# Towards an 800G-LR4 IMDD Specification Consensus - July 2023 update

Roberto Rodes, Coherent

Maxim Kuschnerov, Huawei

Frank Chang, Source Photonics

Rangchen (Ryan) Yu, SiFotonics

Mark Kimber, Semtech

Vipul Bhatt, Coherent

Xiang Liu, Huawei

Kazuhiko Naoe, Lumentum

John Johnson, Broadcom

## Introduction

- This presentation is a status update on the 800GBASE-LR4 proposed specs in rodes\_3dj\_01\_2305
- This presentation reviews the specs that have consensus among the authors, and outlines the specs with work that is underway
- We propose this specification as a baseline for 800GBASE-LR4 with further refinements based on contributions from the Task Force

## Status Report

#### List of specs we have consensus on:

- Wavelength grid based on LWDM4
- Operating Distance 10km
- Use of Type 2 FEC based on <u>patra 3dj 01b 2303</u>
- Optical link budget= 6.3dB
- Tx and Rx specs achievable without APDs or SOAs:
  - Tx OMAmin @ maxTDECQ= 4.4dBm
  - SRS = -3dBm
- TDECQ max= 3.9dB
- Stronger receiver equalizer than 100G/Lane LR. rodes 3dj 01 2305
- Additional penalty allocations:
  - DGD=0.7 dB kuschnerov 3df 01b 221012
  - MPI = 0.4 dB <u>kuschnerov 3df 02a 221012</u>
  - FWM = 0 dB <u>liu 3dj 01 2303</u>, johnson 3dj 01a 230206
- Consider Statistical Approach for worst case chromatic dispersion (CDq) <u>liu 3dj optx 01 230427</u>

## Status Report

#### Specs with work underway and more consensus is needed:

- Current effort in ITU-T SG15 Q5 and IEC 86A WG1 to gather data from fiber vendors to support statistical model of chromatic dispersion.
  - Interim values for CDq are proposed in liu\_3dj\_01\_2307, pending more detailed calculation from ITU-T
  - Are CDq limits for power budget and TDECQ compliance testing the same? Or additional allocation is need?
- TDECQ reference equalizer
  - Is an FFE-only reference receiver enough to equalize CDq limits?
  - If not, should we include DFE or MLSE in the receiver? Stojanovic\_3dj\_01\_2307
- Exact pre-FEC BER for optical PMD is pending further analysis from the task force

Even the specs with consensus are subject to change if needed based on new analysis and measurement data from the task force

#### **Transmit Characteristics**

| Description  | 800G-LR4 proposal  | Unit       |
|--|--|------------|
| Signaling rate, each lane (range)  | 113.4375   | GBd        |
| Modulation format  | PAM4   |            |
| Lane wavelengths (range)   | 1294.6 to 1296.6<br>1299.1 to 1301.1<br>1303.6 to 1305.6<br>1308.1 to 1310.1 | nm         |
| Side-mode suppression ratio (SMSR), (min)  | 30   | dB         |
| Total average launch power (max)   | 11.5   | dBm        |
| Average launch power, each lane (max)  | 5.5  | dBm        |
| Average launch power, each lane (min)  | -0.9   | dBm        |
| Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMAouter), each lane (max)   | 5.7  | dBm        |
| Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMAouter), each lane (min) for TDECQ <1.4 dB for 1.4 dB $\leq$ TDECQ $\leq$ 3.9 dB | 1.9<br>0.5+TDECQ   | dBm<br>dBm |
| Difference in launch power between any two lanes   | 3  | dB         |
| Transmitter and dispersion eye closure for PAM4 (TDECQ), each lane (max) *   | 3.9  | dB         |
| Transmitter eye closure for PAM4 (TECQ), each lane (max)   | 3.2  | dB         |
| TDECQ-TECQ  (max)  | 2.5  | dB         |
| Over/under-shoot (max)   | 22   | %          |
| Transmitter power excursion (max)  | 3.1  |            |
| Extinction ratio, each lane (min)  | 3.5  | dB         |
| Transmitter transition time (max)  | 13   | ps         |
| Average launch power of OFF transmitter, each lane (max)   | -16  | dBm        |
| RIN <sub>15.6</sub> OMA (max)  | -139   | dB/H<br>z  |
| Optical return loss tolerance (max)  | 15.6   | dB         |
| Transmitter reflectance (max)  | -26  | dB         |


#### **Receive Characteristics**

| Description  | 800G-LR4 proposal  | Unit       |
|--|--|------------|
| Signaling rate, each lane (range)  | 113.4375   | GBd        |
| Modulation format  | PAM4   |            |
| Lane wavelengths (range)   | 1294.6 to 1296.6<br>1299.1 to 1301.1<br>1303.6 to 1305.6<br>1308.1 to 1310.1 | nm         |
| Damage threshold, each lane  | 6.5  | dBm        |
| Average receive power, each lane (max)   | 5.5  | dBm        |
| Average receive power, each lane (min)   | -8   | dBm        |
| Receive power (OMAouter), each lane (max)  | 5.7  | dBm        |
| Difference in receive power between any two lanes (OMAouter) (max)                           | 3.3  | dB         |
| Receiver reflectance (max)   | -26  | dB         |
| Receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), each lane (max) for TECQ <1.4 dB for 1.4 dB ≤ TECQ ≤ 3.9 dB | -5.5<br>-6.9 + TECQ  | dBm<br>dBm |
| Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), each lane (max)                                    | -3   | dBm        |
| Conditions of stressed receiver sensitivity test:  |  |            |
| Stressed eye closure for PAM4 (SECQ), lane under test *                                      | 3.9  | dB         |
| OMAouter of each aggressor lane  | 1.3  | dBm        |

<sup>\*</sup>Measured with a TBD reference equalizer

<sup>\*</sup>Measured with a TBD reference equalizer

# Tx & Rx specs



#### Link Power Budget

| Parameter                                      | 800G-LR4<br>proposal | Unit |
|--|----------------------|------|
| Power budget (for maximum TDECQ)               | 11.3                 | dB   |
| Operating Distance                             | 10                   | km   |
| Channel insertion loss                         | 6.3                  | dB   |
| Maximum discrete reflectance                   | -35                  | dB   |
| Allocation for penalties (for maximum TDECQ) * | 5                    | dB   |

<sup>\*</sup>DGD=0.7dB and MPI= 0.4dB, kuschnerov 3df 01b 221012, kuschnerov 3df 02a 221012

#### Transmitter compliance channel specifications

| Dispersion* |          | May maan DCD |  |
|-------------|----------|--------------|--|
| Minimum     | Maximum  | Max mean DGD |  |
| -19.6 ps/nm | +3 ps/nm | 1.36 ps      |  |

<sup>\*</sup> liu\_3dj\_01\_2307

## Conclusion

We have presented a status report on the consensus effort to propose an 800GBASE-LR4 baseline

- The consensus baseline proposal has TBD on:
  - Length of linear reference equalizer
  - Pre-FEC BER
- Interim values for CDq min and max

We expect further refinement as the task force progresses and more data comes available