P802.3dj TF Electrical Track Progress Update from the January 2024 Interim

Kent Lusted, Intel Corporation

IEEE P802.3dj Task Force Electrical Track Chair

Big Electrical Progress at January 2024 Interim

- Adopted initial structure and non-contentious baseline values for backplane PMDs, copper cable PMDs, and attachment unit interfaces (AUI-C2M, AUI-C2C)
- Adopted Die/Device COM Parameters
- Adopted RXFFE + 1DFE reference receiver architecture
- Adopted MMSE coefficient optimization method
 - Provision for floating taps, if needed
- Adopted MLSE equation U1.c
 - No commitment to use MLSE in COM for any electrical interface or PMD
 - Implementation penalty = TBD
- Adopted In-band training for KR, CR, and AUI C2C and AUI C2M
- Adopted AN73 baseline

Priorities – Phase 1: January 2024

- AUI C2M loss target and EQ parameter values
- CR/KR EQ architecture and EQ parameter values
- Reference EQ & COM features for all electrical interfaces/PHYs
 - Use MLSE or not?
 - Which MLSE approach/direction to take in COM?
 - Define floating taps?
 - Decide which interfaces to apply these to: CR, KR, C2M, C2C?
- Electrical link training (LT): concept, interface usage (CR, KR, C2M, C2C), commonality
- AUI C2M host & module output TX settings ("fixed" vs. "Adjustable")

Priorities – Phase 2: March 2024

- AUI C2M transmitter and receiver compliance
 - Methodologies
 - Parameter values
- Electrical link training details: pattern, format, bit definitions, etc.
 - Segment by segment solution for AUIs
- AUI specification and measurement method: BER, CER, FLR, FEC symbol error ratio, PAM4 decision error ratio, etc.
- CR/KR transmitter and receiver compliance and CA channel test methods

Efforts Between Now and March

- Consensus building on Ref EQ parameter values for AUI C2M (to close the budget)
 - Need strong consensus proposal by March!!
- Consensus building on Ref EQ parameter values for CR and KR
 - Need strong consensus proposal by March!!
- PMD & PMA training details
- Evaluation of MLSE on channels and implementation penalty details
- AUI C2M compliance methodology direction (and initial baseline)

Summary

- We made an initial set of decisions related to Phase 1 at the January 2024 interim
 - Some were easy, some were tough
- We have many hard (and some easy) decisions to make next
- Per the adopted <u>timeline</u>, it is imperative that we continue adopting baselines at the March Plenary meeting to ensure continuation of the electrical interfaces and PHYs work within the P802.3dj Task Force (vs spin out)
- The P802.3dj Task Force leadership will be actively prioritizing contributions that close gaps or address baselines needed for D1.0
 - Contributions with changes or improvements to previously adopted motions would be deprioritized, if time is limited.

Backup