# Proposal for training patterns for the start-up protocol

Adee Ran, Cisco Kent Lusted, Intel

## Supporters

- Omer Sella, Imperial College London Mike Wingrove, Ciena
- Whay Lee, Marvell
- Mike Dudek, Marvell
- Margaret Johnston, Cadence
- Mau-Lin Wu, Mediatek
- Pirooz Tooyserkani, Cisco
- Arthur Marris, Cadence
- Zvi Rechtman, NVIDIA
- Ali Ghiasi, Ghiasi Quantum/Marvell

- Luz Osorio, Ciena
- Paul Brooks, Viavi
- Matt Brown, Alphawave
- David Cassan, Alphwave
- Leon Bruckman, Huawei
- Dave Estes, Spirent

#### Introduction

- The issues with existing training patterns were initially presented in the ad hoc presentation <u>ran\_3dj\_elec\_01\_240208</u>.
  - Brief summary of the problem statement: the existing patterns are created by zeropadding a PRBS13Q sequence to a length that is a multiple of 32 UI. When these patterns are processed by a time-interleaved (polyphase) ADC, the pattern is repetitive with a short period, and is unsuitable for training.
- A proposal was made to add new training patterns based on free-running PRBS13 or PRBS31 generator, with no other change of the training frame format.
  - These new patterns are very simple to implement and test and free-running PRBS generators are already included in most SerDes.
- It was demonstrated that the proposed training pattern has more uniform DC content across 64 phases than the existing ones.
  - Other properties are also improved by this choice.

### Proposal

- Keep the existing training patterns and their encoding in the **modulation and precoding request** field (PAM2, PAM4, PAM4 with precoding based on PRBS13)
- Add another training pattern created from a **free-running PRBS13 generator** (with same per-lane polynomial as in the existing pattern), without the zero pad symbols
  - The Marker/Control/Status DME portion periodically overrides the PRBS13 generator output (288 UI every 16672 UI same as in clause 136)
  - The PRBS generator is not stopped or reset
- Add a similar training pattern using **free-running PRBS31** instead of PRBS13, without changing the frame structure
  - In this case, the same polynomial (Eq 49-2 from IEEE Std. 802.3-2022) is used in all lanes. The offset between PRBS31 generators on different lanes should be made sufficiently large to effectively decorrelate their patterns.
  - Two options when used with PAM4 encoding: with/without precoding.
- The new patterns will be selectable in training using a "pattern request" variable (replacing the "modulation and precoding" variable) in the control and status fields.
- Training starts with PAM2 as in clause 136/162. A receiver may ask to switch to another pattern (possibly more than once) based on its preference.
  - The final pattern cannot be PAM2 (same as CI 136/162).
  - Precoding is used after training if the final training pattern included precoding.
- All the above are to be added in Annex 176A.

### Suggested changes to the control field



## Suggested changes to the status field

#### Current status field structure (Clause 162)

#### Proposed change

14:12	Reserved	Transmit as 0, ignore on receipt	14	One	Transmit as 1
			13	Reserved	Transmit as 0, ignore on receipt
			12:10 Patter Same va "Pattern r the con	Pattern status	12 11 10 1 1 1 = PAM4 free-running PRBS31 with precoding 1 0 1 = Reserved
11:10	Modulation and precoding Status	11 10 1 1 = PAM4 with precoding 1 0 = PAM4 0 1 = Reserved 0 0 = PAM2		me values as in tern request" in e control field.	<ul> <li>0 1 1 = PAM4 free-running PRBS31</li> <li>0 0 1 = PAM2 free-running PRBS31</li> <li>1 1 0 = PAM4 PRBS13 with precoding</li> <li>1 0 0 = PAM4 PRBS13</li> <li>0 1 0 = PAM4 free-running PRBS13</li> <li>0 0 0 = PAM2 PRBS13</li> </ul>

## Thanks!

#### Problem statement

- Currently the training patterns are created from two full cycles of PRBS13Q followed two 0 symbols (zero pad)
- This makes the training pattern consistent across frames
- However, it can create issues in prevalent timeinterleaved (polyphase) ADC implementations...
  - For example, with a 64-phase ADC, the pattern seen on each phase of the ADC repeats itself every two frames (it has a period of 16672/64\*2=521 samples)
  - These patterns are not PRBS of any kind, and are unfriendly for calibration/adaptation algorithms
  - Notably, these patterns are very unbalanced (see <u>next slide</u>)
- The training pattern spectrum and statistics are not representative of "mission" data
  - Once data mode is entered, the statistics change considerably
- Moving to 200G/lane, accurate calibration and training will be more important...
  - We should get this fixed!



Figure 136–3—Training frame structure

## Means of the clause 136 training patterns on each phase of a 64-UI subsampled pattern



#### mod\_select: 0=PAM2, 1=PAM4, 2=PAM4 with precoding

## Means of the modified training patterns (free-running PRBS13), same subsampling



#### Training pattern only



#### mod\_select: 0=PAM2, 1=PAM4, 2=PAM4 with precoding