V 180 SC 180.9.5 P447 L1 # 1	C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P62 L30 # 3						
I-Chayeb, Ahmad Keysight Technologies (ahmad.el-chayeb@keysight							
omment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X						
Current definition for TDECQ points to clause 121.8.5.1 where TDECQ is calculated at a pre-FEC target SER. This definition is not a very good indicator of link performance	The desription of 200GBASE-DR1-2 should include mention of the inner FEC require to distinguish it from the 200GBASE-DR1 description	ement					
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy						
Re-define TDECQ and extend it to CER (codeword error ratio) to have better correlation with link performance. CER TDECQ definition need to be technically and economically feasible. A subsequent presentation will be provided at a later ad-hoc meeting.	Change "200GBASE-R PCS/PMA over single-mode fiber PMD" to "200GBASE-R PCS/PMA with type 200GBASE-R Inner FEC"						
roposed Response Response Status O	Make similar changes to 400GBASE-DR2-2, 800GBASE-DR4-2, and 1.6TBASE-DR8	3-2)					
	Change "800GBASE-R PCS/PMA over single-mode fiber PMD" to "800GBASE-R – PCS/PMA with type 800GBASE-LR1 Inner FEC over single-mode fiber PMD"						
7/185 SC 185.8.16 P571 L18 # 2	Proposed Response Response Status O						
assar, Peter Huawei							
omment Type TR Comment Status X							
The wording for the definition of Receiver Sensitivity is right from the intent but not sufficiently precise. "lowest average receiver input power at TP3 with	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.168a P95 L6 # 4						
no link impairments" is not right. Power is independent of impairments. Also applies to	Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type E Comment Status X						
187.8.17	Comment Type E Comment Status X Typo "PRBS" should be "PRBS31"						
ggestedRemedy							
Change "Receiver sensitivity is an optional parameter defined as the lowest average receiver input power at TP3 with	SuggestedRemedy						
no link impairments at which the block error ratio requirement in 185.2 is met." to "Receive sensitivity is an optional parameter defined as the lowest average receiver input power at TP3 with at which the block error ratio requirement in 185.2 is met. This does not have to be met in	Change "The assignment of bits in the PRBS seed value lane 0 register" to "The assignment of bits in the PMA/PMD PRBS31 seed value lane 0 register" Also change "The assignment of bits in the PMA/PMD training pattern lanes 1 through 7 registers" to "The assignment of bits in the PMA/PMD PRBS31 seed value lanes 1 throug 7 registers" on lines 6 and 7 of page 95						
the presence of impairments from the link, which are addressed separately in the allocatio for penalties in Table 185-7."	Proposed Response Response Status O						
•							
oposed Response Response Status O							
oposed Response Response Status O	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.60c P82 L4 # 5						
roposed Response Response Status O	C/45SC45.2.1.60cP 82L 4# 5Marris, ArthurCadence Design Systems						
oposed Response Response Status O							
oposed Response Response Status O	Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type E Comment Status X Typo, missing "2"						
roposed Response Response Status O	Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Systems Comment Type E Comment Status X						

	-				- .		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.1	68b P96	L 3	# 6	C/ 176 SC 176.7	7. 4.2 P3	17 L16	# 9
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Des	ign Systems		Marris, Arthur	Cade	nce Design Systems	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status	Х	
Typo, missing word "i	nterface"			The PRB31Q patte has been sent to the	ern needs decoding befor	e being sent to the PRE	3S31 checker, not after it
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
	nent of bits in the PMA/PMD tra the PMA/PMD interface trainin		ster" to "The	,	followed" to "preceded" ir	n "The PRBS310 test r	attern checking is
Proposed Response		ig status register		provided by the PF	RBS31 checker (see 176.	7.4.1), followed by inve	rse precoding (if
- Toposed Response	Response Status O			enabled), and inve using similar wordi	rse Gray mapping in the ng in 177.6.2.2	PAM4 decoder (see 17	6.4.3.5)." Also consider
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.2	58 P109	L 3	# 7	Proposed Response	Response Status	0	
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Des	ign Systems					
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			C/ 45 SC 45.2.			# 10
Correct table name				Marris, Arthur		nce Design Systems	
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type E	Comment Status		
	2g—PMA/PMD status 1 regist status 1 register bit definitions		to "Table	Change "lower" to	"bottom" to match Annex	178B nomenclature	
0	0	6		SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Change "lower AU	I" to "bottom AUI" in two	places	
				Proposed Response	Response Status	0	
C/ 116 SC 116.3.2	P156	L 48	# 8				
/arris, Arthur	Cadence Des	ign Systems		C/ 185A SC 185A	.2.3 P8	62 L 15	# 11
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Pfiefle, Joerg	Keysi	ight Technologies	
Strikethrough and une	derlining not correct on line 48			Comment Type T	Comment Status		
SuggestedRemedy					essing steps should be de	escribed in more details	in order to ensure
	nd strike throughs to indicate c				CC results, e.g. block-wis		
	Figure 116–2 through Figure 1 derline "through Figure 116–3a		rikethrough "and	SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0	u .			tion a text similar to OIF-4		
Toposeu Response	Response Status 0			blocks for some of tasks mentioned ir	block wise with block siz the processing steps. The the description. Process	ne processing steps sho sing steps can be conso	ould perform only the blidated and changed in
					rm any additional signal p ns resulting for example fr		

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 185A SC 185A.2.3.5	P 863	L12	# 12	C/ 180	SC 180.7.3	P 441	L 42	# 15
Pfiefle, Joerg	Keysight Tech	nologies		Johnson, J	ohn	Broadcom		
Comment Type T Com	ment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
Reference equalizer comprises	two steps, which do r	not necessarily	need to be combined.	The all	ocation for MPI	and DGD penalties of 0.1 dl	B is too small. It	should be increased to
SuggestedRemedy						2 dB for DGD per johnson_30	J_01-2505.	
Add a separate block for the po				Suggested		the following changes:		
polarization demultiplexing may	·	s a separate pro	cessing block.			for penalties (for max TDEC	Q) from 3.5 dB to	o 3.7 dB
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status O			2. Ch 3. Ch	ange Power bu	dget (for max TDECQ) from (b) to read: "This channel in	6.5 dB to 6.7 dB	
C/ 185A SC 185A.2.3.5	P863	L12	# 13	4. Ch	ange footnote (c) to read: "includes an all		
Pfiefle, Joerg	Keysight Tech	nologies				ses with a channel insertion for penalties should be "6.7		
Comment Type T Com	ment Status X	-		100-12		to penalites should be 0.1		011035.
Reference equalizer misses to s	specify the number of	f taps.		Suppor	rting editorial ins	structions are provided in joh	nson_3dj_01_25	07
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
Add a specified number of taps	to the description. Fo	or example: " v	vith an adaptive 45 tap					
(TBC) T-spaced feed-forward e	qualizer"			C/ 180	SC 180.7.1	P 438	L33	# 16
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status O			Johnson, J		Broadcom	-00	
				Comment		Comment Status X		
C/ 185A SC 185A.2.4	P863	L 28	# 14	The mi	nimum TX laun	ch power and OMA must be penalty allocation in Table 1		dB to account for the
Pfiefle, Joerg	Keysight Tech	nologies		Suggested				
51	ment Status X	Conthe on the Collection of	- h - m		-	the following changes:		
				1. Ch	ange Average la	aunch power, each lane (min cal Modulation Amplitude (O	MAouter), each l	
Effective number of bits (ENOB There is a standard, which defir 2023. This standard requires the was made shall be specified.". ⁻ sine wave, which may also be the the frequency.	at the "amplitude and Therefore, it is also ne	eeded to specify	the amplitude of the	dBm to 3. Cha	o -0.1 dBm, and ange footnote (b	from -1.2 + max(TECQ,TDE) to read: "An average laund an infinite extinction ratio."		(TECQ,TDECQ).
There is a standard, which defir 2023. This standard requires the was made shall be specified.". sine wave, which may also be to the frequency.	at the "amplitude and Therefore, it is also ne	eeded to specify	the amplitude of the	dBm to 3. Cha OMA o	9 -0.1 dBm, and ange footnote (b f –0.1 dBm with	from -1.2 + max(TECQ,TDE) to read: "An average laund	ch power of -3.1	(TECQ,TDECQ). dBm corresponds to a
There is a standard, which defir 2023. This standard requires the was made shall be specified.". sine wave, which may also be to	at the "amplitude and Therefore, it is also ne ranslated to a percent d 1241-2023, Section nd frequency informat de as 90% of the full-s as between DC and th	eeded to specify tage of the full-s 9.4. tion for which the scale of the ADC e 3-dB bandwid	the amplitude of the scale of the ADC, and e specified value shall and the frequency as th (according to Table	dBm to 3. Cha OMA o	 0-0.1 dBm, and ange footnote (b f -0.1 dBm with rting editorial inst 	from -1.2 + max(TECQ,TDE) to read: "An average laund an infinite extinction ratio."	ch power of -3.1	(TECQ,TDECQ). dBm corresponds to a

	_						_		
180 SC 180.7.1	P 439	L 28	# 17	C/ 180		180.8.2	P 444	L 10	# 20
hnson, John	Broadcom			Johnson, J			Broadcom		
omment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
Figure 180-3 must be up Table 180-7.	dated to correspond to the 0).2 dB increase	in OMAouter(min) in				hannel insertion loss Table ? sentation johnson_3dj_01_2		pdated MPI penalties
uggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remed	dy			
	in) curve in Figure 180-3 to c Q,TDECQ), with editorial lice		he updated values -0.1				naximum channel insertion le editorial presentation, johnso		
Supporting editorial instr	uctions are provided in johns	son_3dj_01_25	07	Proposed	Respor	nse	Response Status O		
roposed Response	Response Status O								
				C/ 181	SC	181.7.3	P 465	L 32	# 21
180 SC 180.7.2	P 440	L17	# 18	Johnson, J	John		Broadcom		
hnson, John	Broadcom			Comment		TR	Comment Status X		
omment Type TR	Comment Status X						and DGD penalties of 0.5 dB dB for DGD per consensus r		
51	e power must be increased b	oy 0.2dB to acc	ount for the changes in					Siesentation jon	nson_suj_01_2505.
MPI+DGD penalty alloca		,	<u>.</u>	Suggested		-	teller den aleren er		
uggestedRemedy							ne following changes: for penalties (for max TDECC	2) from 3.9 dB t	o 4 dB
In Table 180-8, change A	Average receive power, each	n lane (min) froi	m -6.3 dBm to -6.1 dBm.	2. Ch	nange F	Power bud	get (max TDECQ) from 7.4 c	B to 7.5 dB	
Supporting aditorial instr	uctions are provided in johns	aan 2di 01 25	07				o, c and d with new footnotes opropriate to CL 181, as give		
11 0	, ,	son_suj_01_25	07	100- 9	, with C	nanges a	propriate to CL ToT, as give		J_01_2307, Silde 6.
roposed Response	Response Status O			Suppo	orting ed	ditorial inst	tructions are provided in johr	nson_3dj_01_25	607
				Proposed	Respor	nse	Response Status 0		
180 SC 180.7.3	P 442	L 6	# 19						
hnson, John	Broadcom								
omment Type TR	Comment Status X								
Figure 180-5 must be up Table 180-7.	dated to correspond to the 0).2 dB increase	in TX OMAouter in						
uggestedRemedy									
	DMAouter(min) curve in Figu	ire 180-5 to cor	respond to the updated						
Update the Transmitter C values in Table 180-7, w									

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

						0 1		
C/ 181 SC 181.7.	1 P 462	L16	# 22	C/ 181	SC 181.7.2	P 464	L18	# 24
Johnson, John	Broadcom			Johnson,	John	Broadcom		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
	unch power and OMA must be in D penalty allocation in Table 18		dB to account for the			eive power must be increased bocation in Table 181-7.	by 0.1 dB to ac	count for the changes in
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	dRemedy			
	e the following changes:			In Tab	ole 181-6, chang	e Average receive power, eacl	h lane (min) froi	m -5.7 dBm to -5.6 dBm
 Change Outer Op to 0.9 dBm, and fror Change footnote 	launch power, each lane (min) otical Modulation Amplitude (ON n -0.1 + max(TECQ,TDECQ) to (b) to read: "An average launch h an infinite extinction ratio."	/Aouter), each l 0 + max(TECQ	ane (min) from 0.8 dBm ,TDECQ).		orting editorial in <i>Response</i>	structions are provided in john <i>Response Status</i> O	son_3dj_01_25	07
Supporting editorial	instructions are provided in johr	nson_3dj_01_25	607	C/ 181	SC 181.7.3	P 466	L 6	# 25
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Johnson,	John	Broadcom		
				Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
C/ 181 SC 181.7.	1 P463	L4	# 23	Figure Table		updated to correspond to the (0.1 dB increase	in TX OMAouter in
lohnson, John	Broadcom			Suggested	dRemedy			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Updat	e the Transmitte	er OMAouter(min) curve in Figu	ure 181-5 to cor	respond to the updated
	e updated to correspond to the	0.1 dB increase	in OMAouter(min) in	values	s in Table 181-5	, with editorial license.		
Table 181-5.				Suppo	orting editorial in	structions are provided in john	son 3di 01 25	07
SuggestedRemedy					Response	Response Status 0	0011_00j_01_20	
	er(min) curve in Figure 181-3 to ECQ,TDECQ), with editorial lice		he updated values 0.9	FTOPOSEd	Response			
Supporting editorial	instructions are provided in johr	nson_3dj_01_25	607					
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							

C/ 181 S	C 181.8.2	P 467	L 48	# 26	C/ 182	SC	182.7.3	P 491	L 30	# 28
ohnson, John		Broadcom			Johnson, J	lohn		Broadcom		
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X CL 181.8.2 should be rewritten to mirror the subclause structure and text in CL 180.8.2, with editorial license, including a table of maximum channel insertion loss versus the number of discrete reflections, as discussed in consensus presentation johnson_3dj_01_2505. SuggestedRemedy Make the following changes to CL 181.8.2: Re-write CL 181.8.2 using the structure and text in CL 180.8.2, with editorial license. Delete old Table 181-10, Maximum value of each discrete reflectance. Insert new Table 181-xx, Maximum channel insertion loss versus number of discrete reflectances, with the values given in johnson_3dj_01_2507, slide 11. 				ion ion ith editorial license. ance. number of discrete I.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X The allocation for MPI and DGD penalties of 0.4 dB is too large. It should be reduce 0.1 dB for MPI and 0.2 dB for DGD per consensus presentation johnson_3dj_01_25 SuggestedRemedy In Table 182-9, make the following changes: 1. Change Allocation for penalties (for max TDECQ) from 3.8 dB to 3.7 dB 2. Change Power budget (max TDECQ) from 7.8 dB to 7.7 dB 3. Replace footnotes b, c and d with new footnotes b and c following the form of Ta 180- 9, with changes appropriate to CL 182, as given in johnson_3dj_01_2507, slide Supporting editorial instructions are provided in johnson_3dj_01_2507 Proposed Response Response Status 0					
roposed Res 7 181 S ohnson, John comment Type	C 181.8	Response Status O P467 Broadcom Comment Status X	L 4	# 27		lohn <i>Type</i> Ccomm		P488 Broadcom Comment Status X e minimum TX launch power h power with the new values		
SuggestedRen In Table 18 1. Replace 2. Add tex is depende channel as	nedy 1-8, e Channel in: t in CL 181.8 nt on the nu given in Tal	(max) in Table 181-8 should p sertion loss(max) value 3.5dB 3 similar to CL 180.8: "The ma mber and maximum value of t ole 181–xx. Discrete reflectanc supported channel insertion los	with "See Table aximum value o he discrete refle ces below –55 o	e 181-xx". f channel insertion loss ectances within the IB may be ignored	Suggested In Tab 1. Cha 2. Cha dBm to 3. Cha	IRemea le 182- ange A ange O o -0.1 d ange fo	dy 7, make th verage lau outer Optica dBm, and fi potnote (b)	presentation johnson_3dj_(e following changes: nch power, each lane (min) al Modulation Amplitude (ON rom -1.2 + max(TECQ,TDEC to read: "An average launch n infinite extinction ratio."	from -3.3 dBm t 1Aouter), each la CQ) to -1 + maxi	ane (min) from -0.3 (TECQ,TDECQ).

Supporting editorial instructions are provided in johnson_3dj_01_2507

Proposed Response R

Response Status **O**

Supporting editorial instructions are provided in johnson_3dj_01_2507

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

7 182 SC 182.7.1	P 489	L 36	# 30	C/ 182	SC 182.7.2	P 491	L3	# 32
hnson, John	Broadcom			Johnson, Joh	in	Broadcom		
omment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status X		
Figure 182-3 must be Table 182-7.	updated to correspond to the 0	0.2 dB increase	in OMAouter(min) in	Figure 18 182-8.	32-4 must be ι	pdated to correspond to the	0.3 dB increase	es in OMAouter in Table
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe	emedy			
	r(min) curve in Figure 182-3 to ECQ,TDECQ), with editorial lice		ne updated values -0.1			ensitivity (OMAouter) curve in e 182-4, with editorial license		to correspond to the
Supporting editorial ir	structions are provided in johns	son_3dj_01_250	07	Supporti	ng editorial ins	tructions are provided in johr	nson_3dj_01_2	507
roposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status O		
# 182 SC 182.7.2	P 490	L 20	# 31	C/ 182	SC 182.7.3	P 492	L 3	# 33
ohnson, John	Broadcom			Johnson, Joł	in	Broadcom		
omment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status X		
sensitivity) to account	eive power must be increased I tor the changes in MPI+DGD p	penalty allocatio		Figure 18 and 182-		updated to correspond to the	changes in OM	Aouter in Tables 182-7
discussed in consens	us presentation johnson_3dj_0	1_2505.		SuggestedRe	emedy			
In Table 182-8, make 1. Change Average	the following changes: receive power, each lane (min) sensitivity (OMAquiter) each la					OMAouter(min) and Receive updated values in Table 182-		
In Table 182-8, make 1. Change Average 2. Change Receiver and from -5.6 + TECC	receive power, each lane (min) sensitivity (OMAouter), each la Q to -5.3 + TECQ.	ane (max) from -	-4.7 dBm to -4.4 dBm,	5 to corre license.	espond to the		7 and Table 18	82-8, with editorial
In Table 182-8, make 1. Change Average 2. Change Receiver and from -5.6 + TECC	receive power, each lane (min) sensitivity (OMAouter), each la	ane (max) from -	-4.7 dBm to -4.4 dBm,	5 to corre license.	espond to the	updated values in Table 182-	7 and Table 18	82-8, with editorial
In Table 182-8, make 1. Change Average 2. Change Receiver and from -5.6 + TECC 3. Change Stressed 1.9 dBm.	receive power, each lane (min) sensitivity (OMAouter), each la Q to -5.3 + TECQ.	ane (max) from - , each lane (ma	4.7 dBm to -4.4 dBm, x) from -2.2 dBm to -	5 to corre license. Supporti	espond to the	updated values in Table 182- tructions are provided in johr	7 and Table 18	82-8, with editorial
In Table 182-8, make 1. Change Average 2. Change Receiver and from -5.6 + TECO 3. Change Stressed 1.9 dBm. Supporting editorial in	receive power, each lane (min) sensitivity (OMAouter), each la Q to -5.3 + TECQ. receiver sensitivity (OMAouter)	ane (max) from - , each lane (ma	4.7 dBm to -4.4 dBm, x) from -2.2 dBm to -	5 to corre license. Supporti	espond to the	updated values in Table 182- tructions are provided in johr	7 and Table 18	82-8, with editorial
In Table 182-8, make 1. Change Average 2. Change Receiver and from -5.6 + TECO 3. Change Stressed 1.9 dBm. Supporting editorial in	receive power, each lane (min) sensitivity (OMAouter), each la Q to -5.3 + TECQ. receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) nstructions are provided in johns	ane (max) from - , each lane (ma	4.7 dBm to -4.4 dBm, x) from -2.2 dBm to -	5 to corre license. Supporti	espond to the	updated values in Table 182- tructions are provided in johr	7 and Table 18	82-8, with editorial
In Table 182-8, make 1. Change Average 2. Change Receiver and from -5.6 + TECO 3. Change Stressed 1.9 dBm. Supporting editorial in	receive power, each lane (min) sensitivity (OMAouter), each la Q to -5.3 + TECQ. receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) nstructions are provided in johns	ane (max) from - , each lane (ma	4.7 dBm to -4.4 dBm, x) from -2.2 dBm to -	5 to corre license. Supporti	espond to the	updated values in Table 182- tructions are provided in johr	7 and Table 18	82-8, with editorial
In Table 182-8, make 1. Change Average 2. Change Receiver and from -5.6 + TECO 3. Change Stressed 1.9 dBm. Supporting editorial in	receive power, each lane (min) sensitivity (OMAouter), each la Q to -5.3 + TECQ. receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) nstructions are provided in johns	ane (max) from - , each lane (ma	4.7 dBm to -4.4 dBm, x) from -2.2 dBm to -	5 to corre license. Supporti	espond to the	updated values in Table 182- tructions are provided in johr	7 and Table 18	82-8, with editorial
 Change Average Change Receiver and from -5.6 + TECO Change Stressed 1.9 dBm. 	receive power, each lane (min) sensitivity (OMAouter), each la Q to -5.3 + TECQ. receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) nstructions are provided in johns	ane (max) from - , each lane (ma	4.7 dBm to -4.4 dBm, x) from -2.2 dBm to -	5 to corre license. Supporti	espond to the	updated values in Table 182- tructions are provided in johr	7 and Table 18	82-8, with editorial
In Table 182-8, make 1. Change Average 2. Change Receiver and from -5.6 + TECO 3. Change Stressed 1.9 dBm. Supporting editorial in	receive power, each lane (min) sensitivity (OMAouter), each la Q to -5.3 + TECQ. receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) nstructions are provided in johns	ane (max) from - , each lane (ma	4.7 dBm to -4.4 dBm, x) from -2.2 dBm to -	5 to corre license. Supporti	espond to the	updated values in Table 182- tructions are provided in johr	7 and Table 18	82-8, with editorial
In Table 182-8, make 1. Change Average 2. Change Receiver and from -5.6 + TECO 3. Change Stressed 1.9 dBm. Supporting editorial in	receive power, each lane (min) sensitivity (OMAouter), each la Q to -5.3 + TECQ. receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) nstructions are provided in johns	ane (max) from - , each lane (ma	4.7 dBm to -4.4 dBm, x) from -2.2 dBm to -	5 to corre license. Supporti	espond to the	updated values in Table 182- tructions are provided in johr	7 and Table 18	32-8, with editorial
In Table 182-8, make 1. Change Average 2. Change Receiver and from -5.6 + TECO 3. Change Stressed 1.9 dBm. Supporting editorial in	receive power, each lane (min) sensitivity (OMAouter), each la Q to -5.3 + TECQ. receiver sensitivity (OMAouter) nstructions are provided in johns	ane (max) from - , each lane (ma	4.7 dBm to -4.4 dBm, x) from -2.2 dBm to -	5 to corre license. Supporti	espond to the	updated values in Table 182- tructions are provided in johr	7 and Table 18	32-8, with editorial

C/ 182 S	SC 182.8.2	P 493	L 49	# 34	C/ 174A	SC 174A.4	1	P 678	L 3	# 36
Johnson, John		Broadcom			Salvekar, A	tul	(Cadence Des	ign Systems	
Comment Type	F TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comment S	tatus X		
with editori number of	ial license, ir	ewritten to mirror the subclaus ncluding a table of maximum c ections, as discussed in conse	hannel insertion	loss versus the	genera	Ily. I believe t Binomial Distr	he correct term to			not to be the case trically distributed (iid)
SuggestedRen	nedv					e "If the errors	e at tha			
Make the f	ollowing cha	nges to CL 182.8.2: 2 using the structure and text i	n CL 190 9 2 y	ith aditarial license			are uncorrelated"			
2. Delete	old Table 18	2-12, maximum value of each 2-xx, Maximum channel inser	discrete reflect	ance.	to					
		values given in johnson_3dj_0				errors at the f the RS-FFC	are iid with a Bind	mial Distribut	ion"	
Supporting	editorial ins	tructions are provided in johns	son_3dj_01_25)7	input o					
Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status O			Change	e other places	s in 174A with edite	orial discretion	n.	
					Proposed F	Response	Response St	atus O		
C/ 182 S	SC 182.8	P 492	L 47	# 35						
Johnson, John		Broadcom			C/ 175	SC 175.2.4	4.10	P 272	L13	# 37
Comment Type	ə TR	Comment Status X			Salvekar, A	tul	(Cadence Des	ign Systems	
		(max) in Table 182-10 should	point to new Ta	ble 182-xx.	Comment 7 Put in 0	<i>Type</i> ER Generator Pol	Comment Sa Ivnomial	tatus X		
SuggestedRen	,				Suggested		,			
	e Channel in	sertion loss(max) value 4 dB			00	,	nbler" to "G(x) =1 +	+ x^39 + x^58	"	
insertion lo within the o	oss is depend channel as g	3 similar to text in CL 180.8: " dent on the number and maxir iven in Table 182–xx. Discrete ing the supported channel ins	num value of th e reflectances b	e discrete reflectances elow –55 dB may be	Proposed F	Response	Response St	atus O		
Supporting	editorial ins	tructions are provided in johns	son_3dj_01_25)7						
Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status 0								

	.1.5 <i>P</i> 682	L 26	# 38	C/ 176D	SC 1	76D.2	F	°741	L 5	# 41
Liu, Cathy	Broadcom Inc.			Liu, Cathy			Bro	adcom Inc		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	E	Comment Statu	ıs X		
always true. When pr	ne equation 174A-6 of BER=1/2 re-coding is applied, or inner ha ne hold which results in the error	nming decoding			_	d is defir wo-bit de		^ -4. It is th	hree-bit decimal	. Other places in the
		mask is nighter.		Suggested	Remedy					
SuggestedRemedy				Chang	e to 2.68	x 10 ^ -4	1			
clarify the assumption RSSER = 1 –(1 – 2Bl	pecial cases with pre-coding or n. Or we can apply two cases to ER)^5 for no precoding and inne ng or inner code decoding.	the equation 17	4A-6 as following:	Proposed	Respons	е	Response Statu	s O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			CI 73A	SC 7	3A.1a	F	°657	L 6	# 42
				Lusted, Ke	nt		Syr	iopsys		
	8			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Statu	ıs X		
CI 176C SC 176C.2		L 5	# 39							Ys: HL, HN, HH. For
Liu, Cathy	Broadcom Inc.									ner to determine if the local CR host knows
Comment Type E The BER_added is do document are two-bit	Comment Status X efined as 2.841 x 10 ^ -4. It is th t decimal.	ree-bit decimal.	Other places in the	manag	ement m		ch as CMIS conte			ssemble class via lowever, the partner's
SuggestedRemedy Change to 2.84 x 10 ⁴	^ -4				•		July session.			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested						
							ne Extended FEC 2:43 as "CR Host			essage code link ne PHYs". Abbreviated
		L15			43 Clas	s				
CI 176C SC 176C.3	P 721	215	# 40							
	P 721 Broadcom Inc.	213	# 40	0 0		ninal HN				
Liu, Cathy	Broadcom Inc.	213	# 40	0 0 0 1	Host Los	ninal HN s HL				
Liu, Cathy Comment Type T The figure 176C-2 ha channel could have n	Broadcom Inc. Comment Status X as one mated connector illustrat to connector or up to one conne	ed as the C2C cl ctor. The figure	nannel. The C2C	0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1	Host Los Host Hig Reserved	ninal HN s HL h HH d		:		
Liu, Cathy Comment Type T The figure 176C-2 ha channel could have n readers to "must have	Broadcom Inc. Comment Status X as one mated connector illustrat	ed as the C2C cl ctor. The figure	nannel. The C2C	0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 change "Exten	Host Los Host Hig Reserved the sec ded Tecl	ninal HN s HL h HH d cond para	graphs as follows Ability bits EA0:EA	27 map to		U0:U25), CR Host
Liu, Cathy Comment Type T The figure 176C-2 ha channel could have n readers to "must have SuggestedRemedy	Broadcom Inc. Comment Status X as one mated connector illustrat to connector or up to one conne	ed as the C2C cl ctor. The figure	nannel. The C2C	0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 change "Exten Class	Host Los Host Hig Reserved the sec ded Tech for 200 G	ninal HN s HL h HH d cond para nnology A Gb/s per I	graphs as follows \bility bits EA0:EA ane PHYS D42:D	27 map to 43 (U26:U2	27) and Extende	U0:U25), CR Host ed FEC capability bits s zero and ignored on
Liu, Cathy Comment Type T The figure 176C-2 ha channel could have n readers to "must have SuggestedRemedy Add a note to clarify t	Broadcom Inc. Comment Status X as one mated connector illustration to connector or up to one conne e one connector" for the C2C in that the connector is optional.	ed as the C2C cl ctor. The figure	nannel. The C2C	0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 change "Exten Class	Host Los Host Hig Reserved the sec ded Tech for 200 G F3 map t	ninal HN s HL h HH d cond para nnology A Gb/s per I	graphs as follows \bility bits EA0:EA ane PHYS D42:D	27 map to 43 (U26:U2	27) and Extende	ed FEC capability bits
Liu, Cathy <i>Comment Type</i> T The figure 176C-2 ha channel could have n readers to "must have <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	Broadcom Inc. Comment Status X as one mated connector illustration to connector or up to one conne e one connector" for the C2C in	ed as the C2C cl ctor. The figure	nannel. The C2C	0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 change "Exten Class EF0:E receive	Host Los Host Hig Reserved the sec ded Tecl for 200 G F3 map t e."	ninal HN s HL h HH d cond para nnology / Gb/s per I o bits D4	graphs as follows \bility bits EA0:EA ane PHYS D42:D	27 map to 43 (U26:U2	27) and Extende	ed FEC capability bits

C/ 179B SC	C 179B.1	P 823	L19	# 43	C/ 179B	SC 179B.3	P 823	L 27	# 45			
Mellitz, Richard		Samtec			Mellitz, Rich	ard	Samtec					
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status X					
set of coeffi	cient power	using the equation": The Ins s (eq 179B-3, 4, and 5) whicl	n do not appear	to be tied to the			ation uses a complicated set ed to the physics of the test fiz					
		e design nor to compliance to been demonstrated wander			SuggestedRemedy							
wanders co			Considerably.		Replace: The cable assembly test fixture (also known as Module Compliance Board) is required for							
SuggestedRem	edy						est fixture (also known as Moo ssembly specifications in 179	•	, ,			
Equation (1 With: "The referen This resolut 179B.3.1, 1	nce insertior 79B–5)' nce fitted ins ion is tied to 79B.4.1 tions and ap	a loss of the mated test fixtur sertion loss of the mated test of the comment suggesting th opendixes, the fit loss at Nyq <i>Response Status</i> O	fixtures is 9.75 o e removal of sec	dB at 53.125 GHz." tions 17B.2.1,	With: The TP measur test poi dB. The nor mellitz_ fmin = 0 Remove	P1 or TP4 test fi iring the transm ints have a norm ormalized signal _3dj_03_2505") 0.05 GHz to fm ve section: 179E	itter and receiver specification nalize signal power between power (P_signal) is calculate with fb = 106.25 GHz, Tt = ax = 67 GHz.	Compliance Board) is required for ons at TP2 and TP3. The TP2 and n 0.41 and 0.47 V^2. The fit loss i ated according to ### (slide 7 in = 6 ps, and fr = 0.55 × fb over the	23. The TP2 and TP3 22. The fit loss is 5.95 ### (slide 7 in			
					Proposed R	esponse	Response Status O					
C/ 179B SC	C 179B.2	P823	L 27	# 44								
Mellitz, Richard		Samtec										
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X										

The Insertion loss equation uses a complicated set of coefficient powers (eq 179B-1) which do not appear to be tied to the physics of the test fixture design nor to compliance testing

SuggestedRemedv

Replace:

"The TP2 or TP3 test fixture (also known as Host Compliance Board) is required for measuring the transmitter and receiver specifications at TP2 and TP3. The TP2 and TP3 test points are illustrated in Figure 179-2."

with:

The TP2 or TP3 test fixture (also known as Host Compliance Board) is required for measuring the transmitter and receiver specifications at TP2 and TP3. The TP2 and TP3 test points have a normalize signal power between 0.46 and 0.52 V/2. The fit insertion loss is 3.8 dB.

The normalized signal power (P_signal) is calculated according to ### (slide 7 in mellitz 3dj 03 2505") with fb = 106.25 GHz, Tt = 6 ps, and fr = $0.55 \times fb$ over the range fmin = 0.05 GHz to fmax = 67 GHz. Remove section: 179B.2.1

Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 45

Page 10 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:52 PM

/ 179B	SC 179B.4	P825	L 3	# 46	C/ 178	SC	178.9.2.6	P 364	L 53	# 48	
ellitz, Ric	hard	Samtec			Mellitz, Rid	chard		Samtec			
omment	Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X			
which o	does not appea	ecification uses a complicated ir to be tied to the physics of th	e test fixture de	sign nor to compliance			replaced \ (eq 179-9)	/_peak^2 with P_sign)	al. SCMR should be	e aligned with	
		s. The reason for the 1.5 powe			Suggested	dRemed	dy				
normal	ized signal pov	average of measurements (ko ver is expected to track perforr reference lines			SNDR	(meas)	(eq 179-9)		al. SCMR should be	e aligned with	
uggested	Remedy						ation 178-1	with nal / VCM_FB^2)			
Replac	•					55 line 4					
		fixture and the cable assembly			Repla						
state II With:	ustrated in Fig	ure 92–18. The mated test fixt	ures specificatio	ns are given below."	V_pea With	ak isc	defined in 1	79.9.4.1.2			
	2 or TP3 test f	ixture and the cable assembly	test fixture has	a normalized signal		nal is de	efined in ec	uation 179-8			
		e Insertion loss shall be betwe			Proposed	Respor	nse	Response Status			
		al) is calculated according to # Tt = 6 ps, and fr = 0.55 × fb o									
= 67 G	,	n = 0 p3, and n = 0.00 x 15 0	ver the range in								
		B.3.1 to line 1 on page 825.			C/ 178	SC	178.10	P 370	L 44	# 49	
	ne following line	es: calculated according to 93A.4	with $fb = 106.25$	GHz Tt = 6 ps and fr	Mellitz, Rid	chard		Samtec			
		insertion loss and insertion los			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X			
range f	min = 0.05 GH	z to fmax = 67 GHz. FOM_ILD	shall be less th	an or equal to 0.15 dB.				has not been considere			4
Proposed I	Response	Response Status O			intero	perabilit		in s-parameters passe been specified. Channe effects.			۶r
/ 179B	SC 179B.4.	6 P 830	L 23	# 47	Suggested						
lellitz, Ric	-	Samtec	-20					1—Channel characteri			
Comment		Comment Status X						non mode ratio (SCMR	_CH) min 20 dB		
		stalk noise voltage" and "MDFI	=VT intograted c	rosstalk poiso voltago"				ides 12 and 14 /3/dj/public/adhoc/elec	trical/23 1207/mell	itz 3di elec 01 2312	207.r
		ependent. Aft is not relevant.			df		U		_	- <i>-</i> – – –	
uggested			_ /-		replac i.e. SC	ing V_p	beak^2 with H= 10*log1	sigma_tn^2 from equ 0(sigma_ts^2 / VCM_	ation 179.15 with c(CH^2)	n)=1 (no TxFFE)	
	ction describin	ated crosstalk noise voltage" li g slide 7 on in "mellitz_3dj_03_		MDFEXT.	Proposed		-	Response Status C	,		
with:	KT integrated c	rosstalk noise voltage (max) of 40 dB									
	0 in mellitz 3d										

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 179 SC 179.11	P 412	L 38	# 50	C/ 116	SC 116.2.9)	P 155	L155	# 53
Vellitz, Richard	Samtec			D'Ambrosia	, John		Futurewei, U.	S. Subsidiary of F	luawei
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment T	ype TR	Comment	Status X		
skew would be included	has not been considered for in s-parameters passed to been specified. Channel con effects.	COM, the effect	of skew on	A PHY ILT if ar	may also sup n extender ba		200Gb/s based o/s AUI is used	d AUIs or the phy	vhat the PMD can do. sical layer can support
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
Channel Signal to comm Add section based on sl		min 20 dB		https://v	vww.ieee802.	on Page 6 of org/3/dj/public/a icense for each			sia_3dj_elec_02_2506
df replacing V_peak^2 with	/3/dj/public/adhoc/electrical	179.15 with c(n)		Proposed R	lesponse	Response	Status O		
i.e. SCMR_CH= 10*log1 Proposed Response	0(sigma_ts^2 / VCM_CH^2	2)		C/ 178B	SC 178B.5	.2	P 789	L 2	# 54
roposed Response	Response Status O			Jones, Cha	d		Cisco System	is, Inc.	
				Comment T	ype E	Comment	2		
C/ 180A SC 180A D'Ambrosia, John	Р 850 Futurewei, U.	L 4 S. Subsidiary of	# 51 Huawei			antee, in two pla mmend this rep		ikely be flagged d os ensure".	uring MEC. Staff
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Suggested	Remedy				
	incorrect. This annex only	addresses MDIs	for the DR family of	change	"guarantees"	to "helps ensur	e" in two places	s on lines 2 and 3	
optics.				Proposed R	esponse	Response	Status O		
SuggestedRemedy									
	r 200GBASE-DR1, 400GBA 2, 400GBASE-DR2-2, 800G			C/ 178B	SC 178B.1	421	P804	L15	# 55
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Jones, Cha			Cisco System		
				Comment T		Comment		io, ino.	
C/ 178B SC 178B.3	P 786	L33	# 52	Use of	he work avoi		be flagged dur	ing MEC. Staff re	view would likely
D'Ambrosia, John	Futurewei, U.	S. Subsidiary of	Huawei	Suggested	Remedy				
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			change	"avoid" to "he	elp reduce".			
	f inter-sublayer link training ublayer link (ISL) was displa			Proposed R	esponse	Response	Status O		
SuggestedRemedy									
Implement figure on Pag https://www.ieee802.org 05.pdf with editorial licer	/3/dj/public/adhoc/electrical	/25_0605/dambr	osia_3dj_elec_02_2506						
Proposed Response	Response Status O								
TYPE: TR/technical required COMMENT STATUS: D/disp					U/unsatisfier	Z/withdrawn	Comme	ent ID 55	Page 12 of 14 6/16/2025 2:1

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/73 SC 73.4.1	P129	L 26	# 56	Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1.2 P363 L45 # 59
ones, Chad	Cisco Systems	s, Inc.		Mellitz, Richard Samtec
<i>comment Type</i> E Use of "may".	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR Comment Status X ERL impedance should be aligned to Rd and 179B.
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy
replace "may be" with "	are".			Add line:
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			The reference differential impedance for the test fixture ERL computation shall be 92.5 ohms.
				Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 169 SC 169.2.9	P190	L 25	# 57	
ones, Chad	Cisco Systems	s, Inc.		C/ 179 SC 179.9.4.7 P403 L23 # 60
comment Type E	Comment Status X			Mellitz, Richard Samtec
Use of "may".				Comment Type TR Comment Status X
uggestedRemedy				ERL impedance should be aligned to Rd and 179B.
change "may optionally	v support" to "optionally suppo	orts"		SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Add line: The reference differential impedance for the test fixture ERL computation shall be 92.5 ohms.
C 174 SC 174.2.11	P 250	L26	# 58	Proposed Response Response Status O
	Cisco Systems	-	# 58	Proposed Response Response Status O
ones, Chad comment Type E		-	# 58	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 179 SC 179.11.3 P 412 L 11 # 61
ones, Chad <i>Comment Type</i> E Use of "may".	Cisco Systems	-	# 58	
ones, Chad omment Type E Use of "may". uggestedRemedy	Cisco Systems Comment Status X	s, Inc.	# 58	Cl 179 SC 179.11.3 P412 L11 # 61
ones, Chad comment Type E Use of "may". uggestedRemedy change "may optionally	Cisco Systems Comment Status X	s, Inc.	# <u>58</u>	C/ 179 SC 179.11.3 P 412 L 11 # 61 Mellitz, Richard Samtec
ones, Chad Comment Type E Use of "may". SuggestedRemedy change "may optionally	Cisco Systems Comment Status X	s, Inc.	# <u>58</u>	Cl 179 SC 179.11.3 P412 L11 # 61 Mellitz, Richard Samtec Comment Type TR Comment Status X ERL impedance should be aligned to Rd and 179B. SuggestedRemedy Add line:
ones, Chad Comment Type E Use of "may". SuggestedRemedy	Cisco Systems Comment Status X	s, Inc.	# <u>58</u>	Cl 179 SC 179.11.3 P 412 L 11 # 61 Mellitz, Richard Samtec Comment Type TR Comment Status X ERL impedance should be aligned to Rd and 179B. SuggestedRemedy

CI 176C SC 176C.	_							
	6.3.5 P726	L38	# 62	C/ 179 S	SC 179.11.1	P 412	L 47	# 65
Vellitz, Richard	Samtec			Mellitz, Richar	d	Samtec		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		
ERL impedance she	ould be aligned to Rd and 179B.			The refere	ence impedan	ce for measurement should a	lign with the tes	t fixture reference.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRei	medy			
Add line:				Change lir	ne to:			
The reference differ ohms.	ential impedance for the test fixt	ure ERL compute	ation shall be 92.5	The refere	naa imnadan	ce for differential specificatior	na ia 02 E ahma	The reference
						-mode specifications is 23.12		The relefence
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Res		Response Status O		
CI 178 SC 178.9.	1 P 361	L 43	# 63				• • •	
Mellitz, Richard	Samtec				SC 176C.6.2	P 723	L18	# 66
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Mellitz, Richar		Samtec		
The reference impe	dance for measurement should	align with the tes	t fixture reference.	Comment Typ		Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy				The refere	ence impedan	ce for measurement should a	lign with the tes	t fixture reference.
Change line to:				SuggestedRei	medy			
-				Change lir	ne to:			
	dance for differential specification		. The reference	The refere	nce impedan	ce for differential specification	ns is 925 ohms	The reference
impedance for com	mon-mode specifications is 23.1		. The reference			ce for differential specification -mode specifications is 23.12		The reference
			. The reference		e for common			The reference
impedance for com	mon-mode specifications is 23.1		. The reference	impedance	e for common	-mode specifications is 23.12		The reference
impedance for com	mon-mode specifications is 23.1 <i>Response Status</i> O		# 64	impedanc Proposed Res	e for common ponse	-mode specifications is 23.12 Response Status 0	25 ohms.	
impedance for com Proposed Response	mon-mode specifications is 23.1 <i>Response Status</i> O	25 ohms.		impedanc Proposed Res Cl 119	e for common ponse SC 119.2.4.1	-mode specifications is 23.12 Response Status O P174		The reference # 67
impedance for com Proposed Response Cl 179 SC 179.9.	mon-mode specifications is 23.1 <i>Response Status</i> O 3 P393	25 ohms.		impedance Proposed Res Cl 119 S Bruckman, Lee	e for common ponse SC 119.2.4.1 on	-mode specifications is 23.12 Response Status 0 P174 Nvidia	25 ohms.	
impedance for com Proposed Response Cl 179 SC 179.9. Mellitz, Richard Comment Type TR	mon-mode specifications is 23.1 <i>Response Status</i> O 3 <i>P</i> 393 Samtec	25 ohms.	# 64	impedance Proposed Res Cl 119 S Bruckman, Lee Comment Typ	e for common ponse SC 119.2.4.1 on e ER	-mode specifications is 23.12 Response Status O P174	25 ohms.	
impedance for com Proposed Response Cl 179 SC 179.9. Mellitz, Richard Comment Type TR	mon-mode specifications is 23.1 Response Status O 3 P 393 Samtec Comment Status X	25 ohms.	# 64	impedance Proposed Res Cl 119 S Bruckman, Lee	e for common ponse SC 119.2.4.1 on e ER	-mode specifications is 23.12 Response Status 0 P174 Nvidia	25 ohms.	
impedance for com Proposed Response Cl 179 SC 179.9. Mellitz, Richard Comment Type TR The reference impe	mon-mode specifications is 23.1 Response Status O 3 P 393 Samtec Comment Status X	25 ohms.	# 64	impedance Proposed Res Cl 119 S Bruckman, Lee Comment Typ	e for common sponse SC 119.2.4.1 on e ER ot	-mode specifications is 23.12 Response Status 0 P174 Nvidia	25 ohms.	
impedance for com Proposed Response Cl 179 SC 179.9 . Mellitz, Richard Comment Type TR The reference impe SuggestedRemedy Change line to:	mon-mode specifications is 23.1 <i>Response Status</i> O 3 P393 Samtec <i>Comment Status</i> X dance for measurement should	L40	# 64	impedance Proposed Res Cl 119 S Bruckman, Lee Comment Typ Missing de SuggestedRef	e for common ponse SC 119.2.4.1 on e ER ot medy	-mode specifications is 23.12 Response Status 0 P174 Nvidia	25 ohms.	
impedance for com Proposed Response Cl 179 SC 179.9. Mellitz, Richard Comment Type TR The reference impe SuggestedRemedy Change line to: The reference impe	mon-mode specifications is 23.1 <i>Response Status</i> O 3 <i>P</i> 393 Samtec <i>Comment Status</i> X dance for measurement should dance for differential specification	L40 L40 align with the test	# 64	impedance Proposed Res Cl 119 S Bruckman, Lee Comment Typ Missing de SuggestedRef	e for common sponse SC 119.2.4.1 on e ER ot medy at the end of	-mode specifications is 23.12 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 174 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X	25 ohms.	
impedance for com Proposed Response Cl 179 SC 179.9. Mellitz, Richard Comment Type TR The reference impe SuggestedRemedy Change line to: The reference impe	mon-mode specifications is 23.1 <i>Response Status</i> O 3 P393 Samtec <i>Comment Status</i> X dance for measurement should	L40 L40 align with the test	# 64	impedance Proposed Res Cl 119 S Bruckman, Lee Comment Typ Missing do SuggestedRee Add a dot	e for common sponse SC 119.2.4.1 on e ER ot medy at the end of	-mode specifications is 23.12 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 174 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X the phrase (after "payload")	25 ohms.	

C/ 119	SC 119.3.1	P 177	L 20	# 68	C/ 175 SC 175.2.5.	3 P 273	L 50	# 71
Bruckman, Le	eon	Nvidia			Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia		
Comment Typ	De TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
		ed for 1 to 15 errors, no bin fo	or 0 errors. In 45.	2.1.264 the PMA test	There may be undete	cted errors		
		s are defined for 0 to 15.			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRe Define the		ord error bin counters to be 0) to 15 errors		Change: "errors that v	vere not corrected" etected but not corrected"		
Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 175	SC 175.1.3	P 261	L10	# 69	C/ 175 SC 175.2.6.	2.2 P 276	L 20	# 72
Bruckman, Le	eon	Nvidia			Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia		
Comment Typ	De TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
here. It is	not listed in s	n and signaling" is an optiona similar sections in 802.3df (88			The behavior of hi_se definitions.	r is specified in 175.2.5.3. No	need to detail it	in the variables
here. It is (200G/400 SuggestedRe Either del	not listed in s 0GBASE-R P medy lete the bullet:	similar sections in 802.3df (88	3GBASE-R [°] PCS) signaling		definitions. SuggestedRemedy Change the definition	r is specified in 175.2.5.3. No of hi_ser to: "Boolean variable rwise, this variable is set to fa <i>Response Status</i> O	e that is set to tru	
here. It is (200G/400 S <i>uggestedRe</i> Either del	not listed in s 0GBASE-R P emedy lete the bullet: optional) to the	similar sections in 802.3df (88 CS) : FEC degrade detection and	3GBASE-R [°] PCS) signaling		definitions. SuggestedRemedy Change the definition (see 172.2.5.3). Other	of hi_ser to: "Boolean variable rwise, this variable is set to fa	e that is set to tru	
here. It is (200G/400 SuggestedRe. Either del Or add: (c Proposed Res	not listed in s 0GBASE-R P emedy lete the bullet: optional) to the	similar sections in 802.3df (88 CS) FEC degrade detection and e end of the text for this bulle	3GBASE-R [°] PCS) signaling		definitions. SuggestedRemedy Change the definition (see 172.2.5.3). Other Proposed Response Cl 175 SC 175.2.6.	of hi_ser to: "Boolean variable wise, this variable is set to fa <i>Response Status</i> O 2.4 <i>P</i> 277	e that is set to tru	
here. It is (200G/400 SuggestedRe Either del Or add: (c Proposed Res	not listed in s 0GBASE-R P omedy lete the bullet: optional) to the sponse SC 175.2.1	similar sections in 802.3df (88 CS) FEC degrade detection and e end of the text for this bulle <i>Response Status</i> O	3GBASE-R [`] PCS) signaling t) or the base standard	definitions. SuggestedRemedy Change the definition (see 172.2.5.3). Other Proposed Response C/ 175 SC 175.2.6. Bruckman, Leon	of hi_ser to: "Boolean variable rwise, this variable is set to fa <i>Response Status</i> O 2.4 <i>P</i> 277 Nvidia	e that is set to tru Ise."	ue if hi_ser is asser
here. It is (200G/400 SuggestedRe Either del Or add: (c Proposed Res Cl 175	not listed in s OGBASE-R P emedy lete the bullet: optional) to the sponse SC 175.2.1 con	similar sections in 802.3df (88 CS) EFEC degrade detection and e end of the text for this bulle <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 263	3GBASE-R [`] PCS) signaling t) or the base standard	definitions. SuggestedRemedy Change the definition (see 172.2.5.3). Other Proposed Response Cl 175 SC 175.2.6. Bruckman, Leon Comment Type TR	of hi_ser to: "Boolean variable wise, this variable is set to fa <i>Response Status</i> O 2.4 <i>P</i> 277	e that is set to tru lse." <i>L</i> 17	ue if hi_ser is assert # [7 <u>3</u>
here. It is (200G/400 SuggestedRe. Either del Or add: (c Proposed Res C/ 175 Bruckman, Le Comment Typ	not listed in s OGBASE-R P emedy lete the bullet: optional) to the sponse SC 175.2.1 con be TR	similar sections in 802.3df (88 CS) FEC degrade detection and e end of the text for this bulle <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 263 Nvidia	3GBASE-R [`] PCS) signaling et) or the base standard	definitions. SuggestedRemedy Change the definition (see 172.2.5.3). Other Proposed Response Cl 175 SC 175.2.6. Bruckman, Leon Comment Type TR The text of the definiti	of hi_ser to: "Boolean variable rwise, this variable is set to fai <i>Response Status</i> O 2.4 <i>P</i> 277 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X	e that is set to tru lse." <i>L</i> 17	ue if hi_ser is asser # <mark>73</mark>
here. It is (200G/400 SuggestedRe. Either del Or add: (c Proposed Res C/ 175 Bruckman, Le Comment Typ PMA is al SuggestedRe. Change: "	not listed in s OGBASE-R P emedy lete the bullet: optional) to the sponse SC 175.2.1 con De TR lso a sublayer emedy "PMA or inner	similar sections in 802.3df (88 CS) FEC degrade detection and e end of the text for this bulle <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 263 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X r, and inner FEC shall be cap	3GBASE-R [`] PCS) signaling t <i>L</i> 10) or the base standard # 70	definitions. SuggestedRemedy Change the definition (see 172.2.5.3). Other Proposed Response Cl 175 SC 175.2.6. Bruckman, Leon Comment Type TR The text of the definiti SuggestedRemedy Change the definition	of hi_ser to: "Boolean variable rwise, this variable is set to fai <i>Response Status</i> O 2.4 <i>P</i> 277 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X	e that is set to tru lse." <i>L</i> 17 from the one in 1 nter counts the ir	# <u>73</u> 19.2.6.2.4
here. It is (200G/400 SuggestedRe. Either del Or add: (c Proposed Res C/ 175 Bruckman, Le Comment Typ PMA is al SuggestedRe. Change: "	not listed in s OGBASE-R P emedy lete the bullet: optional) to the sponse SC 175.2.1 con be TR lso a sublayer emedy "PMA or inner e 13 change:	similar sections in 802.3df (88 CS) FEC degrade detection and e end of the text for this bulle <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 263 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X r, and inner FEC shall be cap	3GBASE-R [`] PCS) signaling t <i>L</i> 10) or the base standard # 70	definitions. SuggestedRemedy Change the definition (see 172.2.5.3). Other Proposed Response Cl 175 SC 175.2.6. Bruckman, Leon Comment Type TR The text of the definiti SuggestedRemedy Change the definition	of hi_ser to: "Boolean variable wise, this variable is set to fa <i>Response Status</i> O 2.4 <i>P</i> 277 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X on of this counter is different f of amp_counter to: "This courter	e that is set to tru lse." <i>L</i> 17 from the one in 1 nter counts the ir	# 7 <u>3</u> 19.2.6.2.4

C/ 176 SC 176.1.4	4 P 290	L35	# 74	C/ 176	SC 176.3	P 294	L12	# 77
Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia			Bruckman, Le	eon	Nvidia		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	pe TR	Comment Status X		
	required in all cases described or: Delay alternating PCSLs by to					GNAL_OK is being consider more deltailed.	ed. In the similar	paragraph of sectior
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe	emedy			
"for 200GBASE-R a If it is a full list with r	eral function that are not necess nd 400GBASE-R PMAs". restrictions then indicate for white			to: "the re	eceived SIGN	SIGNAL_OK value." AL_OK parameter from the s lest(SIGNAL_OK))."	ublayer above th	ne PMA
according to the rele				Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O							
				C/ 176	SC 176.4.1	P 296	L 8	# 78
C/ 176 SC 176.1.	5 P 291	L23	# 75	Bruckman, Le	eon	Nvidia		
Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia			Comment Typ	pe TR	Comment Status X		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Missing a	rrowhead			
In tables 176-1 and	176-2 no need for a foot note to	limit the xAUI-m	to a single value.	SuggestedRe	medv			
SuggestedRemedy				00	,	he input to the PAM4 decode		
ouggoolourtonnouy								
,	176-2 change: xAUI-m instance emove footnote	s that are tagged	I with the footnote "a"	Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status O		
In tables 176-1 and to 1.6TAUI-16 and re		s that are tagged	I with the footnote "a"		sponse	Response Status O		
In tables 176-1 and to 1.6TAUI-16 and re	emove footnote	s that are tagged	l with the footnote "a"	Proposed Re	sponse SC 176.4.2.3		L3	# 79
In tables 176-1 and to 1.6TAUI-16 and re Proposed Response	emove footnote	s that are tagged		Proposed Re	SC 176.4.2.3			# 79
In tables 176-1 and to 1.6TAUI-16 and re Proposed Response	emove footnote Response Status 0 P292		I with the footnote "a" # 76	Proposed Re Cl 176	SC 176.4.2.3	.1 P298		# [79
In tables 176-1 and to 1.6TAUI-16 and re Proposed Response Cl 176 SC 176.2 Bruckman, Leon	emove footnote Response Status O P 292 Nvidia			Proposed Re Cl 176 Bruckman, Le Comment Tyj	SC 176.4.2.3 eon pe TR	.1 <i>P</i> 298 Nvidia	L3	
In tables 176-1 and to 1.6TAUI-16 and re Proposed Response CI 176 SC 176.2 Bruckman, Leon Comment Type TR	emove footnote Response Status O P 292 Nvidia Comment Status X	L51	# 76	Proposed Re Cl 176 Bruckman, Le Comment Tyj	SC 176.4.2.3 eon be TR e information i	.1 P 298 Nvidia Comment Status X	L3	
In tables 176-1 and to 1.6TAUI-16 and re Proposed Response CI 176 SC 176.2 Bruckman, Leon Comment Type TR	emove footnote Response Status O P 292 Nvidia	L51	# 76	Proposed Re Cl 176 Bruckman, Le Comment Typ The same SuggestedRe	SC 176.4.2.3 eon be TR e information i emedy	.1 P 298 Nvidia Comment Status X	L3	low
In tables 176-1 and to 1.6TAUI-16 and re Proposed Response Cl 176 SC 176.2 Bruckman, Leon Comment Type TR Inconsistent naming (page 294 line 8)	emove footnote Response Status O P 292 Nvidia Comment Status X	L51	# 76	Cl 176 Bruckman, Le Comment Typ The same SuggestedRe Delete: "F 400GBAS	SC 176.4.2.3 con ce TR e information i emedy For the 200GE SE-R 16:2 PM	.1 P 298 Nvidia Comment Status X is provided in the text and in BASE-R 8:1 PMA, it equals N A, it equals N × 136 RS-FEC	L3 the eqautions be L × 272 RS-FEC Symbols, where	low symbols, and for the
In tables 176-1 and to 1.6TAUI-16 and re Proposed Response Cl 176 SC 176.2 Bruckman, Leon Comment Type TR Inconsistent naming (page 294 line 8) SuggestedRemedy	emove footnote <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 292 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X with the paragraphs above. Se	L 51 e similar paragra	# <u>76</u> ph in section 176.3	Proposed Re Cl 176 Bruckman, Le Comment Tyµ The same SuggestedRe Delete: "F 400GBAS After the	SC 176.4.2.3 con be TR e information i ermedy For the 200GE SE-R 16:2 PM bullets add th	.1 P 298 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X is provided in the text and in BASE-R 8:1 PMA, it equals N A, it equals N × 136 RS-FEC is text: "where N is an intege	L3 the eqautions be L × 272 RS-FEC Symbols, where	low symbols, and for the
In tables 176-1 and to 1.6TAUI-16 and re Proposed Response Cl 176 SC 176.2 Bruckman, Leon Comment Type TR Inconsistent naming (page 294 line 8) SuggestedRemedy	emove footnote Response Status O P 292 Nvidia Comment Status X	L 51 e similar paragra	# <u>76</u> ph in section 176.3	Cl 176 Bruckman, Le Comment Typ The same SuggestedRe Delete: "F 400GBAS	SC 176.4.2.3 con be TR e information i ermedy For the 200GE SE-R 16:2 PM bullets add th	.1 P 298 Nvidia Comment Status X is provided in the text and in BASE-R 8:1 PMA, it equals N A, it equals N × 136 RS-FEC	L3 the eqautions be L × 272 RS-FEC Symbols, where	low symbols, and for the

CI 177 SC 177.2 P328 L21 # 83
Bruckman, Leon Nvidia
Comment Type ER Comment Status X
Different lenguage used in adjacent paragraphs. In the first paragraph: ", the tx_symbol
parameters are undefined." and in the next paragraph: "the corresponding rx_symbol parameters on all lanes are unspecified.
SuggestedRemedy
Use similar lenguage in both paragraphs. Make same change in the two last paragraphs of 177.3
Proposed Response Response Status O
CI 177 SC 177.4.2 P331 L30 # 84
Bruckman, Leon Nvidia
Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing word
SuggestedRemedy Change: "The data from deskewed PMA lane" to: "The data from a deskewed PMA lane"
Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 177 SC 177.4.7.3 P336 L4 # 85
Bruckman, Leon Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status X
The bit pair interleaving function for the pad field is not described.
SuggestedRemedy
Add section decribing the bit-pair interleaving fucntion shown in figure 177-8. Something in the lines of: "After Inner FEC encoding, the eight pad flows of Inner FEC codewords shall be multiplexed together as decribed in 177.4.6".

C/ 177 SC 177.5.2	P 337	L9	# 86	C/ 177 SC 177.6	1.1 P339	L 44	# 89
Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia			Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
The pad field is not u 177-10.	sed to frame the data stream i	n the state diagra	am shown in Figure	Missing "the"			
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change: "The eight c stream and are then To: "The eight codew	codewords inserted as pad (see removed before the received d vords inserted as pad (see 177 data is processed further."	lata is processed	further."	Change: "is process Proposed Response	sed by Inner FEC sublayer" to: Response Status O	"is processed by	the Inner FEC sublaye
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 177 SC 177.6	2.3 P340	L 41	# 90
				Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia		
7 SC 177.5.5	P339	L11	# 87	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
		L 11	# 87		shown in Figure 177-2.		
ruckman, Leon Comment Type TR There is no mention i CuggestedRemedy	Nvidia Comment Status X regarding when are the 8 parity	/ bits removed		SuggestedRemedy	shown in Figure 177-2. ncoded by Inner FEC test patte <i>Response Status</i> 0	rn checker locatio	on in Figure 177-2.
Gruckman, Leon Comment Type TR There is no mention i SuggestedRemedy	Nvidia Comment Status X regarding when are the 8 parity e section: "Parity bits are then r	/ bits removed		SuggestedRemedy Add the PRBS31 er	ncoded by Inner FEC test patte	rn checker locatio	on in Figure 177-2.
ruckman, Leon Comment Type TR There is no mention i SuggestedRemedy Add to the end of the	Nvidia Comment Status X regarding when are the 8 parity	/ bits removed		SuggestedRemedy Add the PRBS31 er Proposed Response	ncoded by Inner FEC test patte Response Status O		Ĵ
ruckman, Leon Comment Type TR There is no mention i SuggestedRemedy Add to the end of the	Nvidia Comment Status X regarding when are the 8 parity e section: "Parity bits are then r Response Status O	/ bits removed		SuggestedRemedy Add the PRBS31 er Proposed Response Cl 178 SC 178.1 Bruckman, Leon Comment Type ER	ncoded by Inner FEC test patte Response Status 0 P357		Ĵ
ruckman, Leon comment Type TR There is no mention in cuggestedRemedy Add to the end of the proposed Response	Nvidia Comment Status X regarding when are the 8 parity e section: "Parity bits are then r Response Status O	/ bits removed	ch Inner FEC codeword"	SuggestedRemedy Add the PRBS31 er Proposed Response Cl 178 SC 178.1 Bruckman, Leon Comment Type ER	ncoded by Inner FEC test patte Response Status 0 P 357 Nvidia Comment Status X		Ĵ
ruckman, Leon comment Type TR There is no mention in SuggestedRemedy Add to the end of the proposed Response T 177 SC 177.5.8 ruckman, Leon comment Type TR	Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X regarding when are the 8 parity e section: "Parity bits are then r <i>Response Status</i> O P 339	/ bits removed emoved from eac <i>L</i> 26	ch Inner FEC codeword" # 88	SuggestedRemedy Add the PRBS31 er Proposed Response Cl 178 SC 178.1 Bruckman, Leon Comment Type ER Table 178-4 footnot SuggestedRemedy	ncoded by Inner FEC test patte Response Status 0 P 357 Nvidia Comment Status X	L1	# [<u>91</u>
Comment Type TR There is no mention in SuggestedRemedy Add to the end of the Proposed Response Comment Type TR The convolutional inte SuggestedRemedy	Nvidia Comment Status X regarding when are the 8 parity e section: "Parity bits are then r Response Status O P 339 Nvidia Comment Status X	v bits removed emoved from eac <i>L</i> 26 Needs a more de	ch Inner FEC codeword" # 88	SuggestedRemedy Add the PRBS31 er Proposed Response Cl 178 SC 178.1 Bruckman, Leon Comment Type ER Table 178-4 footnot SuggestedRemedy Make sure the footr	ncoded by Inner FEC test patte <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 357 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X es are in the next page	L1	# [<u>91</u>

C/ 178 SC 178.8.1		L 24	# 92	C/ 185	SC 185.1	P 556	L 45	# 95
Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia			Bruckman, L	eon	Nvidia		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	rpe ER	Comment Status X		
	SIGNAL_OK handling is missi	ing. In the optical	PMDs appears in the	Wrong s	ingular in note	C		
block diagram figures				SuggestedR	emedy			
SuggestedRemedy In Figure 178-2 add tl	he ILT function above the PME	D transmit and re	ceive functions. Show			ne or two 800GAUI-n is imple GAUI-n are implemented"	mented"	
—	an input to the ILT function at the ide (refer for example to Figure 179-2.		s an output to the ILT	Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 185	SC 185.6	P 563	L 51	# 96
				Bruckman, L	eon	Nvidia		
C/ 183 SC 183.1	P 505	L 48	# 93	Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status X		
Bruckman, Leon Comment Type ER	Nvidia Comment Status X			An 800G requirem		MD that supports 10Km is obv	viously complain	t sinc ethis is the
Wrong singular in not				SuggestedR	emedy			
SuggestedRemedy					"could operate	e over 10 km would meet the	operating range	requirement of 2 m
In note c change: "If o	one or two 800GAUI-n is imple)GAUI-n are implemented"	mented"		10 km" To: "cou km"	ld operate ove	r 12 km would meet the opera	ating range requi	irement of 2 m to 10
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status O		
C/ 184 SC 184.5.8	P 544	L12	# 94	C/ 186	SC 186.2.3.3	<i>P</i> 584	L 24	# 97
Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia			Bruckman, L	eon	Nvidia		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status X		
This section describe	s the deinterleaver, not the inte	erleaver		In Figure	e 186-4 it is ha	rd to identify the 5 bits of pad		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedR	emedy			
00	itional interleaver process" to:	"the convolutiona	al deinterleaver process"	88		ne 5 bits of pad in the payload	area	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Re		Response Status 0		

C/ 186 SC 186.2.3	3.3 P 584	L 47	# 98	C/ 186 SC 186.2.4	.6.1 <i>P</i> 595	L 40	# 101
Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia			Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
	5 bits of pad during test are ar			Strange character			
from the beginning	? This is defined later on in se	ction 186.2.3.12, t	but better have it clear	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Change: "multi0frame	e" to "multi-frame"		
Change: "there is no	5-bit pad following the OH fie llowing the OH field carry test			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 186 SC 186.2.4	9.3 <i>P</i> 597	L 32	# 102
				Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia		
C/ 186 SC 186.2.3	3.5.9 <i>P</i> 589	L 2	# 99	Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
ruckman, Leon	Nvidia			Inconsistent lenguage	9		
omment Type ER	Comment Status X						
Text in this paragrap				(FEC_alignment_mai	nent marker location feature is ker_location_ability is set to 1) and is enabled	by the FEC control
SuggestedRemedy Change: "the test pa frame" To "the test pattern i	oh can be improved attern is generated using the c is generated using the same c			Change: "If the alignr (FEC_alignment_mar variable FEC_alignment To: "If the alignment i (FEC_alignment_mar	ker_location_ability is set to 1 ent_marker_location_enable (marker location feature is sup ker_location_ability is set to 1) and is enabled set to 1)," ported) and is enabled	
SuggestedRemedy Change: "the test pa frame" To "the test pattern i 800GBASE-ER1 trib	oh can be improved attern is generated using the c is generated using the same c			Change: "If the alignr (FEC_alignment_mai variable FEC_alignment To: "If the alignment i (FEC_alignment_mai FEC_alignment_mark	ker_location_ability is set to 1 ent_marker_location_enable (marker location feature is sup ker_location_ability is set to 1 ker_location_enable is set to 1) and is enabled set to 1)," ported) and is enabled	
CuggestedRemedy Change: "the test pa frame" To "the test pattern i 800GBASE-ER1 trib	oh can be improved attern is generated using the c is generated using the same c			Change: "If the alignr (FEC_alignment_mar variable FEC_alignment To: "If the alignment i (FEC_alignment_mar	ker_location_ability is set to 1 ent_marker_location_enable (marker location feature is sup ker_location_ability is set to 1) and is enabled set to 1)," ported) and is enabled	
uggestedRemedy Change: "the test pa frame" To "the test pattern i 800GBASE-ER1 trib roposed Response	oh can be improved attern is generated using the c is generated using the same c butary frame" <i>Response Status</i> O	clock as the one us	sed to generate the	Change: "If the alignr (FEC_alignment_mai variable FEC_alignment To: "If the alignment i (FEC_alignment_mai FEC_alignment_mark	ker_location_ability is set to 1 ent_marker_location_enable (marker location feature is sup ker_location_ability is set to 1 ker_location_enable is set to 1) and is enabled set to 1)," ported) and is enabled	
Change: "the test pa frame" To "the test pattern i 800GBASE-ER1 trib Proposed Response	oh can be improved attern is generated using the c is generated using the same of butary frame" <i>Response Status</i> O 3.5.10 <i>P</i> 589			Change: "If the alignr (FEC_alignment_mar variable FEC_alignment To: "If the alignment i (FEC_alignment_mar FEC_alignment_mark Proposed Response	ker_location_ability is set to 1 ent_marker_location_enable (marker location feature is sup ker_location_ability is set to 1 ker_location_enable is set to 1 Response Status 0 P634 Nvidia) and is enabled set to 1)," ported) and is enabled 1),"	(FEC control variable
uggestedRemedy Change: "the test pa frame" To "the test pattern i 800GBASE-ER1 trib roposed Response	oh can be improved attern is generated using the c is generated using the same of butary frame" <i>Response Status</i> O 3.5.10 <i>P</i> 589 Nvidia	clock as the one us	sed to generate the	Change: "If the alignm (FEC_alignment_mar variable FEC_alignment To: "If the alignment mar FEC_alignment_mar <i>FEC_alignment_mar</i> <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 187 SC 187.5.1 Bruckman, Leon <i>Comment Type</i> ER	ker_location_ability is set to 1 ent_marker_location_enable (marker location feature is sup ker_location_ability is set to 1 ker_location_enable is set to 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 634 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X	L) and is enabled set to 1)," ported) and is enabled 1)," <i>L</i> 31	(FEC control variabl
SuggestedRemedy Change: "the test pat frame" To "the test pattern i 800GBASE-ER1 trib Proposed Response Cl 186 SC 186.2.3 Bruckman, Leon Comment Type ER	oh can be improved attern is generated using the c is generated using the same of butary frame" <i>Response Status</i> O 3.5.10 <i>P</i> 589	clock as the one us	sed to generate the	Change: "If the alignm (FEC_alignment_mar variable FEC_alignment To: "If the alignment mar FEC_alignment_mar <i>FEC_alignment_mar</i> <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 187 SC 187.5.1 Bruckman, Leon <i>Comment Type</i> ER	ker_location_ability is set to 1 ent_marker_location_enable (marker location feature is sup ker_location_ability is set to 1 ker_location_enable is set to 1 Response Status 0 P634 Nvidia	L) and is enabled set to 1)," ported) and is enabled 1)," <i>L</i> 31	(FEC control variable) # 103
Change: "the test pa frame" To "the test pattern i 800GBASE-ER1 trib Proposed Response 2/ 186 SC 186.2.3 Gruckman, Leon Comment Type ER Missing "the"	oh can be improved attern is generated using the c is generated using the same of butary frame" <i>Response Status</i> O 3.5.10 <i>P</i> 589 Nvidia	clock as the one us	sed to generate the	Change: "If the alignm (FEC_alignment_mark variable FEC_alignment_mark To: "If the alignment_mark FEC_alignment_mark Proposed Response Cl 187 SC 187.5.1 Bruckman, Leon Comment Type ER Text can be improved SuggestedRemedy	ker_location_ability is set to 1 ent_marker_location_enable (marker location feature is sup ker_location_ability is set to 1 ker_location_enable is set to 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 634 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X I to be consistent with other si) and is enabled set to 1)," ported) and is enabled 1)," <i>L</i> 31 imilar PMD clause	(FEC control variabl # 103
SuggestedRemedy Change: "the test pattern i To "the test pattern i 800GBASE-ER1 trib Proposed Response 2/ 186 SC 186.2.3 Struckman, Leon Comment Type ER Missing "the" SuggestedRemedy	oh can be improved attern is generated using the classing the same of butary frame" <i>Response Status</i> O 3.5.10 <i>P</i> 589 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X	clock as the one us	sed to generate the # 100	Change: "If the alignm (FEC_alignment_mar variable FEC_alignment_mar To: "If the alignment_mar FEC_alignment_mar FEC_alignment_mar <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>CI</i> 187 SC 187.5.1 Bruckman, Leon <i>Comment Type</i> ER Text can be improved <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change: "A block dia	ker_location_ability is set to 1 ent_marker_location_enable (marker location feature is sup ker_location_ability is set to 1 ker_location_enable is set to 1 <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 634 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X I to be consistent with other si gram for the transmit/receive) and is enabled set to 1)," ported) and is enabled 1)," <i>L</i> 31 imilar PMD clause paths is shown in	(FEC control variabl # 103 es Figure 187–3 and a
SuggestedRemedy Change: "the test pattern i To "the test pattern i 800GBASE-ER1 trib Proposed Response Cl 186 SC 186.2.3 Bruckman, Leon Comment Type ER Missing "the" SuggestedRemedy	oh can be improved attern is generated using the classical sequences of the same of butary frame" <i>Response Status</i> O 3.5.10 <i>P</i> 589 Nvidia	clock as the one us	sed to generate the # 100	Change: "If the alignm (FEC_alignment_mar variable FEC_alignment_mar To: "If the alignment_mar FEC_alignment_mar FEC_alignment_mar <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>CI</i> 187 <i>SC</i> 187.5.1 Bruckman, Leon <i>Comment Type</i> ER Text can be improved <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change: "A block diag	ker_location_ability is set to 1 ent_marker_location_enable (marker location feature is sup ker_location_ability is set to 1 ker_location_enable is set to 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 634 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X I to be consistent with other si) and is enabled set to 1)," ported) and is enabled 1)," <i>L</i> 31 imilar PMD clause paths is shown in 4." to "Thetransm	(FEC control variable # 103 es Figure 187–3 and a it/receive paths bloc

C/ 187 SC 187.6	P 637	L 54	# 104	C/ 174A SC 174A.8.1.3 P681	L18	# 107
Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia			Bruckman, Leon Nvidia		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR Comment Status X		
	MD that supports 40Km is ob	viously complain	t sinc ethis is the	In Hm(i)(k) it is not clear what m represents.		
requirement				SuggestedRemedy		
SuggestedRemedy				Define "m"		
40 km"	e over 40 km would meet the er 45 km would meet the opera			Proposed Response Response Status O		
km"	a 45 kill would meet the open	alling range requi				
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			CI 174A SC 174A.9 P683	L17	# 108
				Bruckman, Leon Nvidia		
				Comment Type TR Comment Status X		
C/ 174A SC 174A.3	P 677	L 44	# 105	This section is not about 200GBASE-LR1		
Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia			SuggestedRemedy		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Change: "200GBASE-LR1" to "800GBASE-LR1"		
The note regarding FL	R is repeated several times			Proposed Response Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy						
	arding the FLR not being norr 74A.2 with the note's text.	native for any su	blayer. Add a general	C/ 176C SC 176C.6.3.1 P724	L35	# 109
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Bruckman, Leon Nvidia		100
				Comment Type TR Comment Status X		
	D.070	1.40	# 400	There is no Type E defined in Annex 178B		
C/ 174A SC 174A.5	P678	L10	# 106	SuggestedRemedy		
Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia			Change: "Type E"		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			to: "Type E1"		
A figure will make this	much more clear			Proposed Response Response Status O		
Currente d Dama du				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
,						
SuggestedRemedy Add a figure to show t	he link in 174A.5, 174A.6 and	174A.7				

C/ 177A SC 177A	P 765	L 46	# 110	C/ 178B SC 178B.3	P 786	L 41	# 113
Bruckman, Leon	Nvidia			Mascitto, Marco	Nokia		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Figure 177A-1 shows	the pad insertion in a different	position than Fig	gure 177-2	The second sentence	might be too short and risks of	causing confusion	n.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
	sistent. nsertion in Figure 177-2 to be be after the 8:1 PAM4 interlea		FEC encoder, or move	Replace "For a PMD twith	his term is equivalent to link p	oartner"	
Proposed Response	Response Status O				e ISL is an MDI between two F	PMDs, this term i	s equivalent to link
Cl 178B SC 178B.8	P 797	L 20	# 111	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Bruckman, Leon Comment Type TR	Nvidia Comment Status X			C/ 178B SC 178B.4	P 786	L 52	# 114
The ILT bit is not use	d anyway in Annex 178B.			Mascitto, Marco	Nokia		
SuggestedRemedy				O	Comment Status X		
Suggesteurkeineuy				Comment Type E			
	status field in Tables 178B-4 a	nd 178B-5 to "Re	eserved"	It is unclear if "former	and "latter" refer to "one or tw		
	status field in Tables 178B-4 a Response Status O	nd 178B-5 to "Re	eserved"	It is unclear if "former AUI components" in th			
Change bit 14 in the s		nd 178B-5 to "Re	eserved"	It is unclear if "former" AUI components" in th SuggestedRemedy	" and "latter" refer to "one or to ne next statements. Suggest r	emoving text to i	
Change bit 14 in the s Proposed Response	Response Status O			It is unclear if "former" AUI components" in th SuggestedRemedy Delete "[…] specifical	" and "latter" refer to "one or tw ne next statements. Suggest r ly PMD or AUI components" fr	emoving text to i	
Change bit 14 in the s Proposed Response	Response Status 0 P786	nd 178B-5 to "Re 	eserved" # 112	It is unclear if "former" AUI components" in th SuggestedRemedy	" and "latter" refer to "one or to ne next statements. Suggest r	emoving text to i	
Change bit 14 in the s Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.3 Mascitto, Marco	Response Status O P 786 Nokia			It is unclear if "former" AUI components" in th SuggestedRemedy Delete "[…] specifical	" and "latter" refer to "one or tw ne next statements. Suggest r ly PMD or AUI components" fr	emoving text to i	
Change bit 14 in the s Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.3 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E	Response Status O P 786 Nokia Comment Status X	L 36	# 112	It is unclear if "former" AUI components" in th SuggestedRemedy Delete "[…] specifical	" and "latter" refer to "one or tw ne next statements. Suggest r ly PMD or AUI components" fr	emoving text to i	
Change bit 14 in the s Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.3 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E The ISL should be de sublayers themselves	Response Status O P786 Nokia Comment Status X fined as the link between two adj s. ISLs can be between two adj	L 36 adjacent sublaye jacent sublayers	# 112 ers and excludes the in the same Physical	It is unclear if "former AUI components" in th SuggestedRemedy Delete "[] specifical Proposed Response	" and "latter" refer to "one or tw ne next statements. Suggest r ly PMD or AUI components" fr <i>Response Status</i> O	emoving text to i rom sentence.	mprove clarity.
Change bit 14 in the s Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.3 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E The ISL should be de sublayers themselves layer implementation	Response Status O P786 Nokia Comment Status X fined as the link between two adj s. ISLs can be between two adj (e.g., connecting PMAs in a si	L 36 adjacent sublaye jacent sublayers ngle PHY) or bet	# 112 ers and excludes the in the same Physical tween adjacent	It is unclear if "former AUI components" in the SuggestedRemedy Delete "[] specifical Proposed Response	" and "latter" refer to "one or tw ne next statements. Suggest r ly PMD or AUI components" fr <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 786	emoving text to i rom sentence.	mprove clarity.
Change bit 14 in the s Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.3 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E The ISL should be de sublayers themselves layer implementation sublayers in two autor	Response Status O P786 Nokia Comment Status X fined as the link between two adj s. ISLs can be between two adj	L 36 adjacent sublaye jacent sublayers ngle PHY) or bet	# 112 ers and excludes the in the same Physical tween adjacent	It is unclear if "former AUI components" in the SuggestedRemedy Delete "[] specifical Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.3 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E	" and "latter" refer to "one or two ne next statements. Suggest r ly PMD or AUI components" fr <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 786 Nokia	emoving text to i rom sentence.	mprove clarity.
Change bit 14 in the s Proposed Response CI 178B SC 178B.3 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E The ISL should be de sublayers themselves layer implementation sublayers in two autor SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P786 Nokia Comment Status X fined as the link between two adj (e.g., connecting PMAs in a si nomous systems (e.g., connection	L 36 adjacent sublaye jacent sublayers ngle PHY) or bet ting the two PHY	# 112 ers and excludes the in the same Physical tween adjacent Y PMDs via a medium).	It is unclear if "former AUI components" in the SuggestedRemedy Delete "[] specifical Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.3 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E	" and "latter" refer to "one or tw ne next statements. Suggest r ly PMD or AUI components" fr <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 786 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X	emoving text to i rom sentence.	mprove clarity.
Change bit 14 in the s Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.3 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E The ISL should be de sublayers themselves layer implementation sublayers in two autor SuggestedRemedy Replace "The ISL ma	Response Status O P786 Nokia Comment Status X fined as the link between two adj s. ISLs can be between two adj (e.g., connecting PMAs in a si	L 36 adjacent sublaye jacent sublayers ngle PHY) or bet ting the two PHY	# <u>112</u> ers and excludes the in the same Physical tween adjacent Y PMDs via a medium). ers within the same	It is unclear if "former AUI components" in the SuggestedRemedy Delete "[] specifical Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.3 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E Add single and multi-I SuggestedRemedy Add: "A single-ISL par	" and "latter" refer to "one or tw ne next statements. Suggest r ly PMD or AUI components" fr <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 786 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X	emoving text to i rom sentence. <i>L</i> 38 178B.5. ayers connected	mprove clarity. # <u>115</u> by a single ISL. A mul
Change bit 14 in the s Proposed Response CI 178B SC 178B.3 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E The ISL should be de sublayers themselves layer implementation sublayers in two autor SuggestedRemedy Replace "The ISL ma	Response Status O P786 Nokia Comment Status X fined as the link between two ad c. ISLs can be between two ad (e.g., connecting PMAs in a si nomous systems (e.g., connecting y be an xAUI-n between a pair	L 36 adjacent sublaye jacent sublayers ngle PHY) or bet ting the two PHY	# <u>112</u> ers and excludes the in the same Physical tween adjacent Y PMDs via a medium). ers within the same	It is unclear if "former AUI components" in the SuggestedRemedy Delete "[] specifical Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.3 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E Add single and multi-I SuggestedRemedy Add: "A single-ISL par	" and "latter" refer to "one or two ne next statements. Suggest r ly PMD or AUI components" fr <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 786 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X SL definiton here to help with th comprises exactly two subla	emoving text to i rom sentence. <i>L</i> 38 178B.5. ayers connected	mprove clarity. # <u>115</u> by a single ISL. A mul
Change bit 14 in the s Proposed Response CI 178B SC 178B.3 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E The ISL should be de sublayers themselves layer implementation sublayers in two autor SuggestedRemedy Replace "The ISL ma Physical Layer impler with "The ISL may be an x	Response Status O P786 Nokia Comment Status X fined as the link between two ad c. ISLs can be between two ad (e.g., connecting PMAs in a si nomous systems (e.g., connecting y be an xAUI-n between a pair	L 36 adjacent sublayes jacent sublayers ngle PHY) or bet tring the two PHY of PMA sublaye of PMA sublayes ad the medium be	# 112 ers and excludes the in the same Physical tween adjacent Y PMDs via a medium). ers within the same etween" the same PHY. The	It is unclear if "former AUI components" in the SuggestedRemedy Delete "[] specifical Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.3 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E Add single and multi-I SuggestedRemedy Add: "A single-ISL par ISL path comprises the	" and "latter" refer to "one or two ne next statements. Suggest r ly PMD or AUI components" fr <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 786 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X SL definiton here to help with th comprises exactly two sublateree or more sublayers connect	emoving text to i rom sentence. <i>L</i> 38 178B.5. ayers connected	mprove clarity. # <u>115</u> by a single ISL. A mul

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

	C/ 178B SC 178B.5.1 P788 L16 # 118
C/ 178B SC 178B.5 P787 L 39 # 116 Mascitto, Marco Nokia	C/ 178B SC 178B.5.1 P788 L16 # 118 Mascitto, Marco Nokia
Comment Type E Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
Improve clarity. uggestedRemedy	In this subclause, I assume we are describing the interface behavior of Inter-sublayer Li (ISLs) and not the behavior of the overall ILT path from PCS to PCS (or XS to XS). If thi assumption is correct, use of the term "device" is confusing.
Replace: "ILT enables independent ISL training in a multi-ISL path that includes AUI components and PMDs. It also supports operation over paths that include ISLs that do not implement ILT".	SuggestedRemedy Replace the word "device" with "sublayer".
With	Proposed Response Response Status O
"ILT supports independent training of ISLs in a multi-ISL path. ILT also operates over paths that include ISLs that do not support ILT".	C/ 178B SC 178B.5.3 P789 L47 # 119
roposed Response Response Status O	Mascitto, Marco Nokia
	Comment Type E Comment Status X
/ 178B SC 178B.5.1 P788 L13 # 117 ascitto, Marco Nokia	Subclause 178B.3 defines Path as the series of all ISLs between the two PCSs (or XSs so use of "PCS to PCS path" or "main path" may cause confusion (as it suggests something different). I was thinking about suggesting a rename of "Path" to "ILT Path" to emphasize the end-to-end scope. Not sure if that is any better.
Improve clarity.	SuggestedRemedy Replace "PCS to PCS path" and "main path" with "path". Proposed Response Response Status O
Improve clarity. uggestedRemedy Replace "Local variables are sent to the peer interface via the training frames. Remote	SuggestedRemedy Replace "PCS to PCS path" and "main path" with "path".
Improve clarity. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Replace "Local variables are sent to the peer interface via the training frames. Remote variables are received from the peer interface" with "Peer interfaces send local variables and receive remote variables via the training frames".	SuggestedRemedy Replace "PCS to PCS path" and "main path" with "path". Proposed Response Response Status O
Improve clarity. SuggestedRemedy Replace "Local variables are sent to the peer interface via the training frames. Remote variables are received from the peer interface" with "Peer interfaces send local variables and receive remote variables via the training frames".	SuggestedRemedy Replace "PCS to PCS path" and "main path" with "path". Proposed Response Response Status Cl 178B SC 178B.8.5 P799 L1 Mascitto, Marco Nokia Comment Type E

CI 178B SC 178B.1) P799	L 50	# 121	C/ 178B SC	C 178B.3		P 786	L 25	# 124
Mascitto, Marco	Nokia			Mascitto, Marco		١	Nokia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type	Е	Comment St	tatus X		
	reference to an ISL that can b ould not be allowed. See my o					s subclause but 2022 and rename			entions". Why not be
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedReme	edy				
Do not allow manage	ment control of ILT for ISLs re	equired to suppor	t it.	Rename sul	bclause "De	efinitions".			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Respo	onse	Response Sta	atus O		
C/ 178B SC 178B.1	3 P802	L 47	# 122	C/ 178B SC	C 178B.14.	2.1	P804	L15	# 125
Mascitto, Marco	Nokia			Mascitto, Marco	1	١	Nokia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type	Е	Comment St	tatus X		
				- · · · · ·					
Consistently use "1" I	or boolean true and "0" for bo	oolean false.		Could be cle	earer.				
2	or boolean true and "0" for bo	oolean false.		Could be cle SuggestedReme					
SuggestedRemedy	for boolean true and "0" for bo		itted training frames is	SuggestedReme Replace NO ILT should b	edy DTE with the pe restarted	d if there is an in	dication of an	unrecoverable f	nit for ILT to complete. fault or a livelock
SuggestedRemedy Replace "[] transmi set to 1".			itted training frames is	SuggestedReme Replace NO ILT should b situation. Th	edy DTE with the be restarted he definition	d if there is an ind n of unrecoverab	dication of an le fault is bey	unrecoverable f	fault or a livelock
SuggestedRemedy Replace "[] transmi set to 1".	tted training frames is set to o		itted training frames is	SuggestedReme Replace NO ILT should b	edy DTE with the be restarted he definition	d if there is an in	dication of an le fault is bey	unrecoverable f	fault or a livelock
SuggestedRemedy Replace "[] transmi set to 1". Proposed Response	tted training frames is set to o Response Status O		itted training frames is # 123	SuggestedReme Replace NO ILT should b situation. Th Proposed Respo	edy DTE with the be restarted ne definition onse	d if there is an ind n of unrecoverab <i>Response Sta</i>	dication of an le fault is bey atus O	unrecoverable f rond the scope o	fault or a livelock f this annex".
SuggestedRemedy Replace "[] transmi set to 1". Proposed Response	tted training frames is set to o Response Status O	one" with "transm		SuggestedReme Replace NO ILT should b situation. Th Proposed Respo Cl 178B SC	edy DTE with the perestarted ne definition onse	d if there is an inc n of unrecoverab <i>Response Sta</i> 2.1	dication of an ele fault is bey atus O P 804	unrecoverable f	fault or a livelock
SuggestedRemedy Replace "[] transmi set to 1". Proposed Response C/ 178B SC 178B.14 Mascitto, Marco	tted training frames is set to o Response Status O 4.2.1 P803	one" with "transm		SuggestedReme Replace NO ILT should b situation. Th Proposed Respo Cl 178B SC Mascitto, Marco	edy DTE with the perestarted he definition onse	d if there is an inc n of unrecoverab <i>Response Sta</i> 2.1	dication of an ele fault is bey atus O P 804 Nokia	unrecoverable f rond the scope o	fault or a livelock f this annex".
SuggestedRemedy Replace "[] transmi set to 1". Proposed Response CI 178B SC 178B.14 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E This is not very clear.	tted training frames is set to o Response Status O 4.2.1 P803 Nokia	one" with "transm L 46	# 123	SuggestedReme Replace NO ILT should b situation. Th Proposed Respo C/ 178B SC Mascitto, Marco Comment Type	edy DTE with the perestarted he definition onse C 178B.14.2	d if there is an inc n of unrecoverab <i>Response Sta</i> 2.1 <i>Comment St</i>	dication of an ele fault is bey atus O P 804 Nokia tatus X	L 18	fault or a livelock of this annex". # 126
SuggestedRemedy Replace "[] transmi set to 1". Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.14 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E This is not very clear. subclause 178B.3.	tted training frames is set to o Response Status O 4.2.1 P803 Nokia Comment Status X	one" with "transm L 46	# 123	SuggestedReme Replace NO ILT should b situation. Th Proposed Respo Cl 178B SC Mascitto, Marco Comment Type It is my under	edy DTE with the perestarted he definition onse C 178B.14.1 T erstanding	d if there is an inc n of unrecoverab <i>Response Sta</i> 2.1 <i>Comment St</i> that ILT is mand	dication of an ile fault is bey atus O P804 Nokia tatus X latory for all IS	L 18 L 18	fault or a livelock of this annex". # 126 se of one or more 200
SuggestedRemedy Replace "[] transmi set to 1". Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.14 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E This is not very clear subclause 178B.3. SuggestedRemedy	tted training frames is set to o Response Status O 4.2.1 P803 Nokia Comment Status X I would suggest adding the d	one" with "transm L 46 definition of "adjac	# 123	SuggestedReme Replace NO ILT should b situation. Th Proposed Respo Cl 178B SC Mascitto, Marco Comment Type It is my unde Gb/s lanes. I cannot env	edy DTE with the perestarted he definition onse C 178B.14.2 T erstanding t These links vision a use	d if there is an inc n of unrecoverab <i>Response Sta</i> 2.1 <i>Comment St</i> that ILT is mand s will come up (i. e case where ILT	dication of an ile fault is bey atus O P804 Nokia tatus X datory for all II .e., tx_mode =	L 18 L 18 L 18 L 18 L 18 L 18 L 18 L 18	fault or a livelock of this annex". # 126 se of one or more 200 completes successfull sabled by system
SuggestedRemedy Replace "[] transmi set to 1". Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.14 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E This is not very clear. subclause 178B.3. SuggestedRemedy I would suggest addir	tted training frames is set to o <i>Response Status</i> O 4.2.1 <i>P</i> 803 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X I would suggest adding the d ng the definition of "adjacent s	one" with "transm L 46 definition of "adjac	# 123	SuggestedReme Replace NO ILT should b situation. Th Proposed Respo Cl 178B SC Mascitto, Marco Comment Type It is my unde Gb/s lanes. I cannot env managemer	edy DTE with the perestarted the definition onse C 178B.14.2 T erstanding t These links vision a use nt (but do so	d if there is an inc n of unrecoverab <i>Response Sta</i> 2.1 <i>Comment St</i> that ILT is mand s will come up (i. e case where ILT see the need to n	dication of an ile fault is bey atus O P804 Nokia tatus X datory for all I e., tx_mode s would be ad nr_restart, of	L 18 L 18 L 18 L 18 L 18 L 18 L 18 L 18	fault or a livelock of this annex". # 126 se of one or more 200 completes successfull sabled by system the ability to disable
SuggestedRemedy Replace "[] transmiset to 1". Proposed Response CI 178B SC 178B.14 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E This is not very clear. subclause 178B.3. SuggestedRemedy I would suggest addir and referencing a dia D'Ambrosia, M. Brow	tted training frames is set to o Response Status O 4.2.1 P 803 Nokia Comment Status X I would suggest adding the d ing the definition of "adjacent s gram, like the one on Slide 3 n, 802.3dj Joint Ad hoc Mtg -	one" with "transm <i>L</i> 46 definition of "adjac service interface" of "Making Sense	# 123	SuggestedReme Replace NO ILT should b situation. Th Proposed Respo Cl 178B SC Mascitto, Marco Comment Type It is my unda Gb/s lanes. I cannot env managemer ILT on these deployments	edy DTE with the perestarted the definition onse C 178B.14.1 T erstanding t These links vision a use nt (but do si e ISLs oper s, and redu	d if there is an inc n of unrecoverab <i>Response Sta</i> 2.1 <i>Comment St</i> that ILT is mand s will come up (i.e case where ILT see the need to n ns the door to op	dication of an ale fault is bey atus O P804 Nokia tatus X latory for all Is atory for all Is atory for all Is atory for all Is atory for all so tatory f	L18 SLs that make u = data) IFF ILT c ministratively dis course). Having nfiguration, confi 302.3 interfaces.	fault or a livelock of this annex". # 126 se of one or more 200 completes successfull sabled by system the ability to disable
SuggestedRemedy Replace "[] transmi set to 1". Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.14 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E This is not very clear. subclause 178B.3. SuggestedRemedy I would suggest addir and referencing a dia D'Ambrosia, M. Brow Adjacent service inte	tted training frames is set to o <i>Response Status</i> O 4.2.1 <i>P</i> 803 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X I would suggest adding the d ing the definition of "adjacent s gram, like the one on Slide 3 n, 802.3dj Joint Ad hoc Mtg - rface	L 46 definition of "adjac service interface" of "Making Sense 05 Jun 2025).	# 123 cent service interface" in to subclause 178B.3 e out of ILT" (J.	SuggestedReme Replace NO ILT should b situation. Th Proposed Respo Cl 178B SC Mascitto, Marco Comment Type It is my unde Gb/s lanes. I cannot env management ILT on these deployments complicated	edy DTE with the perestarted the definition onse C 178B.14.1 T erstanding t These links vision a use nt (but do se e ISLs oper s, and redu d if we consi	d if there is an inc n of unrecoverab <i>Response Sta</i> 2.1 2.1 <i>Comment St</i> that ILT is mand s will come up (i. e case where ILT ise the need to n ns the door to op uces the plug-n-p	dication of an ale fault is bey atus O P804 Nokia tatus X latory for all Is atory for all Is atory for all Is atory for all Is atory for all so tatory f	L18 SLs that make u = data) IFF ILT c ministratively dis course). Having nfiguration, confi 302.3 interfaces.	fault or a livelock of this annex". # 126 se of one or more 200 completes successfull sabled by system the ability to disable usion during
SuggestedRemedy Replace "[] transmiset to 1". Proposed Response CI 178B SC 178B.14 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E This is not very clear. subclause 178B.3. SuggestedRemedy I would suggest addir and referencing a dia D'Ambrosia, M. Brow Adjacent service interface	tted training frames is set to o <i>Response Status</i> O 4.2.1 <i>P</i> 803 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X I would suggest adding the d ing the definition of "adjacent s gram, like the one on Slide 3 n, 802.3dj Joint Ad hoc Mtg - rface adjoining a PMD or AUI comp	L 46 definition of "adjac service interface" of "Making Sense 05 Jun 2025).	# 123 cent service interface" in to subclause 178B.3 e out of ILT" (J.	SuggestedReme Replace NO ILT should b situation. Th Proposed Respo Cl 178B SC Mascitto, Marco Comment Type It is my unde Gb/s lanes. I cannot env managemer ILT on these deployments complicated SuggestedReme	edy DTE with the perestarted the definition onse C 178B.14.1 T erstanding t These links <i>v</i> ision a use th (but do se e ISLs oper s, and redu d if we consi edy	d if there is an inc of unrecoverab Response Sta 2.1 Comment St that ILT is mand s will come up (i. e case where ILT ee the need to m ns the door to op uces the plug-n-p sider the case of	dication of an ale fault is bey atus O P804 Nokia tatus X datory for all IS .e., tx_mode = would be ad nr_restart, of berator misco blay value of 8 the multi-ISL	L 18 L 18 SLs that make u = data) IFF ILT of IFF ILT of Iministratively dis course). Having nfiguration, confi 302.3 interfaces. path.	fault or a livelock of this annex". # 126 se of one or more 200 completes successfull sabled by system the ability to disable usion during It gets even more
SuggestedRemedy Replace "[] transmi set to 1". Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.14 Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E This is not very clear. subclause 178B.3. SuggestedRemedy I would suggest addir and referencing a dia D'Ambrosia, M. Brow Adjacent service inte	tted training frames is set to o <i>Response Status</i> O 4.2.1 <i>P</i> 803 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X I would suggest adding the d ing the definition of "adjacent s gram, like the one on Slide 3 n, 802.3dj Joint Ad hoc Mtg - rface	L 46 definition of "adjac service interface" of "Making Sense 05 Jun 2025).	# 123 cent service interface" in to subclause 178B.3 e out of ILT" (J.	SuggestedReme Replace NO ILT should b situation. Th Proposed Respo Cl 178B SC Mascitto, Marco Comment Type It is my unde Gb/s lanes. I cannot env managemer ILT on these deployments complicated SuggestedReme	edy DTE with the perestarted the definition onse C 178B.14.2 T restanding to These links vision a use that do set the ISLs oper s, and redu d if we consi edy w managem	d if there is an inc n of unrecoverab <i>Response Sta</i> 2.1 2.1 <i>Comment St</i> that ILT is mand s will come up (i. e case where ILT ise the need to n ns the door to op uces the plug-n-p	dication of an ile fault is bey atus O P804 Nokia tatus X datory for all Is catus X datory for all S catus C datory for all S catus C data	L 18 L 18 SLs that make u = data) IFF ILT of IFF ILT of Iministratively dis course). Having nfiguration, confi 302.3 interfaces. path.	fault or a livelock of this annex". # 126 se of one or more 200 completes successfull sabled by system the ability to disable usion during It gets even more

C/ 178B SC 178B.14.	2.1 P804	L27	# 127	C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.5 P809 L26	# 130
Mascitto, Marco	Nokia			Mascitto, Marco Nokia	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E Comment Status X	
Clarify "device".				These state diagrams inherit the variables, functions, and timers previ 178B.14.2. There should be a statement to that effect.	ously defined in
SuggestedRemedy					
	able that controls the resettin			SuggestedRemedy Replace the first sentence with, "The training control state diagram (Fi	iguro 1700 0)
-	I resetting of the ILT per-inter	race state machin	nes.	defines the operation of ILT for AUI components and	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			PMDs, and makes use of the per-interface state diagram definitions (1 lane state diagram definitions (178B.14.3)".	178B.14.2) and per
C/ 178B SC 178B.14.	3 P805	L 5 1	# 128	Proposed Response Response Status O	
Mascitto, Marco	Nokia				
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			C/ 178B SC 178B.16.1 P815 L7	# 131
Missing "state machine	20 [°]			Name alterna de la companya de	
Missing state machine				Mascitto, Marco Nokia	
5				Mascitto, Marco Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status X	
uggestedRemedy Replace "An AUI comp	ponent or PMD implements o				
SuggestedRemedy Replace "An AUI comp control and the Trainin	ponent or PMD implements o g frame lock, and their assoc	iated variables[.]" with "An AUI	Comment Type E Comment Status X	
SuggestedRemedy Replace "An AUI comp control and the Trainin component or PMD im	ponent or PMD implements o	iated variables[ch of the Training	.]" with "An AUI control and the	Comment Type E Comment Status X Include complete title of annex. Forgot "optical". SuggestedRemedy Replace first sentence with, "The supplier of a protocol implementation	n that is claimed to
SuggestedRemedy Replace "An AUI comp control and the Trainin component or PMD im Training frame lock sta	ponent or PMD implements o g frame lock, and their assoc plements one instance of eac	iated variables[ch of the Training	.]" with "An AUI control and the	Comment Type E Comment Status X Include complete title of annex. Forgot "optical". SuggestedRemedy	
SuggestedRemedy Replace "An AUI comp control and the Trainin component or PMD im	conent or PMD implements o g frame lock, and their assoc plements one instance of ear ate machines, and their assoc <i>Response Status</i> O	iated variables[ch of the Training	.]" with "An AUI control and the .].	Comment Type E Comment Status X Include complete title of annex. Forgot "optical". SuggestedRemedy Replace first sentence with, "The supplier of a protocol implementation conform to Annex 178B, Inter-sublayer link training for electrical and optical interfaces, shall complete the following the following complete the following for electrical and optical interfaces, shall complete the following for electrical and optical interfaces.	
SuggestedRemedy Replace "An AUI comp control and the Trainin component or PMD im Training frame lock sta Proposed Response	conent or PMD implements o g frame lock, and their assoc plements one instance of ear ate machines, and their assoc <i>Response Status</i> O	iated variables[ch of the Training ciated variables[.]" with "An AUI control and the	Comment Type E Comment Status X Include complete title of annex. Forgot "optical". SuggestedRemedy Replace first sentence with, "The supplier of a protocol implementation conform to Annex 178B, Inter-sublayer link training for electrical and optical interfaces, shall complete the followin implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma".	
SuggestedRemedy Replace "An AUI comp control and the Trainin component or PMD im Training frame lock sta Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.14. Mascitto, Marco	bonent or PMD implements o g frame lock, and their assoc plements one instance of each ate machines, and their assoc <i>Response Status</i> O 3 P806	iated variables[ch of the Training ciated variables[.]" with "An AUI control and the .].	Comment Type E Comment Status X Include complete title of annex. Forgot "optical". SuggestedRemedy Replace first sentence with, "The supplier of a protocol implementation conform to Annex 178B, Inter-sublayer link training for electrical and optical interfaces, shall complete the followin implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma".	
Cl 178B SC 178B.14 . Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E	ponent or PMD implements o g frame lock, and their assoc plements one instance of eau ate machines, and their assoc <i>Response Status</i> O 3 <i>P</i> 806 Nokia	iated variables[ch of the Training siated variables[L1	.]" with "An AUI control and the .].	Comment Type E Comment Status X Include complete title of annex. Forgot "optical". SuggestedRemedy Replace first sentence with, "The supplier of a protocol implementation conform to Annex 178B, Inter-sublayer link training for electrical and optical interfaces, shall complete the followin implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma". Proposed Response Response Status O	ng protocol
SuggestedRemedy Replace "An AUI comp control and the Trainin component or PMD im Training frame lock sta Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.14. Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E Replace instances of "	ponent or PMD implements o g frame lock, and their associate plements one instance of ear ate machines, and their associate <i>Response Status</i> O 3 P806 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X	iated variables[ch of the Training siated variables[L1	.]" with "An AUI control and the .].	Comment Type E Comment Status X Include complete title of annex. Forgot "optical". SuggestedRemedy Replace first sentence with, "The supplier of a protocol implementation conform to Annex 178B, Inter-sublayer link training for electrical and optical interfaces, shall complete the following implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma". Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178B SC 178B.16.2.2 P815 L36	ng protocol
SuggestedRemedy Replace "An AUI comp control and the Trainin component or PMD im Training frame lock sta Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.14. Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E Replace instances of " SuggestedRemedy Replace "E1 interfaces	bonent or PMD implements o g frame lock, and their assoc plements one instance of each ate machines, and their assoc <i>Response Status</i> O 3 P806 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X state diagram" with "state mate also implement one instance	iated variables[ch of the Training iated variables[<i>L</i> 1 achine". e of the Coefficier	.]" with "An AUI control and the .]. # 129	Comment Type E Comment Status X Include complete title of annex. Forgot "optical". SuggestedRemedy Replace first sentence with, "The supplier of a protocol implementation conform to Annex 178B, Inter-sublayer link training for electrical and optical interfaces, shall complete the followin implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 178B SC 178B.16.2.2 P 815 L 36 Mascitto, Marco Nokia	ng protocol
SuggestedRemedy Replace "An AUI comp control and the Trainin component or PMD im Training frame lock sta Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.14. Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E Replace instances of " SuggestedRemedy Replace "E1 interfaces diagram and its associ	ponent or PMD implements o g frame lock, and their assoc plements one instance of ear ate machines, and their assoc <i>Response Status</i> O 3 P806 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X state diagram" with "state mate s also implement one instance inted variables and functions	iated variables[ch of the Training siated variables[<i>L</i> 1 achine". e of the Coefficier independently for	.]" with "An AUI control and the .]. # <u>129</u> nt update state r each of the n physical	Comment Type E Comment Status X Include complete title of annex. Forgot "optical". SuggestedRemedy Replace first sentence with, "The supplier of a protocol implementation conform to Annex 178B, Inter-sublayer link training for electrical and optical interfaces, shall complete the followin implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma". Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178B SC 178B.16.2.2 P 815 L 36 Mascitto, Marco Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status X	ng protocol
SuggestedRemedy Replace "An AUI comp control and the Trainin component or PMD im Training frame lock sta Proposed Response CI 178B SC 178B.14. Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E Replace instances of " SuggestedRemedy Replace "E1 interfaces diagram and its associ lanes. For O1 interface used" with "E1 interface	ponent or PMD implements o g frame lock, and their assoc plements one instance of ear ate machines, and their assoc <i>Response Status</i> O 3 P806 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X state diagram" with "state ma as also implement one instance iated variables and functions as, this diagram and its assoc res also implement one instance	iated variables[ch of the Training siated variables[<i>L</i> 1 achine". e of the Coefficient independently for siated variables an ince of the Coeffic	.]" with "An AUI control and the .]. # 129 nt update state r each of the n physical nd functions are not sient update state	Comment Type E Comment Status X Include complete title of annex. Forgot "optical". SuggestedRemedy Replace first sentence with, "The supplier of a protocol implementation conform to Annex 178B, Inter-sublayer link training for electrical and optical interfaces, shall complete the followin implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma". Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178B SC 178B.16.2.2 P 815 L 36 Mascitto, Marco Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status X Include complete title of annex. Forgot "optical". SuggestedRemedy Replace with "IEEE Std 802.3dj-202x, Annex 178B, Inter-sublayer link	# <u>132</u>
SuggestedRemedy Replace "An AUI comp control and the Trainin component or PMD im Training frame lock sta Proposed Response CI 178B SC 178B.14. Mascitto, Marco Comment Type E Replace instances of " SuggestedRemedy Replace "E1 interfaces diagram and its associ lanes. For O1 interface used" with "E1 interface machine and its associ	ponent or PMD implements o g frame lock, and their assoc plements one instance of ear ate machines, and their assoc <i>Response Status</i> O 3 P806 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X state diagram" with "state ma a also implement one instance iated variables and functions as, this diagram and its assoc res also implement one instance iated variables and functions interfaces, this state machin	iated variables[ch of the Training ciated variables[<i>L</i> 1 achine". e of the Coefficient independently for ciated variables an oce of the Coeffic independently for ciated variables and the coeffic independently for	.]" with "An AUI control and the .]. # 129 # 129 nt update state r each of the n physical nd functions are not ient update state r each of the n	Comment Type E Comment Status X Include complete title of annex. Forgot "optical". SuggestedRemedy Replace first sentence with, "The supplier of a protocol implementation conform to Annex 178B, Inter-sublayer link training for electrical and optical interfaces, shall complete the followin implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma". Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178B SC 178B.16.2.2 P 815 L 36 Mascitto, Marco Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status X Include complete title of annex. Forgot "optical". SuggestedRemedy	ng protocol # <u>132</u>

C/ 178B SC 178B.16.3 P816 L18 # 133	C/ 182 SC 182.8.3 P494 L52 # 135
Mascitto, Marco Nokia	Parsons, Earl CommScope
Comment Type E Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
Syntax error.	The phrase "option to connect to a single fiber MDI" is incorrect since there are two fibers
uggestedRemedy	in that MDI.
Replace "O<1>" with "O.1" per C21. Apply change to IL7 through IL10, and IL12 through	Suggested Remedy
IL16.	Change "For 200GBASE-DR1, besides the option to connect to a single fiber MDI, there are two additional specified MDI optical receptacles, a single-row 12-fiber interface and a
Proposed Response Response Status O	single-row 16 fiber interface."
7/ 180 SC 180.8.3 P444 L47 # 134	to
	"For 200GBASE-DR1, besides the option to connect to an MDI with two fibers, there are
arsons, Earl CommScope	two additional specified MDI optical receptacles, a single-row 12-fiber interface and a single row 16 fiber interface."
The phrase "option to connect to a single fiber MDI" is incorrect since there are two fibers	Proposed Response Response Status O
in that MDI.	
uggestedRemedy	
Change "For 200GBASE-DR1, besides the option to connect to a single fiber MDI, there are two additional specified MDI optical receptacles, a single-row 12-fiber interface and a	C/ 179B SC 179B.4.1 P825 L11 # 136
single-row 16 fiber interface."	Noujeim, Leesa Google
	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
to	Spread between IIdd_MTFmin and IIdd_MTFmax curves is too large
"For 200GBASE-DR1, besides the option to connect to an MDI with two fibers, there are two additional specified MDI optical receptacles, a single-row 12-fiber interface and a single	<i>SuggestedRemedy</i> shift the min curve down and the max curve up, especially in 40-60GHz region
	shift the min curve down and the max curve up, especially in 40-60GHz region
two additional specified MDI optical receptacles, a single-row 12-fiber interface and a single row 16 fiber interface."	
two additional specified MDI optical receptacles, a single-row 12-fiber interface and a single row 16 fiber interface."	shift the min curve down and the max curve up, especially in 40-60GHz region
two additional specified MDI optical receptacles, a single-row 12-fiber interface and a single row 16 fiber interface."	shift the min curve down and the max curve up, especially in 40-60GHz region Proposed Response Response Status O
two additional specified MDI optical receptacles, a single-row 12-fiber interface and a single row 16 fiber interface."	 shift the min curve down and the max curve up, especially in 40-60GHz region Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 174A SC 174A.8.1.5 P682 L23 # 137 Noujeim, Leesa Google Comment Type T Comment Status X
two additional specified MDI optical receptacles, a single-row 12-fiber interface and a single row 16 fiber interface."	 shift the min curve down and the max curve up, especially in 40-60GHz region Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 174A SC 174A.8.1.5 P682 L23 # 137 Noujeim, Leesa Google
two additional specified MDI optical receptacles, a single-row 12-fiber interface and a single row 16 fiber interface."	 shift the min curve down and the max curve up, especially in 40-60GHz region Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 174A SC 174A.8.1.5 P682 L23 # 137 Noujeim, Leesa Google Comment Type T Comment Status X Eqn 174A.5 is derived from randomly distributed error probabilities (at the specified BER) and so makes no allowance for burstiness of errors; this results in unreasonably tight mask
two additional specified MDI optical receptacles, a single-row 12-fiber interface and a single row 16 fiber interface."	 shift the min curve down and the max curve up, especially in 40-60GHz region Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 174A SC 174A.8.1.5 P682 L23 # 137 Noujeim, Leesa Google Comment Type T Comment Status X Eqn 174A.5 is derived from randomly distributed error probabilities (at the specified BER) and so makes no allowance for burstiness of errors; this results in unreasonably tight mask limits especially for the higher bins.

C/ 179 SC 179.11	P 412	L 29	# 138	C/ 176D SC 176D.6.6	P 747	L 35	# 141
Noujeim, Leesa	Google			Hidaka, Yasuo	Credo Semico	onductor, Inc.	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Ilddmin is unreasonab	ly high.			Module input specificati	on should refer to TP1, not 7	ΓΡ1a.	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change 16dB to 13dB	5			Change TP1a to TP1 in	the caption of Table 176D-5	5.	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 179 SC 179.11.3	<i>P</i> 413	L 8	# 139	C/ 176D SC 176D.8.2	P 752	L 29	# 142
Noujeim, Leesa	Google			Hidaka, Yasuo	Credo Semico	onductor, Inc.	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
ERL calculation should	da't da amhad ta juat hafara r	noting intorface.	this longuage was	ERI definition in 034 5	needs a parameter M that is	not defined in Ta	able 176D-8 because
inherited from adjustm	nent of HCB, but doesn't apply	to CATF in the	same way. CA ERL	M is not used in COM d			
inherited from adjustm should include the cor	nent of HCB, but doesn't apply nnector and launch but this wo	to CATF in the	same way. CA ERL				
inherited from adjustm should include the cor Tfx currently in the dra	nent of HCB, but doesn't apply nnector and launch but this wo	to CATF in the	same way. CA ERL	M is not used in COM d SuggestedRemedy Add M to Annex 178A ir			
inherited from adjustm should include the cor Tfx currently in the dra SuggestedRemedy	nent of HCB, but doesn't apply nnector and launch but this wo aft	to CATF in the sould be removed	same way. CA ERL with the definition of	M is not used in COM d SuggestedRemedy Add M to Annex 178A ir Annex 178A.	lefinition in Annex 178A. n the same way as Annex 93		
inherited from adjustm should include the cor Tfx currently in the dra SuggestedRemedy	nent of HCB, but doesn't apply nnector and launch but this wo aft erence to the mating interface	to CATF in the sould be removed	same way. CA ERL with the definition of	M is not used in COM d SuggestedRemedy Add M to Annex 178A ir	lefinition in Annex 178A.		
inherited from adjustm should include the cor Tfx currently in the dra SuggestedRemedy Reword to remove refu RF test connector only	nent of HCB, but doesn't apply nnector and launch but this wo aft erence to the mating interface	to CATF in the sould be removed	same way. CA ERL with the definition of	M is not used in COM d SuggestedRemedy Add M to Annex 178A ir Annex 178A.	lefinition in Annex 178A. n the same way as Annex 93		
inherited from adjustm should include the cor Tfx currently in the dra SuggestedRemedy Reword to remove refu RF test connector only	nent of HCB, but doesn't apply nnector and launch but this wo aft erence to the mating interface y.	to CATF in the sould be removed	same way. CA ERL with the definition of	M is not used in COM d SuggestedRemedy Add M to Annex 178A ir Annex 178A.	lefinition in Annex 178A. n the same way as Annex 93		
inherited from adjustm should include the cor Tfx currently in the dra SuggestedRemedy Reword to remove refe RF test connector only Proposed Response	nent of HCB, but doesn't apply nector and launch but this wo aft erence to the mating interface y. <i>Response Status</i> O	v to CATF in the sould be removed	same way. CA ERL with the definition of fx should include the	M is not used in COM d SuggestedRemedy Add M to Annex 178A in Annex 178A. Proposed Response	lefinition in Annex 178A. n the same way as Annex 93 <i>Response Status</i> O	BA and to all relat	ed tables that refer
inherited from adjustm should include the cor Tfx currently in the dra SuggestedRemedy Reword to remove refu RF test connector only Proposed Response	nent of HCB, but doesn't apply nector and launch but this wo aft erence to the mating interface y. <i>Response Status</i> O 2 P749	v to CATF in the sould be removed ediscontinuity; Tf	same way. CA ERL with the definition of	M is not used in COM d SuggestedRemedy Add M to Annex 178A in Annex 178A. Proposed Response Cl 181 SC 181.7.3	lefinition in Annex 178A. n the same way as Annex 93 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 465	BA and to all relat	ed tables that refer
inherited from adjustm should include the cor Tfx currently in the dra SuggestedRemedy Reword to remove refu RF test connector only Proposed Response	nent of HCB, but doesn't apply nector and launch but this wo aft erence to the mating interface y. <i>Response Status</i> O 2 <i>P</i> 749 Credo Semice	v to CATF in the sould be removed ediscontinuity; Tf	same way. CA ERL with the definition of fx should include the	M is not used in COM d SuggestedRemedy Add M to Annex 178A in Annex 178A. Proposed Response CI 181 SC 181.7.3 Lambert, Angela Comment Type E Cabled fiber attenuation	lefinition in Annex 178A. In the same way as Annex 93 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 465 Corning <i>Comment Status</i> X In and fiber attenuation are di	BA and to all relat	ed tables that refer # <u>143</u>
inherited from adjustm should include the cor Tfx currently in the dra SuggestedRemedy Reword to remove refe RF test connector only Proposed Response	nent of HCB, but doesn't apply nector and launch but this wo aft erence to the mating interface y. <i>Response Status</i> O 2 <i>P</i> 749 Credo Semice <i>Comment Status</i> X	v to CATF in the sould be removed e discontinuity; Tf	same way. CA ERL with the definition of fx should include the # 140	M is not used in COM d SuggestedRemedy Add M to Annex 178A in Annex 178A. Proposed Response Cl 181 SC 181.7.3 Lambert, Angela Comment Type E Cabled fiber attenuatior other link power budget	lefinition in Annex 178A. In the same way as Annex 93 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 465 Corning <i>Comment Status</i> X In and fiber attenuation are di tables (i.e. Table 180-9 on p	BA and to all relat <i>L</i> 45 fferent. As noted 5. 441 and Table	ed tables that refer # 143 I at the footnote of 182-9 on p. 491) and
inherited from adjustm should include the cor Tfx currently in the dra SuggestedRemedy Reword to remove refe RF test connector only Proposed Response Cl 176D SC 176D.7.2 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type T tau^(h) value of 5.97x ⁺	nent of HCB, but doesn't apply nector and launch but this wo aft erence to the mating interface y. <i>Response Status</i> O 2 <i>P</i> 749 Credo Semice	v to CATF in the sould be removed e discontinuity; Tf	same way. CA ERL with the definition of fx should include the # 140	M is not used in COM d SuggestedRemedy Add M to Annex 178A in Annex 178A. Proposed Response Cl 181 SC 181.7.3 Lambert, Angela Comment Type E Cabled fiber attenuation other link power budget in the respective Optica	lefinition in Annex 178A. In the same way as Annex 93 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 465 Corning <i>Comment Status</i> X In and fiber attenuation are di	BA and to all relat <i>L</i> 45 fferent. As notec b. 441 and Table tics tables (in this	ed tables that refer # 143 I at the footnote of 182-9 on p. 491) and
inherited from adjustm should include the cor Tfx currently in the dra SuggestedRemedy Reword to remove refe RF test connector only Proposed Response Cl 176D SC 176D.7.2 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type T tau^(h) value of 5.97x ⁺	nent of HCB, but doesn't apply nector and launch but this wo aft erence to the mating interface y. <i>Response Status</i> O 2 <i>P</i> 749 Credo Semice <i>Comment Status</i> X 10^(-3) in Table 176D-6 seem	v to CATF in the sould be removed e discontinuity; Tf	same way. CA ERL with the definition of fx should include the # 140	M is not used in COM d SuggestedRemedy Add M to Annex 178A in Annex 178A. Proposed Response Cl 181 SC 181.7.3 Lambert, Angela Comment Type E Cabled fiber attenuation other link power budget in the respective Optica	lefinition in Annex 178A. In the same way as Annex 93 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 465 Corning <i>Comment Status</i> X In and fiber attenuation are di tables (i.e. Table 180-9 on p I fiber and cable characterist	BA and to all relat <i>L</i> 45 fferent. As notec b. 441 and Table tics tables (in this	ed tables that refer # 143 I at the footnote of 182-9 on p. 491) and
inherited from adjustm should include the cor Tfx currently in the dra SuggestedRemedy Reword to remove refe RF test connector only Proposed Response Cl 176D SC 176D.7.2 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type T tau^(h) value of 5.97x' 3) in Table 179-16 and	nent of HCB, but doesn't apply nector and launch but this wo aft erence to the mating interface y. <i>Response Status</i> 0 2 749 Credo Semice <i>Comment Status</i> X 10^(-3) in Table 176D-6 seem d lim_3dj_01a_2409, slide 2.	v to CATF in the sould be removed e discontinuity; Tf	same way. CA ERL with the definition of fx should include the # 140	M is not used in COM d SuggestedRemedy Add M to Annex 178A in Annex 178A. Proposed Response Cl 181 SC 181.7.3 Lambert, Angela Comment Type E Cabled fiber attenuation other link power budget in the respective Optical page 467), this should b SuggestedRemedy	lefinition in Annex 178A. In the same way as Annex 93 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 465 Corning <i>Comment Status</i> X In and fiber attenuation are di tables (i.e. Table 180-9 on p I fiber and cable characterist	BA and to all relat <i>L</i> 45 fferent. As notec b. 441 and Table tics tables (in this juation"	ed tables that refer # 143 I at the footnote of 182-9 on p. 491) and

C/ 183 SC 183.	7.3 P515	L 44	# 144	CI 30	SC 30.3.2.1.3	P 61	L 31	# 147
Lambert, Angela	Corning			Huber, Thoma	S	Nokia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		
other link power b	uation and fiber attenuation are udget tables (i.e. Table 180-9 o	n p. 441 and Table	e 182-9 on p. 491) and	There is n PCS.	o longer an 80	0GBASE-ER1 PCS; ER1	and ER1-20 PHY	's use the 800GBASE-
in the respective (Dptical fiber and cable characte should be "Cabled optical fiber	ristics tables (in this	s case, Table 183-10	SuggestedRe	nedy			
,		allendation		Delete the	instruction an	d text to insert 800GBASE	-ER1 after 400G	BASE-R
SuggestedRemedy	nuation" to "cabled optical fiber	attanuation"		Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status 0		
0	•	allenualion						
Proposed Response	Response Status O							
				C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1.2	P 62	L 27	# 148
C/ 1 SC 1.3	P 53	L 54	# 145	Huber, Thoma	S	Nokia		
luber, Thomas	Nokia			Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X					Id be inserted before 2000	BASE-DR4 and	after 200GBASE-DR1
specifications, but DD/QSFPDD-800 Pluggable Transce	cates where to find SFP-DD224 the normative reference assoc /QSFP-DD1600 Hardware Spec eivers", which makes no mentic	iated with this footr cification for QSFP n of SFP224 or QS	note is "QSFP- Double Density 8x SFP224, and following	previous e	nedy editing istruct	ion that is related to the inson to say "Insert the follow	ing new entries	. before the esntry for
specifications, but DD/QSFPDD-800, Pluggable Transco the URL in the foc information about document have th SuggestedRemedy Align the footnote	cates where to find SFP-DD224 the normative reference assoc /QSFP-DD1600 Hardware Spec eivers", which makes no mentio thote does not take the reader SFP-DD224 or QSFP224 forma at information).	iated with this footr ification for QSFP n of SFP224 or QS to a site with docur ats (nor does the no	note is "QSFP- Double Density 8x SFP224, and following ments that have ormatively referenced	SuggestedRed Delete the previous e 200GBAS	medy e editing istruct editing instructi E-DR4, and re ted by the sam	ion that is related to the in on to say "Insert the follow move the space so 200GE	ing new entries	. before the esntry for 00GBASE-DR1-2 are
specifications, but DD/QSFPDD-800, Pluggable Transco the URL in the foc information about document have th SuggestedRemedy	cates where to find SFP-DD224 the normative reference assoc /QSFP-DD1600 Hardware Spec eivers", which makes no mentio thote does not take the reader SFP-DD224 or QSFP224 forma at information).	iated with this footr ification for QSFP n of SFP224 or QS to a site with docur ats (nor does the no	note is "QSFP- Double Density 8x SFP224, and following ments that have ormatively referenced	SuggestedRei Delete the previous e 200GBAS both inser Proposed Res	medy e editing istruct editing instructi E-DR4, and re ted by the sam	ion that is related to the inson to say "Insert the follow move the space so 200GE ne instruction.	ing new entries	. before the esntry for
specifications, but DD/QSFPDD-800, Pluggable Transco the URL in the foc information about document have th SuggestedRemedy Align the footnote "QSFP-DD, QSFF	cates where to find SFP-DD224 the normative reference assoc /QSFP-DD1600 Hardware Spec eivers", which makes no mentio thote does not take the reader SFP-DD224 or QSFP224 forma at information).	iated with this footr ification for QSFP n of SFP224 or QS to a site with docur ats (nor does the no	note is "QSFP- Double Density 8x SFP224, and following ments that have ormatively referenced	SuggestedRei Delete the previous e 200GBAS both inser Proposed Res	medy editing istruct diting instructi E-DR4, and re ted by the sam ponse	ion that is related to the in: on to say "Insert the follow move the space so 200GE ne instruction. Response Status O	ing new entries BASE-DR1 and 2	. before the esntry for 00GBASE-DR1-2 are
specifications, but DD/QSFPDD-800, Pluggable Transco the URL in the foc information about document have th SuggestedRemedy Align the footnote	cates where to find SFP-DD224 the normative reference assoc /QSFP-DD1600 Hardware Spec eivers", which makes no mentio thote does not take the reader SFP-DD224 or QSFP224 forma at information).	iated with this footr ification for QSFP n of SFP224 or QS to a site with docur ats (nor does the no	note is "QSFP- Double Density 8x SFP224, and following ments that have ormatively referenced	SuggestedRei Delete the previous e 200GBAS both inser Proposed Res Cl 30	medy editing istruct diting instructi E-DR4, and re ted by the sam ponse SC 30.5.1.1.2 s e TR	ion that is related to the in: on to say "Insert the follow move the space so 200GE he instruction. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 63 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X	ring new entries BASE-DR1 and 2 <i>L</i> 36	. before the esntry for 00GBASE-DR1-2 are # 149
specifications, but DD/QSFPDD-800, Pluggable Transco the URL in the foc information about document have th SuggestedRemedy Align the footnote "QSFP-DD, QSFF Proposed Response	cates where to find SFP-DD224 the normative reference assoc /QSFP-DD1600 Hardware Spec eivers", which makes no mention thote does not take the reader SFP-DD224 or QSFP224 formation at information). with the referenced document I P-DD800" Response Status 0	iated with this footr ification for QSFP n of SFP224 or QS to a site with docur ats (nor does the no	note is "QSFP- Double Density 8x SFP224, and following ments that have ormatively referenced	SuggestedRei Delete the previous e 200GBAS both inser Proposed Res CI 30 Huber, Thoma Comment Typ There is n	medy editing instructi diting instructi E-DR4, and re ted by the sam ponse SC 30.5.1.1.2 s e TR o longer an 80	ion that is related to the into on to say "Insert the follow move the space so 200GE ne instruction. Response Status 0 P63 Nokia	ing new entries BASE-DR1 and 2 <i>L</i> 36 R1 and ER-20 P	. before the esntry for 00GBASE-DR1-2 are # <u>149</u> HYs use the
specifications, but DD/QSFPDD-800, Pluggable Transco the URL in the foc information about document have th SuggestedRemedy Align the footnote "QSFP-DD, QSFF Proposed Response	cates where to find SFP-DD224 the normative reference assoc /QSFP-DD1600 Hardware Spec eivers", which makes no mention thote does not take the reader SFP-DD224 or QSFP224 formation at information). with the referenced document I P-DD800" Response Status 0	iated with this footr cification for QSFP n of SFP224 or QS to a site with docur ats (nor does the no by replacing "SFP-I	hote is "QSFP- Double Density 8x SFP224, and following ments that have ormatively referenced DD224, QSP224" with	SuggestedRei Delete the previous e 200GBAS both inser Proposed Res CI 30 Huber, Thoma Comment Typ There is n	medy editing instructi E-DR4, and re ted by the sam ponse 6C 30.5.1.1.2 s e TR o longer an 80 E-R PCS. How	ion that is related to the in: on to say "Insert the follow move the space so 200GE he instruction. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 63 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X 0GBASE-ER1 PCS; the E	ing new entries BASE-DR1 and 2 <i>L</i> 36 R1 and ER-20 P	. before the esntry for 00GBASE-DR1-2 are # <u>149</u> HYs use the
specifications, but DD/QSFPDD-800, Pluggable Transco the URL in the foc information about document have th SuggestedRemedy Align the footnote "QSFP-DD, QSFF Proposed Response C/ 30 SC 30.3 Huber, Thomas Comment Type TR	cates where to find SFP-DD224 the normative reference assoc /QSFP-DD1600 Hardware Spec eivers", which makes no mention thote does not take the reader SFP-DD224 or QSFP224 formation at information). with the referenced document the P-DD800" Response Status O .2.1.2 P61 Nokia	iated with this footr cification for QSFP n of SFP224 or QS to a site with docur ats (nor does the nor by replacing "SFP-I	tote is "QSFP- Double Density 8x SFP224, and following ments that have ormatively referenced DD224, QSP224" with	SuggestedRei Delete the previous e 200GBAS both inser Proposed Res C/ 30 C Huber, Thoma Comment Typ There is n 800GBAS SuggestedRei Change th	medy editing instructi E-DR4, and re ted by the sam ponse SC 30.5.1.1.2 s e TR o longer an 80 E-R PCS. How medy the description of	ion that is related to the in: on to say "Insert the follow move the space so 200GE he instruction. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 63 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X 0GBASE-ER1 PCS; the E	ing new entries BASE-DR1 and 2 <i>L</i> 36 R1 and ER-20 P le PMA from othe 0GBASE-ER1-20	. before the esntry for 00GBASE-DR1-2 are # <u>149</u> HYs use the er 800GBASE-R PHYs 0 so they begin with
specifications, but DD/QSFPDD-800, Pluggable Transco the URL in the foc information about document have th SuggestedRemedy Align the footnote "QSFP-DD, QSFP Proposed Response C/ 30 SC 30.3 Huber, Thomas Comment Type TR	cates where to find SFP-DD224 the normative reference assoc /QSFP-DD1600 Hardware Spec eivers", which makes no mention thote does not take the reader SFP-DD224 or QSFP224 formation at information). with the referenced document for P-DD800" <i>Response Status</i> O .2.1.2 <i>P</i> 61 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X	iated with this footr cification for QSFP n of SFP224 or QS to a site with docur ats (nor does the nor by replacing "SFP-I	tote is "QSFP- Double Density 8x SFP224, and following ments that have ormatively referenced DD224, QSP224" with	SuggestedRei Delete the previous e 200GBAS both inser Proposed Res C/ 30 C Huber, Thoma Comment Typ There is n 800GBAS SuggestedRei Change th	medy editing instructi E-DR4, and re ted by the sam ponse 6C 30.5.1.1.2 s e TR o longer an 80 E-R PCS. How medy be description of SE-R PCS and	ion that is related to the inconto say "Insert the follow move the space so 200GE he instruction. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 63 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X 0GBASE-ER1 PCS; the E vever they do have a unique of 800GBASE-ER1 and 80	ing new entries BASE-DR1 and 2 <i>L</i> 36 R1 and ER-20 P le PMA from othe 0GBASE-ER1-20	. before the esntry for 00GBASE-DR1-2 are # <u>149</u> HYs use the er 800GBASE-R PHYs 0 so they begin with
specifications, but DD/QSFPDD-800, Pluggable Transco the URL in the foc information about document have th SuggestedRemedy Align the footnote "QSFP-DD, QSFF Proposed Response C/ 30 SC 30.3 Huber, Thomas Comment Type TR There is no longer	cates where to find SFP-DD224 the normative reference assoc /QSFP-DD1600 Hardware Spec eivers", which makes no mention thote does not take the reader SFP-DD224 or QSFP224 formation at information). with the referenced document for P-DD800" <i>Response Status</i> O .2.1.2 <i>P</i> 61 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X	iated with this footr cification for QSFP n of SFP224 or QS to a site with docur ats (nor does the nor by replacing "SFP-I	tote is "QSFP- Double Density 8x SFP224, and following ments that have ormatively referenced DD224, QSP224" with	SuggestedRei Delete the previous e 200GBAS both inser Proposed Res Cl 30 S Huber, Thoma Comment Typ There is n 800GBAS SuggestedRei Change th "800GBAS	medy editing instructi E-DR4, and re ted by the sam ponse 6C 30.5.1.1.2 s e TR o longer an 80 E-R PCS. How medy be description of SE-R PCS and	ion that is related to the into on to say "Insert the follow move the space so 200GE the instruction. Response Status O P63 Nokia Comment Status X OGBASE-ER1 PCS; the E vever they do have a unique of 800GBASE-ER1 and 80 800GBASE-ER1 PMA ov	ing new entries BASE-DR1 and 2 <i>L</i> 36 R1 and ER-20 P le PMA from othe 0GBASE-ER1-20	. before the esntry for 00GBASE-DR1-2 are # <u>149</u> HYs use the er 800GBASE-R PHYs 0 so they begin with
specifications, but DD/QSFPDD-800, Pluggable Transco the URL in the foc information about document have th SuggestedRemedy Align the footnote "QSFP-DD, QSFF Proposed Response C/ 30 SC 30.3 Huber, Thomas Comment Type TR There is no longer PCS. SuggestedRemedy	cates where to find SFP-DD224 the normative reference assoc /QSFP-DD1600 Hardware Spec eivers", which makes no mention thote does not take the reader SFP-DD224 or QSFP224 formation at information). with the referenced document for P-DD800" <i>Response Status</i> O .2.1.2 <i>P</i> 61 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X	iated with this footr cification for QSFP n of SFP224 or QS to a site with docur ats (nor does the no by replacing "SFP-I <i>L</i> 11 and ER1-20 PHY	the formula for the second sec	SuggestedRei Delete the previous e 200GBAS both inser Proposed Res Cl 30 S Huber, Thoma Comment Typ There is n 800GBAS SuggestedRei Change th "800GBAS	medy editing instructi E-DR4, and re ted by the sam ponse 6C 30.5.1.1.2 s e TR o longer an 80 E-R PCS. How medy be description of SE-R PCS and	ion that is related to the into on to say "Insert the follow move the space so 200GE the instruction. Response Status 0 P63 Nokia Comment Status X 0GBASE-ER1 PCS; the E vever they do have a unique of 800GBASE-ER1 and 80 800GBASE-ER1 PMA ov	ing new entries BASE-DR1 and 2 <i>L</i> 36 R1 and ER-20 P le PMA from othe 0GBASE-ER1-20	. before the esntry for 00GBASE-DR1-2 are # <u>149</u> HYs use the er 800GBASE-R PHYs 0 so they begin with

per, Thomas Nokia			P 72	L 27	# 153
	Huber, Thomas		Nokia		
mment Type E Comment Status X	Comment Type	T Com	ment Status X		
An instruction to insert before 800GBASE-KR8 is the same thing as an instruction to insert after 800GBASE-DR8-2, since they are currently adjacent to each other (and no other task	Registers 1.2	2412 through 1.242	3 are used for ER1	FEC as well as Ir	nner FEC.
force is adding 800G PHYs). This instruction can be combined with the previous one.	SuggestedRemed	dy			
ggestedRemedy	-	Inner FEC" to "	nner FEC or ER1 F	EC" for each s	et of registers in the
Delete the editing instruction "Insert the following new entry intro the "APPRROPRIATE	range.	_	-		
SYNTAX" section of 30.5.1.1.2 before the entry for 800GBASE-KR8 (inserted by IEEE Std 802.3df-2024)", and remove the space so that the text for 800GBASE-KR4 is part of the prior instruction.	Proposed Respor	nse Respo	onse Status O		
posed Response Response Status O	C/ 45 SC	45.2.1.10	P 77	L 32	# 154
	Huber, Thomas		Nokia		
	Comment Type	T Com	ment Status X		
30 SC 30.13.1.1 P 65 L 16 # 151		(ently included in the stended ability regis	,	ld be updated to refer 400G PHYs
mment Type T Comment Status X	SuggestedRemed	dy			
The same mgmt registers/attributes are used for ER1 FEC as are used for Inner FEC, but the text here doesn't mention ER1 FEC. ggestedRemedy Change "If a Clause 45 MDIO Interface to PMA/PMD, Inner Fec, WIS," to "If a Clause 45 MDIO Interface to PMA/PMD, Inner FEC or ER1 FEC, WIS," Change the second bullet from "For Inner FEC:" to "For Inner FEC or ER1 FEC:"	1.11.13 from: "1 = PMA/PN to:	: /ID has 200G/400G /ID has 200G/400G 5 (400G)"		isted in register 1	one value for bit .23 or register 1.24" .23 (200G) or register
Make the same changes to 30.13.1.2 through 30.13.1.12	C/ 45 SC	45.2.1.23	P 79	L 24	# 155
posed Response Response Status O	Huber, Thomas		Nokia		
	Comment Type	T Com	ment Status X		
	51		ould also identify th	e abilities in regis	ter 1.74.
45 SC 45.2.1 P71 L 48 # 152	SuggestedRemed	dv	·	-	
per, Thomas Nokia mment Type T Comment Status X	Change " a	and has the abilitie	s listed in register 1	.73" to " and ha	as the abilities listed ir
The TimeSync Inner FEC transmit and receive registers are also used for ER1 FEC.	registers 1.73				
ggestedRemedy	Proposed Respor	nse Respo	onse Status O		
Change "Time Sync inner FEC" to "TimeSync inner FEC or ER1 FEC"					
posed Response Response Status O					
PE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial			-	ent ID 155	Page 29 of 1

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 45	SC 45.2.1.23	P 79	L35	# 156	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.17	77a P	'99	L 5	# 159
Huber, The	omas	Nokia			Huber, Tho	omas	Nok	kia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Statu	ıs X		
	diting instruction to	o insert 45.2.1.23.aa should	note that 45.2.1	.23.a was inserted by	The 'in	ner FEC' TimeS	Sync registers are al	so used fo	or ER1 FEC	
					Suggested	Remedy				
	-	5.2.1.23.aa before 45.2.1.23	3.a (as inserted b	y IEEE Std 802.3df-	Chang 1.1818		meSync FEC sublay	/er transm	it path delay (Re	gisters 1.1813 throug
Proposed	Response	Response Status 0					ce to the first parag e used with Inner F			sublayer transmit pat FEC sublayer."
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.60e	e.3 P84	L16	# 157	Change	e the rest of the	existing text and ta	ble to repl	ace 'inner FEC'	with 'FEC sublayer'.
Huber, The		Nokia			Make s	similar changes	to 45.2.1.177b.			
Comment		Comment Status X			Proposed I	Response	Response Statu	s O		
		ns 1.6TBASE-DR8, but the	text refers to 1.6	TBASE-DR2.						
. .	Domody									
Suggested	remedy				C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.8	P	'119	/ 23	# 160
00		of "1.6TBASE-DR2" in the t	text to "1.6TBASI	E-DR8".	Cl 45 Huber Tho	SC 45.2.3.8		2 119 ria	L 23	# 160
Chang	e both instances	of "1.6TBASE-DR2" in the t Response Status 0	text to "1.6TBASI	E-DR8".	Huber, Tho	omas	Nok	kia	L 23	# 160
Suggested Chang Proposed	e both instances		text to "1.6TBASI	E-DR8".	Huber, Tho Comment T Per the	omas <i>Type E</i> e style guide, wł	Nok <i>Comment Statu</i> nen inserting new su	kia <i>Is</i> X Ibclauses	-	# 160
Chang	e both instances	Response Status O	text to "1.6TBASI	E-DR8". # 158	Huber, Tho Comment 7 Per the nomen	omas <i>Type</i> E e style guide, wh iclature is 'X.Y.Z	Nok Comment Statu	kia <i>Is</i> X Ibclauses	-	
Chang Proposed	e both instances Response SC 45.2.1.175	Response Status O			Huber, Tho Comment Per the nomen Suggested	omas <i>Type</i> E e style guide, wł clature is 'X.Y.Z <i>Remedy</i>	Nok <i>Comment Statu</i> nen inserting new su Z.a' rather than 'X.Y.	kia Jis X Jbclauses Za"	before the first e	
Chang Proposed Cl 45 Huber, The Comment	e both instances Response SC 45.2.1.175 omas Type E	Response Status O	L 44		Huber, Tho Comment Per the nomen Suggested	omas <i>Type</i> E e style guide, wh clature is 'X.Y.Z <i>Remedy</i> e the editing ins	Nok <i>Comment Statu</i> nen inserting new su Z.a' rather than 'X.Y.	tia us X ubclauses Za" ert 45.2.3.8	before the first e	existing subclause, the
Chang Proposed Cl 45 Huber, The Comment	e both instances Response SC 45.2.1.175 omas Type E ner FEC' TimeSy	Response Status 0 5 P97 Nokia Comment Status X	L 44		Huber, Tho Comment Per the nomen Suggested Chang	omas <i>Type</i> E e style guide, wh clature is 'X.Y.Z <i>Remedy</i> e the editing ins	Nok Comment Statu nen inserting new su Z.a' rather than 'X.Y.	tia us X ubclauses Za" ert 45.2.3.8	before the first e	existing subclause, the
Chang Proposed Cl 45 Huber, The Comment The 'ir Suggested	e both instances Response SC 45.2.1.175 omas Type E ner FEC' TimeSy IRemedy	Response Status 0 5 P97 Nokia Comment Status X	L 44 for ER1 FEC	# <u>158</u>	Huber, Tho Comment Per the nomen Suggested Chang	omas <i>Type</i> E e style guide, wh clature is 'X.Y.Z <i>Remedy</i> e the editing ins	Nok Comment Statu nen inserting new su Z.a' rather than 'X.Y. struction to say "Inse Response Statu	tia us X ubclauses Za" ert 45.2.3.8	before the first e	existing subclause, the
Chang Proposed Cl 45 Huber, The Comment The 'ir Suggested Chang	e both instances Response SC 45.2.1.175 omas Type E Iner FEC' TimeSy IRemedy e " PMA/PMD a	Response Status O 5 P97 Nokia Comment Status X rnc registers are also used f and inner FEC" to "PMA	L 44 for ER1 FEC VPMD, inner FEC	# <u>158</u> 2, and ER1 FEC"	Huber, Tho Comment Per the nomen Suggested Chang Proposed F	omas Type E e style guide, which clature is 'X.Y.Z Remedy e the editing ins Response SC 73.4.2	Nok Comment Statu nen inserting new su Z.a' rather than 'X.Y. struction to say "Inse Response Statu	kia us X ubclauses Za" ert 45.2.3.8 s O 2130	before the first e 3.a and 45.2.3.8.	bxisting subclause, the
Chang Proposed Cl 45 Huber, Tho Comment The 'ir Suggested Chang In tabl	e both instances Response SC 45.2.1.175 omas Type E iner FEC' TimeSy IRemedy e " PMA/PMD a e 45-139, change	Response Status O 5 P97 Nokia Comment Status X rnc registers are also used f	L 44 for ER1 FEC VPMD, inner FEC or ER1 FEC" in t	# <u>158</u> 2, and ER1 FEC"	Huber, Tho Comment T Per the nomen Suggested Change Proposed F	omas <i>Type</i> E e style guide, which iclature is 'X.Y.Z <i>Remedy</i> e the editing ins <i>Response</i> SC 73.4.2 omas	Nok Comment Statu nen inserting new su Z.a' rather than 'X.Y. struction to say "Inse Response Statu F	kia <i>Is</i> X ubclauses Za" ert 45.2.3.8 s O 2130 kia	before the first e 3.a and 45.2.3.8.	bxisting subclause, the
Chang Proposed Cl 45 Huber, Tho Comment The 'ir Suggested Chang In tabl	e both instances Response SC 45.2.1.175 omas Type E Iner FEC' TimeSy (Remedy e " PMA/PMD a e 45-139, change ption columns of	Response Status O 5 P97 Nokia Comment Status X rnc registers are also used f and inner FEC" to "PMA	L 44 for ER1 FEC VPMD, inner FEC or ER1 FEC" in t	# <u>158</u> 2, and ER1 FEC"	Huber, Tho Comment Per the nomen Suggested Chang Proposed F CI 73 Huber, Tho Comment	omas Type E e style guide, which actature is 'X.Y.Z Remedy e the editing ins Response SC 73.4.2 omas Type E	Nok Comment Statu nen inserting new su Z.a' rather than 'X.Y. struction to say "Inse Response Statu F Nok	kia Js X Jubclauses Za" ert 45.2.3.8 s O 2130 kia Js X	before the first e 3.a and 45.2.3.8. <i>L</i> 13	bxisting subclause, the b before 45.2.3.8.1" # 161
Chang Proposed Cl 45 Huber, The Comment The 'ir Suggested Chang In tabl Descri	e both instances Response SC 45.2.1.175 omas Type E Iner FEC' TimeSy (Remedy e " PMA/PMD a e 45-139, change ption columns of	Response Status O P97 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X <i>u</i> nc registers are also used f and inner FEC" to "PMA e "inner FEC" to "inner FEC rows 1.1800.7 through 1.18	L 44 for ER1 FEC VPMD, inner FEC or ER1 FEC" in t	# <u>158</u> 2, and ER1 FEC"	Huber, Tho Comment Per the nomen Suggested Chang Proposed F CI 73 Huber, Tho Comment	omas <i>Type</i> E e style guide, which clature is 'X.Y.Z <i>Remedy</i> e the editing ins <i>Response</i> <i>SC</i> 73.4.2 omas <i>Type</i> E itto-Negotiation a	Nok Comment Statu nen inserting new su Z.a' rather than 'X.Y. struction to say "Inse Response Statu F Nok Comment Statu	kia Js X Jubclauses Za" ert 45.2.3.8 s O 2130 kia Js X	before the first e 3.a and 45.2.3.8. <i>L</i> 13	wisting subclause, the b before 45.2.3.8.1" # 1 <u>61</u>
Chang Proposed Cl 45 Huber, The Comment The 'ir Suggested Chang In tabl Descri	e both instances Response SC 45.2.1.175 omas Type E Iner FEC' TimeSy (Remedy e " PMA/PMD a e 45-139, change ption columns of	Response Status O P97 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X <i>u</i> nc registers are also used f and inner FEC" to "PMA e "inner FEC" to "inner FEC rows 1.1800.7 through 1.18	L 44 for ER1 FEC VPMD, inner FEC or ER1 FEC" in t	# <u>158</u> 2, and ER1 FEC"	Huber, Tho Comment T Per the nomen Suggested Chang Proposed F Cl 73 Huber, Tho Comment T "An Au Suggested	omas <i>Type</i> E e style guide, which iclature is 'X.Y.Z <i>Remedy</i> e the editing ins <i>Response</i> SC 73.4.2 omas <i>Type</i> E ito-Negotiation a <i>Remedy</i>	Nok Comment Statu nen inserting new su Z.a' rather than 'X.Y. struction to say "Inse Response Statu F Nok Comment Statu	kia us X ubclauses Za" ert 45.2.3.8 s O 2130 kia us X cognize"	before the first e 3.a and 45.2.3.8. <i>L</i> 13 is awkward word	bxisting subclause, the b before 45.2.3.8.1" # 161

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4	P149	L34	# 162	C/ 116	SC 116.3.3.3.	1 <i>P</i> 161	L 4	# 165
Huber, Thomas	Nokia			Huber, Tho	mas	Nokia		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status X		
order. Auto-Negotiatior (the text was correct in 802.3dj) SuggestedRemedy	Table 116-3a are incorrect a n is clause 73 rather than 116 the table inserted by 802.3ck swap the order of the first tw <i>Response Status</i> O	, and should be , so the errors w	the left-most column. vere introduced here in	numbe suppor service comple than if are diff	r of aspects. As t ted if ILT is suppor interface suppor ex wording; the c the states that IL erent depending	alues of the SIGNAL_OK pa he first paragraph states, IN orted. The paragraphs about ts the values IN_PROGRES ondition is more succinctly of uses are supported. Furth on whether ILT is used, inst edding in those definitions th	I_PROGRESS a t the OK and FA SS and READY" expresed as "if I er, since the me read of saying 'h	and READY are only alL values refer to "if th , which is needlessly LT is supported", rathe manings of OK and FAII ere are four values of

Replace the second through fifth paragraphs with this text (text spills beyond the bottom of the cell):

If ILT is not used:

A value of OK indicates that communication with the next lower sublayer is established (but does not guarantee that valid data is being presented to the next higher sublayer). A value of FAIL indicates that the sublayer has not established communication to the next lower sublayer, and data is not being presented to the next higher sublayer (the rx_symbol parameters are undefined).

If ILT is used:

A value of OK indicates that valid data is being presented by the sublayer to the next higher sublayer in the rx_symbol parameters.

A value of READY indicates that communication is established with the next lower sublayer, but communication with the peer interface is not fully established yet. The rx_symbol parameters presented to the next higher sublayer do not respresent traffic data and might be invalid. Management intervention is not required.

A value of IN_PROGRESS indicates that the sublayer is establishing communication with the next lower subalyer. Data is not being presented by the sublayer to the next higher sublayer (the rx_symbol parameters are unspecified). Management intervention is not required.

A value of FAIL indicates that an attempt to communicate with the next lower sublayer has failed. Data is not being presented to the next higher sublayer (rx_symbol parameters are unspecified)

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

Comment Type T Comment Status X

While it is clear what "DATA mode" is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, that term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended here (see 1.4.278) Annex 178B.5 indicates that in the context of ILT, "data mode" means the variable tx_mode has the value 'data', which is associated with being in the PATH_UP state per figure 178B-8. As such, it would be more clear if the text in 116.2.9 referred to the PATH_UP state.

SuggestedRemedy

Huber, Thomas

Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)."

Nokia

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 116	SC 116.2.9	P155	L 45	# 164
Huber, Tho	omas	Nokia		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

ILT is supported by any PHY that uses a 200GAUI-1 or 400GAUI-2. What's listed here are PMDs that support ILT.

SuggestedRemedy

If the intent is to list the PMDs that support ILT, change 'PHY' to 'PMD'. If the intent was to indicate PHYs that can support ILT, replace the sentence that introduces the dashed list with "ILT is supported by any 200GBASE-R PHY that uses a 200GAUI-1. any 400GBASE-R PHY that uses a 400GAUI-2, or any PHY that uses one of the following PMD types:"

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 169	SC 169.2.10	P 190	L 41	# 166	C/ 169 SC 169.5		L14	# 169
Huber, Tho	omas	Nokia			Huber, Thomas	Nokia		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
term ha (see 1. variabl	as specific mean .4.278) Annex 17 e tx_mode has th	DATA mode" is intended to m ing for 1000BASE-T PHYs th '8B.5 indicates that in the cor ne value 'data', which is asso . As such, it would be more c	nat differs from w ntext of ILT, "data ciated with being	hat is intended here a mode" means the i in the PATH_UP	FEC. SuggestedRemedy	169-5, it needs to be more cle in both figures with "Inner FEC		C" can also be the ER1
the PA	TH_UP state.				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Suggested	Remedy					·		
	e "coordinate the _UP state (see Fi	e transition to DATA mode." to igure 178B-8)."	o "coordinate the	e transition to the	C/ 169 SC 169.8	P 201	L 48	# 170
Proposed I	Response	Response Status O			Huber, Thomas	Nokia		
					Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
C/ 169	SC 169.2.10	P190	L 43	# 167	Subclause 169.8 (PI by 802.3dj.	CS summary) needs to be upd	lated to refer to r	ew PMD clauses addec
Huber, Tho	omas	Nokia			SuggestedRemedy			
	T							
ILT is i	n principle suppo	Comment Status X orted by any 800GBASE-R PI PMDs that can support ILT.	HY that uses a 2	00G/lane AUI. The	Bring in clause 169.8 Add this editing instr	uction:		
ILT is i dashed Suggested If the ir to indic with "IL	n principle suppo d list here is the F <i>Remedy</i> ntent is to list the cate PHYs that ca _T is supported b	orted by any 800GBASE-R PI	nge 'PHY' to 'PM entence that intro	D'. If the intent was oduces the dashed list	Add this editing instr Change the first para follows Copy in the first para		nd change "Claus	e 170 through Clause
ILT is i dashed Suggested If the ir to indic with "IL followir	n principle suppo d list here is the F <i>Remedy</i> ntent is to list the cate PHYs that ca _T is supported b ng PMD types:"	orted by any 800GBASE-R PI PMDs that can support ILT. PMDs that support ILT, char an support ILT, replace the s by any 800GBASE-R PHY that	nge 'PHY' to 'PM entence that intro	D'. If the intent was oduces the dashed list	Add this editing instr Change the first para follows Copy in the first para	uction: graph of subclause 169.8 (as a graph of the existing 169.8, an	nd change "Claus	e 170 through Clause
ILT is i dashed Suggested If the ir to indic with "IL followir	n principle suppo d list here is the F <i>Remedy</i> ntent is to list the cate PHYs that ca _T is supported b ng PMD types:"	Prived by any 800GBASE-R Pl PMDs that can support ILT. PMDs that support ILT, char an support ILT, replace the su	nge 'PHY' to 'PM entence that intro	D'. If the intent was oduces the dashed list	Add this editing instr Change the first para follows Copy in the first para 173" to "Clause 170	uction: graph of subclause 169.8 (as graph of the existing 169.8, an hrough Clause 173 or Clause	nd change "Claus	e 170 through Clause
ILT is i dashed Suggested If the ir to indic with "IL followir Proposed I	d list here is the F Remedy Intent is to list the cate PHYs that ca T is supported b ng PMD types:" Response	orted by any 800GBASE-R PI PMDs that can support ILT. PMDs that support ILT, char an support ILT, replace the s by any 800GBASE-R PHY that Response Status O	nge 'PHY' to 'PM entence that intro at uses an 800G/	D'. If the intent was oduces the dashed list AUI-4 or one of the	Add this editing instr Change the first para follows Copy in the first para 173" to "Clause 170	uction: graph of subclause 169.8 (as graph of the existing 169.8, an hrough Clause 173 or Clause <i>Response Status</i> O	nd change "Claus	e 170 through Clause
ILT is i dashed Suggested If the ir to indic with "IL followir Proposed I Cl 169	n principle suppo d list here is the F Remedy Intent is to list the cate PHYs that ca T is supported b ng PMD types:" Response SC 169.3.2	PMDs that can support ILT. PMDs that can support ILT. PMDs that support ILT, char an support ILT, replace the sup any 800GBASE-R PHY that Response Status O P191	nge 'PHY' to 'PM entence that intro	D'. If the intent was oduces the dashed list	Add this editing instr Change the first para follows Copy in the first para 173" to "Clause 170 Proposed Response	uction: graph of subclause 169.8 (as graph of the existing 169.8, an hrough Clause 173 or Clause <i>Response Status</i> O	nd change "Claus 176 through Cla	e 170 through Clause use 187:"
ILT is i dashed Suggested If the ir to indic with "IL followir Proposed I C/ 169 Huber, Tho	n principle suppo d list here is the F <i>Remedy</i> ntent is to list the cate PHYs that ca T is supported b ng PMD types:" <i>Response</i> SC 169.3.2 omas	PMDs that can support ILT. PMDs that can support ILT. PMDs that support ILT, char an support ILT, replace the so by any 800GBASE-R PHY that Response Status O P191 Nokia	nge 'PHY' to 'PM entence that intro at uses an 800G/	D'. If the intent was oduces the dashed list AUI-4 or one of the	Add this editing instr Change the first para follows Copy in the first para 173" to "Clause 170 Proposed Response Cl 172 SC 172.2.5	uction: graph of subclause 169.8 (as graph of the existing 169.8, an hrough Clause 173 or Clause <i>Response Status</i> O .2 <i>P</i> 242	nd change "Claus 176 through Cla	e 170 through Clause use 187:"
ILT is i dashed Suggested If the ir to indic with "IL followir Proposed I C/ 169 Huber, Tha Comment 1 While 1	n principle suppo d list here is the F <i>Remedy</i> ntent is to list the cate PHYs that ca T is supported b ng PMD types:" <i>Response</i> SC 169.3.2 omas <i>Type</i> E the ER1 FEC is a	PMDs that can support ILT. PMDs that can support ILT. PMDs that support ILT, char an support ILT, replace the sup any 800GBASE-R PHY that Response Status O P191	nge 'PHY' to 'PM entence that intro at uses an 800G/ <i>L</i> 17 FEC, that term is	D'. If the intent was oduces the dashed list AUI-4 or one of the # 168	Add this editing instr Change the first para follows Copy in the first para 173" to "Clause 170 Proposed Response Cl 172 SC 172.2.5 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T The text here was m since the sublayer be interface lanes" is no	uction: graph of subclause 169.8 (as graph of the existing 169.8, an hrough Clause 173 or Clause <i>Response Status</i> O .2 <i>P</i> 242 Nokia	nd change "Claus 176 through Cla <i>L</i> 9 rface lanes" to "s r a PMA. But jus	# 170 through Clause use 187:" # 171 ervice interface lanes", t saying "service
ILT is i dashed Suggested If the ir to indic with "IL followir Proposed I C/ 169 Huber, Tho Comment T While t elsewh	A principle support d list here is the F <i>Remedy</i> ntent is to list the cate PHYs that ca T is supported b ng PMD types:" <i>Response</i> SC 169.3.2 omas <i>Type</i> E the ER1 FEC is a here in the text, so <i>Remedy</i>	PMDs that can support ILT, PMDs that can support ILT, PMDs that support ILT, char an support ILT, replace the si- by any 800GBASE-R PHY that Response Status O P191 Nokia Comment Status X an example of a segmented F o probably better to call it the	nge 'PHY' to 'PM entence that intro at uses an 800G/ <i>L</i> 17 FEC, that term is	D'. If the intent was oduces the dashed list AUI-4 or one of the # 168	Add this editing instr Change the first para follows Copy in the first para 173" to "Clause 170 Proposed Response Cl 172 SC 172.2.5 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T The text here was m since the sublayer be interface lanes" is no layer.	uction: graph of subclause 169.8 (as graph of the existing 169.8, an through Clause 173 or Clause <i>Response Status</i> O .2 <i>P</i> 242 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X podified from "PMA service inter show the PCS may be a FEC or	nd change "Claus 176 through Cla <i>L</i> 9 rface lanes" to "s r a PMA. But jus	# 170 through Clause use 187:" # 171 ervice interface lanes", t saying "service
ILT is i dashed Suggested If the ir to indic with "IL followir Proposed I C/ 169 Huber, Tho Comment 7 While t elsewh	A principle support d list here is the F <i>Remedy</i> ntent is to list the cate PHYs that ca T is supported b ng PMD types:" <i>Response</i> SC 169.3.2 omas <i>Type</i> E the ER1 FEC is a here in the text, so <i>Remedy</i>	PMDs that can support ILT. PMDs that can support ILT, char an support ILT, replace the so by any 800GBASE-R PHY tha Response Status O P191 Nokia Comment Status X an example of a segmented F	nge 'PHY' to 'PM entence that intro at uses an 800G/ <i>L</i> 17 FEC, that term is	D'. If the intent was oduces the dashed list AUI-4 or one of the # 168	Add this editing instr Change the first para follows Copy in the first para 173" to "Clause 170 Proposed Response Cl 172 SC 172.2.5 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T The text here was m since the sublayer bo interface lanes" is no layer. SuggestedRemedy	uction: graph of subclause 169.8 (as graph of the existing 169.8, an through Clause 173 or Clause <i>Response Status</i> O .2 P242 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X oddfied from "PMA service inter elow the PCS may be a FEC o t sufficiently clear that it is the	nd change "Claus 176 through Cla <i>L</i> 9 rface lanes" to "s r a PMA. But jus	# 170 through Clause use 187:" # 171 ervice interface lanes", t saying "service
dashed Suggested If the ir to indic with "IL followir Proposed I CI 169 Huber, Tho Comment 1 elsewh Suggested	n principle suppord d list here is the F <i>Remedy</i> ntent is to list the cate PHYs that ca T is supported b ng PMD types:" <i>Response</i> SC 169.3.2 omas <i>Type</i> E the ER1 FEC is a here in the text, so <i>Remedy</i> e "Segmented FI	PMDs that can support ILT, PMDs that can support ILT, PMDs that support ILT, char an support ILT, replace the si- by any 800GBASE-R PHY that Response Status O P191 Nokia Comment Status X an example of a segmented F o probably better to call it the	nge 'PHY' to 'PM entence that intro at uses an 800G/ <i>L</i> 17 FEC, that term is	D'. If the intent was oduces the dashed list AUI-4 or one of the # 168	Add this editing instr Change the first para follows Copy in the first para 173" to "Clause 170 Proposed Response Cl 172 SC 172.2.5 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T The text here was m since the sublayer be interface lanes" is no layer. SuggestedRemedy Change the first semi	uction: graph of subclause 169.8 (as graph of the existing 169.8, an through Clause 173 or Clause <i>Response Status</i> O .2 P242 Nokia <i>Comment Status</i> X oddfied from "PMA service inter elow the PCS may be a FEC o t sufficiently clear that it is the	nd change "Claus 176 through Cla <i>L</i> 9 rface lanes" to "s r a PMA. But jus service interface	# 170 through Clause use 187:" # 171 ervice interface lanes", t saying "service from the next lower

C/ 172 SC 172.6	P 242	L 36	# 172	C/ 173 S	C 173.4.2	P 245	L 36	# 175
Huber, Thomas	Nokia			Huber, Thomas		Nokia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type	т	Comment Status X		
there is no need to repe	N is mandatory are already e eat all of them here. At the sa nts apply to CRn and KRn P	ame time, it is m		explanatory 'inst' is PH	v notes b an /_XS when	the possibility that a 32:4 PM d c seem unnecessary. It sho the sublayer below the PMA is when it is a PMA).	ould be quite ob	vious to any reader th
Replace "800GBASE-C	R8, 800GBASE-CR4, 800G r 800GBASE-KRn PMD"	BASE-KR8, or 8	00GBASE-KR4 PMD"	SuggestedRem	-	ure, just under the 32 output I	anes and 32 inr	nut lanes add "or 324
Proposed Response	Response Status O			PMA" after	PHY 800G	XS, and in the explanation of " nd the references to them in the	inst", add "or P	MA" after PHY_XS.
				Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status O		
C/ 172 SC 172.7.4.7	P 243	L17	# 173					
luber, Thomas	Nokia			C/ 174 S	C 174.1.4	P248	L30	# 176
omment Type E	Comment Status X			Huber, Thomas		Nokia	200	
Easier to say CRn/KRn	rather than enumerate all th	e CRn and KRn	PMDs in the PICS			Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type				
,	R8, 800GBASE-CR4, 800G	BASE-KR8, or 8	00GBASE-KR4 PMD"		0	clause 73 Auto-Negotiation		
with "800GBASE-CRn o	or 800GBASE-KRn PMD"			SuggestedRem				
Proposed Response	Response Status O					se 73 Auto-Negotiation and in 6TBASE-CR8.	dicate it as Man	datory for both
				Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status 0		
C/ 173 SC 173.4.2	P 244	L 46	# 174					
luber, Thomas	Nokia			C/ 174 S	C 174.2.12	P 250	L 42	# 177
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Huber, Thomas		Nokia		
	to SM PMA is needed, the			Comment Type		Comment Status X		
	SE-LR4 module that has an e optical interface requires t			21		DATA mode" is intended to me	aan hara in tha	context of ILT that
	PCS, 32:8 PMA, [800GAUI			term has sp (see 1.4.27	becific mear 8) Annex 17	ning for 1000BASE-T PHYs th 78B.5 indicates that in the con	at differs from v text of ILT, "dat	what is intended here a mode" means the
SuggestedRemedy						he value 'data', which is assoc		
Add "32:4 SM-PMA, " a	fter PHY 800GXS.			the PATH_		8. As such, it would be more cl	eai ii trie text IN	174.2.12 10101100 10
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRem	edy			
				Change "co	ordinate the	e transition to DATA mode." to	o "coordinate the	e transition to the

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 177

PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)."

Response Status 0

Proposed Response

b/s Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comme

C/ 174 SC [·]	174.6	P 259	L34	# 178	C/ 1
Huber, Thomas		Nokia			Hub
Comment Type	т	Comment Status X			Con
Clause 182 is	also relevar	t to 1.6TBASE-R.			
SuggestedRemed	ly				
Change "Clau 182"	ise 175 throu	igh Clause 180" to "Clau	ise 175 through C	lause 180 or Clause	
Proposed Respon		Response Status O			Sug
C/ 176 SC	176.4.2.4	P 298	L 37	# 179	
luber, Thomas		Nokia			Prop
Comment Type	_	Comment Status X			
		the phrases that start will they are additional expla			Cl 1
		h before and after the pl		n), so they should be	Hub
SuggestedRemed	ly				Con
		BASE-R 32:4 PMAs and	after 1.6TBASE-F	R 16:8 PMA, so it reads	Con
Add a comma as follows: This delay fun R 32:4 PMAs,	after 800GE	BASE-R 32:4 PMAs and by the 200GBASE-R 8 by symbol-pair multiplex bol-quartet multiplexing.	:1, 400GBASE-R	16:2, and 800GBASE-	Sug
Add a comma as follows: This delay fun R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which e	after 800GE action is used which employs syml	l by the 200GBASE-R 8 oy symbol-pair multiplex	:1, 400GBASE-R	16:2, and 800GBASE-	Sug
Add a comma as follows: This delay fun R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which e	after 800GE action is used which employs syml	I by the 200GBASE-R 8 oy symbol-pair multiplex pol-quartet multiplexing.	:1, 400GBASE-R	16:2, and 800GBASE-	Sug
Add a comma as follows: This delay fun R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which e Proposed Respon	after 800GE action is used which employs syml	I by the 200GBASE-R 8 oy symbol-pair multiplex pol-quartet multiplexing.	:1, 400GBASE-R	16:2, and 800GBASE-	Sug
Add a comma as follows: This delay fun R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which e Proposed Respon	a after 800GE action is used which emplo mploys syml ase f	d by the 200GBASE-R 8 by symbol-pair multiplex bol-quartet multiplexing. Response Status 0	1, 400GBASE-R ing, but not by the	16:2, and 800GBASE- 91.6TBASE-R 16:8	
Add a comma as follows: This delay fun R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which e Proposed Respon	a after 800GE which employs syml se f 176.4.2.4.2	d by the 200GBASE-R 8 oy symbol-pair multiplex bol-quartet multiplexing. Response Status 0 P 300	1, 400GBASE-R ing, but not by the	16:2, and 800GBASE- 91.6TBASE-R 16:8	Sug
Add a comma as follows: This delay fun R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which e proposed Respon	a after 800GE which employs symi use // 176.4.2.4.2	l by the 200GBASE-R 8 oy symbol-pair multiplex pol-quartet multiplexing. Response Status O P 300 Nokia	1, 400GBASE-R ing, but not by the	16:2, and 800GBASE- 1.6TBASE-R 16:8 # 180	Sug
Add a comma as follows: This delay fun R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which e Proposed Respon	a after 800GE which employs symi mploys symi ise f 176.4.2.4.2 E ence has a lise	l by the 200GBASE-R 8 oy symbol-pair multiplex bol-quartet multiplexing. Response Status 0 P 300 Nokia Comment Status X	1, 400GBASE-R ing, but not by the	16:2, and 800GBASE- 1.6TBASE-R 16:8 # 180	Sug
as follows: This delay fun R 32:4 PMAs, PMA, which e Proposed Respon Cl 176 SC 4 Huber, Thomas Comment Type The first sente SuggestedRemed	a after 800GE which employs symi se // 176.4.2.4.2 E ence has a list /y entence to re	a by the 200GBASE-R 8 by symbol-pair multiplex bol-quartet multiplexing. Response Status O P 300 Nokia Comment Status X st of two items separated ead: This delay is perform	1, 400GBASE-R ing, but not by the <i>L</i> 29 d with a comma ra	16:2, and 800GBASE- 1.6TBASE-R 16:8 # 180	Sug

"set as required by the implementation" (as in the last paragraph), what is the purpose of having the set of "precoder_{tx|rx}_{in|out}_enable_i" variables to enable and disable it for each lane/direction? It doesn't sound like the user has any need to control these settings.

P316

L11

181

ggestedRemedy

Either remove the variables entirely, or treat them as status variables that report the configuration if there is some value in the user knowing what the configuration is Or, if the intent in the case that ILT is not being used is that the user needs to figure out whether to enable the precoder on a per-lane basis, make that more clear.

posed Response Response Status **O**

SC 176.7.1.2

C/ 177	SC 177.2	P 328	L14	# 182
Huber, Tho	mas	Nokia		
Comment T	⁻ уре Е	Comment Status X		

It would be better to not list the specific PMDs here and create a potential need to regularly update this text if new PHYs are added that use this inner FEC.

ggestedRemedy

Replace "The number of parallel streams, n, is 1 for 200GBASE-DR1-2, 2 for 400GBASE-DR2-2, 4 for 800GBASE-DR4-2, 800GBASE-FR4, and 800GBASE-LR4, and 8 for 1.6TBASE-DR8-2."

with

"The number of parallel streams. n. is 1 for 200GBASE-R PHYs. 2 for 400GBASE-R PHYs. 4 for 800GBASE-R PHYs, and 8 for 1.6TBASE-R PHYs."

posed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 177 SC 177.3	P 328	L 45	# 183	C/ 177	SC 177.4.8.2	P 336	L 15	# 186
Huber, Thomas	Nokia			Huber, The	omas	Nokia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
below the Inner FEC the interface in 183.	e only PMD that is used with thi c is not limited to the PMD servi 3. Rather than enumerating all t gularly update the clause), a mo	ce interface in 18 he clauses (which	32.3. It could also be ch would create a	impler "preco	mentation", what oder_{tx rx}_{in ou	gured either based on ILT or i is the purpose of having the s ut}_enable_i" variables to ena n't sound like the user has ar	set of ble and disable i	t for each
SuggestedRemedy				Suggestee	dRemedy			
Change "the PMD so PHY". Proposed Response	ervice interface defined in 182.3 Response Status O	8" to "the PMD se	ervice interface for the	config intent	uration if there is in the case that I	ables entirely, or treat them as some value in the user know LT is not being used is that the nake that more clear.	ing what the con	figuration is Or, if the
					Response	Response Status O		
C/ 177 SC 177.4.	2 <i>P</i> 331	L 29	# 184	Toposed	Response			
Huber, Thomas	Nokia	-20	" 104	C/ 177	SC 177.5.1	P336	L36	# 187
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Huber, Th		Nokia	230	# 167
Awkward grammer i	n "The data from deskwed PMA	alane is fed…"		Comment		Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy					ist sentence is a			
Change to "Data from	m the deskwed PMA lane is fed	"		Suggested				
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Chang		nard-decision PAM4 decoding	g function in F	igure 177.2. The soft-
C/ 177 SC 177.4.	7 P334	L 37	# 185	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Huber, Thomas	Nokia	231	# 185					
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			C/ 177	SC 177.5.2	P337	L 20	# 188
	confusing. The 1024-bit pad is	the equivalent n	umber of bits as "8x	Huber, Th	-	Nokia	-20	
Inner FEC codeword and subclauses und	Is", but of course is not that, it's er the figure. More generatlly, th e is no multiplication going on.	padding bits as ne use of "8x" in	described by the text the figure is not	Comment		Comment Status X		
(8704 Inner FEC co	dewords), the intent is that there	e are 1088 block	s of 8 Inner FEC	Suggested	dRemedv			
	f 8704 codewords), but this cou 704 blocks of 8 Inner FEC code			00	ge to "128-bit blo	cls"		
	88 blocks, as that would more of			Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy								
	place "8x Inner FEC codewords In the text under the brace, add rds)".							
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							
TYPE: TR/technical requ	uired ER/editorial required GR/ /dispatched A/accepted R/reje	general required	I T/technical E/editorial G	/general written C/closed	d U/unsatisfied		ent ID 188	Page 35 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:53

Comment Type T Comment Status X Institution the subclause just a natural consequence of subclause 177.6.1.2? Le., if there is a PRBS31 generator at the input to the PAM4 encoder, it is not a unique test pattem, it's the natural result of enabling the PRBS31 generator. SuggestedRemedy Delete this subclause. Or if there is some value in noting that this pattern exists, rather than saying the inner FEC shall include it, just state that enabling the PRBS31 generator (see 177.6.1.2) produces a PRBS31Q pattern at the output of the PAM4 encoder. Proposed Response Response Status O C/1 178 SC 178.8.9 Paft Log # 190 C/2 178 Comment Status X While it is clear what "DATA mode" is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, that term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended to rease that in the context of ILT, that term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, that mode has the value 'data', which is associated with be	C/ 177 SC 177.	6.1.4	P340	L10	# 189	C/ 179	SC 1	79.8.2	P 391	L 31	# 191
Isn't this subclause just a natural consequence of subclause 177.6.1.2? I.e., if there is a PRBS31 generator at the input to the PAM4 encoder, it stands to reason that there can be a PRBS310 pattern at the output of the PAM4 encoder; that is not a unique test pattern, it's the natural result of enabling the PRBS31 generator. Suggested/Remedy Delete this subclause. Or if there is some value in noting that this pattern exists, rather than saying the inner FEC shall include it, just state that enabling the PRBS31 generator (see 177.6.1.2) produces a PRBS31Q pattern at the output of the PAM4 encoder. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 178 SC 178.8.9 P361 L26 190 C/ 179 SC 179.8.9 P393 L6 192 Comment Type T Comment Status X While it is clear what "DATA mode" is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, that term has specific meaning for 10000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intend	Huber, Thomas		Nokia			Huber, Tho	omas		Nokia		
PRBS 31 generator at the input to the PAM4 encoder, it stands to reason that there can be a PRBS31Q pattern at the output of the PAM4 encoder, that is not a unique test pattern, it's the natural result of enabling the PRBS31 generator. Suggested/Remedy Delete this subclause. Or if there is some value in noting that this pattern exists, rather than saying the inner FEC shall include it, just state that enabling the PRBS31 generator (see 177.6.1.2) produces a PRBS31Q pattern at the output of the PAM4 encoder. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178 SC 178.8.9 P 361 L 26 # 190 Cl 178 SC 178.8.9 P 361 L 26 # 190 Cl 178 SC 178.8.9 P 361 L 26 # 190 Cl 178 SC 178.8.9 P 361 L 26 # 190 Cl 178 SC 178.8.9 P 361 L 26 # 190 Cl 178 SC 178.8.9 P 361 L 26 # 190 Cl 179 SC 179.8.9 P 393 L 6 # 192 Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type Comment Type Comment Type Comment Type Comment Type T Comment Typ	Comment Type T	Commer	nt Status X			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status X		
Delete this subclause. Or if there is some value in noting that this pattern exists, rather than saying the inner FEC shall include it, just state that enabling the PRBS31 generator (see 177.6.1.2) produces a PRBS31Q pattern at the output of the PAM4 encoder. Proposed Response Response Status O C/L 178 SC 178.8.9 P 361 L 26 # 190 C/L 178 SC 178.8.9 P 361 L 26 # 190 C/L 178 SC 178.8.9 P 361 L 26 # 190 C/L 178 SC 178.8.9 P 361 L 26 # 190 Huber, Thomas Nokia O C/ 179 SC 179.8.9 P 393 L 6 # 192 Comment Type T Comment Status X While it is clear what "DATA mode" is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, that term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended here (see 1.4.278) Annex 178B-5. Indicates that in the context of ILT, "data mode" means the value 'data', which is associated with being in the PATH_UP state. Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state. Suggested/Remedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the trans	PRBS 31 generat a PRBS31Q patte	or at the input to rn at the output o	the PAM4 encod	er, it stands to re oder; that is not a	eason that there can be	term h (see 1) variabl state p	as speci .4.278) A le tx_mo per figure	fic meani Annex 17 de has th e 178B-8.	ng for 1000BASE-T PHYs the 3B.5 indicates that in the cont e value 'data', which is assoc	at differs from v ext of ILT, "dat iated with being	what is intended here ta mode" means the g in the PATH_UP
Cl 178 SC 178.8.9 P 361 L 26 # 190 Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type T Comment Status X While it is clear what "DATA mode" is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, that term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended here (see 1.4.278) Annex 178B.5 indicates that in the context of ILT, "data mode" means the variable tx_mode has the value 'data', which is associated with being in the PATH_UP state. Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)." Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state. SuggestedRemedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state. SuggestedRemedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the transition to the transition to the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the transiti	than saying the inner FEC shall include it, just state that enabling the PRBS31 generator (see 177.6.1.2) produces a PRBS31Q pattern at the output of the PAM4 encoder.					SuggestedRemedy Change "When operating in DATA mode, …" to "When operating in the PATH_UP state					
Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type T Comment Status X While it is clear what "DATA mode" is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, that term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended here (see 1.4.278) Annex 178B.5 indicates that in the context of ILT, "data mode" means the variable tx_mode has the value 'data', which is associated with being in the PATH_UP state. Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the trans					"	Proposed I	Respons	se	Response Status O		
Huber, friomas Nokia Comment Type T Comment Status X While it is clear what "DATA mode" is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, that term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended here (see 1.4.278) Annex 178B.5 indicates that in the context of ILT, "data mode" means the variable tx_mode has the value 'data', which is associated with being in the PATH_UP state. Image: The term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended here is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, "data mode" means the variable tx_mode has the value 'data', which is associated with being in the PATH_UP state. Image: Toordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)." Nokia SuggestedRemedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)." Nokia		8.9		L 26	# 190	C/ 179	SC 1	79.8.9	P393	L6	# 192
While it is clear what "DATA mode" is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, that term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended here (see 1.4.278) Annex 178B.5 indicates that in the context of ILT, "data mode" means the variable tx_mode has the value 'data', which is associated with being in the PATH_UP state per figure 178B-8. As such, it would be more clear if the text in 178.8.9 referred to the PATH_UP state. SuggestedRemedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)."		_					mas			-	
term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended here (see 1.4.278) Annex 178B.5 indicates that in the context of ILT, "data mode" means the variable tx_mode has the value 'data', which is associated with being in the PATH_UP state per figure 178B-8. As such, it would be more clear if the text in 178.8.9 referred to the PATH_UP state. SuggestedRemedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)."						,		т			
Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)." SuggestedRemedy Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the	term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended here (see 1.4.278) Annex 178B.5 indicates that in the context of ILT, "data mode" means the variable tx_mode has the value 'data', which is associated with being in the PATH_UP state per figure 178B-8. As such, it would be more clear if the text in 178.8.9 referred to the					While it is clear what "DATA mode" is intended to mean here in the context of ILT, that term has specific meaning for 1000BASE-T PHYs that differs from what is intended here (see 1.4.278) Annex 178B.5 indicates that in the context of ILT, "data mode" means the variable tx_mode has the value 'data', which is associated with being in the PATH_UP state per figure 178B-8. As such, it would be more clear if the text in 179.8.9 referred to the					
PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)." Change "coordinate the transition to the Coordinate the transit	SuggestedRemedy					PATH	_UP stat	e.			
	PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)."					Change "coordinate the transition to DATA mode." to "coordinate the transition to the PATH_UP state (see Figure 178B-8)."					

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 180 SC 180	5.12	P 437	L28	# 193	C/ 182	SC 182.5.12	P48	37	L 41	# 196
Huber, Thomas		Nokia			Huber, Tho	omas	Nokia			
Comment Type T	Comme	nt Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	Х		
term has specific (see 1.4.278) Anr variable tx_mode	meaning for 100 ex 178B.5 indica has the value 'da '8B-8. As such, i	0BASE-T PHYs th ates that in the cor ata', which is asso	hat differs from w ntext of ILT, "data ociated with being	context of ILT, that what is intended here a mode" means the g in the PATH_UP 180.5.12 referred to	term h (see 1) variabl state p	as specific mear .4.278) Annex 17 le tx_mode has t	DATA mode" is intend ning for 1000BASE-T 78B.5 indicates that ir he value 'data', which a As such, it would be	PHYs that c the context is associate	differs from v t of ILT, "dat ed with being	what is intended here a mode" means the g in the PATH_UP
uggestedRemedy					Suggested	lRemedy				
Change "coordina PATH_UP state (to DATA mode." t -8)."	to "coordinate the	e transition to the		e "coordinate the _UP state (see F	e transition to DATA r igure 178B-8)."	mode." to "co	oordinate the	e transition to the
Proposed Response	Respons	e Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status	0		
/ 180 SC 180	8.3	P 444	L 47	# 194	C/ 182	SC 182.8.3	P49	94	L 52	# 197
					Livban The	mae	Nokia			
uber, Thomas		Nokia			Huber, Tho	Jillas	TIORIA			
		Nokia nt Status X			Comment		Comment Status			
omment Type T DR MDIs use pai					Comment	<i>Type</i> T MDIs use pairs	Comment Status			
Comment Type T DR MDIs use pai SuggestedRemedy	s of fibers s the option to co	nt Status X	fiber MDI," to	"besides the option	Comment DRn-2 Suggested Chang	Type T MDIs use pairs Remedy e "besides the	Comment Status of fibers.	Х	er MDI," to	"besides the optior
Comment Type T DR MDIs use pai uggestedRemedy Change "beside to connect to a si	s of fibers s the option to co ngle fiber-pair MD	nt Status X	fiber MDI," to	"besides the option	Comment DRn-2 Suggested Chang	Type T MDIs use pairs Remedy e "besides the nect to a single f	Comment Status of fibers.	X a single fibe	er MDI," to	"besides the optior
DR MDIs use pai UR MDIs use pai uggestedRemedy Change "beside to connect to a si roposed Response	s of fibers s the option to c gle fiber-pair ME <i>Respons</i>	nt Status X	fiber MDI," to	"besides the option # 195	Comment DRn-2 Suggested Chang to con	Type T MDIs use pairs Remedy e "besides the nect to a single f	Comment Status of fibers. option to connect to iber-pair MDI," Response Status	X a single fibe O	er MDI," to	"besides the option # 198
mment Type T DR MDIs use pai ggestedRemedy Change "beside to connect to a si oposed Response 181 SC 181	s of fibers s the option to c gle fiber-pair ME <i>Respons</i>	nt Status X onnect to a single DI," e Status O			Comment DRn-2 Suggested Chang to coni Proposed i	Type T MDIs use pairs <i>Remedy</i> e "besides the nect to a single f <i>Response</i> SC 183.5.12	Comment Status of fibers. option to connect to iber-pair MDI," Response Status	X a single fibe O 10		
omment Type T DR MDIs use pai uggestedRemedy Change "beside to connect to a si roposed Response / 181 SC 181 uber, Thomas	s of fibers s the option to congle fiber-pair ME <i>Respons</i> 5.12	nt Status X onnect to a single DI," <i>ee Status</i> O P460			Comment DRn-2 Suggested Chang to com Proposed I	Type T MDIs use pairs <i>Remedy</i> the "besides the nect to a single f <i>Response</i> SC 183.5.12 pmas	Comment Status of fibers. option to connect to iber-pair MDI," Response Status	X a single fibe O 10		
DR MDIs use pai SuggestedRemedy Change "beside to connect to a si Proposed Response 2/ 181 SC 181 Iuber, Thomas Comment Type T While it is clear w term has specific (see 1.4.278) Any variable tx_mode	s of fibers s the option to congle fiber-pair ME <i>Respons</i> 5.12 5.12 Comment to "DATA mode meaning for 100 ex 178B.5 indica has the value 'da '8B-8. As such, i	nt Status X onnect to a single DI," ee Status O P460 Nokia nt Status X " is intended to m 0BASE-T PHYs th ates that in the cor ata', which is asso	L24 nean here in the o hat differs from w ntext of ILT, "data pociated with being		Comment DRn-2 Suggested Chang to com Proposed I C/ 183 Huber, Tho Comment While term h (see 1. variabl state p	Type T MDIs use pairs <i>IRemedy</i> e "besides the nect to a single f <i>Response</i> SC 183.5.12 omas Type T it is clear what "I as specific mear .4.278) Annex 17 e tx_mode has t	Comment Status of fibers. option to connect to iber-pair MDI," Response Status P5' Nokia	X a single fibe O 10 X led to mean PHYs that c the context is associate	<i>L</i> 33 here in the o differs from v t of ILT, "dat ed with being	context of ILT, that vhat is intended here a mode" means the g in the PATH_UP
Comment Type T DR MDIs use pai uggestedRemedy Change "beside to connect to a si proposed Response 1 181 SC 181 uber, Thomas comment Type T While it is clear w term has specific (see 1.4.278) Ann variable tx_mode state per figure 1 the PATH_UP sta	s of fibers s the option to congle fiber-pair ME <i>Respons</i> 5.12 5.12 Comment to "DATA mode meaning for 100 ex 178B.5 indica has the value 'da '8B-8. As such, i	nt Status X onnect to a single DI," ee Status O P460 Nokia nt Status X " is intended to m 0BASE-T PHYs th ates that in the cor ata', which is asso	L24 nean here in the o hat differs from w ntext of ILT, "data pociated with being	# 195 context of ILT, that what is intended here a mode" means the g in the PATH_UP	Comment DRn-2 Suggested Chang to com Proposed I C/ 183 Huber, Tho Comment While term h (see 1. variabl state p	Type T MDIs use pairs Remedy le "besides the nect to a single f Response SC 183.5.12 omas Type T it is clear what "I as specific mear .4.278) Annex 17 e tx_mode has t per figure 178B-8 .TH_UP state.	Comment Status of fibers. option to connect to a iber-pair MDI," Response Status P5' Nokia Comment Status DATA mode" is intendo ing for 1000BASE-T 78B.5 indicates that ir he value 'data', which	X a single fibe O 10 X led to mean PHYs that c the context is associate	<i>L</i> 33 here in the o differs from v t of ILT, "dat ed with being	# 198 context of ILT, that what is intended here a mode" means the g in the PATH_UP
Comment Type T DR MDIs use pai SuggestedRemedy Change "beside to connect to a si Proposed Response (7 181 SC 181 Suber, Thomas Comment Type T While it is clear w term has specific (see 1.4.278) And variable tx_mode state per figure 1 the PATH_UP sta SuggestedRemedy	s of fibers s the option to congle fiber-pair ME <i>Respons</i> 5.12 5.12 5.12 5.12 5.12 5.12 5.12 5.12	nt Status X onnect to a single DI," e Status O P460 Nokia nt Status X " is intended to m 0BASE-T PHYs th ates that in the cor ata', which is asso t would be more c	L 24 nean here in the o hat differs from w ntext of ILT, "data ociated with being clear if the text in	# 195 context of ILT, that what is intended here a mode" means the g in the PATH_UP 181.5.12 referred to	Comment DRn-2 Suggested Chang to com Proposed I Cl 183 Huber, Tho Comment While term h (see 1 variabl state p the PA Suggested Chang	Type T MDIs use pairs Remedy e "besides the nect to a single f Response SC 183.5.12 omas Type T it is clear what "I as specific mear .4.278) Annex 17 ie tx_mode has to per figure 178B-8 .TH_UP state. IRemedy	Comment Status of fibers. option to connect to a iber-pair MDI," Response Status P5' Nokia Comment Status DATA mode" is intendo hing for 1000BASE-T 78B.5 indicates that in he value 'data', which a As such, it would be e transition to DATA r	X a single fibe O 10 X ded to mean PHYs that c a the context is associate more clear	L 33 there in the differs from v t of ILT, "dat ed with being if the text in	# 198 context of ILT, that what is intended here a mode" means the g in the PATH_UP 183.5.12 referred to

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 184 SC 184.2								
0.107 00 10412	P 533	L 4	# 199	C/ 184 SC 184	.4.1	P 534	L 5	# 202
luber, Thomas	Nokia			Huber, Thomas		Nokia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Со	mment Status X		
required to be in the to boundary. In an imple the PCS, this may not order and there won't from a standardizatior	sent the reordering and deskey wo flow groups (0-15 and 16-3 ementation that happens to hav t require any effort, because th be any skew to remove, but th n perspective. There are alway bell out as optional functions.	1) and deskewe ve the inner FEC ne PCS will have nat doesn't make	d to a 2-symbol immediatley next ot created the lanes in the process optional	boundary. If the F omit these function perspective SuggestedRemedy Change "The alig	PCS and Inn ons, but that nment lock		adjacent, a desig otional from a sta	gner may be able to
SuggestedRemedy				•		inctions shall be"		
Replace "If necessary reordered and deskey	, the lanes are reordered and wed."	deskewed" with	"The lanes are	Proposed Response	Res	sponse Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 184 SC 184	.4.3	P 535	L 2	# 203
				Huber, Thomas		Nokia		
C/ 184 SC 184.2	P 533	L 8	# 200	Comment Type T	Со	mment Status X		
Comment Type E Missing a hyphen in th	Comment Status X	106 110) anadd		flows 16-31 in col	umns 0 and	The permutation isn't 1; they stay where the	ey are. It's the sy	ymbols in columns 2
SuggestedPermedy		126, 110) encode	ed'	and 3 that are cha encoder.	anging to cre	eate symbol quartets v	vith one symbol	from each RS FEC
SuggestedRemedy			ed'		anging to cre	eate symbol quartets v	vith one symbol	from each RS FEC
Change to "interlea Proposed Response	ving the BCH(126,110)-encode Response Status O	ed flows"		encoder. SuggestedRemedy Replace the "RS- the left side of the different style of b the figure to show	FEC in" and figure to ha box around o that the top	I "RS-FEC out" labels ave one box around co columns 2 and 3, rows	with "Symbo ind lumns 2 and 3, 0-15. Change t	lex i mod 4". Change rows 16-31, and a
Change to "interlea Proposed Response	ving the BCH(126,110)-encode Response Status O P 533		# 201	encoder. SuggestedRemedy Replace the "RS- the left side of the different style of the the figure to show have changed po	FEC in" and figure to ha box around o that the top sitions.	I "RS-FEC out" labels ave one box around co columns 2 and 3, rows o and bottom boxes in	with "Symbo ind lumns 2 and 3, 0-15. Change t	lex i mod 4". Change rows 16-31, and a the right hand side of
Change to "interlea Proposed Response Cl 184 SC 184.2 Huber, Thomas	ving the BCH(126,110)-encode Response Status O P 533 Nokia	ed flows"		encoder. SuggestedRemedy Replace the "RS- the left side of the different style of b the figure to show	FEC in" and figure to ha box around o that the top sitions.	I "RS-FEC out" labels ave one box around co columns 2 and 3, rows	with "Symbo ind lumns 2 and 3, 0-15. Change t	lex i mod 4". Change rows 16-31, and a the right hand side of
Change to "interlea Proposed Response Cl 184 SC 184.2 Huber, Thomas Comment Type E	ving the BCH(126,110)-encode Response Status O P 533 Nokia Comment Status X	ed flows" <i>L</i> 18	# [201	encoder. SuggestedRemedy Replace the "RS- the left side of the different style of the the figure to show have changed po	FEC in" and figure to ha box around o that the top sitions.	I "RS-FEC out" labels ave one box around co columns 2 and 3, rows o and bottom boxes in	with "Symbo ind lumns 2 and 3, 0-15. Change t	lex i mod 4". Change rows 16-31, and a the right hand side of
Change to "interlea Proposed Response 7 184 SC 184.2 Juber, Thomas Comment Type E Awkward grammar : "	ving the BCH(126,110)-encode Response Status O P 533 Nokia	ed flows" <i>L</i> 18	# [201	encoder. SuggestedRemedy Replace the "RS- the left side of the different style of the the figure to show have changed po	FEC in" and figure to ha yox around o that the top sitions. Res	I "RS-FEC out" labels ave one box around co columns 2 and 3, rows o and bottom boxes in	with "Symbo ind lumns 2 and 3, 0-15. Change t	lex i mod 4". Change rows 16-31, and a the right hand side of
Change to "interlea Proposed Response Cl 184 SC 184.2 Huber, Thomas Comment Type E Awkward grammar : " original lanes order".	ving the BCH(126,110)-encode Response Status O P 533 Nokia Comment Status X	ed flows" <i>L</i> 18	# [201	encoder. SuggestedRemedy Replace the "RS- the left side of the different style of b the figure to show have changed po Proposed Response	FEC in" and figure to ha yox around o that the top sitions. Res	I "RS-FEC out" labels ave one box around co columns 2 and 3, rows o and bottom boxes in sponse Status 0	with "Symbo ind Jumns 2 and 3, 0-15. Change t clumns 2 and 3	dex i mod 4". Change rows 16-31, and a the right hand side of from the left hand side
Change to "interlea Proposed Response Cl 184 SC 184.2 Huber, Thomas Comment Type E Awkward grammar : " original lanes order". SuggestedRemedy	ving the BCH(126,110)-encode Response Status O P 533 Nokia Comment Status X	ed flows" L18	# 201	encoder. SuggestedRemedy Replace the "RS- the left side of the different style of b the figure to show have changed po Proposed Response Cl 184 SC 184	FEC in" and a figure to ha oox around o v that the top sitions. Res .4.5	I "RS-FEC out" labels ave one box around co columns 2 and 3, rows to and bottom boxes in sponse Status O P537 Nokia mment Status X	with "Symbo ind Jumns 2 and 3, 0-15. Change t clumns 2 and 3	dex i mod 4". Change rows 16-31, and a the right hand side of from the left hand side
Change to "interlea Proposed Response Cl 184 SC 184.2 Huber, Thomas Comment Type E Awkward grammar : " original lanes order". SuggestedRemedy Reword as: "Convolut	ving the BCH(126,110)-encode Response Status O P533 Nokia Comment Status X Convolutional interleaving and	ed flows" L18	# 201	encoder. SuggestedRemedy Replace the "RS- the left side of the different style of b the figure to show have changed po Proposed Response Cl 184 SC 184 Huber, Thomas Comment Type E	FEC in" and a figure to ha oox around o v that the top sitions. Res .4.5	I "RS-FEC out" labels ave one box around co columns 2 and 3, rows to and bottom boxes in sponse Status O P537 Nokia mment Status X	with "Symbo ind Jumns 2 and 3, 0-15. Change t clumns 2 and 3	dex i mod 4". Change rows 16-31, and a the right hand side of from the left hand side

C/ 184 SC 184.4.	.7 P 537	L 50	# 205	C/ 186 SC 186	P 579	L1	# 208
Huber, Thomas	Nokia			Huber, Thomas	Nokia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Up until this point, th confusing to use q h SuggestedRemedy	he index q has been used for the here as the index for the 4 output index for the 4 flows of intero[] Response Status 0			This clause is missi SuggestedRemedy	ng information on loopbacks loopbacks that is aligned to wh Response Status O	nat is in OIF 8002	ZR
C/ 184 SC 184.4.	.7 P537	L51	# 200	C/ 186 SC 186.2	1 <i>P</i> 582	L 4	# 209
	Nokia	231	# 206	Huber, Thomas	Nokia		
Huber, Thomas Comment Type E The index I should b	Comment Status X be avoided if at all possible, as it	t can be confused	d for the number 1.	Comment Type E In the second sente than the ER1 FEC of	Comment Status X nce, clarify "800GBASE-ER1 F code.	EC" is referring t	o the sublayer rather
SuggestedPomody							
Pick a different lette	er to use for this index.				E-ER1 FEC" to "800GBASE-EF he subclause.	1 FEC sublayer	". This should be
Pick a different lette	er to use for this index. Response Status O					R1 FEC sublayer	". This should be
Pick a different lette Proposed Response	Response Status O	L18	# 207	Change "800GBAS applied throughout Proposed Response	he subclause. Response Status O		
Pick a different lette Proposed Response Cl 184 SC 184.11	Response Status O	L18	# 207	Change "800GBAS applied throughout Proposed Response Cl 186 SC 186.2.	he subclause. <i>Response Status</i> O 1 <i>P</i> 582	R1 FEC sublayer	". This should be # 210
Pick a different lette Proposed Response Cl 184 SC 184.11 Huber, Thomas	Response Status O 1.4.1 P554	L18	# 207	Change "800GBAS applied throughout the Proposed Response Cl 186 SC 186.2. Huber, Thomas	he subclause. <i>Response Status</i> O 1 <i>P</i> 582 Nokia		
Pick a different lette Proposed Response Cl 184 SC 184.11 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T The signal presente grouping and deske	Response Status O 1.4.1 P 554 Nokia	ust have the prop tions are mandat	perties that the lane tory (even if some	Change "800GBAS applied throughout the Proposed Response Cl 186 SC 186.2. Huber, Thomas Comment Type E	he subclause. <i>Response Status</i> O 1 <i>P</i> 582	L19	# 210
Pick a different lette Proposed Response Cl 184 SC 184.11 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T The signal presente grouping and deske implementations ma	Response Status O 1.4.1 P 554 Nokia Comment Status X ed to the permutation function m ew functions provide, so the function	ust have the prop tions are mandat	perties that the lane tory (even if some	Change "800GBAS applied throughout the Proposed Response Cl 186 SC 186.2. Huber, Thomas Comment Type E The "8 lanes" shoul	he subclause. Response Status 0 1 P582 Nokia Comment Status X	L19	# 210
Pick a different lette Proposed Response Cl 184 SC 184.11 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T The signal presente grouping and deske implementations ma	Response Status O 1.4.1 P 554 Nokia Comment Status X ed to the permutation function m ew functions provide, so the func- ay not need to perform these fur	ust have the prop tions are mandat	perties that the lane tory (even if some	Change "800GBAS applied throughout the Proposed Response Cl 186 SC 186.2. Huber, Thomas Comment Type E The "8 lanes" shoul sublayers. SuggestedRemedy Change 8 lanes to "	he subclause. Response Status O 1 P582 Nokia Comment Status X d not be called lanes since they 8 ER1 FEC flows" throughout th	L 19 y are not an interf he paragraph and	# 210 Face between two d in the last paragraph
Proposed Response Cl 184 SC 184.11 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T The signal presente grouping and deske implementations ma SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O 1.4.1 P 554 Nokia Comment Status X ed to the permutation function m ew functions provide, so the func- ay not need to perform these fur	ust have the prop tions are mandat	perties that the lane tory (even if some	Change "800GBAS applied throughout the Proposed Response Cl 186 SC 186.2. Huber, Thomas Comment Type E The "8 lanes" shoul sublayers. SuggestedRemedy Change 8 lanes to "	he subclause. Response Status O 1 P582 Nokia Comment Status X d not be called lanes since they 8 ER1 FEC flows" throughout the is change also needs to be ma	L 19 y are not an interf he paragraph and	# 210

	,	,	, ,			5 1		
C/ 186 SC 186.2	1 P 582	L 23	# 211	C/ 186	SC 186.2.3.3	P 584	L 42	# 214
Huber, Thomas	Nokia			Huber, Th	omas	Nokia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
	een the FEC and PMA sublayers	is FEC codewor	ds, not symbols.			urpose of the pad could be n ea that is an integer number		dea is that the 5 pad
SuggestedRemedy Delete "as a strean paragraph. Proposed Response	n of symbols" from the end of the Response Status O	e last sentence of	the 3rd-to-last	intege	ge "This aligns the r number of 257-b	e encoded MAC frames to 25 bit positions within the payloa		
				frame Proposed				
C/ 186 SC 186.2	.1 <i>P</i> 582	L 30	# 212	Fioposed	Response	Response Status O		
Huber, Thomas	Nokia			C/ 186	SC 186.2.3.4.	1 P 586	L 28	# 215
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Huber, Th	omas	Nokia		
	een the FEC and PMA sublayers	is FEC codewor	ds, not digitized	Comment		Comment Status X		
DP16QAM symbol	5.					in G.709.1, but the values us	sed in it are in G	.709.6 (as indicated in
SuggestedRemedy					rmative text of thi			,
	d clause of the second sentence press accepts a stream of m-bit (Suggested	Remedy			
	A.indication primitive and forms a				e the note to say .6, and OIF-800Z	"Recommendation ITU_T G R-01.0"	.709.1, Recomm	nendation ITU-T
	-ER1 FEC synchronization proce digitized bitstreams representine			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			C/ 186	SC 186.2.3.4.	1 P586	L 34	# 216
				Huber, Th		Nokia		
C/ 186 SC 186.2	.2 P582	L 47	# 213	Comment		Comment Status X		
Huber, Thomas	Nokia					d in G.709.1 rather than G.70	J9.6	
Comment Type T The text here says	Comment Status X the UNITDATA parameter is a sy	ymbol, whereas '	186.3.2 says it is FEC	Suggested Chang	<i>IRemedy</i> ge G.709.6 to G.7	09.1.		
codewords				Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy								
sense to describe t	udes the Gray coding and symbo the service interface to the PMA a x_codeword and rx_codeword, re	as FEC codeword						
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 216

Page 40 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:53 PM

Cl 186	SC 186.2.3.5	5 P 588	L14	# 217	C/ 178B	SC 178	8B.2	P 786	L18	# 220
Huber, Tł	iomas	Nokia			Huber, Tho	mas		Nokia		
Commen	Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment T	Гуре Т	-	Comment Status X		
The r	ion-zero values of	MAP are bytes 6 and 7 of th	e first row, not 6	and 8				onfusing. ILT has two aspect		
Suggeste	dRemedy							tartup behavior. These need inuous exchange of fixed-len		
Chan	ge "byte 8" to "byt	e 7"			accurat	te - that m	hay be v	what happens during the train		
Proposed	Response	Response Status 0					e traini	ng is completed.		
					Suggested					
C/ 186	SC 186.2.4.6	7 P 596	L 40	# 218	ILT des		set of p	rocesses that serve two purp		
Huber, Th	omas	Nokia						on individual ISLs, and coord The individual link training is		
Commen		Comment Status X						etween peer interfaces of an		
	51	MAP fields are fixed values	when connected	to an 800GBASE-ER1				ce of the ISL. Path start-up is		
		have different values if conne						et of ISLs that exist between	the path endpoi	nis.
		receiver probably should veri ontain and not demap the sig		ain the fixed values	Proposed R	kesponse		Response Status O		
	dRemedy		, ,							
••	-	indicate that the client is not	t demapped if the	e GID/IID/MAP	C/ 178B	SC 178	8B.3	P 786	L 31	# 221
		the values that are expected			Huber, Tho	mas		Nokia		
	and multiplex strue	a stable and correct value fo cture fields)	r these fields (as	well as the payload	Comment T	Гуре Е		Comment Status X		
	Response	Response Status O			'AUI bo	ttom com	ponent	mponent in Annex 178B uses , whlie related text in 45.2.1.2 The terms should be consist	269 uses 'upper	AUI component' and
C/ 186	SC 186.3.2	P 599	L 40	# 219	Suggested					
Huber, Th	iomas	Nokia						better than upper and bottom ent' and 'lower AUI componer		efinition in 178B.3 to
Common	Туре Е	Comment Status X			Proposed R	Response		Response Status O		
Comment	فيعمرنا فتعمما والمعاد والا	he service interface has a la	rae number of ac	ditional subheadings						

SuggestedRemedy

Revise the clause to remove all the subheadings, most of which have only one or two sentences in them. Align the overall structure with what is in 186.2.2.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 178B SC 178B.3	P 786	L34	# 222	C/ 178	B SC 17	о Б .Э		P 787	L37	# 225
Huber, Thomas	Nokia			Huber,	Thomas		N	lokia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comm	nt Type E	Ξ	Comment Sta	atus X		
sublayers' in the same the definition should be	somewhat awkward. The two sense that a pair of PMAs wi consistent as to whether the ests that the ISL is either the um.	thin a PHY imple sublayers are o	ementation are. Als	lso, pro e firs pair su	tocol depend , and to have clauses. Fu	ls on the p e all the va rther, 178	per-ILS training arious pieces o B.5.1 seems t	g protocol, so of that in one to be about t	b it would be be subclause rath he individual ISI	mal. The path start-up tter to introduce that her than spread across L training rather than the ndividual ISL training
SuggestedRemedy				Sugge	tedRemedy					
Change the text to read The xAUI-n between a sublayers.	l: pair of adjacent PMA sublaye	ers, or the MDI b	etween a pair of PN	MD bra 17	ckets and are B.5 ISL train	e not inten iing [new	nded to be incl heading]	luded in the	ive to current cl text of the docu	auses in square ment]:
Proposed Response	Response Status O			17 17	B.5.1.1 Trair B.5.1.2 Trair	ning retime ning xMII E	or [curent 178 ers [current 17 Extenders [cur structure [curr	78B.5.2] rrent 178B,5	3]	
C/ 178B SC 178B.4	P 786	L 52	# 223	17	B.5.3 Contro	ol field stru	ucture [curernt	178B.7]		
Huber, Thomas	Malia				B 5 / Statuc	field struc	cture [current	178B.8]		
nuber, momas	Nokia						ock [current 17	78R 91		
Comment Type T The second paragraph	Comment Status X is confusing. The text begins			17 17 Ide 17	B.5.5 Trainn B.5.6 Polarit B.5.7 Equali	g frame lo y detectio zation cor	ock [current 17 on and correctintrol [current 1	ion [current 78B.11]	178B.10]	
Comment Type T The second paragraph one or two physically in However, an end-to-en in each Physical Layer SuggestedRemedy If this paragraph was n	Comment Status X	cally AUI or PME Id include as ma I between the Pl) components." iny as 5 ISLs: two A MDs.	17 17 17 17 17 AUIs 17 17 17 17	B.5.5 Trainn B.5.6 Polarit B.5.7 Equali B.5.8 Trainir B.5.9 Hands B.6 Path sta B.7 State dia	g frame lo y detectio zation cor ng pattren hake timir rt-up proto agrams [co ment varia	n and correctintrol [current 1 setting [current 1 ng [current 17 ocol [current 1 urrent 178B.14 ables [current	ion [current 78B.11] nt 178B.12] 78B.13] 78B.5, witho 4]		es included above]
Comment Type T The second paragraph one or two physically in However, an end-to-en in each Physical Layer SuggestedRemedy If this paragraph was n Delete the paragraph.	Comment Status X is confusing. The text begins istantiated interfaces, specific d path between two PCS cou implementation, plus the MD ot present, the information in	cally AUI or PME Id include as ma I between the Pl) components." iny as 5 ISLs: two A MDs.	17 17 17 17 17 AUIs 17 17 17 17	B.5.5 Trainn B.5.6 Polarit B.5.7 Equali: B.5.8 Trainir B.5.9 Hands B.6 Path sta B.7 State dia B.8 Manage	g frame lo y detectio zation cor ng pattren hake timir rt-up proto agrams [co ment varia urrent 178	n and correctintrol [current 1 setting [current 1 ng [current 17 ocol [current 1 urrent 178B.14 ables [current	ion [current 78B.11] nt 178B.12] 78B.13] 78B.5, witho 4] 178B.15]		es included above]
Comment Type T The second paragraph one or two physically in However, an end-to-en in each Physical Layer SuggestedRemedy If this paragraph was n Delete the paragraph.	Comment Status X is confusing. The text begins istantiated interfaces, specific d path between two PCS cou implementation, plus the MD	cally AUI or PME Id include as ma I between the Pl) components." iny as 5 ISLs: two A MDs.	17 17 17 17 17 AUIs 17 17 17 17	B.5.5 Trainn B.5.6 Polarit B.5.7 Equali B.5.8 Trainir B.5.9 Hands B.6 Path sta B.7 State dia B.8 Manage B.9 PICS [cu	g frame lo y detectio zation cor ng pattren hake timir rt-up proto agrams [co ment varia urrent 178	n and correcti htrol [current 1 setting [current ng [current 17 pocol [current 1 urrent 178B.14 ables [current B.16]	ion [current 78B.11] nt 178B.12] 78B.13] 78B.5, witho 4] 178B.15]		es included above]
Comment Type T The second paragraph one or two physically in However, an end-to-en in each Physical Layer SuggestedRemedy If this paragraph was n Delete the paragraph. Proposed Response	Comment Status X is confusing. The text begins istantiated interfaces, specific d path between two PCS cou implementation, plus the MD ot present, the information in	cally AUI or PME Id include as ma I between the Pl) components." iny as 5 ISLs: two A MDs.	17 17 17 17 17 AUIs 17 17 17 17	B.5.5 Trainn B.5.6 Polarit B.5.7 Equali B.5.8 Trainir B.5.9 Hands B.6 Path sta B.7 State dia B.8 Manage B.9 PICS [cu	g frame lo y detectio zation cor ng pattren hake timir rt-up proto agrams [co ment varia urrent 178	n and correcti htrol [current 1 setting [current ng [current 17 pocol [current 1 urrent 178B.14 ables [current B.16]	ion [current 78B.11] nt 178B.12] 78B.13] 78B.5, witho 4] 178B.15]		es included above]
Comment Type T The second paragraph one or two physically in However, an end-to-en in each Physical Layer SuggestedRemedy If this paragraph was n Delete the paragraph. Proposed Response	Comment Status X is confusing. The text begins istantiated interfaces, specific d path between two PCS cou implementation, plus the MD ot present, the information in Response Status O	cally AUI or PME Id include as ma I between the PI the rest of the c) components." iny as 5 ISLs: two <i>I</i> MDs. lause is still clear.	17 17 17 17 17 AUIs 17 17 17 17	B.5.5 Trainn B.5.6 Polarit B.5.7 Equali B.5.8 Trainir B.5.9 Hands B.6 Path sta B.7 State dia B.8 Manage B.9 PICS [cu	g frame lo y detectio zation cor ng pattren hake timir rt-up proto agrams [co ment varia urrent 178	n and correcti htrol [current 1 setting [current ng [current 17 pocol [current 1 urrent 178B.14 ables [current B.16]	ion [current 78B.11] nt 178B.12] 78B.13] 78B.5, witho 4] 178B.15]		es included above]
Comment Type T The second paragraph one or two physically in However, an end-to-en in each Physical Layer SuggestedRemedy If this paragraph was n Delete the paragraph. Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.4 Huber, Thomas	Comment Status X is confusing. The text begins istantiated interfaces, specific d path between two PCS cou implementation, plus the MD ot present, the information in <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 787	cally AUI or PME Id include as ma I between the PI the rest of the c) components." iny as 5 ISLs: two <i>I</i> MDs. lause is still clear.	17 17 17 17 17 AUIs 17 17 17 17	B.5.5 Trainn B.5.6 Polarit B.5.7 Equali B.5.8 Trainir B.5.9 Hands B.6 Path sta B.7 State dia B.8 Manage B.9 PICS [cu	g frame lo y detectio zation cor ng pattren hake timir rt-up proto agrams [co ment varia urrent 178	n and correcti htrol [current 1 setting [current ng [current 17 pocol [current 1 urrent 178B.14 ables [current B.16]	ion [current 78B.11] nt 178B.12] 78B.13] 78B.5, witho 4] 178B.15]		es included above]
Comment Type T The second paragraph one or two physically in However, an end-to-en in each Physical Layer SuggestedRemedy If this paragraph was n Delete the paragraph. Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.4 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T While it's true that there	Comment Status X is confusing. The text begins istantiated interfaces, specific d path between two PCS cou implementation, plus the MD ot present, the information in Response Status O P787 Nokia	cally AUI or PME Id include as ma I between the Pl the rest of the c <i>L</i> 5 unctions", this la	0 components." iny as 5 ISLs: two <i>I</i> MDs. lause is still clear. # 224	17 17 17 17 17 AUIS 17 17 17 17 <i>Propos</i>	B.5.5 Trainn B.5.6 Polarit B.5.7 Equali B.5.8 Trainir B.5.9 Hands B.6 Path sta B.7 State dia B.8 Manage B.9 PICS [cu	g frame lo y detectio zation cor ng pattren hake timir rt-up proto agrams [co ment varia urrent 178	n and correcti htrol [current 1 setting [current ng [current 17 pocol [current 1 urrent 178B.14 ables [current B.16]	ion [current 78B.11] nt 178B.12] 78B.13] 78B.5, witho 4] 178B.15]		es included above]
Comment Type T The second paragraph one or two physically in However, an end-to-en in each Physical Layer SuggestedRemedy If this paragraph was n Delete the paragraph. Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.4 Huber, Thomas Comment Type T While it's true that there For an n lane interface SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X is confusing. The text begins istantiated interfaces, specific d path between two PCS cou implementation, plus the MD ot present, the information in Response Status O P787 Nokia Comment Status X e are "one or more per-lane for	cally AUI or PME Id include as ma I between the Pl the rest of the c <i>L</i> 5 unctions", this la unctions.	0 components." Iny as 5 ISLs: two <i>I</i> MDs. lause is still clear. # <u>224</u> nguage is misleadi	17 17 17 AUIS 17 17 17 17 17 <i>Propos</i>	B.5.5 Trainn B.5.6 Polarit B.5.7 Equali B.5.8 Trainir B.5.9 Hands B.6 Path sta B.7 State dia B.8 Manage B.9 PICS [cu	g frame lo y detectio zation cor ng pattren hake timir rt-up proto agrams [co ment varia urrent 178	n and correcti htrol [current 1 setting [current ng [current 17 pocol [current 1 urrent 178B.14 ables [current B.16]	ion [current 78B.11] nt 178B.12] 78B.13] 78B.5, witho 4] 178B.15]		es included above]

C/ 178B	SC 178B.5	P 787	L 43	# 226
Huber, Thor	nas	Nokia		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The bullet list that attempts to explain how path start-up works is not succeeding. It is not clear if "ready to send" is related to the local_rts and remote_rts indications or if it is something different. It seems like it must be something different, since the third bullet says you can only send local_rts or remote_rts across an ISL that is ready to send. The last two bullets seem to introduce a notion of "device" that is undefined. The concept of an ISL includes a physical instantiation of an AUI or a medium, so the intended meaning of 'device' is reasonably clear (i.e., the endpoint of an ISL), but it would be better to avoid using 'devices' in the description and focus on ISLs and their endpoints.

SuggestedRemedy

The intended behavior is not really clear, so it's hard to provide a specific remedy. It think the intention is that local_rts originates at the A end PCS and traverses all sublayers and ISLs until it reaches the Z end PCS. Upon receiving local_rts, the Z end PCS signals remote_rts to the A end PCS. (and of course vice versa for Z-->A). So local_rts makes its way down the stack in one system, across the medium, and up the stack in the peer system. In order for local_rts (or remote_rts) to go across an ISL, that ISL must be in a 'ready to send' condition that has nothing to do with the 'local_rts' or 'remote_rts' variables, but instead depends on ILT (for ISLs that support ILT) or some other mechanism (for those that don't support ILT) to determine if the ISL is 'ready to send'. If that is correct, write text accordingly to explain this, and modify the terminology or provide better definitions so that it's clear that "ISL ready to send" is not the same thing as local_rts or remote_rts. If the intended behavior is something else, rewrite the text to be more clear about what is intended.

Proposed Response Re	ponse Status O
----------------------	-----------------------

C/ 178B	SC 178B.5.1
Huber, Tho	mas

P**788** Nokia

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Interface" is vague. I think this clause is about lanes in an ISL.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "interface" with something more specific and clear. "ISL endpoint" and "ISL lane" could be used as appropriate throughout the clause.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 178B SC 178B.5.1	P 788	L15	# 228
Huber, Thomas	Nokia		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This clause appears to be about the process for training each lane of an ISL, so it's not clear why local_rts or remote_rts belong here (since they are about the end-to-end path - although the state diagrams clause suggests that each ISL maybe has its own local_rts and remote_rts - but that would mean that local_rts and remote_rts are not signals that propagate from PCS to PCS). While the intended meaning of 'device' is clear, it would be better to describe the protocol in terms of ISLs and the endpoints of ISLs.

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify what condition it is that causes the propagation_timer to be started... presumably it's not related to local_rts and remote_rts (or if it is, the definitions of local_rts and remote_rts need to be modified to make it clear that they apply to each lane of each ISL, not just to PCS-to-PCS communication).

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 178B	SC 1	78B.6.2	P 791	L 7	# 229
Huber, Tho	mas		Nokia		
Commont 7	Tuno	E	Commont Status V		

Comment Type E Comment Status X

While it is probably not likely that any reader of this annex would get confused, "E1" is of course the name of the European PDH frame structure, so it might be better to avoid using that name. Further, the last sentence "Each interface using ILT shall identify which format is relevant for it" reads too much like a requirement that would show up in a PICS, but that is clearly not what is intended here (the intent being that electrical PHYs use the E format and optical PHYs use the O format).

SuggestedRemedy

The formats E1 and O1 are really about electrical or optical 200G/lane signaling. Maybe it would be better to refer to them that way (i.e., replace "E1" with "electrical 200G/lane" and "O1" with "optical 200G/lane". With that change, the last sentence could be deleted. If the change is made, it should be applied throughout the annex, and potentially in other clauses in the document that may refer to the frame names..

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

L9

227

C/ 178B SC 178B.7	P 795	L 4	# 230	C/ 116	SC 116.1.4	P148	L1	# 232
luber, Thomas	Nokia			Huber, The	omas	Nokia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре т	Comment Status X		
column for the electrical easier for the reader to s	nbine tables 178B-2 and 17 interfaces and one for the see that the formats are the	optical interfaces same, except th	. That would make it at on optical links	200G/		00G/lane PHYs and AUIs. 178 s as Required. As such, it sh		
some of the fields are no 178B.8	ot used. The same applies t	o tables 178B-4	and 178B-5 in clause	Suggested	lRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy						show that 178B is conditional as so show that 178B is mand		
Change the heading of t "Optical interfaces, and Delete Table 178B-3	'Control field structure for 2 he 3rd column to "Electrica populate it with the informa anges in clause 178B.8 for 1	l interfaces". Ad tion that is in Tat	d a fourth column titled ble 178B-3.	manda may b	atory, 116-5 to sh e older 200G and al use of the 200	andatory, 116-4 to show it as low it as conditional, and 116- d 400G PMD clauses that also G/lane AUIs and conditional u <i>Response Status</i> 0	-5a to show it a o need to be up	s mandatory. There
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Topoood	100001100			
				C/ 169	SC 169.1.4	P187	L1	# 233
C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2	.1 <i>P</i> 804	L18	# 231	Huber, The	omas	Nokia		
luber, Thomas	Nokia			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
provided. In what circum	Comment Status X wility to enable/disable ILT (v instance would it be necessar	ry or desirable for	or ILT to be turned off	200G/		00G/lane PHYs and AUIs. 178 as as Required. As such, it sh		
for any interface that ca	n support it? Providing this	ability complicat	es the feature (there	Suggester				

SuggestedRemedy

Update table 169-2 to show 178B as mandatory for the KR4 and CR4 PHYs and conditional for the KR8/CR8. Update table 169-3 to show 178B as mandatory for xR4 (including FR4-500) and conditional for xR8. Update table 169-3a to include 178B as conditional for all PHYs. It may be necessary to also update the PMD clauses that were updated in 802.3df (for the 800GBASE-xR8 PHYs) to show the new AUIs as optional and ILT as conditional

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

are multiple places where the value of a variable depends on whether mr_training_enable

is true or false) and creates the possibility of misconfiguration between two systems, or

Reconsider the ability to disable ILT via management configuration.

Response Status 0

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

between a host and a module, complicating the process of bringing up end-to-end paths.

C/ 174 SC 174.1.4 P248 L1 # 234	C/ 178 SC 178.19 P372 L7 # 236
luber, Thomas Nokia	Mellitz, Richard Samtec
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
ILT is mandatory for 200G/lane PHYs and AUIs. 178B appears in the tables in the PMD	Adjust COM voltage to 46.25 ohms measurement reference.
clauses as Required. As such, it should appear in the tables in the introduction as well.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Change
Update tables 174-2 and 174-3 to include 178B as conditional for all PMDs	A_vto 0.415 A feto 0.415
Proposed Response Response Status O	A_neto 0.608
	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 178A SC 178A P785 L19 # 235	
Aellitz, Richard Samtec	C/ 179 SC 179.11.7.1 P416 L27 # 237
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Mellitz, Richard Samtec
Re-normalization of s-parameter is not defined in the document	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
SuggestedRemedy	Adjust COM voltage to 46.25 ohms measurement reference.
The conversion of S s-parameter with reference Z_0 to S' s-parameter with reference Z_1 is computed as follows: S'= $A^{-1} (I-S^{rho})^{-1} (S-rho)^{A}$ where: $rho = (Z_1-Z_0)/(Z_1+Z_0)$	SuggestedRemedy Change A_vto 0.415 A_feto 0.415 A_neto 0.609
$A= (Z_1+Z_0)/sqrt(Z_1*Z_0)$ S is the original s-parameter matrix with Z_0 as the original diagonal impedance matrix where each diagonal entry is the impedance of that port. S' is the new s-parameter matrix with Z_1 as the new diagonal impedance matrix where	Proposed Response Response Status O
each diagonal entry is the impedance of that port	C/ 176C SC 176C.7.1 P733 L10 # 238
Proposed Response Response Status O	Mellitz, Richard Samtec
	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
	Adjust COM voltage to 46.25 ohms measurement reference.
	SuggestedRemedy Change A_vto 0.415 A_feto 0.415 A_neto 0.610

C/ 176D SC 176D.7.2	P 750	L 23	# 239	Cl 186	SC 186.2.3.	5.10	P 590	L14	# 242
fellitz, Richard	Samtec			Gorshe, Ste	ve		Microchip Teo	chnology	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	/pe TR	Comme	ent Status X		
Adjust COM voltage to SuggestedRemedy Change A_vto 0.415 A_feto 0.415 A_neto 0.611	46.25 ohms measurement re	eference.		The GM shown ii word. S GMP ma Figure 1	P word size (n Table 186-1 ince each of t apping is perf 86-7.	ranularity) in the first blo he 8 lanes a	n each 800GBASE ck of each 800GB re mapped into th	E-ER1 frame is on ASE-ER1 frame eir own 800GBAS	ea in Figure 186-7? ne 257-bit block. As will be a GMP stuff SE-ER1 frame, and lock in the first row o
Proposed Response	Response Status O				mment is cor stuff block.				the payload area with n should be added to
7 172 SC 172	P 236	LO	# 240	Proposed R	esponse	Respons	se Status O		
Cox, Ian	Broadcom					,			
<i>comment Type</i> E The header on pages 2	Comment Status X 236-243 reads P802.3df and	not dj.		C/ 178A	SC 178A		P 777	L 26	# 243
SuggestedRemedy Change the header from	m 802.3df to 802.3di			Shakiba, Ho Comment Ty		Comme	Huawei Techr ent Status X	nologies Canada	
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Add qua	ntization nois	e.			
				SuggestedR Add a ne	,	′8A.1.7.6 Qu	antization noise".	Please refer to s	lides 3-5 of the
C/ 177 SC 177.1	P 327	L11	# 241	•	, 0	ent for the p	roposed sub-sect	ion content and te	ext.
Gorshe, Steve	Microchip Teo	hnology		Proposed R	esponse	Respons	se Status O		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X								
	s in this figure. It is defined in this figure and others.	n some figures a	s meaning "Signal	CI 178A	SC 178A.1.	7	P 774	L 50	# 244
uggestedRemedy				Shakiba, Ho	ssein		Huawei Techr	nologies Canada	
Since SIL is used in mu to the abbreviation list	utliple figures without consist n clause 1.5	ent definition, I re	ecommend adding SIL		, g first comme		ent Status X 78A-7 should show	v addition of the o	quantization noise af
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			the sam	pler.				
				SuggestedR					
					ntization nois roposed char		e. Please refer to	slide 6 of the acc	companying docume
				Proposed R		D	se Status O		

C/ 178A SC 178A.1	.7 P775	L 2	# 245	C/ 178A SC 178A.1.	.7 P775	L15	# 248
Shakiba, Hossein	Huawei Techr	nologies Canada		Shakiba, Hossein	Huawei Tech	hnologies Canada	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
Following first comm	ent, Table 178A-9 should inclue	de quantization n	oise parameters.	Following first commo	ent, "sampler" should be repla	aced with "quantize	er".
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
•	n noise parameters to the table. ment for the proposed change.	Please refer to s	lide 7 of the	Change "sampler" to the proposed change	"quantizer". Please refer to sl	lide 9 of the accon	npanying document fo
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 178A SC 178A.1	.7 P775	L19	# 246	CI 178A SC 178A.1.	8.1 P777	L 43	# 249
Shakiba, Hossein	Huawei Techr	nologies Canada		Shakiba, Hossein	Huawei Tech	hnologies Canada	
Comment Type TR Following first comm SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ent, Equation (178A-14) should	l include quantiza	ation noise PSD.	Comment Type TR Following first comme SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ent, "sampler" should be repla	aced with "quantize	er".
	so PSD to the equation and its	description to the	e descriptions. Please	,	"quantizer". Please refer to sl	lide 9 of the accor	
	accompanying document for the			the proposed change			npanying document fo
				the proposed change Proposed Response	. Response Status O		npanying document to
refer to slide 8 of the Proposed Response	e accompanying document for the Response Status O			1 1 5	Response Status O	L18	# 250
refer to slide 8 of the Proposed Response Cl 178A SC 178A.1	e accompanying document for the Response Status O .	ne proposed char	nge.	Proposed Response	Response Status O 8.1 P778		# 250
refer to slide 8 of the Proposed Response C/ 178A SC 178A.1 Shakiba, Hossein	e accompanying document for the Response Status O .	L 32	nge.	Proposed Response	Response Status O 8.1 P778	L18	# 250
refer to slide 8 of the Proposed Response Cl 178A SC 178A.1 Shakiba, Hossein Comment Type TR Following first comm	accompanying document for the Response Status O. .7 P774 Huawei Techr	L 32 Lologies Canada	# 2 <u>47</u>	Proposed Response Cl 178A SC 178A.1. Shakiba, Hossein Comment Type TR Following first comme	Response Status O 8.1 P778 Huawei Tech	L 18 hnologies Canada	# 250
refer to slide 8 of the Proposed Response Cl 178A SC 178A.1 Shakiba, Hossein Comment Type TR Following first comm SuggestedRemedy	e accompanying document for the <i>Response Status</i> O .7 <i>P</i> 774 Huawei Techr <i>Comment Status</i> X tent, "sampler" should be replace o "quantizer". Please refer to slice	<i>L</i> 32 nologies Canada	# 247	Proposed Response Cl 178A SC 178A.1. Shakiba, Hossein Comment Type TR Following first comment applied to the feed-for SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O 8.1 P778 Huawei Tech Comment Status X ent, quantization noise should	L 18 hnologies Canada I be added before	# 250

C/ 178A SC 178A.1.9.3 P782 L17	# 251	C/ 178 SC 178.1	0.1	P 372	L 43	# 254
Shakiba, Hossein Huawei Technologies Can	ada	Shakiba, Hossein	H	uawei Technolog	gies Canada	
Comment Type TR Comment Status X		Comment Type TR	Comment Sta	atus X		
Following first comment, more text should be added to describe the probability density function of the quantization noise.	the procedure for deriving	Following first comr 178-13 is needed.	ment, an updated val	lue for One-side	d noise spec	tral density in Table
SuggestedRemedy		SuggestedRemedy				
Add the suggested text in slides 11-12 of the accompanying docProposed ResponseResponse StatusO	ument starting from line 17.	refer to slide 15 of t	noise spectral densit he accompanying do 3dj_elec_01_250626	ocument for the		
		Proposed Response	Response Stat	tus O		
C/ 178A SC 178A.1.9.3 P782 L21	# 252					
hakiba, Hossein Huawei Technologies Can	ada	C/ 178 SC 178.1	0.1	P 372	L 1	# 255
Comment Type TR Comment Status X		Shakiba, Hossein	H	uawei Technolog	gies Canada	
	ntization noise PSD	o (T	Commont Sto	otus V		
Following first comment, Equation (178A-36) should include quart	nuzation noise i SD:	Comment Type TR	Comment Sta			
					should be ad	ded to Table 178-13.
SuggestedRemedy Add quantization noise PSD to the equation. Please refer to slide					should be ac	ded to Table 178-13.
SuggestedRemedy Add quantization noise PSD to the equation. Please refer to slide document for the proposed change.		Following first com SuggestedRemedy Add two quantization slide 15 of the acco	ment, quantization no on noise parameters o ompanying document	with suggested vit	values to the	ded to Table 178-13. table. Please refer to
SuggestedRemedy Add quantization noise PSD to the equation. Please refer to slide document for the proposed change. Proposed Response Response Status O	e 13 of the accompanying	Following first com SuggestedRemedy Add two quantization slide 15 of the acco	ment, quantization no	with suggested v t for the propose 6.pdf.	values to the	
SuggestedRemedy Add quantization noise PSD to the equation. Please refer to slide document for the proposed change. Proposed Response Response Status C/ 178A SC 178A.1.10 P783 L19	# 253	Following first com SuggestedRemedy Add two quantization slide 15 of the accord Also, see shakiba_i	ment, quantization no on noise parameters o ompanying document 3dj_elec_01_250626	with suggested v t for the propose 6.pdf.	values to the	
PuggestedRemedy Add quantization noise PSD to the equation. Please refer to slide document for the proposed change. Proposed Response Response Status C/ 178A SC 178A.1.10 P783 L19 hakiba, Hossein Huawei Technologies Can	# 253	Following first com SuggestedRemedy Add two quantization slide 15 of the accord Also, see shakiba_i	ment, quantization no on noise parameters o ompanying document 3dj_elec_01_250626 <i>Response Sta</i> t	with suggested v t for the propose 6.pdf.	values to the	
uggestedRemedy Add quantization noise PSD to the equation. Please refer to slide document for the proposed change. roposed Response Response Status V 178A SC 178A.1.10 P783 L 19 hakiba, Hossein Huawei Technologies Can. omment Type TR Following first comment, quantization noise should be added bef	# 13 of the accompanying # 1253	Following first com SuggestedRemedy Add two quantization slide 15 of the acco Also, see shakiba_ Proposed Response	ment, quantization no on noise parameters of ompanying document 3dj_elec_01_250626 <i>Response Stat</i> 1.7.1	with suggested of t for the propose p.pdf. tus O	values to the ed change.	table. Please refer to
SuggestedRemedy Add quantization noise PSD to the equation. Please refer to slide document for the proposed change. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 178A SC 178A.1.10 P783 L 19 hakiba, Hossein Huawei Technologies Can Comment Type TR Comment Status X	# 13 of the accompanying # 1253	Following first com SuggestedRemedy Add two quantization slide 15 of the accord Also, see shakiba Proposed Response Cl 179 SC 179.1	ment, quantization no on noise parameters of ompanying document 3dj_elec_01_250626 <i>Response Stat</i> 1.7.1	with suggested with suggested with suggested with suggested with the propose support. The propose support of the p	values to the ed change.	table. Please refer to
SuggestedRemedy Add quantization noise PSD to the equation. Please refer to slide document for the proposed change. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 178A SC 178A.1.10 P 783 L 19 Shakiba, Hossein Huawei Technologies Can Comment Type TR Comment Status X Following first comment, quantization noise should be added bef applied to the feed-forward filter in Figure 178A-10. SuggestedRemedy Add quantization noise to the figure. Please refer to slide 14 of th	# 253 ada	Following first com SuggestedRemedy Add two quantization slide 15 of the accord Also, see shakiba_ Proposed Response Cl 179 SC 179.1 Shakiba, Hossein Comment Type TR	ment, quantization no on noise parameters of ompanying document 3dj_elec_01_250626 <i>Response Stat</i> 1.7.1	vith suggested vith suggested vith suggested vith suggested vither propose spdf. <i>intus</i> O P418 uawei Technologiatus X	values to the ed change.	table. Please refer to
SuggestedRemedy Add quantization noise PSD to the equation. Please refer to slide document for the proposed change. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 178A SC 178A.1.10 P783 L 19 hakiba, Hossein Huawei Technologies Can Comment Type TR Comment Status X Following first comment, quantization noise should be added bef applied to the feed-forward filter in Figure 178A-10. SuggestedRemedy Add quantization noise to the figure. Please refer to slide 14 of th document for the proposed change.	# 253 ada	Following first com SuggestedRemedy Add two quantization slide 15 of the accord Also, see shakiba_ Proposed Response Cl 179 SC 179.1 Shakiba, Hossein Comment Type TR Following first com	ment, quantization no mpanying document 3dj_elec_01_250626 <i>Response Stat</i> 1.7.1 Hi <i>Comment Sta</i>	vith suggested vith suggested vith suggested vith suggested vither propose spdf. <i>intus</i> O P418 uawei Technologiatus X	values to the ed change.	table. Please refer to
SuggestedRemedy Add quantization noise PSD to the equation. Please refer to slide document for the proposed change. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178A SC 178A.1.10 P 783 L 19 Shakiba, Hossein Huawei Technologies Can Comment Type TR Comment Status X Following first comment, quantization noise should be added bef applied to the feed-forward filter in Figure 178A-10. SuggestedRemedy Add quantization noise to the figure. Please refer to slide 14 of th	# 253 ada	Following first com SuggestedRemedy Add two quantization slide 15 of the accondition Also, see shakiba Proposed Response Cl 179 SC 179.1 Shakiba, Hossein Comment Type TR Following first common 179-18 is needed. SuggestedRemedy Change One-sided Please refer to slide	ment, quantization no on noise parameters of ompanying document 3dj_elec_01_250626 <i>Response Stat</i> 1.7.1 <i>Comment Sta</i> ment, an updated val	bise parameters with suggested of t for the propose b.pdf. <i>Itus</i> O P418 uawei Technolog <i>atus</i> X lue for One-sided ty parameter val nying document	values to the ed change. <i>L</i> 18 ogies Canada ed noise spect	table. Please refer to # 256 tral density in Table le (page 418, line 18).

C/ 179 SC 179.11.7.	1 P417	L21	# 057	C/ 176D S	C 176D.7.1	P 751	1.00	# 000	
			# 257				L23	# 260	
Shakiba, Hossein		nologies Canada		Shakiba, Hosse			nologies Canada		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type		Comment Status X			
Following first commen SuggestedRemedy	t, quantization noise parame	ters should be add	led to Table 179-18.	Following fi 176D-7 is r		it, an updated value for One	-sided noise spec	tral density in Table	e
	ning parameters with sugges	tod voluce to the t	oble. Diagon refer to	SuggestedRem	edy				
slide 16 of the accompa Also, see shakiba_3dj_			able. Please feler to	Please refe	r to slide 18	se spectral density in Table of the accompanying docur elec_01_250626.pdf.		, ,	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Resp		Response Status O			
C/ 176C SC 176C.7.1	P 733	L 46	# 258						
Shakiba, Hossein		nologies Canada		C/ 176D S	C 176D.7.1	P 750	L17	# 261	-
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	lologics Canada		Shakiba, Hosse	ein	Huawei Tech	nologies Canada		
51	t, an updated value for One-	sided noise spectr	al density in Table	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X			
176C-8 is needed.				Following fi	rst commer	t, quantization noise parame	eters should be ad	ded to Table 176D	J-7.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRem	edy				
	se spectral density paramete accompanying document for elec_01_250626.pdf.			slide 18 of	the accomp	oise parameters with sugge: anying document for the pro _elec_01_250626.pdf.		table. Please refer	r to
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status O			
C/ 176C SC 176C.7.1	P 733	L 4	# 259						
Shakiba, Hossein	Huawei Techi	nologies Canada							
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	-							
Following first commen	t, quantization noise parame	ters should be add	led to Table 176C-8.						
SuggestedRemedy									
	pise parameters with sugges anying document for the prop elec_01_250626.pdf.		able. Please refer to						

C/ 178A SC 178A.1.10.1	P 784	L 36	# 262	C/ 176B	SC 176B	P 699	L12	# 263
Shakiba, Hossein	Huawei Techr	nologies Canada	ı	Ofelt, David	ł	Juniper Netw	orks	
Comment Type TR Col	mment Status X			Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status X		
Proper handling of negative M hoc and approved (shakiba_3 Pointed out by Adee during th this on the draft. This comme how a possible negative delta	dj_COM_02_250408.p ne discussions, I took to nt is to add a statemer	odf). he action to look ht to this section	at the implication of	This lea 50Gb/s PMD is	ads to interope s SERDES) into s plugged into a	ppm tolerance of the 200Gb/ rability issues when plugging a a new 200Gb/s SERDES-ba n older box using 25Gb/s or 5 erates data at 100ppm and th	an older PMD (g sed receiver or v 60Gb/s SERDES	enerated with 25Gb/s or when a new 802.3dj 6 due to the fact one

SuggestedRemedy

Add a new paragraph at the end of this section with the following content:

"Due to the addition of this additional receiver noise when calculating the advantage of the MLSD-based receiver, there may be occasional cases where the DFE-based receiver performs better. In these cases, the MLSD function should be disabled. This can be done by ignoring the last term in Equation (178A-38) and setting it to zero and setting COM to COM_DFE. This process should also be applied if for any other reason, such as approximations in math and calculations, similar cases are encountered."

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

We have changed the ppm tolerance of the 200Gb/s SERDES to be 50ppm in all cases. This leads to interoperability issues when plugging an older PMD (generated with 25Gb/s or 50Gb/s SERDES) into a new 200Gb/s SERDES-based receiver or when a new 802.3dj PMD is plugged into an older box using 25Gb/s or 50Gb/s SERDES due to the fact one end of those links generates data at 100ppm and the receive side can only handle 50ppm. The solution is to insert an XS to do rate matching. At the moment, I believe this interop issue is not called out anywhere in the draft. I'd like to add in something in the draft to bring the reader's attention to the fact that this issue exists. Adding the required XS also will cause PTP accuracy to suffer. Note that this was not an issue in the 100Gb/s SERDES because they were specified to tolerate 100pm at the receiver, so there were no multi-generational interop issues. This is also not a problem when 100Gb/s source and 200Gb/s sourced PMDs are connected because the 100Gb/s SERDES are specified to have transmitters that are 50ppm.

SuggestedRemedy

Unhelpfully, I don't have fully worked out edit, but will be happy to work with the editorial team in finding a solution. One approach would be to add two examples in clause 176B showing the stack with an included XS for an existing 100ppm-based PMD plugged into a new 200Gb/s-based host and a new 200Gb/s sourced PMD plugged into an older system. We should also include a comment that PTP performance will be impacte due to the requirement for that XS to add or delete idles to match the rates. Another apporach would be to add a comment to all the places that 50ppm receiver tolerance is specified, but there are a lot of those and the way 176B is structured seems to lend itself well to documenting this issue.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 186	SC	186.2.3.8	P 591	L 52	# 264
Wang, Xue	ebo		Huawei		
Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status X		
		ould be cha T G709.6.	anged to "OFBG84" as OFI	BG is the abbrev	iation of OFEC block
Suggested	Remed	ły			
Chana		-G84" to "C			

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 186 SC 186.2.4.	1 P 594	L 9	# 265	C/ 176B	SC 176B.4	P 702	L 40	# 266
Nang, Xuebo	Huawei			Wang, Xuebo)	Huawei		
comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	pe T Cor	nment Status X		
	should be 172032. Each DP-1 correspond to 172032 DP-16C 172032".		represents 8 bits, then	rates per Annex 17 with 25 G	lane. However, for 2 6B.4 and Annex 176 6bps per lane and 50	00 Gb/s and 400 Gb/s	s physical layer in missing. For exa t included for nov	mple, some interfaces
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			SuggestedRe	emedy			
				1. On Pag PHYs" to four 50 G 2. On Pag interface. 3. On Pag style use 4. On Pag 5. On Pag interface. 8. On Pag 400GBAS PHYs" to 10. On Pa 400GBAS PHYs" to 10. On Pa 11. On Pa 12. Chan Page 709 13. On Pa 400GAUI 14. On Pa 15. On Pa 13. On Pa 13. On Pa 10. On Pa 13. On Pa 13. On Pa 13. On Pa 1400GAUI 14. On Pa physical I 15. On Pa physical I 16. In Lin to include 17. On Pa 18. On Pa 20. On Pa	ge 702, Line 42: char "8:4, 8:2 and 8:1 PM b/s physical lanes. ge 703, Line 11: char ge 704, Line 21 and 2 d in Table 176B-1 an ge 704, Line 35, char ge 704, Line 35, char ge 705, Line 11, char ge 705, Line 11, char ge 705, Line 23 and 2 d in Table 176B-1 an ge 705, Line 23 and 2 d in Table 176B-1 an ge 705, Line 30, char SE-R PHYs" to "16:11 include 400GBASE- age 707, Line 30, char SE-R PHYs" to "16:11 include 400GBASE- age 708, Line 4, char ge "{4,8}" in table title 0, Line 4 and Line 30 age 708, Line 8, char -16 interfaces. age 708, Line 14, char lanes. age 708, Line 34, char age 710, Line 15 and age 710, Line 20, add age 710, Line 20, add age 710, Line 23, char age 710, Line 24, ch	1Å instantiations for 2 nge "n = 2 or 4" to "n = 22: change "{n,p}" to ' d avoids the trouble of nge "120E (C2M)" to ' nge "n = 2 or 4" to "n = nge "120E (C2M)" to ' nge "n = 2 or 4" to "n = 24: change "{n,p}" to ' d avoids the trouble of nge the title "16:8, 16:6, 6, 16:8, 16:4, and 16: SR16 PMD. ange "p is 2, 4, or 8" to nge "n=4" to "n=4, 8, 4 ange "p=4" to "p=4, 8, ange "p=4: or 8" to "p= ange Table S3 on Page 71	DOGBASE-R PH' = 2, 4 or 8" to inc p". This change of listing all possil (120D (C2C)". The = 2, 4 or 8" to inc (120D (C2C)". The = 2, 4 or 8" to i	is consistent with the ole values of n. is should be a typo. lude 200GAUI-8 is should be a typo. lude 200GAUI-8 is consistent with the ole values of n. . instantiations for ons for 400GBASE-R 16". 4,8,16}:{4,8,16}". . Line 4 and Line 28 or 400GAUI-8 and e PMDs with 8 and 16 clude PMD with 16 or 8" to "p=4, 8, or 16" not used. clude 400GAUI-16 GAUI-16 C2C.

Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 176B SC 176B.2	P 700	L 8	# 270
C/ 186 SC 186.3.3.2	2 <i>P</i> 602 Huawei	L 5 1	# 267	Wang, Xuebo Comment Type E "of" is missing betweer	Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> X n "the number" and "upper".		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X be changed to "faw<0:21>", as	s it is shortened f	rom multi-frame	SuggestedRemedy Add "of" between "the Proposed Response	number" and "upper".		
SuggestedRemedy Change "mfas<0:21>"	to "faw∠0:21>"			Floposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 176B SC 176B.2 Wang, Xuebo	P 701 Huawei	L 40	# 271
7 186 SC 186.3.3.2	2 P 603	L 9	# 268	Comment Type E Typo: "my" should be c	Comment Status X		
Vang, Xuebo Comment Type T	Huawei Comment Status X			SuggestedRemedy Change "my" to "may".			
	ld be changed to "S<7013:70 [°] 64 symbols per Line 46 on Pa			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
	load symbols of row 113 lead						
consists of the 63 payl cuggestedRemedy	load symbols of row 113 lead			C/ 176B SC 176B.3	P 702	L 22	# 272
consists of the 63 pay SuggestedRemedy Change "S<7023:7075				Wang, Xuebo Comment Type T	P 702 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> X I be changed to "4:32 SM-PN		
consists of the 63 pay suggestedRemedy Change "S<7023:7075 Proposed Response	load symbols of row 113 lead 5>" to "S<7013:7075>". <i>Response Status</i> O			Wang, Xuebo Comment Type T	Huawei Comment Status X		
consists of the 63 pay SuggestedRemedy Change "S<7023:707 Proposed Response	load symbols of row 113 lead 5>" to "S<7013:7075>". <i>Response Status</i> O	ed by the pilot sy	mbol P113.	Wang, Xuebo Comment Type T "4:32 BM-PMA" should	Huawei Comment Status X I be changed to "4:32 SM-PN		
consists of the 63 payl SuggestedRemedy Change "S<7023:7075 Proposed Response C 178B SC 178B.14 Vang, Xuebo Comment Type T There is no time out for remote_tf_lock is false	load symbols of row 113 lead 5>" to "S<7013:7075>". <i>Response Status</i> O .3.5 <i>P</i> 810 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> X or exiting the state SEND_TR/ e for a long time, the whole state	ed by the pilot sy	mbol P113. # 269 local_tf_lock or re trapped in the state	Wang, Xuebo Comment Type T "4:32 BM-PMA" should SuggestedRemedy Change "4:32 BM-PMA Proposed Response	Huawei Comment Status X I be changed to "4:32 SM-PN A" to "4:32 SM-PMA". Response Status 0		above it is an SM-PMA
consists of the 63 payl SuggestedRemedy Change "S<7023:7075 Proposed Response C 178B SC 178B.14 Vang, Xuebo Comment Type T There is no time out for remote_tf_lock is false SEND_TRAINING for	load symbols of row 113 lead 5>" to "S<7013:7075>". <i>Response Status</i> O .3.5 <i>P</i> 810 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> X or exiting the state SEND_TR/	ed by the pilot sy	mbol P113. # 269 local_tf_lock or re trapped in the state	Wang, Xuebo Comment Type T "4:32 BM-PMA" should SuggestedRemedy Change "4:32 BM-PM/ Proposed Response Cl 176B SC 176B.4.2	Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> X H be changed to "4:32 SM-PM A" to "4:32 SM-PMA". <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 706		
consists of the 63 payl SuggestedRemedy Change "S<7023:7075 Proposed Response C/ 178B SC 178B.14 Vang, Xuebo Comment Type T There is no time out for remote_tf_lock is false SEND_TRAINING for SuggestedRemedy	load symbols of row 113 lead 5>" to "S<7013:7075>". Response Status O .3.5 P810 Huawei Comment Status X or exiting the state SEND_TR/ e for a long time, the whole state long. A maximum time duration	ed by the pilot sy	mbol P113. # 269 local_tf_lock or re trapped in the state	Wang, Xuebo Comment Type T "4:32 BM-PMA" should SuggestedRemedy Change "4:32 BM-PMA Proposed Response C/ 176B SC 176B.4.2 Wang, Xuebo	Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> X He changed to "4:32 SM-PN A" to "4:32 SM-PMA". <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 706 Huawei	//A", as the PMA	above it is an SM-PM/
consists of the 63 payl SuggestedRemedy Change "S<7023:7075 Proposed Response C/ 178B SC 178B.14 Vang, Xuebo Comment Type T There is no time out for remote_tf_lock is false SEND_TRAINING for SuggestedRemedy A contribution to addree	load symbols of row 113 lead 5>" to "S<7013:7075>". <i>Response Status</i> O .3.5 <i>P</i> 810 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> X or exiting the state SEND_TR/ e for a long time, the whole state	ed by the pilot sy	mbol P113. # 269 local_tf_lock or re trapped in the state	Wang, Xuebo <i>Comment Type</i> T "4:32 BM-PMA" should <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "4:32 BM-PMA <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>CI</i> 176B <i>SC</i> 176B.4.2 Wang, Xuebo <i>Comment Type</i> T "Figure 176B-2" should	Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> X He changed to "4:32 SM-PN A" to "4:32 SM-PMA". <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 706 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> X He changed to "Figure 1766	//A", as the PMA <i>L</i> 3 B-3", as the Exte	above it is an SM-PM/ # 2 <u>73</u> nder is shown in Figure
consists of the 63 payl SuggestedRemedy Change "S<7023:7075 Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.14 Wang, Xuebo Comment Type T There is no time out for remote_tf_lock is false SEND_TRAINING for SuggestedRemedy	load symbols of row 113 lead 5>" to "S<7013:7075>". <i>Response Status</i> O .3.5 <i>P</i> 810 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> X or exiting the state SEND_TR/ e for a long time, the whole state long. A maximum time duration ess this will be provided.	ed by the pilot sy	mbol P113. # 269 local_tf_lock or re trapped in the state	Wang, Xuebo Comment Type T "4:32 BM-PMA" should SuggestedRemedy Change "4:32 BM-PMA Proposed Response Cl 176B SC 176B.4.2 Wang, Xuebo Comment Type T "Figure 176B-2" should 176B-3 instead of 176B SuggestedRemedy	Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> X H be changed to "4:32 SM-PN A" to "4:32 SM-PMA". <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 706 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> X	IA", as the PMA <i>L</i> 3 3-3", as the Exte ns in Line 3 on P	above it is an SM-PMA # 2 <u>73</u> nder is shown in Figure age 711.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 273

Page 52 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:53 PM

C/ 176B SC 176B.6.	1 P713	L 28	# 274	C/ 176B SC 176B.7.	2 P718	L 24	# 277
Wang, Xuebo	Huawei			Wang, Xuebo	Huawei		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
The note should desc	ribe how an n:p PMA is forme	ed instead of an n	n:n PMA	"n=16" and "n=8" sho 1.6TAUI-m.	uld be changed to "m=16" and	d "m=8", as the c	corresponding row is of
SuggestedRemedy							
"The combination of n	"The combination of m:32 PM h:32 PMA and 32:p PMA form:		A forms an m:n PMA" to	0	=16" in Line 24 on Page 718; 3" in Line 25 on Page 718.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 176B SC 176B.6.2	2 P715	L 44	# 275	C/ 176B SC 176B.4.	2 <i>P</i> 706	L1	# 278
Wang, Xuebo	Huawei					21	# 270
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Wang, Xuebo Comment Type E	Huawei Comment Status X		
	ed interfaces and bit-multiplex CL176B.6.2. However, "S" an				clude "200GBASE-R PHYs" a		
	ssue happens in the titles of 1 ssing also does not fit with the	76B-26 and 176E	3-27 in Line 4 and 24	Extender. The same is Page 715 of CL176B.	ssue happens in Line 1 on Pa 6.2.	ige 711 of CL176	B.5.2 and Line 27 on
on Page 716. The mis		76B-26 and 176E	3-27 in Line 4 and 24			ige 711 of CL176	B.5.2 and Line 27 on
on Page 716. The mis SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Ta "800 Gb/s 32:4:32 and	ble 176B-25 "800 Gb/s 32:4:3 d 32:8:32 (S or B) PMA instan	76B-26 and 176E title style of othe 32 and 32:8:32 PI titations";	3-27 in Line 4 and 24 er tables in Annex 176B. MA instantiations" to	Page 715 of CL176B. SuggestedRemedy Delete "200GBASE-R Delete "400GBASE-R		6; 1;	B.5.2 and Line 27 on
on Page 716. The mis SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Ta "800 Gb/s 32:4:32 and Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800 Change the title of Ta	ble 176B-25 "800 Gb/s 32:4:3	76B-26 and 176E e title style of othe attations"; 32 and 32:4:4:3 2 (n = m, BB or S 32:4:8:32 and 32:	5-27 in Line 4 and 24 er tables in Annex 176B. MA instantiations" to 2 (n = m) PMA S) PMA instantiations"; :8:4:32 (n≠m)	Page 715 of CL176B. SuggestedRemedy Delete "200GBASE-R Delete "400GBASE-R	6.2. PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 706 PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 711	6; 1;	B.5.2 and Line 27 on
on Page 716. The mis SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Ta "800 Gb/s 32:4:32 and Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800 Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800	ble 176B-25 "800 Gb/s 32:4:3 d 32:8:32 (S or B) PMA instan ble 176B-26 "800 Gb/s 32:8:8 Gb/s 32:8:8:32 and 32:4:4:32 ble 176B-27 "800 Gb/s PMA 3	76B-26 and 176E e title style of othe attations"; 32 and 32:4:4:3 2 (n = m, BB or S 32:4:8:32 and 32:	5-27 in Line 4 and 24 er tables in Annex 176B. MA instantiations" to 2 (n = m) PMA S) PMA instantiations"; :8:4:32 (n≠m)	Page 715 of CL176B. SuggestedRemedy Delete "200GBASE-R Delete "400GBASE-R Delete "800GBASE-R	6.2. PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 700 PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 71 PHYs" in Line 27 on Page 71 <i>Response Status</i> 0	6; 1;	3B.5.2 and Line 27 on # 279
on Page 716. The mis SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Ta "800 Gb/s 32:4:32 and Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800 Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800	ble 176B-25 "800 Gb/s 32:4:3 d 32:8:32 (S or B) PMA instan ble 176B-26 "800 Gb/s 32:8:8 0 Gb/s 32:8:8:32 and 32:4:4:32 ble 176B-27 "800 Gb/s PMA 3 0 Gb/s 32:4:8:32 and 32:8:4:32	76B-26 and 176E e title style of othe attations"; 32 and 32:4:4:3 2 (n = m, BB or S 32:4:8:32 and 32:	5-27 in Line 4 and 24 er tables in Annex 176B. MA instantiations" to 2 (n = m) PMA S) PMA instantiations"; :8:4:32 (n≠m)	Page 715 of CL176B. SuggestedRemedy Delete "200GBASE-R Delete "400GBASE-R Delete "800GBASE-R Proposed Response	6.2. PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 700 PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 71 PHYs" in Line 27 on Page 71 <i>Response Status</i> 0	6; 1; 15.	
on Page 716. The mis SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Ta "800 Gb/s 32:4:32 and Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800 Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800 Proposed Response	ble 176B-25 "800 Gb/s 32:4:3 d 32:8:32 (S or B) PMA instan ble 176B-26 "800 Gb/s 32:8:8 0 Gb/s 32:8:8:32 and 32:4:4:32 ble 176B-27 "800 Gb/s PMA 3 0 Gb/s 32:4:8:32 and 32:8:4:32 <i>Response Status</i> O	76B-26 and 176E e title style of othe attations"; 32 and 32:4:4:3 2 (n = m, BB or S 32:4:8:32 and 32:	5-27 in Line 4 and 24 er tables in Annex 176B. MA instantiations" to 2 (n = m) PMA S) PMA instantiations"; :8:4:32 (n \neq m) c) PMA instantiations".	Page 715 of CL176B. SuggestedRemedy Delete "200GBASE-R Delete "400GBASE-R Delete "800GBASE-R Proposed Response Cl 176B SC 176B.6.	6.2. PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 706 PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 717 PHYs" in Line 27 on Page 77 <i>Response Status</i> 0 2 <i>P</i> 715	6; 1; 15.	
on Page 716. The mis SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Ta "800 Gb/s 32:4:32 and Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800 Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800 Proposed Response C/ 176B SC 176B.7. Wang, Xuebo	ssing also does not fit with the ble 176B-25 "800 Gb/s 32:4:3 d 32:8:32 (S or B) PMA instan ble 176B-26 "800 Gb/s 32:8:8 Gb/s 32:8:8:32 and 32:4:4:32 ble 176B-27 "800 Gb/s PMA 3 Gb/s 32:4:8:32 and 32:8:4:32 <i>Response Status</i> O 1 <i>P</i> 717 Huawei	76B-26 and 176E a title style of other a title style of other a title style of other a title style of other a title style of a a 2 and 32:4:8:32 a nd 32:8:32 a nd 32:8:3	5-27 in Line 4 and 24 er tables in Annex 176B. MA instantiations" to 2 (n = m) PMA S) PMA instantiations"; :8:4:32 (n≠m)	Page 715 of CL176B. SuggestedRemedy Delete "200GBASE-R Delete "400GBASE-R Delete "800GBASE-R Proposed Response Cl 176B SC 176B.6. Wang, Xuebo Comment Type T PMD does not exist in	6.2. PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 706 PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 717 PHYs" in Line 27 on Page 77 <i>Response Status</i> 0 2 <i>P</i> 715 Huawei	6; 1; 15. <i>L</i> 39 ıld be like: an ins	# 279
on Page 716. The mis SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Ta "800 Gb/s 32:4:32 and Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800 Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800 Proposed Response CI 176B SC 176B.7. Nang, Xuebo Comment Type E	ble 176B-25 "800 Gb/s 32:4:3 d 32:8:32 (S or B) PMA instan ble 176B-26 "800 Gb/s 32:8:8 Gb/s 32:8:8:32 and 32:4:4:32 ble 176B-27 "800 Gb/s PMA 3 Gb/s 32:4:8:32 and 32:8:4:32 <i>Response Status</i> 0 1 <i>P</i> 717	76B-26 and 176E a title style of other a title style of other a title style of other a title style of other a title style of a a 2 and 32:4:8:32 a nd 32:8:32 a nd 32:8:3	5-27 in Line 4 and 24 er tables in Annex 176B. MA instantiations" to 2 (n = m) PMA S) PMA instantiations"; :8:4:32 (n \neq m) c) PMA instantiations".	Page 715 of CL176B. SuggestedRemedy Delete "200GBASE-R Delete "400GBASE-R Delete "800GBASE-R Proposed Response Cl 176B SC 176B.6. Wang, Xuebo Comment Type T PMD does not exist in	 6.2. PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 706 PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 717 PHYs" in Line 27 on Page 717 Response Status O 2 P715 Huawei Comment Status X Extender. The example should be a status of the status	6; 1; 15. <i>L</i> 39 ıld be like: an ins	# 279
on Page 716. The mis SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Ta "800 Gb/s 32:4:32 and Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800 Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800 Proposed Response C/ 176B SC 176B.7. Wang, Xuebo Comment Type E "or 8" is redundant.	ssing also does not fit with the ble 176B-25 "800 Gb/s 32:4:3 d 32:8:32 (S or B) PMA instan ble 176B-26 "800 Gb/s 32:8:8 Gb/s 32:8:8:32 and 32:4:4:32 ble 176B-27 "800 Gb/s PMA 3 Gb/s 32:4:8:32 and 32:8:4:32 <i>Response Status</i> O 1 <i>P</i> 717 Huawei	76B-26 and 176E a title style of other a title style of other a title style of other a title style of other a title style of a title a title style style a title style a title style style a title	5-27 in Line 4 and 24 er tables in Annex 176B. MA instantiations" to 2 (n = m) PMA S) PMA instantiations"; :8:4:32 (n \neq m) c) PMA instantiations".	Page 715 of CL176B. SuggestedRemedy Delete "200GBASE-R Delete "400GBASE-R Delete "800GBASE-R Proposed Response CI 176B SC 176B.6. Wang, Xuebo Comment Type T PMD does not exist in 800GAUI-n and one E SuggestedRemedy	 6.2. PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 706 PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 717 PHYs" in Line 27 on Page 717 Response Status O 2 P715 Huawei Comment Status X Extender. The example should be a status of the status	6; 1; 15. <i>L</i> 39 ıld be like: an ins	# 279
on Page 716. The mis SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Ta "800 Gb/s 32:4:32 and Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800 Change the title of Ta instantiations" to "800 Proposed Response C/ 176B SC 176B.7. Wang, Xuebo Comment Type E	ssing also does not fit with the ble 176B-25 "800 Gb/s 32:4:3 d 32:8:32 (S or B) PMA instan ble 176B-26 "800 Gb/s 32:8:8 Gb/s 32:8:8:32 and 32:4:4:32 ble 176B-27 "800 Gb/s PMA (0 Gb/s 32:4:8:32 and 32:8:4:32 <i>Response Status</i> 0 1 <i>P</i> 717 Huawei <i>Comment Status</i> X	76B-26 and 176E a title style of other a title style of other a title style of other a title style of other a title style of a title a title style style a title style a title style style a title	5-27 in Line 4 and 24 er tables in Annex 176B. MA instantiations" to 2 (n = m) PMA S) PMA instantiations"; :8:4:32 (n \neq m) c) PMA instantiations".	Page 715 of CL176B. SuggestedRemedy Delete "200GBASE-R Delete "400GBASE-R Delete "800GBASE-R Proposed Response CI 176B SC 176B.6. Wang, Xuebo Comment Type T PMD does not exist in 800GAUI-n and one E SuggestedRemedy	 6.2. PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 706 PHYs" in Line 1 on Page 717 PHYs" in Line 27 on Page 717 Response Status O 2 P715 Huawei Comment Status X Extender. The example shoue 800GAUI-n is denoted "SB" 	6; 1; 15. <i>L</i> 39 ıld be like: an ins	# 279

C/ 176B	SC 176B.5.1	P 710	L10	# 280	C/ 177	SC 177.5.5	P 339	L 5	# 282
Wang, Xueb	00	Huawei			Ren, Hao		Huawei		
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
	51 on Page 710	een m=2 and 176. The same).	e happens in Lir	e 16, 19, 24, 36, 42,	k = 0 s	hould be ignore	EC_codeword_error_bin_k c d, because this counter value not set for RS-FEC error bin	can be calculat	ed from other counters.
00		and 176 in Line 10, 16, 19, 2	4. 36. 42. 45. a	nd 51 on Page 710.	Suggested	lRemedy			
Proposed R		Response Status O		J. J		of four 32-bit cou	nters where counter k counts I (flipped) when fas_lock is tru		codeword received with
<i>Cl</i> 177 Ren, Hao	SC 177.5.2	P 337	L19	# 281	A set o		unters where counter k count ected (flipped) when fas_lock		
,		Huawei			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Comment T The def	51	Comment Status X adidate location and the sync	hronization loca	tion is not clear.					

The candidate location is the inner FEC codeword boundary of a valid set of codewords. The candidate location is regarded as the synchronization location when the candidate location is confirmed valid for a second window of 128b-bit blocks.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

The synchronization process searches for a valid set of codewords in a window of 128-bit blocks, confirms the candidate location is valid for a second window of 128b-bit blocks and then monitors that the synchronization location continues to be valid during operation. to:

[A]: The synchronization process searches for a valid set of codewords in a window of 128bit blocks. The boundary of these codewords is marked as candidate location, which is confirmed as the synchronization location if it is valid for a second window of 128b-bit blocks. The synchronization process continuously validates the synchronization location during operation.

[B]: The synchronization process searches for a valid set of codewords in a window of 128bit blocks, marking the boundary of these codewords as candidate location, confirms the candidate location as sychronization location by validating for a second window of 128b-bit blocks, and then monitors that the synchronization location continues to be valid during operation.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

SC 184.5.7

The number of Inner_FEC_codeword_error_bin_k counters can be decreased. k = 0 should be ignored, because this counter value can be calculated from other counters. Also in 802.3ck, k=0 is not set for RS-FEC error bin counter as in 161.6.17.

P543

Huawei

L42

283

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 184

Ren, Hao

Change: A set of k+1 32-bit counters where k = 0 to 4. to: A set of k 32-bit counters where k = 1 to 4.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ FM SC FN		P12	L 54	# 284	C/ 180	SC 180.8	.1	P 443	L 44	# 285
Maguire, Valerie	С	opperopolis;	aff'l w/ CME Con	sulting and Cisco	Maguire, V	alerie		Copperopolis	; aff'l w/ CME Co	onsulting and Cisco
Comment Type	Comment Sta	ntus X			Comment	Type TR	Con	nment Status X		
Missing information	on on the P802.3da an	nendment								Table 181-9, Table 182-
appropriate moc 10BASE-T1S Pl reconciliation su synchronization	-This amendment to IE fications to enhance th ysical Layer in a new, r players, management p	e 10 Mb/s sh nultidrop-only arameters, E power delive	ared-medium (m / physical layer s thernet support	ultidrop) mode of the pecification (including	the TIA docum specifie While i dB/km mentio in the what th	Optical Fib ent specifies es the maxir t's true that at 1310nm a ned in the ir ANSI/TIA-50 e draft is try higher perc	er Cabling a B-652.D o num cabled ANSI/TIA-5 and 1550nn tro paragra 58.3-C refer ing to do is	and Components Star r B-657 as acceptable l attenuation as 0.4 dl 68.3-E specifies the r n, this is not aligned v ph to each table. A da rence. Unecessary co accomodate legacy i	ndard is ANSI/TI e fiber for Outsid 3/km at 1310nm naximum cablec vith B-652.D or E ash is missing be mmas between nstalled OSP ca	le Plant cables and , 1383nm, and 1550nm. I attenuation as 0.5 3-657 (OS2) as etween "TIA" and "568" 'or' statements. I think
,					Suggested	Remedy				
					corrspo Replac G.652. insensi fiber ca 18x–yy dispers recomr	onding intro e "The optic D (low wate tive) fibers, ble requirer . The use of ion unshifte nended."	ext: al fiber cab peak, disp or the requi nents are s optical fibe d), type G.6	ersion unshifted), or t	atisfied by cable ype G.657.A1, c –yy where they c eting the charact TU-T type G.652 .A2 (bend insen	s containing ITU-T type or type G.657.A2 (bend differ." with "The optical teristics in Table .D (low water peak,
					corrspo Replac G.652. insensi fiber ca 18x–yy unshift cables	nding intro e "The optic D (low wate tive) fibers, ble requirer . Optical fib ed), type G. that exceed	ext: al fiber cab peak, disp or the requi nents are s or cables co 557.A1, or t these requ	ersion unshifted), or t rements in Table 18x atisfied by cables me ontaining ITU-T type (ype G.657.A2 (bend i	atisfied by cable ype G.657.A1, c –yy where they c eting the charact G.652.D (low wat nsensitive) fiber	s containing ITU-T type or type G.657.A2 (bend differ." with "The optical teristics in Table er peak, dispersion
					corrspo Replac Replac or type differ." type G 18x–yy	onding intro e "0.5" with e "ITU-T t G.657.A2 (l with "ITU- 657.A2 (ber ."	ext: "0.4" ype G.652.I pend insens T type G.65 nd insensitiv	sitive) fibers, or the re	spersion unshifte quirements in T dispersion unsh teting the require	ed), or type G.657.A1, able 18x–yy where they ifted), type G.657.A1,

Comment ID 285

Page 55 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:53 PM

Duanaaad	Deememore	E P802.3dj D2.0 2		
Proposea	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 183	SC 183.8.2	P 5 18	L 26	# 286
Johnson,	John	Broadcom		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
	on_3dj_01_2505	ections, as discussed in cons	ensus presenta	tion
Make 1. Re 2. De 3. Ins	the following cha -write CL 183.8.3 lete old Table 18 ert new Table 18 ances for 800GE ert new Table 18	inges to CL 183.8.2: 2 using the structure and text i 3-11, maximum value of each 3-xx, Maximum channel inser 3ASE-FR4, with the values giv 3-yy, Maximum channel inser	discrete reflect tion loss versus en in johnson_3 tion loss versus	ance. number of discrete 3dj_01_2507, slide 17.
4. Ins	ances for 800GE	BASE-LR4, with the values giv	en in johnson_3	
4. Ins reflect		BASE-LR4, with the values giv		3dj_01_2507, slide 18.
4. Ins reflect Suppo		_		3dj_01_2507, slide 18.
4. Ins reflect Suppo	orting editorial ins	structions are provided in john		3dj_01_2507, slide 18.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Channel insertion loss (max) in Table 183-9 should point to new Tables 183-xx for FR4 and 183-yy for LR4.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 183-9,

1. Replace Channel insertion loss(max) value 4 dB with "See Table 183-xx", and 6.3 dB with "See Table 183-yy".

2. Add text in CL 183.8 similar to text in CL 180.8: "The maximum value of channel insertion loss is dependent on the number and maximum value of the discrete reflectances within the channel as given in Table 183–xx for 800GBASE-FR4 and Table 183-yy for 800GBASE-LR4. Discrete reflectances below –55 dB may be ignored when determining the supported channel insertion loss." with editorial license.

Supporting editorial instructions are provided in johnson_3dj_01_2507

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 183	SC 183.7.3	P 515	L32	# 288
Johnson,	John	Broadcom		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The footnotes in Table 183-8 must be updated to refer to the revised structure of CL 183.8.2.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 183-8, make the following changes:

Replace footnotes following the form of Table 180- 9, with changes appropriate to CL 183, as given in johnson_3dj_01_2507, slide 16.

Supporting editorial instructions are provided in johnson_3dj_01_2507

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 179A	SC 179A.5	P 820	L 39	# 289
Heck, Howa	rd	TE Connectivity		
Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status X		

MCB loss specified in the lower left of Figure 179A-1 is not directly measurable as it is currently specified. Indirect measurement methods do not provide the necessary accuracy. The version of the figure in D1.4 was measureable and reverting back to it will resolve the problem. Equation 179B-2 requires modification to make it accurately represent the MCB insertion loss measured with the 2Xthru method

SuggestedRemedy

Change Figure 179A-1 back to the version that was in D1.4 in which the MCB loss was specified as 2.7dB to the MCB via. Change Equation 179B-2 to IL_catref = - $0.0067*f^{1.5}+0.0309*f^{-0.2523}*sqrt(f)+0.0868$. Change the Ildd_catf curve in Figure179B-1 to match the updated equation. A supporting contribution is planned for presentation at the June 26 electrical ad hoc meeting.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 178B	SC 178B.5	P 787	L37	# 290
Brown, Mat	t	Alphawave Sem	ni	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The term inter-sublayer link training (or ILT) by name defines a protocol over an intersublayer link (or ISL). Each ISL is one of several possible physical links between a pair of MAC sublayers. It is possible only a subset of the ISLs supports ILT. Annex 178B also defines a path start-up protocol which uses the outcome of ILT on each of the physical links, where supported, to determine when the path between a pair of PCSs or between a pair of extender suppliers is ready, allowing for some ISLs that do not support ILT. However, the combination of these two layers of functionality are references only as ILT. This is confusing!

SuggestedRemedy

Within Annex 178B, clearly differentiate these two processes (inter-sublayer link training and path-start-up protocol) as being separate from each other, rather than ILT being a combination of these two. ILT would refer to the process with operates on a specific ISL and with PSP the process that links the states of all ISL on a path. Throughout the draft specify and references these two functions separately. A contribution will be provide to explore this further.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi	C/ 178B	SC 178B.5.1	P 788	L 30	# 291
	Brown, Mat	t	Alphawave Sen	ni	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

There seems to be some confusion around whether ISL is required or optional.Clause 178 through 183 there is rather definitive text specification that indeed ISL is mandatory to implement, but with the ability to enable and disable. Text in 178B.5.1 allows for a case where training is not available with clarification "(disabled or not defined for the interface type)", the latter portion meaning that there is no normative text in the clause or annex. However, it may be helpful to circumvent any confusing and add some clear text at the begin of Annex 178B stating that the requirement for ILT for each interface is defined by the Clause or Annex the specifies the interface and perhaps even adding table list interfaces for which it is mandatory.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following sentence or similar to the first paragraph in 178B.4: "The mandatory or optional implementation of the ILT function is specified in the clause or annex that defines the interface."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 174A	SC 174A	P 677	L 21	# 292
Brown, Matt		Alphawave Semi		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status X		

Diagrams showing the various paths or domains described in 174A.3 through 174A.7 would be very helpful to the reader of the annex.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a diagrams illustrating the paths described in 174A.3 through 174A.7.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 00	SC 0	P 0	LO	# 293
Brown, Ma	att	Alphawave S	emi	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
The P	PICS subclause	in many clauses and annexes	is incomplete.	
Suggeste	dRemedy			
Updat	te PICS subclau	ise in all clauses and annexes	as necessary.	
Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 177A	SC 177A	P 765	L 21	# 294
		P 765 Alphawave S		# 294
	att			# 294
Brown, Ma Comment The re	att <i>Type</i> TR eferenced test v	Alphawave S	emi ing of pad bits as	
Brown, Ma Comment The re as the	att <i>Type</i> TR eferenced test v e requirement so	Alphawave S <i>Comment Status</i> X ectors do not include scrambl	emi ing of pad bits as	
The re as the Suggestee	att <i>Type</i> TR eferenced test v e requirement so <i>dRemedy</i>	Alphawave S <i>Comment Status</i> X ectors do not include scrambl	ing of pad bits as r draft.	specified in 177.4.7.2

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.2	64 P112	L 5	# 295	C/ 175 S	SC 175.2.4.6	P 265	L28	# 298
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	mi		Brown, Matt		Alphawave Se	mi	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type	e E	Comment Status X		
Use of possesive gran is unecessary here.	nmar is inconsistent with simil	ar phrases usec	l through this draft and	Use of pos is unecess		mar is inconsistent with simila	ar phrases used	I through this draft an
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRen	nedy			
Change "Lane 0's" to				Change "P	PCS lane's" to	"PCS lane"		
Change "Lane 1's" to				Proposed Res	oonse	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O							
C/73 SC 73.4.2	P130	L15	# 296	C/ 176 S	SC 176.4.3	P 273	L 46	# 299
		-	# 296	Brown, Matt		Alphawave Se	mi	
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	mi		Comment Type	e E	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X		thursen this sheet and	The would	"may" is to b	e used for the context "is allo	wed to".	
is unecessary here.	nmar is inconsistent with simil	ar phrases used	i through this drait and	SuggestedRen	nedy			
SuggestedRemedy				0	allowed to"	5		
Change "link partner's	" to "link partner"			•	same in 179			
Also on page 131 line	51			Proposed Res	bonse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							
				C/ 178 S	SC 178.7	P 359	L23	# 300
V 169 SC 169.2.10	P 190	L 42	# 297	Brown, Matt		Alphawave Se	mi	
rown, Matt	Alphawave Se	mi		Comment Type	e T	Comment Status X		
comment Type T	Comment Status X					es". This is likely a carry-over	from 802.3ck fo	or 100GBASE-KR1
ILT is supported not ju	ust in the PHYs, but also in the	xMII extenders	and not limited to the		ed does have	e FEC lanes.		
PHY types listed here				SuggestedRen	-			
uggestedRemedy				0	CS or FEC			
800GBASE-KR4, 800		1, 800GBASE-F	R4-500, 800GBASE-	Proposed Res	bonse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							

C/178 SC	C 178.8.1	P360	L 38	# 301	C/ 178	SC 178.8.1	P 360	L23	# 303
Brown, Matt		Alphawave Se	mi		Brown, Matt		Alphawave S	emi	
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X			Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status X		
Use of poss is unecessa SuggestedReme	ary here.	mar is inconsistent with simila	ar phrases used	through this draft and	and TP	5, not at TP0d	e medium begins at the MDI. and TP5d. Further, in most c e cases that reference the TP	ases "channel"	spans from TP0 to TP5
00	,	to "transmitter"					d to Tp5d, ILdd, at 53.125 G		
Change "red Implement s 176D-4, Tak On page 72 On page 75	ceiver's" to ' similar in Fig ble 176D-5, 23 line 26 ch 56 line 1 cha		oonent".	ble 176C-4, Table	Show th Add a la	e 178-2, make le PMD ending lbel at TP0 and milar changes	the following changes: and "channel" beginning at 1 d TP5 "MDI". to Figure 176C-2. <i>Response Status</i> 0	P0 and TP5.	
Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status O			, ropocou re	ooponoo			
				"	C/ 178	SC 178.8.1	P360	L 32	# 304
-	C 178.8.1	P360	L33	# 302	Brown, Matt		Alphawave S	emi	
fown, Matt		Alphawave Se	mi		Comment T	vpe ER	Comment Status X		
Comment Type Figure 178-2 similar label SuggestedReme Add a label	2. The inter I would be h <i>edy</i> at TP0d "di	Comment Status X face at TP0 is helpfully labelle helpful at TP0d.		to-board interface". A	The die SuggestedF	is labelled "de Remedy label pointing	Comment Status X vice", whereas the "device" is to the die on the left side of th Response Status O		
Comment Type Figure 178- similar label SuggestedReme Add a label Apply simila	2. The interi l would be h edy at TP0d "di ar change to	Comment Status X face at TP0 is helpfully labelle helpful at TP0d. ie-to-package interface". o Figure 176C-2.		to-board interface". A	The die <i>SuggestedF</i> Change	is labelled "de Remedy label pointing	vice", whereas the "device" is to the die on the left side of the		
Comment Type Figure 178- similar label SuggestedReme Add a label Apply simila	2. The interi l would be h edy at TP0d "di ar change to	Comment Status X face at TP0 is helpfully labelle helpful at TP0d.		to-board interface". A	The die <i>SuggestedF</i> Change	is labelled "de Remedy label pointing	vice", whereas the "device" is to the die on the left side of the		
Comment Type Figure 178- similar label SuggestedReme Add a label Apply simila	2. The interi l would be h edy at TP0d "di ar change to	Comment Status X face at TP0 is helpfully labelle helpful at TP0d. ie-to-package interface". o Figure 176C-2.		to-board interface". A	The die SuggestedF Change Proposed R	s labelled "de Remedy label pointing esponse SC 178.8.9	vice", whereas the "device" is to the die on the left side of the <i>Response Status</i> O	ne Figure 178-2 <i>L</i> 25	to "Die".
Comment Type Figure 178- similar label SuggestedReme Add a label Apply simila	2. The interi l would be h edy at TP0d "di ar change to	Comment Status X face at TP0 is helpfully labelle helpful at TP0d. ie-to-package interface". o Figure 176C-2.		to-board interface". A	The die SuggestedF Change Proposed R Cl 178	is labelled "de Remedy label pointing esponse SC 178.8.9	vice", whereas the "device" is to the die on the left side of th <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 361	ne Figure 178-2 <i>L</i> 25	to "Die".
Comment Type Figure 178- similar label SuggestedReme Add a label Apply simila	2. The interi l would be h edy at TP0d "di ar change to	Comment Status X face at TP0 is helpfully labelle helpful at TP0d. ie-to-package interface". o Figure 176C-2.		to-board interface". A	The die SuggestedF Change Proposed R Cl 178 Brown, Matt Comment T Regardi transmit	r, is labelled "de Remedy label pointing esponse SC 178.8.9 ype TR ng "control the ter not the MD	vice", whereas the "device" is to the die on the left side of th <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 361 Alphawave S	he Figure 178-2 <i>L</i> 25 emi he MDI". It's rea	to "Die". # <u>305</u>
similar label SuggestedReme Add a label	2. The interi l would be h edy at TP0d "di ar change to	Comment Status X face at TP0 is helpfully labelle helpful at TP0d. ie-to-package interface". o Figure 176C-2.		to-board interface". A	The die SuggestedF Change Proposed R Cl 178 Brown, Matt Comment T Regardi transmit	, is labelled "de Remedy label pointing esponse SC 178.8.9 SC 178.8.9 ype TR ng "control the ter not the MD e to requests f	vice", whereas the "device" is to the die on the left side of th <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 361 Alphawave S <i>Comment Status</i> X transmitter on each lane of t I and to be clear it is controlli	he Figure 178-2 <i>L</i> 25 emi he MDI". It's rea	to "Die". # <u>305</u>
Comment Type Figure 178- similar label SuggestedReme Add a label Apply simila	2. The interi l would be h edy at TP0d "di ar change to	Comment Status X face at TP0 is helpfully labelle helpful at TP0d. ie-to-package interface". o Figure 176C-2.		to-board interface". A	The die SuggestedF Change Proposed R Cl 178 Brown, Matt Comment T Regardi transmir respons SuggestedF Change transmir	Is labelled "de Remedy label pointing esponse SC 178.8.9 SC 178.8.9 SC 178.8.9 Control the ter not the MD e to requests f Remedy "control the tra- ter output on e	vice", whereas the "device" is to the die on the left side of th <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 361 Alphawave S <i>Comment Status</i> X transmitter on each lane of t I and to be clear it is controlli	L 25 L 25 emi he MDI". It's rea ng the PMD trar of the MDI" to from the peer in	to "Die". # <u>305</u> Illy controlling the PMD ismitter only in "control the PMD

CI 178 SC 178.9.2.1	P 363	L 6	# 306	C/ 178 SC 178.9.	2.2 P 364	L 4	# 309
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Ser	ni		Brown, Matt	Alphawave	Semi	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Figure 178-3. It is amb	iguous where the test fixture b	egins. The inter	nt is that the text fixture	Likely, Table 178-7	should be Table 178-8.		
	would be good to properly des			SuggestedRemedy			
•	efine the start and end points		e.		ence from "Table 178-7" to "T	able 178-8".	
SuggestedRemedy	*			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
In Figure 178-3 do the Add test point TP0 at t	following: he "package-to-board interface	」 "					
Draw a dashed line at	this TP0 interface.						
	ne/arrow to end at this TP0 int			C/ 178 SC 178.9.	3.2 P 366	L 23	# 310
Add a label at the TP0 In 178.9.2.1 add the fo	d interface "die-to-package inte	erface".		Brown, Matt	Alphawave	Semi	
	kture is between TP0 and TP0	/."		Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Make similar updates f	or the receiver test fixture in 17	78.9.3.1 and Fig	gure 178-4.		compliant over the range as	well.	
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			SuggestedRemedy			
					and 178.9.3.5" to "178.9.3.3 ti	hrough 178 0 3 5"	
				Ũ		1100g11170.9.3.3	
C/ 178 SC 178.9.2.1	.2 P 363			Pronosad Rasnonsa			
0/ 1/0 00 1/0.9.2.1	.2 / 303	L 25	# 307	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
	Alphawave Ser	-	# 307	r roposed response			
Brown, Matt		-	# <u> 307</u>	· ·	-	/ 32	# 211
Brown, Matt Comment Type T It appears that to meas	Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X sure ERL properly the test fixtu	ni re would have t	to be terminated at TP0	C/ 178 SC 178.9.	3.3 P 366	L 32	# 311
Brown, Matt Comment Type T It appears that to meas with an appropriate imp	Alphawave Ser	ni re would have t	to be terminated at TP0	C/ 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt	3.3 P 366 Alphawave		# <u>311</u>
Brown, Matt Comment Type T It appears that to meas with an appropriate imp gated out.	Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X sure ERL properly the test fixtu	ni re would have t	to be terminated at TP0	Cl 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt Comment Type T	3.3 P 366 Alphawave Comment Status X	Semi	
Brown, Matt Comment Type T It appears that to meas with an appropriate imp gated out. SuggestedRemedy	Alphawave Ser Comment Status X sure ERL properly the test fixtu bedance or reflections from the	ni re would have t device under t	to be terminated at TP0	Cl 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt Comment Type T The more formal wo	3.3 P 366 Alphawave <i>Comment Status</i> X rd "may" should be used inst	e Semi ead of "is allowed	to". Per style guide:
Brown, Matt <i>Comment Type</i> T It appears that to meas with an appropriate imp gated out. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Provide appropriate gu	Alphawave Ser Comment Status X sure ERL properly the test fixtu bedance or reflections from the	ni re would have t device under t	to be terminated at TP0	Cl 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt Comment Type T The more formal wo	3.3 P 366 Alphawave Comment Status X rd "may" should be used inst ed to indicate a course of ac	e Semi ead of "is allowed	to". Per style guide:
Brown, Matt <i>Comment Type</i> T It appears that to meas with an appropriate imp gated out. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Provide appropriate gu	Alphawave Ser Comment Status X sure ERL properly the test fixtu bedance or reflections from the	ni re would have t device under t	to be terminated at TP0	Cl 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt Comment Type T The more formal wo "The word may is us standard (may equa	3.3 P 366 Alphawave Comment Status X rd "may" should be used inst ed to indicate a course of ac	e Semi ead of "is allowed	to". Per style guide:
Brown, Matt Comment Type T It appears that to meas with an appropriate imp gated out. SuggestedRemedy Provide appropriate gu	Alphawave Ser Comment Status X sure ERL properly the test fixtu bedance or reflections from the	ni re would have t device under t	to be terminated at TP0	Cl 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt Comment Type T The more formal wo "The word may is us	3.3 P 366 Alphawave <i>Comment Status</i> X rd "may" should be used inst red to indicate a course of ac Is is permitted to)."	e Semi ead of "is allowed	to". Per style guide:
Brown, Matt <i>Comment Type</i> T It appears that to mease with an appropriate imp gated out. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Provide appropriate gu Proposed Response	Alphawave Ser Comment Status X sure ERL properly the test fixtu bedance or reflections from the idance for measuring the ERL Response Status O	ni e would have t e device under t at TP0v.	to be terminated at TP0 test would have to be	Cl 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt Comment Type T The more formal wo "The word may is us standard (may equa SuggestedRemedy Change "is allowed	3.3 P 366 Alphawave <i>Comment Status</i> X rd "may" should be used inst red to indicate a course of ac Is is permitted to)."	ead of "is allowed tion permissible wi	to". Per style guide:
Brown, Matt <i>Comment Type</i> T It appears that to meas with an appropriate imp gated out. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Provide appropriate gu <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 178 <i>SC</i> 178.9.2.2	Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X sure ERL properly the test fixtu- bedance or reflections from the idance for measuring the ERL <i>Response Status</i> O P364	ni e device under t at TP0v.	to be terminated at TP0	Cl 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt Comment Type T The more formal wo "The word may is us standard (may equa SuggestedRemedy Change "is allowed	3.3 P 366 Alphawave <i>Comment Status</i> X rd "may" should be used inst ied to indicate a course of ac Is is permitted to)." to" to "may".	ead of "is allowed tion permissible wi	to". Per style guide:
Brown, Matt <i>Comment Type</i> T It appears that to meas with an appropriate imp gated out. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Provide appropriate gu <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 178 <i>SC</i> 178.9.2.2 Brown, Matt	Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X sure ERL properly the test fixtu- bedance or reflections from the idance for measuring the ERL <i>Response Status</i> O P364 Alphawave Ser	ni e device under t at TP0v.	to be terminated at TP0 test would have to be	Cl 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt Comment Type T The more formal wo "The word may is us standard (may equa SuggestedRemedy Change "is allowed Implement also on p	3.3 P 366 Alphawave Comment Status X rd "may" should be used inst ed to indicate a course of ac Is is permitted to)." to" to "may". hage 727 line 13, page 755 lin	ead of "is allowed tion permissible wi	to". Per style guide:
Brown, Matt <i>Comment Type</i> T It appears that to mease with an appropriate importance importanc	Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X sure ERL properly the test fixtu- bedance or reflections from the idance for measuring the ERL <i>Response Status</i> O P 364 Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X	ni re would have t e device under t at TP0v. <i>L</i> 3 ni	to be terminated at TP0 test would have to be # 308	Cl 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt Comment Type T The more formal wo "The word may is us standard (may equa SuggestedRemedy Change "is allowed Implement also on p	3.3 P 366 Alphawave Comment Status X rd "may" should be used inst ed to indicate a course of ac Is is permitted to)." to" to "may". hage 727 line 13, page 755 lin	ead of "is allowed tion permissible wi	to". Per style guide:
Brown, Matt Comment Type T It appears that to mease with an appropriate imp gated out. SuggestedRemedy Provide appropriate gu Proposed Response CI 178 SC 178.9.2.2 Brown, Matt Comment Type T As is done for other pa	Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X sure ERL properly the test fixtu- bedance or reflections from the idance for measuring the ERL <i>Response Status</i> O P364 Alphawave Ser	ni re would have t e device under t at TP0v. <i>L</i> 3 ni	to be terminated at TP0 test would have to be # 308	Cl 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt Comment Type T The more formal wo "The word may is us standard (may equa SuggestedRemedy Change "is allowed Implement also on p	3.3 P 366 Alphawave Comment Status X rd "may" should be used inst ed to indicate a course of ac Is is permitted to)." to" to "may". hage 727 line 13, page 755 lin	ead of "is allowed tion permissible wi	to". Per style guide:
Brown, Matt <i>Comment Type</i> T It appears that to mease with an appropriate impropriate out. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Provide appropriate gut <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>CI</i> 178 <i>SC</i> 178.9.2.2 Brown, Matt <i>Comment Type</i> T As is done for other particular the second s	Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X sure ERL properly the test fixtu- bedance or reflections from the idance for measuring the ERL <i>Response Status</i> O P 364 Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X	ni re would have t e device under t at TP0v. <i>L</i> 3 ni	to be terminated at TP0 test would have to be # 308	Cl 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt Comment Type T The more formal wo "The word may is us standard (may equa SuggestedRemedy Change "is allowed Implement also on p	3.3 P 366 Alphawave Comment Status X rd "may" should be used inst ed to indicate a course of ac Is is permitted to)." to" to "may". hage 727 line 13, page 755 lin	ead of "is allowed tion permissible wi	to". Per style guide:
Brown, Matt <i>Comment Type</i> T It appears that to meas with an appropriate imp gated out. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Provide appropriate gu <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 178 <i>SC</i> 178.9.2.2 Brown, Matt <i>Comment Type</i> T As is done for other pa name "dERL". <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X sure ERL properly the test fixtu bedance or reflections from the idance for measuring the ERL <i>Response Status</i> O P364 Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X irrameters, it would be helpful to	ni re would have to device under to at TP0v. <i>L</i> 3 ni o follow "differen	to be terminated at TP0 test would have to be # <u>308</u> nce ERL" with variable	Cl 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt Comment Type T The more formal wo "The word may is us standard (may equa SuggestedRemedy Change "is allowed Implement also on p	3.3 P 366 Alphawave Comment Status X rd "may" should be used inst ed to indicate a course of ac Is is permitted to)." to" to "may". hage 727 line 13, page 755 lin	ead of "is allowed tion permissible wi	to". Per style guide:
Brown, Matt <i>Comment Type</i> T It appears that to mease with an appropriate impropriate out. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Provide appropriate gut <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>CI</i> 178 <i>SC</i> 178.9.2.2 Brown, Matt <i>Comment Type</i> T As is done for other para name "dERL". <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "difference ER	Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X sure ERL properly the test fixtu bedance or reflections from the idance for measuring the ERL <i>Response Status</i> O P364 Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X arameters, it would be helpful to RL" to "difference ERL dERL" w	ni re would have to device under to at TP0v. <i>L</i> 3 ni o follow "different where dERL is it	to be terminated at TP0 test would have to be # <u>308</u> nce ERL" with variable talic.	Cl 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt Comment Type T The more formal wo "The word may is us standard (may equa SuggestedRemedy Change "is allowed Implement also on p	3.3 P 366 Alphawave Comment Status X rd "may" should be used inst ed to indicate a course of ac Is is permitted to)." to" to "may". hage 727 line 13, page 755 lin	ead of "is allowed tion permissible wi	to". Per style guide:
Brown, Matt <i>Comment Type</i> T It appears that to mease with an appropriate impropriate out. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Provide appropriate gut <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>CI</i> 178 <i>SC</i> 178.9.2.2 Brown, Matt <i>Comment Type</i> T As is done for other para name "dERL". <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "difference ER	Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X sure ERL properly the test fixtu bedance or reflections from the idance for measuring the ERL <i>Response Status</i> O P364 Alphawave Ser <i>Comment Status</i> X irrameters, it would be helpful to	ni re would have to device under to at TP0v. <i>L</i> 3 ni o follow "different where dERL is it	to be terminated at TP0 test would have to be # <u>308</u> nce ERL" with variable talic.	Cl 178 SC 178.9. Brown, Matt Comment Type T The more formal wo "The word may is us standard (may equa SuggestedRemedy Change "is allowed Implement also on p	3.3 P 366 Alphawave Comment Status X rd "may" should be used inst ed to indicate a course of ac Is is permitted to)." to" to "may". hage 727 line 13, page 755 lin	ead of "is allowed tion permissible wi	to". Per style guide:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

	P 366	L 50	# 312	C/ 178 SC 178.9	.3.4.2	P 367	L35	# 315
rown, Matt	Alphawave Sem	ni		Brown, Matt		Alphawave Se	emi	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment S	Status X		
distortions per se, but rath noise? Distortion implies a	this sentence what is "nois er perturbations. Is noise re changing of the launched s	ferring to alien signal such as in	noise or intrinsic	This is not an order to use the same lis subclause.				ther, it is not permitte nin the same
,	ty, which I don't think are in	tended nere.		SuggestedRemedy				
SuggestedRemedy				Reformat as dashe	d list.			
noise, and any other non-e transmitter or channel." To "The channel noise sou	e source emulates crosstal equalizable signal distortion urce emulates crosstalk, alie	s that may be in en and intrinsic	noise, and any other	Proposed Response	Response S	tatus O		
	turbations that may be intro	duced by a trar	smitter or channel."	C/ 178 SC 178.9	.3.4.3	P 368	L 21	# 316
Proposed Response F	Response Status O			Brown, Matt		Alphawave Se	emi	
				Comment Type T	Comment S	Status X		
C/ 178 SC 178.9.3.4.2	Dacz	L17	# 040	Per style guide this	should be lettered	list, not numbe	ered list.	
	P367		# 313	SuggestedRemedy				
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Sem	11		Reformat as lettere	d list.			
	Comment Status X low this table are exception ate the exceptions.	is vs addition m	aterial. Usually, we	Proposed Response	Response S	tatus O		
SuggestedRemedy	tions within a dashed list.			C/ 178 SC 178.9	.3.4.3	P 368	L 44	# 317
				Brown, Matt		Alphawave Se	emi	
, ,	Response Status O			Comment Type E	Comment S	Status X		
, , ,								
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				The noise is RMS s	o not defined by a	mplitude. Also	, "higher noise" h	nere is compound
Proposed Response F	P 367	L 21	# 314	adjective so should		mplitude. Also	, "higher noise" h	nere is compound
Proposed Response F			# 314			mplitude. Also	, "higher noise" ł	nere is compound
Proposed Response F C/ 178 SC 178.9.3.4.2 Brown, Matt Comment Type E	Alphawave Sem Comment Status X	ni	# 314	adjective so should	be hyphenated.	voltage" or "hig	gher noise" or sir	milar.
Proposed Response F C/ 178 SC 178.9.3.4.2 Brown, Matt Comment Type E	Alphawave Sem	ni	# [314	adjective so should <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "higher am	be hyphenated.	voltage" or "hig add a hyphen	gher noise" or sir	milar.
Proposed Response F C/ 178 SC 178.9.3.4.2 Brown, Matt Comment Type E	Alphawave Sem Comment Status X	ni	# 314	adjective so should SuggestedRemedy Change "higher am If the current wordin	be hyphenated. plitude" to "higher ng is desired, then	voltage" or "hig add a hyphen	gher noise" or sir	nilar.

C/ 178 SC 178.9.3.5 P369 L7 # 318	C/ 180 SC 180.9.5 P448 L 25 # 320
Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi	Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
This phrase is hard to parse: "and both JRMS and J4u03 are measured with the ji frequency and amplitude set according to Case F from Table 179–12." I think it m J_RMS and J4u_03 are measured after the sinusoidal jitter with frequency and an for Table 179-12 is applied. Also, I think this can be broken into a pair of subbullet clarity.	de normalized means. The table already associates "main tap" with c(0) on row 4. SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Change footnote a to: "The normalized tap coefficients are relative to c(0)." Implement also in Table 181-13, Table 182-15, and Table 183-14.
Change to: For the COM parameter calibration described in 93C.2 item 7): J4u is substituted by J4u03 JRMS and J4u03 are measured with applied sinusoidal jitter with frequency a	Proposed Response Response Status O
amplitude set according to Case F from Table 179–12	Cl 180 SC 180.9.5 P448 L 27 # 321
Proposed Response Response Status O	Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi
	Comment Type T Comment Status X
	Regarding Table 180-15 footnote b The table specifies an non-normalized range for c(0)
	and normalized values for the other coeffecients. It is not immediately clear whether to sun the normalized or non-normalized coeffecients.
Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi Comment Type T Comment Status X	SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type T Comment Status X The bounds of the "channel" are never defined. And, in fact, the specifications are different channels: one is MDI to MDI (or TP0 to TP1) and the other is die to die (or to TP5d). The former is prevalent, and latter only for the 40 dB insertion loss limit 178.10.2 and AC-coupling in 178.10.6.	woChange footnote b to: "Equalizer gain is the sum of the non-normalized coefficients." or0dsimilar.Implement also in Table 181-13, Table 182-15, and Table 183-14.
SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status O
In the opening paragraph in 178.10 and the following sentence or similar. "Unless	
otherwise indicated, the channel is bounded TP0 and TP5."	C/ 180 SC 180.9.6 P449 L14 # 322
In Table 178-11 change "Maximum AC-coupling 3 dB corner frequency" to "Maxim	AC- Brown, Matt Alphawave Semi
coupling 3 dB corner frequency between TP0d and TP5d" In 178.10.1, Change "The Channel Operating Margin (COM)" to "The Channel Op	ng Comment Type E Comment Status X
Margin (COM) for the channel between TP0 and TP5"	Use of possesive grammar is inconsistent with similar phrases used through this draft and
In 178.10.2, change "The recommended maximum channel insertion loss, ILDD,"	he is unecessary here.
recommended maximum insertion loss, ILdd, for the channel between TP0d and T	SuggestedRemedy
In 178.10.2, change "The recommended maximum channel insertion loss, ILDD," recommended maximum insertion loss, ILdd, for the channel between TP0d and T Apply similar changes in 176C.7 to clarify the boundaries of the channels for each parameter. Proposed Response Response Status O	

			# 323			L16	# 326
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	emi		Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	emi	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	channel characteristics), the ' as 50 kHz, whereas the corn 100 kHz			being a CRC error ra	ER1/ER1-20 PMD the error ration to the transmission of the term of		
SuggestedRemedy	100 1112.			SuggestedRemedy			
Change "50 kHz" to "10	n kHz"			Define a set of two co a count of all CRC32			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			a count of all CRC32	blocks in which error are detects to the list of status registers in		fine the registers in
CI 176D SC 176D.3	P741	L19	# 324	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	emi					
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			C/ 169 SC 169.5	P 201	L 36	# 327
	e C2M interface includes ILT			Brown, Matt	Alphawave Se	emi	
the sentence is prefixed	with the word "Specifically,"	as though ILT v	was related to the				
				Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
service interface defined equivalent functionally to	d in the previous sentence. C o a CR interface. The ILT is	C2M interface is a rather major fu	defined as being unction and deserves	51	Comment Status X otes a and b are identical.		
service interface defined equivalent functionally to specification in the sam	d in the previous sentence. C o a CR interface. The ILT is e way as done for CR (see 1	C2M interface is a rather major fu 79.8.9) and KR	defined as being unction and deserves R (see 178.8.9). It may	51			
service interface defined equivalent functionally to specification in the sam also be time to subdivid	d in the previous sentence. C o a CR interface. The ILT is e way as done for CR (see 1 led the C2M functional speci	C2M interface is a rather major fu 79.8.9) and KR	defined as being unction and deserves R (see 178.8.9). It may	In Table 169-6, footn SuggestedRemedy			
service interface defined equivalent functionally to specification in the sam	d in the previous sentence. C o a CR interface. The ILT is e way as done for CR (see 1 led the C2M functional speci	C2M interface is a rather major fu 79.8.9) and KR	defined as being unction and deserves R (see 178.8.9). It may	In Table 169-6, footn SuggestedRemedy	otes a and b are identical.		
service interface defined equivalent functionally to specification in the sam also be time to subdivid The same applies for C SuggestedRemedy Create a new subclause	d in the previous sentence. C o a CR interface. The ILT is e way as done for CR (see 1 led the C2M functional speci	C2M interface is a rather major fu (79.8.9) and KR fications into sub a in Annex 176C.	defined as being unction and deserves R (see 178.8.9). It may bclauses.	In Table 169-6, footn SuggestedRemedy Merge footnote a and	otes a and b are identical. I b into a single footnote.		
service interface defined equivalent functionally to specification in the sam also be time to subdivid The same applies for C SuggestedRemedy Create a new subclause Consider organizing the	d in the previous sentence. C o a CR interface. The ILT is e way as done for CR (see 1 led the C2M functional speci 2C in Annex 176C. e similar 178.8.9 and 179.8.9	C2M interface is a rather major fu (79.8.9) and KR fications into sub a in Annex 176C.	defined as being unction and deserves R (see 178.8.9). It may bclauses.	In Table 169-6, footn SuggestedRemedy Merge footnote a and	otes a and b are identical. I b into a single footnote. <i>Response Status</i> O	L 39	# 328
service interface defined equivalent functionally to specification in the sam also be time to subdivid The same applies for C SuggestedRemedy Create a new subclause Consider organizing the	d in the previous sentence. C o a CR interface. The ILT is e way as done for CR (see 1 led the C2M functional speci 2C in Annex 176C. e similar 178.8.9 and 179.8.9 functional specification into	C2M interface is a rather major fu (79.8.9) and KR fications into sub a in Annex 176C.	defined as being unction and deserves R (see 178.8.9). It may bclauses.	In Table 169-6, footn SuggestedRemedy Merge footnote a and Proposed Response	otes a and b are identical. I b into a single footnote. <i>Response Status</i> O		# 328
service interface defined equivalent functionally to specification in the sam also be time to subdivid The same applies for C SuggestedRemedy Create a new subclause Consider organizing the Proposed Response	d in the previous sentence. C o a CR interface. The ILT is e way as done for CR (see 1 led the C2M functional speci 2C in Annex 176C. e similar 178.8.9 and 179.8.9 functional specification into <i>Response Status</i> O	C2M interface is a rather major fu (79.8.9) and KR fications into sub) in Annex 176C. subclauses.	defined as being unction and deserves R (see 178.8.9). It may bclauses. .3.	In Table 169-6, footn SuggestedRemedy Merge footnote a and Proposed Response Cl 179B SC 179B.2	otes a and b are identical. I b into a single footnote. <i>Response Status</i> O .1 P823		# <u>328</u>
service interface defined equivalent functionally to specification in the sam also be time to subdivid The same applies for C SuggestedRemedy Create a new subclause Consider organizing the Proposed Response	d in the previous sentence. C o a CR interface. The ILT is e way as done for CR (see 1 led the C2M functional speci 2C in Annex 176C. e similar 178.8.9 and 179.8.9 functional specification into <i>Response Status</i> O	C2M interface is a rather major fu (79.8.9) and KR fications into sub d in Annex 176C. subclauses.	defined as being unction and deserves R (see 178.8.9). It may bclauses.	In Table 169-6, footn SuggestedRemedy Merge footnote a and Proposed Response Cl 179B SC 179B.2 Brown, Matt Comment Type E Variable subscripts s	otes a and b are identical. I b into a single footnote. <i>Response Status</i> O .1 <i>P</i> 823 Alphawave Se	emi an italic font unle	ss the subscript
service interface defined equivalent functionally to specification in the sam also be time to subdivid The same applies for C SuggestedRemedy Create a new subclause Consider organizing the Proposed Response C/ 178B SC 178B.11.4 Brown, Matt	d in the previous sentence. C o a CR interface. The ILT is e way as done for CR (see 1 led the C2M functional speci 2C in Annex 176C. e similar 178.8.9 and 179.8.9 functional specification into <i>Response Status</i> O	C2M interface is a rather major fu (79.8.9) and KR fications into sub d in Annex 176C. subclauses.	defined as being unction and deserves R (see 178.8.9). It may bclauses. .3.	In Table 169-6, footn SuggestedRemedy Merge footnote a and Proposed Response Cl 179B SC 179B.2 Brown, Matt Comment Type E Variable subscripts s	otes a and b are identical. I b into a single footnote. <i>Response Status</i> O .1 <i>P</i> 823 Alphawave Se <i>Comment Status</i> X hould be normal font rather tha	emi an italic font unle	ss the subscript
service interface defined equivalent functionally to specification in the sam also be time to subdivid The same applies for C: SuggestedRemedy Create a new subclause Consider organizing the Proposed Response CI 178B SC 178B.11.4 Brown, Matt Comment Type T Use of possesive gramm	d in the previous sentence. C o a CR interface. The ILT is e way as done for CR (see 1 led the C2M functional speci 2C in Annex 176C. e similar 178.8.9 and 179.8.9 functional specification into <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 802 Alphawave Se	C2M interface is a rather major fu (79.8.9) and KR fications into sub a in Annex 176C. subclauses.	defined as being unction and deserves R (see 178.8.9). It may bolauses. .3. # 325	In Table 169-6, footn SuggestedRemedy Merge footnote a and Proposed Response Cl 179B SC 179B.2 Brown, Matt Comment Type E Variable subscripts s represents another variable subscripts subscripts s	otes a and b are identical. I b into a single footnote. <i>Response Status</i> O 1 P823 Alphawave Se <i>Comment Status</i> X hould be normal font rather tha ariable, e.g. an index, f_i where ap	emi an italic font unle e i is and index v	ss the subscript ariable.
service interface defined equivalent functionally to specification in the sam also be time to subdivid The same applies for C: SuggestedRemedy Create a new subclause Consider organizing the Proposed Response CI 178B SC 178B.11.4 Brown, Matt Comment Type T Use of possesive gramm is unecessary here.	d in the previous sentence. C o a CR interface. The ILT is e way as done for CR (see 1 led the C2M functional speci 2C in Annex 176C. e similar 178.8.9 and 179.8.9 functional specification into <i>Response Status</i> O P802 Alphawave Se <i>Comment Status</i> X	C2M interface is a rather major fu (79.8.9) and KR fications into sub a in Annex 176C. subclauses.	defined as being unction and deserves R (see 178.8.9). It may bolauses. .3. # 325	In Table 169-6, footn SuggestedRemedy Merge footnote a and Proposed Response Cl 179B SC 179B.2 Brown, Matt Comment Type E Variable subscripts s represents another variable subscripts s	otes a and b are identical. I b into a single footnote. <i>Response Status</i> O .1 P823 Alphawave So <i>Comment Status</i> X hould be normal font rather tha ariable, e.g. an index, f_i where	emi an italic font unle e i is and index v	ss the subscript ariable.
service interface defined equivalent functionally to specification in the sam also be time to subdivid The same applies for C SuggestedRemedy Create a new subclause Consider organizing the Proposed Response C/ 178B SC 178B.11.4 Brown, Matt Comment Type T Use of possesive gramm is unecessary here. SuggestedRemedy Change "transmitter's" to	d in the previous sentence. C o a CR interface. The ILT is e way as done for CR (see 1 led the C2M functional speci 2C in Annex 176C. e similar 178.8.9 and 179.8.9 functional specification into <i>Response Status</i> O P802 Alphawave Se <i>Comment Status</i> X	C2M interface is a rather major fu (79.8.9) and KR fications into sub ain Annex 176C. subclauses.	defined as being unction and deserves & (see 178.8.9). It may bolauses. .3. # <u>325</u>	In Table 169-6, footn SuggestedRemedy Merge footnote a and Proposed Response Cl 179B SC 179B.2 Brown, Matt Comment Type E Variable subscripts s represents another variable subscripts subscripts s	otes a and b are identical. I b into a single footnote. <i>Response Status</i> O 1 P823 Alphawave Se <i>Comment Status</i> X hould be normal font rather tha ariable, e.g. an index, f_i where ap	emi an italic font unle e i is and index v	ss the subscript ariable.

C/ 183	SC 183.7.1	P 512	L 29	# 329	C/ 119	SC 119.2.4.1	.2 P	174	L17	# 331
Landry, Gar	ry	Texas Instrum	ents		Zimmerma	an, George	ADI	,APLgp,C	isco,Marvell,OnS	Semi,Sony
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status X			Comment	Type ER	Comment Statu	s X		
	1A limits for higl q 183-1).	her TECQ/TDECQ values are	referenced to a	n equation outside the	state of	diagram - leads t		dering trip	through several	places in IEEE Std
Suggested	Remedy						confusion than clari			because the state Note it took a long time
		and maintain parallel structur al equation into the table	re to to other cla	uses (e.g., 180, 181,			scription - much lor			
Proposed R	Response	Response Status 0			could (which	have been stated is still in 802.3d	f, not 802.3-2022),	ends you which ha	to Table 172-1 fc s little content ex	or the mapping itself cept to point to the
C/ 183	SC 183.7.1	P 512	L 31	# 330						which then says "the hich is 9 subsections
_andry, Gai	ry	Texas Instrum	ents				3 encoding, and itse you're done, it is dif			
	51	Comment Status X her TECQ/TDECQ values are	referenced to a	n equation outside the	encod on me	ing/decoding ma	p ends up. If the st e largely teh mappi	ateless de	escription is to pr	ovide clarity, it is lost pe pointed to directly,
SuggestedF	Remedy				Suggested	dRemedy				
		and maintain parallel structur al equation into the table	re to to other cla	uses (e.g., 180, 181,	The st			ocks base	ed only on the cur	rent and preceding
Proposed F	Response	Response Status O			transfe placing TXD< uses t tx_coo LBLO EBLO	g TXC<0> thorou 0> thorugh TXD< he constants LE ded defined in 1 CK_T, and when	igh TXC<7> in tx_ra 63> in tx_raw<8> t BLOCK_T and EBL0 19.2.6.2.1. When ru an invalid block typ e the encoding follo	aw<0> thr hrough tx_ DCK_T an eset is one be is speci	rough tx_raw<7>, _raw<71>, respect nd the variables re e, the encoder ou ified (see Table 1	ctively. The encoder eset, tx_raw, and utputs the value of
					Simila	rly change text o	f 119.2.8.2 as abov	e for the c	decoder.	

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

CI FM SC FM P1 L33 # 332 Zimmeman, George ADIAPLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy ADIAPLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type E Comment Status X CI FM SC FM P13 L1 # 333 Zimmerman, George ADIAPLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy ADIAPLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy ADIAPLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type E Comment Status X Likely that 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendmen		•					0		
Comment Type E Comment Status X Likely that this draft will need to consider amendments 802.3da and 802.3da, both of which are sheed of 1 in the processe. Commenter review of 802.3da in working group ballot has noted some overlaps with this amendment. SuggestedRemedy Add 802.3da and 802.3da to the list of amendments considered. Editors are encouraged to review the draft for consistency with 802.3da especially. Proposed Response Response Status O CI FM SC FM P13 L1 # 1333 Zimmerman, George ADI.APLgp.Cisco.Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type E Comment Status X Likely that 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts should be included. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Likely that 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts should be included. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X As the editor's note indicates Annex 186A doesn't have content at this time. Arguably it is informative and therefore not for technical completeness. X SuggestedRemedy Consider Plance Plan	C/FM SC FM	P1	L 33	# 332	C/ 185A	SC 185A.1	P 859	L16	# 335
Likely has this draft will need to consider amendments \$82.3da and 802.3dk is hoth of which are ahead of it in the process. Commenter's review of 802.3dk in working group ballot has noted some overlaps with this amendment. The annex only contains a single methodology (ETCC), and it really doesn't define the prameter - it specifies the method of calculation. Suggested/Remedy Add 802.3dk to the list of amendments considered. Editors are encouraged to review the draft for consistency with 802.3dk especially. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ FM P13 L1 # 333 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco.Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Popsed Response Response Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X This section says, "The method and ETCC Consult with 802.3 leadership on likely amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk form the latest drafts of those. Proposed Response Response Status X Proposed Response Response Status X Comment Status X This sections and 187.9 ¹ .1 none of this is defined in 187.9 ¹ .1 none of this is defined in 187.9 ¹ .1 none of this is defined in 187.9 ¹ .1 none of this is defined in 187.9 ¹ .1 none of this is defined in 187.9 ¹ .1 none of this is defined in 187.9 ¹ .1 none of this is defined in 187.9 ¹ .1 none of this is defined in 187.9 ¹ .1 none of this is defined in 187.9 ¹ .1 none of this is defined in 187.9 ¹ .1 none of this is defined in 187.9 ¹ .1 none of this is defined in 187.9 ¹ .1 none of this is defined in 187.9 ¹ .1 n	Zimmerman, George	ADI,APLgp,Ci	isco,Marvell,OnS	emi,Sony	Zimmerma	an, George	ADI,APLgp,C	isco,Marvell,On	Semi,Sony
are ahead of the the process. Commenter's review to 802.3dk in working group ballot has noted some overlaps with this amendment. Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy Add 802.3da and 802.3dk to the list of amendments considered. Editors are encouraged to review the draft for consistency with 802.3dk especially. Repares Ext of 185.1 text with: "This annex defines the method for measuring and computing the Extended transmitter constellation closure (ETCC). The ETCC is a proposed Response Status O CI FM SC FM P13 L1 # 333 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X X Suggested/Remedy Consult with 802.3 deadership on likely amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk irom the latest drafts of those. Proposed Response Response Status X Proposed Response Response Status O O Consult with 802.3 leadership on likely amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk irom the latest drafts of those. Not seed for to to indicate show that an in between reference that just points the reader on to another section - better point to 185A, and that tables drafts of those. Proposed Response Response Status O O C1 186A SC 186A P 668 L17 # 334 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi	Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Add 802.3da and 802.3dk to the list of amendments considered. Editors are encouraged to review the draft for consistency with 802.3dk especially. Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status 0 CI FM SC FM P13 L1 # 333 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X This section says. "The method and ETCC Calculation are defined in 187.9." but when I look at 187.9. I only find that it is comput using the test setup and calculation defined in 187.9." but when I look at 187.9. I only find that it is comput using the test setup and calculation are defined in 187.9." but when I look at 187.9. I only find that it is comput using the test setup and calculation are defined in 187.9." but when I look at 187.9. I only find that it is comput using the test setup and calculation defined in 187.9." but when I look at 187.9. I only find that it is comput using the test setup and calculation are defined in 187.9." but when I look at 187.9. I only find that it is comput using the test setup and calculation are defined in 187.9." but when I look at 187.9. I only find that it is comput using the test setup and calculation are defined in 187.9." but when I look at 187.9. I only find that it is comput using the test setup and calculation are defined in 187.9." but when I look at 187.9. I only find that it is comput using the test setup and calculation are defined in 187.9." but when I look at 187.9. I only find that it is comput using the test setup and calculation are defined in 187.9." but when I look at 187.9. I only find that it is comput using the test setup and calculation are defined in 187.9	are ahead of it in the	process. Commenter's review						CC), and it really	doesn't define the
Add 802.3da and 802.3dk to the list of amendments considered. Editors are encouraged to review the draft for consistency with 802.3dx especially. Proposed Response Response Status O CI FM SC FM P13 L1 # 333 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X This section says, "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in T87.9." but when I look at 187.9, I only find that it is comput uses for front end in Tables 187.12 and 187.13) - one of this is defines the method and ETCC SuggestedRemedy Consult with 802.3 leadership on likely amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in	noted some overlaps	s with this amendment.			Suggested	Remedy			
review the draft for consistency with 802.3dk especially. Proposed Response Response Status CI FM SC FM P13 L1 # 333 Comment Type E Comment Status X ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Likely that 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts should be included. Suggested/Remedy Consult with 802.3dk will publish before this amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this astracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk trom the latest drafts of those. No. another section and the sectin and the section and the section and the section and t	,								
Proposed Response Response Status O CI_FM_SC_FM_P13 L1 # 333 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type E Comment Status X Likely that 802.3da and 802.3da will publish before this amendment their abstracts should be included. SuggestedRemedy Consult with 802.3 leadership on likely amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk from the latest drafts of those. O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 186A SC 186A P 868 L 17 # 334 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Change "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 185.4. using the parameters in the Tables 187-12 and 187-13." Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type T Comment Status X As the editor's note indicates Annex 186A doesn't have content at this time. Arguably it is informative and therefore not for technical completeness. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Ci 185A SC 185A.2.5.2 P 865 L 39 # 337 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type <t< td=""> Comment Type<t< td=""> Comment Status X</t<></t<>				tors are encouraged to	•	0		losure (ETCC).	The ETCC is a
Cl FM SC FM P13 L1 # 333 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type E Comment Status X Likely that 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts should be included. Xummerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony SuggestedRemedy Consult with 802.3 leadership on likely amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk from the latest drafts of those. Omment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 186A SC 186A P 868 L 17 # 334 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type T Comment Status X Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Status X As the editor's note indicates Annex 186A doesn't have content at this time. Arguably it is informative and therefore not for technical completeness. SuggestedRemedy Cl 185A SC 185A: SC 185A: SC 1285A: SC 2 P 865 L 39 # 337 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Considered relevant to completeness. SuggestedRemedy Cl 185A SC 185A	Proposed Response	Response Status O			roposou	Response			
Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type E Comment Status X Likely that 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts should be included. Consult with 802.3 leadership on likely amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk from the latest drafts of those. Consult with 802.3 leadership on likely amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk from the latest drafts of those. Consult with 802.3 leadership on likely amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk from the latest drafts of those. No. APL gp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony <i>CI</i> 186A SC 186A P 868 L 17 # 334 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Change "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 187.9." to "The method and ETCC calculation are defined in 185.4." Sc 185A.2.5.2 C/ 186A SC 186A. SC 185A					C/ 187	SC 187.8.6	P 643	L 44	# 336
Comment Type E Comment Status X Likely that 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts should be included. SuggestedRemedy Consult with 802.3 leadership on likely amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk from the latest drafts of those. Proposed Response Response Response Status O C/ 186A SC 186A P868 L17 # 334 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type T Comment Status X As the edifor's note indicates Annex 186A doesn't have content at this time. Arguably it is informative and therefore not for technical completeness, but also, it does not appear to be referenced elsewhere in the draft, making it difficult to tell whether the material should be considered relevant to completeness. SuggestedRemedy Either include test vectors at initial WG ballot and provide some link in the normative text explaining where and how it is informative, or delete Annex 186A.	C/FM SC FM	P 13	L1	# 333	Zimmerma	an, George	ADI,APLgp,C	isco,Marvell,On	Semi,Sony
Likely that 802.3da and 802.3dk will publish before this amendment their abstracts should be included. SuggestedRemedy Consult with 802.3 leadership on likely amendment order, insert abstracts for 802.3da and 802.3dk from the latest drafts of those. Proposed Response Response Response Status O C/ 186A SC 186A P868 L17 # 334 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type T Comment Status X As the editor's note indicates Annex 186A doesn't have content at this time. Arguably it is informative and therefore not for technical completeness, but also, it does not appear to be referenced elsewhere in the draft, making it difficult to tell whether the material should be considered relevant to completeness. SuggestedRemedy Either include test vectors at initial WG ballot and provide some link in the normative text explaining where and how it is informative, or delete Annex 186A.	Zimmerman, George	ADI,APLgp,Ci	isco,Marvell,OnS	emi,Sony	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
C/ 186A SC 186A P 868 L 17 # 334 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type T Comment Status X As the editor's note indicates Annex 186A doesn't have content at this time. Arguably it is informative and therefore not for technical completeness, but also, it does not appear to be referenced elsewhere in the draft, making it difficult to tell whether the material should be considered relevant to completeness. C/ 185A SC 185A.2.5.2 P 865 L 39 # 337 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony C/ 185A SC 185A.2.5.2 P 865 L 39 # 337 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony C/ 185A SC 185A.2.5.2 P 865 L 39 # 337 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony C/ 185A SC 185A.2.5.2 P 865 L 39 # 337 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Either include test vectors at initial WG ballot and provide some link in the normative text explaining where and how it is informative, or delete Annex 186A. The required signal to noise ratio (in general) is not what is in equation 185A-2. Equation 185A-2 is the Required signal to noise ratio in the presence of vir	SuggestedRemedy Consult with 802.3 le		order, insert abst	tracts for 802.3da and	front e calcul tables	nd in Tables 187 ation - it just point directly rather that	-12 and 187-13) - none of th ts the reader on to another s	is is defines the section - better po	method and oint to 185A and the
Cl 186A SC 186A P868 L17 # 334 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type T Comment Status X As the editor's note indicates Annex 186A doesn't have content at this time. Arguably it is informative and therefore not for technical completeness, but also, it does not appear to be referenced elsewhere in the draft, making it difficult to tell whether the material should be considered relevant to completeness. Cl 185A SC 185A.2.5.2 P865 L39 # 337 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Cl 185A SC 185A.2.5.2 P865 L39 # 337 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Either include test vectors at initial WG ballot and provide some link in the normative text explaining where and how it is informative, or delete Annex 186A. Cl 185A-2 is the Required signal to noise ratio in the presence of virtual ASE. (RSNR_ase not just RSNR.	Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested	Remedy			
Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type T Comment Status X As the editor's note indicates Annex 186A doesn't have content at this time. Arguably it is informative and therefore not for technical completeness, but also, it does not appear to be considered relevant to completeness. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 185A SC 185A.2.5.2 P 865 L 39 # 337 Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Either include test vectors at initial WG ballot and provide some link in the normative text explaining where and how it is informative, or delete Annex 186A. The required signal to noise ratio (in general) is not what is in equation 185A-2. Equation 185A-2 is the Required signal to noise ratio in the presence of virtual ASE. (RSNR_ase not just RSNR.							nd ETCC calculation are de	fined in 187.9." to	o "The method and
Comment Type T Comment Status X As the editor's note indicates Annex 186A doesn't have content at this time. Arguably it is informative and therefore not for technical completeness, but also, it does not appear to be referenced elsewhere in the draft, making it difficult to tell whether the material should be considered relevant to completeness. SuggestedRemedy Either include test vectors at initial WG ballot and provide some link in the normative text explaining where and how it is informative, or delete Annex 186A. CI 185A SC 185A.2.5.2 P865 L 39 # <u>337</u> Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type T Comment Status X The required signal to noise ratio (in general) is not what is in equation 185A-2. Equation 185A-2 is the Required signal to noise ratio in the presence of virtual ASE. (RSNR_ase not just RSNR.	C/ 186A SC 186A	P 868	L17	# 334	calcul	ation are defined	in 185A, using the paramete	ers in the Tables	187-12 and 187-13.
As the editor's note indicates Annex 186A doesn't have content at this time. Arguably it is informative and therefore not for technical completeness, but also, it does not appear to be referenced elsewhere in the draft, making it difficult to tell whether the material should be considered relevant to completeness. $SuggestedRemedy$ Either include test vectors at initial WG ballot and provide some link in the normative text explaining where and how it is informative, or delete Annex 186A.	Zimmerman, George	ADI,APLgp,Ci	isco,Marvell,OnS	emi,Sony	Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
informative and therefore not for technical completeness, but also, it does not appear to be referenced elsewhere in the draft, making it difficult to tell whether the material should be considered relevant to completeness. SuggestedRemedy Either include test vectors at initial WG ballot and provide some link in the normative text explaining where and how it is informative, or delete Annex 186A. C/ 185A SC 185A.2.5.2 P865 L 39 # <u>337</u> Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony Comment Type T Comment Status X The required signal to noise ratio (in general) is not what is in equation 185A-2. Equation 185A-2 is the Required signal to noise ratio in the presence of virtual ASE. (RSNR_ase not just RSNR.	Comment Type T	Comment Status X							
considered relevant to completeness. SuggestedRemedy Either include test vectors at initial WG ballot and provide some link in the normative text explaining where and how it is informative, or delete Annex 186A. Comment Type T Comment Status X The required signal to noise ratio in the presence of virtual ASE. (RSNR_ase not just RSNR.	informative and there	efore not for technical complete	ness, but also, it	does not appear to be	C/ 185A	SC 185A.2.5.	2 P865	L 39	# 337
SuggestedRemedy Either include test vectors at initial WG ballot and provide some link in the normative text explaining where and how it is informative, or delete Annex 186A. The required signal to noise ratio (in general) is not what is in equation 185A-2. Equation 185A-2 is the Required signal to noise ratio in the presence of virtual ASE. (RSNR_ase not just RSNR.			to tell whether the	e material should be				cisco,Marvell,On	Semi,Sony
Either include test vectors at initial WG ballot and provide some link in the normative text explaining where and how it is informative, or delete Annex 186A. 185A-2 is the Required signal to noise ratio in the presence of virtual ASE. (RSNR_ase not just RSNR.	SuggestedRemedy					51		what is in equat	ion 1854-2 Equation
Proposed Response Response Status O Suggested Remedy				in the normative text	185A-	2 is the Required			
Cuyyotou tomouy	Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Suggested	Remedy			

SuggestedRemedy

change "required signal to noise ratio (RSNR)" to "required signal to noise ratio in the presence of virtual ASE (RSNR_ase)" at line 39

Proposed Response Response Status 0

/ 185A	SC 185A.2.5.2	P 865	L 46	# 338	C/ 119	SC 119.2.4.1	P 174	L 27	# 339
mmerman,	George	ADI,APLgp,Ci	sco,Marvell,OnS	Semi,Sony	Zimmerma	in, George	ADI,APLgp,C	isco,Marvell,On	Semi,Sony
omment Ty	rpe E	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR C	Comment Status X		
DeltaRS	NR_trx doesn't	relate to "RSNR" in equatior	185A-3, it relat	es to RSNR_ASE.			applies to the same state		
uggestedRe	emedy						ext, which correctly descr by improper text which i		
Change	RSNR to RSNR	ase at line 46					2.3 is an IMPLEMENTAT		State diagram
roposed Re	esponse	Response Status O					coder" and "using the al		
							not a behavior. IEEE St nagic) that produces the		
							ent, not a shall. If you fix		
					"altern	ative stateless encod	ler" stuff, which I presum	e produces the	same output. (see nez
							nderstand that it may be hat behavior is without a		
						U .	nt that this describes, ap		•
							ere it says "The PCS sh		
							ization, Transmit, and R 19-14 and 119-15 are the		
						tively).			
							eds to be augmented with		
							rnative stateless encode is no scope issue I can		
						•	considered implementat		
					same differe	result. If they don't th	nedy is written assuming nen there is an interopera "stateless decoder" and	ability issue and	the option and
							fect exists, uncaught in I I to be addressed there in		lf. When this is prope
					Suggestea	IRemedy			
					119.2.				
						se the strikeout of P1	74 L27 through 30. 0 ("The transmit PCS"	through the edit	or's note) with
							cribed as a stateless en		
							2.4.1.1 after these edits)		
						119.2.4.1.1 heading	(now 119.2.4.1.1) to Stat	eless encoder o	description
					onang	JO 1110 01 110.2.4.1.2	10.2.4.1.1/10 014		
					119.2.	5.8 strikeout of P175 L36	6		
							o. ext of 119.2.5.8.1) to P1	75 L37,	
					Delete	header 119.2.5.8.1.	,		
							ceive PCS") through F cribed as a stateless de		
							UNDUA 43 4 314101033 UD		

Comment ID 339 Pag

Page 66 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:53 PM

Change title of 119.2.5.8.2 (now 119.2.5.8.1) to Stateless decoder description.

119.7.4.1 (Page 180) Delete option "*SE" Uses stateless encoder row Change TF2 to "Transmit 64B/65B complies with Figure 119-14", change subclause reference to 119.2.6.3. change Status to M Delete TF3 row. 119.7.4.2 (page 181) Delete option "*SD" Uses stateless decoder row Change row RF7 Feature to Complies with Figure 119-14, subclause reference to 119.2.6.3, change status to M Delete RF8 row

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 175 SC 175.6 P280 L17 # 340

de Koos, Andras

Microchip Technology

Comment Type E Comment Status X

phrasing is awkward: "... path delays are reported as if ..., and the PCS timesvnc multilane ability variable is asserted. Does this mean that path data delays are reported as if the

PCS timesvnc multilane ability variable is asserted?

The text says "report as if A, and B" when it should say "when B is true, report as if A".

SugaestedRemedv

Rephrase as the sentence as:

When the PCS_timesync_multilane_ability variable is asserted, the transmit and receive path data delays are reported as if the DDMP (data delay measurement point) is at the start of the set of four interleaved RS-FEC codewords (see 90.7)

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 169	SC 169.4	P 196	L12	# 341	
--------	----------	-------	-----	-------	--

de Koos, Andras Microchip Technology

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The main reason for specifying the max delay constraints is to accommodate PAUSE reach - given the delays in the near-end and far-end physical layers, and given the buffer depth on the near-end, there is a maximum length of medium that can be supported while guaranteeing no buffer overflow when using link PAUSE.

What are the max delays through the near-end and far-end physical layers? It is not at all clear.

Would the near-end buffer device be designed with some awareness of the near-end physical laver's composition? Maybe, maybe not,

There is never any awareness of the far-end physical layer's componsition. Crucially, the far end may or may not have an MII extender, which adds 2*800ns due to the extra PCSs (plus the delays through the extra PMA layers).

As written, the standard is not very helpful in figuring out the maximum possible delay through the entirety of the physical layer given the range of possible physical layer stacks. To be fair, this deficiency has existed since MII-Extenders were introduced for 200G and 400G PHYs. Before MII extenders, the range of physical layer stacks were quite limited, so the delay error-bars due to an extra AUI+PMA, for example, were small.

Same comment can apply to 200Gb/s, 400Gb/s and 1.6Tb/s clauses.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider adding the values that an implementor needs, i.e. the worst-case delay (i.e. over ALL possible physical layer stacks) through the entire physical layer, per PMD type.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 180 SC 1	80.7.3	P 441	L 46	# 342	C/ 181	SC 181.7.3	P 465	L 35	# 344
hiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatu	ım/Marvell		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunat	um/Marvell	
omment Type	TR C	comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
MPI/DGP pena DR2/800GBAS		would be too small for 20 3ASE-DR8	00GBASE-DR1/	400GBASE-	MPI/D0 Suggested		5 dB would be too small for 8	300GBASE-FR4-	-500
MZM. Analysis ER=3.5, see h and https://ww Given that Tab reflectacen of with ~ 0.3 dB t Require followi Table 180-9 pc Table 180-7 av increases by +	PI penalty wer s need to be h https://www.ie w.ieee802.org ole 180-12 witi -45 dB and -3 otal penalty. ing adjsutmer ower budget ir verage launch -0.2 dB verage receive	re evaluated with ER of 5 based on SER of 5.6E-4, ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ g/3/dj/public/25_05/johns h 8 discrete reflectance - 5 dB has 0.15 dB of MPI nts: ncreases from 6.5 dB to power increases from - e power increases from -	, with half the los _05/ghiasi_3dj_ son_3dj_01a_25 -55 dB and -45 d I penalty with ad 6.7 dB 3.3 dBm to -3.1	ss at mid-span, and 01b_2505.pdf 05.pdf IB and zero discrete Idtion of ~0.18 dB, or dBm, OMA(min)	The BS MZM. ER=3.9 and htt Given t reflecta with ~ Requir Table 2 increas Table 2	S/CD MPI penalt Analysis need to 5, see https://w ps://www.ieee8(that double link l acen of -45 dB a 0.7 dB total pen- e following adjst 180-9 power buc 181-5 average la ses by +0.2 dB 181-6 average re niasi_3dj_02_250	utments: lget increases from 7.4 dB to aunch power increases from eceive power increases from	4, with half the lo 5_05/ghiasi_3dj_ son_3dj_01a_25 5 dB and -45 dB penalty with add 0 7.6 dB -2.2 dBm to -2 d	ss at mid-span, and 01b_2505.pdf i05.pdf and 4 discrete dtion of ~0.18 dB, or Bm, OMA(min)
roposed Respons	se Re	esponse Status O							
			1.40	# 0.10	C/ 181	SC 181.9.5	P 471	L 35	# 345
/ 180 SC 1	80.9.5	P 448	L18	# 343	Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunat	um/Marvell	
hiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatu	ım/Marvell		Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Contribution https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/chayeb_3dj_01_2505.pdf showed that for some weired FFE setting still one may have compliant TDECQ but BER can degrade with this 100G DSP likley due to timing recovery

SuggestedRemedy

Contribution https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/ghiasi_3dj_02a_2407.pdf with data from several suppliers was used to set the limits for TDECQ. Limiting the taps can result in many good modules and we are not sure given that we have link training if this type of problem still exist for weired transmitter FFE settigns. Any limit on TDECQ FFE taps must not result in failing good modules, looking at the data in Chayeb the following tap adjsutments will have minimum impact on module yield and will address the case of weired transmitter FFE casuing receive BER floor:

Change C(1) from 0.2 to to 0.1 and add following restriction Max C(1)-C(-1) taps=0.4 Other improvements are is to use Block TDECQ and functional hardware receiver see https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghiasi_3dj_03a_2505.pdf see ghiasi_3dj_03_2507

Proposed Response Response Status O

Contribution https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/chayeb_3dj_01_2505.pdf showed that for some weired FFE setting still one may have compliant TDECQ but BER can degrade with this 100G DSP likley due to timing recovery

SuggestedRemedy

Contribution https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_07/ghiasi_3dj_02a_2407.pdf with data from several suppliers was used to set the limits for TDECQ. Limiting the taps can result in many good modules and we are not sure given that we have link training if this type of problem still exist for weired transmitter FFE settigns. Any limit on TDECQ FFE taps must not result in failing good modules, looking at the data in Chayeb the following tap adjsutments will have minimum impact on module yield and will address the case of weired transmitter FFE casuing receive BER floor:

Change C(1) from 0.2 to to 0.1 and add following restriction Max C(1)-C(-1) taps=0.4 Other improvements are is to use Block TDECQ and functional hardware receiver see https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghiasi_3dj_03a_2505.pdf see ghiasi_3dj_03_2507

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 345

Page 68 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:53 PM

	C 182.7.3	P 491	L33	# 346	C/ 178	SC 178.9.3.7	7 P 369	L13	# 240
C/ 182 SC Ghiasi, Ali	J 102.7.3	P 491 Ghiasi Qunatu		# 340	Ghiasi, Ali	50 170.9.3.7	Ghiasi Quna	-	# 348
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X	lluin/iviaiveii	
		would be excessive for 2		2/400GBASE-DR2-			RLcd was 50 GHz, going up	to 50 GHz is not	t adequite
	E-DR4-2/1.6TE			2/40000A3E-DIV2-			TLECU Was 50 OT 12, going up		auequie
uggestedReme	edv				SuggestedRei	-	C7 OU-		
	•	ere evaluated with ER of 5	5 dB which is too	high for 200G Si	00	o increase to			
ER=3.5, se	e https://www.i	based on SER of 9.6E-3, eee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ rg/3/dj/public/25_05/johns	_05/ghiasi_3dj_0)1b_2505.pdf	Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status O		
Given that d	double link with	8 discrete reflectance -55	5 dB and -45 dB l	MPI penalty is 0.09 dB	C/ 183 S	SC 183.9.5	P 522	L18	# 349
dB.	aity with addition	of ~0.18 dB, or with ~ 0.3	3 dB total penalty	y instead of current 0.5	Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Quna	tum/Marvell	
Require follo	owing adjsutme				Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		
Table 182-7 increases by	′ average laund y +0.2 dB	decreases from 7.8 dB to h power increases from -3 e power increases from -7	3.3 dBm to -3.1 o		that for so	me weired F	/w.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25 FE setting still one may hav G DSP likley due to timing re	e compliant TDE	
sensitivity be	ecomes -1.9 dl	Bm, and receive sensitivity			SuggestedRei	medy			
See ghiasi_:	.3dj_02_2507						/w.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24		
Proposed Respo		esponse Status O			in many g problem s not result	ood modules till exist for w in failing goo	was used to set the limits for and we are not sure given the veired transmitter FFE settig ad moduels, looking at the data	that we have link ns. Any limit on ata in Chayeb the	training if this type of IDECQ FFE taps mus following tap
/ 182 SC	C 182.9.5	P 498	L18	# 347			minimum impact on module ng receive BER floor:	yield and will add	lress the case of weire
			ım/Marvell						
,		Ghiasi Qunatu			Change C	(1) from 0.2	to to 0.1 and add following r	estriction Max C(1)-C(-1) taps=0.4
omment Type		Comment Status X			Other imp	rovements a	re is to use Block TDECQ a	nd functional har	dware receiver
<i>Comment Type</i> Contribution	https://www.ie	Comment Status X ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_	05/chayeb_3dj_(01_2505.pdf showed 0 but BER can	Other imp see https:	rovements a //www.ieee80	re is to use Block TDECQ a 02.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghia	nd functional har	dware receiver
omment Type Contribution that for som	https://www.ie e weired FFE s	Comment Status X	05/chayeb_3dj_(compliant TDEC	01_2505.pdf showed Q but BER can	Other imp see https: see ghiasi	rovements a //www.ieee80 i_3dj_03_250	re is to use Block TDECQ a 02.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghia 07	nd functional har	dware receiver
Comment Type Contribution that for som degrade with	https://www.ie ne weired FFE s h this 100G DS	Comment Status X ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ setting still one may have	05/chayeb_3dj_(compliant TDEC	01_2505.pdf showed Q but BER can	Other imp see https:	rovements a //www.ieee80 i_3dj_03_250	re is to use Block TDECQ a 02.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghia	nd functional har	dware receiver
omment Type Contribution that for som degrade with uggestedReme Contribution	https://www.ie ne weired FFE s h this 100G DS edy h https://www.ie	Comment Status X ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ setting still one may have P likley due to timing reco ee802.org/3/dj/public/24_	05/chayeb_3dj_(compliant TDEC overy 07/ghiasi_3dj_02	Q but BER can 2a_2407.pdf with data	Other imp see https: see ghiasi	rovements a //www.ieee80 i_3dj_03_250	re is to use Block TDECQ a 02.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghia 07	nd functional har	dware receiver
Contribution that for som degrade with uggestedReme Contribution from several	h https://www.ie he weired FFE s h this 100G DS edy h https://www.ie l suppliers was	Comment Status X ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ setting still one may have P likley due to timing reco ee802.org/3/dj/public/24_ used to set the limits for	05/chayeb_3dj_(compliant TDEC overy 07/ghiasi_3dj_02 TDECQ. Limitir	Q but BER can 2a_2407.pdf with data ng the taps can result	Other imp see https: see ghiasi Proposed Res	rovements a //www.ieee80 i_3dj_03_250	re is to use Block TDECQ a 02.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghia 07 <i>Response Status</i> 0	nd functional har	dware receiver
omment Type Contribution that for som degrade with uggestedReme Contribution from severa in many goo problem still	h https://www.ie he weired FFE s h this 100G DS edy h https://www.ie al suppliers was od modules and l exist for weire	Comment Status X ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ eetting still one may have P likley due to timing reco ee802.org/3/dj/public/24_ used to set the limits for I we are not sure given that d transmitter FFE settigns	05/chayeb_3dj_(compliant TDEC overy 07/ghiasi_3dj_02 TDECQ. Limitir at we have link to s. Any limit on T	Q but BER can 2a_2407.pdf with data ng the taps can result raining if this type of DECQ FFE taps must	Other imp see https: see ghiasi Proposed Res	rovements a //www.ieee80 _3dj_03_250 ponse	re is to use Block TDECQ a 02.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghia 07 <i>Response Status</i> 0	nd functional hard asi_3dj_03a_2505	dware receiver 5.pdf
contribution that for som degrade with uggestedReme Contribution from severa in many goo problem still not result in	https://www.ie e weired FFE s h this 100G DS edy https://www.ie l suppliers was od modules and l exist for weire failing good m	Comment Status X ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ eetting still one may have P likley due to timing reco ee802.org/3/dj/public/24_ used to set the limits for I we are not sure given that d transmitter FFE settigns oduels, looking at the data	05/chayeb_3dj_(compliant TDEC overy 07/ghiasi_3dj_02 TDECQ. Limitir at we have link to s. Any limit on T a in Chayeb the f	Q but BER can 2a_2407.pdf with data ng the taps can result raining if this type of DECQ FFE taps must following tap	Other imp see https: see ghiasi Proposed Res Cl 176D	rovements a //www.ieee8(i_3dj_03_25(sponse SC 176D.7.2	re is to use Block TDECQ a 02.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghia 07 <i>Response Status</i> O 2 P 748	nd functional hard asi_3dj_03a_2505	dware receiver 5.pdf
contribution that for som degrade with uggestedReme Contribution from several in many goo problem still not result in adjsutments transmitter F	https://www.ie e weired FFE s h this 100G DS edy https://www.ie al suppliers was od modules and l exist for weire failing good m s will have mini FFE casuing re	Comment Status X ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ eetting still one may have P likley due to timing reco ee802.org/3/dj/public/24_ used to set the limits for t we are not sure given tha d transmitter FFE settigns oduels, looking at the data num impact on module yi ceive BER floor:	05/chayeb_3dj_(compliant TDEC overy 07/ghiasi_3dj_02 TDECQ. Limitir at we have link to s. Any limit on T a in Chayeb the f ield and will addr	Q but BER can 2a_2407.pdf with data ng the taps can result raining if this type of DECQ FFE taps must following tap ess the case of weired	Other imp see https: see ghiasi Proposed Res Cl 176D Ghiasi, Ali Comment Typ The partia	e TR	re is to use Block TDECQ a 02.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghia 07 <i>Response Status</i> 0 2 2 2 2 3 3 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	nd functional hard asi_3dj_03a_2505 	dware receiver 5.pdf # <u>350</u>
Contribution that for som degrade with uggestedReme Contribution from several in many goo problem still not result in adjsutments transmitter F Change C(1 Other impro	h https://www.ie ie weired FFE s h this 100G DS edy h https://www.ie il suppliers was od modules and l exist for weire failing good m s will have minis FFE casuing re l) from 0.2 to to ovements are is	Comment Status X ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ setting still one may have P likley due to timing reco ee802.org/3/dj/public/24_ used to set the limits for I we are not sure given that d transmitter FFE settigns oduels, looking at the data num impact on module yi ceive BER floor: 0.1 and add following res to use Block TDECQ and	05/chayeb_3dj_(compliant TDEC overy 07/ghiasi_3dj_02 TDECQ. Limitir at we have link tr s. Any limit on T a in Chayeb the f ield and will addr striction Max C(1 d functional hards	22_2407.pdf with data by the taps can result raining if this type of DECQ FFE taps must following tap ess the case of weired)-C(-1) taps=0.4 ware receiver	Other imp see https: see ghiasi Proposed Res Cl 176D Ghiasi, Ali Comment Typ The partia	rovements a //www.ieee8(i_3dj_03_25(ponse SC 176D.7.2 e TR I channel is o S-Parameter	re is to use Block TDECQ a 02.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghia 07 <i>Response Status</i> 0 2 2 2 2 3 3 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	nd functional hard asi_3dj_03a_2505 	dware receiver 5.pdf # <u>350</u>
that for som degrade with SuggestedReme Contribution from several in many goo problem still not result in adjsutments transmitter F Change C(1 Other impro see https://w	h https://www.ie ie weired FFE s h this 100G DS edy h https://www.ie il suppliers was od modules and l exist for weire failing good m s will have minis FFE casuing re l) from 0.2 to to vements are is www.ieee802.0	Comment Status X ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ setting still one may have P likley due to timing reco ee802.org/3/dj/public/24_ used to set the limits for I we are not sure given that d transmitter FFE settigns boduels, looking at the data mum impact on module yi ceive BER floor: 0.1 and add following res	05/chayeb_3dj_(compliant TDEC overy 07/ghiasi_3dj_02 TDECQ. Limitir at we have link tr s. Any limit on T a in Chayeb the f ield and will addr striction Max C(1 d functional hards	22_2407.pdf with data by the taps can result raining if this type of DECQ FFE taps must following tap ess the case of weired)-C(-1) taps=0.4 ware receiver	Other imp see https: see ghiasi Proposed Res Cl 176D Ghiasi, Ali Comment Typ The partia complete SuggestedRei	rovements a //www.ieee8(i_3dj_03_25(ponse SC 176D.7.2 e TR I channel is o S-Parameter medy	re is to use Block TDECQ a 02.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghia 07 <i>Response Status</i> 0 2 2 2 2 3 3 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	nd functional hard asi_3dj_03a_2505 <i>L</i> 51 tum/Marvell nbly CR and not f	dware receiver 5.pdf # <u>350</u>
Comment Type Contribution that for som degrade with SuggestedReme Contribution from several in many goo problem still not result in adjsutments transmitter F Change C(1) Other impro see https://w	h https://www.ie he weired FFE s h this 100G DS edy h https://www.ie al suppliers was od modules and l exist for weire failing good m s will have minii FFE casuing re l) from 0.2 to to wements are is www.ieee802.0 3dj_03_2507	Comment Status X ee802.org/3/dj/public/25_ setting still one may have P likley due to timing reco ee802.org/3/dj/public/24_ used to set the limits for I we are not sure given that d transmitter FFE settigns oduels, looking at the data num impact on module yi ceive BER floor: 0.1 and add following res to use Block TDECQ and	05/chayeb_3dj_(compliant TDEC overy 07/ghiasi_3dj_02 TDECQ. Limitir at we have link tr s. Any limit on T a in Chayeb the f ield and will addr striction Max C(1 d functional hards	22_2407.pdf with data by the taps can result raining if this type of DECQ FFE taps must following tap ess the case of weired)-C(-1) taps=0.4 ware receiver	Other imp see https: see ghiasi Proposed Res Cl 176D Ghiasi, Ali Comment Typ The partia complete SuggestedRei	rovements a //www.ieee8(i_3dj_03_25(ponse SC 176D.7.2 e TR I channel is o S-Parameter medy annel not nee	re is to use Block TDECQ a 02.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ghia 7 <i>Response Status</i> 0 2 P748 Ghiasi Quna <i>Comment Status</i> X only needed for cable assen	nd functional hard asi_3dj_03a_2505 <i>L</i> 51 tum/Marvell nbly CR and not f	dware receiver 5.pdf # <u>350</u>

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 178	SC 178.9.2.7	P365	L12	# 251	C/ 176D	SC 176D	6.4	P 746	L38	# 252
	00 170.3.2.7			# 351			0.7			# 353
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatu	im/iviarveii		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qunat	um/Marvell	
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comr	nent Status X		
The refe	erence pacakge	A and B SDNR are known s	pecific value							2M or input caliburtion
SuggestedF	Remedv									in Sept 2024 and it has
00	these are the va	lue in			been n compli		nonths witho	ut any proof that us	ing jitter alone is	sufficent for receive
		g/3/dj/public/24_11/healey_3	dj 01 2411.pdf	page 5 at least for						
		o community reference SND			Suggested					
Proposed R	lesponse	Response Status O			capture receive	es amplitude er will observ	penalty and e the penalty	. In COM we use re	AM conversion ir eference equalize	n thre same way as er to determine
C/ 176D	SC 176D.6.3	P 745	L38	# 352						er and in OIF Linear Ve have not proven
		Ghiasi Qunatu			that dis	screte jitter m	easurement	s without a referecr	ie equalizer is su	fficent for C2M
Ghiasi, Ali										methdology works
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status X			otherw	ise replace if	with CKmet	hod or OIF EECQ b	efore going to SA	A ballot.
of stres	sor. We replace ore than 9 mont	ffective output compliance te ed VEC with with JRMS, EOJ hs without any proof that usin	I, and J4U back	in Sept 2024 and it has	Proposed I	•		nse Status O		"
SuggestedF	Remedy				C/ 176D	SC 176D	6.5	P 747	L12	# 354
00	2	captrues the jitter as shown	in ahiasi 3di 01	a 2400 but also	Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qunat	um/Marvell	
		alty and the effect of PM to A			Comment	Туре Т	Comr	nent Status X		
receiver complia	r will observe the ince, in 802.3ck	e penalty. In COM we use ref we used VEC/VEO with a re	ference equalize ference equalize	er to determine er and in OIF Linear		.3ck VCM(LF ith only 15 m	,	which is more than	a 2x larger than li	mit in the DJ draft at
		Q with reference equalizer for urements without a referected			Suggested	Remedy				

20 mV Proposed Response

that discrete jitter measurements without a referecne equalizer is sufficent for C2M compliance. Task force need to investigate either show that current methology works otherwise replace it with CKmethod or OIF EECQ before going to SA ballot.

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 354

Given that Module/TP4 would be the larget source of VCM(LF), recommend increasing to

Response Status **O**

C/ 176D SC 176D.8.7 P754 L20 # 355	C/ 176D SC 176D.8.7 P754 L 34 # 357
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell
omment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
The dSNDR procedure for host is not clear as some some of the paragraph are for	The dSNDR procedure for DUT measurement is missing
determination of reference SNDR but the last paragraph is for actual measurement of DUT SNDR.	SuggestedRemedy
 BuggestedRemedy Here are sugestions: Please separate the measurement of reference channel SNDR from measurement of DUT SNDR After definition of reference SNDR "calculate reference SNDR" In the 2nd part clarly identify this procedure is for measurement of DUT SNDR add to sentense "of 6 ps is used for measurement of DUT SNDR" Then last step is dSNDR=DUT SNDR - Ref SNDR 	The module inputs at TP1 on each lane are driven by asynchronous signals created PRBS31Q or PCS data, with transmit equalization (see 176D.8.6) set to preset 1, and calibrated a generator output with target maximum steady-state voltage as specified in Table 176D–3 and transition time of 6 ps is used for measurement of DUT SNDR. Proposed Response Response Status O
oposed Response Response Status O	
	C/ 176D SC 176D.8.1 P751 L50 # 358
176D SC 176D 8 7 P754 / 34 # 356	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell
	Ghiasi, AliGhiasi Qunatum/MarvellComment TypeTRComment StatusX
hiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell omment Type TR Comment Status X	
hiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Differential and common-mode signals are not defined in 93.8.1.3, just the figure is u
hiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell omment Type TR Comment Status X The dSNDR procedure for module is not clear as some some of the paragraph are for determination of reference SNDR but the last paragraph is for actual measurement of DUT SNDR.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Differential and common-mode signals are not defined in 93.8.1.3, just the figure is u for level definition. SuggestedRemedy Replace with, Differential and common-mode signal levels definition is given by 93.8
hiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell omment Type TR Comment Status X The dSNDR procedure for module is not clear as some some of the paragraph are for determination of reference SNDR but the last paragraph is for actual measurement of DUT SNDR. uggestedRemedy Here are sugestions: - Please separate the measurement of reference channel SNDR from measurement of	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Differential and common-mode signals are not defined in 93.8.1.3, just the figure is u for level definition. SuggestedRemedy Replace with, Differential and common-mode signal levels definition is given by 93.8 Proposed Response Response Status
hiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell fromment Type TR Comment Status X The dSNDR procedure for module is not clear as some some of the paragraph are for determination of reference SNDR but the last paragraph is for actual measurement of DUT SNDR. aggestedRemedy Here are sugestions: - Please separate the measurement of reference channel SNDR from measurement of DUT SNDR	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Differential and common-mode signals are not defined in 93.8.1.3, just the figure is u for level definition. SuggestedRemedy Replace with, Differential and common-mode signal levels definition is given by 93.8
hiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell fromment Type TR Comment Status X The dSNDR procedure for module is not clear as some some of the paragraph are for determination of reference SNDR but the last paragraph is for actual measurement of DUT SNDR. aggestedRemedy Here are sugestions: - Please separate the measurement of reference channel SNDR from measurement of DUT SNDR - After definition of reference SNDR "calculate reference SNDR" - In the 2nd part clarly identify this procedure is for measurement of DUT SNDR	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Differential and common-mode signals are not defined in 93.8.1.3, just the figure is u for level definition. SuggestedRemedy Replace with, Differential and common-mode signal levels definition is given by 93.8 Proposed Response Response Status
hiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell fromment Type TR Comment Status X The dSNDR procedure for module is not clear as some some of the paragraph are for determination of reference SNDR but the last paragraph is for actual measurement of DUT SNDR. uggestedRemedy Here are sugestions: - Please separate the measurement of reference channel SNDR from measurement of DUT SNDR - After definition of reference SNDR "calculate reference SNDR" - In the 2nd part clarly identify this procedure is for measurement of DUT SNDR - Then last step is dSNDR=DUT SNDR - Ref SNDR	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Differential and common-mode signals are not defined in 93.8.1.3, just the figure is u for level definition. SuggestedRemedy Replace with, Differential and common-mode signal levels definition is given by 93.8 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 176D SC 176D.8.1 P752 L13 # 359 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status X
hiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell fromment Type TR Comment Status X The dSNDR procedure for module is not clear as some some of the paragraph are for determination of reference SNDR but the last paragraph is for actual measurement of DUT SNDR. uggestedRemedy Here are sugestions: - Please separate the measurement of reference channel SNDR from measurement of DUT SNDR - After definition of reference SNDR "calculate reference SNDR" - In the 2nd part clarly identify this procedure is for measurement of DUT SNDR - Then last step is dSNDR=DUT SNDR - Ref SNDR	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Differential and common-mode signals are not defined in 93.8.1.3, just the figure is u for level definition. SuggestedRemedy Replace with, Differential and common-mode signal levels definition is given by 93.8 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 176D SC 176D.8.1 P752 L13 # 359 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell
Chiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status X The dSNDR procedure for module is not clear as some some of the paragraph are for determination of reference SNDR but the last paragraph is for actual measurement of DUT SNDR. SuggestedRemedy Here are sugestions: - Please separate the measurement of reference channel SNDR from measurement of DUT SNDR - After definition of reference SNDR "calculate reference SNDR" - In the 2nd part clarly identify this procedure is for measurement of DUT SNDR - Then last step is dSNDR=DUT SNDR - Ref SNDR	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Differential and common-mode signals are not defined in 93.8.1.3, just the figure is u for level definition. SuggestedRemedy Replace with, Differential and common-mode signal levels definition is given by 93.8 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 176D SC 176D.8.1 P752 L13 # 359 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status X

				-					
C/ 176D SC 176D.8.2	P 752	L 50	# 360	C/ 179	SC 179.9.4.8	3	P 403	L 35	# 363
ihiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Qunatu	um/Marvell		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qunat	um/Marvell	
<i>comment Type</i> TR C Not clear why Nbx is zero	Comment Status X			Comment 7 802.3cl		Comment S e return loss fre		up to 50 GHz	
uggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
Suggest to make Nbx=14 w	which number of fixed FF	E taps		We sho	ould at least ext	end the RLcc to	67 GHz.		
roposed Response Re	esponse Status O			Proposed F	Response	Response St	tatus O		
7 176D SC 176D.8.2	P 752	L 29	# 361	C/ 179	SC 179.9.4.9)	P 404	L35	# 364
ihiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Qunatu	um/Marvell		Ghiasi, Ali			Ghiasi Qunati	um/Marvell	
comment Type TR C	Comment Status X			Comment 7	Type TR	Comment S	Status X		
Line 30 says that "Tfx equal	I to twice the test fixture	delay", statemen	t is not clear.	802.3cl	k common mod	e to differential i	return loss fre	equency was up t	o 50 GHz
SuggestedRemedy Tfx for measurement of Hos Tfx for measurement of Moo				Suggested We sho	ould at least exte	end the RLdc to			
Suggest to move Tfx into th We shouldn't state in IEEE	ne table and make the ab standard "Tfx is provided	ove as footnotes	in the table.	Proposed F	Response	Response Si	tatus O		
Suggest to move Tfx into th We shouldn't state in IEEE about if fixture suplier doesr	ne table and make the ab standard "Tfx is provided n't!	ove as footnotes	in the table.	Proposed F	Response SC 176C.6.4		P 727	L 33	# 365
Suggest to move Tfx into th We shouldn't state in IEEE about if fixture suplier doesn	ne table and make the ab standard "Tfx is provided	ove as footnotes	in the table.			, I.4	_		# 365
Suggest to move Tfx into th We shouldn't state in IEEE about if fixture suplier doesn	ne table and make the ab standard "Tfx is provided n't!	bove as footnotes d by the test fixtu	in the table. re provider", what	C/ 176C	SC 176C.6.4	, I.4	P 727 Ghiasi Qunate		# 365
Suggest to move Tfx into th We shouldn't state in IEEE about if fixture suplier doesr roposed Response Re / 176C SC 176C.6.3	ne table and make the ab standard "Tfx is provided n't! desponse Status O P 724	bove as footnotes d by the test fixtu	in the table.	Cl 176C Ghiasi, Ali Comment 7 The mo	SC 176C.6.4 Type TR pre critical return	.4 Comment S n loss is commo	P 727 Ghiasi Qunati Status X	um/Marvell	# <u>365</u> some reason in claus
Suggest to move Tfx into th We shouldn't state in IEEE about if fixture suplier doesn roposed Response Re 1 176C SC 176C.6.3 hiasi, Ali	he table and make the ab standard "Tfx is provided n't! Desponse Status O P724 Ghiasi Qunatu	bove as footnotes d by the test fixtu	in the table. re provider", what	<i>CI</i> 176C Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment T</i> The mc 176C ir	SC 176C.6.4 Type TR ore critical return nstead RLcd is o	.4 Comment S n loss is commo	P 727 Ghiasi Qunati Status X	um/Marvell	
Suggest to move Tfx into th We shouldn't state in IEEE about if fixture suplier doesr roposed Response Re / 176C SC 176C.6.3 hiasi, Ali omment Type TR C	ne table and make the ab standard "Tfx is provided n't! Desponse Status O P724 Ghiasi Qunatu Comment Status X	bove as footnotes d by the test fixtu <i>L</i> 22 um/Marvell	t in the table. re provider", what # 362	<i>CI</i> 176C Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment 1</i> The mo 176C ir Suggested	SC 176C.6.4 Type TR ore critical return istead RLcd is o Remedy	Comment S n loss is commo defined	P727 Ghiasi Qunate Status X on mode to dif	um/Marvell	
Suggest to move Tfx into th We shouldn't state in IEEE about if fixture suplier doesn roposed Response Re / 176C SC 176C.6.3 hiasi, Ali	he table and make the ab standard "Tfx is provided n't! Desponse Status O P724 Ghiasi Qunatu Comment Status X kage A and package B, r ss B. Is it total loss? Wh	bove as footnotes d by the test fixtu <i>L</i> 22 um/Marvell not clear what de	# <u>362</u> # termines actual DUT	<i>CI</i> 176C Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment 1</i> The mo 176C ir Suggested	SC 176C.6.4 Fype TR pre critical return nstead RLcd is of Remedy e RLcd to RLdc	.4 Comment S n loss is commo	P727 Ghiasi Qunate Status X on mode to dif	um/Marvell	
Suggest to move Tfx into th We shouldn't state in IEEE about if fixture suplier doesr roposed Response Re 7 176C SC 176C.6.3 hiasi, Ali omment Type TR C J4U03 has two values, pack package as Class A or Clas package with short trace, is	he table and make the ab standard "Tfx is provided n't! Desponse Status O P724 Ghiasi Qunatu Comment Status X kage A and package B, r ss B. Is it total loss? Wh	bove as footnotes d by the test fixtu <i>L</i> 22 um/Marvell not clear what de	# <u>362</u> # termines actual DUT	C/ 176C Ghiasi, Ali Comment 7 The mo 176C ir Suggestedh Change	SC 176C.6.4 Fype TR pre critical return nstead RLcd is of Remedy e RLcd to RLdc	Comment S n loss is commo defined (common mode	P727 Ghiasi Qunate Status X on mode to dif	um/Marvell	
Suggest to move Tfx into th We shouldn't state in IEEE about if fixture suplier doesr roposed Response Re 176C SC 176C.6.3 hiasi, Ali omment Type TR C J4U03 has two values, pack package as Class A or Clas package with short trace, is uggestedRemedy Please provide how to deter	he table and make the ab standard "Tfx is provided n't! P724 Ghiasi Qunatu Comment Status X kage A and package B, r ss B. Is it total loss? Wh is that class A?	bove as footnotes d by the test fixtu <i>L</i> 22 um/Marvell not clear what de hat happens if on	# <u>362</u> # termines actual DUT	C/ 176C Ghiasi, Ali Comment 7 The mo 176C ir Suggestedh Change	SC 176C.6.4 Fype TR pre critical return nstead RLcd is of Remedy e RLcd to RLdc	Comment S n loss is commo defined (common mode Response Si	P727 Ghiasi Qunate Status X on mode to dif	um/Marvell	
Suggest to move Tfx into th We shouldn't state in IEEE about if fixture suplier doesr roposed Response Re 1 176C SC 176C.6.3 hiasi, Ali omment Type TR C J4U03 has two values, pack package as Class A or Clas package with short trace, is uggestedRemedy Please provide how to deten Also add reference to table	P724 P724 Ghiasi Qunatu Comment Status X kage A and package B, r ss B. Is it total loss? What s that class A? Prince DUT package is C 176C-7	bove as footnotes d by the test fixtu <i>L</i> 22 um/Marvell not clear what de hat happens if on	# <u>362</u> # termines actual DUT	CI 176C Ghiasi, Ali Comment 7 The mo 176C ir Suggested Change Proposed F	SC 176C.6.4 Type TR ore critical return nstead RLcd is o Remedy e RLcd to RLdc Response	Comment S n loss is commo defined (common mode Response Si	P727 Ghiasi Qunatu Status X on mode to dif e to differentia tatus O	um/Marvell iferential, but for a al)	some reason in claus
Suggest to move Tfx into th We shouldn't state in IEEE about if fixture suplier doesr roposed Response Re 1 176C SC 176C.6.3 hiasi, Ali comment Type TR C J4U03 has two values, pack package as Class A or Class package with short trace, is uggestedRemedy Please provide how to deten Also add reference to table	he table and make the ab standard "Tfx is provided n't! P724 Ghiasi Qunatu Comment Status X kage A and package B, r ss B. Is it total loss? Wh is that class A?	bove as footnotes d by the test fixtu <i>L</i> 22 um/Marvell not clear what de hat happens if on	# <u>362</u> # termines actual DUT	C/ 176C Ghiasi, Ali Comment 7 The mo 176C ir Suggested Change Proposed F C/ 176C Ghiasi, Ali Comment 7	SC 176C.6.4 Fype TR pre critical return nstead RLcd is of Remedy a RLcd to RLdc Response SC 176C.6.4 Fype TR	Comment S n loss is commo defined (common mode <i>Response St</i> .4 <i>Comment S</i>	P727 Ghiasi Qunatu Status X on mode to dif e to differentia tatus O P727 Ghiasi Qunatu Status X	um/Marvell iferential, but for a al)	some reason in claus
Suggest to move Tfx into th We shouldn't state in IEEE about if fixture suplier doesr Proposed Response Re Cl 176C SC 176C.6.3 Shiasi, Ali Comment Type TR C J4U03 has two values, pack package as Class A or Clas package with short trace, is SuggestedRemedy Please provide how to deter Also add reference to table	P724 P724 Ghiasi Qunatu Comment Status X kage A and package B, r ss B. Is it total loss? What s that class A? Prince DUT package is C 176C-7	bove as footnotes d by the test fixtu <i>L</i> 22 um/Marvell not clear what de hat happens if on	# <u>362</u> # termines actual DUT	Cl 176C Ghiasi, Ali Comment T The mc 176C ir SuggestedH Change Proposed F Cl 176C Ghiasi, Ali Comment T 802.3ch SuggestedH	SC 176C.6.4 Fype TR pre critical return hstead RLcd is of Remedy a RLcd to RLdc Response SC 176C.6.4 Fype TR k common mode Remedy	Comment S n loss is commo defined (common mode <i>Response St</i> .4 <i>Comment S</i>	P727 Ghiasi Qunatu Status X on mode to dif e to differentia tatus O P727 Ghiasi Qunatu Status X return loss fre	um/Marvell iferential, but for a al) <i>L</i> 33 um/Marvell	some reason in claus

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 366

Page 72 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:53 PM

C/ 178 SC	178.9.2.3	P 364	L28	# 367	C/ 179 SC 179.9.4.	7 P 403	L19	# 371
Shiasi, Ali	-	Ghiasi Qunatu			Ghiasi. Ali		atum/Marvell	L
comment Type	TR Co	omment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
51		n loss frequency was u	up to 50 GHz		Not clear why Nbx is z			
uggestedReme	dy				SuggestedRemedy			
We should a	t least extend th	e RLcc to 67 GHz.			Suggest to make Nbx=	=15 which number of fixed F	FE taps	
Proposed Respo	onse Res	sponse Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 179 SC	179.9.5.6	P 410	L 44	# 368	C/ 179 SC 179.11.7	.1 P417	L8	# 372
Shiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatu	um/Marvell		Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Qun	atum/Marvell	
		omment Status X is common mode to diff	ferential, but for	some reason in clause	Comment Type TR The only place that ho SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X st classes are defined is in	Table 179A-1	
uggestedReme	dy				00 ,	le 179A-1 or Host classes s	hould be added to	the glossary
Change RLc	d to RLdc (comr	non mode to differentia	ıl)		Proposed Response	Response Status O		line globoally
Proposed Respo	nse Res	sponse Status O				Response Status 😈		
179 SC	179.9.5.6	P 410	L 47	# 369	C/ 179 SC 179.11.7	.1 P417	L8	# 373
hiasi, Ali	179.9.5.0	Ghiasi Qunatu		# 309	Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Qun	atum/Marvell	
Comment Type	TR Co	omment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
51		fferential return loss fre	equency was up t	o 50 GHz	Table 179-17 provide include the losses for	partial channel for different l the 3 partial channels	host classes, it wo	ould be helpful to also
SuggestedReme					SuggestedRemedy			
We should a	t least extend th	e RLdc to 67 GHz.			Host Partial HL Class	loss = 1.72 dB		
Proposed Respo	nse Res	sponse Status O			Host partial NL Class Host partial HH Class			
						oss of 3.2 dB to the above v	alue then that wo	uld give host channel
C/ 179 SC	179.9.4	P394	L 46	# 370	see below and similar Host HL Class loss = 4			
Shiasi, Ali	179.9.4	Ghiasi Qunatu		# 370	Host NL Class loss =	9.4 dB		
comment Type		omment Status X			Host HH Class loss = The above losses are 17 are chossen would	the not max or min losses,	some explanation	why value in table 17
Reference to	host classes m	ssing			For the HH case if we	go with Zp=140 mm will res	ult in loss of 18.3	dB when MCB is
	du					o max loss in table 179A-1.		
	-							
SuggestedReme Please refere Proposed Respo	ence table 179A	-1 sponse Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

/ 178B SC 178B.2 P786 L18 # 374	C/ 178B SC 178B.5.3 P789 L 24 # 376
hiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell
omment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
3 major functions are included in the ILT: Electrical LT, Optical LT, and inter-sublayer link	Figure can improve for better representation
signal or RTS. Designating everting as ILT is rather confusing throughout the draft.	SuggestedRemedy
uggestedRemedy I suggest the following definition: All electrical link training called "ELT" All optical link training called "OLT" Inter-sublayer signaling RTS called "ILT" or could be called "ILM" (inter-sublayer link messaging)	Suggest the folloiwng: - CDR ouput add mux (Training/mission modes) - Connect Training frame decode to training frame encode - You can also create a new block called "Training State Machine" then connect training decode and encode to it.
roposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
178B SC 178B.4 P787 L30 # 375	C/ 178B SC 178B.7 P796 L5 # 377
hiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell	Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Qunatum/Marvell
	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
omment Type TR Comment Status X Figure 178B-1 is trying to convey two different messages and combining the two function	https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_05/ghiasi_3dj_01a_2405.pdf looked at number of options for OLT such as Presets, FFE adjustment, OMA control, chirp, inner-outer eye
as shown is confusing uggestedRemedy Some suggested improvements	adjustments, but at the time the Task Force decdied to just enable the basic OLT with pre- coder control. A vendor selected Preset can provide set of Presets optimized for example shorter/longer reacehs, lower OMA more linear or higher OMA less linear, higher peaking or less peaking
as shown is confusing uggestedRemedy	coder control. A vendor selected Preset can provide set of Presets optimized for example shorter/longer reacehs, lower OMA more linear or higher OMA less linear, higher peaking
as shown is confusing <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Some suggested improvements Call them figure 1A and 1B	coder control. A vendor selected Preset can provide set of Presets optimized for example shorter/longer reacehs, lower OMA more linear or higher OMA less linear, higher peaking or less peaking

C/ 178 SC 178.10.	.1 P371	L12	# 378	C/ 180	SC 180.9.5	P 447	L 21	# 381
Shiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Qunatu	um/Marvell		Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi C	unatum/Marvell	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
All symbols such as SuggestedRemedy Please make it inline	Cd(1) or Ls(1) the "(1)" seems	like is superscrip	yt.	DSPs receive	are 20-30 taps I	ence equalizer is only 15 FFE with DFE and option th better than reference of or RX DSP.	al MLSE. Many hav	ve raised that real
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Suggested	Remedy			
		" 070	with 1	DFE. The Sco	o is to supplement the cu ope can already support er is a 15-tap feed-forwa	1TDFE.		
C/ 178 SC 178.10.	-	L 33	# 379			FE), where T is the symb		
Shiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Qunati	um/Marvell				coefficient constraints as)—15.
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X				iasi_3dj_04_25	nits for DFE min=-0.4 ma 07	IX=0	
Symbols fp1 and fp2	seem connected			Proposed I		Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy May need to adjsut o	or incease spacing				·	_		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 181	SC 181.9.5	P 471	L 8	# 382
				Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi C	unatum/Marvell	
C/ 179 SC 179.10.	.1 P415	L 45	# 380	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
Ghiasi, Ali	Ghiasi Qunati	um/Marvell				ence equalizer is only 15 FE with DFE and optior		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X					the better than reference		
21	Cd(1) or Ls(1) the "(1)" seems	like is superscrip	t		all the margin f			
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	lRemedy			
Please make it inline	9					o is to supplement the cu ope can already support		izer based on 15T FFE
Proposed Response	Response Status O			The re feedba period In table	ference equalize ack equalizer (D , with equalizer (er is a 15-tap feed-forwar FE), where T is the symb coefficient constraints as hits for DFE min=-0.4 ma	d equalizer (FFE) a ool shown in Table 180	
				Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		

C/ 182 SC	82.9.5	P 497	L 41	# 383	C/ 185	SC 185.6	5.1	P 564	L 33	# 385
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatu	ım/Marvell		Maniloff, E	ric		Ciena		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comment	Status X		
DSPs are 20 receivers per	0-30 taps FFE	equalizer is only 15 tap F with DFE and optional ML etter than reference equali	SE. Many have	e raised that real	This va		o be reduces to al			ment on the receiver. s. A supporting
	e margin for R	X DSP.			Suggested	,				
SuggestedReme					Replac	ce the 3.4dB	ETCC Max Value	with 2.5 dB		
with 1T DFE. The reference	. The Scope ce equalizer is	to supplement the current can already support 1TDF a 15-tap feed-forward equ where T is the symbol	Е.		Proposed I	Response	Response	Status O		
period, with e	equalizer coef	ficient constraints as show	vn in Table 180-	-15.	C/ 185	SC 185.6	5.1	P 564	L 27	# 386
In table 180- see ghiasi_3		for DFE min=-0.4 max=0			Maniloff, E	ric		Ciena		
Proposed Respo	<i>i</i>	Response Status O		Comment	Type TR	Comment	Status X			
Toposed Nespo					The av Max	verage launc	h power on ETCC	should be upda	ated to align with	any updates to ETCC
C/ 183 SC	183.9.5	P 522	L10	# 384	Suggested	Remedy				
Ghiasi, Ali		Ghiasi Qunatu	ım/Marvell		Update	e the maxim	um ETCC value in	Average Powe	er with a value of	2.5dB
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X			Proposed I	Response	Response	Status O		
		equalizer is only 15 tap F								
		with DFE and optional ML etter than reference equal			C/ 185	SC 185.6	: 2	P 565	L 30	# 387
	e margin for R			ood triing, but triis also	Maniloff, El).2	Ciena	230	# 307
SuggestedReme	edy						Comment			
	-	to supplement the current	TDECQ equaliz	er based on 15T FFE		51	a maximum Avera		nower of 4 dP T	
The reference	ce equalizer is	can already support 1TDF a 15-tap feed-forward equiverent of the symbol		d 1-tap decision-		erability, Th				ce (max) should be set
		ficient constraints as show	vn in Table 180-	-15.	Suggested	Remedy				
	15 add limits	for DFE min=-0.4 max=0			Modify	Average re	ceive power tolera	nce (max) to -4	dBm	
	3di 04 2507				Duanaaad	- -		o		
see ghiasi_3 Proposed Respo		Response Status O			Proposed I	Response	Response	Status O		

C/ 187	SC 187.6.1	P638	L26	# 388	C/ 180	SC	180.7.2	P 440	L 33	# 391
Maniloff, Er	ric	Ciena			Rodes, Ro	oberto		Coherent		
Comment T	Туре Т	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
should contrib S <i>uggestedi</i> Update	be aligned with ution with detail: <i>Remedy</i> the 800GASE-	00GBASE-ER1 on defining the the coupling to ETCC defined s of the values for Tx optical p ER1 and 800GBASE-ER1-20	l in 800ĞBASE- ower and ETCC	LR1. A supporting max will be provided	calcul meet receiv proce	lation. H the spe /er sens dures s	However, the cification, sitivity is a content of the cification o	specification currently relies of the methodology is unclear reg and it lacks guidance on how primary specification for a PM clear and practical to execute, presentation will be provided	arding the requ to perform a 'st ID receiver, its t	ired test duration to atistical projection'. A test and verification
		aligned with 800GBASE-LR1.			Suggeste	dReme	dy			
Proposed F	Response	Response Status 0			180.8), with a	an error ra	sured using the conformance tio allocation one decade lowe clauses 181, 182 and 183		
C/ 187	SC 187.6.1	P 638	L 27	# 389	Proposed	Respo	nse	Response Status O		
Maniloff, Er	ric	Ciena				•				
Comment T	Туре Т	Comment Status X								
		y specification in Table 187-5			C/ 180	SC	180.9.5	P 448	L 23	# 392
		ed for single-wavelength appli			Rodes, Ro	oberto		Coherent		
		ned, and depending on other osening the optical frequency			Comment	t Type	т	Comment Status X		
		d for 800GBASE-ER1						5, 100G module data showed		
Suggested	Remedy							can cause issues at the receiv		
		ec in 800GBASE-ER1 to \pm 20 tradeoffs with different laser in			and th	he first p	precursor	on the maximum absolute diff would significantly increase the venting their use and reducing	e TDECQ pena	Ity for such poorly
Proposed F	Response	Response Status O			Suggeste	dReme	dy			
								solute difference between c(- 81, 182 and 183	1) and c(1) shal	l be less than 0.3.".
C/ 187	SC 187.6.1	P638	L 24	# 390	Proposed	Respo	nse	Response Status O		
/laniloff, Er		Ciena								
Comment T	Туре Т	Comment Status X								
require		unch power (max) specification maximum power specification								
Suggested Increas	-	launch power (max) value for	800GBASE-ER	I-20 to -5 dBm						
	0									

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 180 SC 180.9.5	P 447	L 21	# 393	C/ 180 S	C 180.2	P 432	L 33	# 395
odes, Roberto	Coherent			Mi, Guangcan		Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Ltd	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X		
The current reference rece large number of transmitte performance—from passir capable than actual impler maximum value to better r be provided	rs—despite demonstrating g the TDECQ test. The re mented receivers. It is pro	g excellent real-w eference receiver posed to add a 1-	orld receiver is significantly less tap DFE with a limited	The clause of the com clause. It p	itself is for conents in t rovides the	on provides reference to 174, cused on optical PMD. Table the PHY link, and specifically full picture of error allocation to all IMDD optical PMDs. i.	174A-1 provide de addresses the opt . We should refer	tailed error allocation ical PHYs as this ence it more clearly.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRen	ledy			
replace with:" The reference combined with a 1-tap dec period, with equalizer coef add limit for 1-tap DFE wit	ision feedback equalizer (icient constraints as show	(DFE), where T is vn in Table 180–1	the symbol 5". In Table 180-15		h each con	e PHY is expected to meet the nponent in the PHY meeting t		
	Response Status O			This comm	ent applies	to all applicable opitcal PMD	s. i.e. CL180~183	, CL185.
				Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status 0		
C/ 180 SC 180.7.2	P 440	L 4	# 394					
Vi, Guangcan		nologies Co., Ltd	# 334					
· · · ·	Comment Status X	lologies Co., Liu						
In Table 180-8, footnote c for the block error ratio spe as the comment to 180.2.	for stressed receiver sense							
This comment applies to a	Il applicable optical PMD	Clauses, i.e. CL	180~183, CL185					
SuggestedRemedy								
instead of pointing to block	error ratio. Point to the e	rror allocation cla	use of 180.2.					
Change footnote c in Table " Measured with conforma allocation specified in 180.	nce test signal at TP3 (se	ee 181.0.13) for th	ne error ratio					
This second and the first term	Il applicable optical PMD	Clauses, i.e. CL	180~183, CL185.					
Change the respective CL	18X.							

396

C/ 180 SC 180.2 P432 L33	
--------------------------	--

Mi, Guangcan

an Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The receiver sensitivity and stressed receiver sensitivity, as the two most important opitcal parameter are defined as the input OMA at which the receiver hits the threshold of an error ratio metric. They will be tested for each module to be shipped, which currently has a volume in the million ports/year level now. That means the time spent on testing the receiver sensitivity is a huge factor in cost, both in terms of CAPEX and OPEX of the module vendor, system vendor and the end user.

While block error ratio maybe theoretically perfect, it is almost impossible to implement practically or cost effectively, reasons as following:

The expected measurement time of getting direct measurement result for each of the test_block_error_bin_i is impractical in both DVT and volume testing. An estimated of 10 days to observe 1 event in bin 15 in the cases of the upper limit Hmax. For practical products, performance are expected to be better than Hmax, making it even longer to observe. And to have statistical confidence, one would even require to observe over 10 times of the event to make it representative, or the data set to "be sufficiently large to reliably verify".

My previous contribution with 100G/L data and Michael He's 200G/L data have shown that a time span of several mins would be required to get reasonable result. Comparing what is being used today (a few seconds), that is ~10 times the length.

The data also showed that statistical projection can be very subjective approach, sometimes even impossible. This eliminates the block error histogram and the block error ratio (which is calculated using the histogram) being objective metric for link performance, especially when it comes to quantitative comparison. Whether or not a DUT passes the requirement can be dependent on an engineer's experience and judgement. This is not a economical feasible parameter to be used in mass volume production in modern industry, which typically employs automatic testing and validation.

This comment applies to all applicable opitcal PMDs. i.e. CL180~183, CL185.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide the information of BER threshold under random error assumption as previous generations of ethernet optical PMDs. Point out that for links that are prone to burst error, further evaluation of the PHY/link/PMD can be done based on the block error ratio method. Similar statement on leaving margin for not-so-random links has been use before. Leave it to the implementer and user of this standard to decide which method to use in their design, DVT and volume production stage,.

This comment applies to all applicable opitcal PMDs. i.e. CL180~183, CL185.

A contribution will be provided with detailed suggested remedy.

Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 178B	SC 178B	P 786	L10	# 397
Mi, Guango	can	Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Ltd	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

ILT should be supported for coherent optical PMDs, at the minimum 800GBASE-LR1 spec. 800GBASE-LR1 and 800GBASE-LR4 modules can be used in the same switch/router, and potentially interchangable in pairs in deploying network equipment depending on the fiber link condition. By allowing ILT in 800GBASE-LR1, the host equipment does not need to differentiate the optical port, and use one routine of link up process. This brings benefits to opex and firmware development.

This comment also requires updates to sub clause 160.2.10 in page190.

SuggestedRemedy

Extend ILT capability to LR1, at the minimum by supporting transmission of RTS. RTS condition of the ISL path between two LR1 PMDs could be derived from the states of the LR1 inner FEC, where dsp frame locking and aligning are already performed. A contribution will be provided.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 185	SC 185.6.1	P 564	L 50	# 398
Mi, Guango	an	Huawei Techr	ologies Co., Ltd	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The Tx laser frequency slew rate is required to be measured at the stages of preacquisition and post acquisition and satisify the value defined in Table 185-5, however there is no definition of the term of acquisition in the draft. Though "acquisition" is a widely used term for coherent experts, it appears out of context in this draft. It may be able to relate to some of the Inner FEC behaviour or PMA behaviour, but it could use some explanation.

SuggestedRemedy

add definition of acquisition in the text where Tx laser frequency slew rate is defined. Looking for help from Coherent experts here.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 398

Page 79 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:53 PM

C/ 187 SC 187.6.2 P639 L 35 # 399	C/ 174A SC 174A.8 P679 L 25 # 401
li, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd	Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
In the system of coherent optical specification, two parameters are introuced, the Rx. Sensitivity and the Rx AOP tolerance_min. when checking across LR1, ER1-20, and ER1 spec, it is noticed that the relation of the two parameters of ER1 was not consistent with the other two coherent PMDs. for both LR1 and ER1-20, Rx AOP min - Tx AOP min = IL and Rx Sens Tx AOP min = Power budget. While for ER1, Rx AOP min - Tx AOP min = Power Budget and Rx Sens Tx AOP min = Power budget +1, essentially offset by 1dB, same as ER1 penalty allocation. SuggestedRemedy either shift Tx AOP down by 1dB or raise the Rx Sens. & Rx AOP tolerance_min up by 1dB	 two method were proposed for block error evaluation. Either by examining the block error histogram being below the Hmax histogram mask, or checking block error ratio being smaller than 1.45e-11. however, when using the Hmax to calculate its corresponding berror ratio, I arrived at 1.55e-11, which is not passing the block error ratio requirement. SuggestedRemedy I am strongly confused by this now. no suggested remedy at this time. I will reach out the Adam for help. Proposed Response Response Response Status O
roposed Response Response Status O	CI 174A SC 174A.8 P679 L24 # 402
	Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd
185 SC 185.3.1.3.2 P 560 L 1 # 400	Nii, Guangcan Huawer rechnologies Co., Lu
	Comment Type ER Comment Status X This clause discusses the error ratio tests for 200Gb/s per lane ISLs, whereas this
i, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd	Comment Type ER Comment Status X This clause discusses the error ratio tests for 200Gb/s per lane ISLs, whereas this sentence says "A method for constraining the error ratio of a PHY based on error mass using PMA measurements" The test method for PHY is to be discussed in the lat subclause of 174A.10 SuggestedRemedy change the word "PHY" to "ISL" in the mentioned sentence. Proposed Response Response Status O
, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd mment Type TR Comment Status X the SIGNAL_OK of 800GABSE-LR1 is tied to Global_PMD_signal_detect, which is decided based on the optical power at the receiver. This doesn't guarantee a valid, decodable signal, as suggested by the note below the paragraph. With this definition, the parameter SIGNAL_OK doesn't bear sufficient information to help bring up the link. While the IMDD optical PMDs, by leveraging ILT, SIGNAL_OK can indicate the received signal meets the minimum requirement of communication, making it a meaningful parameter. There is no reason not to do the same in the case of LR1. uggestedRemedy	This clause discusses the error ratio tests for 200Gb/s per lane ISLs, whereas this sentence says "A method for constraining the error ratio of a PHY based on error mask using PMA measurements" The test method for PHY is to be discussed in the lat subclause of 174A.10 SuggestedRemedy change the word "PHY" to "ISL" in the mentioned sentence. Proposed Response Response Status 0
A Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd <i>pmment Type</i> TR <i>Comment Status</i> X the SIGNAL_OK of 800GABSE-LR1 is tied to Global_PMD_signal_detect, which is decided based on the optical power at the receiver. This doesn't guarantee a valid, decodable signal, as suggested by the note below the paragraph. With this definition, the parameter SIGNAL_OK doesn't bear sufficient information to help bring up the link. While the IMDD optical PMDs, by leveraging ILT, SIGNAL_OK can indicate the received signal meets the minimum requirement of communication, making it a meaningful parameter. There is no reason not to do the same in the case of LR1. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> change the signal_ok definition, tie it to the state of LR1 Inner FEC, or ILT state if allowed. This comment is related to the comment regarding ILT in coherent PMDs. A contribution	This clause discusses the error ratio tests for 200Gb/s per lane ISLs, whereas this sentence says "A method for constraining the error ratio of a PHY based on error mask using PMA measurements" The test method for PHY is to be discussed in the lat subclause of 174A.10 SuggestedRemedy change the word "PHY" to "ISL" in the mentioned sentence. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 174A SC 174A.8.1 P679 L 38 # 403
, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd mment Type TR Comment Status X the SIGNAL_OK of 800GABSE-LR1 is tied to Global_PMD_signal_detect, which is decided based on the optical power at the receiver. This doesn't guarantee a valid, decodable signal, as suggested by the note below the paragraph. With this definition, the parameter SIGNAL_OK doesn't bear sufficient information to help bring up the link. While the IMDD optical PMDs, by leveraging ILT, SIGNAL_OK can indicate the received signal meets the minimum requirement of communication, making it a meaningful parameter. There is no reason not to do the same in the case of LR1. uggestedRemedy change the signal_ok definition, tie it to the state of LR1 Inner FEC, or ILT state if allowed. This comment is related to the comment regarding ILT in coherent PMDs. A contribution will be provided	This clause discusses the error ratio tests for 200Gb/s per lane ISLs, whereas this sentence says "A method for constraining the error ratio of a PHY based on error mask using PMA measurements" The test method for PHY is to be discussed in the lat subclause of 174A.10 SuggestedRemedy change the word "PHY" to "ISL" in the mentioned sentence. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 174A SC 174A.8.1 P 679 L 38 # 403 Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd
, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd mment Type TR Comment Status X the SIGNAL_OK of 800GABSE-LR1 is tied to Global_PMD_signal_detect, which is decided based on the optical power at the receiver. This doesn't guarantee a valid, decodable signal, as suggested by the note below the paragraph. With this definition, the parameter SIGNAL_OK doesn't bear sufficient information to help bring up the link. While the IMDD optical PMDs, by leveraging ILT, SIGNAL_OK can indicate the received signal meets the minimum requirement of communication, making it a meaningful parameter. There is no reason not to do the same in the case of LR1. <i>IggestedRemedy</i> change the signal_ok definition, tie it to the state of LR1 Inner FEC, or ILT state if allowed. This comment is related to the comment regarding ILT in coherent PMDs. A contribution will be provided	This clause discusses the error ratio tests for 200Gb/s per lane ISLs, whereas this sentence says "A method for constraining the error ratio of a PHY based on error mask using PMA measurements" The test method for PHY is to be discussed in the lat subclause of 174A.10 SuggestedRemedy change the word "PHY" to "ISL" in the mentioned sentence. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 174A SC 174A.8.1 P679 L 38 # 403
, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd mment Type TR Comment Status X the SIGNAL_OK of 800GABSE-LR1 is tied to Global_PMD_signal_detect, which is decided based on the optical power at the receiver. This doesn't guarantee a valid, decodable signal, as suggested by the note below the paragraph. With this definition, the parameter SIGNAL_OK doesn't bear sufficient information to help bring up the link. While the IMDD optical PMDs, by leveraging ILT, SIGNAL_OK can indicate the received signal meets the minimum requirement of communication, making it a meaningful parameter. There is no reason not to do the same in the case of LR1. uggestedRemedy change the signal_ok definition, tie it to the state of LR1 Inner FEC, or ILT state if allowed. This comment is related to the comment regarding ILT in coherent PMDs. A contribution will be provided	This clause discusses the error ratio tests for 200Gb/s per lane ISLs, whereas this sentence says "A method for constraining the error ratio of a PHY based on error mass using PMA measurements" The test method for PHY is to be discussed in the lat subclause of 174A.10 SuggestedRemedy change the word "PHY" to "ISL" in the mentioned sentence. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 174A SC 174A.8.1 P 679 L 38 # 403 Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd Comment Type ER Comment Status X There is only one sub-clause under 174A.8, which is 174A.8.1, no need to have this le
i, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd <i>comment Type</i> TR <i>Comment Status</i> X the SIGNAL_OK of 800GABSE-LR1 is tied to Global_PMD_signal_detect, which is decided based on the optical power at the receiver. This doesn't guarantee a valid, decodable signal, as suggested by the note below the paragraph. With this definition, the parameter SIGNAL_OK doesn't bear sufficient information to help bring up the link. While the IMDD optical PMDs, by leveraging ILT, SIGNAL_OK can indicate the received signal meets the minimum requirement of communication, making it a meaningful parameter. There is no reason not to do the same in the case of LR1. <i>suggestedRemedy</i> change the signal_ok definition, tie it to the state of LR1 Inner FEC, or ILT state if allowed. This comment is related to the comment regarding ILT in coherent PMDs. A contribution will be provided	This clause discusses the error ratio tests for 200Gb/s per lane ISLs, whereas this sentence says "A method for constraining the error ratio of a PHY based on error mass using PMA measurements" The test method for PHY is to be discussed in the lat subclause of 174A.10 SuggestedRemedy change the word "PHY" to "ISL" in the mentioned sentence. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 174A SC 174A.8.1 P679 L 38 # 403 Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd Comment Type ER Comment Status X There is only one sub-clause under 174A.8, which is 174A.8.1, no need to have this le the hierachy.

C/ 174A SC 174A.8	.1.2	P681	L31	# 404	C/ 174A	SC 17	4A.10.1	.3	P 685	L18	# 406
<i>l</i> i, Guangcan		Huawei Techr	ologies Co., Ltd		Mi, Guangca	an			Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Ltd	
Comment Type TR	Commen	t Status X			Comment T	ype	TR	Comment	Status X		
The total number of of test_block_total_c block error ratio is met, eit should provide an ac longer-term testing c A statisitcal projectic accurate.	ount_i should l ner by direct m curate predicti r at least provi	be sufficiently lar easurement or si on of the value o de an upper boui	ge to reliably verify tatistical projection. f Hm(i)(k) that woul nd on the value."	that the expected The projection d be observed over	value of FEC_ error rat should p longer-t	_cw_cou io is me provide a erm test tcal pro	inter sho et, either an accur ting or at	uld be sufficion by direct mea ate prediction least provide	ently large to re asurement or s of the value of an upper bou	eliably verify that tatistical projectio of Hm(k) that woul nd on the value."	requried as: " The the expected block n. The projection d be observed over nfidence. It can not be
Reconsider the state H_m(k) is a statistic	al possibility wh	ich is observed o			very lon the proj	g windo ection b	w if not i ased on	nfinite. It is un the data coul	nclear how to c	lecide whether the	measurement in a e measured data and rm observation or the
very long window if r the projection based							the valu	le.			
upper bound on the					SuggestedF		tato mor	t of the static	stical projectior		
uggestedRemedy						. ,	1.				
Recosider the state	ment of the sta	tistical projection			Proposed R	esponse	9	Response S	Status O		
Proposed Response	Response	Status O			C/ 174A		4 A.10.1	.3	P685	L 40	# 407
/ 174A SC 174A.8	.1.7	P683	L 7	# 405	Mi, Guangca Comment T		ER	Comment		nologies Co., Ltd	
li, Guangcan	_		ologies Co., Ltd					the sentence			
Comment Type TR In this section, the the counters are measu says "For p times, its He(k).", It is unclean To measure p times	lock error ratio ed independer eratively assign what does the the lengths of	tly for each lane the result of hcc p times mean in blocks? and use	. In the determination onv(He(k), Hm(k)) (this step. the collected as 1 of	on of lane I, step d) (see 174A.8.1.4) to dataset?	SuggestedF change Proposed R	Remedy "the" to	"then"	Response S			
To repeat the same histogram be average					C/ 174A	SC 17	4A.10.1	.3	P 685	L 45	# 408
SuggestedRemedy					Mi, Guangca	an			Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Ltd	
please clarify.					Comment T		ER	Comment	Status X		
roposed Response	Response	Status O			missing		"to"				
					SuggestedF change	-	ected to	be less"			
					Proposed R			Response S			

C/ 174A	SC 174A.12	P686	L 22	# 409	C/ 176D	SC 176D.8.1	P 755	L12	# 411
li, Guangcan		Huawei Techr	ologies Co., Ltd		Mi, Guango	an	Huawei Tech	nnologies Co., Ltd	1
comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X			Comment T	Type TR	Comment Status X		
0.2e-11 w 3. Howev The title c sublayer" doesn't ap the alloca	as allocated to er, in reality, n f Table 174A- also indicating oply to 800GB tion for such c	changed from 6.2e-11 to 6e o the xMII extenders and PC o such case as cascading tw 1 "optical PHYs with no FEC g that Table 174A-3 does not ASE-ER1 and 800GBASE-E ases.	S to FEC links ill vo sets of two-pa sublayer or with t apply. Essentia R1-20 with xMII	ustrated in Table 174A- rt AUI link would exist. an inner FEC ally, Table 174A-1 extenders, but is using	based in Data In 176[the me a mask The his	on the receiver s mode. D.2, the error allo thod described i s or calculate the	receiver can tolerate a give atisfies the error allocation ocation is to meet the block n 174A.8, where one can es block error ratio based on of 17 bins, with bin 0 to bin	requirements in 1 error ratio of 1.45 camine the histog the histogram.	76D.2 when operations of the second s
some con uggestedRe	fusion of the r <i>medy</i> ack to 6.2e-11				test_bl days to	ock_error_bin_i o observe 1 ever	ment time of getting direct r s impractical in both DVT a t in bin 15 in the cases of th	nd volume testing ne upper limit Hma	g. An estimated of 10 ax. For practical
roposed Res		Response Status O			observ		are expected to be better th	ian mmax, making	g it even longer to
li, Guangcan Comment Typ	e TR	Huawei Techr Comment Status X	L 21 nologies Co., Ltd		statistic elimina histogr quantit	cal projection ca tes the block en am) being objec ative compariso	n with 100G/L data and Mic n be very subjective approa or histogram and the block tive metric for link performan n. Whether or not a DUT pa ence and judgement.	ch, sometimes ev error ratio (which nce, especially wh	ven impossible. This is calculated using the nen it comes to
steady sta	ate voltage. In ne time, the re	n, the amplitude tolerance is this note, it says the steady- ceiver is not required to tole	state voltage is o	defined with preset 1.	0	not ecnomical fe	e tolerance of the module in easible.	put and host inpu	It based on block erro
	Ũ	h voltage is used and how it	is defined.		Consid	er the approach	of using BER, and use bloc plement verification of the s		s recommendation /
lggestedRe	medy				Proposed F		Response Status O	,	

Please clarify.

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 176D SC 176D.	6.4 P746	L 24	# 412		C/ 178B SC 178B.	14.3.1 P8	08	L 25	# 415
Mi, Guangcan	Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Ltd			Ran, Adee	Cisco	Systems		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X				Comment Type TR	Comment Status	Х		
	peak of module output in AUI-C e COM model as shown by the 5.			9	Figure 178B–7 and	a link that includes multi Figure 178B–8 is require	ed across IS	SLs.	
SuggestedRemedy change Rpeak to 0.4	456 as a starting point. A contril	oution will be provid	led.			ave a training protocol, and "local pattern" mod			
Proposed Response	Response Status O				· · ·	ication for the transmitte from a valid pattern gen		ern is incomple	te - it only says
CI 176D SC 176D.	7.1 P748	L37	# 413		A local pattern for IL	T should be specified in	every PME	Clause and AL	JI annex. This
Mi, Guangcan	Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Ltd				the general requirement			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	-			PIVID clauses (Includ	ding 185 and 187 that cu	irrently do r	not have IL1 as	a requirement at all):
same mated connect the same connector clear illustration. SuggestedRemedy	Since the CR can be implement ctor and packaging formfacotr a loss could be used in the reference r loss of 2.45dB in the drawing c xt.	s many of the IMDI ence channel mode	D pluggable mod el of AUI-C2M for	ules,	pattern is PRBS31C service interface. - For PMDs in claus respectively), the loc generated by the Inr	es 178-182 (directly belo which may be generat es 183 and 185 (below a cal pattern is PRBS31 e her FEC and fed into the use 187, the local patte 2.	ed by the S a clause 17 ncoded by t e PMD servi	M-PMA and fec 7 or clause 184 the Inner FEC, v ice interface.	d into the PMD Inner FEC, which may be
Proposed Response	Response Status O				SuggestedRemedy				
· ·					Add text in the defin	ition of tx_mode (178B. cified in each clause or a			
CI 176D SC 176D.	6.4 P 746	L 34	# 414		Proposed Response	Response Status	0		
/li, Guangcan	Huawei Tech	nologies Co., Ltd							
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X								
	ghiasi_3dj_02b_2505, dSNDR proposed to set a set of SNDR_		arameter. Rich's						
	, both SNDR and dSNDR are n t is not practical for the module								
SuggestedRemedy									
community. The new	odology affects both the SERDE wly introduced parameters need ensus in simplfying the measur	to be open for cor							
Proposed Response	Response Status O								
TYPE: TR/technical requ COMMENT STATUS: D	uired ER/editorial required GR/ /dispatched A/accepted R/reje	/general required T cted RESPONS	T/technical E/edi E STATUS: O/op	torial G/g ben W/wr	eneral tten C/closed U/unsatisfie	d Z/withdrawn	Comment	ID 415	Page 83 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 178	SC 178.8.	3	P361	L13	# 416	C/ 185	SC	3185.1	P556	L 40	# 418
Ran, Adee		•	Cisco System	-	" -10	Ran, Ade		100.1	Cisco Systen	-	" 10
Comment		Comme	nt Status X			Comment		TR	Comment Status X		
Annex In PMI are the curren Apples	178B (specifi Ds that have a e method of co tly not defined s to the multip	cally Figure 17 training proto ommunicating e ILT function	78B–7 and Figure icol but it's disable the RTS to the pe subclauses of the	e 178B–8) is requed, the "quiet" are er. However, the PMD functiona	al specifications in	Annez is true such In PM	(178B regari as 800 Ds tha od of co	(specifica dless of th GBASE-L at don't hav	nk that includes multiple ISL lly Figure 178B–7 and Figure e PMD type, and even if the R1. re a training protocol, the "qu ting the RTS to the peer. Ho	178B–8) is request PMD does not united to the second secon	lired across ISLs. This se a training protocol, attern" modes are the
clause	s 178 through	182 (which ha	ave an SM-PMA a	above the PMD)		Suggeste	dReme	edy			
	lRemedy				176.7.4.2) is the pattern	Add 1	78B-IL	T, Require	ed as row in Table 185-1 (as	in other PMD cla	auses)
178B.′	vhen mr_train 14.3.1). <i>Response</i>	-	false and tx_mode	e has the value l	ocal_pattern (see	with n protoc may b	nr_trair ol). Sp e gene	ning_enab becify that erated by t	er 185 defining the ILT functi e always set to false (since & Inner FEC encoded PRBS31 he inner FEC sublayer) is the e 178B.14.3.1).	00GBASE-LR1 test pattern de	doesn't have a training fined in 184.6.1 (which
183	SC 183.5.	12	P 510	L 33	# 417	Proposed	Respo	onse	Response Status O		
an, Adee			Cisco System	าร							
omment	Type TR	Comme	nt Status X			C/ 187	SC	C 187.1	P 630	L 44	# 419
					ty of ILT as specified by	Ran, Adee	;		Cisco System	าร	
Annex	178B (specifi	cally Figure 1	(8B-7 and Figure	e 178B–8) is requ	uired across ISLs.	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
are the		mmunicating	col but it's disable the RTS to the pe		nd "local pattern" modes e local pattern is	Anne: is true	178B regar	(specificated) dless of th	nk that includes multiple ISL Ily Figure 178B–7 and Figure e PMD type, and even if the R1 and 800GBASE-ER1-20.	178B–8) is req	uired across ISLs. This
00	lRemedy					Such	15 000	GDA3L-L			
genera	ated by the inr	er FEC sublay	Inner FEC as defi yer) is the pattern pattern (see 178B	used when mr_	l (which may be training_enable is false		d of co		re a training protocol, the "qu ting the RTS to the peer. How		
roposed	Response	Respons	e Status O			Suggeste	dReme	edy			
						Add 1	78B-IL	T, Require	ed as row in Table 187-1 (as	in other PMD cla	auses)
						with n trainir define	nr_train g prote d in 18	ning_enab ocol). Spe 86.2.3.12 (er 187 defining the ILT functi e always set to false (since 8 cify that the 800GBASE-ER1 which may be generated by t tx_mode has the value local	00GBASE-ER1 FEC encoded F he 800GBASE-	/ER1-20 don't have a PRBS31 test pattern ER1 FEC sublayer) is
						Proposed	Respo	onse	Response Status 0		

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

n, Adee Cisco Systems mment Type T Comment Status X A presented in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_02a_2505.pdf, there is a potential benefit in having a timer to the ILT training control state diagram, to inform management when the adaptation exceeds the expected time. ggestedRemedy Implement the changes to clause 175 per slide 11 of ran_3dj_02a_2505, with editorial license. posed Response Response Clause 175 per slide 11 of ran_3dj_02a_2505, with editorial	Ran, Adee Cisco Systems Comment Type T Comment Status X "If the MDIO Interface is not implemented, an alternate mechanism to access manage variables shall be provided" Specifically for AUI-C2M, the most prevalent management interface is expected to be CMIS rather than MDIO. We expect CMIS to provide access to these management variables. CMIS should be referenced, at least informatively. SuggestedRemedy Append the following sentence: "For example, for modules using AUI-C2M, the Contemporability Services (CMIS) interface may be used as an alternate mechanism". Add a footnote with a reference to the CMIS specification (undated, sin current version does not address ILT yet).
A presented in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_02a_2505.pdf, there is a potential benefit in having a timer to the ILT training control state diagram, to inform management when the adaptation exceeds the expected time. ggestedRemedy Implement the changes to clause 175 per slide 11 of ran_3dj_02a_2505, with editorial license.	 "If the MDIO Interface is not implemented, an alternate mechanism to access manage variables shall be provided" Specifically for AUI-C2M, the most prevalent management interface is expected to be CMIS rather than MDIO. We expect CMIS to provide access to these management variables. CMIS should be referenced, at least informatively. SuggestedRemedy Append the following sentence: "For example, for modules using AUI-C2M, the Contermed mechanism". Add a footnote with a reference to the CMIS specification (undated, sin
potential benefit in having a timer to the ILT training control state diagram, to inform management when the adaptation exceeds the expected time. ggestedRemedy Implement the changes to clause 175 per slide 11 of ran_3dj_02a_2505, with editorial license.	variables shall be provided" Specifically for AUI-C2M, the most prevalent management interface is expected to be CMIS rather than MDIO. We expect CMIS to provide access to these management variables. CMIS should be referenced, at least informatively. SuggestedRemedy Append the following sentence: "For example, for modules using AUI-C2M, the Contender Management Interoperability Services (CMIS) interface may be used as an alternate mechanism". Add a footnote with a reference to the CMIS specification (undated, sin
Implement the changes to clause 175 per slide 11 of ran_3dj_02a_2505, with editorial license.	 CMIS rather than MDIO. We expect CMIS to provide access to these management variables. CMIS should be referenced, at least informatively. SuggestedRemedy Append the following sentence: "For example, for modules using AUI-C2M, the Control Management Interoperability Services (CMIS) interface may be used as an alternate mechanism". Add a footnote with a reference to the CMIS specification (undated, sin
Implement the changes to clause 175 per slide 11 of ran_3dj_02a_2505, with editorial license.	 variables. CMIS should be referenced, at least informatively. SuggestedRemedy Append the following sentence: "For example, for modules using AUI-C2M, the Control Management Interoperability Services (CMIS) interface may be used as an alternate mechanism". Add a footnote with a reference to the CMIS specification (undated, sin
	SuggestedRemedy Append the following sentence: "For example, for modules using AUI-C2M, the Contro Management Interoperability Services (CMIS) interface may be used as an alternate mechanism". Add a footnote with a reference to the CMIS specification (undated, sin
pposed Response Response Status O	Append the following sentence: "For example, for modules using AUI-C2M, the Control Management Interoperability Services (CMIS) interface may be used as an alternate mechanism". Add a footnote with a reference to the CMIS specification (undated, sin
178B SC 178B.5.3 P789 L44 # 421	
n, Adee Cisco Systems	Proposed Response Response Status O
mment Type TR Comment Status X	
The text about training xMII extenders does not address the communication of the status variables isI_ready and remote_rts between interfaces (PMD to AUI and vice versa) when there is a PHY XS and PCS between them. Ideally, this communication should be the same as the one defined in 178B.14.2.1 using adjacent_signal_ok, but the case of an extender is not covered by NOTE that describes what "adjacent" is. Since this behavior is specific to PHYs attached to extenders, it should be specified in this subclause, preferably with a diagram.	Cl 174 SC 174.2.1 P 248 L 48 # 423 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems Comment Type TR Comment Status X "MII" is defined in 1.4.393 with reference to Clause 22, which is 100 Mb/s. It is irrelevent this project. Saying that "The MII is not intended to be physically instantiated" does not match this definition.
ggestedRemedy	"MII" has been used in other clauses in a way that contradicts the definition. This is v
Add a NOTE in 178B.5.3 stating that, for the purpose of adjacent_signal_ok, the adjacent interface of a PMD in a PHY attached to an xMII extender is the service interface of the PHY XS; and the adjacent interface of the AUI component above the PHY XS is the service interface of the PMD.	and should not be carried on. The text can say that 1.6T Ethernet uses a specific interface between the RS and the the 1.6TMII. Or simply use 1.6TMII everywhere instead of MII.
Add a figure to illustrate the communication of adjacent_signal_ok between the PMD and	SuggestedRemedy
the AUI (across the PCS and PHY XS, and possibly other sublayers).	Change "MII" to "1.6TMII", and change the expanded acronym accordingly, across th clause, with editorial license.

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Response Status **O**

Proposed Response

CI 178B	SC 178B	P 786	L12	# 424	C/ 181	SC 18	81.7.1	P 462	L 39	# 426
Ran, Adee		Cisco Systems	s		Ran, Adee			Cisco System	5	
Comment T	Гуре Т	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
end-to-e the forn	end (RS-to-RS) ner, but is syste	inction between "ILT", which is path bring-up procedure. The em-level result, while ILT is a I may be helpful, e.g. "Physica	e latter is an abi ocal mechanisr	lity that is enabled by n.	freque by spe	ncies, ar	e not cap	cations are required for optic otured adequately by existing id correlated errors in receive	specifications,	and should be limited
SuggestedF	Remedy		,					re details is planned, but the ted changes.	suggested reme	edy contains a
		ysical layer startup procedure' LT" used over a single ISL. Im			Suggested		00	0		
Proposed R	-	Response Status O	.p.o					nment against 180.7.1, implei al license.	ment the corres	ponding changes in
					Proposed	Respons	е	Response Status O		
2/ 180 Ran, Adee	SC 180.7.1	P 438 Cisco Systems	L 51	# 425				_		
omment T	vpe TR	Comment Status X	0		C/ 182	SC 18	82.7.1	P 489	L 25	# 427
frequen by spec perform A prese	ncies, are not ca cifications to ave nance.	fications are required for optic aptured adequately by existing old correlated errors in receive ore details is planned, but the sted changes.	specifications, ers that would d	and should be limited egrade link	freque by spe perfori	nitter jitte ncies, are cification mance.	e not cap ns to avo	Comment Status X cations are required for optic otured adequately by existing id correlated errors in receive	specifications, ers that would d	and should be limited egrade link
uggestedF	Remedy							re details is planned, but the ted changes.	suggested reme	edy contains a
		"Output jitter" row with param t specifications at TP4).	neters, values, a	and units as in Table	Suggested	-		Je se		
In Table	e 180-14, add a	in "Output jitter" row with patte	ern 4 or 6, and r	eference to 180.9.14				nment against 180.7.1, implei al license.	ment the corres	ponding changes in
(new su	ubclause).				Proposed	Respons	е	Response Status 0		
with add - transn - when	ditional exception nit equalizer is the PHY includ									
clock re										
	ent with editoria	al license.								

C/ 183 SC 183.7.1	P 512	L 50	# 428	C/ 180	SC 180.9.5	P 448	L17	# 430
Ran, Adee	Cisco Systems	;		Ran, Adee		Cisco System	S	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status X		
frequencies, are not	cifications are required for optica captured adequately by existing word correlated errors in receive	specifications, a	and should be limited	and post will be al	cursor (i = 1) ble to apply si	er currently allows a very large coefficients of the reference re milar equalization. efficient data was provided in	eceiver. This as	sumes real receivers
A presentation with n summary of the sugg	nore details is planned, but the s gested changes.	suggested remed	dy contains a	- https://v points ha	www.ieee802. ave pre/post c	org/3/dj/public/24_05/welch_3 oefficients within the range -0.	dj_01_2405.pd 3 to +0.1.	f - where most data
SuggestedRemedy						org/3/dj/public/24_09/welch_3 post cursors that reach approx		f - where new data sets
Refer to my similar c Clause 183, with edit <i>Proposed Response</i>	omment against 180.7.1, implen torial license. <i>Response Status</i> O	nent the corresp	onding changes in	The refe that they equaliza	rence receive are all accep ion. However	r limits were set with margin re table, and allowing transmitter , there was no evidence or ind od receiver performance.	elative to all pro	ven stronger
	Cisco Systems <i>Comment Status</i> X ub-row of OMA_outer (min): "for ax(TECQ, TDECQ)<0.9 dB", as	TDECQ<0.9 dB'		shows th differenc degradat limited e degrades better in	at reference i e between pre ion in real rec qualization ca s the performa future design		large magnitud phase distortion SP receiver imp or) and that stru range). It is not	es, and especially large a), create severe blementations have ong equalization expected to be much
SuggestedRemedy Change to "for max(7	ſECQ, TDECQ)<0.9 dB".			and wou have suc	d likely create th impairment	equalization settings indicates e unexpectedly bad link perform s, a signal with such bad wave	mance. Even if eform shaping r	real transmitters will not
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			stressed	receiver testi	ng; this should not be allowed		
						rg/3/dj/public/adhoc/electrical/ gested remedy has been upda		
				SuggestedRe	emedy			
				to -0.3.		ge the Minimum value for i=-1 value for i=1 from 0.2 to 0.1.	from -0.5 to -0.	.3, and for i=1 from0.6
					vely, specify t does not exce	hat the difference between coe eed +/-0.3.	efficients -1 and	1+1 of the reference
				Apply the	e same chanc	es in Table 181-13, Table 182	2-15, and Table	183-14.

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.1	P174	L 33	# 431	C/ 175 SC 1	75.2.5.3	P 273	L 40	# 433
Ran, Adee	Cisco Systems			Ran, Adee		Cisco Systems	5	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X		
since they are interoperab	oder/decoder to only new Ph le with the previously define I wording makes interpreting	d state-diagra	am functions.	potential for co	rrupted data	eee802.org/3/dj/public/25_(a reaching the PCS client a er error multiplication that	after uncorrecta	ble codeword is
The stateless encoder and	d decoder are likely to be re- stadata (expected new proje	quired in the a	already-defined PHYs		der applies	this can be addressed by error extension, as describ		
SuggestedRemedy	. Why not do it now.			SuggestedRemedy				
,		to enable the	stateless functions to be	257b block follo	owing the un nding to 16	uncorrectable codeword in ncorrectable codeword is re error characters. ense.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Respons	se F	Response Status O		
	P242	L18	# 432					
Ran, Adee	Cisco Systems							

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

As shown in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_03a_2505.pdf, there is a potential for corrupted data reaching the PCS client after uncorrectable codeword is processed, due to error multiplication due to scrambler error multiplication that occurs separately in flow 0 and flow 1.

For the 800GBASE-R PCS, this can be addressed by adding a requirement that the Reed-Solomon decoder applies error extension, as described on slides 23 and 24 of ran_3dj_03a_2505.

Since this PCS is already defined, this comment may raise questions of scope. It is provided to facilitate discussion of the technical change separately from the scope of the project. If necessary, a maintenance request will be submitted in the future.

SuggestedRemedy

Bring 172.2.5.3 from 802.3df-2024 into this amendment, and add an exception to the list, that if an uncorrectable codeword is detected in any of the two flows, the 257b block following the uncorrectable codeword is replaced, after processing by the descrambler of that flow, by a block corresponding to 4 EBLOCK_R blocks (or 16 error characters). Implement with editorial license.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 1	SC 1.3	P53	L 49	# 434
Ran, Adee		Cisco Systems		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Several items in the normative references list include a specific Draft number. Some of these drafts are no longer available, and in some cases the version number does not match the date indicated (which suggests that a newer draft was intended).

For SFF documents, only the most recent draft (typically with version number x.y.z) is available; older drafts are removed.

Per the IEEE SA style manual (12.3.1 item c): "Draft standards: Unpublished drafts may be used as normative references as long as they are: (-) Dated (-) Readily available (-) Retrievable; A copy of ALL drafts shall be submitted to IEEE SA to be placed on file as an archive."

Thus, if we keep a dated draft, it should be archived in IEEE SA.

This comment pertains to the following references:

"SFF-8665, Rev 1.9.4, April 1, 2022" (QSFP+) - 1.9.4 is a draft that is no longer available. The current draft is 1.9.8. The published version, 1.9, is from 2015, apparently too old.

"SFF-TA-1011 Rev 1.1, April 19, 2024" (SFF cross reference) - revision number does not match the date; Rev 1.1 is from 2019-10-01 and is apparently too old to be referenced by this project. The current draft is 1.1.6.

"SFF-TA-1027, Rev 1.0, April 16, 2024" - (QSFP2 connector, cage, & module) - revision number does not match the date; Rev 1.0 is from 2023-05-30 and does not include QSFP224 as required for this project. The current draft is 1.0.6.

"QSFP-DD/QSFP-DD800/QSFP-DD1600 Hardware Specification for QSFP Double Density 8x Pluggable Transceivers, Rev 7.1, June 25, 2024.7" - this is indeed the current version, but it is a not a draft; there is no reason to refer to a specific version rather than the latest one.

"SFF-TA-1031, Rev 1.0, June 11, 2023, SFP2 Cage, Connector, & Module Specification" - this is indeed the current version (which does not include SFF224, subject of another comment) but it is not a draft; there is no reason to refer to a specific version rather than the latest one.

Since these are normative references that apply to multiple projects, including future ones, they should refer to documents that are available to readers in the future. Thus, we should use undated references where possible. Per the style manual (12.3.2), standards may be deted or undated; but drafts "shall be numbered and dated".

An editor's note may be used to indicate the current draft and as a reminder that "drafts shall be submitted to IEEE SA".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

For each of the indicated references that is a draft, add an editor's note (to be removed before publication) indicating the revision number and date as of D2.1, and a reminder to update to the latest draft revision and date and provide a copy for the archive prior to publication.

Make similar changes as appropriate in the text that refers to these form factors in Annex 179C.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 1	SC 1.3	P 53	L 53	# 435
Ran, Ade	ee	Cisco System	s	

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**

Footnote 6 refers to OSFP1600, but OSFP is a normative reference not just for OSFP1600 but also for the original OSFP, which is used in the base standard (e.g. clause 136).

Similarly, Footnote 7 refers to QSFP-DD1600, but QSFP-DD is a normative reference for the base standard.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "1600" in both footnotes.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 1	SC 1.3	P 53	L 54	# 436
Ran, Ad	ee	Cisco Systems		
Comme	nt Type TR	Comment Status X		

QSFP-DD MSA specification is not the reference for SFP-DD224 (which does not exist yet) and QSFP224 (which is an SFF specification).

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "SFP-DD224, QSFP224, and"

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 179C SC 179C.1 P833 L25 # 437	C/ 73 SC 73.4.1 P129 L 31 # 43	39
an, Adee Cisco Systems	Ran, Adee Cisco Systems	
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X	
There are currently no specifications, neither final or draft, of SFP224 and SFP-DD224 tha can be referred to.	"but will not transmit an ability it does not possess"	
The amendment cannot be finalized with references to undefined specifications.	"will" is not suitable - it is a requirement, not a statement of fact.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	"advertise" is typically used for abilities, and is preferable over "send" here.	
We should at least decide on a deadline for availability of these specifications. If they are not available by the deadline, they will need to be removed.	SuggestedRemedy	
SuggestedRemedy	Change to "but it shall not advertise an ability it does not possess".	
Add editor's note at the beginning of Annex 179C stating that SFP224 and SFP-DD224 specifications are not available yet, and that all references to these connector types will be removed if specifications are not available by the first SA ballot recirculation (i.e. they will	Proposed Response Response Status O	
not appear in D3.1).	C/ 73 SC 73.6.2.5 P133 L 50 # 44	40
These notes should replace the notes in 179C.2.1 and 179C.2.2.	Ran, Adee Cisco Systems	
	Comment Type T Comment Status X	
Add similar notes in 179.11.7.2.2 and 179.12 where these connectors are mentioned too. Proposed Response Response Status O	"FEC capability (F4, F2, F3, F0, F1) is encoded in bits D43:D47" three of these bits encode requests, rather than capabilities.	
Proposed Response Response Status O	three of these bits encode requests, rather than capabilities.	bits
Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 179C SC 179C.2.3 P 841 L 40 # 438	three of these bits encode requests, rather than capabilities. SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC capability and request bits (F4, F2, F3, F0, F1) are encoded in l	bits
Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 179C SC 179C.2.3 P 841 L 40 # 438 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems	three of these bits encode requests, rather than capabilities. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change to "FEC capability and request bits (F4, F2, F3, F0, F1) are encoded in D43:D47"	bits
Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 179C SC 179C.2.3 P841 L40 # 438 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems	three of these bits encode requests, rather than capabilities. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change to "FEC capability and request bits (F4, F2, F3, F0, F1) are encoded in D43:D47"	
Proposed Response Response Status O 2/ 179C SC 179C.2.3 P841 L40 # 438 tan, Adee Cisco Systems Comment Type T Comment Status X The Editor's note is obsolete - the recent version of SFF-TA-1027 (1.0.6, https://members.snia.org/document/dl/36947) does include QSFP224.	three of these bits encode requests, rather than capabilities. SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC capability and request bits (F4, F2, F3, F0, F1) are encoded in I D43:D47" Proposed Response Response Status O	
Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 179C SC 179C.2.3 P 841 L 40 # 438 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems Comment Type T Comment Status X The Editor's note is obsolete - the recent version of SFF-TA-1027 (1.0.6, https://members.snia.org/document/dl/36947) does include QSFP224. Here Instance	three of these bits encode requests, rather than capabilities. SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC capability and request bits (F4, F2, F3, F0, F1) are encoded in I D43:D47" Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 119 SC 119.6 P178 L19 # 44	
Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 179C SC 179C.2.3 P 841 L 40 # 438 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems Cisco Systems Comment Type T Comment Status X The Editor's note is obsolete - the recent version of SFF-TA-1027 (1.0.6, https://members.snia.org/document/dl/36947) does include QSFP224. SuggestedRemedy Delete the note. SuggestedRemedy Delete the note.	three of these bits encode requests, rather than capabilities. SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC capability and request bits (F4, F2, F3, F0, F1) are encoded in I D43:D47" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.6 P178 L19 # 44 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems	41
Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 179C SC 179C.2.3 P 841 L 40 # 438 can, Adee Cisco Systems Comment Type T Comment Status X The Editor's note is obsolete - the recent version of SFF-TA-1027 (1.0.6, https://members.snia.org/document/dl/36947) does include QSFP224. SuggestedRemedy Delete the note. Delete the note. Suppose To the status of the	three of these bits encode requests, rather than capabilities. SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC capability and request bits (F4, F2, F3, F0, F1) are encoded in I D43:D47" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 119 SC 119.6 P178 L19 # 44 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems Comment Type TR Comment Status X The timeout for link_fail_inhibit_timer, minimum 60 seconds, creates an unaccept	41
Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 179C SC 179C.2.3 P 841 L 40 # 438 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems Cisco Systems Comment Type T Comment Status X The Editor's note is obsolete - the recent version of SFF-TA-1027 (1.0.6, https://members.snia.org/document/dl/36947) does include QSFP224. SuggestedRemedy Delete the note. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy	three of these bits encode requests, rather than capabilities. SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC capability and request bits (F4, F2, F3, F0, F1) are encoded in I D43:D47" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 119 SC 119.6 P178 L19 # 4 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems Comment Type TR Comment Status X The timeout for link_fail_inhibit_timer, minimum 60 seconds, creates an unaccept minimum time to retry AN. A proposal to enable faster restart of AN was presented in	41 otably long
Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 179C SC 179C.2.3 P 841 L 40 # 438 can, Adee Cisco Systems Comment Type T Comment Status X The Editor's note is obsolete - the recent version of SFF-TA-1027 (1.0.6, https://members.snia.org/document/dl/36947) does include QSFP224. SuggestedRemedy Delete the note. Delete the note. Support of the status of the	three of these bits encode requests, rather than capabilities. SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC capability and request bits (F4, F2, F3, F0, F1) are encoded in I D43:D47" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 119 SC 119.6 P178 L19 # 44 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems Comment Type TR Comment Status X The timeout for link_fail_inhibit_timer, minimum 60 seconds, creates an unaccept minimum time to retry AN. A proposal to enable faster restart of AN was presented in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_02a_2505.pdf.	41 otably long
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 179C SC 179C.2.3 P 841 L 40 # 438 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems Comment Type T Comment Status X The Editor's note is obsolete - the recent version of SFF-TA-1027 (1.0.6, https://members.snia.org/document/dl/36947) does include QSFP224. SuggestedRemedy Delete the note. Delete the note. Suppose the supervision of SFF-TA-1027 (1.0.6, https://section.com/document/dl/36947)	three of these bits encode requests, rather than capabilities. SuggestedRemedy Change to "FEC capability and request bits (F4, F2, F3, F0, F1) are encoded in I D43:D47" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 119 SC 119.6 P 178 L 19 # 44 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems Comment Type TR Comment Status X The timeout for link_fail_inhibit_timer, minimum 60 seconds, creates an unaccept minimum time to retry AN. A proposal to enable faster restart of AN was presented in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_02a_2505.pdf. The changes proposed to clause 119 appear on slides 5-6 of ran_3dj_02a_2505.pdf.	41 ptably long

C/ 172 SC 172.6	P242	L35	# 442	C/ 73	SC 73.10.2	P 142	L13	# 444
Ran, Adee	Cisco Systems	3		Ran, Adee		Cisco System	S	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status X		
51	_fail_inhibit_timer, minimum 60 s try AN.	econds, create	es an unacceptably long	The tim	51	il_inhibit_timer, minimum 60 AN.	seconds, creates	s an unacceptably long
	e faster restart of AN was preser 2.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_0					aster restart of AN was prese rg/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_		
The changes propo	sed to clause 172 appear on slid	es 5-6 of ran_3	3dj_02a_2505.	The cha	anges proposed	d to clause 73 appear on slide	7 of ran_3dj_02	2a_2505.
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedF	Remedy			
Implement the chai license. Proposed Response	nges to clause 172 per slides 5-6	of ran_3dj_02a	a_2505, with editorial	73 per s	slide 7 of ran_3	9.1.1 from the base standard dj_02a_2505, with editorial lio 73.9.1.1 and 73.10.2, Table 7	ense.	the changes to clause
Toposeu Response	Response Status O			Proposed R	Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 175 SC 175.7	P280	L 30	# 443					
an, Adee	Cisco Systems	6		C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.2	P 117	L 43	# 445
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Ran, Adee		Cisco System	IS	
The timeout for link minimum time to re	_fail_inhibit_timer, minimum 60 s try AN.	econds, create	es an unacceptably long		51	Comment Status X il_inhibit_timer, minimum 60 AN.	seconds, creates	s an unacceptably long
https://www.ieee80	e faster restart of AN was preser 2.org/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_0	2a_2505.pdf.				aster restart of AN was prese rg/3/dj/public/25_05/ran_3dj_u		
The changes propo	sed to clause 175 appear on slid	es 5-6 of ran_3	3dj_02a_2505.			d to clause 45 appear on slide	7 of ran 3di 01	20. 2505
uggestedRemedy					0 1 1	a to clause 45 appear on since		2a_2000.
,		of ran 3di 02a	a 2505, with editorial	SuggestedF	кетеау			
<u> </u>	nges to clause 175 per slides 5-6		_ /	Implem	ent the change	s to clause 45 per slide 7 of r	an_3dj_02a_250	5, with editorial license

C/ 179 SC 179	9.4 P394	L13	# 446	C/ 178B	SC 178B.14	.2.1	P 803	L 47	# 448
Ran, Adee	Cisco Syst	ems		Ran, Adee			Cisco System	IS	
Comment Type TF	Comment Status X			Comment T	Туре Т	Comme	ent Status X		
For all other inter	it, DC common-mode voltage is aces, it is specified as a range 6D–2, and Table 176D–4.			adjace service	nt service inter interface. It m	ace is the in ay be easier		AUI component	above a PMA, the ". That is the PMA's
•	m limit would allow extremely l	0		Suggested	Remedv				
	e large in-rush current through t	ne cable's AC coup	bling into the link	00	-	service inte	rface is the interfa	ce below the AL	II component" to "the
partner's receiver	This should be avoided.						MA service interfa		
The specifications	for CR hosts should be aligned	d with those of C2N	/ hosts.		figure, with edit			(
SuggestedRemedy	5			Proposed F	Response	Respons	se Status O		
,	ommon-mode voltage specifica	tion to a range 0.2	to 1 V						
0	0	lion to a range, e.z							
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 176	SC 176.7.1.	2	P316	L 24	# 449
				He, Xiang			Huawei		
C/ 176D SC 176	D.6.4 P745	L 47	# 447	Comment 7	Type TR	Comme	nt Status X		
Ran, Adee	Cisco Syst	ems							nals get carried over to
Comment Type T	Comment Status X								lefine the precoding
51		ning a shallonga t							ring up a link while e link with a Tx with
	odules using capacitors is beco the same time, modules are bu				ing turned on?	t without pre	coung may not be		
CMOS processes	just like the host ASICs.	Ū		Suggested	Remedv				
	ave on-die AC coupling in the re limited to the same range on b			For PN	1Ds that require		nt precoding on th have precoding c		when ILT is disabled, a 176 or 178B.

common mode is limited to the same range on both sides. if both sides have this feature, it is possible to avoid external AC coupling in modules (both Tx and Rx), but it is currently an explicit requirement.

We should consider removing this requirement.

This would require:

- Adding DC common mode range specifications for module output and input. These can be consistent with the host's respective specifications..

- Adding DC common mode tolerance specifications for host input and output. These can

be consistent with the module's respective specifications.

- Changing text and figures to remove the AC coupling requirements.

SuggestedRemedy

Add common mode range and tolerance specifications and update the text and figures as listed in the comment, with editorial license.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 449

Page 92 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:53 PM

/ 178B SC 178B.6	2 P 791	L 7	# 450	C/ 186	SC 186.2.4.4	P 595	L11	# 452
e, Xiang	Huawei			He, Xiang		Huawei		
comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
The definition of E1 a "Two formats are def	and O1 is unclear.	fields, E1 and O	1." So E1 and O1 are		s the number of l errors.	bit errors detected by CRC32	check" is incorr	rect. CRC32 can only
document). After this	control and status fields. (This point in 178B, they were used	as "E1 interface	es" and "O1 interfaces"	Suggested	-	etection method to align with		
	ke in 178B.7. There are also 5 ce" in PMD clauses, like in 183		ig "Type E1 Interface"	-	-	-		
	er definition for these terms in (d use clear references	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
uggestedRemedy				C/ 177A	SC 177A	P 765	L1	# 453
	define two types of interfaces, o these terms all across 178B			He, Xiang		Huawei		
				Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
Second change: Cha defined, like "178B.6	nge the reference from "178B" 2".	to the subclaus	e where they were			not been updated since scran updated to reflect the chance		I to the padding bits.
roposed Response	Response Status 0			Suggested	lRemedv			
				00		ped files will be provided.		
7 186 SC 186.2.4	.4 P 594	L 5 1	# 451	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
e, Xiang	Huawei							
omment Type TR	Comment Status X			C/ 175	SC 175.2.4.6	P 265	L17	# 454
	ining FEC degrade behavior fo ded to warn the degradation be			He, Xiang		Huawei		
to correct all errors a		elore a fallure, fi		Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
uggestedRemedy						is not defined clearly in the		
Reuse the methodolo	ogy in OIF 800ZR IA, 4.7.3 and nreshold as the degrade thresh		least one BER level	anothe	er interpretation b	whenever there is a clock (tw ased on the context is that if will get a "continuously-runni	we extract all th	e pads and
roposed Response	Response Status O			is also randor I unde receive	an interpretation n seed. rstand this langu e side, but there	a of the word "free" to be each age was used in previous sta are testers out there testing t sters were designed. Explani	n PRBS9 segme indards, and the hese pad and w	ent could have its own pad is discarded on arning bit slips if the

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The initial value of the PRBS9 pattern generators may be any pattern other than all zeros." to "The initial value of the PRBS9 pattern generators in each pad may be any pattern other than all zeros."

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

Comment ID 454

Page 93 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:53 PM

CI 73	SC 73.5.1	P131	L 9	# 455	C/ 178B SC 178B.4	P 786	L 52	# 458
He, Xiang		Huawei			Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	ansmit differenti or compatibility i	al peak-to-peak output voltage reasons.	e for DME should	d be the same for all		h of 178B.4 talks about "devi The use of "former" and "latte		
Suggested	Remedy							
	ve case 2. Response	Response Status 0			What about devices medium.	vith no physically instantiated	interfaces, it stil	l uses ILT on the
					SuggestedRemedy			
	Type ER	P167 Broadcom Comment Status X not underlined. The new refered.	L 32 rences in the No	# 456	PMD or AUI compon PHY XS with a single interface with the PC	y include one or two physical ents. An example of the forme AUI-C2M (Annex 176D) or A S or PHY XS is never physica UI C2C (Annex 176C) interfa	er is a PMA adjac UI C2C (Annex 2 ally instantiated).	cent to a PCS or to a 176C) interface (the An example of the latter
Underl	ine footenote d	and its references in Table 110 Response Status O	6-8		the MAC and the PM interfaces. The left to interface, either a AU	y include zero, one or two ph D. Figure 176B-1 depicts a d vo stacks in Figure 176B-2 de I-C2M (Annex 176D) or AUI-(icts a device with two xAUI in	evice with zero p epict a device wit C2C (Annex 1760	hysically instantiated h a single xAUI
Underl Proposed	ine footenote d		6-8 L 32	# 457	Devices in a path ma the MAC and the PM interfaces. The left to interface, either a AU	D. Figure 176B-1 depicts a d vo stacks in Figure 176B-2 de I-C2M (Annex 176D) or AUI-0	evice with zero p epict a device wit C2C (Annex 1760	hysically instantiated h a single xAUI
Underl Proposed I Cl 116 Slavick, Je Comment	ine footenote d Response SC 116.5 ff Type E	Response Status O P167 Broadcom Comment Status X	L 32		Devices in a path ma the MAC and the PM interfaces. The left to interface, either a AU in Figure 176B-2 dep	D. Figure 176B-1 depicts a d vo stacks in Figure 176B-2 de I-C2M (Annex 176D) or AUI-(icts a device with two xAUI in <i>Response Status</i> O	evice with zero p epict a device wit C2C (Annex 1760	hysically instantiated h a single xAUI
Underl Proposed I Cl 116 Slavick, Je Comment The la	ine footenote d Response SC 116.5 ff Type E undry list of PM/	Response Status O P167 Broadcom	L 32		Devices in a path ma the MAC and the PM interfaces. The left to interface, either a AU in Figure 176B-2 dep Proposed Response	D. Figure 176B-1 depicts a d vo stacks in Figure 176B-2 de I-C2M (Annex 176D) or AUI-(icts a device with two xAUI in <i>Response Status</i> O	evice with zero p epict a device wit C2C (Annex 1760 terfaces.	hysically instantiated h a single xAUI C). The right 3 stacks
Underl Proposed I Cl 116 Slavick, Je Comment The la separa	ine footenote d Response SC 116.5 ff Type E undry list of PM/ ited list instead of	Response Status O P167 Broadcom Comment Status X A types that do odd lane skew	L 32		Devices in a path ma the MAC and the PM interfaces. The left to interface, either a AU in Figure 176B-2 dep Proposed Response	D. Figure 176B-1 depicts a d vo stacks in Figure 176B-2 de I-C2M (Annex 176D) or AUI-(icts a device with two xAUI in <i>Response Status</i> O 4.2.1 <i>P</i> 804	evice with zero p epict a device wit C2C (Annex 1760 terfaces.	hysically instantiated h a single xAUI C). The right 3 stacks
Underl Proposed I Cl 116 Slavick, Je Comment The lau separa Suggested Chang PHY ir	ine footenote d a Response SC 116.5 ff Type E undry list of PM/ ited list instead of Remedy e "by the 200GE includes any of th	Response Status O P167 Broadcom Comment Status X A types that do odd lane skew of using multiple "or" options. BASE-R 1:8 or 8:1 PMA or 400 bese PMA types."	L 32 is more clear if OGBASE-R 2:16	it's a comma or 16:2 PMA if the	C/ 178B SC 178B.1 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR Training status can n	D. Figure 176B-1 depicts a d vo stacks in Figure 176B-2 de I-C2M (Annex 176D) or AUI-(icts a device with two xAUI in <i>Response Status</i> O 4.2.1 <i>P</i> 804 Broadcom	evice with zero p epict a device wit C2C (Annex 1760 terfaces. <i>L</i> 32 variable and a pe	hysically instantiated h a single xAUI C). The right 3 stacks # [459 er-lane training variable.
Underl Proposed I Cl 116 Slavick, Je Comment The lau separa Suggested Chang PHY ir To: "by	ine footenote d a Response SC 116.5 ff Type E undry list of PM/ ated list instead of Remedy e "by the 200GB includes any of th r the 200GBASE	Response Status O P167 Broadcom Comment Status X A types that do odd lane skew of using multiple "or" options. BASE-R 1:8 or 8:1 PMA or 400 hese PMA types." E-R 1:8 PMA, 200GBASE-R 8:	<i>L</i> 32 is more clear if 0GBASE-R 2:16 :1 PMA, 400GB	it's a comma or 16:2 PMA if the ASE-R 2:16 PMA and	C/ 178B SC 178B.1 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR Training status can n	D. Figure 176B-1 depicts a d vo stacks in Figure 176B-2 de I-C2M (Annex 176D) or AUI-(icts a device with two xAUI in <i>Response Status</i> O 4.2.1 P804 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X ot be both a AUI component	evice with zero p epict a device wit C2C (Annex 1760 terfaces. <i>L</i> 32 variable and a pe	hysically instantiated h a single xAUI C). The right 3 stacks # [459 er-lane training variable.
Cl 116 Cl 116 Slavick, Je Comment The lau separa Suggested Chang PHY ir To: "by	SC 116.5 SC 116.5 ff Type E undry list of PM/ ted list instead of <i>Remedy</i> e "by the 200GE includes any of the the 200GBASE BASE-R 16:2 PM	Response Status O P167 Broadcom Comment Status X A types that do odd lane skew of using multiple "or" options. BASE-R 1:8 or 8:1 PMA or 400 bese PMA types."	<i>L</i> 32 is more clear if 0GBASE-R 2:16 :1 PMA, 400GB	it's a comma or 16:2 PMA if the ASE-R 2:16 PMA and	Devices in a path ma the MAC and the PM interfaces. The left to interface, either a AU in Figure 176B-2 dep Proposed Response CI 178B SC 178B.1 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR Training status can n Local_rts is an equive SuggestedRemedy Move the definition o Remove the enumera	D. Figure 176B-1 depicts a d vo stacks in Figure 176B-2 de I-C2M (Annex 176D) or AUI-(icts a device with two xAUI in <i>Response Status</i> O 4.2.1 P804 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X ot be both a AUI component	evice with zero p epict a device with C2C (Annex 1760 terfaces. <i>L</i> 32 variable and a pe ed to a MDIO reg 1 nition.	hysically instantiated h a single xAUI C). The right 3 stacks # 459 er-lane training variable.

C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.	4 P 809	L 4	# 460	C/ 176D SC 176	D.8.6	P 735	L 51	# 463
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom			Slavick, Jeff	I	Broadcom		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment S	tatus X		
The duration of the quiet negotiated rate data stre SuggestedRemedy Presentation of options to	•	ed during AN to	begin sending	179.4.1). In 179 the Annexes. Ca	ence to the number Ta there are separate su an we align the C2M a anges and start up co	b-clauses for and C2C desc	the FIR and ILT	
•				SuggestedRemedy				
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Replace the text	of 176D.8.6 with the f	ollowing:		
Cl 178B SC 178B.11.2 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR No pointer to the CHECk	P783 Broadcom Comment Status X	L 47	# 461	link training (ILT) exceptions: * Table 179D-9 is * Host output stej	alizer is identical to the function for Type E1 used instead of Table size and coefficient tep size coefficient lir	interface as d e 179-8 for co limits are spe	lefined in 179.8.9 pefficient initializa perified in Table 1	ation values 79D-2
SuggestedRemedy Add the following senten CHECK_REQ is defined	ce to the last paragraph of 1	78B.11.2: "The	e function	The transmit equ link training (ILT) exceptions: * Table 179D-9 is	of 176C.5.3.1 with the alizer is identical to th function for Type E1 used instead of Table and coefficient limits	at specified ir interface as d e 179-8 for co	lefined in 179.8.9	ation values
SuggestedRemedy Add the following senten CHECK_REQ is defined Proposed Response Cl 176C SC 176C.5.3.1 Slavick, Jeff	ce to the last paragraph of 1 in 178B.14.3.1." <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 706 Broadcom	78B.11.2: "The	# [462]	The transmit equ link training (ILT) exceptions: * Table 179D-9 is	alizer is identical to th function for Type E1 used instead of Tabl	at specified ir interface as d e 179-8 for co are specified	lefined in 179.8.9	9 with the following ation values
SuggestedRemedy Add the following senten CHECK_REQ is defined Proposed Response	ce to the last paragraph of 1 in 178B.14.3.1." <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 706 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X			The transmit equ link training (ILT) exceptions: * Table 179D-9 is * Output step size	alizer is identical to th function for Type E1 used instead of Tabl and coefficient limits <i>Response St</i>	at specified ir interface as d e 179-8 for co are specified	lefined in 179.8.9	9 with the following ation values
SuggestedRemedy Add the following senten CHECK_REQ is defined Proposed Response CI 176C SC 176C.5.3.1 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR	ce to the last paragraph of 1 in 178B.14.3.1." <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 706 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X E1 not type E.			The transmit equ link training (ILT) exceptions: * Table 179D-9 is * Output step size Proposed Response CI 179 SC 179 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TH Move Table 179-4 SuggestedRemedy	alizer is identical to th function for Type E1 used instead of Table and coefficient limits <i>Response St</i> .8.9	at specified ir interface as d e 179-8 for co s are specified atus O P 379 Broadcom tatus X ent only to the	lefined in 179.8.9 pefficient initialize d in Table 179C- <i>L</i> 13 e ILT function.	9 with the following ´ ation values 2

0/ 4707 00 4767 7								
C/ 178B SC 178B.5	P 788	L 3	# 465	C/FM S	C FM	P13	LO	# 468
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom			Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type	e ER	Comment Status X		
The otherwise is not new	cessary as the heading says	you use one or	the other.	In the Intro	duction, the	describtion of 802.3dj does n	not list out the a	nnexes.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRem	nedy			
Remove the "otherwise"	".			Change <a< td=""><td>nnexes> to</td><td>be Annex 174A through 186A</td><td>A Contraction of the second seco</td><td></td></a<>	nnexes> to	be Annex 174A through 186A	A Contraction of the second seco	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Resp	oonse	Response Status O		
C/ 178B SC 178B.5.1	P 788	L 21	# 466	C/ 1 S	C 1.1.3.2	P 52	L 21	# 469
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom			Slavick, Jeff		Broadcom		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type	E	Comment Status X		
Having an unspecified t	ime limit for rx_ready assertion	on (from entry to	D TRAIN_LOCAL)	Do we nee	d to actually	list the number of widths? It	's a laundry list	just introduce it as a list
	le link up behaviors. A time			SuggestedRem	nedv			
TRAIN_LOCAL is entered		IE WIII IMDIOVE	DIEOICIADUITY OF	00	,			
			prodictability of	Change "Fe	our widths" t	o "The following widths" on p	g52 line 21 and	line 40
operation which will faci	ilitate predicatble device beha			Change "T	wo widths" t	o "The following widths" on p	g53 line 6	line 40
operation which will faci SuggestedRemedy	ilitate predicatble device beha			Change "Ty Change "fo	wo widths" to our widths" to	o "The following widths" on pa o "the following widths" on pa	g53 line 6 55 line 31	line 40
operation which will faci SuggestedRemedy Presentation for a soluti	ilitate predicatble device beha		prodotability of	Change "To Change "fo Change "fo	wo widths" to our widths" to our widths" to	o "The following widths" on p	g53 line 6 55 line 31 56 line 19	line 40
operation which will faci SuggestedRemedy	ilitate predicatble device beha		prodotability of	Change "To Change "fo Change "fo	wo widths" to our widths" to our widths" to vo widths" to	o "The following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg	g53 line 6 55 line 31 56 line 19	line 40
operation which will faci SuggestedRemedy Presentation for a soluti	ilitate predicatble device beha		# [467]	Change "To Change "fo Change "fo Change "tw Proposed Resp	wo widths" to our widths" to our widths" to vo widths" to oonse	o "The following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg Response Status O	g53 line 6 55 line 31 56 line 19 57 line 43	
operation which will faci SuggestedRemedy Presentation for a soluti Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.10	ilitate predicatble device beha ion to be provided. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 799 Broadcom	aviors.		Change "To Change "fo Change "fo Change "tw Proposed Resp C/ 30 S	wo widths" to our widths" to our widths" to vo widths" to	o "The following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg <i>Response Status</i> O	g53 line 6 55 line 31 56 line 19	line 40 # [470
operation which will faci SuggestedRemedy Presentation for a soluti Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.10 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR	ilitate predicatble device beha ion to be provided. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 799 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X	L 44	# [467]	Change "To Change "fo Change "fo Change "tw Proposed Resp C/ 30 S Slavick, Jeff	wo widths" to our widths" to our widths" to wo widths" to oonse C 30.3.2.1. 2	o "The following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg <i>Response Status</i> O 2 P61 Broadcom	g53 line 6 55 line 31 56 line 19 57 line 43	
operation which will faci SuggestedRemedy Presentation for a soluti Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.10 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR	ilitate predicatble device beha ion to be provided. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 799 Broadcom	L 44	# [467]	Change "To Change "fo Change "fo Change "tw Proposed Resp C/ 30 S Slavick, Jeff Comment Type	wo widths" to our widths" to our widths" to oonse C 30.3.2.1.2	o "The following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg <i>Response Status</i> O	g53 line 6 55 line 31 56 line 19 57 line 43 <i>L</i> 16	# 470
operation which will faci SuggestedRemedy Presentation for a soluti Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.10 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR The fact that polarity_inv sub-clause.	ilitate predicatble device beha ion to be provided. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 799 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X	L 44	# [467]	Change "To Change "fo Change "fo Change "tw Proposed Resp C/ 30 S Slavick, Jeff Comment Type	wo widths" to our widths" to our widths" to oonse C 30.3.2.1.2 C TR S is not a PC	o "The following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg response Status O 2 P61 Broadcom Comment Status X	g53 line 6 55 line 31 56 line 19 57 line 43 <i>L</i> 16	# 470
operation which will faci SuggestedRemedy Presentation for a soluti Proposed Response Cl 178B SC 178B.10 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR The fact that polarity_im sub-clause. SuggestedRemedy	ilitate predicatble device beha ion to be provided. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 799 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X	L 44	# [467]	Change "To Change "fo Change "fo Change "tw Proposed Resp Cl 30 S Slavick, Jeff Comment Type Clause 186 SuggestedRem	wo widths" to our widths" to our widths" to oonse C 30.3.2.1.2 C TR S is not a PC nedy	o "The following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg o "the following widths" on pg response Status O 2 P61 Broadcom Comment Status X	g53 line 6 55 line 31 56 line 19 57 line 43 <i>L</i> 16 00GBASE-R PH	# [<u>470</u> Y now.

CI 69 SC 69.1.2	2 P 128	L 50	# 471	C/ 69	SC 69.2.3	P128	L 50	# 473
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom			Slavick, Jef	ff	Broadcom		
Comment Type TR Changes to 69.1.2	Comment Status X are missing.			Comment T Change	<i>Type</i> TR es to 69.2.3 are	Comment Status X missing.		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Amend Figure 69-5	from 802.3df to add on 1.6T the	same stack as	800G.	Need n	new paragraph t	alking about the new PHYs. A	dd this paragra	ph after the one 11th
Proposed Response Cl 69 SC 69.2.	Response Status O	L 50	# [472	and 1.6 Clause specifie	OTBASE-KR8. 119, the PMA es 200 Gb/s ope	lso specifies 200GBASE-KR1 The 200GBASE-KR1 embodin defined in Clause 176, and the eration using 4-level PAM over	nent employs the PMD defined in one differential	ne PCS defined in n Clause 178, and I paths in each
Slavick, Jeff	Broadcom					SE-KR2 embodiment employs 176, and the PMD defined in		
Comment Type TR Changes to 69.2.1	Comment Status X are missing.			operati KR4 er	on using 4-leve mbodiment emp	I PAM over two differential path loys the PCS defined in Claus n Clause 178, and specifies 80	hs in each direc e 172, the PMA	tion. The 800GBASE- defined in Clause 176,
SuggestedRemedy Amend 69.2.1 to ac amended in 802.3.	dd in the Clause 170 RS and 1.6 df.	MII to the list of	MIIs. This clause was	over fo PCS de Clause	ur differential pa efined in Clause	aths in each direction. The 1.6 e 175, the PMA defined in Clau fies 1.6 Tb/s operation using 4	TBASE-KR8 ei ise 176, and the	mbodiment employs the e PMD defined in
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed F		Response Status 0		
				CI 69	SC 69.2.3	P128	L 50	# 474
				Slavick, Jet	ff	Broadcom		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**

Changes to 69.2.3 are missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Add reference to Table 174-3 to the last paragraph of 69.2.3 as ameded by 802.3df.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 69	SC 69.4	P128	L50	# 475	CI 73	SC 73.6.2.4	P134	L1	# 477
Slavick, Je		Broadcom	200	π HIJ	Slavick, Je		Broadco	-	т <mark>411</mark>
Comment		Comment Status X			Comment		Comment Status X		
The de	elay constrain r	references are missing.			The ta	51	p on the next page whic		t section begins first and
Suggested					Suggested	dRemedv			
Add th	ie following 69.3	3 in the appropriate locations:			00		e to occur before the nex	kt sub-section?	
		, normative delay specification: d also referenced in 80.4.	s may be found i	n 117.1.4, 119.5,	Proposed	Response	Response Status O	,	
		, normative delay specification: d also referenced in 80.4.	s may be found i	n 117.1.4, 119.5,	C/ 178	SC 178.9.2.4	P364	L35	# 478
For 80	0GBASE-KR4	, normative delay specification	s may be found i	n 170 1 4 172 5	Healey, Ad	dam	Broadco	m, Inc.	
		d also referenced in 169.4.			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
		normative delay specifications	may be found ir	170.1.4, 175.5, 176.8,	device	e adheres." SInce		t transmitter differen	ce steady-state voltage,
and 17	8.6, and also r	referenced in 174.4.			it seer	ns that the calcu	lation should be based of	on the transmitter pa	ackage class.
	78.6, and also r Response	Response Status O			it seer Suggested		liation should be based of	on the transmitter pa	ackage class.
	,				Suggested			on the transmitter pa	ackage class.
Proposed i	Response SC 69.5		L 50	# 476	Suggested Chang	dRemedy			ackage class.
Proposed i	Response SC 69.5	Response Status O P128 Broadcom	L 50	# 476	Suggested Chang	dRemedy ge "receiver" to "t	transmitter".		ackage class.
Proposed I C/ 69 Blavick, Je Comment	Response SC 69.5 off Type TR	Response Status O P128 Broadcom Comment Status X			Suggested Chang	dRemedy ge "receiver" to "t	transmitter".		# 479
Proposed I C/ 69 Blavick, Je Comment Add dj	Response SC 69.5 off Type TR clauses to the	Response Status O P128 Broadcom Comment Status X elist of clauses the PICS cover			Suggested Chang Proposed	dRemedy ge "receiver" to "t Response SC 178.10.1	transmitter". Response Status O	L1	
Proposed I C/ 69 Slavick, Je Comment Add dj and "P	Response SC 69.5 off Type TR clauses to the PMD" Clauses in	Response Status O P128 Broadcom Comment Status X elist of clauses the PICS cover			Suggested Chang Proposed Cl 178	dRemedy ge "receiver" to "t Response SC 178.10.1 dam	transmitter". Response Status O	L1 m, Inc.	
Proposed i Cl 69 Slavick, Je Comment Add dj and "P Suggested Insert i	Response SC 69.5 off Type TR clauses to the PMD" Clauses in IRemedy	Response Status O P128 Broadcom Comment Status X elist of clauses the PICS cover	. It appears we	insert only the "FEC"	Suggested Chang Proposed Cl 178 Healey, Ac Comment "The r for the	dRemedy ge "receiver" to "t Response SC 178.10.1 dam Type E maximum likeliho e calculation of C	transmitter". <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 371 Broadco <i>Comment Status</i> X tood sequence detection of OM." Now that Table 17	L1 m, Inc. (MLSD) defined in 1 8-12 includes a para	# 479 78A.1.10 is to be used ameter that indicate
Proposed i Cl 69 Slavick, Je Comment Add dj and "P Suggested Insert i 175, C	Response SC 69.5 off Type TR clauses to the PMD" Clauses in IRemedy in the list of Cla	Response Status 0 P128 Broadcom Comment Status X elist of clauses the PICS cover n this list.	. It appears we	insert only the "FEC"	Suggested Chang Proposed Cl 178 Healey, Ac Comment "The r for the wheth	dRemedy ge "receiver" to "t Response SC 178.10.1 dam Type E maximum likeliho e calculation of C	transmitter". <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 371 Broadco <i>Comment Status</i> X ood sequence detection	L1 m, Inc. (MLSD) defined in 1 8-12 includes a para	# 479 78A.1.10 is to be used ameter that indicate
Proposed i Cl 69 Slavick, Je Comment Add dj and "P Suggested Insert i 175, C	SC 69.5 SC 69.5 off Type TR clauses to the MD" Clauses in IRemedy in the list of Cla clause 178,"	Response Status O P128 Broadcom Comment Status X Ist of clauses the PICS cover n this list.	. It appears we	insert only the "FEC"	Suggested Chang Proposed Cl 178 Healey, Ac Comment "The r for the wheth	dRemedy ge "receiver" to "t Response SC 178.10.1 dam Type E naximum likeliho e calculation of C er or not maximu ne redundant.	transmitter". <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 371 Broadco <i>Comment Status</i> X tood sequence detection of OM." Now that Table 17	L1 m, Inc. (MLSD) defined in 1 8-12 includes a para	# 479 78A.1.10 is to be used ameter that indicate
Proposed i Cl 69 Slavick, Je Comment Add dj and "P Suggested Insert i 175, C	SC 69.5 SC 69.5 off Type TR clauses to the MD" Clauses in IRemedy in the list of Cla clause 178,"	Response Status O P128 Broadcom Comment Status X Ist of clauses the PICS cover n this list.	. It appears we	insert only the "FEC"	Suggested Chang Proposed Cl 178 Healey, Ad Comment "The r for the wheth becom Suggested	dRemedy ge "receiver" to "t Response SC 178.10.1 dam Type E naximum likeliho e calculation of C er or not maximu ne redundant. dRemedy ve this sentence.	transmitter". <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 371 Broadco <i>Comment Status</i> X ood sequence detection of OM." Now that Table 17	L1 m, Inc. (MLSD) defined in 1 8-12 includes a para detection is included	# 479 78A.1.10 is to be used ameter that indicate I, this statement has

CI 176C SC 176C.7 P731 L13 # 482
Healey, Adam Broadcom, Inc.
Comment Type T Comment Status X
 There is potential confusion about what channel insertion loss covers. While 176C.3 defines the "channel" to be from TP0d to TP5d, the input to the COM calculation is the portion between TP0 and TP5 and the input to the ERL calculation is a measurement at TP0 or TP5. SuggestedRemedy To eliminate the possibility of any confusion, state the channel insertion loss recommendation is for TP0d to TP5d (similar to what is done in Table 178-11). Proposed Response Response Status 0
CI 179C SC 179C.2.1 P839 L45 # 483
D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Editor's Note states the following: The reference for SFP224 does not currently include 200G per lane specifications but it' expected to include before publication of this standard. It is not clear that the referenced SFP224 specification will include 200G per lane specifications. The current state of development in SFF-1031 or SFP-DD is unclear. The IEEE P802.3dj standard could not be approved in this state.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the dSNDR procedure with a comparison of the measured SNDR to a limit that is a function of the preset. Set the limits to the SNDR^(ref) values on slide 5 of <https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24_11/healey_3dj_01_2411.pdf> for presets 1 to 5. Set the limit to 31 dB for preset 6. Add a note that the limits are consistent with parameter values in the corresponding COM table. If desired, the subclause defining reference SNDR can be retained as documentation of the procedure used to define the limits.

Proposed Response Respon

Response Status 0

SuggestedRemedy

Two options are offered, as the state of development in noted organizations is unclear. 1. If development is underway in noted organizations, modiffy the note to indicate that if the specification is not received for consideration by the Task Force by Jan 2026, the note will be removed and the MDI will be noted in a non-specific manner. 2. Remove any references to the SFF specification and make the section generic.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 178B	SC 178B	P 786		L 6	# 484	C/ 178B	SC 178B.7	5	P 796	L 50	# 486
D'Ambrosia	a, John	Futurewe	ei, U.S.	Subsidiary of	Huawei	Kimber, Ma	ark		Semtech		
Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status X				Comment	Type TR	Comment	Status X		
For exa interfac	ample, the title inces". However,	ability, and needs to be ndicates "Inter-sublayer it is the understanding o	link tra	ining for elect	rical and optical	stating					mment in the O1 table e in this text that it
Additio		es as well as the total pa a new capability, it is not and PMDs.		hat there won'	t be differences for linl	Chang	e				
Suggested	Remedy						efficient select ient request.	bits are used to	identify the c	oefficient that is t	the target of a
PMDs.		into 3 Annexes - one for ables pointing to Annex				· To Only a	pplies for E1 ir	terfaces. The co		ct bits are used to	o identify the coefficier
Proposed F	Response	Response Status O				Proposed	Response	Response S	Status O		
C/ 178B	SC 178B.7.1	P 796		L 26	# 485	C/ 178B	SC 178B.7	6	P 797	L1	# 487
Kimber, Ma	ark	Semtech	ו			Kimber, Ma	ark		Semtech		
Comment 1	Type TR	Comment Status X				Comment	Type TR	Comment	Status X		
in the A	AUI and PMD cla	s this only applies to E1 auses. There is a comm rould be better to also st	ent in th	ne O1 table st	ating it should be	<i>Suggested</i> Chang	-				
Suggested	Remedy							st bits are used	to change the	value of the coel	fficient specified by the
	tial condition red	quest bits are used to se onfigurations (presets) s				. bits. . To	ient select	erfaces. The co	efficient reque	est bits are used t	to change the value of
up to s		efaces. The initial condit Insmitter equalizer configure						ed by the coeffic			Ũ
						Proposed	Response	Response S	Status O		
Proposed F	response	Response Status O									

C/ 180 SC 180.7.1	P 438	L 44	# 488	C/ 183 SC 183.7.1	P 512	L 37	# 491
Kimber, Mark	Semtech			Kimber, Mark	Semtech		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	mitters can cause BER floor is 5. Keeping Ceq > 1 (0dB) helps				mitters can cause BER floor is 5. Keeping Ceq > 1 (0dB) help		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Add additional specific Noise Enhancement F	cation line after TECQ specific Factor, Ceq (min) 1	ation.		Add additional specifi Noise Enhancement	cation line after TECQ specific Factor, Ceq (min) 1	ation.	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 181 SC 181.7.1	P 462	L 26	# 489	C/ 176D SC 176D.6.	3 P 745	L 21	# 492
Kimber, Mark	Semtech			Dudek, Mike	Marvell		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Add additional specific	5. Keeping Ceq > 1 (0dB) helps		carrig.	host output are inade wanted signal at frequ	common-mode to differential-n quate, allowing for an interfere Jencies above 35GHz. (The s	ing signal that is pecifications are	only 16dB below the
Noise Enhancement F	Factor, Ceq (min) 1			these points). These	e specifications are also weake		at Nyquist between
				these points). These to module in 802.3ck			at Nyquist between
Noise Enhancement F Proposed Response Cl 182 SC 182.7.1 Kimber, Mark Comment Type TR Over equalizing transf kimber_3dj_01a_2505 SuggestedRemedy Add additional specific	Factor, Ceq (min) 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 487 Semtech <i>Comment Status</i> X mitters can cause BER floor is: 5. Keeping Ceq > 1 (0dB) helps cation line after TECQ specific	L 9 sues as shown in s to prevent Tx p		these points). These to module in 802.3ck SuggestedRemedy Replace the reference 179-27 in tables 176E should be 25-22(f/106 GHz which are the sa addition to this chang return loss for the ma		er than the specif es 176D-2 and 1 s to new equation and 19-10(f/106 G C2M scaled in common-mode to b e improved.	3 at Nyquist between fications for 100G chip 76D-3 and equation ns. The equations 5.25) from 53.12 to 67 i frequency. In to differential-mode Change equation 179B
Noise Enhancement F Proposed Response Cl 182 SC 182.7.1 Kimber, Mark Comment Type TR Over equalizing transi kimber_3dj_01a_2505 SuggestedRemedy	Factor, Ceq (min) 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 487 Semtech <i>Comment Status</i> X mitters can cause BER floor is: 5. Keeping Ceq > 1 (0dB) helps cation line after TECQ specific	L 9 sues as shown in s to prevent Tx p	۔۔۔۔ ۔	these points). These to module in 802.3ck SuggestedRemedy Replace the reference 179-27 in tables 176E should be 25-22(f/106 GHz which are the sa addition to this chang return loss for the ma 8 and Figure 179B-5 53.12 to 67 GHz	e specifications are also weake es to equations 179-20 in table 0-4 and 176D-5 with references 6.25) from 0.05 to 53.12 GHz me equations as used for 1000 e in order to measure this the ted compliance boards need to to 30-26(f/106.25) from 0.05 to	er than the specif es 176D-2 and 1 s to new equation and 19-10(f/106 G C2M scaled in common-mode to b e improved.	3 at Nyquist between fications for 100G chip 76D-3 and equation ns. The equations 5.25) from 53.12 to 67 frequency. In to differential-mode Change equation 179B

CI 176C	SC ·	176C.6.3	P 723	L 46	# 493	C/ 178	SC	178.9.3.5	F
Dudek, Mik	e		Marvell			Dudek,	Mike		Mar
comment T	Гуре	TR	Comment Status X			Comm	ent Type	TR	Comment Statu
			differential-mode output retur	n loss specifica	ations is missing for C2C		t stressing eivers.	the jitter to	lerance signal wit
this link propos mode t	s speci does ed in a o comr	ification to not have a separate non-mode	Table 176C-2 using the sam a minimum loss consider as a comment for C2M for both th input return loss specificatio	an alternative u is new specific	sing the values ation and the differential-	De "Th	ie test cha t channel (ception "No nnel COM, COM with th	b broadband noise calculated per th ne jitter included, change for C2C o
Proposed F	Respon	se	Response Status O				ed Respor		Response Statu
C/ 178	SC ·	178.9.2	P362	L 24	# 494				
Dudek, Mik	e		Marvell			C/ 179	SC	179.9.5.4.2	2 F
Comment T		TR	Comment Status X			Dudek,	Mike		Mar
There i which v end rec	s no sp would a ceiver to	llow 100% o be reflec	n for common-mode to differ 5 of the common mode return tted as interfering differential	energy from t	he channel and the far	No	ent Type t stressing eivers.	TR the jitter to	Comment Statu lerance signal wit
degrad	e perfo	rmance.				Sugges	stedRemed	dy	
uggested	Remed	'y							nce test procedur
that the	ere is n	o minimur	Table 178-6 using the same n loss for the channel so com from the channel can create	nmon-mode ref	lections from the far	jitte jitte	er with the er amplitud	specified free free free specified free free free specified free specified free specified free specified free s	s injected (i.e., sto equency and amp ed to obtain the pe
Proposed F	Respon	se	Response Status 0			cal	culated pe		est reference (see .3 with the jitter-st 1."
C/ 178	SC ·	178.9.2	P 362	L 36	# 495	"Tł			procedure is simi
Dudek, Mik	e		Marvell						equency and amp ed to obtain the pe
Comment T	Гуре	TR	Comment Status X						est reference (se
	ource v	which is no	tersymbol-interference ratio of included in the COM analysis						.3 with the jitter-st ual to the value ir
		-				Ma	ke the equ	ivalent cha	nge for C2M in se
Suggested	remea	v							

SuggestedRemedy

Change the specification to a difference signal-to-residual-intersymbol-interference with a value of 0 dB where the reference is the value of signal-to-residual-intersymbolinterference for the package claimed. Make the same change for C2C, C2M and CR where the reference is the COM module appropriate to the specification. (Or better complete the calculations and put in the value that matches).

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 178	SC 178.9.3.5		P 369	L 4	# 496
Dudek, Mike	e		Marvell		
-		_			

tus X

vith noise in addition to the jitter under-stresses

se is added". Change the following exception from he method in 178.9.3.4.2. is at least 3 dB." to "The I, calculated per the method in 178.9.3.4.2, is 3 on page 730.

tus **O**

C/ 179	SC	179.9.5.4.2	P 410	L 3	# 497
Dudek, Mi	ke		Marvell		
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		

vith noise in addition to the jitter under-stresses

ure is similar to that of 179.9.5.3, with the step g in 179.9.5.3.3 is not performed). Instead, nplitude is applied to the pattern generator and the peak-to-peak jitter specified for that frequency in ee Figure 110–3a). The test channel COM, stressed transmitter output, shall not be lower than

nilar to that of 179.9.5.3, with the exception that nplitude is applied to the pattern generator and the peak-to-peak jitter specified for that frequency in ee Figure 110-3a). The test channel COM, stressed transmitter output and the broadband in Table 179-11."

section 176D.8.13.2 on page 759

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 178B SC 178B.2 P786 L19 # 498	C/ 179 SC 179.9.5.3.3 P407 L11 # 501
Dudek, Mike Marvell	Dudek, Mike Marvell
Comment Type E Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
The english isn't good.	The host channel as defined in 179A.4 includes the package and connector. Listing the
SuggestedRemedy	host channel and package separately could lead to double counting. Partial host channe model is what this is called in Table 179-16.
Change "in a ISL or multi-ISL paths" to "in a ISL path or multi-ISL paths"	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status O	Change "using the receiver host channel, package, and device termination models" to "using the receiver partial host channel, package, and device termination models. Also C2M on page 757 line 34.
C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3 P806 L1 # 499	Proposed Response Response Status O
Dudek, Mike Marvell	
Comment Type E Comment Status X	C/ 179A SC 179A.4 P818 L40 # 502
The Path ready descriptions apply to both E1 and O1 interfaces. It would read better if these paragraphs were placed before the paragraph that describes the different behaviour.	Dudek, Mike Marvell
these paragraphs were placed before the paragraph that describes the university behaviour.	
SuggestedPomody	
Move the first paragraph to after the 3rd paragraph.	It is not helpful saying that the assumed mated connector insertion loss is 2.45dB. Host vendors can trade connector losses for cable/pcb/package losses.
	It is not helpful saying that the assumed mated connector insertion loss is 2.45dB. Host
Move the first paragraph to after the 3rd paragraph. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178B SC 178B.14.3.1 P807 L 44 500	It is not helpful saying that the assumed mated connector insertion loss is 2.45dB. Host vendors can trade connector losses for cable/pcb/package losses.
Move the first paragraph to after the 3rd paragraph. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.1 P807 L 44 # 500	It is not helpful saying that the assumed mated connector insertion loss is 2.45dB. Host vendors can trade connector losses for cable/pcb/package losses. SuggestedRemedy Delete the last sentence. "The recommended maximum differential insertion loss (TP0d-TP2) or (TP3-to-TP5d) are consistent with the host channels and an assumed mated connector insertion loss of 2.45 dB." If this is not done then change "are" to "is" as los is singular.
Move the first paragraph to after the 3rd paragraph. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178B SC 178B.14.3.1 P807 L44 # 500 Dudek, Mike Marvell	It is not helpful saying that the assumed mated connector insertion loss is 2.45dB. Host vendors can trade connector losses for cable/pcb/package losses. SuggestedRemedy Delete the last sentence. "The recommended maximum differential insertion loss (TP0d-TP2) or (TP3-to-TP5d) are consistent with the host channels and an assumed mated connector insertion loss of 2.45 dB." If this is not done then change "are" to "is" as los
Move the first paragraph to after the 3rd paragraph. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178B SC 178B.14.3.1 P807 L44 # 500 Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type E Comment Status X "Correspondent" is strange. "Corresponding" is better, as used in the base document in multiple places e.g. 73.7.6 first paragraph	It is not helpful saying that the assumed mated connector insertion loss is 2.45dB. Host vendors can trade connector losses for cable/pcb/package losses. SuggestedRemedy Delete the last sentence. "The recommended maximum differential insertion loss (TP0d-TP2) or (TP3-to-TP5d) are consistent with the host channels and an assumed mated connector insertion loss of 2.45 dB." If this is not done then change "are" to "is" as los is singular.
Move the first paragraph to after the 3rd paragraph. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178B SC 178B.14.3.1 P807 L44 # 500 Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type E Comment Status X "Correspondent" is strange. "Corresponding" is better, as used in the base document in multiple places e.g. 73.7.6 first paragraph	It is not helpful saying that the assumed mated connector insertion loss is 2.45dB. Host vendors can trade connector losses for cable/pcb/package losses. SuggestedRemedy Delete the last sentence. "The recommended maximum differential insertion loss (TP0d-TP2) or (TP3-to-TP5d) are consistent with the host channels and an assumed mated connector insertion loss of 2.45 dB." If this is not done then change "are" to "is" as los is singular. Proposed Response Response Status O
Move the first paragraph to after the 3rd paragraph. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178B SC 178B.14.3.1 P807 L44 # 500 Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type E Comment Status X "Correspondent" is strange. "Corresponding" is better, as used in the base document in multiple places e.g. 73.7.6 first paragraph SuggestedRemedy Change "correspondent" to "corresponding" here and on line 48.	It is not helpful saying that the assumed mated connector insertion loss is 2.45dB. Host vendors can trade connector losses for cable/pcb/package losses. SuggestedRemedy Delete the last sentence. "The recommended maximum differential insertion loss (TP0d-TP2) or (TP3-to-TP5d) are consistent with the host channels and an assumed mated connector insertion loss of 2.45 dB." If this is not done then change "are" to "is" as los is singular. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 176C SC 176C.7 P731 L17 # 503
Move the first paragraph to after the 3rd paragraph. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178B SC 178B.14.3.1 P 807 L 44 # 500 Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type E Comment Status X "Correspondent" is strange. "Corresponding" is better, as used in the base document in multiple places e.g. 73.7.6 first paragraph SuggestedRemedy Change "correspondent" to "corresponding" here and on line 48.	It is not helpful saying that the assumed mated connector insertion loss is 2.45dB. Host vendors can trade connector losses for cable/pcb/package losses. SuggestedRemedy Delete the last sentence. "The recommended maximum differential insertion loss (TP0d-TP2) or (TP3-to-TP5d) are consistent with the host channels and an assumed mated connector insertion loss of 2.45 dB." If this is not done then change "are" to "is" as los is singular. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 176C SC 176C.7 P731 L 17 # 503 Dudek, Mike Marvell
Move the first paragraph to after the 3rd paragraph. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178B SC 178B.14.3.1 P 807 L 44 # 500 Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type E Comment Status X "Correspondent" is strange. "Corresponding" is better, as used in the base document in multiple places e.g. 73.7.6 first paragraph SuggestedRemedy Change "correspondent" to "corresponding" here and on line 48.	It is not helpful saying that the assumed mated connector insertion loss is 2.45dB. Host vendors can trade connector losses for cable/pcb/package losses. SuggestedRemedy Delete the last sentence. "The recommended maximum differential insertion loss (TP0d-TP2) or (TP3-to-TP5d) are consistent with the host channels and an assumed mated connector insertion loss of 2.45 dB." If this is not done then change "are" to "is" as los is singular. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 176C SC 176C.7 P731 L 17 # 503 Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status X There is no specification for differential-mode to common-mode conversion for the C2C
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178B SC 178B.14.3.1 P 807 L 44 # 500 Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type E Comment Status X "Correspondent" is strange. "Corresponding" is better, as used in the base document in multiple places e.g. 73.7.6 first paragraph SuggestedRemedy Change "correspondent" to "corresponding" here and on line 48.	It is not helpful saying that the assumed mated connector insertion loss is 2.45dB. Host vendors can trade connector losses for cable/pcb/package losses. SuggestedRemedy Delete the last sentence. "The recommended maximum differential insertion loss (TP0d-TP2) or (TP3-to-TP5d) are consistent with the host channels and an assumed mated connector insertion loss of 2.45 dB." If this is not done then change "are" to "is" as los is singular. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 176C SC 176C.7 P731 L17 # 503 Dudek, Mike Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status X There is no specification for differential-mode to common mode to be input to the Rx.

CI 176C SC 176C.6	6.3 P723	L 39	# 504	C/ 176D SC 176D.	6.5 P747	L13	# 507			
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Dudek, Mike	Marvell					
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X					
	w Frequency AC common mod lock Error ratio requirement. The			The Host AC common-mode input tolerance is 80mV max full band. The allowed module output AC common-mode full band is however only 60mV max. There isn't a reason why the host should tolerate more than the module outputs.						
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy						
Change the C2C val	ue to 30mV in table 176C-2.			Change the host AC	common-mode input tolerance	e full band from	80mV to 60mV			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O					
C/ 176D SC 176D.6	6.6 P747	L36	# 505	C/ 180 SC 180.9.	5 <i>P</i> 448	L 23	# 508			
udek, Mike	Marvell			Dudek, Mike	Marvell					
omment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X					
at TP1a. (Note ho	wever that 176D.8.10 specifica		iance board as is section 176D.6.5 not ommon mode voltage	that despite a passi	org/groups/802/3/dj/public/25_(ng TDECQ value, with non optir o have a large difference in val	mum Tx setting	s that require the			
at TP1a. (Note ho tolerance at TP1a). uggestedRemedy Change from "specif	wever that 176D.8.10 specifica	Ily calls out AC c	section 176D.6.5 not	that despite a passi reference receiver t the 1st postcursor ta	ng TDECQ value, with non optin o have a large difference in val ap, a receiver has excessive Bl uned transmitters will have this	mum Tx setting: ue between the ER and post-FE	s that require the 1st precursor tap and EC errors. It is not			
at TP1a. (Note ho tolerance at TP1a). SuggestedRemedy Change from "specif	wever that 176D.8.10 specifica	Ily calls out AC c	section 176D.6.5 not	that despite a passin reference receiver the the 1st postcursor ta expected that well to	ng TDECQ value, with non optin o have a large difference in val ap, a receiver has excessive Bl uned transmitters will have this	mum Tx setting: ue between the ER and post-FE	s that require the 1st precursor tap and EC errors. It is not			
at TP1a. (Note ho tolerance at TP1a). SuggestedRemedy Change from "specif	wever that 176D.8.10 specifica	Ily calls out AC c	section 176D.6.5 not	that despite a passi reference receiver t the 1st postcursor ta expected that well tu equalizer tap values SuggestedRemedy	ng TDECQ value, with non optin o have a large difference in value, ap, a receiver has excessive Bl uned transmitters will have this ement to table 180.15 that Abs(mum Tx setting: ue between the ER and post-FE large difference	s that require the 1st precursor tap and EC errors. It is not in the reference			
at TP1a. (Note ho tolerance at TP1a). SuggestedRemedy Change from "specif Proposed Response	ications at TP1a" to "Specifica <i>Response Status</i> 0	Ily calls out AC c	section 176D.6.5 not	that despite a passi reference receiver t the 1st postcursor te expected that well tu equalizer tap values SuggestedRemedy Add an extra require	ng TDECQ value, with non optin o have a large difference in value, ap, a receiver has excessive Bl uned transmitters will have this ement to table 180.15 that Abs(mum Tx setting: ue between the ER and post-FE large difference	s that require the 1st precursor tap and EC errors. It is not in the reference			
at TP1a. (Note ho tolerance at TP1a). uggestedRemedy Change from "specif proposed Response	ications at TP1a" to "Specifica <i>Response Status</i> 0	illy calls out AC co	section 176D.6.5 not ommon mode voltage	that despite a passin reference receiver to the 1st postcursor to expected that well to equalizer tap values <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add an extra require 13, 182-15 and 183	ng TDECQ value, with non optir o have a large difference in val- ap, a receiver has excessive Bl uned transmitters will have this ement to table 180.15 that Abs(-14	mum Tx setting: ue between the ER and post-FE large difference	s that require the 1st precursor tap and EC errors. It is not in the reference			
at TP1a. (Note ho tolerance at TP1a). uggestedRemedy Change from "specif roposed Response 176D SC 176D.6 udek, Mike	ications at TP1a" to "Specifica Response Status O 6.3 P745	illy calls out AC co	section 176D.6.5 not ommon mode voltage	that despite a passi reference receiver to the 1st postcursor ta expected that well to equalizer tap values SuggestedRemedy Add an extra require 13, 182-15 and 183 Proposed Response	ng TDECQ value, with non optir o have a large difference in value, ap, a receiver has excessive Bl uned transmitters will have this ement to table 180.15 that Abs(-14 Response Status O	mum Tx setting: ue between the ER and post-FE large difference C(-1)-C(+1))<0.	s that require the 1st precursor tap and C errors. It is not in the reference 3. Also to tables 181-			
at TP1a. (Note ho tolerance at TP1a). UggestedRemedy Change from "specif roposed Response 176D SC 176D.6 Udek, Mike comment Type TR The module AC com	ications at TP1a" to "Specifica ications at TP1a" to "Specifiction <i>Response Status</i> O 5.3 P745 Marvell <i>Comment Status</i> X imon-mode input tolerance is 8	Illy calls out AC co ons at TP1" <i>L</i> 16 OmV max full bar	section 176D.6.5 not ommon mode voltage # <u>506</u> ad and 32mV for the	that despite a passi reference receiver to the 1st postcursor to expected that well to equalizer tap values SuggestedRemedy Add an extra require 13, 182-15 and 183 Proposed Response	ng TDECQ value, with non optin o have a large difference in value, ap, a receiver has excessive Bl uned transmitters will have this ement to table 180.15 that Abs(n -14 <i>Response Status</i> O	mum Tx setting: ue between the ER and post-FE large difference	s that require the 1st precursor tap and EC errors. It is not in the reference			
at TP1a. (Note ho tolerance at TP1a). UggestedRemedy Change from "specif roposed Response 176D SC 176D.6 Udek, Mike comment Type TR The module AC com low frequency. The	ications at TP1a" to "Specifica ications at TP1a" to "Specifiction Response Status O 5.3 P745 Marvell Comment Status X imon-mode input tolerance is 8 allowed host output AC common	Illy calls out AC co ons at TP1" <i>L</i> 16 OmV max full bar on-mode full band	section 176D.6.5 not ommon mode voltage # <u>506</u> I d and 32mV for the I is however 85mV max	that despite a passi reference receiver t the 1st postcursor te expected that well tu equalizer tap values <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add an extra require 13, 182-15 and 183 <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 179A <i>SC</i> 179A .	ng TDECQ value, with non optir o have a large difference in value, ap, a receiver has excessive Bl uned transmitters will have this ement to table 180.15 that Abs(r -14 <i>Response Status</i> O P819 Marvell	mum Tx setting: ue between the ER and post-FE large difference C(-1)-C(+1))<0.	s that require the 1st precursor tap and C errors. It is not in the reference 3. Also to tables 181-			
at TP1a. (Note ho tolerance at TP1a). uggestedRemedy Change from "specif roposed Response / 176D SC 176D.6 udek, Mike omment Type TR The module AC com low frequency. The (and 30mV max for t the module input tole	ications at TP1a" to "Specifical ications at TP1a" to "Specifiction <i>Response Status</i> O 5.3 P745 Marvell <i>Comment Status</i> X allowed host output tolerance is 8 allowed host output AC common the low frequency). The host of erance full band, and there isn't	Illy calls out AC co ons at TP1" <i>L</i> 16 OmV max full bard on-mode full band output value shou a reason why the	# <u>506</u> # 506 # showever 85mV max Id not be higher than	that despite a passi reference receiver to the 1st postcursor ta expected that well to equalizer tap values <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add an extra require 13, 182-15 and 183 <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 179A <i>SC</i> 179A . Dudek, Mike <i>Comment Type</i> T	ng TDECQ value, with non optir o have a large difference in value, ap, a receiver has excessive Bl uned transmitters will have this ement to table 180.15 that Abs(-14 <i>Response Status</i> O P819 Marvell <i>Comment Status</i> X	mum Tx setting: ue between the ER and post-FE large difference C(-1)-C(+1))<0.	s that require the 1st precursor tap and C errors. It is not in the reference 3. Also to tables 181- # <u>509</u>			
at TP1a. (Note ho tolerance at TP1a). uggestedRemedy Change from "specif roposed Response / 176D SC 176D.6 udek, Mike omment Type TR The module AC com low frequency. The (and 30mV max for t the module input tole tolerate more than th	ications at TP1a" to "Specifica ications at TP1a" to "Specifiction Response Status O 5.3 P745 Marvell Comment Status X Imon-mode input tolerance is 8 allowed host output AC common the low frequency). The host of	Illy calls out AC co ons at TP1" <i>L</i> 16 OmV max full bard on-mode full band output value shou a reason why the	# <u>506</u> # 506 # showever 85mV max Id not be higher than	that despite a passi reference receiver t the 1st postcursor te expected that well tu equalizer tap values <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add an extra require 13, 182-15 and 183 <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 179A <i>SC</i> 179A. Dudek, Mike <i>Comment Type</i> T Figure 179A-3 does	ng TDECQ value, with non optir o have a large difference in value, ap, a receiver has excessive Bl uned transmitters will have this ement to table 180.15 that Abs(r -14 <i>Response Status</i> O P819 Marvell	mum Tx setting: ue between the ER and post-FE large difference C(-1)-C(+1))<0.	s that require the 1st precursor tap and C errors. It is not in the reference 3. Also to tables 181- # 509			
at TP1a. (Note ho tolerance at TP1a). UggestedRemedy Change from "specif roposed Response 176D SC 176D.6 Udek, Mike omment Type TR The module AC com low frequency. The at (and 30mV max for t the module input tole tolerate more than the UggestedRemedy	ications at TP1a" to "Specifications at TP1a" to "Specific	Illy calls out AC constant TP1" L16 OmV max full bard on-mode full band output value shout a reason why the y.	# 506 # 506 # showever 85mV max Id not be higher than e module should	that despite a passi reference receiver to the 1st postcursor to expected that well to equalizer tap values <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add an extra require 13, 182-15 and 183 <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 179A <i>SC</i> 179A Dudek, Mike <i>Comment Type</i> T Figure 179A-3 does and maximum inser multiple combination	ng TDECQ value, with non optin o have a large difference in value, ap, a receiver has excessive Bl uned transmitters will have this	mum Tx setting: ue between the ER and post-FE large difference C(-1)-C(+1))<0.	s that require the 1st precursor tap and C errors. It is not in the reference 3. Also to tables 181- # <u>509</u> able assembly assembly of this as there are			
at TP1a. (Note ho tolerance at TP1a). uggestedRemedy Change from "specif roposed Response / 176D SC 176D.6 udek, Mike omment Type TR The module AC com low frequency. The si (and 30mV max for t the module input tole tolerate more than th uggestedRemedy Change the full band	ications at TP1a" to "Specifica ications at TP1a" to "Specifiction <i>Response Status</i> O 5.3 P745 Marvell <i>Comment Status</i> X Imon-mode input tolerance is 8 allowed host output AC common the low frequency). The host of perance full band, and there isn't the host outputs at low frequence the AC common-mode output volt	Illy calls out AC co ons at TP1" <i>L</i> 16 OmV max full bar on-mode full band output value shou a reason why the y.	# <u>506</u> # <u>506</u> # <u>506</u> ad and 32mV for the l is however 85mV max ld not be higher than e module should from 85mV to 80mV.	that despite a passi reference receiver to the 1st postcursor ta expected that well to equalizer tap values SuggestedRemedy Add an extra require 13, 182-15 and 183 Proposed Response Cl 179A SC 179A. Dudek, Mike Comment Type T Figure 179A-3 does and maximum inser multiple combination simultaneously allow	ng TDECQ value, with non optin o have a large difference in value, ap, a receiver has excessive Bl uned transmitters will have this	mum Tx setting: ue between the ER and post-FE large difference C(-1)-C(+1))<0.	s that require the 1st precursor tap and C errors. It is not in the reference 3. Also to tables 181- # <u>509</u> able assembly assembly of this as there are			
at TP1a. (Note ho tolerance at TP1a). SuggestedRemedy Change from "specif Proposed Response Critical Transformer Critical Transformer C	ications at TP1a" to "Specifications at TP1a" to "Specific	Illy calls out AC co ons at TP1" <i>L</i> 16 OmV max full bar on-mode full band output value shou a reason why the y.	# <u>506</u> # <u>506</u> # <u>506</u> ad and 32mV for the l is however 85mV max ld not be higher than e module should from 85mV to 80mV.	that despite a passi reference receiver t the 1st postcursor ta expected that well tu equalizer tap values <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add an extra require 13, 182-15 and 183 <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 179A <i>SC</i> 179A . Dudek, Mike <i>Comment Type</i> T Figure 179A-3 does and maximum inser multiple combination simultaneously allow <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	ng TDECQ value, with non optir o have a large difference in value, ap, a receiver has excessive Bl uned transmitters will have this ement to table 180.15 that Abs(r -14 <i>Response Status</i> 0 7819 Marvell <i>Comment Status</i> X not show the maximum insertion tion loss of the cable. There is no possible and the maximum vieed.	mum Tx setting: ue between the ER and post-FE large difference C(-1)-C(+1))<0. <i>L</i> 8 on loss of the ca no illustration o values of all the	s that require the 1st precursor tap and C errors. It is not in the reference 3. Also to tables 181- # <u>509</u> able assembly assembly of this as there are items listed is not			
at TP1a. (Note ho tolerance at TP1a). SuggestedRemedy Change from "specif Proposed Response Cl 176D SC 176D.6 Dudek, Mike Comment Type TR The module AC com low frequency. The (and 30mV max for t the module input tole tolerate more than th SuggestedRemedy Change the full band Consider also chang	ications at TP1a" to "Specifica ications at TP1a" to "Specifiction <i>Response Status</i> O 5.3 P745 Marvell <i>Comment Status</i> X Imon-mode input tolerance is 8 allowed host output AC common the low frequency). The host of perance full band, and there isn't the host outputs at low frequence the AC common-mode output volt	Illy calls out AC co ons at TP1" <i>L</i> 16 OmV max full bar on-mode full band output value shou a reason why the y.	# <u>506</u> # <u>506</u> # <u>506</u> ad and 32mV for the l is however 85mV max ld not be higher than e module should from 85mV to 80mV.	that despite a passi reference receiver t the 1st postcursor ta expected that well tu equalizer tap values <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add an extra require 13, 182-15 and 183 <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 179A <i>SC</i> 179A . Dudek, Mike <i>Comment Type</i> T Figure 179A-3 does and maximum inser multiple combination simultaneously allow <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	ng TDECQ value, with non optin o have a large difference in value, ap, a receiver has excessive Bl uned transmitters will have this	mum Tx setting: ue between the ER and post-FE large difference C(-1)-C(+1))<0. <i>L</i> 8 on loss of the ca no illustration o values of all the	s that require the 1st precursor tap and C errors. It is not in the reference 3. Also to tables 181- # <u>509</u> able assembly assembly of this as there are items listed is not			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 179A SC 179A.7	P 822	L13	# 510	C/ 179B	SC 17	9B.2.1	P 823	L 34	# 513
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Dudek, Mil	ke		Marvell		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment	Type 1	R	Comment Status X		
	not show that Device package			The lo	ss needs	to be bet	ter defined to be less ambig	uous.	
TP5d channels and t point.	here are no such things as TP	0d and TP5d cha	annels which are test	Suggested	Remedy				
SuggestedRemedy				Insert	the senter	nce "The	cable assembly tested fixtu	re loss is equal	to the loss of the
,	tanaa "Daviaa naakaga madak	o are included in	the TDOd and TDEd				the loss of the specific TP2		
	tence "Device package models \–3);" or replace it with "Device			ioss us senter		measurir	ng the mated text fixture los	s." between the	e 1st and 2nd
TP0d to TP5d chann	el (Figure 179-2)."			Proposed	Response		Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O				100001100				
				C/ 179B	SC 17	9B.1	P 823	L 22	# 514
C/ 179B SC 179B.2		L 29	# 511	Dudek, Mił	ke		Marvell		
Judek, Mike	Marvell			Comment	Type 1	R	Comment Status X		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X						es for measuring the test fix	tures is not liste	ed except for the ERL
The TP2 and TP3 tes show	st points are not well illustrated	l in Figure 179-2	as it does not really	(where	e it is 92.5	Ohm dif	ferential)		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggestea	lRemedy				
Add "and figure 179A	A-1" after Figure 179-2						eference impedance subsec		
Ū.	0						I specifications is 92.5 ohm tions is 25 Ohms unless spe		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						Ohm for the differential me		g
				Proposed	Response		Response Status O		
C/ 179B SC 179B.2	.1 <i>P</i> 823	L 34	# 512						
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			C/ 179B	SC 17	9B.4.2	P826	L 34	# 515
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Dudek, Mil			Marvell	-01	
The point at which th	e loss is defined needs to be b	etter defined not	left ambiguous.	Comment		-	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy								2 5 Ohm differ	antial impodance
				it has	Deen State	su inal m	aking test fixtures that are 9	z.5 Onin unen	ential impedance

Insert the sentence "The printed circuit board insertion loss is defined as the loss between the reference plane of the RF test connector and the end of the gold fingers on the HCB" between the 1st and 2nd sentences. An alternative (less desirable in my opinion) sentence would be "The printed circuit board insertion loss is defined as the loss between the reference plane of the RF test connector and the nominal contact location on the gold finger".

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

SuggestedRemedy

to the 92.5 Ohm value.

Consider adding an additional Mated test fixture ERL specification with a tighter value but with the length of the reflection signal reduced and the Time gated propagation delay set to a non-zero value. It may be necessary to have different settings for the different directions of the measurement.

throughout their length is not feasible and sections of the fixtures near the RF connectors

need to be 100 Ohm which degrades this ERL measurement resulting in a need for a more

relaxed specification. However it is important that the mating interface to the DUT is close

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 515

Page 105 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:54 PM

C/ 179B SC 179B.4.3	P 826	L 44	# 516	C/ 179C SC 179C.1	P 834	L 4	# 519
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			Dudek, Mike	Marvell		
Comment Type TR Com	nment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
There isn't a specification for the				For inter-operability the	PMDs on both ends and the	cable pins hav	e to match.
theorectically it will be similar t specification in section 179B.4				SuggestedRemedy			
specification would cause a me				Change "should be use	ed" to "shall be used"		
specification in Table 176D-3 noise.	even if the moudle its	self has no AC co	mmon mode output	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy							
Change Equation 179B-6 (and				C/ 180A SC 180A	P 850	L 9	# 520
from 40GHz to 67GHz which is	•	from clause 162	5.4.3	Dudek, Mike	Marvell		
Proposed Response Resp	oonse Status O			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
					seems over broad as there ar		
C/ 179B SC 179B.4.6	P829	L 26	# 517		ne title of Annex 179C where	all the relevant F	PHYs are listed)
Dudek, Mike	Marvell			SuggestedRemedy			<i></i>
Comment Type E Com	nment Status X			0	to "Clause 180 and Clause	e 181 optical PH	YS"
Incomplete sentence (no verb)	1			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy							
Change "voltage determined" t	to "voltage is determi	ned"		C/ 180 SC 180.6	P 437	L35	# 521
Proposed Response Resp	oonse Status O			Dudek, Mike	Marvell		
				Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
	P830	L14	# [540]	The positioning and or	dering of the lanes at the MD	I is not specified	in 180.9.
		L14	# 518	SuggestedRemedy			
Dudek, Mike				Change the reference f	from 180.9 to 180A.4		
Comment Type E Con missing letter	nment Status X			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy change "th" to "the"							

C/ 181 SC 181.8.3		L 45	# 522	C/ 185A SC 185A.2		L 7	# 525	
Judek, Mike	Marvell			Dudek, Mike	Marvell			
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X			
It would be good to p	rovide a reference to Annex 18	0A in this sectior	۱.	Unnecessary duplic	ation of "waveforms"			
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy				
	ilar to that in the equivalent sec			Delete "as waveform	าร"			
2, and 1.6TBASE-DR8-	of the MDIs for 200GBASE-DR 2."	1-2, 400GBASE-	DR2, 800GBASE-DR4-	Proposed Response	Response Status O			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 179 SC 179.9.	4.2 P 398	L 30	# 526	
				Dudek, Mike	Marvell			
V 180A SC 180A.4.	.1 P852	L17	# 523	Comment Type T	Comment Status X			
Judek, Mike	Marvell				determine transmitter linearity			
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			measured waveform. It is unlikely to work with all the different initial conditions, or with high loss hosts, due to the amount of ISI that is likely to be present.				
For inter-operability the	he PMDs on both ends and the	fiber cable plan	have to match.	SuggestedRemedy	to the amount of for that is like	by to be present.		
SuggestedRemedy				,	2 "except that the fitted wavefo	rm as defined in	120D 3 1 3 is used i	
Change "should be u	sed" to "shall be used". Also	on page 853 line	47	place of the measur			1200.0.1.0 10 00001	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O			
C/ 181 SC 181.8.3	P 468	L 46	# 524	C/ 179 SC 179.9.	4.6 <i>P</i> 401	L 36	# 527	
udek, Mike	Marvell			Dudek, Mike	Marvell			
	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X			
Comment Type E	no 444 in olouoo 100 provide d		that also apply to the	5	iously the transmitter output of I be better to be more precise.	the lane under te	st shouldn't be	
Lines 47 to 54 on pag	pecifying which connectors sho	uld be used.			•			
Lines 47 to 54 on pag clause 181 MDI's. Sj		ould be used.		SuggestedRemedy				
Lines 47 to 54 on pag clause 181 MDI's. Sj SuggestedRemedy			ו into Annex 180A.3	SuggestedRemedy	output is" to transmitter output	s of the lanes not	t under test are"	

C/ 174 SC 1	74.1.4	P 248	L 32	# 528	C/ 180	SC 180.9.12	P 450	L 38	# 531
Dudek, Mike		Marvell			Dudek, Mik	e	Marvell		
Comment Type	T Comm	ent Status X			Comment 7	ype TR	Comment Status X		
	-negotiation is mis d tables 116-3 ame		trical Phys in tab	le 174-3. (Compare		er the precoding be explicitly stat	is used for Receiver sensitived.	vity and stressed	receiver sensitivity
SuggestedRemedy					Suggestedl	Remedy			
Add it.							ence. "A precoded pattern		
Proposed Respons	e Respon	nse Status O			•		between " Table 180-14" Make equivalent changes t		Also after Table 180-14
					Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 179 SC 1	79.11.2	P 412	L 29	# 529					
Dudek, Mike		Marvell			C/ 176C	SC 176C.6.4.	5.3 P729	L 48	# 532
Comment Type	T Comm	ent Status X			Dudek, Mik	۵	Marvell		
		9dB with a minimu surement accuracy			Comment 1	ype TR	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy					The C2	C receeiver sho	uld be able to determine whe	ether pre-coding	IS USED.
				5dB with a consequent mbly insertion losses in	Suggestedl Change	-	r equalizer using the ILT fur	oction" to "test tr	ansmitter equalizer and
		16.5 max to 14.5 n					function" Also for KR on pa		
Figure 179A-3 from TP0d to T	(including the foot	ad of of 16 in the fi	o 12dB for the mi	or ILddch,min. and nimum channel loss lote and 3.1 instead of	Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Respons	·	nse Status O			C/ 176D	SC 176D.8.12	2.4 P 758	L 35	# 533
.,	, copon				Dudek, Mik	е	Marvell		
C/ 180 SC 1	80.9.1	P 445	L 31	# 530	Comment 7 The C2		Comment Status X uld be able to determine wh	ether pre-codina	is used.
Dudek, Mike		Marvell			Sugaested			en e coung	

SuggestedRemedy

Change "PRBS31Q pattern" to "PRBS31Q pattern with the precoder enabled or disabled as the receiver would select using the ILT protocol"

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment Status X

Response Status 0

PRBS31Q with pre-coding should be listed as a possible test pattern. Also it would be better to reference the description of the 200G per lane PRBS31Q test pattern in 176.7.4.2

Add PRBS31Q with precoding as an additional test pattern (8) in table 180-13. In table 180-14 add this pattern as an option wherever patter 3 is used. The reference for the test pattern definition should be 176.7.4.2. Change the test pattern generator generator for PRBS31Q from 120.5.11.2.2 to 176.7.4.2. Make equivalent changes to Clause 181.

Comment Type **TR**

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

rather than the older reference in

C/ 179	SC 179.9.5.3	P 406	L 26	# 534
Dudek, Mike	е	Marvell		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

It should be explicit that the test pattern for Interference tolerance for CR can be precoded.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a footnote to PRBS31Q in table 179-11. Footnote to say "With precoding enabled or disabled as the receiver would select using the start-up protocol described in 179.8.9."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 176C SC 176C.6.4.2	P 727	L 9	# 535
Dudek, Mike	Marvell		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

There isn't a minimum loss specified for the C2C channel. Inserting the the minimum channel loss from the KR interference tolerance test isn't appropriate.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider whether using the same minimum loss used for the interference tolerance test is appropriate. If so add to 176C.7.2. The recommended minimum channel insertion loss is 13dB.

On page 727 line 9 replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using an amplitude tolerance test channel" Add a sentence to the end of the paragraph. The loss of the amplitude tolerance test channel including the package loss of the compliant transmitter used in the test is equal to the Test 1 loss in table 176C-5

If not then replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using a minimal loss channel"

Proposed Response	Response Status	ο
1 1000000 1100000100	nesponse otatus	<u>ر</u>

C/ 176C	SC 176C.7	P 731	L13	#

Dudek, Mike

Comment Type T Comment Status X

It isn't clear what the channel includes. (including where the Ildd is measured from).

Marvell

SuggestedRemedy

Change the description in table to "Maximum insertion loss from Tp0d to Tp5d, ILdd, at 53.125 GHz (recommended)" (as used for KR).

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 178	SC 178.9.3.3		P 366	L 9	# 537
Dudek, M	ike		Marvell		
•		~			

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There isn't a minimum loss specified for the KR channel. Specifying this as the minimum channel loss from the KR interference tolerance test may not be appropriate. It is also not very clear what loss is being referred to.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider whether using the same minimum loss used for the interference tolerance test is appropriate. If so add to 178.10.2. "The recommended minimum channel insertion loss is 18dB."

On page 727 line 9 replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using an amplitude tolerance test channel" Add a sentence to the end of the paragraph. The loss of the amplitude tolerance test channel including the package loss of the compliant transmitter used in the test is equal to the Test 1 loss in table 178-10

If not then replace "using a channel with the minimum insertion loss specified in 178.9.3.4" with "using a minimal loss channel"

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

CI 73	SC 73.4.3	P130	L 27	# 538
Levin, Ita	mar	Altera corp.		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**

20msec are allocated for the signals at the MDI to conform to all of the PHY specifications when the PHY is connected to the MDI through the "Transmit Switch function". The clause is not clear about the event that starts this time period.

SuggestedRemedy

State in line 27 "When a PHY is connected to the MDI through the Transmit Switch function, the signals at the MDI shall conform to all of the PHY specifications within 20 ms of the AN-GOOD_CHECK state entry.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

536

C/ 120F SC 120F.1	P662	L1	# 539	CI 176D SC 176D.8	9.7 P754	L 36	# 542
Levin, Itamar	Altera corp.			Levin, Itamar	Altera corp.		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
optional TXEQ. There	ed channel reach for C2C it may e are different TX tuning mecha	nisms in C2C a		no reference / exam requirements for TP	ble test-fixture like in the previo	ous annex 163B,	that meets the
	ons (see 176C.3) which may car	use confusion.		SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Align this sub-clause	with annex 176C.3 functional s	pecification			ple rest-fixture annex for 200G for dVf, dSNR, etc'?	similar to 163B	with the COM values to
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 176C SC 176C.7.	.1 P734	L9	# 540	C/ 178 SC 178.10	.6 P375	L 50	# 543
Levin, Itamar	Altera corp.			Levin, Itamar	Altera corp.		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	floating tap is 50. Given that the y between the comment and high	number of flati		the entire channel fr	it is hard to find a high quality equency band (low parasitics),	2. for on packag	je or on die placement
there is a discerpenc SuggestedRemedy either fix the commer explaining the aparer	ty between the comment and hight and highest index to be 54 or	number of flati ghest allowed ta	ng taps per group is 4, ap index	the entire channel fr of the decoupling ca performance This corner frequence however - the impace		2. for on packag such a capacito etter baseline wa or even 3x corn	e or on die placement r degrade serdes ander mitigation, her frequency would not
there is a discerpenc SuggestedRemedy either fix the commer explaining the aparer	y between the comment and hig nt and highest index to be 54 or nt discerpency.	number of flati ghest allowed ta	ng taps per group is 4, ap index ext in the comment	the entire channel fr of the decoupling ca performance This corner frequence however - the impact be severe and may SuggestedRemedy	equency band (low parasitics), p - the parasitics involved with by trades off these factors for be t on baseline wander from a 2x be a good sacrifice for the bene	2. for on packag such a capacito etter baseline wa or even 3x corn	e or on die placement r degrade serdes ander mitigation, her frequency would not
there is a discerpenc SuggestedRemedy either fix the commer explaining the aparer Proposed Response	ry between the comment and high nt and highest index to be 54 or nt discerpency. <i>Response Status</i> O	number of flati ghest allowed ta	ng taps per group is 4, ap index	the entire channel fr of the decoupling ca performance This corner frequence however - the impace be severe and may	equency band (low parasitics), p - the parasitics involved with by trades off these factors for be t on baseline wander from a 2x be a good sacrifice for the bene	2. for on packag such a capacito etter baseline wa or even 3x corn	e or on die placement r degrade serdes ander mitigation, her frequency would not
there is a discerpency SuggestedRemedy either fix the commer explaining the aparer Proposed Response Cl 176D SC 176D.8.	ry between the comment and high nt and highest index to be 54 or nt discerpency. <i>Response Status</i> O	number of flàti ghest allowed ta add clarifying t	ng taps per group is 4, ap index ext in the comment	the entire channel fr of the decoupling ca performance This corner frequence however - the impact be severe and may SuggestedRemedy	equency band (low parasitics), p - the parasitics involved with by trades off these factors for be t on baseline wander from a 2x be a good sacrifice for the bene	2. for on packag such a capacito etter baseline wa or even 3x corn	e or on die placement r degrade serdes ander mitigation, her frequency would not
there is a discerpency SuggestedRemedy either fix the commer explaining the aparer Proposed Response Cl 176D SC 176D.8. Levin, Itamar	ty between the comment and highest index to be 54 or not discerpency. <i>Response Status</i> O .6 <i>P</i> 753	number of flàti ghest allowed ta add clarifying t	ng taps per group is 4, ap index ext in the comment	the entire channel fr of the decoupling ca performance This corner frequence however - the impace be severe and may SuggestedRemedy increase corner freq	equency band (low parasitics), p - the parasitics involved with by trades off these factors for be t on baseline wander from a 2x be a good sacrifice for the bene to at least 250Khz.	2. for on packag such a capacito etter baseline wa or even 3x corn	e or on die placement r degrade serdes ander mitigation, her frequency would not
there is a discerpency SuggestedRemedy either fix the commer explaining the aparer Proposed Response CI 176D SC 176D.8. Levin, Itamar Comment Type TR	ty between the comment and high nt and highest index to be 54 or nt discerpency. <i>Response Status</i> O .6 <i>P</i> 753 Altera corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X at has a different than 0 precurs	number of flàti ghest allowed ta add clarifying t	ng taps per group is 4, ap index ext in the comment # 541	the entire channel fr of the decoupling ca performance This corner frequence however - the impace be severe and may SuggestedRemedy increase corner freq	equency band (low parasitics), p - the parasitics involved with by trades off these factors for but t on baseline wander from a 2x be a good sacrifice for the bene to at least 250Khz. <i>Response Status</i> O	2. for on packag such a capacito etter baseline wa or even 3x corn	e or on die placement r degrade serdes ander mitigation, her frequency would not
there is a discerpence SuggestedRemedy either fix the commer explaining the aparer Proposed Response Cl 176D SC 176D.8. Levin, Itamar Comment Type TR There is no preset tha 6 are exactly the sam	ty between the comment and high nt and highest index to be 54 or nt discerpency. <i>Response Status</i> O .6 <i>P</i> 753 Altera corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X at has a different than 0 precurs	number of flàti ghest allowed ta add clarifying t	ng taps per group is 4, ap index ext in the comment # 541	the entire channel fr of the decoupling ca performance This corner frequence however - the impace be severe and may SuggestedRemedy increase corner freq Proposed Response	equency band (low parasitics), p - the parasitics involved with by trades off these factors for but t on baseline wander from a 2x be a good sacrifice for the bene to at least 250Khz. Response Status O	2. for on packag such a capacitor etter baseline wa c or even 3x corn offits of a smaller	e or on die placement r degrade serdes ander mitigation, ler frequency would not cap.
there is a discerpency SuggestedRemedy either fix the commer explaining the aparer Proposed Response Cl 176D SC 176D.8. Levin, Itamar Comment Type TR There is no preset the 6 are exactly the sam SuggestedRemedy Consider a preset wit	ty between the comment and high nt and highest index to be 54 or nt discerpency. <i>Response Status</i> O .6 <i>P</i> 753 Altera corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X at has a different than 0 precurs	number of flàti ghest allowed ta add clarifying t <i>L</i> 36 sor c(1). Also - t CDR locking on	ng taps per group is 4, ap index ext in the comment # <u>541</u> the initialize and preset	the entire channel fr of the decoupling ca performance This corner frequence however - the impace be severe and may SuggestedRemedy increase corner freq Proposed Response Cl 179B SC 179B.4	equency band (low parasitics), p - the parasitics involved with by trades off these factors for but to n baseline wander from a 2x be a good sacrifice for the bene to at least 250Khz. <i>Response Status</i> O 6.6 <i>P</i> 830 Rosenberger <i>Comment Status</i> X	2. for on packag such a capacitor etter baseline wa c or even 3x corn offits of a smaller	ge or on die placement r degrade serdes ander mitigation, her frequency would not cap. # <u>544</u>
there is a discerpence SuggestedRemedy either fix the commer explaining the aparer Proposed Response Cl 176D SC 176D.8. Levin, Itamar Comment Type TR There is no preset tha 6 are exactly the sam SuggestedRemedy Consider a preset with	ty between the comment and highest index to be 54 or int discerpency. <i>Response Status</i> O .6 P753 Altera corp. <i>Comment Status</i> X at has a different than 0 precursine. th c(1) <> 0. this may help with 0	number of flàti ghest allowed ta add clarifying t <i>L</i> 36 sor c(1). Also - t CDR locking on	ng taps per group is 4, ap index ext in the comment # <u>541</u> the initialize and preset	the entire channel fr of the decoupling ca performance This corner frequence however - the impace be severe and may SuggestedRemedy increase corner freq Proposed Response Cl 179B SC 179B.4 Schreiner, Stephan Comment Type E	equency band (low parasitics), p - the parasitics involved with by trades off these factors for but to n baseline wander from a 2x be a good sacrifice for the bene to at least 250Khz. <i>Response Status</i> O 6.6 <i>P</i> 830 Rosenberger <i>Comment Status</i> X	2. for on packag such a capacitor etter baseline wa c or even 3x corn offits of a smaller	ge or on die placement r degrade serdes ander mitigation, her frequency would not cap. # <u>544</u>

C/ 1	SC 1.5	P 58	L28	# 545	C/ 185	SC 185.5	P 560	L 27	# 548		
Schreiner, S	Stephan	Rosenberger	Hochfrequenzte	echnik GmbH & Co. KG	Maki, Jeffery		Juniper Ne	etworks			
Comment T	Гуре Т	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status X				
	ned. TCL / LCL a	entioned in the abbreviations. and TCTL / LCTL would be a			specifica	itions."	ining (ILT) function" is miss	sting in "185.5 PN	ID functional		
SuggestedRemedy Add ILdc and ILcd into the abbreviations or change "RLdc, RLcd, ILdc, and ILcd" into "TCL, LCL, TCTL, and LCTL" within the document Proposed Response Response Status O					SuggestedRemedy Add to "185.5 PMD functional specifications" a sub-subclause with approprate numbering entitled "Inter-sublayer link training (ILT) function" with text "A PMD shall provide the ILT function for a Type O1 interface, specified in Annex 178B. When the variable mr_training_enable is true, the ILT function is used to request changes to the peer						
					transmitt	er state (mod	true, the ILT function is us lulation, training pattern, ar the transition to DATA mod	nd precoder state)			
C/ 169	SC 169.2.10	P190	L 52	# 546	Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status O				
Maki, Jeffer	ry	Juniper Netwo	orks								
800GB/	ASE-LR1, 800G	Comment Status X BASE-ER1-20, and 800GBA			C/ 185 Maki, Jeffery	SC 185.5.1	P 561 Juniper Ne	L7 etworks	# 549		
800GB/ no reas receive entire lii SuggestedF Add 800	ASE-LR1, 800G son to exclude ca r adaption and t ink (PHY) as is t Remedy 0GBASE-LR1, 8		g ILT. They will To Send signalir S. IGBASE-ER1 (S	benefit from optical ng for the bring up of the	Maki, Jeffery Comment Ty SIGNAL SuggestedRo Add SIG	pe TR _OK> ILT a emedy NAL_OK>		etworks ssing from Figure K to Figure 185-3	9 185-3. 3. Add text in paragraph		
800GB/ no reas receive entire li SuggestedF Add 800 that cor	ASE-LR1, 800G son to exclude co r adaption and t ink (PHY) as is t Remedy 0GBASE-LR1, 8 rrect missing ma	BASE-ER1-20, and 800GBA oherent PHY types from usin hus ability to receive Ready [*] the case for IMDD PHY types 300GBASE-ER1-20, and 800	g ILT. They will To Send signalir S. IGBASE-ER1 (S	benefit from optical ng for the bring up of the	Maki, Jeffery Comment Ty SIGNAL SuggestedRo Add SIG	pe TR _OK> ILT a emedy NAL_OK> I ating, "The IL	Juniper Ne Comment Status X and ILT> SIGNAL_OK mi	etworks ssing from Figure K to Figure 185-3	a 185-3. 3. Add text in paragraph		
800GB/ no reas receive entire lii SuggestedF Add 800 that cor Proposed R	ASE-LR1, 800G son to exclude co r adaption and t ink (PHY) as is t Remedy 0GBASE-LR1, 8 rrect missing ma	BASE-ER1-20, and 800GBA oherent PHY types from usin hus ability to receive Ready the case for IMDD PHY types 800GBASE-ER1-20, and 800 andatory ILT support for thes	g ILT. They will To Send signalir S. IGBASE-ER1 (S	benefit from optical ng for the bring up of the	Maki, Jeffery Comment Ty SIGNAL SuggestedRe Add SIG above st	pe TR _OK> ILT a emedy NAL_OK> I ating, "The IL	Juniper Ne Comment Status X and ILT> SIGNAL_OK mi ILT and ILT> SIGNAL_O T function indicated in Figu	etworks ssing from Figure K to Figure 185-3	9 185-3. 8. Add text in paragraph		
800GB/ no reas receive entire li SuggestedF Add 800 that cor Proposed R	ASE-LR1, 800G son to exclude ca r adaption and t ink (PHY) as is t Remedy 0GBASE-LR1, & rrect missing ma Response SC 185.1	BASE-ER1-20, and 800GBA oherent PHY types from usin hus ability to receive Ready ' the case for IMDD PHY types 800GBASE-ER1-20, and 800 andatory ILT support for these <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 556 Juniper Netwo	g ILT. They will To Send signalir GBASE-ER1 (S e PHY types.)	benefit from optical ng for the bring up of the See additional comments	Maki, Jeffery Comment Ty SIGNAL SuggestedRe Add SIG above st Proposed Re	pe TR _OK> ILT a emedy NAL_OK> ating, "The IL esponse SC 187.1	Juniper Ne Comment Status X and ILT> SIGNAL_OK mi ILT and ILT> SIGNAL_O T function indicated in Figu Response Status O	etworks ssing from Figure K to Figure 185-3 ure 185–3 is defin	9 185-3. 3. Add text in paragraph led in Annex 178B."		
800GB/ no reas receive entire li SuggestedF Add 800 that cor Proposed R C/ 185 Maki, Jeffer Comment T Associa	ASE-LR1, 800G son to exclude ca r adaption and t ink (PHY) as is t Remedy 0GBASE-LR1, & rrect missing ma Response SC 185.1 ry Type TR ated clause 178	BASE-ER1-20, and 800GBA oherent PHY types from usin hus ability to receive Ready ' the case for IMDD PHY types 800GBASE-ER1-20, and 800 andatory ILT support for these <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 556	g ILT. They will To Send signalir GBASE-ER1 (S e PHY types.)	benefit from optical ing for the bring up of the See additional comments # <u>547</u>	Maki, Jeffery Comment Ty SIGNAL SuggestedRe Add SIG above st Proposed Re Cl 187 Maki, Jeffery Comment Ty	pe TR _OK> ILT a emedy NAL_OK> I ating, "The IL esponse SC 187.1 pe TR ed clause 178	Juniper Ne Comment Status X and ILT> SIGNAL_OK mi ILT and ILT> SIGNAL_O T function indicated in Figu Response Status O	etworks ssing from Figure K to Figure 185-3 ure 185–3 is defin <i>L</i> 39 etworks	e 185-3. 3. Add text in paragraph ed in Annex 178B." # <u>550</u>		
800GB/ no reas receive entire li SuggestedF Add 800 that cor Proposed R C/ 185 Maki, Jeffer Comment T Associa	ASE-LR1, 800G son to exclude ca r adaption and t ink (PHY) as is t Remedy 0GBASE-LR1, & rrect missing ma Response SC 185.1 ry Sype TR ated clause 1781 Remedy	BASE-ER1-20, and 800GBA oherent PHY types from usin hus ability to receive Ready the case for IMDD PHY types 800GBASE-ER1-20, and 800 andatory ILT support for these <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 556 Juniper Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X B—ILT is missing as Require	g ILT. They will To Send signalir GBASE-ER1 (S e PHY types.) <i>L</i> 40 orks ed for 800GBAS	benefit from optical ng for the bring up of the See additional comments # <u>547</u> E-LR1.	Maki, Jeffery Comment Ty SIGNAL SuggestedRe Add SIG above st Proposed Re Cl 187 Maki, Jeffery Comment Ty Associat	pe TR _OK> ILT a emedy NAL_OK> I ating, "The IL esponse SC 187.1 pe TR ed clause 178 SE-ER1.	Juniper Ne Comment Status X and ILT> SIGNAL_OK mi ILT and ILT> SIGNAL_O T function indicated in Figu Response Status O P630 Juniper Ne Comment Status X	etworks ssing from Figure K to Figure 185-3 ure 185–3 is defin <i>L</i> 39 etworks	# 185-3. B. Add text in paragraph ed in Annex 178B." # <u>550</u>		
no reas receive entire li SuggestedF Add 800 that cor Proposed R CI 185 Maki, Jeffer Comment T Associa SuggestedF	ASE-LR1, 800G son to exclude ca r adaption and t ink (PHY) as is t Remedy 0GBASE-LR1, & rrect missing ma Response SC 185.1 Ty Type TR ated clause 1781 Remedy sociated clause	BASE-ER1-20, and 800GBA oherent PHY types from usin hus ability to receive Ready the case for IMDD PHY types 800GBASE-ER1-20, and 800 andatory ILT support for these <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 556 Juniper Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X	g ILT. They will To Send signalir GBASE-ER1 (S e PHY types.) <i>L</i> 40 orks ed for 800GBAS	benefit from optical ng for the bring up of the See additional comments # <u>547</u> E-LR1.	Maki, Jeffery Comment Ty SIGNAL SuggestedRe Add SIG above st Proposed Re Cl 187 Maki, Jeffery Comment Ty Associat 800GBA SuggestedRe	pe TR _OK> ILT a emedy NAL_OK> I ating, "The IL esponse SC 187.1 pe TR ed clause 178 SE-ER1. emedy	Juniper Ne Comment Status X and ILT> SIGNAL_OK mi ILT and ILT> SIGNAL_O T function indicated in Figu Response Status O P630 Juniper Ne Comment Status X	etworks ssing from Figure K to Figure 185-3 ure 185–3 is defin <i>L</i> 39 etworks uired for 800GBA	a 185-3. B. Add text in paragraph led in Annex 178B." # <u>550</u> SE-ER1-20 and		

	SC 187.5	P634	L 27	# 551	CI 45	SC 45.2.1.1	68c	P 96	L 46	# 554
Maki, Jeffe	ry	Juniper Netwo	orks		Nicholl, Sł	hawn		AMD		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Type ER	Comment	Status X		
	sublayer link trai cations."	ining (ILT) function" is missting	g in "187.5 PMD) functional			e 45-133c the I	Bit(s) column c	ontains 1.1476.15	i:9 text.
Suggested	Remedv				Suggestee	-	a de a Cast asso	- (T-bl- 45 40		
•••	-	nctional specifications" a sub-s	subclause with a	approprate numbering					3c in the Bit(s) col	umn.
functio mr_tra	n for a Type O1 ining_enable is	r link training (ILT) function" wi interface, specified in Annex true, the ILT function is used t	178B. When the o request chang	e variable ges to the peer	Proposed	Response	Response	Status O		
		ulation, training pattern, and pl the transition to DATA mode."	recoder state),	indicate the receiver	CI 45	SC 45.2.1.1	68d	P 97	L13	# 555
Proposed I		Response Status O			Nicholl, Sh	hawn		AMD		
. op ood u					Comment		Comment			
C/ 187	SC 187.5.1	P635	L 7	# 552		ntly, in the 1.147 d over from anot		Description col	umn contains som	e incorrect text that is
Maki, Jeffe	ry	Juniper Netwo	orks		1 = P0	CS lane synchro	nization is com	plete. This bit	indicates that all_	locked_mux is true
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X				eskewed		i de la coloria de la		
		nd ILT> SIGNAL_OK missin	ng from Figure 1	187-3.		,	remote_rx_read	dy is faise on a	iny lane of the inte	епасе
Suggested					Suggestee					
Add SI	GNAL_OK> I	LT and ILT> SIGNAL_OK to	Figure 187-3.	Add text in paragraph	Поро	se the following	text:			
		LT and ILT> SIGNAL_OK to T function indicated in Figure 1			1 = P0	CS lane synchro	nization is com	plete. This bit	indicates that all_	locked_mux is true
above	stating, "The IL				1 = P0 and de	CS lane synchro eskew is comple	nization is com		indicates that all_l	locked_mux is true
above	stating, "The IL	T function indicated in Figure 1			1 = P0 and d 0 = P0	CS lane synchro eskew is comple CS lane synchro	nization is com ete. nization is not e	complete.	indicates that all_	locked_mux is true
above Proposed I	stating, "The IL	T function indicated in Figure 1			1 = P0 and d 0 = P0	CS lane synchro eskew is comple	nization is com	complete.	indicates that all_	locked_mux is true
above Proposed i 	stating, "The IL Response SC 178B.2	T function indicated in Figure 1 <i>Response Status</i> O	187–3 is defined	d in Annex 178B."	1 = P(and de 0 = P(Proposed	CS lane synchro eskew is comple CS lane synchro <i>Response</i>	nization is com ete. nization is not <i>Response</i> :	complete. Status O		
above Proposed I 	stating, "The IL Response SC 178B.2 ry Type TR	T function indicated in Figure 1 Response Status O P786 Juniper Netwo Comment Status X	187–3 is defined <i>L</i> 20 orks	d in Annex 178B."	1 = P(and de 0 = P(Proposed	CS lane synchro eskew is comple CS lane synchro <i>Response</i> SC 45.2.1.2	nization is com ete. nization is not <i>Response</i> :	complete. Status O	indicates that all_l	locked_mux is true # [<u>556</u>]
above Proposed I Cl 178B Maki, Jeffe Comment	stating, "The IL" Response SC 178B.2 ry Type TR escription "ILT si	T function indicated in Figure 1 Response Status O P786 Juniper Netwo Comment Status X upports these functions throug	187–3 is defined <i>L</i> 20 orks	d in Annex 178B." # <u>553</u> us exchange of fixed-	1 = P(and d 0 = P(Proposed C/ 45 Nicholl, Sł	CS lane synchro eskew is comple CS lane synchro <i>Response</i> SC 45.2.1.2 hawn	nization is com ite. nization is not (<i>Response</i> (16	complete. Status O P 101 AMD		
above Proposed I Cl 178B Maki, Jeffe Comment The de length	stating, "The IL Response SC 178B.2 ry Type TR escription "ILT si training frames	T function indicated in Figure 1 Response Status O P786 Juniper Netwo Comment Status X	L 20 L 20 Drks J the continuou J SL" indicates t	d in Annex 178B." # <u>553</u> us exchange of fixed- training frames are	1 = P(and di 0 = P(Proposed C/ 45 Nicholl, Sł Comment	CS lane synchro eskew is comple CS lane synchro <i>Response</i> SC 45.2.1.2 hawn <i>Type</i> E	nization is com nization is not o <i>Response</i> o 16 <i>Comment</i>	complete. Status O P101 AMD Status X	L 33	
above Proposed I Cl 178B Maki, Jeffe Comment The de length continu compo	stating, "The IL Response SC 178B.2 ry Type TR escription "ILT si training frames uously exchange inents to update	T function indicated in Figure 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 786 Juniper Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X upports these functions throug between peer interfaces in an ed. The presumed purpose to be their equalization coeficients	<i>L</i> 20 <i>L</i> 20 orks ISL" indicates to be continuous we yet there is no o	d in Annex 178B." # <u>553</u> us exchange of fixed- training frames are ould be for the AUI desription of returning to	1 = P(and de 0 = P(Proposed Cl 45 Nicholl, Sh Comment Missir	CS lane synchro eskew is comple CS lane synchro <i>Response</i> SC 45.2.1.2 hawn <i>Type</i> E ng a space in Ta	nization is com nization is not o <i>Response</i> 16 <i>Comment</i> ble 45-180, rov	complete. Status O P101 AMD Status X	L 33	
above Proposed I Cl 178B Maki, Jeffe Comment The de length continu compo training	stating, "The IL Response SC 178B.2 ry Type TR escription "ILT so training frames Jously exchange inents to update g such as with re	T function indicated in Figure 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 786 Juniper Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X upports these functions throug between peer interfaces in an ed. The presumed purpose to le their equalization coeficients ecovered clock while continuin	<i>L</i> 20 <i>L</i> 20 orks ISL" indicates to be continuous we yet there is no o	d in Annex 178B." # <u>553</u> us exchange of fixed- training frames are ould be for the AUI desription of returning to	1 = P(and di 0 = P(Proposed C/ 45 Nicholl, St Comment Missir Curren	CS lane synchro eskew is comple CS lane synchro <i>Response</i> SC 45.2.1.2 hawn <i>Type</i> E ng a space in Ta nt text: "1 =IFEC	nization is com nization is not o <i>Response</i> 16 <i>Comment</i> ble 45-180, rov	complete. Status O P101 AMD Status X	L 33	
above Proposed I Cl 178B Maki, Jeffe Comment The de length continu compo training indicat	stating, "The IL Response SC 178B.2 ry Type TR escription "ILT si training frames Jously exchange onents to update g such as with re ors that updated	T function indicated in Figure 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 786 Juniper Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X upports these functions throug between peer interfaces in an ed. The presumed purpose to be their equalization coeficients	<i>L</i> 20 <i>L</i> 20 orks ISL" indicates to be continuous we yet there is no o	d in Annex 178B." # <u>553</u> us exchange of fixed- training frames are ould be for the AUI desription of returning to	1 = P(and di 0 = P(Proposed C/ 45 Nicholl, Sł Comment Missir Curren Suggested	CS lane synchro eskew is comple CS lane synchro <i>Response</i> SC 45.2.1.2 hawn <i>Type</i> E ng a space in Ta nt text: "1 =IFEC dRemedy	nization is com ite. nization is not i <i>Response</i> 16 <i>Comment</i> ble 45-180, rov	complete. Status O P101 AMD Status X	L 33	
above Proposed I Cl 178B Maki, Jeffe Comment The de length continu compo training indicat Suggested Add to	stating, "The IL Response SC 178B.2 Type TR escription "ILT si training frames uously exchange onents to update g such as with re ors that updated Remedy "Table 178B–2:	T function indicated in Figure 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 786 Juniper Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X upports these functions throug between peer interfaces in an ed. The presumed purpose to I e their equalization coeficients ecovered clock while continuin d training is occurring. —Control field structure for E1	<i>L</i> 20 <i>L</i> 20 orks gh the continuou ISL" indicates to be continuous wo yet there is no o ng to carry real to I interfaces" ind	d in Annex 178B." # <u>553</u> us exchange of fixed- training frames are ould be for the AUI desription of returning to traffic nor is there status	1 = P(and de 0 = P(Proposed Cl 45 Nicholl, Sh Comment Missir Curren Suggested Propo	CS lane synchro eskew is comple CS lane synchro <i>Response</i> SC 45.2.1.2 hawn <i>Type</i> E ng a space in Ta nt text: "1 =IFEC dRemedy used text: "1 = IF	nization is com nization is not o <i>Response</i> (16 <i>Comment</i> ble 45-180, rov c decoder" EC decoder"	complete. Status O P 101 AMD Status X w 1.2200.4 des	L 33	
above Proposed I Cl 178B Maki, Jeffe Comment The de length compo training indicat Suggested Add to training	stating, "The IL Response SC 178B.2 ry Type TR escription "ILT si training frames Jously exchange inents to update g such as with r ors that updated (Remedy "Table 178B–2: g is occurring us	T function indicated in Figure 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 786 Juniper Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X upports these functions throug between peer interfaces in an ed. The presumed purpose to I e their equalization coeficients ecovered clock while continuing d training is occurring. —Control field structure for E1 sing traffic and recovered clock	<i>L</i> 20 <i>L</i> 20 orks gh the continuou ISL" indicates to be continuous wo yet there is no o ng to carry real to I interfaces" ind	d in Annex 178B." # <u>553</u> us exchange of fixed- training frames are ould be for the AUI desription of returning to traffic nor is there status	1 = P(and de 0 = P(Proposed Cl 45 Nicholl, Sh Comment Missir Curren Suggested Propo	CS lane synchro eskew is comple CS lane synchro <i>Response</i> SC 45.2.1.2 hawn <i>Type</i> E ng a space in Ta nt text: "1 =IFEC dRemedy	nization is com ite. nization is not i <i>Response</i> 16 <i>Comment</i> ble 45-180, rov	complete. Status O P 101 AMD Status X w 1.2200.4 des	L 33	
above Proposed I Cl 178B Maki, Jeffe Comment The de length compo training indicat Suggested Add to training	stating, "The IL Response SC 178B.2 ry Type TR escription "ILT si training frames Jously exchange inents to update g such as with r ors that updated (Remedy "Table 178B–2: g is occurring us	T function indicated in Figure 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 786 Juniper Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X upports these functions throug between peer interfaces in an ed. The presumed purpose to I e their equalization coeficients ecovered clock while continuin d training is occurring. —Control field structure for E1	<i>L</i> 20 <i>L</i> 20 orks gh the continuou ISL" indicates to be continuous wo yet there is no o ng to carry real to I interfaces" ind	d in Annex 178B." # <u>553</u> us exchange of fixed- training frames are ould be for the AUI desription of returning to traffic nor is there status	1 = P(and de 0 = P(Proposed Cl 45 Nicholl, Sh Comment Missir Curren Suggested Propo	CS lane synchro eskew is comple CS lane synchro <i>Response</i> SC 45.2.1.2 hawn <i>Type</i> E ng a space in Ta nt text: "1 =IFEC dRemedy used text: "1 = IF	nization is com nization is not o <i>Response</i> (16 <i>Comment</i> ble 45-180, rov c decoder" EC decoder"	complete. Status O P 101 AMD Status X w 1.2200.4 des	L 33	
above Proposed I Cl 178B Maki, Jeffe Comment The de length compo training indicat Suggested Add to training	stating, "The IL Response SC 178B.2 ry Type TR escription "ILT si training frames Jously exchange inents to update g such as with r ors that updated (Remedy "Table 178B–2: g is occurring us	T function indicated in Figure 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 786 Juniper Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X upports these functions throug between peer interfaces in an ed. The presumed purpose to I e their equalization coeficients ecovered clock while continuing d training is occurring. —Control field structure for E1 sing traffic and recovered clock	<i>L</i> 20 <i>L</i> 20 orks gh the continuou ISL" indicates to be continuous wo yet there is no o ng to carry real to I interfaces" ind	d in Annex 178B." # <u>553</u> us exchange of fixed- training frames are ould be for the AUI desription of returning to traffic nor is there status	1 = P(and de 0 = P(Proposed Cl 45 Nicholl, Sh Comment Missir Curren Suggested Propo	CS lane synchro eskew is comple CS lane synchro <i>Response</i> SC 45.2.1.2 hawn <i>Type</i> E ng a space in Ta nt text: "1 =IFEC dRemedy used text: "1 = IF	nization is com nization is not o <i>Response</i> (16 <i>Comment</i> ble 45-180, rov c decoder" EC decoder"	complete. Status O P 101 AMD Status X w 1.2200.4 des	L 33	
above Proposed I Cl 178B Maki, Jeffe Comment The de length compo training indicat Suggested Add to training Proposed I	stating, "The IL Response SC 178B.2 Type TR escription "ILT si training frames Jously exchange inents to update g such as with re ors that updated (Remedy "Table 178B–2: g is occurring us Response	T function indicated in Figure 1 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 786 Juniper Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X upports these functions throug between peer interfaces in an ed. The presumed purpose to I e their equalization coeficients ecovered clock while continuing d training is occurring. —Control field structure for E1 sing traffic and recovered clock	<i>L</i> 20 <i>L</i> 20 orks the continuou ISL" indicates to be continuous we yet there is no o og to carry real to l interfaces" ind s.	# <u>553</u> # <u>553</u> us exchange of fixed- training frames are ould be for the AUI desription of returning to iraffic nor is there status icator that updated	1 = P(and di 0 = P(Proposed C/ 45 Nicholl, Sł Comment Missir Curren Suggested Proposed	CS lane synchro eskew is comple CS lane synchro <i>Response</i> SC 45.2.1.2 hawn <i>Type</i> E ng a space in Ta nt text: "1 =IFEC dRemedy used text: "1 = IF	nization is com nization is not o <i>Response</i> (16 <i>Comment</i> ble 45-180, rov c decoder" EC decoder"	complete. Status O P 101 AMD Status X v 1.2200.4 des Status O	L 33	

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.21	6 <i>P</i> 101	L 24	# 557	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.2	17.6a	P103	L 3	# 558
Nicholl, S	hawn	AMD			Nicholl, Sl	nawn		AMD		
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Commer	nt Status X		
Missi	ng a note that this	Table 45-180 was amended	d in 802.3ck-202	2.				e Inverse RS-FE		
	ng a new section a	after the table that describes	the new field th	at is added to the table	100GBASE-P, and 100GBASE-Z PHYs. Sub-Clause "152.6 Inverse RS-FEC MDIO function mapping" contains many references to IFEC. "Table 152-2 MDIO/Inverse RS-FEC status variable mapping" contains references to 1.2201 register.					
Suggeste	dRemedy				Deus	2di Sub Clausa	"186 7 Mana	acmont variables	also contains	references to IFEC.
Propo	osed text: "Change	e Table 45-180 (as amended	by IEEE Std 80	2.3ck-2022) as follows:"	"Table		BASE-ER1 FE			apping" contains
Also	propose to add ne	w section:			Telele	11065 10 1.2201 1	eyister.			
Insert	t 45.2.1.216aa bel	ore 45.2.1.216.a as follows:			one th	nat is describe in	Clause 186)	, it would help th	e reader to enha	bed in Clause 152 and ance the description
45.2.1	1.216.aa IFEC de	graded SER enable (1.2200.	4)		pertai		ause 186 IFE			o clarify that this field 217.6b IFEC received
		e IFEC decoder to indicate t When set to a one, this vari			Suggeste	dRemedv	,			
Wher reads	n set to a zero, de	graded SER detection is disa e IFEC does not have the al	abled. Writes to	this bit are ignored and	Propo	sed text (for 45.				he 800GBASE-ER1 C frames. Bit 1.2201.5
Proposed	l Response	Response Status O						s adding "800GB ext) to 1.2201.5		also necessary to
					IFEC					he 800GBASE-ER1 C frames. Bit 1.2201.4
					Proposed	Response	Response	e Status O		

					_				
CI 45 SC 4	5.2.1.222	P104	L 8	# 559	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.2	62 P111	L12	# 561
Nicholl, Shawn		AMD			Nicholl, S	hawn	AMD		
Comment Type	ER Comm	nent Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
P802.3dj draft.	·		Ū.	eads smoothly in the			ayers contains FEC_correc _counter, FEC_cw_counter		error_bin_i (1 <= i <=
bits are shown	in register 1.2213		r 16 bits are show	; FEC lane 1, upper 16 vn in register 1.2214;			FEC_cw_counter defines a ed is mapped to register		
SuggestedRemedy					802.3	df-2024 172 3 6	FEC_codeword_error_bin_	i defines FEC. cod	eword error bin i
bits are shown	in register 1.2213		r 16 bits are show	; FEC lane 1, upper 16 vn in register 1.2214;	where	e i=1 to 15, ma	apped to registers defined in	n 45.2.3.48b (3.340) to 3.369).
Proposed Response		nse Status O	213, 60.			codeword receiv	1 FEC_cw_counter defines ed is mapped to the regis		
C/ 45 SC 45	5.2.1.258	P109	L 22	# 560			7 FEC_codeword_error_bir apped to the registers defin		
Nicholl, Shawn		AMD			D000	2di droft contoir	a "Table 45 2421 Japar Fl	TC and award array	hin register definitions"
Comment Type	ER Com	nent Status X					ns "Table 45-212l Inner Fl FEC_codeword_error_bin_		
		decode" defines Inr			the sa	ame time, there	is no FEC_cw_counter that		
		unter, Inner_FEC_to			receiv	/ed.			
	uses these terms		- Inner FEC statt	is variables and MDIO	lt wou Claus		e consistent with the definit	ion of FEC statistic	s found in other 802.3
		n of "Table 45-212h FEC corrected cw			Suggeste	dRemedy			
contains "FEC		ords". It is inconsist				ose adding a new codeword receiv	v 48-bit register FEC_cw_co ed.	ounter that counts o	once for each Inner
definitions", "Ta	able 45-212j Ini	ner FEC total bits re	gister bit definition	odewords counter bit ons", and "Table 45-	Propose deleting the inner_FEC_codeword_error_bin_0 register, since it becomes redundant if FEC_cw_counter is defined.				
		register bit definitio	ons".		Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy									
codewords cou		n column of "Table s" to Inner_FEC_co codewords".							
definitions", "Ta	able 45-212j Ini		gister bit definition	codewords counter bit ns", and "Table 45-					
Proposed Respons	e Respo	nse Status O							
TVDE: TP/toohnion	required EP/od	itorial required CP		T/technical E/editorial G/g	ronoral		Con	nment ID 561	Page 114 of 149
	S: D/dispatched			SE STATUS: O/open W/wi		d U/unsatisfied		Intent ID 301	Page 114 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:

<u></u>		D		// 		00 / m =			"	
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.262		L12	# 562	C/ 169	SC 169.5	P199	L 1	# 565	
Nicholl, Sha		AMD			Nicholl, Sh		AMD			
Comment 7	51	Comment Status X			Comment		Comment Status X			
definition inner_F	ons" contains inne FEC_codeword_e	n column of "Table 45-212l er_FEC_codeword_error_b rror_bin_4, while "Table 17 s Inner_FEC_codeword_er	in_0 through 7-8 Inner FEC	status variables and	contai	ns a typo.	9-5 800GBASE-R Skew poi Figure 169-4 with the followir		ith two 800GAUI-n"	
		e, but not in the other case.			Suggested	IRemedv	C C	0 0		
Suggested	lRemedy				00		e Figure 169-5 with the follow	vina fiaure:"		
registe	er definitions" to co	escription column of "Table ontain Inner_FEC_codewor error_bin_4 to enhance sear	d_error_bin_0 th	rough	Proposed	Response	Response Status O	0.0		
Proposed F	Response	Response Status O			C/ 171	SC 171.1	P 211	L 24	# 566	
					Nicholl, Sh	nawn	AMD			
C/ 169	SC 169.3.2	P 191	L17	# 563	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X			
Nicholl, Sha	awn	AMD			In the	legend for Figure	e 171-1 "800GXS and 1.6T	XS relationship t	to the ISO/IEC Open	
Comment T	51	Comment Status X	ited FEC, and the	e PMA, PCS"			n (OSI) reference model and bing onto a second line. It de			
This is	the first (and only	mention of "Segmented F	EC" in P802.3dj	document.			= 1.6 Tb/s n-LANE ATTACHM = 800 Gb/s n-LANE ATTACH			
Suggested	lRemedy				Suggested	dRemedy				
Propos	sed text: " betwe	en the Inner FEC or 800GE	BASE-ER1 FEC	and the PMA, PCS"	Propo	se the following t	ext:			
Proposed F		Response Status O			etc.) fu	urther to the right	ifying the legend to move the t. That should allow space to SE-R PMA layering with 1.6T.	avoid the text w	rap. See "Figure 17	
C/ 169	SC 169.3.2	P 193	L 38	# 564	Ontion	2) Propose usin	g the term AUI in the legend	of the figure Th	e term ALII is alread	v
Nicholl, Sha	awn	AMD					1.4.198 "Attachment Unit Inte			
Comment T	51	Comment Status X					I, propose the legend say "1.			Ł
	is no figure showi BASE-ER1 FEC.	ng 800GBASE-R inter-subl	ayer service inte	rfaces including	deeme		s n-LANE ATTACHMENT UN the editors), add a new entry			
Suggested	lRemedy				Proposed		-			
R Inner		OGBASE-R inter-sublayer s r figure "800GBASE-R inter			Proposed	Response	Response Status O			
Proposed F	Response	Response Status O								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 566

Page 115 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:54 PM

C/ 176	SC 176.8	P318	L 7	# 567	C/ 177	SC	177.5.5	P 339	L 6	# 569
Nicholl, Sh	awn	AMD			Nicholl, S	hawn		AMD		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	t Type	TR	Comment Status X		
R, and	1.6TBASE-R.	176-7 Delay constraints" als They don't just pertain to 800 efinitions for bit times and pau	GBASE-R.		has e	xactly tv	wo bits co	as_lock is true (k = 0 to 3). rected, then Inner_FEC_coo when three or more bits are	leword_error_bi	n_2 is incremented.
		ennitions for bit times and pac	ise_quanta can		The t	ext in Su	ub-Clause	"177.5.5 Inner FEC decode	' is inconsistent	with "Table 45-212l
Suggested Propos		e definitions for bit times and p	ause quanta ca	n be found in 116.4.		FEC co gh bin_4		ror bin register definitions".	The MDIO regis	ter contains bin_0
	and 174.4"					• –				
Proposed		Response Status 0			codevincrer	osed tex word has mented.	t: " whe s exactly t	n fas_lock is true (k = 0 to 4) wo bits corrected, then Inner 4 increments when four or m	_FEC_codewor	d_error_bin_2 is
C/ 177	SC 177.5.5	P 338	L 31	# 568	code					
Nicholl, Sh	awn	AMD			Proposed	Respo	nse	Response Status O		
Comment	Type E	Comment Status X								
	nt text: "The dec e able to correc	coder is expected to correct al ct"	l codewords with	one bit error. It may	C/ 177		177.10	P346	L 47	# 570
		, although containing no langu e interpretted by readers as a		es a mandatory	Nicholl, S Comment		TR	AMD Comment Status X		
It is promoted by the second sec	eferred to clarify	y the language as improved so in implementation that is not b	oft-decision deco		"Inne	r_FEC_	codeword	ng in the "MDIO register/bin _error_bin_k (Inner FEC lane DIO mapping".		
Suggestea	Remedy							d for rows "Inner_FEC_code odeword_error_bin_k (Inner I		k (Inner FEC lane 1)"
	ing to 802.3-202 es sufficient cla	22 Sub-Clause "1.1.6 Word us	sage", perhaps t	he word "should"	Suggeste	0		·· · _· _ (······	, .	
Propos		lecoder should correct all code	ewords with one	bit error. It may also be	FEC		row of "Ta	in number" column of the "Ir able 177-8-Inner FEC status		
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			"Inne		codeword	FEC_codeword_error_bin_k _error_bin_k (Inner FEC lane		
					_					

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

		:E P802.3dj D2.02	200 Gb/s, 40	0 Gb/s, 800 Gb/s, ar	nd 1.6 Tb/s Ethernet Initial Workin
C/ 177	SC 177.10	P 346	L 47	# 571	C/ 120F SC 120F.1
Nicholl, Sh	nawn	AMD			Nicholl, Shawn
Comment	Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E Con
0)" rov		olumn of the "Inner_FEC_c - Inner FEC status variables			The legend for "Figure 120F-1 8, and 1.6TAUI-16 C2C relatio reference model and the IEEE
Same	issue is observed	for rows "Inner_FEC_codev	word_error_bin_	k (Inner FEC lane 1)"	Readability could be enhanced
throug	h "Inner_FEC_cod	SuggestedRemedy			
Suggestee	,				In the left-hand column of the l
(Inner		us variable" column of the " of "Table 177-8-Inner FEC s			INTERFACE" with "AUI", repla replacing "PHYSICAL MEDIU
Propo	se that in each of r	ows "Inner_FEC_codeword leword_error_bin_k (Inner F			In the right-hand column of the INTERFACE", adding "MII = N PHYSICAL MEDIUM ATTACH
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			There are other Figures throug could be improved in a similar
					Proposed Response Resp
C/ 184	SC 184.10	P 551	L 47	# 572	I
Nicholl, Sh	nawn	AMD			-
Comment	Type E	Comment Status X			
"Table		number" column of the Inne C status variables and MDI I.			
mentio	oned. Also, other r e the "15:0". Also,	an MDIO register, thus "15 ows (eg. test_block_error_l Table 177-8 excludes the "	oin_0_16p) of th	e same table don't	
Suggestee	dRemedy				
of "Ta		bit number" column of the I FEC status variables and M			

Same comment for Inner_FEC_codeword_error_bin_1 through Inner_FEC_codeword_error_bin_4.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 120F S	C 120F.1	P 663	L 38	# 573
Nicholl, Shawn		AMD		
Comment Type	, E	Comment Status X		

1 -- Example 100GAUI-1, 200GAUI-2, 400GAUI-4, 800GAUIonship to the ISO/IEC Open System Interconnection (OSI) E 802.3 Ethernet model" is quite noisy (cluttered).

ed with a more concise approach.

legend, propose replacing "ATTACHMENT UNIT lacing "MEDIA INDEPENDENT INTERFACE" with "MII", and JM ATTACHMENT" with "PMA".

he legend propose adding "AUI = ATTACHMENT UNIT MEDIA INDEPENDENT INTERFACE", adding "PMA = HMENT".

ighout P802.3dj (especially in the Annexes) whose legend r manner.

sponse Status **O**

C/ 174A SC 174A.8.1	I.3 <i>P</i> 681	L19	# 574	C/ 174A	SC 174A.8.	1.4 Pe	581	L 50	# 575
licholl, Shawn	AMD			Nicholl, Sha	wn	AMD)		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment T	pe TR	Comment Status	X		
lane i.	ed as follows: 16 is the is the probability of I obability of more than 15 test s			blocks v	vith k test syn	7-bin error histograms hbol errors for k < 16 a errors for k = 16."			
uggestedRemedy	,	,				ounds like these histo			ints, while an earlier
,	duplicate text ("is the is the") a	and align the text	with 174A.8.1.2 and			as a ratio between er	ror counts and	d total count.	
174A.8.1.4 Sub-Claus		5		SuggestedRemedy					
Propose the following	toxt.			Propose	e the following) text:			
				Option1	(most preferr	red by commenter): In	troduce the te	erm "ratio".	
Proposed text: " defi - Hm (i)(k) where k < symbol errors in a test - Hm (i)(16) is the rat errors in a test block fo Option2 (less preferre Proposed text: " defi	16 is the ratio (to total numbe t block for lane i. io (to total number of test bloc or lane i." d by commenter): Retain the t	er of test blocks a cks analyzed) of 1 term "probability".	6 or more test symbol	blocks a number Option2 Propose symbol	analyzed) of te of test blocks (less preferre ed text is: " a errors in a tes block for k =	e 17-bin error histogra est blocks with k test s s analyzed) of test bloc ed by commenter): Re are 17-bin error histog st block for k < 16 and 16. <i>Response Status</i>	symbol errors t cks with 16 or tain the term ' rams represe the probability	for k < 16 and more test sy "probability". nting the prob	d the ratio (to total mbol errors for k = 1 pability of k test
	bability of 16 or more test syr								
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 174A	SC 174A.8.	1.5 Pe	682	L17	# 576
				Nicholl, Sha	wn	AMD)		
				Comment T	vpe ER	Comment Status	S X		
				Hm(k) to Hm (h lane i, measure the (i)(k)." However, 174A				
				SuggestedR	Remedy				
				Propose	e to make the	text more concise.			
						text more concise. ach lane i, measure th	ne error histoa	ıram Hm(i)(k)	(see 174A.8.1.3)."

C/ 174A SC 174A.8.	1.6 P682	L 37	# 577	C/ 1	SC 1.4.92i	P 54	L 46	# 580
Nicholl, Shawn	AMD			Nicholl, Sha	awn	AMD		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status X		
	h lane i, measure the error his i)(k)." However, 174A.8.1.3 do			Curren operati	t text: " using on. (See IEEE	the physical coding sublayer Std 802.3, Clause 174.)"	defined in Clause	e 175 for 1.6 Tb/s
SuggestedRemedy				Propos	e pointing to th	e correct Clause number.		
Propose to make the	text more concise.			Suggested	-			
Proposed text: "For ea	ach lane i, measure the error h	nistogram Hm(i)(k) (see 174A.8.1.3)."			ng the physical coding sublay Std 802.3, Clause 175.)"	er defined in Clau	use 175 for 1.6 Tb/s
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 174A SC 174A.8.	1.7 <i>P</i> 683	L 2	# 578	C/ 1	SC 1.4.92g	P 54	L 40	# 581
Nicholl, Shawn	AMD			Nicholl, Sha	awn	AMD		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status X		
However, 174A.8.1.3	each lane i, measure the error does not define Hm(k) rathe					ns of 1.6TBASE-DR8-2, 2000 correctly point to Clause 181.		
,	onv(He(k) , Hm(k)) (see"			Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy Propose to make the				Clause 1.4.104	, 182.) la 200GBASE-l	88-2: IEEE 802.3 Physical La DR1-2: IEEE 802.3 Physical I	,	,
Proposed text: "d) I	r each lane i, measure the erro hconv(He(k) , Hm(i)(k)) (see		(i)(k) (see 174A.8.1.3)."	1.4.134	Clause 182.) Ic 400GBASE-I Clause 182.)	DR2-2: IEEE 802.3 Physical I	Layer least 2 k	m. (See IEEE Std
Proposed Response	Response Status O			1.4.184		-DR4-2: IEEE 802.3 Physical	l Layer least 2	km. (See IEEE Std
C/ 174A SC 174A.9	P683	L18	# 579	Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
Nicholl, Shawn	AMD							
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X							
	atio tests for 800GBASE-LR1 LR1 Inner FEC sublayers".	ISLs", the text cu	urrent says " between					
SuggestedRemedy								
Propose to replace wi	ith " between a pair of 800GI	BASE-LR1 Inner	FEC sublayers"					
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.60	c.1 P82	L 21	# 582	C/ 119	SC ·	119.2.4.1	P174	L32	# 584
Nicholl, S	hawn	AMD			Nicholl, Ga	ary		Cisco Systems		
Comment	t Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status X		
conta	ins the information	contains the information fon n for 1.74.1 register. hitions sections are typicall	Ũ		with th types	e legac being de	y state-dia efined in 8	encoder is optional and fully b gram encoder there is no nee 02.3dj. The stateless encoder BASE-R PHY types.	d to restrict it	s use to the new PHY
Suggeste	dRemedy		-		0					
	ose the following to	ext:						stateless decoder in 119.2.5.8	•	
	0				Suggested		,			
	1.60c.1 should con formation for 1.74	ntain the information for 1.7 .0 register.	74.1 register. 45.2	.1.60c.2 should contain				n 119.2.4.1 and 119.2.5.8 to a ecively, to be used for all 2000		
In oth	ner words, it should	d read as follows:			Proposed	Respon	se	Response Status 0		
45.2.	1.60c.1 800GBAS	E-ER1 ability (1.74.1)								
Wher	n read as a one, b	E-ER1-20 ability (1.74.0) t 1.74.0 indicates as a 8 it 1.74.0 as a 800GBAS								
Proposed	l Response	Response Status O								
C/ 177	SC 177.1.1.3	P 326	L 6	# 583						
lowell, M	lark	Cisco								
Comment	t Type E	Comment Status X								
		which summarizes the func the basic detail that it is a								
	eadability and con eader.	sistency these two subclau	ses should provide	e similar information to						
Suggeste	dRemedy									
	use 177.1.3, inclu H(128,120)	de the description that that	the inner FEC end	coding for Clause 177						
roposed	l Response	Response Status O								

CI 174A SC 174A.8.1.2 P681 L3 # 586
Shrikhande, Kapil Marvell
Comment Type T Comment Status X
Stating "5 consecutive PAM4 symbols" is clear, but then the sentence goes on to say "or, equivalently, 10 consecutive bits" which could be confusing since 10 consecutive bits could be confusing since 10 confusing sinc
come from 6 PAM4 symbols. I believe we want it to be 5 consecutive PAM4 symbols.
SuggestedRemedy
Change the sentence to be "Test symbols are defined as non-overlapping groups of 5 consecutive PAM4 symbols", period. I.e. remove the last part "or, equivalently, 10 consecutive bits".
Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 178B SC 178B.5.1 P788 L21 # 587
Shrikhande, Kapil Marvell
Comment Type T Comment Status X
"rx_ready" is not defined before this term is used. rx_ready is used on lines 21 and 23. Presumably rx_ready is receiver ready, which is defined later in clause in 178B.8.1 ?
SuggestedRemedy
Define rx_ready and / or clarify that this variable is same as receiver ready defined in 178B.8.1
Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 175 SC 175.1.3 P261 L5 # 588
Shrikhande, Kapil Marvell
Comment Type T Comment Status X
Will be better to state that transcoding is from four 66b blocks to 257 bit blocks. This
follows the previous bullet which states that encoding is from eight 1.6TMII data octets to 66-bit blocks.
SuggestedRemedy
Change the second bullet to "Transcoding from (to) four 66-bit blocks to (from) 257-bit blocks (256B/257B)".
I

C/ 175	SC 175.5	P 280	L 4	# 589
Shrikhande	, Kapil	Marvell		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The 1.6TbE PCS and XS delay constraint value chosen in 802.3dj (400ns) is half of that specified for 800GE (800ns). There isn't a strong justification for cutting the delay constraint in half for 1.6TbE (compared to 800GE) : both 1.6TE and 800GE use the same FEC, and functional blocks within the PCS are the same. While there is a small reduction in FEC codeword accumulation latency since 1.6TbE uses 4x400G FEC while 800GE uses 4x200G FEC, this reduction is only ~ 12.5ns. Additionally, the delay constraint for 800GE PCS is the same as 400GE and 200GE PCS (~800ns). To enable a broad base of designs, across end-hosts as well as modules, recommend changing the 1.6TbE PCS/XS delay constraint value to match 800GE/400GE/200GE.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the delay constraint for 1.6TbE PCS (and XS) to be the same as 800GE (800ns or 2500 pause quanta).

Proposed Response	Response Status	0	
-------------------	-----------------	---	--

C/ 174A	SC 174A.3	P677	L 35	# 590
Shrikhande	e, Kapil	Marvell		
Comment 7	Tvpe T	Comment Status X		

In the subclause title "Error ratio allocation for an Ethernet network path", the term "network path" is a bit vague. Network path may mean a multi-hop network path (e.g. End Host to Switch to End host). Should search for a more descriptive term to use instead of "network path". Since the error allocation is from the PLS service interface of one RS to the PLS service interface of the other RS, suggest using "RS-to-RS" ? or MAC-to-MAC ? This is similar to PHY-to-PHY, PCS-to-FEC, etc. terminology used in other sections of this annex.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "network path" in the subclause title with "RS-to-RS".

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 174A	SC 174A.5	P 678	L17	# 591
Shrikhande	e, Kapil	Marvell		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
Cross	reference to 174	A.6 is missing.		
S <i>uggested</i> Add cr	IRemedy oss reference			
Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 180	SC 180.7.1	P 438	L 40	# 592
He, Michae	el	TeraHop		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		

Tx OMAouter (min) equals –1.2 + max(TECQ, TDECQ) for 0.9 dB < max(TECQ, TDECQ) < 3.4 dB. It means that Tx OMAouter shall increase to compensate TECQ/TDECQ induced penalty. However, the testing data show 1dB TECQ/TDECQ degradation will only cause

<1dB Rx sensitivity penalty, which means the TECQ/TDECQ penalty is overestimated.

SuggestedRemedy

The TDECQ test methodology needs to be optimized to make it more closely to reflect the real TECQ/TDECQ induced penalty. The expected 1dB TECQ/TDECQ degradation vs it's induced penalty would be at least 0.75dB or above. Some new approaches, e.g. adding 1-tap DFE for the ref. equalizer, or narrowing histogram spacing of the eye diagram (referring to rodes_3dj_01_2411) may help. May submit one contribution with collected data to support feasibility.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 180	SC 180.7.2		P 440	L 33	# 593
He, Micha	ael		TeraHop		
•		~			

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The footnote for receiver sensitivity show that it shall be measured with conformance test signal at TP3 (see 180.8) for the block error ratio specified in 180.2. However, accurately measuring with block error ratio method may need too long time. We need to find a proper way to shorten the testing time to make it acceptable either for compliance or for mass production.

SuggestedRemedy

Is it possible to just accumulate a limited codewords for FEC-bin and prediction via expropolating the FEC-bin curve. Will submit a contribution to discuss the feasibility.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 179A SC 179A.5	P819	L38	# 594	C/ 179	SC 17	9.9.4.7	P403	L 2	# 597
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol			Kocsis, Sa			Amphenol		
Comment Type TR Comment	•			Comment		TR	Comment Status X		
The MTF illustration in Figure 179A-1 hard to validate.	l allocates an info	ormative referenc	e of the MCB that is	referer	nce imped	dance is	r at TP2 is defined without a i inferred from 179.9.3, 100-oh ot consistent throughout D2P	nm. The use of	
SuggestedRemedy			21 - 14 - 4	Suggested					
Move the allocation marker to cover equations in 179B.3 Proposed Response Response S		d align the alloca	ation with the	00	efinition of	f a 92.5-	ohm reference impedance for	r the ERL com	putation, consistent with
Proposed Response Response S	Status U			Proposed	Response	9	Response Status O		
C/ 178 SC 178.9.2.1.2	P 363	L 24	# 595	C/ 179	SC 47	9.9.5.5	D.440	L 29	# 500
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol					9.9.5.5	P410	L 29	# 598
Comment Type TR Comment	Status X			Kocsis, Sa Comment		TR	Amphenol Comment Status X		
impedance for ERL is not consistent SuggestedRemedy Add definition of a 92.5-ohm reference Annex179B.	ce impedance for		ation, consistent with	imped Suggested	ance for E <i>Remedy</i> efinition of	ERL is no	inferred from 179.9.3, 100-of ot consistent throughout D2P ohm reference impedance fo	0.	
Proposed Response Response S	Status O			Proposed		9	Response Status 0		
C/ 178 SC 178.10.3	P 373	L 33	# 596	 C/ 179	SC 17	0 11 2	P413	L6	# 599
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol					9.11.3		20	# 599
Comment Type TR Comment				Kocsis, Sa Comment		-	Amphenol Comment Status X		
The ERL for a channel atTP0 and TP			•	The E	RL of a ca		embly at TP1 and TP4 is defi		
implied reference impedance is inferr reference impedance for ERL is not o		hout D2P0.					•	,	m. The use of a 100-
reference impedance for ERL is not o SuggestedRemedy	consistent throug			ohm re	éference i		ce for ERL is not consistent t	,	m. The use of a 100-
	consistent throug		ation, consistent with	ohm re Suggested	e ^f erence in <i>Remedy</i> efinition of	mpedan	•	hroughout D2I	m. The use of a 100- P0.

C/ 179B SC 179B.2.1							
J 113D 30 113D.2.	1 P 823	L 34	# 600	C/ 179B SC 179B.	4.2 P826	L10	# 603
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol			Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	3 test fixture printed circuit boar erial is used in the HCB fixture				ented procedure for adjusting th hough one exists in the COM c		ence impedance for a
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
	iit board (PCB)". Test fixture ca ys. There are (3) instances in th				Annex to document the procedu RL computation requires a refer		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 179B SC 179B.3.1	1 P824	L 33	# 601	Cl 179B SC 179B.	4.1 <i>P</i> 826	L1	# 604
Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol			Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
has proven to be diffic	mbly test fixture PCB, test poin cult to validate. Since the effects erence insertion loss are to be ole.	s of the differenc	es between an actual	ICN calculations SuggestedRemedy	in the FOM_ILD calculation is in		
SuggestedRemedy				Converge to a singl pass/fail limits appr	e rise time setting for mated tes	st fixture calculation	ons and adjust criter
with "cable assembly t	hbly test fixture PCB, test point, test fixture, from the RF connec uation 179B-1 appropriately, ar n.	ctor refrence plar	ne to the MDI	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
				C/ 179B SC 179B.	4.6 P 829	L 39	# 005
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						# 605
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Kocsis, Sam	Amphenol		# 605
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Kocsis, Sam Comment Type TR	Amphenol Comment Status X		# 605
C/ 179B SC 179B	Response Status O P823 Amphenol	L 39	# 602	Comment Type TR The aggressor amp		are not consistent	
C/ 179B SC 179B Kocsis, Sam	P823	L 39	# 602	Comment Type TR The aggressor amp	Comment Status X	are not consistent	
Cl 179B SC 179B Kocsis, Sam Comment Type ER	P 823 Amphenol			Comment Type TR The aggressor amp worst-case maximu SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X		with the expected
Kocsis, Sam <i>Comment Type</i> ER Flip the order of polyne	P 823 Amphenol <i>Comment Status</i> X omial from decreasing to increa			Comment Type TR The aggressor amp worst-case maximu SuggestedRemedy Adjust the amplitud	Comment Status X litudes in the ICN calculations a m transmitter amplitudes.		with the expected

C/ 176C SC 176C.6.3.	5 P 726	L18	# 606	C/ 178A SC 178A.1	.3 P768	L 20	# 610
Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	m		Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	om	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
The C2C specification s	should use 92.5 ohm impeda	nce for transmi	tter and receiver ERL	All impedance values	should be 92.5 ohms		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
add line in Table 176C-	3 to specify 92.5 ohm impeda	ance		Channel can be mea	sured with 100 ohms but should	d be converted t	o 92.5 ohms
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 176C SC 176C.7.3	P 734	L 43	# 607	C/ 178 SC 178.9.1	P 361	L 43	# 611
Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	m		Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	om	
Comment Type TR The C2C specification s	Comment Status X should use 92.5 ohm impedar	nce for channel	ERL	Comment Type TR All impedance values	Comment Status X should be 92.5 ohms		
SuggestedRemedy add line in Table 176C-	9 to specify 92.5 ohm impeda	ance		SuggestedRemedy Change reference im	pedance to 92.5 ohms		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 176D SC 176D.8.2	P 752	L 44	# 608	C/ 179 SC 179.9.3	P 393	L 40	# 612
Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	m		Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	om	
Comment Type TR The C2M specification	Comment Status X should use 92.5 ohm impeda	nce for TP1a E	RL	Comment Type TR All impedance values	Comment Status X should be 92.5 ohms		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
add line in Table 176D-	8 to specify 92.5 ohm impeda	ance		Change reference im	pedance to 92.5 ohms		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 176D SC 176D.7.2	P 749	L 34	# 609	C/ 179 SC 179.11.	1 P412	L 47	# 613
Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	m		Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	om	
Comment Type TR All impedance values s	Comment Status X hould be 92.5 ohms			Comment Type TR All impedance values	Comment Status X s should be 92.5 ohms		
SuggestedRemedy Change COM Impedan	ce to 92.5 ohms			SuggestedRemedy Change reference im	pedance to 92.5 ohms		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CL 4700 60 4700 0 0	0700	147	# 044		D.070	1.04	# 010
C/ 176C SC 176C.6.2	P723	L17	# 614	C/ 178 SC 178.10	P370	L34	# 618
Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco Comment Status X			Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco ment Status X	JIII	
Comment Type TR All impedance values sh				Comment Type TR Com The KR specification should us		ce for KR channe	el impedance
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change reference imped	dance to 92.5 ohms			add line in Table 178-11 to spe	cify 92.5 ohm impeda	ance	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Resp	onse Status O		
C/ 00 SC 0	P373	L 43	# 615	C/ 179 SC 179.9.4	P 394	L18	# 619
Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	m		Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	om	
Comment Type TR The KR specification sho	Comment Status X ould use 92.5 ohm impedand	ce for all ERL m	easurements	Comment Type TR Com Improve ERL specification	ment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy add line in Table 178-14	to specify 92.5 ohm impeda	ince		SuggestedRemedy Presentation to be provided			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Resp	onse Status O		
C/ 178 SC 178.9.1.2	P363	L 32	# 616	C/ 179 SC 179.9.4.7	P 403	L13	# 620
Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	m		Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	om	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR Com	ment Status X		
The KR specification sho	ould use 92.5 ohm impedanc	ce for TP0v test	fixture	The CR specification should us	e 92.5 ohm impedan	ce for transmitte	r and Receiver ERL
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
add line in Table 178-7 t	o specify 92.5 ohm impedan	ce		add line in Table 179-9 to spec	ify 92.5 ohm impedar	nce	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Resp	onse Status O		
C/ 178 SC 178.9.2.2	P364	L15	# 617	C/ 179 SC 179.11	P 412	L 23	# 621
Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	m		Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	om	
Comment Type TR The KR specification sho	Comment Status X sould use 92.5 ohm impedant	ce for KR transr	nit ERL	Comment Type TR Com The CR specification should us	<i>ment Status</i> X e 92.5 ohm impedan	ce for cable asse	embly
SuggestedRemedy	o specify 92.5 ohm impedan			SuggestedRemedy add line in Table 179-13 to spe			-
				•	•		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 179 SC 179.11.	3 P 413	L19	# 622	C/ 185A SC	C 185A.2.3		P 862	L 30	# 625
Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	om		Kota, Kishore			Marvell Semic	conductor	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type	TR	Comment	Status X		
SuggestedRemedy	should use 92.5 ohm impedant -14 to specify 92.5 ohm impeda <i>Response Status</i> O		embly ERL	post-equaliz of the :IQ Q specificatior	er after the uadrature s is require block the l	e "carrier phas skew (max)" s d to allow des ETCC calcula	e recovery" bloc pec to 0.75ps in ign of lower com	ck which is requi Table 185-5. Th pplexity 800GBA	185A-4. is missing a red to allow relaxation re relaxed skew SE-LR1 modules. if the skew gets close
				SuggestedReme	ədy				
C/ 179 SC 179.9.5	.3 P 406	L 26	# 623	Add post-eq	ualizer sta	ge to the digitation	al signal proces	sing. Presentatio	on to be provided.
Palkert, Thomas	Samtec, Maco	om		Proposed Respo	onse	Response	Status O		
Comment Type TR The CR specification	Comment Status X should use 92.5 ohm impedant	ce for interferen	ce tolerance parameters	C/ 178B SC	178B.14 .	2.5	P810	L 7	# 626
SuggestedRemedy add line in Table 179	-11 to specify 92.5 ohm impeda	ance		Law, David	-		HPE	LI	# 020
Proposed Response	Response Status O				State diag	gram figures' l	by the 'Training	control state dia d in the associat	gram' in subclause ed subclause
C/ 179B SC 179B.4 Palkert, Thomas Comment Type TR The CR specification	2 P826 Samtec, Maco Comment Status X should use 92.5 ohm impedant		# 624	In addition, definition for interface 'R	it appears t und in 178 TS update	that the trainin B.14.2.1 'Varia state diagram'	ables', yet it app ' (Figure 178B–	ears to be driver	ble based on the by both the per- ne 'Training control
SuggestedRemedy add line in Table 179B-1 to specify 92.5 ohm impedance Proposed Response Response Status O				FAIL state, i control state	it would set diagram c afterwards	t training_station another lan s, training_sta	us for the interfa	ace to FAIL. If, ho terface enters th	n interface enters the owever, the Training le PATH_UP state be set to OK. This
				state diagra operation of	efinition for m' in its as training_st	sociated subc	lause 178B.14.3 g it being driven	3.1 'Variables'. Ir	8B–8 'Training control addition, clarify the interface 'RTS update te diagram'.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.5 P810 L2 # 627	
C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.5 P810 L2 # 627	C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.5 P810 L45 # 629
Law, David HPE	Law, David HPE
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
The variables mr_restart and reset are used in Figure 178B–8 'Training control state diagram', Figure 178B–9 'Training frame lock state diagram', and Figure 178B–10 'Coefficient update state diagram', but are not defined in the associated subclause 178B.14.3.1 'Variables'.	Subclause 178B.14.1 'State diagram conventions' says that 'The notation used in the state diagrams follows the conventions of 21.5.'. Table 21–1 'State diagram operators' defines the [not equal sign] character as 'Not equals'. SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Change the text 'max_recovery_events !=0' to read 'max_recovery_events [not equal sign]
Add the following two entries in alphabetical order to subclause 178B.14.3.1:	
mr_restart See 178B.14.2.1.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Reset	C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.5 P810 L46 # 630
See 178B.14.2.1.	Law, David HPE
Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type E Comment Status X
C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.5 P 810 L 10 # 628 aw, David HPE Comment Type T Comment Status X	diagrams follows the conventions of 21.5.'. Table 21–1 'State diagram operators' defines the use of the [greater than or equal sign] character as 'Greater than or equal to'. SuggestedRemedy Change the text 'recovery_event_count >= max_recovery_events' to read
The variables mr_training_enable, local_rts and remote_rts are used in Figure 178B–8 'Training control state diagram' but are not defined in the associated subclause 178B.14.3.1 'Variables'.	'recovery_event_count [greater than or equal sign] max_recovery_events'. Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy Add the following entry in alphabetical order to subclause 178B.14.3.1:	C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.1 P808 L2 # 631
local rts	Law, David HPE
See 178B.14.2.1.	Comment Type E Comment Status X
	Туро.
mr_training_enable See 178B.14.2.1.	SuggestedRemedy
remote rts	Change ' variable that is set to TRUE when' to read ' variable that is set to true when
See 178B.14.2.1.	
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
riupuseu respuise Kesponse Status U	

C/ 178B SC 178B.14.3.1 P807 L36 # 632	CI 178B SC 178B.15 P813 L 50 # 635
aw, David HPE	Law, David HPE
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
The variables remote_mc_mode and remote_tp_mode are defined in subclause 178B.14.3.1 'Variables' but are not used in any of the respective state diagrams, Figure 178B–8 'Training control state diagram', Figure 178B–9 'Training frame lock state diagram', or Figure 178B–10 'Coefficient update state diagram'.	Suggest that the text 'Bit reference is provided for lane 0, bits for lanes 1 to 3' is split int two sentences. SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Change 'Bit reference is provided for lane 0, bits for lanes 1 to 3' to read 'Bit reference is
Remove the definitions of remote_mc_mode and remote_tp_mode from subclause	provided for lane 0. Bits for lanes 1 to 3'
178B.14.3.1 'Variables'.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	
	C/ 186 SC 186.4.2.1 P610 L35 # 636
C/ 178B SC 178B.14.2.4 P805 L1 # 633	Law, David HPE
aw, David HPE	Comment Type T Comment Status X
	I believe that the FAW field lock state diagram requests a FAW_SLIP, not a SLIP (see the
Comment Type E Comment Status X Change the title of subclause 178B.14.2.4 'State diagram figures' to read 'State diagram	FAW_SLIP state in Figure 186–16 '800GBASE-ER1 PMA FAW field lock state diagram'.
figure' since there is only one state diagram figure in this subclause, Figure 178B–7 'RTS	SuggestedRemedy
update state diagram'.	Suggest that ' the SLIP requested by the FAW field lock state' should be changed to read ' the FAW SLIP requested by the FAW field lock state'.
SuggestedRemedy	_ , , ,
See comment.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	
	Cl 184 SC 184.7.2.2 P547 L2 # 637
C/ 178B SC 178B.6.2 P791 L7 # 634	Law, David HPE
	Comment Type T Comment Status X
	I believe that the e DSP frame lock state diagram requests a SYM_SLIP, not a SLIP (see
Comment Type T Comment Status X Subclause 178B.6.2 'Control and status fields' says that 'Two formats are defined for the	the SYM_SLIP state in Figure 184–9—DSP 'lock state diagram'.
control and status fields, E1 and O1.'. Everywhere else in the draft, however, it seems that	SuggestedRemedy
E1 and O1 are defined as types of interfaces. For example, subclause 178B.7 'Control field	Suggest that ' the SLIP requested by the DSP frame lock state' should be changed to read ' the SYM_SLIP requested by the DSP frame lock state'.
structure' says, 'The structure of the control field for E1 interfaces shall be as shown in Table 178B–2 and for O1 interfaces as shown in Table 178B–3.'.	
SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status O
Suggest that the text 'Two formats are defined for the control and status fields, E1 and O1.' is changed to read 'The type E1 interface and a type O1 interface use different formats for the control and status fields (see 178B.7).'.	
Pronosed Response Posponso Status	

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 637

Page 129 of 148 6/16/2025 2:13:54 PM

C/ 178 SC 178.2 P357 L5 # 638	C/ 178 SC 178.8.1 P360 L15 # 640
i, Mike Altera (An Intel compnany)	Swenson, Norman Nokia, Point2
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type ER Comment Status X
Refer to figure 174A-5, 1.) BERadded is the BER contribution outside of the measured sublayer link. 2.) Measured sublayer link is PCS-to-PCS including PMD and FEC. Both TX-FEC and RX- ECC must be sublayed in the DUV because an experiment. To use EFC decades the incention	The test points in the figure are not the test points at which the OMD is spoecified. The PMD is specified at TP0v, which is not shown in the figure. The first sentence starting "The test points" implies that these are the only test points.
FEC must be included in the PHY-based measurement. To use FEC decoder, the incoming signal must be encoded (compared with the incoming signal does not need to be encoded	SuggestedRemedy
to use PMA-based block error measurement). 3.) May the measured link have xMII extender outside this sublayer link (its BER budget is not 8e-6 according to CL-174A.4). 4.) with Table 174A-2, table 174A-3, xMII extender (if used) is not part of CER < 1.45e-11	Change the title of the section from "Specified Test Points" to "Referenced Test Point Delete the word "The" at the beginning of the first sentence. Add a sentence after the sentence that reads: "The PMD is specified at test points TP0v and TP5v (see 178.9. and 178.9.3.1)."
spec. 5.) Considering all of these, the BERsdded value for CL-178.2 should not be simple 8e-6. Instead, it should be 8e-6 * Number_of_C2C_SubLayerLink outside of the measured sublayer link between the two ends MACs.	Proposed Response Response Status O
	CI 178 SC 178.9.2 P361 L48 # 641
uggestedRemedy change the BERsdded value from 8e-6 to 8e-6 * Number_of_C2C_SubLayerLink outside of	Swenson, Norman Nokia, Point2
the measured sublayer link between the two ends MACs.	Comment Type ER Comment Status X
	The excitation of the transmitter that he must be most at TDO, but TDO, but the
roposed Response Response Status O	The sentence states that specifications must be met at TP0v, but TP0v has not yet be defined.
roposea Response Response Status O	
1 179 SC 179.2 P387 L46 # 639	defined.
P 179 SC 179.2 P 387 L 46 # 639 i, Mike Altera (An Intel compnany)	defined. SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence to "The transmitter on each lane shall meet the specifications a
7 179 SC 179.2 P 387 L 46 # 639 Altera (An Intel compnany)	defined. SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence to "The transmitter on each lane shall meet the specifications a TP0v (see 178.9.2.1) given"
I 179 SC 179.2 P 387 L 46 # 639 , Mike Altera (An Intel compnany) omment Type T Comment Status X Refer to figure 174A-5, 1.) BERadded is the BER contribution outside of the measured sublayer link. 2.) Measured sublayer link is PCS-to-PCS including PMD and FEC. Both TX-FEC and RX-	defined. SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence to "The transmitter on each lane shall meet the specifications a TP0v (see 178.9.2.1) given"
179 SC 179.2 P 387 L 46 # 639 Mike Altera (An Intel compnany) omment Type T Comment Status X Refer to figure 174A-5, 1.) BERadded is the BER contribution outside of the measured sublayer link. 2.) Measured sublayer link is PCS-to-PCS including PMD and FEC. Both TX-FEC and RX-FEC must be included in the PHY-based measurement. To use FEC decoder, the incoming	defined. SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence to "The transmitter on each lane shall meet the specifications a TP0v (see 178.9.2.1) given" Proposed Response Response Status O
 <i>I</i> 179 SC 179.2 P 387 L 46 # 639 , Mike Altera (An Intel compnany) <i>comment Type</i> T Comment Status X Refer to figure 174A-5, 1.) BERadded is the BER contribution outside of the measured sublayer link. 2.) Measured sublayer link is PCS-to-PCS including PMD and FEC. Both TX-FEC and RX- 	defined. SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence to "The transmitter on each lane shall meet the specifications a TP0v (see 178.9.2.1) given" Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1 P362 L49 # 642
Image: Transformed status P387 L46 # 639 Mike Altera (An Intel compnany) Imment Type T Comment Status X Refer to figure 174A-5, 1.) BERadded is the BER contribution outside of the measured sublayer link. 2.) Measured sublayer link is PCS-to-PCS including PMD and FEC. Both TX-FEC and RX-FEC must be included in the PHY-based measurement. To use FEC decoder, the incoming signal must be encoded (compared with the incoming signal does not need to be encoded to use PMA-based block error measurement). 3.) May the measured link have xMII extender outside this sublayer link (its BER budget is not 8e-6 according to CL-174A.4). 4.) with Table 174A-2, table 174A-3, xMII extender (if used) is not part of CER < 1.45e-11	defined. SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence to "The transmitter on each lane shall meet the specifications a TP0v (see 178.9.2.1) given" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1 P362 L49 # 642 Swenson, Norman Nokia, Point2
// 179 SC 179.2 P 387 L 46 # 639 , Mike Altera (An Intel compnany) comment Type T Comment Status X Refer to figure 174A-5, 1.) BERadded is the BER contribution outside of the measured sublayer link. 2.) Measured sublayer link is PCS-to-PCS including PMD and FEC. Both TX-FEC and RX-FEC must be included in the PHY-based measurement. To use FEC decoder, the incoming signal must be encoded (compared with the incoming signal does not need to be encoded to use PMA-based block error measurement). 3.) May the measured link have xMII extender outside this sublayer link (its BER budget is not 8e-6 according to CL-174A.4). 4.) with Table 174A-2, table 174A-3, xMII extender (if used) is not part of CER < 1.45e-11 spec.	defined. SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence to "The transmitter on each lane shall meet the specifications a TP0v (see 178.9.2.1) given" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1 P362 L 49 Swenson, Norman Nokia, Point2 Comment Type ER Comment Status X "measurements of the transmitter are made at the output of a test fixture (TP0v) as shown in Figure 178–3 and described in Annex 163A" reads like the test fixture is
 <i>I</i> 179 SC 179.2 P387 L46 # 639 Mike Altera (An Intel compnany) <i>omment Type</i> T Comment Status X Refer to figure 174A-5, 1.) BERadded is the BER contribution outside of the measured sublayer link. 2.) Measured sublayer link is PCS-to-PCS including PMD and FEC. Both TX-FEC and RX-FEC must be included in the PHY-based measurement. To use FEC decoder, the incoming signal must be encoded (compared with the incoming signal does not need to be encoded to use PMA-based block error measurement). 3.) May the measured link have xMII extender outside this sublayer link (its BER budget is not 8e-6 according to CL-174A.4). 4.) with Table 174A-2, table 174A-3, xMII extender (if used) is not part of CER < 1.45e-11 spec. 5.) Considering all of these, the BERsdded value for CL-179.2 should not be simple 8e-6. Instead, it should be 8e-6 * Number_of_C2C_SubLayerLink outside of the measured sublayer link between the two ends MACs. 	defined. SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence to "The transmitter on each lane shall meet the specifications a TP0v (see 178.9.2.1) given" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1 P 362 L49 Swenson, Norman Nokia, Point2 Comment Type ER Comment Type ER Comment Status X "measurements of the transmitter are made at the output of a test fixture (TP0v) as shown in Figure 178–3 and described in Annex 163A" reads like the test fixture is described in Annex163A, which it is not. SuggestedRemedy Change to "the transmitter is measured using the methodology described in Annex 16 the output of a test fixture (TP0v) as
 7 179 SC 179.2 P387 L46 # 639 Mike Altera (An Intel compnany) Comment Type T Comment Status X Refer to figure 174A-5, 1.) BERadded is the BER contribution outside of the measured sublayer link. 2.) Measured sublayer link is PCS-to-PCS including PMD and FEC. Both TX-FEC and RX-FEC must be included in the PHY-based measurement. To use FEC decoder, the incoming signal must be encoded (compared with the incoming signal does not need to be encoded to use PMA-based block error measurement). 3.) May the measured link have xMII extender outside this sublayer link (its BER budget is not 8e-6 according to CL-174A.4). 4.) with Table 174A-2, table 174A-3, xMII extender (if used) is not part of CER < 1.45e-11 spec. 5.) Considering all of these, the BERsdded value for CL-179.2 should not be simple 8e-6. Instead, it should be 8e-6 * Number_of_C2C_SubLayerLink outside of the measured sublayer link between the two ends MACs. 	defined. SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence to "The transmitter on each lane shall meet the specifications a TP0v (see 178.9.2.1) given" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1 P362 L49 Swenson, Norman Nokia, Point2 Comment Type ER Comment Status X "measurements of the transmitter are made at the output of a test fixture (TP0v) as shown in Figure 178–3 and described in Annex 163A" reads like the test fixture is described in Annex163A, which it is not. SuggestedRemedy Change to "the transmitter is measured using the methodology described in Annex 16 the output of a test fixture (TP0v) as shown in Figure 178–3."
 A 179 SC 179.2 P387 L46 # 639 A Mike Altera (An Intel compnany) Comment Type T Comment Status X Refer to figure 174A-5, 1.) BERadded is the BER contribution outside of the measured sublayer link. 2.) Measured sublayer link is PCS-to-PCS including PMD and FEC. Both TX-FEC and RX-FEC must be included in the PHY-based measurement. To use FEC decoder, the incoming signal must be encoded (compared with the incoming signal does not need to be encoded to use PMA-based block error measurement). 3.) May the measured link have xMII extender outside this sublayer link (its BER budget is not 8e-6 according to CL-174A.4). 4.) with Table 174A-2, table 174A-3, xMII extender (if used) is not part of CER < 1.45e-11 spec. 5.) Considering all of these, the BERsdded value for CL-179.2 should not be simple 8e-6. Instead, it should be 8e-6 * Number_of_C2C_SubLayerLink outside of the measured 	defined. SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence to "The transmitter on each lane shall meet the specifications a TP0v (see 178.9.2.1) given" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 178 SC 178.9.2.1 P 362 L49 Swenson, Norman Nokia, Point2 Comment Type ER Comment Type ER Comment Status X "measurements of the transmitter are made at the output of a test fixture (TP0v) as shown in Figure 178–3 and described in Annex 163A" reads like the test fixture is described in Annex163A, which it is not. SuggestedRemedy Change to "the transmitter is measured using the methodology described in Annex 16 the output of a test fixture (TP0v) as

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 178 SC 178.	9.2.1 P 362	L 49	# 643		C/ 179	SC 179.8.1	P 390	L 26	# 646
Swenson, Norman	Nokia, Poin	it2			Swenson, I	Norman	Nokia, Point2		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X				Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status X		
802.3ck. Are thes	ribes methods for measuring tra se same methods applicable he applicable here, or should Claus	re? Annex 163A i	refers to use of Clause		but rath	ner the input to	e cable assembly input. I belie the cable assembly test fixture		
SuggestedRemedy					Suggested				
Please clarify.						e the description	n of TP1 to "The input of the ca out."	ible assembly	rest fixture that feeds
Proposed Response	Response Status 0				Proposed I	, ,	Response Status 0		
C/ 178 SC 178.	9.2.1 <i>P</i> 362	L 49	# 644		C/ 179	SC 179.8.1	P 390	L 28	# 647
			# 044		Swenson, I		Nokia, Point2	- 20	<i>n</i> 047
Swenson, Norman	Nokia, Poin	112			Comment		Comment Status X		
Comment Type ER Comment Status X "An example test fixture is described in Annex 163B." Annex 163B does not describe an example test fixture. A description of an example test fixture would be a drawing of a					TP2 is	described as th	he host output. I believe it is no fixture that is fed by thost outp		put, but rather the output
physical test fixtur	re, or perhaps a description of a	possible implem	entation of an example	Ð	Suggested	Remedy			
	3B gives example electrical cha can be calculated. (I am not cer			uld		e the description st output."	n of TP2 to "The output of the T	TP2 or TP3 te	est fixture that is fed by
SuggestedRemedy					Proposed H	Response	Response Status 0		
Change to " Anne:	x 163B gives example electrical can be calculated."	characteristics of	of a test fixture for whic	:h					
Proposed Response	Response Status O				C/ 179	SC 179.8.1	P 390	L 30	# 648
	, -				Swenson, I	Norman	Nokia, Point2		
					Comment	51	Comment Status X		
C/ 179 SC 179.		L 41	# 645				e host input. I believe it is not ture that is feeds the host inpu		t, but rather the input to
Swenson, Norman	Nokia, Poin	it2			Suggested				
Comment Type ER The term "pervasi defined anywhere	ve management" does not have	a plain and ordin	nary meaning, nor is it			e the description	n of TP3 to "The input of the TF	P2 or TP3 tes	t fixture that feeds the
SuggestedRemedy	ord "pervasive" or provide a defi	nition of "pervasiv	/e management"		Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
•		Inder of pervasion	e management .						
Proposed Response	Response Status O								

C/ 179 SC 179.8.								
of 113 00 113.0.	.1 P 390	L32	# 649	C/ 179 SC	179.9.4.1.1	P 396	L1	# 652
Swenson, Norman	Nokia, Point2			Swenson, Norma	an	Nokia, Point2		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type	ER Con	nment Status X		
	the cable assembly output. I be e output of the cable assembly te			"Compute th clear.	e linear fit pulse re	esponse" using what s	setting for the ec	ualizer? This is not
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedReme Clarify	dy			
Change the descript by the cable assemb	tion of TP4 to "The output of the obly output."	cable assembly	test fixture that is fed	Proposed Respo	nse Resp	onse Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							
				C/ 179 SC	179.11.3	P 413	L 6	# 653
7 179 SC 179.8.	1 P390	L37	# 650	Swenson, Norma	an	Nokia, Point2		
wenson, Norman	Nokia, Point2			Comment Type	TR Con	nment Status X		
omment Type ER	Comment Status X			93A.5 does i	not specify how to	terminate the far end	of the cable whe	en measuring ERL.
"The channel betwe	en TP0d to TP5d" is grammatica		should be "between	SuggestedReme		nd a tarmination impa	dance for the E	
TP0d and TP5d [®] , or	r it should be "from TP0d to TP5d	•		Specify a so	urce impedance a	nd a termination impe		RL measurement.
				Specify a so Proposed Respo	·	oonse Status O		RL measurement.
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Respo	·	•	L 25	# 654
uggestedRemedy Change to "between	n TP0d and TP5d"			Proposed Respo	nse Resp 176D.7.1	oonse Status O	L 25	
uggestedRemedy Change to "between roposed Response	n TP0d and TP5d" <i>Response Status</i> 0	L 47	# 651	Proposed Respo	nse Resp 176D.7.1	P748	L 25	
uggestedRemedy Change to "between roposed Response / 179 SC 179.9.	n TP0d and TP5d" <i>Response Status</i> 0		# 651	Proposed Respo Cl 176D SC Swenson, Norma Comment Type Figure 176D	nse Resp 176D.7.1 an ER Con	P748 Nokia, Point2	L 25	# <u>654</u>
uggestedRemedy Change to "between roposed Response I 179 SC 179.9. wenson, Norman	A TP0d and TP5d" Response Status O 4.1.1 P395		# 651	Proposed Respo Cl 176D SC Swenson, Norma Comment Type Figure 176D not clear.	nse Resp 176D.7.1 an ER Con -6 includes a conr	P748 P748 Nokia, Point2	L 25	# 654
uggestedRemedy Change to "between roposed Response / 179 SC 179.9. wenson, Norman omment Type ER "For each configurat	A TP0d and TP5d" Response Status O 4.1.1 P 395 Nokia, Point2 Comment Status X tion of the transmit equalizer" is r	L 47		Proposed Respo Cl 176D SC Swenson, Norma Comment Type Figure 176D not clear. SuggestedReme	nse Resp 176D.7.1 an ER Con -6 includes a conr dy	P 748 P 748 Nokia, Point2 Inment Status X rector, which is actual	L 25 ly a mated conn	# 654
Change to "between Proposed Response (1) 179 SC 179.9.4 wenson, Norman Comment Type ER "For each configurat configurations has b	A TP0d and TP5d" Response Status O 4.1.1 P 395 Nokia, Point2 Comment Status X tion of the transmit equalizer" is r	L 47		Cl 176D SC Swenson, Norma Comment Type Figure 176D not clear. SuggestedReme Draw a vertic	nse Resp 176D.7.1 an ER Con -6 includes a conr dy cal line down the c	P748 P748 Nokia, Point2 Inment Status X lector, which is actual enter of the rectangle	L 25 ly a mated conn	# 654 ector, though that is tor to indicate that be
SuggestedRemedy Change to "between Proposed Response C/ 179 SC 179.9. Swenson, Norman Comment Type ER "For each configurat	A TP0d and TP5d" Response Status O 4.1.1 P 395 Nokia, Point2 Comment Status X tion of the transmit equalizer" is r	L 47		Proposed Responded Cl 176D SC Swenson, Norma Comment Type Figure 176D not clear. SuggestedReme Draw a vertic parts of the r figures 176D	nse Resp 176D.7.1 an ER Con -6 includes a conr dy cal line down the c nated connector a -4 and 176D-5. C	P 748 P 748 Nokia, Point2 Inment Status X rector, which is actual	L 25 ly a mated connec alabeled connec 2dB Host chann o "Mated Connec	# 654 ector, though that is tor to indicate that b el loss. Compare w ctor" in the figure so

C/ 176D SC 176D.7.2	2 P 748	L 45	# 655	C/ 179A	SC 179A.5	P 821	L 4	# 658
Swenson, Norman	Nokia, Point2			Swenson, No	rman	Nokia, Point2		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		
interference	defined in 178A.1, is also used					ngle labeled Paddle/Wire Term d test fixtures in Fig 179A-1? It		
	6D.8.12)." What is the meaning her purpose was mentioned he		t is, in addition to what?	SuggestedRe Clarify	medy			
	he purpose of the note on p. 74 longs in the main text as a sent			Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			CI 179B Swenson, Nor Comment Typ		P 824 Nokia, Point2 Comment Status X	L 12	# 659
7 179A SC 179A.4	P 818	L 37	# 656			tent with the text.		
wenson, Norman	Nokia, Point2			SuggestedRe	medy			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X				-	ILdd_{catfref}		
I believe the host chan says "host connector",	nnel loss is to include the mated , which is ambiguous.	l host/cable co	nnector. But the text	Proposed Res	_, ,	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy								
Change "host connect	or" to "mated host/cable conne	ctor".		C/ 179B	SC 179B.3.1	P824	L32	# 660
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Swenson, No	rman	Nokia, Point2		
				Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		
CI 179A SC 179A.4 Swenson, Norman Comment Type TR	P 818 Nokia, Point2 Comment Status X	L 53	# 657	fixture and determine	d the referened, given that	effects of differences between t ce insertion loss" are to be the specification in 179B.4 Is for Fixture by itself.		
	st-High (HH) should be 4.45 to	18.05		SuggestedRe	medy			
0 ()		10.00.			-	ences are to be determined.		
SuggestedRemedy Change 18.5 to 18.95				Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status O		
	Response Status O							

C/ 186	SC 186.4.3	P 618	L17	# 661	C/ 186	SC 186.4.3	B P620	L 4	# 663
Law, David		HPE			Law, Davi	d	HPE		
Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
Since Find	gure 186–18 is	the '800GBASE-ER1 FEC F	AM field lock sta	te diagram', it seems	diagra	ims follows the	State diagram conventions' say conventions of 21.5.'. Table 2' les the use of the [equal sign] c	1–1 'State diagra	m operators' in
		the GET_BLOCK state to the			Suggestee	dRemedy			
	$d_count = 5.$	the INVALID_FAM state to th	ie 5_BAD state s	noula be	Chang	ge the five insta	ances of the text ' ==' in Fig	gure 186–20 to re	ead ' ='.
		the COMP_2ND state to the	2_GOOD state s	hould be fam_match.	Proposed	Response	Response Status O	-	
SuggestedR	emedy				,	1	•••••		
Change:									
[1] The (GET BLOCK s	state to the FIND_1ST state to	ransition conditio	n from test amp to	C/ 186	SC 186.4.3		L 39	# 664
test_fam					Law, Davi	d	HPE		
		state to the 5_BAD state tran	nsition condition	from amp_bad_count =	Comment	Type E	Comment Status X		
	tch.	ate to the 2_GOOD state trar <i>Response Status</i> 0	nsition condition	from amp_match to	diagra subcla	ims follows the	State diagram conventions' say conventions of 21.5.'. Table 2' tes the use of the [greater than	I–1 'State diagra	m operators' in
					Suggestee	dRemedy			
C/ 186	SC 186.4.3	P619	L 9	# 662			o_aml_cnt >= 5' to read 'zero_a BBASE-ER1 FEC Alignment ma		
aw, David		HPE			Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status X							
		GBASE-ER1 FEC multi-fram			CI 400	00 400 44	Daga	1.00	# 005
	rec_mras_rest se 186.4.2.1 'V	art, but only fec_mfas_restar ariables'.	t_lock is defined	In the associated	C/ 186	SC 186.4.3		L23	# 665
SuggestedR					Law, Davi		HPE		
00		e instances of fec_mfas_resta	art to read fec m	fas restart lock in	Comment	51	Comment Status X		
	86–19, or char	nge fec_mfas_restart_lock to			diagra	ims follows the	State diagram conventions' say conventions of 21.5.'. Table 2' es the use of the [left arrow] ch	I–1 'State diagra	m operators' in
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status 0			Suggestee	dRemedy	· ·		
					Chang	ge the five insta	ances of the use of the character 186–20 '800GBASE-ER1 FEC		

the states in Figure 186–20 '800GBASE-ER1 FEC Alignment marker location state diagram' to use the [left arrow] character.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 179 SC 179.9.4.1.3 P397 L22 # 666	C/ 179 SC 179.9.5.2 P406 L10 # 667
	Ran, Adee Cisco Systems
Proposed Response Response Status O	Implement change B as shown on slide 3 in ran_3dj_03_2503, with editorial license. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 179 SC 179.9.4	P394 L22	2 # 668	C/ 175 SC 175.2.5.3	P273	L 41	# 669
Ran, Adee	Cisco Systems		Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		

As noted in comment #263 against D1.4, limiting the transmitter steady-state voltage v_f to 0.5 volt would reduce the effective channel reach that devices can operate on. In previous generations the v_f limit was 0.6 V (1.2 Vpp), and in current 802.3ck compliant systems, values at the upper half of this range (output swings above 1 Vpp) are commonly used to extend the reach and operate over longer cables and/or improve error statistics.

The comment suggested changing the transmitter specifications (v_f and peak-to-peak) and the corresponding receiver amplitude tolerance, but without changing the corresponding COM parameter (A ne). In

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/25_03/ran_3dj_03_2503.pdf it was referred to as "Change C" (apply for CR) and "Change D" (also for KR) (slide 3).

There was a preference to apply change D, as recorded in straw polls #TF-7 and #TF-8 (see minutes_3dj_2503_approved, page 17).

The following options are suggested for CR and KR (no change in C2C and C2M): 1. Change Tx maximum v_f to 0.6 V as proposed. Apply in Tx and Rx specifications (no change in COM A ne).

Change as in option 1 and additionally change A_ne accordingly (increase by 20%).
 Add a footnote in the transmitter specifications tables (179.9.4 and 178.9.2) to allow "engineered links" to operate above the specified v_f; as a model, use the second paragraph of 178.10.6 (operating without AC-coupling in the channel).

4. Add an optional "high swing" mode. In a device that supports high swing mode, it is disabled by default. When it is enabled the transmitter v_f range is 0.5 to 0.6. Enabling this mode is under the responsibility of the system integrator.

SuggestedRemedy

Implement any of the four options listed in the comment. As a starting point, option A is suggested.

Proposed Response Response Status O

ERROR block as an ERROR which was originally intended to cover the de-scramber error propagation, but it does not work as intended due to the merging of data streams from the two parallel RX flows prior to the 64B/66B decoding. SuggestedRemedy
The Reed-Solomon FEC decoder within each RX flow of the 1.6TbE PCS, by reference to to 119.2.5.3, causes every 66-block within two interleaved RS-FEC codewords to be set to an error block when one or both of the codewords is found to be uncorrectable. This should be extended to the four 66-bits blocks that make up the first 257-bit block of the

In ran 3di 03a 2505.pdf, it was shown that the 64B/66B stateless decoder defined in

FEC codeword. The 64B/66B stateless decoder does mark every block following an

175.2.5.9. by reference to 172.2.5.9.2. may allow a corrupted 66-bit block to pass through

to the MAC with a small probability. This can occur due to the error propagation of the descrambler from an uncorrectable FEC codeword into the first block the the following good

In addition, the 64B/66B stateless decoder in 175.2.5.9 can and should be simplified to not set each 66-block after an error block to also be set to an error block since this does not work as intended and the correct marking can be done more easily in the RE-FEC decoder within each RX flow.

following codeword to account for the errors possibly being propagated by the de-scramber

The RS decoder in 200GbE, 400GbE and 800GbE PCS clauses 119.2.5.3 and 172.2.5.3 should also be updated to extend the marking of error blocks to the four 66-bits blocks that make up the first 257-bit block that follows an uncorrectable FEC codeword for all PHYs that can use the stateless 64B/66B decoder.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

that follows within each flow.

C/ 175 SC 175.2.4.1	P 264	L 24	# 670	C/ 116	SC 116.3.2	P157	L 6	# 672
Opsasnick, Eugene	Broadcom			Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
	r function in 175.2.4.1 is allo			Primitiv	ves for other ins	tances, of inter-sublayer inte	rfaces, are	
	the state-diagram based en some, but not all, of block se			Suggested	Remedy			
	encoder. However, a 1.6Th			Too ma	any commas			
Therefore, the stateless	hich by definition only sends 64B/66B encoder can be sir to also look at the previous by the MAC TX function	nplified to just er	ncode the current 64B	Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy	by the MAC TA function.			C/ 116	SC 116.3.3.3	B.1 P161	L16	# 673
	B/66B encoder from the cur	ent definition in	Table 172-1 to	Dawe, Pier	S	Nvidia		
something like:				Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
"When reset is asserted	tx_coded is set to LBLOCK	T otherwise ty	coded -	commu	unication *with*	lower sublayer		
ENCODE(tx_raw) where	LBLOCK_T is defined in 17 or a much simplified table clo	5.2.6.2.1 and the	ENCODE function is	Suggested		a, not with. Needs clarificatio	un.	
						i, noi wiin. Meeus cianncaio	// 1.	
Implement with editorial	license.			Proposed I		Response Status O	nı.	
	license. Response Status O						L9	# 674
				Proposed F	Response SC 116.5	Response Status O		# 674
roposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed F	Response SC 116.5 's	Response Status O P 168		# 674
roposed Response	Response Status 0 P156	L14	# 671	Proposed F Cl 116 Dawe, Pier Comment 1 106.25	Response SC 116.5 's <i>Type</i> E i GBd PMD lane	Response Status O P168 Nvidia Comment Status X		# 674
Proposed Response	Response Status O P 156 Nvidia	L 14		Proposed F Cl 116 Dawe, Pier Comment 1 106.25	Response SC 116.5 's <i>Type</i> E i GBd PMD lane	Response Status O P168 Nvidia Comment Status X		# 674
Proposed Response 2/ 116 SC 116.3.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T	Response Status 0 P 156 Nvidia Comment Status X		# [671	Proposed F Cl 116 Dawe, Pier Comment 7 106.25 In footr Suggested	Response SC 116.5 is Type E GBd PMD lane notes: at PMD la Remedy	Response Status O P168 Nvidia Comment Status X ane signaling rate	L 9	
Troposed Response 7 116 SC 116.3.2 awe, Piers comment Type T Now that we are used to	Response Status O P 156 Nvidia		# [671	Proposed F Cl 116 Dawe, Pier Comment 7 106.25 In footr Suggested 106.25	Response SC 116.5 s Type E GBd PMD lane notes: at PMD la Remedy GBd lane at	Response Status O P168 Nvidia Comment Status X	L 9	
Proposed Response Cl 116 SC 116.3.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T Now that we are used to SuggestedRemedy	Response Status 0 P156 Nvidia Comment Status X these generic primitives, the	e IS_ is redundar	# <u>671</u>	Proposed F Cl 116 Dawe, Pier Comment 7 106.25 In footr Suggested 106.25 Also in	Response SC 116.5 S Type E GBd PMD lane notes: at PMD la Remedy GBd lane at Table 169-6.	Response Status O P168 Nvidia Comment Status X ane signaling rate lane signaling rate (3 times,	L 9	
Proposed Response Cl 116 SC 116.3.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T Now that we are used to SuggestedRemedy	Response Status 0 P 156 Nvidia Comment Status X	e IS_ is redundar	# <u>671</u>	Proposed F Cl 116 Dawe, Pier Comment 7 106.25 In footr Suggested 106.25	Response SC 116.5 S Type E GBd PMD lane notes: at PMD la Remedy GBd lane at Table 169-6.	Response Status O P168 Nvidia Comment Status X ane signaling rate	L 9	

C/ 119 SC 119.2.1	P174	L 9	# 675	C/ 169 SC 169.1.	3 P186	L10	# 678
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
data-units					g - they all are, it's in the text that		
SuggestedRemedy				table is too long and make a start.	d wordy; it uses sentence constr	ruction rather tha	n columns. At least
data units				SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Change "800 Gb/s F	PHY using" to "Uses"		
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.1	P 174	L 32	# 676				
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			C/ 169 SC 169.2.	4a P 189	L 47	# 679
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
alternative stateless end hope, and it's called "sta	coder - there is only one kind	d of stateless en	coder, per speed, I	Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy					chment Unit Interface (800GAL		0GAUI-n is defined for
Delete "alternative, here	and in 119.2.5.8				and chip-to-module (C2M) imple 2C *is* specified in Annex 120F		~
Proposed Response					2M *is* specified in Annex 1200		
-Toposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy			
				•• •	hment Unit Interface (800GAU	-n) 800GAUI	-n is defined for chip-to-
C/ 120 SC 120.1.4	P184	L11	# 677		-to-module (C2M) implementati AUI-n C2C are specified, in Anr		aay 1760
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Two types of 800GA		lex 120F and An	nex 1760.
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Confusion between out	out and transmit side (possib	oly also in items	5 and 6)	, ,	•••••		
SuggestedRemedy							
Change " the signaling transmit direction for a .	rate range for a PMA outp PMA"	out" to " the signa	aling rate range in the	C/ 169 SC 169.2. Dawe, Piers	4b P190 Nvidia	L 3	# 680
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
					layer -> plural, or spell them ou	t	
				SuggestedRemedy			
				800GBASE-R Inner	FEC, 800GBASE-LR1 Inner FE	EC and 800GBAS	SE-ER1 FEC sublayers

C/ 169 SC 169.2.10	P 190	L 35	# 681	C/ 170 SC 170.4.3	P 207	L 7	# 684
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
ILT jargon again.				There should be majo	r options for MAC rate, as in 8	81.5.2.3 and 171	.9.3
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
See an earlier commer	nt			Split this item into two	•		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 169 SC 169.3.2	P191	L17	# 682	C/ 171 SC 171.1a	P 212	L14	# 685
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
							tio oppositiontions in
•	PHY 800GXS above isn't call	led the PMA serv	ice interface	174A.4": is partly out	Extender is expected to meet t of scope	the frame loss ra	uo specifications in
SuggestedRemedy	PHY 800GXS above isn't call	led the PMA serv	ice interface			the frame loss ra	tio specifications in
SuggestedRemedy Insert comma	PHY 800GXS above isn't call Response Status 0	led the PMA serv	ice interface	174A.4": is partly out of SuggestedRemedy	of scope using SM-PMAs or a 1.6TMII I		·
SuggestedRemedy		led the PMA serv	ice interface	174A.4": is partly out o <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> A 800GMII Extender u	of scope using SM-PMAs or a 1.6TMII I		·
SuggestedRemedy Insert comma Proposed Response		L12	tice interface # 683	174A.4": is partly out of SuggestedRemedy A 800GMII Extender u loss ratio specification	of scope using SM-PMAs or a 1.6TMII I is in 174A.4		·
SuggestedRemedy Insert comma Proposed Response	Response Status O			174A.4": is partly out of SuggestedRemedy A 800GMII Extender u loss ratio specification	of scope using SM-PMAs or a 1.6TMII I is in 174A.4		·
SuggestedRemedy Insert comma Proposed Response Cl 170 SC 170.1 Dawe, Piers	Response Status 0 P202			174A.4": is partly out of SuggestedRemedy A 800GMII Extender u loss ratio specification Proposed Response	of scope using SM-PMAs or a 1.6TMII I is in 174A.4 <i>Response Status</i> O	Extender is expe	cted to meet the fram
SuggestedRemedy Insert comma Proposed Response Cl 170 SC 170.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This clause defines the	Response Status O P 202 Nvidia	L12	# 683	174A.4": is partly out of SuggestedRemedy A 800GMII Extender of loss ratio specification Proposed Response Cl 171 SC 171.3.3	of scope using SM-PMAs or a 1.6TMII I is in 174A.4 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 216	Extender is expe	cted to meet the fran
SuggestedRemedy Insert comma Proposed Response Cl 170 SC 170.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This clause defines the characteristics	Response Status 0 P 202 Nvidia Comment Status X	L12	# 683	174A.4": is partly out of SuggestedRemedy A 800GMII Extender of loss ratio specification Proposed Response CI 171 SC 171.3.3 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T	of scope using SM-PMAs or a 1.6TMII f is in 174A.4 <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 216 Nvidia	Extender is expe	cted to meet the fram
SuggestedRemedy Insert comma Proposed Response Cl 170 SC 170.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This clause defines the characteristics SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P202 Nvidia Comment Status X e characteristics of the Recor	L12	# 683	174A.4": is partly out of SuggestedRemedy A 800GMII Extender of loss ratio specification Proposed Response CI 171 SC 171.3.3 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T	of scope using SM-PMAs or a 1.6TMII f is in 174A.4 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 216 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X	Extender is expe	cted to meet the fram
SuggestedRemedy Insert comma Proposed Response Cl 170 SC 170.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This clause defines the characteristics SuggestedRemedy	Response Status 0 P 202 Nvidia Comment Status X	L12	# 683	174A.4": is partly out of SuggestedRemedy A 800GMII Extender of loss ratio specification Proposed Response Cl 171 SC 171.3.3 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T average data rate on the SuggestedRemedy	of scope using SM-PMAs or a 1.6TMII f is in 174A.4 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 216 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X	Extender is expe	cted to meet the fram
SuggestedRemedy Insert comma Proposed Response Cl 170 SC 170.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This clause defines the characteristics SuggestedRemedy the behavior of the 800	Response Status O P202 Nvidia Comment Status X e characteristics of the Recor	L12	# 683	174A.4": is partly out of SuggestedRemedy A 800GMII Extender of loss ratio specification Proposed Response Cl 171 SC 171.3.3 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T average data rate on the SuggestedRemedy	of scope using SM-PMAs or a 1.6TMII f is in 174A.4 <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 216 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X the 800GMII - there are two 8	Extender is expe	cted to meet the fram

C/ 171 SC 171.3.3a	P 216	L 25	# 687	C/ 173 SC 173.1.1a	P 244	L 35	# 691
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
<i>Comment Type</i> E will is deprecated	Comment Status X			Comment Type T any in Table 169-2 *and	Comment Status X d* Table 169-3.		
SuggestedRemedy Change will be to is -	several places			SuggestedRemedy any in Table 169-2 *or*	Table 169-3		
	Response Status 0				Response Status O		
7 171 SC 171.9.5.1	P 231	L 47	# 688	C/ 174 SC 174.2.1	P 248	L 5 1	# 692
lawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
<i>Comment Type</i> TR For the PHY XS, this may	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR physically instantiated	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Use separate items for PI	HY XS and DTE XS			SuggestedRemedy exposed			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 173 SC 173.1.1	P 244	L18	# 689	C/ 174 SC 174.2.5	P 249	L 39	# 693
lawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
forms uggestedRemedy				instantiations - are like pla instantiation. 176B.7 desc	acements in IC design one cribes combinations of PM		ment, one
types				SuggestedRemedy			
	Response Status O			Change instantiations to c	combinations		
roposeu response				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
7 173 SC 173.1.1a	P 244	L35	# 690				
awe, Piers	Nvidia						
<i>Comment Type</i> T supports	Comment Status X						
SuggestedRemedy connects to							

C/ 175 SC 17	5.2.4.6.1	P 266	L10	# 694	C/ 177 SC 177.4.5	P 333	L16	# 697
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type	TR Comm	nent Status X			Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
				we are defining its	is most naturally defin	ed		
name here. 179 imperative.	9 linear fit has "de	efine", which is bet	ter although we d	on't usually write in the	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy					Clean up			
Change					Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Let am_x<119:0 bit transmitted.)> be the alignme	ent marker for PCS	lane x, x=0 to 15	, where bit 0 is the first				
to The alignment r	marker for PCS Is	ne v where v-0 to	15 is defined as	am_x<119:0>. Bit 0	C/ 177 SC 177.4.5	P 333	L18	# 698
is the first bit tra			15, is defined as		Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Make similar ch	anges elsewhere	.			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
Proposed Response	e Respor	nse Status O			alpha			
					SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 176 SC 17	76 1 1	P288	L18	# 695	Define			
	0.1.1		L 10	# 095	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Dawe, Piers	T Comm	Nvidia nent Status X						
51	T Comm the - delte the, as				0.477 00.477.47	Daca	1.00	# 000
51					C/ 177 SC 177.4.5	P 333	L 20	# 699
SuggestedRemedy Delete the, as ir	n 172				Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
		o			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
Proposed Response	e Respoi	nse Status O			X			
					SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 176 SC 17	6.4.3.2.1	P 305	L 28	# 696	Define			
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
	T Comm	nent Status X						
round-robin and	I round robin				CI 177 SC 177.4.5	P 333	L 24	# 700
SuggestedRemedy					Dawe, Piers	Nvidia	- - .	
alternating, in ro	otation				Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
Proposed Response		nse Status O			T			
	1.00001				SuggestedRemedy			
					Define			
					Proposed Response	Response Status O		

C/ 177 SC 177.4.5	P333	L 25	# 701	CI 177 SC 177.4.5 P334 L4 # 705
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type TR MSB	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR Comment Status X generator matrix vs. Generation matrix - confusingly similar names
SuggestedRemedy Define				SuggestedRemedy Rename one
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 177 SC 177.4.5	P333	L 30	# 702	C/ 178 SC 178.8.9 P361 L31 # 706
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type TR big dot	Comment Status X			Comment Type E Comment Status X supports the coefficient indexes k_list = {-3, -2 -1, 0, 1} Too much nerdy, too little English.
SuggestedRemedy Define				SuggestedRemedy Use the traditional "functional model is a FFE with these taps" language. Several clauses.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Response Status O
CI 177 SC 177.4.5	P333	L 50	# 703	C/ 178 SC 178.9 P361 L40 # 707
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type TR big dot	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR Comment Status X characteristics
SuggestedRemedy Define				SuggestedRemedy specifications
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Response Status O
CI 177 SC 177.4.5	P334	L1	# 704	CI 178 SC 178.9.2 P361 L47 # 708
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type TR ^1	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR Comment Status X characteristics
SuggestedRemedy Define				SuggestedRemedy specifications
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 178 SC 178.9.2	P 361	L 53	# 709	C/ 178 SC 178.10.1	P 371	L 25	# 713
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type TR Com	ment Status X			Comment Type ER 0	Comment Status X		
fourth-order vs. 5th order BT4.	And why 60 GHz?			Confusion between z and Z	7		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change to 5th order, 53.125 Gł	Hz			As Z for impedance is very	strongly established, us	e something othe	er than z for length,
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status O			such as L			
				Proposed Response R	esponse Status O		
C/ 178 SC 178.9.2.4	P 364	L34	# 710				
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			C/ 178 SC 178.10.1	P 372	L 46	# 714
Comment Type TR Com	ment Status X			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Nv = 400 ! That's ludicrously ra	are, 4^400 is 7e240.	100 is enough		· · · //· · · ·	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy				With a new COM, we can b this years ago.	break away from old mist	akes from the 8B	8/10B days. OIF did
Change Nv to 100 wherever it is	s 400 in this draft			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status O			Change "Random jitter" to	"Gaussian jitter", and sig	ma_RJ to sigma	_GJ
				• •	Pesponse Status O	-	
C/ 178 SC 178.9.3.4.1	P366	L 48	# 711				
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			C/ 178 SC 178.10.1	P 372	L 46	# 715
Comment Type E Com	ment Status X			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
0.8V					Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy				Unrealistic jitter values			
insert space				SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status O			"RJ" should be increased a	ind D-D jitter should be re	educed	
				Proposed Response R	esponse Status O		
C/ 178 SC 178.10.1	P 371	L15	# 712				
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia						
Comment Type ER Com Indices that look like exponents	<i>ment Status</i> X s, should be subscrip	ıts					
SuggestedRemedy							
Change C_d^(1) to C_d1 or Cd	1, and so on						

C/ 178 SC 178.10.3	P 373	L51	# 716	CI 179 SC 179.11.7 P415 L11 # 720
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia	231		Dawe, Piers Nvidia
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR Comment Status X
	a flag (status bit) it's a switch	(control bit)		Add 4th host class:
SuggestedRemedy		. ,		SuggestedRemedy
,	v flag to Tukey window			CA-A HL HL, HN, HH or HH2 4
Proposed Response	Response Status O			HN HL, HN, or HH 3 HH HL or HN 2 HH2 HL 1
C/ 179 SC 179.1	P383	L 22	# 717	Proposed Response Response Status O
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	Nvidia Comment Status X			C/ 180 SC 180.9.5 P447 L24 # 721
The electrical specifica	tions are separate for each h	ost class - awkw	ard	Dawe, Piers Nvidia
uggestedRemedy				Comment Type TR Comment Status X
There are electrical spe	ecifications for each host clas	S		4.56 x 10^-4 and the related Q t value (see 121.8.5.3) is 3.428
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			-> Qt = 3.846, 1 dBe better "SNR" (but doesn't change xECQ by that much). (implied 9e but that doesn't matter). do this less for SRS and URS. 10*log10(3.846/3.428) = 0.5
				SuggestedRemedy
C/ 179 SC 179.1 Dawe. Piers	P 384 Nvidia	L 35	# 718	Change Qt to 3.846, 1 dBe better "SNR" (but doesn't change xECQ by that much). (implied 9e-5 but that doesn't matter). Don't change Qt for for SRS and URS. FYI 10*log10(3.846/3.428) = 0.5
Comment Type ER Tables 1 and 2, and 3 a	Comment Status X and 4, can be combined			Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy		- 11		C/FM SCFM P13 L1 # 722
	o, as Table 167-2, here and in	other clauses		Dawe. Piers Nvidia
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type TR Comment Status X 802.3dk is ahead of this project
7 179 SC 179.9	P 393	L19	# <u>719</u>	SuggestedRemedy
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Insert: IEEE Std 802.3dk-202x
Comment Type TR PMD electrical character	Comment Status X eristics			This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2022 and adds Clause . This amendment adds Physical Layer specifications and management parameters for 100 Gb Ethernet optical interfaces for bidirectional operation over a single strand of single-mode
SuggestedRemedy				fiber.
PMD electrical specific	ations			Make other changes as appropriate
				Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.26	P111	L 49	# 723	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.6	P 74	L 41	# 726
-		P I I I Nvidia	L 43	# 123	Dawe. Pier		P74 Nvidia	L 4 I	# 120
Dawe, Piers Comment T		Comment Status X			Comment		Comment Status X		
	51		Street to be be	at Street data and to be				and all the transmission of the	the second states and the
added t	to the abbreviation	d somehow unmemorable. If ons list, but PMA lane / PMAI worth coining an abbreviatio	_ is used so muc		the cor	rrect style, and w	an confirm that the new mat vithout using a bit that's alreated and the second seco		the correct place, in
Suggested					Suggested	,			
00		lane, throughout the draft			Please	e show the sub-ro	ows below and above, each	time.	
0					Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
Proposed R	Response	Response Status 0							
					CI 73	SC 73.8	P140	L 6	# 727
2/ 45	SC 45.2.3.1	P116	L37	# 724	Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia		
awe, Piers	S	Nvidia			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
Comment T	Type ER	Comment Status X			Cramp	bed table title			
Editor's	s note (to be rem	oved after first working group	o ballot): doesn't	respect SA balloters	Suggested	Romody			
Suggested	Remedy					its box full width			
Change	e to: Editor's note	e (to be removed after first S/	A ballot):						
11 time	es	,	,		Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
Proposed R	Response	Response Status 0							
					C/ 116	SC 116.1.4	P148	L 6	# 728
45	SC 45.2.1.6	P 74	L 20	# 725	Dawe, Pier	rs	Nvidia		
awe, Piers		Nvidia	-20	120	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
Comment T		Comment Status X			2 or 4	-> two or four			
	51				Suggested	lRemedv			
	ended by IEEE S	IU 002.3UI-2024			Chang	-			
SuggestedF	,						orrelation (200GBASE copp	er with 2 or 4 lane	s)
	,	td 802.3df-2024 and IEEE St	d 802.3dk-202x		to	and a state of the		(
	ne changes to th ly in other tables	ese bits made by P802.3dj				ype and clauses milarly for other t	(200GBASE copper with two ables	o or tour lanes)	
Proposed R		Response Status O			Proposed I				
roposed n	Copulse	Response Status U			Proposed I	response	Response Status 0		

C/ 116 SC 116.1	.4 <i>P</i> 148	L10	# 729	C/ 116	SC 116.2.9	P155	L 37	# 732
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		
There must be a Bl	M PMA below any SM PMA					ed jargon: inter-sublayer link		
SuggestedRemedy				•		tter states, receiver states" m	isuse "transmitte	er" "receiver".
Move 176 and 176	C to between 119 and 120. Also	in 116-3a 4 and	5.	SuggestedRei				
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Rewrite th 174.2.12	is, with appro	opraite references, or remove	e 178B. Similarly	/ in e.g. 169.2.10,
				Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status 0		
C/ 116 SC 116.1	.4 P148	L 26	# 730					
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			C/ 116 S	SC 116.2.9	P155	L 44	# 733
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
	SM PMA is shown as conditiona ut that's not to do with the PMD.	al. It might be nee	eded if one wants a	Comment Typ	e TR ed by - yuk	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy								
Change C to O and	I/or revise the footnote. Also in 7	116-3a 4 and 5.		SuggestedRei	-			
					9.2.10 and 1	ide an ILT sublayer: 74.2.12.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O							
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status 0		
· ·	, -	L35	# 731		ponse	Response Status O		
C/ 116 SC 116.2	, -	L35	# 731	Proposed Res	ponse SC 179.9.4	Response Status 0	L 43	# 734
 	.9 P155	L 35	# 731	Proposed Res		,	L 43	# 734
Cl 116 SC 116.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR If IS stands for inter	.9 P155 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X r-sublayer (116.3) and and ISL fo	or inter-sublayer I	ink (178B), this would	Proposed Res	5C 179.9.4	P393	L 43	# 734
Cl 116 SC 116.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR If IS stands for inter be ISLT. However,	.9 P155 Nvidia Comment Status X r-sublayer (116.3) and and ISL fo the "IS_" in the primitives has o	or inter-sublayer I utlived its usefuln	ink (178B), this would ess and should be	Proposed Res Cl 179 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ	5C 179.9.4	P 393 Nvidia Comment Status X	L 43	# [<u>734</u>
Cl 116 SC 116.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR If IS stands for inter be ISLT. However, removed, and optic	.9 P155 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X r-sublayer (116.3) and and ISL fo	or inter-sublayer I utlived its usefuln	ink (178B), this would ess and should be	Proposed Res Cl 179 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ Transmitte	SC 179.9.4 e TR er characteris	P 393 Nvidia Comment Status X	L 43	# 734
Cl 116 SC 116.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR If IS stands for inter be ISLT. However, removed, and optic	.9 P155 Nvidia Comment Status X r-sublayer (116.3) and and ISL fo the "IS_" in the primitives has o al PHYs do not have what one v	or inter-sublayer I utlived its usefuln	ink (178B), this would ess and should be	Proposed Res Cl 179 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ Transmitte SuggestedRet	SC 179.9.4 e TR er characteris	P 393 Nvidia Comment Status X stics	L 43	# 734
Cl 116 SC 116.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR If IS stands for inter be ISLT. However, removed, and optic is a start-up protoco SuggestedRemedy	.9 P155 Nvidia Comment Status X r-sublayer (116.3) and and ISL fo the "IS_" in the primitives has o al PHYs do not have what one v	or inter-sublayer I utlived its usefuln vould recognise a	ink (178B), this would ess and should be s training, even if there	Proposed Res Cl 179 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ Transmitte SuggestedRet	SC 179.9.4 e TR er characteris medy er specificatio	P 393 Nvidia Comment Status X stics	L 43	# [<u>734</u>

	179.9.4	P 394	L 25	# 735	C/ 179	SC 179.9.4.6.	1 P 402	L 1	# 738
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type	TR Comn	nent Status X			Comment T	ype ER	Comment Status X		
Bad names H Which loss?	HL HN HH because	H and L are ambigu	uous: loss or perf	formance or length?		ndard should be ar and unneces	written in English. The threas sary.	ee-pronged magn	et is pretentious,
SuggestedRemedy Change to A B C, with A for best					SuggestedRemedy Change to: For each transition I in the set A:				
C/ 179 SC	179.9.4	P 394	L 37	# 736	C/ 179	SC 179.9.4.6	2 P402	L18	# 739
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Comment Type	TR Comn	nent Status X			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status X		
Difference signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio, dSNDR is too arcane and not justified for CR where the compliance board is properly defined and adjustment for its deviation is allowed					J4u03 can't be measured for CR because of the losses in the host				
		properly defined and	adjustment for it	is deviation is allowed	SuggestedF	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy					Delete, combine with other impairments into EECQ				
-	NDR, or delete and				Proposed R	esponse	Response Status 0		
Proposed Respor	nse Respo	nse Status O							
2/ 470 60	470.0.4.5	Daaa	1.4	# [202]	C/ 179	SC 179.9.4.5.	1 P400	L 4	# 740
	179.9.4.5	P 399	L1	# 737	Dawe, Piers		Nvidia		
Dawe, Piers	TD 0.0000	Nvidia			Comment T		Comment Status X		
Comment Type		nent Status X	P too arcano an	d not justified for CR	Downsa	mpling for P_Si	gnal in SNDR seems fussy	and unecessary	
				ts deviation is allowed	SuggestedF	Remedy			
	dv				Remove	e it			
SuggestedRemed					Proposed R				
00	NDR, or delete and	use EECQ			Floposed R	esponse	Response Status O		
Change to SN	NDR, or delete and	use EECQ nse Status 0			FTOPOSed R	esponse	Response Status O		
Change to SN	NDR, or delete and				C/ 179	SC 179.9.4.6	P401	L 28	# 741
Change to SN	NDR, or delete and					SC 179.9.4.6	·	L 28	# [741
00	NDR, or delete and				Cl 179 Dawe, Piers Comment T	SC 179.9.4.6 vpe TR	P 401		# [<u>741</u>
Change to SN	NDR, or delete and				Cl 179 Dawe, Piers Comment T Dud jitte SuggestedF	SC 179.9.4.6 //pe TR er method. Turr	P 401 Nvidia <i>Comment Status</i> X ing off aggressor lanes is d		# [<u>741</u>

.3.4 P408 L16 # 745				
.3.4 P408 L16 # <u>745</u>				
Nvidia				
Comment Status X				
ntial when measured on an alternating zero-three sequence": this Itage is defined these days, and does not appear in 178.9.3.4.1,				
176C.6.4.5.1 SuggestedRemedy				
red on an alternating zero-three sequence", refer to 176D.8.1.				
Response Status O				
r				

Proposed Response Response Status **O**