

Consensus proposal for AUI error requirements

Adee Ran, Cisco

Lenin Patra, Marvell

Jamal Riani, Marvell

Adam Healey, Broadcom

Kent Lusted, Intel

Goals

- Propose a value for the COM parameter **DER₀** to enable progress on AUI specifications
 - With examples of measured BER
- Summarize the results presented in previous presentations

Two different views:

2e-5 per host

- [ran 3dj 01 2305](#) (slide 14): “Random BER of 2e-5 for AUIs within each host”

5e-5 per host

- [ghiasi 3dj 02 2305](#) (slide 9):
“Recommend to allocate 5E-5 per host PHY”
 - The electrical adhoc can take the 5E-5 decide how to divide between C2C and C2M
 - Starting point could be by allocating pre-FEC BER of 4E-5 for C2M and 1E-5 to C2C
- [patra 3dj 01a 2305](#) (slide 13): C2C BER 1e-5, C2M BER 4e-5 (total 5e-5)

What is the real difference?

- Let's look at the total "BER" without dividing it between C2M and C2C
- The $2e-5$ proposal is for Random BER
 - Translated to $DER0=2.67e-5$
 - [ran 3dj 01 2305](#): "Specifications will be in terms of FLR or similar... details TBDL"
- The $5e-5$ proposal is for Measured BER with precoding
 - [patra 3dj 01a 2305](#): "All the BER limit described in this table represent Worse case BER with DFE alpha 0.75 to represent Burst error events"
 - Due to precoding, the measured BER will be 2x the random BER
 - This proposal assumes a random BER of $5e-5/2=2.5e-5$
- So the difference is $2e-5$ vs $2.5e-5$

What does it mean?

If we allocate all the error budget to a single AUI per host:

Host Random BER		2e-5	2.5e-5
COM parameter DER_0		2.67e-5	3.33e-5
Measured average BER	Without precoding, no error propagation ($a=0$)	2e-5	2.5e-5
	Without precoding, medium error propagation ($a=0.35$)	3.1e-5	3.9e-5
	Without precoding, maximum error propagation ($a=0.75$)	8e-5	1e-4
	With precoding	4e-5	5e-5

If we divide the error budget between two AUIs, all numbers scale accordingly

Due to symbol muxing, the effect of correlated errors on RS-FEC performance and FLR is small, despite the large differences in measured average BER

For example, the last two rows have a factor of 2 in measured BER but yield almost the same performance

Alternatives to average BER measurement should be considered

Proposal

- Adopt DER0 value of $2.67e-5$, equivalent to measured BER of $4e-5$ with precoding ON, for higher-loss AUIs within a PHY
 - Division between C2C and C2M to be determined
 - Measurement method for compliance to be determined