jo | hnson 3di 01 25 | :07 | Proposed F | Response | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed PRO | d Response | Response Status W EPT IN PRINCIPLE. response to comment #16. | | | While t along v data wi force. | ith demonstrati th the proposec | some support for this proposa ions highlighting underperform I addition of a 1-tap DFE has I review of the following presen | nance of the ref been formally p | erence equalizer, no presented to the task |

Resolution is pending review of the following presentation and CRG discussion. <URL>/ghiasi_3dj_04_2507.pdf

C/ 183 SC 183.9.5

| - | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|--|------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| C/ 183 | SC 183.9.5 | P 522 | L18 | # 349 | C/ 184 | SC 184.2 | |
| Ghiasi, Al | i | Ghiasi Qunat | um/Marvell | | Huber, Th | iomas | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) taps | Comment | Type E | Comn |
| | | w.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ | | | Missin | ng a hyphen in th | ie compou |
| | | FE setting still one may have DSP likley due to timing rec | | CQ but BER can | Suggested | dRemedy | |
| Suggeste | | , 3 | 2 | | Chanç | ge to "interleav | ving the B0 |
| •• | • | w.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_ | 07/ghiasi 3dj | 02a 2407.pdf with data | Proposed | Response | Respo |
| proble not re adjsu | ny good modules em still exist for w sult in failing goo ments will have r | Although the suggestion is gr Also, equivalent phrases is us hyphen, e.g., "PAM4 encoded (172), "Reed-Solomon encod | | | | | |
| | | g receive BER floor: to to 0.1 and add following re | striction Max C | (1)-C(-1) taps=0.4 | C/ 184 | SC 184.2 | |
| Other | improvements a | re is to use Block TDECQ and | d functional har | dware receiver | Huber, Th | iomas | |
| | niasi 3dj 03 250 |)2.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghias)7 | si_3dj_03a_250 | 5.pdf | Comment | Туре Е | Comn |
| • | Response | Response Status W | | | | ard grammar : "(al lanes order". | Convolutio |
| | OSED ACCEPT | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | Suggested | dRemedy | |
| C/ 184 | SC 184.2 | P 533 | L 4 | # 199 | | rd as: "Convoluti of the lanes". | onal interl |
| Huber, Th | omas | Nokia | | | Proposed | Response | Respo |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | PROF | POSED ACCEPT | |
| requir | ed to be in the tw | ent the reordering and deske o flow groups (0-15 and 16-3 | 1) and deskew | ed to a 2-symbol | C/ 184 | SC 184.4.1 | |
| | | nentation that happens to hav require any effort, because th | | | Huber, Th | iomas | |
| | | be any skew to remove, but th | | | Comment | Туре Т | Comn |
| from a | a standardization | perspective. There are alway | ys design optim | izations that can be | It is re | equired that the la | anes be in |

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "If necessary, the lanes are reordered and deskewed" with "The lanes are reordered and deskewed."

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

made that we don't spell out as optional functions.

| C/ 184 | SC 184.2 | P53 | 33 | L 8 | # 200 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------|---|------------------------|
| Huber, Th | omas | Nokia | | | |
| Comment | Туре Е | Comment Status | D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| Missin | g a hyphen in | the compound adjective | BCH(| 126, 110) encode | d' |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| Chang | je to "interlea | aving the BCH(126,110) |)-encod | ed flows" | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status | w | | |
| Also, e hyphe | equivalent phra n, e.g., "PAM4 | tion is grammatically "ca ases is used in this form encoded" (several), "Pl on encoded" (175), "257 | is use RBS31 | d extensively in th encoded" (severa | is draft without the |
| C/ 184 | SC 184.2 | P53 | 33 | L18 | # 201 |
| Huber, Th | omas | Nokia | | | |
| origina S <i>uggestec</i> | al lanes order". IRemedy | | U | | |
| | of the lanes". | itional interleaving and p | permuta | ation are undone t | o restore the original |
| Proposed PROP | Response OSED ACCEF | Response Status PT. | w | | |
| C/ 184 | SC 184.4.1 | P53 | 34 | L 5 | # 202 |
| Huber, Th | omas | Nokia | | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status | D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| bound | ary. If the PCS | lanes be in the two flow and Inner FEC happer but that doesn't make t | to be | adjacent, a desigr | er may be able to |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| | | ent lock and deskew fur eskew functions shall b | | when implement | ed, shall be…" to "The |
| | | | | | |

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 184 SC 184.4.1

| C/ 184 | SC 184.4.3 | P 535 | L 2 | # 203 | C/ 184 | SC 1 | 84.4.7 | P 537 | L 50 | # 205 | |
|----------------------|---|--|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|---|---------------|--------------------------|--|
| Huber, The | omas | Nokia | | | Huber, The | omas | | Nokia | | | |
| Comment [·] | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | Туре | Е | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | |
| values flows 1 | of the index i (mo 16-31 in columns that are changing | -FEC out" are really the with the symbols in ymbols in columns 2 from each RS FEC | confus Suggested | sing to us IRemedy | se q here , | ndex q has been used for the 3 as the index for the 4 output f x for the 4 flows of intero[] | | | | | |
| Suggested | lRemedv | | | | Proposed | Respons | е | Response Status W | | | |
| Replac the left | ce the "RS-FEC ir t side of the figure | n" and "RS-FEC out" labels v to have one box around col | umns 2 and 3, | rows 16-31, and a | | | CCEPT editoria | N PRINCIPLE. | | | |
| | | ound columns 2 and 3, rows he top and bottom boxes in (| | | C/ 184 | SC 1 | 84.4.7 | P 537 | L 51 | # 206 | |
| have c | hanged positions | | | | Huber, Th | omas | | Nokia | | | |
| Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | | Comment | Туре | Е | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | |
| | OSED ACCEPT | | | | The in | dex I sho | ould be a | voided if at all possible, as it c | an be confuse | ed for the number 1. | |
| | | nple as indicated in the text a hem may create more confus | | | SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | |
| | sary since this is | | | j 1100 4 13 1100 | 00 | | | use for this index. | | | |
| | | the figure to have one box ar | | | Proposed | | | Response Status W | | | |
| | | box around columns 2 and 3, w that the top and bottom bo | | | | • | CCEPT. | | | | |
| | side have change | | | | | OOLD / | OOLI II. | | | | |
| C/ 184 | SC 184.4.5 | P 537 | L 7 | # 204 | C/ 184 | SC 1 | 84.5.7 | P 543 | L 42 | # 283 | |
| Huber, The | | Nokia | 21 | # 204 | Ren, Hao | | | Huawei | | | |
| , | | | | | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) FEC bin counters | |
| Comment m(x) sl | <i>Type</i> E hould have the m | Comment Status D in italics | | (Logic) (bucket) | k = 0 s | should be | e ignored | EC_codeword_error_bin_k con , because this counter value c not set for RS-FEC error bin c | an be calcula | ted from other counters. | |
| Suggested | - | | | | Suggested | | , | IOUSELIOI KS-FEC EITOI DITC | | 01.0.17. | |
| | e the m | | | | Chang | - | | | | | |
| Proposed I PROP | Response OSED ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | | A set o to: | of k+1 32 | | ters where $k = 0$ to 4. | | | |
| | | | | | Proposed | | | Response Status W | | | |
| | | | | | | OSED R | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Resolve using the response to comment #561.

C/ 184 SC 184.5.7

| C/ 184 | SC 184.5.8 | P 544 | L12 | # 94 | C/ 1 |
|------------------|----------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| Bruckmar | n, Leon | Nvidia | | | Hub |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |) Con |
| This s | section describes | the deinterleaver, not the int | erleaver | | |
| Suggeste | dRemedy | | | | |
| Chan | ge: "the convolution | onal interleaver process" to: | "the convolutiona | al deinterleaver process" | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | 04.9. |
| PROF | POSED ACCEPT. | | | | Prop |
| C/ 184 | SC 184.7.2.2 | P 547 | L 2 | # 637 | |
| Law, Dav | id | HPE | | | C/ 1 |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | |
| l belie | eve that the e DSF | P frame lock state diagram r | equests a SYM_S | SLIP, not a SLIP (see | Con |
| the S | YM_SLIP state in | Figure 184–9—DSP 'lock st | ate diagram'. | | Con |
| Suggeste | dRemedy | | | | |
| | | IP requested by the DSP fra requested by the DSP fram | | should be changed to | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | |
| PROF | POSED ACCEPT. | | | | |
| C/ 184 | SC 184.10 | P 551 | L 47 | # 572 | _ |
| Nicholl, S | hawn | AMD | | | Sug |
| Comment | | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |) |
| | 51 | it number" column of the Inr | er FEC codewo | , | |
| "Table | e 184-5 Inner F | EC status variables and MD | | | |
| unneo | cessarily mentione | ed. | | | |
| There | are only 16 bits i | n an MDIO register, thus "15 | 5:0" is implied and | d does not need to be | |
| menti | oned. Álso, othei | rows (eg. test_block_error_ | _bin_0_16p) of the | e same table don't | Prop |
| includ regist | | o, Table 177-8 excludes the | "15:0" for the exa | ict same MDIO | |
| regist | CI3. | | | | |

SuggestedRemedy

Propose "MDIO register/bit number" column of the Inner_FEC_codeword_error_bin_0 row of "Table 184-5 -- Inner FEC status variables and MDIO mapping", contain "1.2424," and "1.2425" on two lines.

Same comment for Inner_FEC_codeword_error_bin_1 through Inner_FEC_codeword_error_bin_4.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

 Cl 184
 SC 184.11.4.1
 P 554
 L 18
 # 207

 Huber, Thomas
 Nokia

 Comment Type
 T
 Comment Status
 D
 (Logic) (bucket)

 The signal presented to the permutation function must have the properties that the lane

 grouping and declow functions provide as the functions are mendatory (such it can be compared to the permutation function must have the properties that the lane

grouping and deskew functions provide, so the functions are mandatory (even if some implementations may not need to perform these functions, they are not optional).

SuggestedRemedy

Change the status of these items to M

| Proposed Response | Response Status | ۷ | N |
|-------------------|-----------------|---|---|
| PROPOSED ACCEPT. | | | |

| C/ 185 | SC 185.1 | P 556 | L 40 | # 418 |
|-----------|----------|---------------|-------------|-------|
| Ran, Adee | | Cisco Systems | | - |

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

(Common) ILT coherent

In order to bring up a link that includes multiple ISLs, the functionality of ILT as specified by Annex 178B (specifically Figure 178B–7 and Figure 178B–8) is required across ISLs. This is true regardless of the PMD type, and even if the PMD does not use a training protocol, such as 800GBASE-LR1.

In PMDs that don't have a training protocol, the "quiet" and "local pattern" modes are the method of communicating the RTS to the peer. However, the local pattern is currently not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Add 178B-ILT, Required as row in Table 185-1 (as in other PMD clauses) ...

Add a subclauase under 185 defining the ILT functionality; it is as specified in Annex 178B, with mr_training_enable always set to false (since 800GBASE-LR1 doesn't have a training protocol). Specify that Inner FEC encoded PRBS31 test pattern defined in 184.6.1 (which may be generated by the inner FEC sublayer) is the pattern used when tx_mode has the value local_pattern (see 178B.14.3.1).

| oposed Response | Response Status | W |
|--|--|------------------------|
| PROPOSED ACCEPT II A supporting presentatio Pending review of the fo <url>/ran_3dj_xx_2507 <url>/mi_3dj_xx_2507</url></url> | n is expected. llowing presentation 7.pdf. | is and CRG discussion. |
| · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | -F + | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 185 SC 185.1 Page 170 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| C/ 185 SC 185.1 | P 556 | L 40 | # 547 | C/ 185 | SC 185.5 | | P 560 | L 27 | # 548 |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| Maki, Jeffery | Juniper Netwo | orks | | Maki, Jeffe | ry | | luniper Netw | orks | |
| | Comment Status D –ILT is missing as Require | d for 800GBAS | (Common) ILT coherent SE-LR1. | | 51 | Comment St aining (ILT) functio | _ | g in "185.5 PM | (Common) ILT coheren D functional |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Suggested | | | | | |
| | 78B—ILT as Required for 8 | 300GBASE-LR | 1. | | - | unctional specifica | tions" a sub- | subclause with | approprate numbering |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN The proposed change is | not appropriate since ILT is #418 proposes to add ILT. | | defined for this PMD | entitleo functio mr_tra transm | I "Inter-sublay n for a Type C ning_enable i itter state (mo | er link train ⁱ ng (ILT)1 interface, specif s true, the ILT func |) function" w ied in Annex tion is used t attern, and p | ith text "A PME 178B. When the to request chart | D shall provide the ILT he variable |
| C/ 185 SC 185.1 | P 556 | L 45 | # 95 | Proposed I | Response | Response Sta | atus W | | |
| Bruckman, Leon | Nvidia | | | | | T IN PRINCIPLE. | | | define d fer this DND |
| <i>Comment Type</i> ER Wrong singular in note c | Comment Status D | | (Optical) (bucket) | type. H | lowever, comr | e is not appropriate nent #418 propose sponse to commer | s to add ILT. | | defined for this PMD |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | | |
| In note c change: "If one To: "If one or two 800GA | or two 800GAUI-n is imple JI-n are implemented" | mented" | | C/ 185 Maki, Jeffe | SC 185.5.1 | | P 561 Iuniper Netw | L7 orks | # 549 |
| Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | | Comment SIGNA | | Comment St and ILT> SIGNA | | ng from Figure | (Common) ILT coheren 185-3. |
| C/ 185 SC 185.3.1.3.2 | P 560 | L1 | # 400 | Suggested | | | | | |
| Mi, Guangcan | Huawei Techr | nologies Co., L | td | Add SI | GNAL_OK> stating "The I | ILT and ILT> S | GNAL_OK to ed in Figure | 5 Figure 185-3 185–3 is define | . Add text in paragraph ed in Annex 178B." |
| Comment Type TR | Comment Status D | - | (Common) ILT coherent | Proposed I | | Response Sta | | | |
| based on the optical pow signal, as suggested by t SIGNAL_OK doesn't bea optical PMDs, by leverag | ABSE-LR1 is tied to Globa er at the receiver. This doe he note below the paragrap r sufficient information to h ng ILT, SIGNAL_OK can in communication, making it a e in the case of LR1. | sn't guarantee bh. With this d elp bring up the ndicate the rec | a valid, decodable efinition, the parameter e link. While the IMDD eived signal meets the | PROP The pr type. H | OSED ACCEF oposed chang lowever, comr | T IN PRINCIPLE. | e since ILT is is to add ILT. | | defined for this PMD |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | | | | |
| | inition, tie it to the state of o the comment regarding I | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | | |
| | PRINCIPLE. | | | | | | | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 185 SC 185.5.1 Page 171 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| C/ 185 | SC 185.6 | P 563 | L 51 | # 96 | Cl |
|--------------------|------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| Bruckman | i, Leon | Nvidia | | | Mi |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) (bucket) | Со |
| | | ID that supports 10Km is ob | viously complain | t sinc ethis is the | |
| require | | | | | |
| Suggested | , | | | | |
| Chang 10 km | | e over 10 km would meet the | operating range | requirement of 2 m to | |
| To: "c | | 12 km would meet the oper | ating range requ | irement of 2 m to 10 | C // |
| km" | | | | | Su |
| • | Response | Response Status W | | | |
| PROF | POSED ACCEPT. | | | | Pro |
| C/ 185 | SC 185.6.1 | P 564 | L 27 | # 386 | |
| Maniloff, E | Eric | Ciena | | | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | Optic | cal) coherent parameters | Cl |
| | verage launch po | wer on ETCC should be upd | ated to align with | n any updates to ETCC | Ma |
| Max | | | | | Со |
| Suggested Updat | 2 | TCC value in Average Powe | er with a value of | 2.5dB | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | |
| - | OSED ACCEPT | - | | | Su |
| Impler | ment suggested r | emedy. Align with the resolu | ution to commen | t #385. | _ |
| C/ 185 | SC 185.6.1 | P 564 | L 33 | # 385 | Pro |
| Maniloff, E | Eric | Ciena | | | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | Optic | cal) coherent parameters | |
| This v | | CC results in an excessively reduces to allow realistic rec sented. | | | |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | | |
| Repla | ce the 3.4dB ETC | C Max Value with 2.5 dB | | | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | |
| | | | | | |

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Pending review of the following presentation and CRG discussion. <URL>/maniloff_3dj_xx_2507.pdf.

| Cl 185 | SC · | 185.6.1 | | P 564 | L 50 | # 398 |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Mi, Guang | can | | | Huawei Tech | nologies Co., Lto | 1 |
| Comment [·] | Туре | TR | Comment S | tatus D | | (Optical) slew rate |
| acquis there is used te | ition an s no de erm for o some | d post acq finition of the coherent e | uisition and sa he term of acq experts, it appe | itisify the valu uisition in the ars out of co | draft. Though "a | le 185-5, however acquisition" is a widely . It may be able to |
| Suggested | Remed | y | | | | |
| | | | ion in the text herent experts | | er frequency slev | v rate is defined. |
| | | | _ | | | |
| Proposed I | Respon | se | Response St | atus W | | |
| PROP | OSED I | REJECT. | | | etail to impleme | nt. |
| PROP | OSED I ggeste | REJECT. | | | etail to impleme | nt. # [<u>387</u> |
| PROP The su | OSED I ggeste SC - | REJECT. d remedy o | does not provid | de sufficient d | • | |
| PROP The su | OSED I ggeste SC | REJECT. d remedy o | does not provid | de sufficient d P 565 Ciena | L 30 | # 387 |
| PROP The su Cl 185 Maniloff, E Comment | OSED I ggeste SC ric Type 0LR all erability | REJECT. d remedy of 185.6.2 TR ows a max | does not provid Comment S kimum Average | de sufficient d P565 Ciena tatus D e transmitter | L 30 Optic power of -4 dB. 1 | # 387 |
| PROP The su Cl 185 Maniloff, E Comment OIF 80 interop | OSED I ggeste SC ric <i>Type</i> 0LR all erability Bm | REJECT. d remedy of 185.6.2 TR ows a max y, The 800 | does not provid Comment S kimum Average | de sufficient d P565 Ciena tatus D e transmitter | L 30 Optic power of -4 dB. 1 | # 387 al) Coherent parameters |
| Cl 185 Cl 185 Maniloff, E Comment OIF 80 interop to -4 dl Suggested | OSED I ggeste SC ric Type 0LR all erability 3m Remed | REJECT. d remedy of 185.6.2 TR ows a max y, The 800 y | does not provid Comment S kimum Average | de sufficient d P565 Ciena tatus D e transmitter average recei | L 30 Optic bower of -4 dB. T ve power toleran | # 387 al) Coherent parameters |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 185 SC 185.6.2 Page 172 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| Cl 185 | SC 185.8.16 | P 571 | L18 | # 2 | C/ 185A SC 185A.2. |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| Stassar, F | Peter | Huawei | | | Pfiefle, Joerg |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | (0) | otical) Receiver sensitivit | y Comment Type T |
| suffici | ently precise. "low | nition of Receiver Sensitivity est average receiver input p | ower at TP3 wi | th | Digital signal process consistency of ETCC |
| no link 187.8. | | not right. Power is independ | ent of impairme | ents. Also applies to | SuggestedRemedy |
| Suggested | | | | | Add to the description |
| 00 | | itivity is an optional paramet | ter defined as th | ne lowest average | processing is done blocks for some of the |
| receiv | er input power at | TP3 with | | 0 | tasks mentioned in th |
| | | hich the block error ratio re parameter defined as the lo | | | order but not perform for signal distortions r |
| TP3 w | vith | | Ū | | Proposed Response |
| | | ratio requirement in 185.2 is tents from the link, which ar | | | |
| | nalties in Table 18 | | e addressed se | | Replace the existing 1 |
| | Response | Response Status W | | | "A block diagram for t |
| Proposea | Nesponse | | | | offling digital signal or |
| PROP Impler | POSED ACCEPT I | • | 87.8.17. | | offline digital signal pr from the four digitized two orthogonal polariz obtained by comparin |
| PROP Impler With e | POSED ACCEPT I ment the suggeste editorial license. | N PRINCIPLE. d remedy in 185.8.16 and 1 | | # 235 | from the four digitized two orthogonal polariz obtained by comparin transmitter, which are |
| PROP Impler With e | POSED ACCEPT I ment the suggeste editorial license. SC 185A.1 | N PRINCIPLE. d remedy in 185.8.16 and 1 P859 | L16 | # <u>335</u> | from the four digitized two orthogonal polariz obtained by comparin |
| PROP Impler With e C/ 185A Zimmerma | POSED ACCEPT I ment the suggeste editorial license. SC 185A.1 an, George | N PRINCIPLE. d remedy in 185.8.16 and 1 P 859 ADI,APLgp,C | | nSemi,Sony | from the four digitized two orthogonal polariz obtained by comparin transmitter, which are described in 185A.2.3 The processing is dor in 185A.2.3.1 through |
| PROP Impler With e Cl 185A Zimmerma Comment | POSED ACCEPT I ment the suggeste editorial license. SC 185A.1 an, George <i>Type</i> T | N PRINCIPLE. ed remedy in 185.8.16 and 1 P859 ADI,APLgp,C Comment Status D | L 16 Sisco,Marvell,Or | Semi,Sony (Optical) ETCC (bucke | from the four digitized two orthogonal polariz obtained by comparin transmitter, which are described in 185A.2.3 The processing is dor in 185A.2.3.1 through processing steps. The |
| PROP Impler With e Cl 185A Zimmerma Comment The au | POSED ACCEPT I ment the suggeste editorial license. SC 185A.1 an, George <i>Type</i> T nnex only contains | N PRINCIPLE. d remedy in 185.8.16 and 1 P 859 ADI,APLgp,C | L 16 Sisco,Marvell,Or | Semi,Sony (Optical) ETCC (bucke | from the four digitized two orthogonal polariz obtained by comparin transmitter, which are described in 185A.2.3 The processing is dor in 185A.2.3.1 through processing steps. The description. Processing any additional signal |
| PROP Impler With e Cl 185A Zimmerma Comment The au | POSED ACCEPT I ment the suggeste editorial license. SC 185A.1 an, George <i>Type</i> T nnex only contains neter - it specifies | N PRINCIPLE. ed remedy in 185.8.16 and 1 P859 ADI,APLgp,C Comment Status D s a single methodology (ETC | L 16 Sisco,Marvell,Or | Semi,Sony (Optical) ETCC (bucke | from the four digitized two orthogonal polariz obtained by comparin transmitter, which are described in 185A.2.3 The processing is dor in 185A.2.3.1 through processing steps. The description. Processin any additional signal p resulting for example |
| PROP Impler With e Cl 185A Zimmerma Comment The au param Suggested Replac | POSED ACCEPT I ment the suggeste editorial license. SC 185A.1 an, George Type T nnex only contains neter - it specifies dRemedy ce text of 185A.1 t | N PRINCIPLE. ed remedy in 185.8.16 and 1 P859 ADI,APLgp,C Comment Status D s a single methodology (ETC | L16 Sisco,Marvell,Or CC), and it reall as the method for | Semi,Sony (<i>Optical</i>) ETCC (bucke y doesn't define the or measuring and | from the four digitized two orthogonal polariz obtained by comparin transmitter, which are described in 185A.2.3 The processing is dor in 185A.2.3.1 through processing steps. The description. Processir any additional signal pro- resulting for example crosstalk. This digital signal pro- for ETCC calculation, |
| Cl 185A Zimmerma Comment The au param Suggested Replay compu | POSED ACCEPT I ment the suggeste editorial license. SC 185A.1 an, George Type T nnex only contains neter - it specifies dRemedy ce text of 185A.1 t | N PRINCIPLE. ed remedy in 185.8.16 and 1 P859 ADI,APLgp,C Comment Status D s a single methodology (ETC the method of calculation. | L16 Sisco,Marvell,Or CC), and it reall as the method for | Semi,Sony (<i>Optical</i>) ETCC (bucke y doesn't define the or measuring and | from the four digitized two orthogonal polariz obtained by comparin transmitter, which are described in 185A.2.3 The processing is dor in 185A.2.3.1 through processing steps. The description. Processir any additional signal pro- crosstalk. This digital signal pro- |

| C/ 185A SC | C 185A.2.3 | P 862 | L15 | # 11 |
|----------------|------------|-------------------|-------|----------------|
| Pfiefle, Joerg | | Keysight Technolo | ogies | |
| Comment Type | т | Comment Status D | | (Optical) ETCC |

sing steps should be described in more details in order to ensure C results, e.g. block-wise processing with a specified block length.

on a text similar to OIF-400ZR-03.0, Appendix C, footnote 11: "The block wise with block size N = 1000. It is possible to group multiple he processing steps. The processing steps should perform only the he description. Processing steps can be consolidated and changed in n any additional signal processing with the purpose of compensating resulting for example from CD, PMD, skews, crosstalk, etc."

Response Status W

PT IN PRINCIPLE.

185A.2.3 Digital signal processing subclause with:

the offline digital signal processing is shown in Figure 185A-4. The processing recovers the information bits carried by the optical signal ed data streams representing the I/Q components of the baseband of izations of the optical input signal, XI, XQ, YI, and YQ. The BER is ng the received information bits with the original bits sent by the re specified and known. This processing is done in a series of steps .3.1 through 185A.2.3.7.

one block wise with block size N = 1000 in a series of steps described h 185A.2.3.7. It is possible to group multiple blocks for some of the ne processing steps should perform only the tasks mentioned in the ing steps can be consolidated and changed in order but not perform processing with the purpose of compensating for signal distortions e from chromatic dispersion, polarization mode dispersion, skews, and

ocessing is then used in combination with virtual digital noise loading n, which is described in 185A.2.5."

C/ 185A SC 185A.2.3

| C/ 185A SC 185A.2.3 | P 862 | L 30 | # 625 | C/ 185A | SC 185A.2 | .4 | P 863 | L 28 | # 14 |
|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Kota, Kishore | Marvell Semic | conductor | | Pfiefle, Joe | rg | | Keysight Tecl | nnologies | |
| Comment Type TR Co | mment Status D | | (Optical) ETCC | Comment T | <i>уре</i> т | Comment S | tatus D | | (Optical) ETCC |
| The offline digital signal proce post-equalizer after the "carri- of the :IQ Quadrature skew (r specification is required to all Without this block the ETCC to the max allowed value. | er phase recovery" bloo nax)" spec to 0.75ps in ow design of lower com | ck which is requi 1 Table 185-5. Th 1 Table 185-5. Th | red to allow relaxation ne relaxed skew .SE-LR1 modules. | There is 2023. T was ma | s a standard, his standard ade shall be s ave, which ma | requires that the " pecified.". Therefo | OB and how t amplitude and re, it is also r | o measure it: IEI d frequency at wheeded to specify | b be meaningful. EE Standard 1241- hich the measurement / the amplitude of the scale of the ADC, and |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| Add post-equalizer stage to the | he digital signal proces | sing. Presentation | on to be provided. | | | E Standard 1241- | , | | |
| Proposed Response Res | ponse Status W | | | Add the be achi | | nplitude and frequ | iency informa | tion for which the | e specified value shall |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR Pending review of the followir kota_3dj_xx_2507 | | G discussion. | | Propos at least | e to specify th 10 evenly sp | | en DC and the | ne 3-dB bandwid | and the frequency as th (according to Table s. |
| C/ 185A SC 185A.2.3.5 | P863 | L12 | # 12 | Proposed F | Response | Response St | atus W | | |
| Pfiefle, Joerg | Keysight Tech | nnologies | | | | T IN PRINCIPLE | | | |
| · · · | mment Status D | linelegiee | (Optical) ETCC | | | aragraph to 185A. | | v spaced measu | rements between DC |
| Reference equalizer comprise | | not necessarily | | | | | | | nplitude of 90% of the |
| | | | | | | The final ENOB | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy Add a separate block for the | polarization demultiples | king. Or add a co | omment stating that | | hal information litorial license | n can be found in | IEEE Standa | rd 1241-2023, Se | ection 9.4." |
| polarization demultiplexing m | | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response Res | ponse Status W | | | C/ 185A | SC 185A.2 | | P865 | L 39 | # 337 |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR | INCIPLE. | | | Zimmerma | • | | | isco,Marvell,OnS | - |
| Add a second sentence "Pola | arization demultiplexing | may be perform | ned as a seperate | Comment 7 | | Comment S | | | (Optical) (bucket) |
| processing step". | | | | | | | | | on 185A-2. Equation I ASE. (RSNR_ase) |
| C/ 185A SC 185A.2.3.5 | P 863 | L12 | # 13 | | RSNR. | eu signal to noise | ratio in the pi | esence or virtual | TASE. (RSINK_ase) |
| Pfiefle, Joerg | Keysight Tech | nnologies | | Suggested | Remedy | | | | |
| Comment Type T Co | mment Status D | | (Optical) ETCC | | - | nal to noise ratio (| RSNR)" to "re | equired signal to | noise ratio in the |
| Reference equalizer misses t | o specify the number o | of taps. | | presend | ce of virtual A | SE (RSNR_ase)" | at line 39 | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Proposed F | Response | Response St | atus W | | |
| Add a specified number of ta (TBC) T-spaced feed-forward | | or example: " y | with an adaptive 45 tap | PROPO | DSED ACCEF | T. | | | |
| Proposed Response Res | ponse Status W | | | | | | | | |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR Change "with an adaptive T-s | - | ualizer" | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| to "with an adaptive 45-tap T-sp | aced feed-forward equa | alizer" | | | | | | | |

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 185A SC 185A.2.5.2 Page 174 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| CI 185A SC 185A.2.5.2 | P 865 | L 46 | # 338 | C/ 186 | SC 186.2.1 | P 582 | L 4 | # 209 |
|--|--|----------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| Zimmerman, George | ADI,APLgp,Ci | isco,Marvell,O | nSemi,Sony | Huber, Tho | mas | Nokia | | |
| DeltaRSNR_trx doesn't relate | mment Status D to "RSNR" in equation | 185A-3, it rel | (Optical) (bucket) ates to RSNR_ASE. | | 51 | Comment Status D e, clarify "800GBASE-ER1 FE le. | EC" is referring to | <i>(Logic) (bucket</i> o the sublayer rather |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Suggested | | | | |
| | at line 46 ponse Status W | | | Chang | - | ER1 FEC" to "800GBASE-ER subclause. | 1 FEC sublayer | . This should be |
| PROPOSED ACCEPT. | | | | Proposed I | Response | Response Status W | | |
| C/ 185A SC 185A.2.5.2 Dudek, Mike | P 866 Marvell | L 7 | # 525 | | | IN PRINCIPLE. | nse | |
| | mment Status D | | (Optical) (bucket) | Cl 186 | SC 186.2.1 | P 582 | L19 | # 210 |
| Unnecessary duplication of "v | vaveforms" | | | Huber, Tho | mas | Nokia | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | Comment | ype E | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucke |
| | | | | The "8 | lanes" should n | ot be called lanes since they | are not an interf | ace between two |
| Delete "as waveforms" | | | | sublaye | ers. | , | | |
| Proposed Response Res | ponse Status W | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR Change "captured waveforms as wave | , INCIPLE. | Figure 185A– | 5" | sublaye Suggested Chang of this | Remedy e 8 lanes to "8 E | ER1 FEC flows" throughout th change also needs to be made | ne paragraph and | |
| Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR Change | INCIPLE. | - | 5" | sublaye Suggested Chang of this | Remedy e 8 lanes to "8 E subclause This rhaps other place | ER1 FEC flows" throughout th change also needs to be made | ne paragraph and | |
| Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR Change "captured waveforms as wave to "captured waveforms as desc Cl 186 SC 186 | INCIPLE. eforms as described in ribed in Figure 185A–5 P 579 | - | 5" # 208 | sublaye Suggested Chang of this and pe Proposed F PROPO | Remedy a 8 lanes to "8 l subclause This rhaps other plac Response DSED ACCEPT | ER1 FEC flows" throughout th change also needs to be mad ces | ne paragraph and de in 186.2.3.2, 1 | |
| Proposed Response Res | INCIPLE. oforms as described in ribed in Figure 185A–5 P 579 Nokia | 5" | # 208 | sublaye Suggested Chang of this and pe Proposed F PROPO | Remedy a 8 lanes to "8 l subclause This rhaps other plac Response DSED ACCEPT | ER1 FEC flows" throughout th change also needs to be mad ces <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. | ne paragraph and de in 186.2.3.2, 1 | |
| Proposed Response Res | INCIPLE. eforms as described in ribed in Figure 185A–5 P 579 Nokia mment Status D | 5" | | sublaye Suggested Chang of this and pe Proposed F PROPO Implem | Remedy e 8 lanes to "8 B subclause This rhaps other plac Response DSED ACCEPT sent the sugges SC 186.2.1 | ER1 FEC flows" throughout th change also needs to be mad ces <i>Response Status</i> W "IN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with editorial licer | ne paragraph and de in 186.2.3.2, r | 186.2.3.3, Figure 186-7, |
| Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR Change "captured waveforms as wave to "captured waveforms as desc C/ 186 SC 186 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T Con This clause is missing information | INCIPLE. eforms as described in ribed in Figure 185A–5 P 579 Nokia mment Status D | 5" | # 208 | sublaye Suggested Chang of this and pe Proposed F PROPO Implem | Remedy a 8 lanes to "8 B subclause This rhaps other place Response DSED ACCEPT sent the sugges SC 186.2.1 mas | ER1 FEC flows" throughout th change also needs to be mad ces <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with editorial licer <i>P</i> 582 | ne paragraph and de in 186.2.3.2, r | 186.2.3.3, Figure 186-7, |
| Proposed Response Res | INCIPLE. eforms as described in ribed in Figure 185A–5 P 579 Nokia mment Status D ation on loopbacks | 5" L1 | # 208 (Logic) ER1 loopback | sublaye Suggested Chang of this and pe Proposed F PROPO Implem Cl 186 Huber, Tho Comment T | Remedy a 8 lanes to "8 B subclause This rhaps other place Response DSED ACCEPT tent the sugges SC 186.2.1 mas Type T | ER1 FEC flows" throughout th change also needs to be mad ces <i>Response Status</i> W "IN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with editorial licer <i>P</i> 582 Nokia | he paragraph and de in 186.2.3.2, r nse. <i>L</i> 23 | 186.2.3.3, Figure 186-7, # 211 (Logic) (bucke |
| Proposed Response Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR Change "captured waveforms as wave to "captured waveforms as desc C/ 186 SC 186 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T This clause is missing information SuggestedRemedy Add a subclause for loopback | INCIPLE. Forms as described in Figure 185A–5 P579 Nokia <i>mment Status</i> D ation on loopbacks | 5" L1 | # 208 (Logic) ER1 loopback | sublaye Suggested Chang of this and pe Proposed F PROPO Implem Cl 186 Huber, Tho Comment T | Remedy a 8 lanes to "8 B subclause This subclause This chaps other place Response DSED ACCEPT bent the sugges SC 186.2.1 mas Type T erface between | ER1 FEC flows" throughout th change also needs to be mad ces <i>Response Status</i> W TIN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with editorial licer <i>P</i> 582 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D | he paragraph and de in 186.2.3.2, r nse. <i>L</i> 23 | 186.2.3.3, Figure 186-7, # 211 (Logic) (bucke |
| Proposed Response Res | INCIPLE. Forms as described in Figure 185A–5 P 579 Nokia <i>mment Status</i> D ation on loopbacks that is aligned to what <i>ponse Status</i> W INCIPLE. | L1 | # 208 (Logic) ER1 loopback | sublaye Suggested Chang of this and pe Proposed F PROPO Implem Cl 186 Huber, Tho Comment T The int Suggested | Remedy a 8 lanes to "8 B subclause This subclause This chaps other place Response DSED ACCEPT bent the sugges SC 186.2.1 mas Type T erface between Remedy "as a stream of | ER1 FEC flows" throughout th change also needs to be mad ces <i>Response Status</i> W TIN PRINCIPLE. ted remedy with editorial licer <i>P</i> 582 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> D | ne paragraph and de in 186.2.3.2, r nse. <i>L</i> 23 | 186.2.3.3, Figure 186-7, # 2 <u>11 (Logic) (bucke</u> rds, not symbols. |

C/ 186 SC 186.2.1

| C/ 186 SC 186.2.1 | P582 | L 30 | # 212 | C/ 186 | SC 186.2.3.3 | P 584 | L 24 | # 97 |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Huber, Thomas | Nokia | | | Bruckman | , Leon | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) ER1 pad bit |
| | en the FEC and PMA sublayers | s is FEC codewo | rds, not digitized | In Figu | ure 186-4 it is har | d to identify the 5 bits of pac | i | |
| DP16QAM symbols. | | | | Suggested | dRemedy | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | In Figu | ure 186-4 label the | e 5 bits of pad in the payload | d area | |
| synchronization proc PMA:IS_UNITDATA to " the 800GBASE-F in the form of m-bit of symbols." Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF | | digitized DP-16G a stream of ER1 ess accepts a str g the four compo | OAM symbols via the FEC codewords" ream of FEC codewords onents of DP-16QAM | PROP The pa when f payloa blocks those a PRB questi | the frame is carry ad area are consid s (specifically, 255 same 5 bits carry 3S signal. In that on are not always | Response Status W erything after the first 1280 b ing 257b blocks (as it is in 'r lered pad bits so that each f 5 such blocks) When the the test pattern, as there is context, the suggested reme pad bits. The existing figur d on the signal being mappe | normal mode'). frame carries and frame is carrying no need to maight edy is incorrect re illustrates the | the first 5 bits of the n integer number of 257b ng test pattern data, intain 257b alignment of , sinee the 5 bits in a 5 bits because they are |
| C/ 186 SC 186.2.2 | | L 47 | # 213 | C/ 186 | SC 186.2.3.3 | P 584 | L 42 | # 214 |
| Huber, Thomas | Nokia | | | Huber, Th | omas | Nokia | | |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | | Comment Status D | | (Logic) ER1 pad bits |
| codewords | ne UNITDATA parameter is a s | symbol, whereas | 100.3.2 Says ILIS FEC | | | urpose of the pad could be | more clear. The | |
| SuggestedRemedy | | | | | | ea that is an integer number | | |
| , | des the Gray coding and symb | ol mapping proce | esses, it makes more | Suggested | dRemedy | | | |
| | e service interface to the PMA | | | Chang | ge "This aligns the | encoded MAC frames to 2 | 57-bit boundarie | es." to "This creates an |

Change "This aligns the encoded MAC frames to 257-bit boundaries." to "This creates an integer number of 257-bit positions within the payload area of the 800GBASE-ER1 tributary frame."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve using the response to comment #98.

and rx_symbol to tx_codeword and rx_codeword, respectively.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 186 SC 186.2.3.3

| C/ 186 | SC 186.2.3.3 | P 584 | L 47 | # 98 | C/ 186 | SC 186.2.3.5 | .5 | P 588 | L14 | # 217 |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--------------------|--|
| Bruckman, Le | .eon | Nvidia | | | Huber, Thom | as | | Nokia | | |
| Comment Typ | rpe TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) ER1 pad bits | Comment Typ | pe TR | Comment S | tatus D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| The conte | ents of the 5 bits | of pad during test are am | bigous. Are thes | e bits removed or do | The non-z | zero values of | MAP are bytes | 6 and 7 of th | e first row, not 6 | and 8 |
| | y test data ? This beginning | is defined later on in sect | ion 186.2.3.12, | but better have it clear | SuggestedRe | emedy | | | | |
| SuggestedRe | 0 0 | | | | Change " | byte 8" to "byt | e 7" | | | |
| | • | pad following the OH field | " | | Proposed Res | sponse | Response St | atus W | | |
| To: "the 5 | 5-bit pad following | the OH field carry test da | ata" | | PROPOS | SED ACCEPT. | | | | |
| Proposed Re | | Response Status W | | | C/ 186 | SC 186.2.3.5 | .9 | P 589 | L2 | # 99 |
| | SED ACCEPT IN | PRINCIPLE. d enhance the text as foll | 0.000 | | Bruckman, Le | | - | Nvidia | | |
| 4) The re | emaining 3865 bits | s of row 0, plus all bits in r | ows 1 through 1 | | Comment Typ | | Comment S | | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| | | When the transmit functi | | | 51 | | an be improved | d 1 | | (=-9) () |
| | | ero), and the remaining bit unction is in test pattern m | | | SuggestedRe | emedy | | | | |
| the test p | oattern data. | | | | 00 | - | rn is generated | using the clo | ck for the 800GB | ASE-ER1 tributary |
| 2/ 186 | SC 186.2.3.4.1 | P 586 | L 28 | # 215 | frame" | · · · · · · · · · · · · | - | | -1 | and the manufacture due |
| | | | | | I O "the te | | | | | ad to denerate the |
| luber, Thom | nas | Nokia | | | | SE-ER1 tributa | enerated using ary frame" | the same clo | | ed to generate the |
| , | | Nokia Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | | SE-ER1 tributa | ary frame" | | | |
| Comment Typ The AM f | pe E field is defined in t | Comment Status D G.709.1, but the values us | sed in it are in G | | 800GBAS Proposed Res | SE-ER1 tributa sponse | | atus W | | |
| Comment Typ The AM f the norma | pe E field is defined in (native text of this c | Comment Status D G.709.1, but the values us | sed in it are in G | | 800GBAS Proposed Res PROPOS Change ti | SE-ER1 tributa sponse SED ACCEPT he text to read | ary frame" <i>Response St</i> IN PRINCIPLE I " the test pat | atus W | GBASE-ER1 trib | - |
| Comment Typ The AM f the norm SuggestedRe | rpe E field is defined in e native text of this c emedy | Comment Status D G.709.1, but the values us lause). | | .709.6 (as indicated in | 800GBAS Proposed Res PROPOS Change ti generated | SE-ER1 tributa sponse SED ACCEPT he text to read d from the san | ary frame" <i>Response St</i> IN PRINCIPLE d " the test part ne clock" | atus W | | utary frame are |
| Comment Typ The AM f the norma uggestedRe Change t | pe E field is defined in (aative text of this c emedy the note to say "R | Comment Status D G.709.1, but the values us lause). ecommendation ITU_T G | | .709.6 (as indicated in | 800GBAS Proposed Res PROPOS Change ti generated C/ 186 | SE-ER1 tributa sponse SED ACCEPT he text to reac d from the san SC 186.2.3.5 | ary frame" <i>Response St</i> IN PRINCIPLE d " the test part ne clock" | atus W | | Ū. |
| Comment Typ The AM f the norm SuggestedRe Change t G.709.6, | rpe E field is defined in (aative text of this c <i>emedy</i> the note to say "R and OIF-800ZR-(| Comment Status D G.709.1, but the values us lause). ecommendation ITU_T G 01.0" | | .709.6 (as indicated in | 800GBAS Proposed Res PROPOS Change ti generated C/ 186 Bruckman, Le | SE-ER1 tributa sponse SED ACCEPT he text to reac d from the san SC 186.2.3.5 eon | ary frame" Response St IN PRINCIPLE d' the test part ne clock" .10 | ttern and 800 P 589 Nvidia | GBASE-ER1 trib | utary frame are # 100 |
| omment Typ The AM f the norm uggestedRe Change t G.709.6, roposed Re | rpe E field is defined in (aative text of this c <i>emedy</i> the note to say "R and OIF-800ZR-(| Comment Status D G.709.1, but the values us lause). ecommendation ITU_T G | | .709.6 (as indicated in | 800GBAS Proposed Res PROPOS Change ti generated C/ 186 Bruckman, Le Comment Typ | SE-ER1 tributa sponse SED ACCEPT he text to read d from the san SC 186.2.3.5. eon be ER | ary frame" Response St IN PRINCIPLE 5 " the test pat ne clock" .10 | ttern and 800 P 589 Nvidia | GBASE-ER1 trib | utary frame are # 100 |
| Comment Typ The AM f the norma SuggestedRe Change t G.709.6, Proposed Re PROPOS | pe E field is defined in a pative text of this c emedy the note to say "R and OIF-800ZR-(esponse F SED ACCEPT. | Comment Status D G.709.1, but the values us lause). ecommendation ITU_T G D1.0" Response Status W | :.709.1, Recomm | nendation ITU-T | 800GBAS Proposed Res PROPOS Change ti generated C/ 186 Bruckman, Le Comment Typ Missing "H | SE-ER1 tributa sponse SED ACCEPT he text to read d from the san SC 186.2.3.5 eon be ER the" | ary frame" Response St IN PRINCIPLE d' the test part ne clock" .10 | ttern and 800 P 589 Nvidia | GBASE-ER1 trib | utary frame are # 100 |
| Comment Typ The AM f the norms SuggestedRe Change t G.709.6, Proposed Re PROPOS | pe E field is defined in a native text of this c emedy the note to say "R and OIF-800ZR-(esponse F SED ACCEPT. SC 186.2.3.4.1 | Comment Status D G.709.1, but the values us lause). ecommendation ITU_T G D1.0" Response Status W | | .709.6 (as indicated in | 800GBAS Proposed Res PROPOS Change ti generated C/ 186 Bruckman, Le Comment Typ Missing "f | SE-ER1 tributa sponse SED ACCEPT he text to reac d from the sam SC 186.2.3.5 eon be ER the" emedy | ary frame" Response St IN PRINCIPLE d' the test part ne clock" .10 Comment St | atus W tern and 8000 P 589 Nvidia tatus D | GBASE-ER1 trib | utary frame are # [<u>100</u> (Logic) (bucket) |
| Comment Typ The AM f the norms SuggestedRe Change t G.709.6, Proposed Re PROPOS | pe E field is defined in a native text of this c emedy the note to say "R and OIF-800ZR-(esponse F SED ACCEPT. SC 186.2.3.4.1 nas | Comment Status D G.709.1, but the values us lause). ecommendation ITU_T G D1.0" Response Status W P586 Nokia | :.709.1, Recomm | # 216 | 800GBAS Proposed Res PROPOS Change ti generated C/ 186 Bruckman, Le Comment Typ Missing " SuggestedRe Change: | SE-ER1 tributa sponse SED ACCEPT he text to read d from the san SC 186.2.3.5. eon be ER the" smedy "by 800GBAS | Ary frame" Response St IN PRINCIPLE d " the test path ne clock" .10 Comment St E-ER1 FEC" to | atus W tern and 800 P 589 Nvidia tatus D "by the 800G | GBASE-ER1 trib | utary frame are # [<u>100</u> (Logic) (bucket) |
| Comment Typ The AM f the norma SuggestedRe Change t G.709.6, Proposed Re PROPOS CI 186 Huber, Thom Comment Typ | pe E field is defined in a native text of this c emedy the note to say "R and OIF-800ZR-(esponse F SED ACCEPT. SC 186.2.3.4.1 nas pe E | Comment Status D G.709.1, but the values us lause). ecommendation ITU_T G D1.0" Response Status W | .709.1, Recomm <i>L</i> 34 | nendation ITU-T | 800GBAS Proposed Res PROPOS Change ti generated C/ 186 Bruckman, Le Comment Typ Missing "f SuggestedRe Change: " | SE-ER1 tributa sponse SED ACCEPT he text to read d from the san SC 186.2.3.5. eon be ER the" smedy "by 800GBAS | Ary frame" Response St IN PRINCIPLE I " the test path ne clock" .10 Comment St E-ER1 FEC" to Response St | atus W tern and 800 P 589 Nvidia tatus D "by the 800G | GBASE-ER1 trib | utary frame are # <u>100</u> <i>(Logic) (bucket)</i> |
| the norma SuggestedRe Change t G.709.6, Proposed Re PROPOS C/ 186 Huber, Thom Comment Typ The EOH SuggestedRe | pe E field is defined in 0 native text of this c emedy the note to say "R and OIF-800ZR-0 esponse SED ACCEPT. SC 186.2.3.4.1 nas pe H field is defined in | Comment Status D G.709.1, but the values us lause). ecommendation ITU_T G D1.0" Response Status W P586 Nokia Comment Status D n G.709.1 rather than G.70 | .709.1, Recomm <i>L</i> 34 | # 216 | 800GBAS Proposed Res PROPOS Change ti generated C/ 186 Bruckman, Le Comment Typ Missing "f SuggestedRe Change: " | SE-ER1 tributa sponse SED ACCEPT he text to read d from the san SC 186.2.3.5. eon be ER the" emedy "by 800GBAS sponse | Ary frame" Response St IN PRINCIPLE I " the test path ne clock" .10 Comment St E-ER1 FEC" to Response St | atus W tern and 800 P 589 Nvidia tatus D "by the 800G | GBASE-ER1 trib | utary frame are # [<u>100</u> (Logic) (bucket) |

C/ 186 SC 186.2.3.5.10

| C/ 186 | SC 186.2.3.5. | 10 P 590 | L14 | # 242 | C/ 186 | SC | 186.2.4.4 | P 594 | L16 | # 326 |
|------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| Gorshe, S | iteve | Microchip T | echnology | | Brown, Ma | itt | | Alphawa | ave Semi | |
| Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) ER1 error monitoring |
| The G | MP word size (gr | locks at the beginning of th anularity) in each 800GBA | SE-ER1 frame is o | one 257-bit block. As | For the being a | e 800G a CRC | BASE-ER | I/ER1-20 PMD the error In order to measure th | or ratio specificatio | ns are defined in 187.2 as rs are required. |
| | , | he first block of each 800G e 8 lanes are mapped into | | | Suggested | Reme | dy | | | |
| GMP i | | med per lane, there should | | | a cour | t of all | CRC32 blo | nters as follows: ocks processed ocks in which error are | detected | |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | | | | | | | define the registers in |
| | | ct, Figure 186-7 should be | | | Clause | | | | | |
| a sing explai | | he four stuff blocks are cor | rect, an explanation | on should be added to | Proposed | • | | Response Status WN PRINCIPLE. | I | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | FROF | USLD | ACCEPTI | IN FRINCIFEL. | | |
| The co | OSED ACCEPT | t. | | :fue ee e | Define clause | | ounters as s | suggested. Add them to | o the list of status | registers in 186.7.1, and in |
| C/ 186 | SC 186.2.3.8 | ow a single stuff block at th | | # 264 | [Editor | 's note | : CC 45 18 | 6] | | |
| Wang, Xu | | Huawei | L JZ | # 204 | C/ 186 | SC | 186.2.4.4 | P 594 | L 51 | # 451 |
| Comment | | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | He, Xiang | | | Huawei | | |
| | | nanged to "OFBG84" as Of | BG is the abbrev | | Comment | Туре | TR | Comment Status D | | (Logic) ER1 error monitoring |
| group | in ITU-T G709.6. | | | | | | | | | R1-20 should be added. |
| Suggested | dRemedy | | | | | | | d to warn the degradat caught by CRC32. | ion before a failure | e, not until oFEC is unable |
| Chang | ge "OBFG84" to " | OFBG84". | | | Suggested | | | ouught by ontool. | | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | •• | | - | in OIF 8007R IA 47: | 3 and 4 7 4 Define | e at least one BER level |
| PROP | OSED ACCEPT. | | | | | | | shold as the degrade t | | |
| C/ 186 | SC 186.2.4.1 | P 594 | L 9 | # 265 | Proposed | , | | Response Status 🛛 🛛 | 1 | |
| Wang, Xu | ebo | Huawei | | | | | | N PRINCIPLE. | alounce and that | concerns the CRC-32 and |
| Comment | | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | | | | | | rns FEC degrade signaling |
| The n | umber 344064 sh | ould be 172032. Each DP- rrespond to 172032 DP-16 | | | (the re signali | st of th ng. In | ne current s | ubclause). Change the | e title of 186.2.4.4 | to include FEC degrade posed in the suggested |
| Suggested | | | | | remed | | ith editorial | license | | |
| | ge "344064" to "17 | 72032". | | | implei | | in cuitollai | | | |
| | Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | | |
| • | OSED ACCEPT. | | | | | | | | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 186 SC 186.2.4.4 Page 178 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| C/ 186 | SC 186.2.4.4 | P 595 | L11 | # 452 | C/ 186 | SC 186.2.4.9 |).3 | P 597 | L32 | # 102 |
|---|--|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| He, Xiang | | Huawei | | | Bruckman | Leon | | Nvidia | | |
| Comment | s the number of b | Comment Status D it errors detected by CRC32 | | yic) ER1 error monitoring ect. CRC32 can only | | istent lenguage | Comment S | tatus D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| Suggested Chang Proposed I PROP | IRemedy le the degrade det Response OSED ACCEPT I | ection method to align with <i>Response Status</i> W N PRINCIPLE. nse to comment #451. | OIF 800ZR IA. | | (FEC_ variabl To: "If (FEC_ | e: "If the alignme alignment_mark e FEC_alignmen the alignment m alignment_mark | nt_marker_locat arker location fe | ity is set to 1 ion_enable (s ature is supp ity is set to 1 |) and is enabled set to 1)," ported) and is enabled | by the FEC control (FEC control variable |
| C/ 186 Bruckman Comment | | P 595 Nvidia Comment Status D | L 40 | # 101 (Logic) (bucket) | Chang (FEC_ | OSED ACCEPT e the text to read alignment_mark | | nt market loc ity is set to 1 | cation function is) and is enabled 1)" | supported |
| Suggested Chang | <i>IRemedy</i> je: "multi0frame" t | o "multi-frame" | | | <i>Cl</i> 186 Huber, Tho | SC 186.3.2 | | P 599 Nokia | L 40 | # 219 |
| Proposed I PROP | Response OSED ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | | | ause describing | | face has a la | | <i>(Logic) (bucket)</i> dditional subheadings |
| Cl 186 Huber. The | SC 186.2.4.6. 7 | 7 <i>P</i> 596 Nokia | L 40 | # 218 | receipt | ' subclause) cor | | EC subclause | e, and compared | ated', and 'effect of to other service |
| Comment While transm | <i>Type</i> T the GID, IID, and hitter, they could h | Comment Status D MAP fields are fixed values ave different values if conne eceiver probably should ver | ected to an ITU- | FlexO-8e-DO | | the clause to reces in them. Ali | | ructure with v | nost of which hav vhat is in 186.2.2 | ve only one or two |
| they an Suggested Add te overhe also de | re supposed to co <i>IRemedy</i> ext to 186.2.4.7 to ead doesn't have t | ntain and not demap the sign indicate that the client is no he values that are expected a stable and correct value for | gnal if they don't. t demapped if th I. The SIGNAL_(| e GID/IID/MAP DK parameter should | PROP Remov that rei (e.g. ,1 | , OSED ACCEPT /e level 4 and lev | IN PRINCIPLE. vel 5 headings th ith the style of s | nroughout su | | , and update the text for other PMA layers |

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy with editorial license

C/ 186 SC 186.3.2

| C/ 186 | SC 186.3.3.2 | P 602 | L 51 | # 267 | C/ 186 S | SC 186.4.3 | |
|---------------|---|--|-----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Wang, Xue | ebo | Huawei | | | Law, David | | |
| Comment | Type E | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | Comment Typ | e T | Comm |
| | <0:21>" should be ent word per CL1 | e changed to "faw<0:21>", as 86.3.3.5. | it is shortened | from multi-frame | Since Figuther | ure 186–18 i | s the '800G |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | [1] The co | ndition from | the GET F |
| Chang | je "mfas<0:21>" te | o "faw<0:21>". | | | | ndition from | |
| Proposed PROP | Response OSED ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | | fam_bad_ [3] The co | count = 5. ndition from | the COMP |
| | COLD ACCELL | | | | SuggestedRer | nedy | |
| C/ 186 | SC 186.3.3.2 | P603 | L9 | # 268 | Change: | | |
| Wang, Xue | ebo | Huawei | | | [1] The GF | ET_BLOCK | state to the |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | test_fam. | VALID_FAM | |
| contaii | ns 114 rows of 64 ts of the 63 paylo | be changed to "S<7013:707 symbols per Line 46 on Pag ad symbols of row 113 leade | ge 602 in CL186 | .3.3.2. S<7013:7075> | | bad_count = DMP_2ND s h. | |
| 00 | - | >" to "S<7013:7075>". | | | Proposed Res | ponse | Respon |
| 0 | | | | | PROPOSI | ED ACCEPT | Г. |
| Proposed | OSED ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | | C/ 186 S | SC 186.4.3 | |
| | | | | | Law, David | /0100.4.0 | |
| C/ 186 | SC 186.4.2.1 | P 610 | L35 | # 636 | Comment Typ | ет | Comm |
| Law, David | d | HPE | | | 51 | e 186–19 80 | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) | variable fe | c_mfas_res | start, but on |
| | | ield lock state diagram requi | | | subclause | 186.4.2.1 '\ | Variables'. |
| _ | | ure 186–16 '800GBASE-ER1 | PIMA FAVV TIEIO | l lock state diagram [®] . | SuggestedRer | nedy | |
| | est that ' the SLI | P requested by the FAW fiel requested by the FAW field | | hould be changed to | | nge the thre 6–19, or cha | |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | | Proposed Res | ponse | Respon |
| PROP | OSED ACCEPT. | - | | | | ED ACCEPT gure 186-19 | - |

| | SC 186.4.3 | P618 | L17 | # 661 |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Law, David | d | HPE | | |
| Comment | Туре Т | Comment Status D | | (Logic) (bucket) |
| Since that: | Figure 186–18 i | s the '800GBASE-ER1 FEC F | AM field lock sta | te diagram', it seems |
| [2] The fam_b | e condition from ad_count = 5. | the GET_BLOCK state to the the INVALID_FAM state to th the COMP_2ND state to the 2 | e 5_BAD state s | hould be |
| Suggested | IRemedy | | | |
| Chang | le: | | | |
| fam_m | natch. | | | rom amp_match to |
| Proposed | | Response Status W | | |
| Proposed | Response | | Lg | # <u>662</u> |
| Proposed PROP | Response OSED ACCEPT SC 186.4.3 | ^ | L9 | |
| Proposed PROP Cl 186 | Response OSED ACCEPT SC 186.4.3 | P619 | L9 | # 662 |
| Proposed 2 PROP Cl 186 Law, David Comment The Fi variabl | Response OSED ACCEPT SC 186.4.3 d <i>Type</i> T gure 186–19 80 | P 619 HPE <i>Comment Status</i> D 0GBASE-ER1 FEC multi-fram tart, but only fec_mfas_restart | ne alignment stat | # <u>662</u> <i>(Logic) (bucket,</i> e diagram uses the |
| Proposed 2 PROP Cl 186 Law, David Comment The Fi variabl | Response OSED ACCEPT SC 186.4.3 d Type T gure 186–19 80 le fec_mfas_res use 186.4.2.1 '\ | P 619 HPE <i>Comment Status</i> D 0GBASE-ER1 FEC multi-fram tart, but only fec_mfas_restart | ne alignment stat | # <u>662</u> <i>(Logic) (bucket,</i> e diagram uses the |
| Cl 186 Cl 186 Law, David Comment The Fi variab subcla Suggested Either | Response OSED ACCEPT SC 186.4.3 d Type T gure 186–19 80 le fec_mfas_res use 186.4.2.1 '\ <i>IRemedy</i> change the thre 186–19, or cha | P 619 HPE <i>Comment Status</i> D 0GBASE-ER1 FEC multi-fram tart, but only fec_mfas_restart | e alignment stat Lock is defined | # <u>662</u> (<i>Logic</i>) (<i>bucket</i> , e diagram uses the in the associated fas_restart_lock in |
| Cl 186 Law, David Comment The Fi variab subcla Suggested Either Figure 186.4. | Response OSED ACCEPT SC 186.4.3 d Type T gure 186–19 80 le fec_mfas_res use 186.4.2.1 '\ <i>IRemedy</i> change the thre 186–19, or cha | P619 HPE Comment Status D 0GBASE-ER1 FEC multi-fram tart, but only fec_mfas_restart /ariables'. e instances of fec_mfas_resta | e alignment stat Lock is defined | # [<u>662</u> (<i>Logic</i>) (<i>bucket</i>) e diagram uses the in the associated fas_restart_lock in |

ICIPLE. Update Figure 186-19 as suggested.

C/ 186 SC 186.4.3

| C/ 186 | SC 186.4.3 | P6 | 20 | L 4 | # 663 |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|---|---------------|-------------|--|
| Law, David | | HPE | | | |
| Comment Ty | vpe E | Comment Status | D | | (Logic) (bucket |
| diagram | s follows the co | te diagram convention onventions of 21.5.'. the use of the [equa | Table 21–1 'S | tate dia | |
| SuggestedR | Remedy | | | | |
| Change | the five instand | ces of the text ' == | ' in Figure 1 | 86–20 | to read ' ='. |
| Proposed Re PROPO | esponse SED ACCEPT. | Response Status | w | | |
| C/ 186 | SC 186.4.3 | P6 | 20 | L 23 | # 665 |
| Law, David | | HPE | | | |
| Comment Ty | vpe E | Comment Status | D | | (Logic) (bucket |
| the state | the five instances in Figure 186 | ces of the use of the 6–20 '800GBASE-ER t arrow] character. | | | e assignment operator in arker location state |
| Proposed Re PROPO | esponse SED ACCEPT. | Response Status | w | | |
| C/ 186 | SC 186.4.3. | P6 | 20 | L 39 | # 664 |
| Law, David | | HPE | | | |
| Comment Ty | vpe E | Comment Status | D | | (Logic) (bucket |
| diagram subclaus | s follows the co | te diagram convention onventions of 21.5.'. the use of the [great | Table 21–1 'S | tate dia | |
| SuggestedR | Remedy | | | | |
| | | aml_cnt >= 5' to read ASE-ER1 FEC Alignr | | | ter than or equal sign] 5' in state diagram'. |

| Proposed Response | Response Status | w |
|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | |

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

| C/ 186A SC 186A | P 868 | L17 | # 334 |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Zimmerman, George | ADI,APLgp,Cisc | o,Marvell,Or | Semi,Sony |
| Comment Type T | Comment Status D | | (Logic) Test vector |

As the editor's note indicates Annex 186A doesn't have content at this time. Arguably it is informative and therefore not for technical completeness, but also, it does not appear to be referenced elsewhere in the draft, making it difficult to tell whether the material should be considered relevant to completeness.

SuggestedRemedy

Either include test vectors at initial WG ballot and provide some link in the normative text explaining where and how it is informative, or delete Annex 186A.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The suggested remedy will need to be taken at some point before SA ballot, but it is better to leave the annex, with the editor's note soliciting input, in the draft until later in the process to remind participants of the need to contribute these test vectors.

| C/ 187 | SC 187.1 | P 630 | L 39 | # 550 |
|--------------|----------|------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Maki, Jeffei | у | Juniper Network | ks | |
| Comment T | ype TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT coherent |

Associated clause 178B—ILT is missing as Required for 800GBASE-ER1-20 and 800GBASE-ER1.

SuggestedRemedy

Add Associated clause 178B—ILT as Required for 800GBASE-ER1-20 and 800GBASE-ER1.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The proposed change is not appropriate since ILT is not currently defined for this PMD type. However, comment #419 proposes to add ILT. Resolve using the response to comment #419.

| TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/genera | al required T/technical E/editorial G/general |
|---|--|
| COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected | RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn |
| SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line | |

C/ 187 SC 187.1 Page 181 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| C/ 187 | SC 187.1 | P 630 | L 44 | # 419 | C/ 187 | SC 187.5.1 | P 634 | L 31 | # 103 |
|---|--|--|---|--|---------------------|------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------|
| an, Adee | | Cisco System | าร | | Bruckman | , Leon | Nvidia | | |
| omment T | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT coherent | Comment | Type ER | Comment Status D | | (Optical) (bucke |
| Annex | 178B (specifica | nk that includes multiple ISLs Ily Figure 178B–7 and Figure e PMD type, and even if the I | 178B-8) is req | uired across ISLs. This | Text c Suggested | | to be consistent with other si | milar PMD clau | ses |
| such as | s 800GBASE-E | e a training protocol, the "qui | | | block | diagram of the I | gram for the transmit/receive p PMD is shown in Figure 187–4 Figure 187–3 and the PMD blo | 4." to "Thetransi | mit/receive paths block |
| | d of communica | ting the RTS to the peer. How | | | Proposed | Response | Response Status W | on alagram to o | |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | PROP Chanc | | T IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| | - | ed as row in Table 187-1 (as i | n other PMD cla | auses) | "A blo | ck diagram for t | he transmit/receive paths is s s shown in Figure 187–4." | hown in Figure | 187–3 and a block |
| with mi training | r_training_enab g protocol). Spe | er 187 defining the ILT function e always set to false (since 8 cify that the 800GBASE-ER1 | 00GBASE-ER1 FEC encoded F | /ER1-20 don't have a PRBS31 test pattern | | | he PMD transmit/receive path s shown in Figure 187–4." | ns is shown in F | igure 187–3 and a block |
| | | which may be generated by t tx_mode has the value local | | | C/ 187 | SC 187.5.1 | P635 | L 7 | # 552 |
| roposed F | Response | Response Status W | - · 、 | , | Maki, Jeffe | ery | Juniper Netw | vorks | |
| | | IN PRINCIPLE. | | | Comment | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT coherei |
| | | ion is expected. | | | SIGN | AL_OK> ILT a | and ILT> SIGNAL_OK missi | ing from Figure | 187-3. |
| | g review of the /ran_3dj_xx_25 | following presentation and CF | RG discussion. | | Suggested | IRemedy | | | |
| C/ 187 | SC 187.5 | P634 | L 27 | # 551 | | | ILT and ILT> SIGNAL_OK t T function indicated in Figure | | |
| /laki, Jeffe | ry | Juniper Netw | orks | | Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | |
| Comment T | Type TR | Comment Status D | | (Common) ILT coherent | | | T IN PRINCIPLE. | | |
| | sublayer link trai cations." | ning (ILT) function" is misstin | g in "187.5 PMI |) functional | type. I | lowever, comm | e is not appropriate since ILT i ent #419 proposes to add ILT | | defined for this PMD |
| Suggested | Remedy | | | | Reson | e using the res | ponse to comment #419. | | |
| entitlec function mr_trai transm | I "Inter-sublayer n for a Type O1 ning_enable is itter state (mod | Inctional specifications" a sub- link training (ILT) function" w interface, specified in Annex true, the ILT function is used ulation, training pattern, and p he transition to DATA mode." | ith text "A PMD 178B. When th to request chan | shall provide the ILT e variable ges to the peer | | | | | |
| Proposed F | Response | Response Status W | | | | | | | |
| PROP | | IN PRINCIPLE. is not appropriate since ILT is | s not currently d | efined for this PMD | | | | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 187 SC 187.5.1

SC 187.6.1

| C/ 187 S | SC 187.6 | P637 | L 54 | # 104 |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Bruckman, Le | on | Nvidia | | |
| Comment Type | e TR | Comment Status D | | (Optical) (bucket) |
| An 800GB requireme | | /ID that supports 40Km is obv | viously complain | t sinc ethis is the |
| SuggestedRen | nedy | | | |
| Change: "o 40 km" | could operate | e over 40 km would meet the | operating range | requirement of 2 m to |
| | operate over | 45 km would meet the operation | ating range requ | irement of 2 m to 40 |
| Proposed Res | ponse | Response Status W | | |
| PROPOSE | ED ACCEPT. | | | |
| C/ 187 S | SC 187.6.1 | P638 | L 24 | # 390 |
| Maniloff, Eric | | Ciena | | |
| Comment Type | e T | Comment Status D | Optic | al) Coherent parameters |
| | | | | |
| Proposed Res | nedy ne Average la | aunch power (max) value for Response Status W | 800GBASE-ER | 1-20 to -5 dBm |
| SuggestedRer Increase ti Proposed Res PROPOSE | nedy ne Average la ponse | Response Status W | 800GBASE-ER [*] <i>L</i> 26 | 1-20 to -5 dBm # <u>388</u> |
| SuggestedRer Increase ti Proposed Res PROPOSE | nedy ne Average la ponse ED ACCEPT. | Response Status W | | |
| SuggestedRer Increase til Proposed Res PROPOSE Cl 187 S Maniloff, Eric | nedy ne Average la ponse ED ACCEPT. SC 187.6.1 | Response Status W | L26 | |
| SuggestedRer Increase th Proposed Res PROPOSE Cl 187 S Maniloff, Eric Comment Type The metho should be | nedy ponse ED ACCEPT. SC 187.6.1 e T podology in 80 aligned with | Response Status W P638 Ciena | L 26 Optice e Average optica d in 800GBASE- | # 388 al) Coherent parameters al power specifications LR1. A supporting |
| SuggestedRer Increase th Proposed Res PROPOSE Cl 187 S Maniloff, Eric Comment Type The methor should be contributio | nedy ponse ED ACCEPT. CC 187.6.1 C 187.6.1 Dodology in 80 aligned with n with details | Response Status W P638 Ciena Comment Status D 0GBASE-ER1 on defining the the coupling to ETCC defined | L 26 Optice e Average optica d in 800GBASE- | # 388 al) Coherent parameters al power specifications LR1. A supporting |
| SuggestedRer Increase th Proposed Res PROPOSE Cl 187 S Maniloff, Eric Comment Type The methor should be contributio SuggestedRer Update the | nedy ne Average la ponse ED ACCEPT. SC 187.6.1 e T odology in 80 aligned with n with details nedy e 800GASE-E | Response Status W P638 Ciena Comment Status D 0GBASE-ER1 on defining the the coupling to ETCC defined | L 26 Optic e Average optica d in 800GBASE- bower and ETCC to couple the op | # <u>388</u> al) Coherent parameters al power specifications LR1. A supporting C max will be provided |
| SuggestedRen Increase th Proposed Res PROPOSE Cl 187 S Maniloff, Eric Comment Type The metho should be contributio SuggestedRen Update the | nedy ne Average la ponse ED ACCEPT. C 187.6.1 T odology in 80 aligned with n with details nedy e 800GASE-F ethodology a | Response Status W P638 Ciena Comment Status D 0GBASE-ER1 on defining the the coupling to ETCC defined of the values for Tx optical p ER1 and 800GBASE-ER1-20 | L 26 Optic e Average optica d in 800GBASE- bower and ETCC to couple the op | # <u>388</u> al) Coherent parameters al power specifications LR1. A supporting C max will be provided |

| Maniloff, Eric | | Ciena | a | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Comment Type | т | Comment Status | D | Optica | l) Coherent parameters | | | |
| however is no accuracy can with DWDM I | ote required be loosene asers. Loos | specification in Tab for single-waveleng d, and depending of ening the optical free for 800GBASE-ER | gth application on other requency acc | ons such as 8 irements can | 00GBASE-ER1. This still be compatible | | | |
| SuggestedRemed | ly | | | | | | | |
| | | in 800GBASE-ER1 adeoffs with differen | | | g contribution will be | | | |
| Proposed Respor | nse | Response Status | w | | | | | |
| | ew of the fol | N PRINCIPLE. lowing presentation 2507.pdf. | and CRG d | iscussion. | | | | |
| C/ 187 SC | 187.6.2 | P6; | 39 | L 35 | # 399 | | | |
| Mi, Guangcan | | Huaw | ei Technolo | gies Co., Ltd | | | | |
| Comment Type | TR | Comment Status | D | Optica | l) Coherent parameters | | | |
| In the system of coherent optical specification, two parameters are introuced, the Rx. Sensitivity and the Rx AOP tolerance_min. when checking across LR1, ER1-20, and ER1 spec, it is noticed that the relation of the two parameters of ER1 was not consistent with the other two coherent PMDs. for both LR1 and ER1-20, Rx AOP min - Tx AOP min = IL and Rx Sens Tx AOP min = Power budget. While for ER1, Rx AOP min - Tx AOP min = Power Budget and Rx Sens Tx AOP min = Power budget +1, essentially offset by 1dB, same as ER1 penalty allocation. | | | | | | | | |
| SuggestedRemed | dy | | | | | | | |
| either shift Tx | AOP down | by 1dB or raise the | e Rx Sens. 8 | Rx AOP tole | rance_min up by 1dB | | | |
| Proposed Respor | nse | Response Status | w | | | | | |
| | nt #112 high | nlighted that the ER he comment resolu | | | specification had 1dB al insertion loss in | | | |

P638

L 27

389

of unallocated loss and the comment resolution added 1dB of additional insertion loss in Table 187-7. The 1dB of additional loss accounts for the 1dB difference noted in the comment. No change to the draft.

D1.4 comment #112 may be found in the following comment report: https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/comments/D1p4/8023dj_D1p4_comments_final_clause.pdf

C/ 187 SC 187.6.2 Page 183 of 184 7/7/2025 1:05:49 PM

| C/ 187 | SC 187.8.6 | P 643 | L 44 | # 336 |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Zimmerman, George | | ADI,APLgp,C | ADI, APLgp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi | |
| Comment | Type E | Comment Status D | | (Optical) (bucket) |
| calcul using front e calcul | ation are define the test setup a end in Tables 18 ation - it just po directly rather | he method and ETCC d in 187.9." - but when I look a and calculation defined in Anne 87-12 and 187-13) - none of thi ints the reader on to another so than a wild goose chase with a | x 185A. (and pai s is defines the r action - better po | rameter values for the method and bint to 185A and the |
| Suggeste | dRemedy | | | |
| Chang ETCC | 5 | and ETCC calculation are def | ined in 187.9." to | o "The method and |
| calcul | ation are define | d in 185A, using the paramete | rs in the Tables | 187-12 and 187-13." |
| Proposed | Response | Response Status W | | |

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

_

C/ 187 SC 187.8.6