Motions and State of the IEEE P802.3dj Task Force

John D'Ambrosia, Futurewei Technologies, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Gary Nicholl, Cisco Kent Lusted, Intel

IEEE 802.3 May 2023 Interim IEEE P802.3dj Task Force

Introduction

- Preparation for May 2023 Interim and review of prior key motions highlighted "assumptions" versus what Task Force has actually approved
 - https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/projdoc/KeyMotions_3dj_230316.pdf
- This presentation highlights these "discrepancies"
 - Potential for progress approve motions of perceived assumptions?

PCS (& DTE / PHY XS)

- Motion #10 Jan 2023 "FEC" is part of the PCS for 200G/400G/800G/1.6T -
 - (https://www.ieee802.org/3/df/public/22_05/22_0517/gustlin_3df_01a_220517.pdf)
 - Related Motions -
 - 1. RS(544,514,10) adopted as FEC encoding for 200G/lane AUIs (C2M and C2C)
 - 2. Adopt patra_3dj_01b_2303 slides 6 to 8, 13, 14, and 20 to 23 as part of the FEC approach for
 - 800GBASE-DR4, 800GBASE-DR4-2, 800GBASE-FR4
 - 400GBASE-DR2, 400GBASE-DR2-2
 - 200GBASE-DR1, 200GBASE-FR1 with FEC lane rate, convolutional interleaver details, and 1.6T support to be determined later
 - 3. Move to adopt gustlin_3df_01b_230206, slides 6-12, as the baseline for the 1.6TbE PCS/FEC, with the noted details (PCS lane forming and AM construction) to be determined later
 - 4. Move to adopt opsasnick_3dj_01a_2303, slides 3, 5-9, 12-13, as a supplement to the previously adopted 1.6TbE PCS baseline from

Issues:

- Motion #10 Jan 2023 points to wrong presentation "gustlin_3df_01b_230206" should be 3dj
- Only PCS for 1.6 TbE has been approved by TF motion, other rates have had FEC choice, not the PCS, approved.
- patra_3dj_01b_2303 slide #6 notes existing Clause 172 for 800 GbE, no PCS Clauses noted for 200 GbE or 400 GbE
- 800 GbE PCS(s?) for 10km / 40 km single λ objectives pending Task Force decisions

Perceived Task Force Assumptions

200 GbE

- PCS Clause 119
- DTE XS 118.1.2 (note only calls out 200GXS)
- PHY XS 118.1.2 (note only calls out 200GXS)

400 GbE

- PCS Clause 119
- DTE XS 118.1.2 (note only calls out 400GXS)
- PHY XS - 118.1.2 (note only calls out 400GXS)

800 GbE

- PCS Clause 172
- DTE XS- Clause 171.2
- PHY XS Clause 171.3

Per IEEE Std 802.3[™]-2022:

118.1.2 200GXS/400GXS Sublayer

The 200GXS, if implemented, shall be identical in function to the 200GBASE-R PCS in Clause 119 with the addition of the functions defined in 118.2. A single device may be configured as either a 200GXS or the 200GBASE-R PCS and may be managed through different optional management registers.

The 400GXS, if implemented, shall be identical in function to the 400GBASE-R PCS in Clause 119 with the addition of the functions defined in 118.2. A single device may be configured as either a 400GXS or the 400GBASE-R PCS and may be managed through different optional management registers.

What about -CR?

- No baseline proposals to date
- PCS / FEC?
- Support of AUI?
 - Per 802.3ck -
 - 200GBASE-CR2 supports 200GAUI-2-C2C
 - 400GBASE-CR4 supports 400GAUI-4-C2C
 - Per 802.3df -
 - 800GBASE-CR8 supports 800GAUI-8-C2C
- Signaling?

Perceived Assumptions Regarding -CR

- Will support C2C AUIs
 - 200GBASE-CR1 200GAUI-1-C2C
 - 400GBASE-CR2 400GAUI-2-C2C
 - 800GBASE-CR4 800GAUI-4-C2C
 - 1.6TBASE-CR8 1.6TAUI-8-C2C
- By motion AUIs are supported by RS(544,514,10), based on 200 Gb/s PAM4 signaling
- PCS
 - Will use same PCSs for 200GBASE-CR1, 400GBASE-CR2, and 800GBASE-CR4 per Slide #4 of this presentation.
 - Will use gustlin_3df_01b_230206, slides 6-12, as the baseline for the 1.6TbE PCS/FEC
- Will use same PMAs for each data rate as per ran_3dj_01a_2303, slides 6-24
- CR signaling is PAM4
 - If KR objectives are adopted it is assumed that the same would apply to each KR Objective per data rate.

Proposed Motions

■ Move to adopt gustlin_3dj_01b_230206, slides 6-12, as the baseline for the 1.6TbE PCS/FEC

Move to adopt the PCS, DTE XS, and PHY XS noted on slide #4 of dambrosia_3dj_01_2305 for all 200 Gb/s per lane signaling based PHYs for 200 GbE, 400 GbE, and 800 GbE