

# Optical Tx Specification Proposal

## Functional Receiver & FEC Code Word Mask

IEEE P802.3dj Task Force

Plenary Meeting

July 28, 2025

Chris Cole, et al.

Comments 343, 345, 347, 349

# Author Team

- Fabio Bottoni, Cisco
- Matt Brown, Alphawave
- Chris Cole, Coherent
- Mike Dudek, Marvell
- Ali Ghiasi, Ghiasi Quantum
- Michael He, Terahop
- John Johnson, Broadcom
- Dirk Lutz, Eoptolink
- Marco Mazzini, Cisco
- Guangcan Mi, Huawei
- Karl Muth, Broadcom
- Vasudevan Parthasarathy, Broadcom
- Roberto Rodes, Coherent
- Fred Tang, Broadcom
- Pengyue Wen, Meta
- Rang-Chen Yu, Terahop
- Xiang Zhou, Google

# Supporters

- Bill Simms, NVIDIA
- Paul Brooks, Viavi
- Mabud Choudhury, Lightera
- Joshua Kim, Hirose
- Zuliang Ruan, H3C Technologies
- Mingwang Mao, Meituan
- Jeff Maki, Juniper/HPE
- Jeff Hutchins, Ranovus
- Christian Reimer, Hyperlight
- Vivek Ragahurman, MixxTech
- Terry Little, FIT
- Shimon Muller, Enfabrica
- Eric Maniloff, Ciena
- Phil Sun, Credo
- Haojie Wang, China Mobile
- Helen Xu, Huawei
- Vipul Bhatt, Coherent
- Jose Galán, Maxlinear
- Tiger Ninomiya, Accelink
- Ed Nakamoto, Spirent
- Haifeng Liu, HGZ Optics
- Frank Chang, SourcePhotonics
- David Chen, AOI
- Jim Weaver, Arista
- Antonio Tartaglia, Ericsson
- Jinxin Fu, AMAT
- Alan Liu, Quintessent
- Hideki Isono, Fujitsu
- Yuxin Zhou, Lumentum
- Ernest Muhigana, Lumentum

# Outline

- Introduction
- Functional Receiver (FRx) Test Definition
- FRx FEC Code Bin Limits
- Clause 180 Comment Resolution
- Clause 181 Comment Resolution
- Clause 182 Comment Resolution
- Clause 183 Comment Resolution

# Introduction

- 100G/lane optics with compliant TDECQ have interoperability issues in deployment
  - 200G/lane optics have poor if any TDECQ correlation to link performance
  - Optimizing for link performance often increases TDECQ
  - Some optimum link settings result in TDECQ exceeding compliance limits
  - Relying only on TDECQ for interoperable Tx deployment is like a chef serving dishes without ever tasting them.
- 
- End users require their own HW Rx / FEC code masks to qualify 200G/lane optical Tx
  - Optical module vendors must test with varied HW Rx / FEC code word masks
  - This proposal specifies HW Rx / FEC code masks to standardize functional Tx testing
  - All cooking shows have the same mantra: taste, taste, taste.

# Functional Receiver (FRx) Test Definition

1. Functional Receiver (FRx) is a hardware receiver which meets 802.3dj receiver specs
  - *FRx complies with Table 180-8, 181-6, 182-8 or 183-7*

2. FRx\_OMA is the Tx test FRx input operating point OMA in dB:

$$FRx\_OMA = Tx\_DUT\_OMA - \max(TDECQ - TECQ, 0) - RxS\_TECQ\_correction - Channel\_Insertion\_Loss - MPI\_DGD\_penalty\_alloc + Tx\_test\_FEC\_margin$$

- *Tx\_DUT\_OMA complies with current Table 180-7, 181-5, 182-7 or 183-6*
- *RxS\_TECQ\_correction is for FRx RxS deviation from RxS OMA (max) at TECQ of TX DUT specified in Figure 180-4, 181-4, 182-4 or 183-4:*

$$RxS\_TECQ\_correction = RxS\_OMA(max)\_spec - FRx\_RxS \text{ (both at Tx DUT TECQ)}$$

- *Tx\_test\_FEC\_margin increases FRx\_OMA closer to typical input operating point:*

$$Tx\_test\_FEC\_margin = 1.5$$

3. DUT TX is compliant if the FRx FEC code bin limits on the following page are met

# FRx FEC Code Bin ( $S_n$ ) Limits @ BER = 2.40E-05

$S_{01}$	$S_{02}$	$S_{03}$	$S_{04}$	$S_{05}$	$S_{06}$	$S_{07}$	$S_{08}$
1.15E-01	7.47E-03	3.24E-04	1.05E-05	2.73E-07	5.88E-09	1.08E-10	1.75E-12
$S_{09}$	$S_{10}$	$S_{11}$	$S_{12}$	$S_{13}$	$S_{14}$	$S_{15}$	$S_{16}$
2.50E-14	3.21E-16	3.74E-18	3.98E-20	3.91E-22	3.56E-24	3.02E-26	2.40E-28

*FEC code bin ( $S_n$ ) limits are the maximum probability of having exactly  $n$  symbol errors in a single codeword*

- Qualitatively similar to 200G/lane optics specifications by multiple end-users
- Extrapolation permitted (see test time presentation)

# Clause 180 Comment Resolution

Table 180-7. Insert the following line:

Description	-DRn	Unit
Functional Receiver (FRx) FEC code bin ( $S_n$ ) limits (max)	$S_n$ limits in table below	probability

Section 180.7.1. Append the following text:

Functional receiver (FRx) complies with Table 180-8 with input operating point  $FRx\_OMA$  in dB:

$$FRx\_OMA = Tx\_DUT\_OMA - \max(TDECQ - TECQ, 0) - RxS\_TECQ\_correction^a - Channel\_Insertion\_Loss - MPI\_DGD\_penalty\_alloc + TX\_test\_FEC\_margin^b$$

$$RxS\_TECQ\_correction^a = RxS\_OMA(max)\_spec - FRx\_RxS \text{ (both at Tx DUT TECQ)}$$

$$TX\_test\_FEC\_margin^b = 1.5$$

<sup>a</sup>  $RxS\_TECQ\_correction$  is for FRx RxS deviation from RxS OMA (max) specified in Figure 180-4, at TECQ of TX DUT.

<sup>b</sup>  $TX\_test\_FEC\_margin$  increases  $FRx\_OMA$  closer to typical operating point.

Add FRx FEC Code Bin ( $S_n$ ) Limits Table in latest version of cole\_3dj\_2507

# Clause 181 Comment Resolution

Table 181-5. Insert the following line:

Description	-FR4-500	Unit
Functional Receiver (FRx) FEC code bin ( $S_n$ ) limits (max)	$S_n$ limits in table below	probability

Section 181.7.1. Append the following text:

Functional receiver (FRx) complies with Table 181-6 with input operating point  $FRx\_OMA$  in dB:

$$FRx\_OMA = Tx\_DUT\_OMA - \max(TDECQ - TECQ, 0) - RxS\_TECQ\_correction^a - Channel\_Insertion\_Loss - MPI\_DGD\_penalty\_alloc + TX\_test\_FEC\_margin^b$$

$$RxS\_TECQ\_correction^a = RxS\_OMA(max)\_spec - FRx\_RxS \text{ (both at Tx DUT TECQ)}$$

$$TX\_test\_FEC\_margin^b = 1.5$$

<sup>a</sup>  $RxS\_TECQ\_correction$  is for FRx RxS deviation from RxS OMA (max) specified in Figure 181-4, at TECQ of TX DUT.

<sup>b</sup>  $TX\_test\_FEC\_margin$  increases  $FRx\_OMA$  closer to typical operating point.

Add FRx FEC Code Bin ( $S_n$ ) Limits Table in latest version of cole\_3dj\_2507

# Clause 182 Comment Resolution

Table 182-7. Insert the following line:

Description	-DRn-2	Unit
Functional Receiver (FRx) FEC code bin ( $S_n$ ) limits (max)	$S_n$ limits in table below	probability

Section 182.7.1. Append the following text:

Functional receiver (FRx) complies with Table 182-8 with input operating point  $FRx\_OMA$  in dB:

$$FRx\_OMA = Tx\_DUT\_OMA - \max(TDECQ - TECQ, 0) - RxS\_TECQ\_correction^a - Channel\_Insertion\_Loss - MPI\_DGD\_penalty\_alloc + TX\_test\_FEC\_margin^b$$

$$RxS\_TECQ\_correction^a = RxS\_OMA(max)\_spec - FRx\_RxS \text{ (both at Tx DUT TECQ)}$$

$$TX\_test\_FEC\_margin^b = 1.5$$

<sup>a</sup>  $RxS\_TECQ\_correction$  is for FRx RxS deviation from RxS OMA (max) specified in Figure 182-4, at TECQ of TX DUT.

<sup>b</sup>  $TX\_test\_FEC\_margin$  increases  $FRx\_OMA$  closer to typical operating point.

Add FRx FEC Code Bin ( $S_n$ ) Limits Table in latest version of cole\_3dj\_2507

# Clause 183 Comment Resolution

Table 183-6. Insert the following line:

Description	-FR4	-LR4	Unit
Functional Receiver (FRx) FEC code bin ( $S_n$ ) limits (max)	$S_n$ limits in table below		probability

Section 183.7.1. Append the following text:

Functional receiver (FRx) complies with Table 183-7 with input operating point  $FRx\_OMA$  in dB:

$$FRx\_OMA = Tx\_DUT\_OMA - \max(TDECQ - TECQ, 0) - RxS\_TECQ\_correction^a - Channel\_Insertion\_Loss - MPI\_DGD\_penalty\_alloc + TX\_test\_FEC\_margin^b$$

$$RxS\_TECQ\_correction^a = RxS\_OMA(max)\_spec - FRx\_RxS \text{ (both at Tx DUT TECQ)}$$

$$TX\_test\_FEC\_margin^b = 1.5$$

<sup>a</sup>  $RxS\_TECQ\_correction$  is for FRx RxS deviation from RxS OMA (max) specified in Figure 183-4, at TECQ of TX DUT.

<sup>b</sup>  $TX\_test\_FEC\_margin$  increases  $FRx\_OMA$  closer to typical operating point.

Add FRx FEC Code Bin ( $S_n$ ) Limits Table in latest version of cole\_3dj\_2507

# Optical Tx Specification Proposal Functional Receiver and FEC Code Word Mask

Thank you