CI FM SC FM P1 L23 # 112

Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony,SenTekse

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

This draft is amending IEEE Std 802.3-2022 which has already been amended now by at least 9 published amendments, and at least one in WG ballot ahead of this draft. It is important to keep track of the other changes so that the new changes are properly correlated with clause numbers and other changes made. Since this amendment makes changes to clauses 30 & 45 in places near or at where other amendments have, this may create errors. Hence my marking this comment, which seems minor, as required.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Replace "IEEE Std 802.3y-20xx" with the list of published amendements and those ahead of this amendment in the process. (Note - Include at least the published amendments (dd, cs, db, ck, de, cx, cz, cy, df, and Cor1 listed in the introduction), as well as 802.3da which is ahead of this amendment.

Editor to review edits to existing clauses (30, 45, and 80) to determine whether any section numbering or editing instructions for location of changes are altered.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ FM SC FM P1 L23 # 24

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The list of amendments is missing.

Currently ratified amendments are listed in the proposed response.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change "as amended by IEEE Std 802.3yy-20xx"

"as amended by IEEE Std 802.3dd-2022, IEEE Std 802.3cs-2022, IEEE Std 802.3db-2022, IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022, IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022, IEEE Std 802.3cz-2023, IEEE Std 802.3cy-2023, IEEE Std 802.3df-2024, and IEEE Std 802.3-2022/Cor 1-2024".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ FM SC FM P1 L26 # 132 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status X Task Force review SuggestedRemedy Working Group ballot Proposed Response Response Status O C/ FM SC FM P1 L26 Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X Task Force review has completed. SuggestedRemedy Change "Task Force review" to "Working Group Ballot". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ FM SC FM P8 L3 Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X The placeholders in the text box should be replaced.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from

"IEEE Std 802.3xx-20xx, IEEE Draft Standard for Ethernet. Amendment: Amendment title (copy from PAR)."

to

"IEEE Std 802.3dk-20xx, IEEE Draft Standard for Ethernet—Amendment: Bidirectional 100 Gb/s Optical Access PHYs"

Proposed Response Status O

P1 C/ FM SC FM P8 L31 # 27 C/ 00 SC 0 L # 28 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Е Comment Type TR Placeholder should be replaced The project description refers to "a single strand of single-mode fiber". The word "strand" appears two more times in the draft, but is not defined in it. The base standard has only 3 SuggestedRemedy instances of "strand", all related to copper wires, not optical fibers. Change "IEEE Std 802.3xx-20xx" to "IEEE Std 802.3dk-20xx" It is unclear what "strand" means. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Assuming "strand" means a single fiber, as it seems from the draft. I suggest changing "a single strand of single-mode fiber" to "one single-mode fiber", consistent with the text added in 30.5.1.1.2. C/ 00 SC 0 P0LO # 131 Implement across the draft (3 instances, and possbly other places as appropriate). Nvidia Dawe, Piers Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X pdf metadata is at default SC 0 P1 CI 00 L13 # 222 SuggestedRemedy Populate with correct data **IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski. Natalie Comment Type ER Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O The Ammendment title is supposed to match the name in the PAR SuggestedRemedy SC 0 C/ 00 P0/ O Change: Amendment: Bidirectional 100 Gb/s Optical Access PHYs Regev, Alon Keysight To: Amendment: Greater than 50 Gb/s Bidirectional Optical Access PHYs Task Force Also change this on the heading of each page. Comment Type Ε Comment Status X PDF document properties contain incorrect data: Proposed Response Response Status O Tile is listed as "IEEE Draft P802.3xx" Author is listed as "IEEE P802.3xx Task Force" Subject is listed as "IEEE P802.3ai" C/ 00 SC 0 P**2** L4 Copyright notice is "Copyright © 201x IEEE. All rights reserved." Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Maguire, Valerie SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X change Title fron "IEEE Draft P802.3xx" to "IEEE Draft P802.3dk" change Author fron "IEEE P802.3xx Task Force" to "IEEE P802.3dk Task Force" Consider adding additional Keywords. change Subject from "IEEE P802.3aj" to ""IEEE P802.3dk" SuggestedRemedy change copyright notice from "Copyright @ 201x IEEE. All rights reserved." to "Copyright @ Insert in alphabetical order: 2025 IEEE. All rights reserved." Optical Line Terminal (OLT), Optical Network Terminal (ONT), Optical Network Unit (ONU) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 00 SC 0 P**7** L31 # 20 C/ 00 SC 0 P11 L2 Lusted, Kent Synopsys Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Ε Fill in the balloter information in the introduction Consider adding placeholder information for P802.3da SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fill in the balloter information in the introduction Insert. "IEEE Std 802.3da™-20xx Proposed Response Response Status O Amendment X- This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2022 and adds Clause 188 through Clause 189. This amendment adds Physical Laver specifications and management parameters for enhancement of multidrop 10 Mb/s operation based on the P**7** 10BASE-T1S PHY specified in Clause 147 of IEEE Std 802.3-2022, and specifies optional C/ 00 SC 0 L50 # 6 provision of power over single balanced Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Maguire, Valerie pair mixing segments. Additionally, this amendment includes additions and changes to Comment Type E Comment Status X Clause 148 to automatically allocate node IDs (Dynamic PLCA)." (Editor to ensure that TM is superscript) IEEE-SA Standards Board member names to be supplied at publication Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Replace, "Konstantinos Karachalios, Secretary" C/ 00 SC 0 P11 L 54 # 254 with. "FirstName SecondName. Secretary" Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type ER Missing table of contents SC 0 C/ 00 P8 L4 # 223 SuggestedRemedy Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Create table of contents and insert after the introductory material and before Clause 30. Comment Status X Comment Type ER Proposed Response Response Status O The box under "Introduction" needs to be updated with P802.3dk information. SuggestedRemedy Change: Std 802.3-20xx To: Std 802.3dk-202x

and Change: Amendment title (copy from PAR)

Proposed Response

To: Greater than 50 Gb/s Bidirectional Optical Access PHYs Task Force

C/ 1 SC 1.4 P11 L0 # 96 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L12 # 105 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type TR Missing definitions for 100GBASE-BR10/20 100GBASF-T does not exist SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy 1.4.x 100GBASE-BR10: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for a 100 Gb/s bidirectional Change the editing instructions to be "Insert the following types into the "APPROPRIATE link over one single-mode fiber with reach up to at least 10 km. There are different SYNTAX" section of 30.5.1.1.2 before 100GBASE-CR1 (as inserted by IEEE Std. 802.3ckspecifications for 100GBASE-BR10-D and 100GBASE-BR10-U; a transmission path 2022):" connects one to the other. (See IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 168.) Proposed Response Response Status O 1.4.y 100GBASE-BR20: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for a 100 Gb/s bidirectional link over one single-mode fiber with reach up to at least 20 km. There are different specifications for 100GBASE-BR20-D and 100GBASE-BR20-U; a transmission path C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L12 # 113 connects one to the other. (See IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 168.) 1.4.z 100GBASE-BR40: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for a 100 Gb/s bidirectional Zimmerman, George ADI, APLqp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse link over one single-mode fiber with reach up to at least 40 km. There are different Comment Type E Comment Status X specifications for 100GBASE-BR40-D and 100GBASE-BR40-U: a transmission path connects one to the other. (See IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 168.) As a card-carrying BASE-T guy, I'm pretty sure we never got so audacious as to do 100GBASE-T. Where does this go? Most of these are alphanumeric, so I think the new Proposed Response Response Status 0 MAUs are the first in the 100G section... (whereas "T" would be in an odd place, near the end, and the location of the new row in the fault description tables is grouped after the LR4/ER4 row... - that's why I'm not sure) C/ 1 SC 14 P12 / O # 134 SuggestedRemedy Dawe. Piers Nvidia change 100GBASE-T to 50GBASE-SR Comment Status X Comment Type E Proposed Response Response Status O Physical Laver definitions are missing SuggestedRemedy SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L12 Add Physical Layer definitions after 1.4.24 100BASE-X and before 1.4.24a 100GBASE-CR1 C/ 30 # 135 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X Unlike most tables which are in order of MAC rate - reach - length, this is in alphanumeric C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L11 # 122 order Huber, Thomas Nokia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X Change "30.5.1.1.2 after 100GBASE-T" to "30.5.1.1.2 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022), after 50GBASE-SR and before 100GBASE-CR1" 100GBASE-T is not included in 30.5.1.1.2 of 802.3-2022 or any of its amendments. Further, In the rest of 30.5.1.1.2, the PHYs are listed alphabetically by rate; as such, this set BRxx Proposed Response Response Status O PHYs should be inserted before 100GBASE-CR SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to say "Insert the following types into the "APPROPRIATE

Response Status O

SYNTAX" section of 30.5.1.1.2 after 50GBASE-SR."

Proposed Response

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L16 # 136 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L20 # 58 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Regev, Alon Keysight Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X References to "Clause 168" should be links So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please show one row before and one after the new material on lines 20, 23, 26, 29, 32, and 35 make the references to "Clause 168" into links to "Clause 168" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L18 # 137 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L23 # 139 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Ε In 30.5, one should not describe these MAU types "bi-directional" when others such as 50GBASE-BR10 and 40GBASE-T are not described like that. By the way, 802.3 spells it Three dots without a hyphen. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Should not be underlined Either change the description of many MAUs (via maintenance?) or don't use the word for Proposed Response Response Status O these ones. Proposed Response Response Status O SC 30.5.1.1.2 C/ 30 P12 L 23 # 138 Dawe, Piers Nvidia # 114 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L20 Comment Type E Comment Status X ADI, APLqp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse Zimmerman, George Trailing blanks Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy "Clause 168" references should be active cross-references (hyperlinks) - they are not. Remove. It looks like there are five in this page SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace "Clause 168" text at lines 20, 23, 26, 29, 32, and 35 with active cross references

Proposed Response

C/ 45 SC 2.1.28 P15 L43 # 221 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P13 L20 # 140 Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type Ε Table 45-50: The reserved bits are not quite right, as there are wo codepoints that need to So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place be reserved. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please show one row before and one after the new material Add the following: Proposed Response Response Status O  $1.1 \times \times \times \times = Reserved$ 10111x = ReservedProposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.5 P13 L38 # 141 Dawe. Piers Nvidia SC 45.2.1.7.4 C/ 45 P13 L10 # 224 Comment Type E Comment Status X **IVN Solutions LLC** So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place Wienckowski. Natalie Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Includes an unchanged row when the editing instructions say it doesn't. Please show one row before and one after the new material SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Delete unchanged row Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.5 P13 L40 # 225 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC C/ 45 L12 # 29 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P13 Comment Type E Comment Status X Includes an unchanged row when the editing instructions say it doesn't. Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Table 45-9 has been amended multiple times. The editorial instruction should state which Delete unchanged row version the amendment is based on. Proposed Response Response Status O Similarly for other tables in existing clauses (45 and 80). I believe the current version of table 45-9 is in 802.3df-2024. Other tables may be in different amendments (P802.3da is also in flight but I assume P802.3dk is planned to be completed first) Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.8 P14 L5 # 143 Dawe, Piers Nvidia The label in the suggested remedy is based on the label in 802.3df. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place In the editorial instruction before Table 45-9, change the text to: Insert a new row in Table 45-9 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3db-2022, IEEE Std 802.3ck-SuggestedRemedy 2022, and IEEE 802,3df-2024) after the row for "100GBASE-LR4, 100GBASE-ER4", as Please show one row before and one after the new material follows (some unchanged rows not shown):" Change other instructions in clause 45 and clause 80 as appropriate. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.8**  Page 6 of 48 2025/4/19 18:50:57 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.8.1 P13 L48 # 142 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b P14 L13 # 123 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X Ε Comment Type Table 45-12 is part of 45.2.1.8 not 45.2.1.8.1 The clause number for BiDi PMA/PMD extended ability 2 (register 1.35) is 45.2.1.33. The table number is 45-37. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete "45.2.1.8.1 PMD transmit disable 14 (1.9.15)" Change the clause number from 45.2.1.27b to 45.2.1.33, change the editing instruction to Proposed Response Response Status O say "Insert new rows in Table 45-37 above the row for "1.35.5" as shown (additional unchanged rows not shown):", and change the table number from 45-31b to 45-37. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.8.1 P14 L7 # 226 Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b P14 L13 # 97 Comment Type E Comment Status X Includes an unchanged row when the editing instructions say it doesn't. Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type ER Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Std 802.3-2022 BiDi PMA/PMD extended ability 2 is clause 45.2.1.33 and Table 45-37. Delete unchanged row SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Fix the Clause numbering to align with base standard and update editing instructions appropriately. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b P14 L13 # 227 Proposed Response Response Status O Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Status X Comment Type E P14 L15 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b # 228 The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-**IVN Solutions LLC** 2021. Wienckowski. Natalie Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy The Table numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-Change 45.2.1.27b to 45.2.1.33 and reorder to put the clauses in the correct order. 2021. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change Table 45-31b to Table 45-37, also on line 19 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b P14 L13 # 144 Proposed Response Response Status O Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status X Wrong subclause number SuggestedRemedy

Change 45.2.1.27b to 45.2.1.33 and move this and its subclauses after 45.2.1.28.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Cl **45** SC **45.2.1.27b** P**14** L**39** # 145

Dawe. Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status X

So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place

SuggestedRemedy

Please show one row after the new material

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b P14 L44 # 98

Comment Status X

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

ER

The descriptions of the bits are done from highest number bit to lowest. So the new clauses that are adding higher numbered bits should be inserted "before" the existing sub-clases describing bits 5:0.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change editing instruction to insert the new clauses "before 45.2.1.33.1" (after correcting to base standard clause numbers)

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.7 P14 L43 # 115

Zimmerman, George ADI,APLqp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony,SenTekse

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Insert editorial instructions are without underscores (those are for inserts on a "Change" instruction)

SuggestedRemedy

Remove underscores in 45.2.1.27b.7 through 45.2.1.27b.12 (including on paragraph text).

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.27b.7** 

P**14** 

L43

# 229

Wienckowski, Natalie

IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-2021. Also, the higher number bits are defined before the lower number bits so the new bit definitions go before the existing ones.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 45.2.1.27b.7-45.2.1.27b.12 after 45.2.1.27b.6

To: 45.2.1.33.a-45.2.1.33.f before 45.2.1.33.1

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.7 P14 L45 # 230

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-2021.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 45.2.1.27b.7 to 45.2.1.33.a

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.7 P14 L46 # 124

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The new clauses related to the new bits in table 45-37 need to be inserted in 45.2.1.33 rather than in 45.2.1.27b. Since the current set of subclauses go from bit 5 to bit 0 (i.e., 45.2.1.33.1 concerns bit 5, 45.2.1.33.2 concerns bit 4, etc.), for consistency, the new subclauses be inserted before the existing subclauses rather than after them.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to say "Insert new subclauses 45.2.1.33.a to 45.2.1.33.f before 45.2.1.33.1". Change the subclause headings as follows:

45.2.1.27b.7 --> 45.2.1.33.a

45.2.1.27b.8 --> 45.2.1.33.b

45.2.1.27b.9 --> 45.2.1.33.c

45.2.1.27b.10 --> 45.2.1.33.d

45.2.1.27b.11 --> 45.2.1.33.e

45.2.1.27b.12 --> 45.2.1.33.f

Proposed Response

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.8 P15 L1 # 231 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.11 P15 L19 # 261 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 45.2.1.27b.8 to 45.2.1.33.b Change 45.2.1.27b.11 to 45.2.1.33.e Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.9 P15 L8 # 232 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.12 P15 L25 # 262 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-2021. 2021. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change 45.2.1.27b.9 to 45.2.1.33.c Change 45.2.1.27b.12 to 45.2.1.33.f Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.10 P15 L13 # 233 CI 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P15 L31 # 146 Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type T Comment Status X The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-Why put the 100GBASE-BR PMA/PMD types in 1.29 with PQX rather than in 1.7 with 2021. 50GBASE-BR and 100GBASE? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change from 45.2.1.28 10P/2B PMA/PMD control register (Register 1.30) (which is wrong Change 45.2.1.27b.10 to 45.2.1.33.d anyway, the table is for 1.29) Table 45-30, PMA/PMD control 3 register bit definitions, to Proposed Response Response Status O 45.2.1.6 PMA/PMD control 2 register (Register 1.7) Table 45-7. PMA/PMD control 2 register bit definitions, values 1 1 1 1 x x x. Notice that 3dj is bringing bit 7 into use so there is adequate space here.

Proposed Response

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P15 L37 # 147 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P15 L44 # 99 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X 45.2.1.28 10P/2B PMA/PMD control register (Register 1.30) In Table 45-30 the entries for 101110 and 101111 are no longer specified. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Should have been 45.2.1.27 PMA/PMD control 3 register (Register 1.29) - but see another Add in a 10111x = Reserved to Table 45-30 comment Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P15 L44 # 148 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P15 L44 # 80 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Simms, William NVIDIA Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type E  $1.1 \times \times \times \times = Reserved$ In Table 45-30, values 101110 and 101111 are undefined SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Also 1 0 1 1 1 x = Reserved - but see another comment Make these two remaining vallues reserved Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P15 L51 # 149 SC 45.2.1.28 Cl 45 P15 L44 # 125 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place The values 10111x need to be indicated as reserved SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please show one sub-row after the new material Insert a line "1 0 1 1 1 x = Reserved" above the line for BR40-U. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P15 L51 # 150 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.28 P15 L44 # 57 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Regev, Alon Keysight Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type T There is no following row Instead of just deleting "1 0 1 x x x = Reserved", replace it with "1 0 1 1 1 x = Reserved" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the row with three dots Instead of deleting "1 0 1 x x x = Reserved", replace it with "1 0 1 1 1 x = Reserved" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.28**  Page 10 of 48 2025/4/19 18:50:57

C/ 80	SC 80.1	P <b>16</b>	L <b>2</b>	# 151	C/ 80 SC 80.2.5	P <b>16</b>	L <b>2</b>	# 155		
Dawe, Piers		Nvidia			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia				
Comment	Type <b>E</b>	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X				
80.1 (	as modified by 3	ck) needs additions			Table 80-8, Summary of Skew constraints					
Suggested	dRemedy				and Table 80-9 Summar	y of Skew Variation constraints				
Per co	omment				need additions	y or onon runduon conculume				
Proposed	Response	Response Status 0			SuggestedRemedy Per comment					
C/ 80	SC 80.1.3	P <b>16</b>	L <b>2</b>	# 152	Proposed Response	Response Status O				
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia								
Comment	Type <b>E</b>	Comment Status X			C/ 80 SC 80.2.5	P <b>16</b>	L <b>2</b>	# 154		
80.1.3 (as modified by		3ck) needs additions			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia				
SuggestedRemedy Per comment					Comment Type <b>E</b> 80.2.3 (as modified b	Comment Status X by 3ck) and 80.2.5 need additions	3			
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Per comment					
C/ 80	SC 80.2.3	P <b>16</b>	L2	# [153	Proposed Response	Response Status O				
Dawe, Pie	ers	Nvidia								
Comment	Type <b>E</b>	Comment Status X			Cl 80 SC 80.4	P <b>16</b>	L <b>6</b>	# 156		
	80-1, 40 Gb/s ar	nd 100 Gb/s PHYs			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia				
and Table	80-5 Nomencla	ure and clause correlation (100GBASE-P ontical	ical)	Comment Type E	Comment Status X					
Table 80-5, Nomenclature and clause correlation (100GBASE-P optical) need additions				This table is ordered by MAC rate - reach - length, so these rows don't go at the end						
Suggested	dRemedy				SuggestedRemedy					
Per comment					Change "Insert three new rows at the end of Table 80-7" to "Insert three new rows in Table					
Proposed Response		Response Status O			80-7 (as modified by 100GBASE-ER4 PM	IEEE Std 802.3db-2022) betwee D"	n 100GBASE	-LR1 PMD and		
					Proposed Response	Response Status 0				

C/ 80 SC 80.4 P16 L12 # 157 C/ 80 SC 80.7 P16 L20 # 160 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Ε So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place Needs to mention the new PMD clause. Insert: SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the first paragraph of 80.7 as follows: Please show one row before and one after the new material 80.7 Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma Proposed Response Response Status O Change the first paragraph of 80.7 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022) as follows: The supplier of a protocol implementation that is claimed to conform to any part of IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 45. Clause 73. Clause 74. Clause 81 through Clause 89. Clause 91 through Clause 95, Clause 135 through Clause 138, Clause 140, Clause 152 through Clause 154, C/ 80 SC 80.4 P16 L13 # 101 Clause 157, Clause 161 through Clause 163, and related annexes , demonstrates Slavick, Jeff Broadcom compliance by completing a protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) Comment Type TR Comment Status X proforma. The other optical PHYs in this table note that the delay time includes 2m of fiber. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add "Includes 2m of fiber." before the See 168.3.1 C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.7 P16 L22 # 161 Proposed Response Response Status O Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status X Comment Type E SC 80.4 C/ 80 P16 L13 # 158 Missing material Dawe. Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Bring in 91.5.2.7, 91.5.3.3, 91.5.3.3.1, 91.6.3, 91.7.3, 91.7.4.1, 91.7.4.2 (as modified by 3ck) and make appropriate changes To match the existing entries Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Insert "Includes 2 m of fiber." 3 times Proposed Response C/ 135 SC 135.5.7 P16 # 162 Response Status O L30 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status X Comment Type T SC 80.4 P16 L17 C/ 80 # 159 Should precoding be allowed as an option? Nvidia Dawe, Piers SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Consider including precoding (135.5.7) as an option. This could be controlled by the This is a long table and this amendment makes it longer, so it should make the network operator according to experience. consequential change. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Split the table into two, one for 40G and one for 100G. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **135** SC **135.5.7**  Page 12 of 48 2025/4/19 18:50:57

C/ 157 SC 1.3 P18 **L1** # 220 C/ 157 SC 157 P17 **L1** # 126 Effenberger, Frank Futurewei Technologies Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Figure 157-1(a) is unfortunate in how it breaks over the pages, and then Table 157-2 gets This clause is missing editing instructions broken up in a weird way. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add an editing instruction at the top of the page: Try to squeeze all four speeds onto a single figure. "Change clauses 157 and 157.1 as shown." Add an editing instruction below clause 157.2: "Change clause 157.2.1 as shown" Proposed Response Response Status O Delete tables 157-3 through 157-5, as they are not being modified. Add an editing instruction: "Insert Table 157-6 below Table 157-5." Add an editing instruction: "Change clauses 157.2.2 through 157.2.5 as shown:" C/ 157 SC 157 P17 L1 # 116 Delete clauses 157.2.6 and 157.2.7 since they are not being modified. Add an editing instruction: "Change clauses 157.3, 157.4, and 157.6 as shown:" Zimmerman. George ADI, APLgp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X There is no editing instruction for this clause. As the entire clause seems to be included here, marked with 'change' editing marks (which is more than you need), it seems "Change C/ 157 SC 157.1 P17 **L1** # 102 title and text of Clause 157 as shown:" may be used - even though it is REALLY unusual. Slavick, Jeff Broadcom SuggestedRemedy Insert editing instruction prior to header, "Change title and text of Clause 157 as shown:" Comment Type TR Comment Status X There is no editing instruction for Clause 157 which is an existing base standard clause. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Reduce the amount of text. Figures and Tables to only be changes being made to Clause # 30 P17 L1 C/ 157 SC 157 157 and not the entire Clause. Inserting appropriate editing instructions for section that is being changed. Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type ER Comment Status X Missing editorial instruction for clause 157. It seems that this amendment includes the whole clause with changes. But instructions C/ 157 SC 157.1.1 P17 L3 # 263 should be given for specific changes, per the IEEE SA style manual. Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Especially, changes to tables and the addition of a new figure 157-1a should be separate Comment Status X Comment Type E instructions. Tables that are not changed at all (such as table 157-3 through table 157-5) should not appear in the draft. Missing editorial instruction. SuggestedRemedy Previous amendments can be used as references. Add editorial instruction: Change the first and second paragraphs of 157.1.1 as follows: SuggestedRemedy Delete third paragraph as it has no changes. Add editorial instructions to each subclause that is changed, as done in previous Proposed Response Response Status O amendments. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 157 SC 157.1.2 P17 L23 # 264 C/ 157 SC 157.1.2 P17 L30 # 64 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Regev, Alon Keysight Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "80.1.3" shoul be "and 80.1.3" Missing editorial instruction. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change 157.1.2 as follows: change "80.1.3" to "and 80.1.3" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.1.2 P17 L28 # 265 C/ 157 SC 157.1.2 P17 L30 Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X References to external points not properly indicated. Per the instructions in the FM draft Missing "and" before 80.1.3 template: Any cross references that refer to clauses, tables, equations, or figures not SuggestedRemedy covered by this amendment are highlighted in green. This is done by applying a character Insert "and" tag of "External". Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Correct all external references per instructions throughout the document. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.1.2 P17 L30 Simms, William NVIDIA C/ 157 SC 157.1.2 P17 L30 # 86 Comment Status X Comment Type E missing 'and' before 80.1.3 Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd Comment Type ER Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy in "131.1.2 (for 50 Gb/s), 80.1.3 (for add the 'and' to be consistent with the one removed in front of 50 Gb/s 100 Gb/s)", missing and Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy change to "131.1.2 (for 50 Gb/s), and 80.1.3 (for

100 Gb/s)"

Proposed Response

C/ 157 SC 157.1.3 P17 L51 # 266 C/ 157 SC 157.1.3 P18 L35 # 234 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type E Missing editorial instruction. The table should not be broken up with a Figure in the middle of it. Only the new rows The entire subclause shouldn't be included, only the changes should be included. should be shown. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change row "r" of Table 157-1 as follows (unchanged rows not Add editorial instruction: Insert new rows at the end of Table 157-2 as follows (unchanged rows not shown): Delete text before the table and unchanged table rows. Delete the first 18 rows of the table which are not changed. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.1.3 P18 L1 # 267 C/ 157 SC 157.1.3 P18 L35 # 235 **IVN Solutions LLC IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski. Natalie Wienckowski. Natalie Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing editorial instruction. Missing editorial instruction. The unchanged figure should not be included. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change the second paragraph of 157.1.3 as follows: Add editorial instruction: Change title of Figure 157-1 as follows: Proposed Response Response Status O Delete the figure. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.1.3 P18 L42 Schreiner, Stephan Rosenberger Hochfrequenztechnik GmbH & Co. KG L1 C/ 157 SC 157.1.3 P18 # 127 Comment Type E Comment Status X Huber, Thomas Nokia Table 157-2 - Multi-Gigabit Ethernet BiDi PHYs is interrupted by Figure 157-1a -Comment Type T Comment Status X Architectural positioning of 100 Gb/s Ethernet BiDi PHYs. The architecture is essentially the same for all rates. Rather than introducing figure 157-1a SuggestedRemedy to introduce the 100G rate, it would be better to use a single figure that is more generic and covers the architecture of all rates. Reorder from: "Figure 157-1 ... Table 157-2 ... Figure 157-1a ... Table 157-2 (continued)" to "Figure 157-1 ... Figure 157-1a ... Table 157-2" SuggestedRemedv Proposed Response Response Status O

Take figure 157-1a as a starting point. Change "CGMII" to "XGMII, 25GMII, 50GMII, or CGMII". Change the PCS to "10GBASE-R. 25GBASE-R. 50GBASE-R. or 100GBASE-R. PCS" (or maybe just "rGBASE-R PCS", referencing the nomenclature introducted in Table 157-1). Add note 1 to the FEC sublayer, saying "conditional for 10GBASE-BRx based on PHY type". At the bottom, list all the various PMDs, or use a generic "rGBASE-BRx" label. Replace the existing figure 157-1 with the figure described in this comment. Undo the modifications to the title of Figure 157-1. Delete new figure 157-1a.and the reference to it in 157.1.2 (which is not shown as a change in the draft).

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 157 SC 157.1.3 Page 15 of 48 2025/4/19 18:50:57

C/ 157 SC 157.1.3 P19 **L1** # 236 C/ 157 SC 157.2 P21 L15 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X ER Comment Status X Comment Type Missing editorial instruction. As currently written, Note A only applies to the one cell where it is denoted, when in actuality it applies to all of the various cells in the clause columns SuggestedRemedy Also applies to Table 157-4, 157-5, and 157-6 Add editorial instruction: Insert Figure 157-1a after Figure 157-1 as follows: SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Move the current location of Note A in table to next to "Clause." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.1.4 P20 L37 # 237 Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** C/ 157 SC 157.2 P22 L36 Comment Type E Comment Status X D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Missing editorial instruction. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy In Table 157-6, Clause 91 title is noted as "100GBASE-R FEC", but the title of Clause 91 refers to "RS-FEC." Reference Table 80-3a from IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022 Add editorial instruction: Change 157.1.4 as follows: SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "100GBASE-R FEC" to "100GBASE-R RS-FEC" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.1.4 P22 L31 # 163 Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P20 L45 # 238 Comment Type E Comment Status X Add 100GAUI-1 C2C and C2M **IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski. Natalie Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Missing editorial instruction. Add 120F, 120G after 135G (2-lane PMA) and before 168 (PMD). Optional. Proposed Response SugaestedRemedy Response Status O Add editorial instruction: Change title 157.2.1 as follows: Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P**20** L48 # 239 C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P**22** L26 # 241 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing editorial instruction. Missing editorial instruction. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change the last sentence of the first paragraph of 157.2.1 as Add editorial instruction: Insert Table 157-6 after Table 157-5 as follows: Proposed Response Response Status O Move all text to before the tables. Delete the unchanged sentences as they have no changes. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P22 L27 # 87 Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd SC 157.2.1 C/ 157 P21 L1 # 240 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Table 157-6. The 100G bidi PMDs should also support 100G AUI-1 C2M Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Delete unchanged content. add two columns for 100G AUI-1 C2C(CL120F) and 100G AUI-1 C2M(120G) respectively . SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Delete Table 157-3, Table 157-4, Table 157-5. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P**22** L30 Lusted. Kent Synopsys C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P21 L15 # 5 Comment Type T Comment Status X The 100GAUI-1 C2C and 100GAUI-1 C2M annexes should be referenced in Table 157-6. Rosenberger Hochfrequenztechnik GmbH & Co. KG Schreiner, Stephan Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Table 157-3 has ist footnote index on the Mandatory "M" in line 2, column 3. All other tables Add references to 100GAUI-1 C2C (Annex 120F) and 100GAUI-1 C2M (Annex 120G). have this footnote index on line 2 column 2. Type = optional Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Change the footnote index position to the Line: "10GBASE-BR10-D", column "EEE"

Proposed Response

C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P**22** L31 # 32 C/ 157 SC 157.2.2 P23 L5 # 242 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type TR I believe the AUIs defined in 802.3ck (100GAUI-1 C2C and C2M) are very relevant for the Missing editorial instruction. 100GBASE-BRx PHYs. They should be included in Table 157-6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change 157.2.2 as follows: Add columns for Annexes 120F and 120G, optional for all PHYs. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.2.3 P23 L12 # 243 # 59 C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P**22** L32 Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Regev, Alon Keysight Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing editorial instruction. The clause numbers in the column headers should be links to the clauses SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change 157.2.3 as follows: change the column labels "81", "82", "91", "83", "83A", 83B", "83D", "83E", "135", "135D", Proposed Response Response Status O "135E", "135F", and "135G" into links Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.2.4 P23 L19 # 244 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P22 L41 # 66 Comment Type E Comment Status X Maki, Jeffery Juniper Networks Missing editorial instruction. Comment Status X Comment Type T SuggestedRemedy 100GAUI-1 C2C & 100GAUI-1 C2M are missing. Add editorial instruction: Change the second and third paragraphs of 157.2.4 as follows: SuggestedRemedy Delete the first paragraph as it doesn't have any changes. Add 100GAUI-1 C2C & 100GAUI-1 C2M Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.2.4 P23 L20 # 164 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status X medium independent SuggestedRemedy medium-independent

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 157 SC 157.2.4

Response Status O

Page 18 of 48 2025/4/19 18:50:57 C/ 157 SC 157.2.5 P23 L34 # 245 C/ 157 SC 157.3 P24 L7 # 106 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Zimmerman, George ADI, APLgp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing editorial instruction. 80.3 should be an External cross reference or else bring it in to the draft (do changes need to be made? - the existing 80.3 does NOT reference that it applies to 100GBASE-BRx PHYs SuggestedRemedy ....) Add editorial instruction: Change 157.2.5 as follows: SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Mark 80.3 as External (green) or bring it into the draft with appropriate changes to refernece application to 100GBASE-BRx PHYs. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.2.6 P23 L39 # 246 Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** C/ 157 SC 157.3 P24 L7 # 60 Comment Type E Comment Status X Unchanged subclause Regev, Alon Keysight Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy "80.3" should be a link Delete 157.2.6 Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O change "80.3" to a link to "80.3" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.2.7 P23 L43 # 247 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC C/ 157 SC 157.3 P24 L7 # 33 Comment Status X Comment Type E Unchanged subclause Cisco Systems, Inc. Ran. Adee Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Missing period at the end of the last paragraph. Delete 157.2.7 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add a period. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.3 P23 # 248 L51 Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing editorial instruction. SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Insert paragraph at the end of 157.3 as follows:

Delete unchanged paragraphs.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

SC 157.3 SC 157.4 C/ 157 P**24** L23 # 61 C/ 157 P**24** L23 # 121 Regev, Alon Keysight Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony,SenTekse Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "80.4" should be a link 80.4 should be an active cross reference. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change "80.4" to a link to "80.4" Replace 80.4 with an active cross reference Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.4 P24 L10 # 249 C/ 157 SC 157.4 P24 L24 # 166 Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing editorial instruction. Skew and Skew Variation is missing SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Insert paragraph at the end of 157.4 as follows: Add text to refer to 168.3.2, and refer to 80.5 Delete unchanged paragraphs. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.5 P24 L39 # 250 SC 157.4 C/ 157 P24 L22 # 165 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Unchanged subclause I think that the normative delay specs are in 168.3, and what is in 80.4 is sort of a SuggestedRemedy restatement for clarity. Delete 157.5 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "in 80.4" to "in 168.3 (and see 80.4)"

Proposed Response

C/ 157 SC 157.6 P**24** L46 # 34 C/ 157 SC 157.6 P24 L 50 # 128 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type This clause does not include a PICS - it only refers to other clauses that do. The change to replace clause 160 with 168 is not correct: clauses 161 to 167 are unrelated This is very unusual. Subclause 157.6 should be removed (perhaps in maintenance, to bidirectional PHYs. because it would not be in scope), but even if it is not, there is no need to change it in this SuggestedRemedy amendment - it is not helpful for readers and only adds editional burden. Undo the replacement of 160 with 168, and add Clause 168 to the list, so it reads "... Clause Note that clauses 161 through 167, added by the change in this draft, are not related to this 114, Clause 158 through Clause 160, Clause 168, and related annexes..." clause at all. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Remove 157.6 from the draft. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.6 P**24** L50 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status X C/ 157 SC 157.6 P24 L47 # 251 Clause 114. Clause 158 through Clause 160Clause 168, and related annexes demonstrates Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Clause 114, Clause 158 Xthrough Clause 160X, Clause 159, Clause 160, or Clause 168, Missing editorial instruction. and related annexes demonstrates SuggestedRemedy [inserting a comma] Add editorial instruction: Change the first paragraph of 157.6 as follows: Proposed Response Response Status O Delete the second paragraph as it doesn't have any changes. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 157 SC 157.6 P24 L51 # 103 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 157 SC 157.6 P**24** L49 # 252 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Clauses 161-167 are related to things other than the BiDi PMDs Comment Type Е Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy broken link Remove the strikethough of Clause 160 and insert a . between Clause 160 and Clause 168 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O fix the Clause 45 link as it is in the document. Correct links not in the document as already requested. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 158 SC 158.2 P28 L12 # 63 C/ 168 SC 168 P26 L16 # 9 Regev, Alon Keysight Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Ε "80 3" should be a link Consider using alternate sentence structure than a "shall" statement. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change "80.3" to a link to "80.3" Replace. "a PMD shall be connected" with. "a PMD is connected" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168 P26 L**0** # 253 C/ 168 SC 168.1 P26 **L6** # 120 Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Zimmerman, George ADI, APLqp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing editorial instruction. While the editor's notes on where things came from are helpful, they need eventually to be removed. They've probably served their purpose now, through d2.0, and may be deleted, SuggestedRemedy but if you want to keep them around, they should be deleted prior to SA ballot. Add editorial instruction: Insert Clause 168. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Either: change editor's notes to say "Editor's note (to be removed prior to SA Ballot:" or delete Editor's notes on origin for subclauses. Proposed Response Response Status 0 L1 C/ 168 SC 168 P26 # 35 Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. C/ 168 SC 168.1 P26 # 62 Comment Status X L7 Comment Type ER Missing editorial instruction for clause 168. Regev, Alon Keysight Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy remove editor's notes prior to publication Add an editorial instruction: "Insert new clause 168". Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O remove editor's notes prior to publication Proposed Response Response Status O SC 168 P26 L1 # 117 C/ 168 ADI, APLqp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Status X Again, no editing instruction. SuggestedRemedy Insert editing instruction prior to header, "Insert Clause 168 after Clause 167."

Proposed Response

C/ 168 SC 168.1 P26 L7 # 255 C/ 168 SC 168.1 P26 L21 Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X TR There should not be editor's notes in D2.0 or later. Table 168-1. The 100G bidi PMDs should also support 100G AUI-1 C2M SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete note indicating which subclause this is based on. Delete similar notes in 168.2. add two rows for 100G AUI-1 C2C(CL120F) and 100G AUI-1 C2M(120G) respectively. 168.3, 168.4, 168.5, 168.7, 168.8, 168.9, 168.10, and 168.12. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.1 P26 L22 C/ 168 SC 168.1 P26 L7 # 100 Lusted. Kent Synopsys Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type TR The 100GAUI-1 C2C and 100GAUI-1 C2M annexes should be referenced in Table 168-1 Remove all the Editors notes stating what the section was leveraged from. This note has SuggestedRemedy no life span and should not be included in the publication. Add references to 100GAUI-1 C2C (Annex 120F) and 100GAUI-1 C2M (Annex 120G) SugaestedRemedy Type = optional Remove all the Editors notes stating which clause was used to create the current clause (10 Proposed Response Response Status O occurences). Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.1 P26 L33 Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom, Inc. SC 168.1 P26 C/ 168 L19 # 256 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Table 168-1 lists the Clause 91 RS-FEC as Required for 100GBASE-BR10, -BR20, and -Comment Type Comment Status X BR40. Subclause 91.5.3.3.1 should be updated to add the 3 new PHYs using RS[544] to broken link the list of PHYs using the optional FEC Degraded SER feature as defined in Clause 91. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy fix the Clause 45 link as it is in the document. In 91.5.3.3.1 add: Change the first paragraph of 91.5.3.3.1 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022) as follows: Proposed Response Response Status O

For 100GBASE-CR2, 100GBASE-KR2, 100GBASE-VR1, 100GBASE-SR2, 100GBASE-SR1, 100GBASE-DR, 100GBASE-FR1, 100GBASE-LR1, 100GBASE-CR1, and 100GBASE-KR1, 100GBASE-BR10, 100GBASE-BR20, and 100GBASE-BR40 PHYs an optional FEC degraded symbol error ratio function is available.

Proposed Response Response Status O # 88

# 69

Cl 168 SC 168.1 P26 L33 # 68

Opsasnick, Eugene Broadcom, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Table 168-1 lists the Clause 91 RS-FEC as Required for 100GBASE-BR10, -BR20, and -BR40. Clause 91 defines both RS[528] and RS[544], but there is no indication which of these two FEC codes should be used with the BR10/20/40 PMDs. Subclauses 91.5.2.7 and 91.5.3.3 list which PHYs use RS[528] and which use RS[544] along with some other features of each FEC code.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add Clause 91 to the standard and add the three PHYs of this standard to the list of PHYs that implement RS[544] as was done in 802.3ck for 100GBASE-CR1/KR1:

In 91.5.2.7 add:

Change the second sentence of the second paragraph of 91.5.2.7 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022)

as follows:

When used to form a 100GBASE-KP4, 100GBASE-CR2, 100GBASE-KR2, 100GBASE-VR1, 100GBASE-SR2, 100GBASE-SR1, 100GBASE-DR, 100GBASE-FR1, 100GBASE-LR1,100GBASE-CR1, or 100GBASE-KR1, 100GBASE-BR10, 100GBASE-BR20, or 100GBASE-BR40 PHY, the RS-FEC sublayer shall implement RS(544,514).

In 91.5.3.3 add:

Change the second sentence of the second paragraph of 91.5.3.3 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022)

as follows:

When used to form a 100GBASE-KP4, 100GBASE-CR2, 100GBASE-KR2, 100GBASE-VR1, 100GBASE-SR2, 100GBASE-SR1, 100GBASE-DR, 100GBASE-FR1, 100GBASE-LR1, 100GBASE-CR1, or 100GBASE-KR1, 100GBASE-BR10, 100GBASE-BR20, or 100GBASE-BR40 PHY, the RS-FEC sublayer shall be capable of correcting any combination of up to t=15 symbol errors in a codeword.

Change the third paragraph of 91.5.3.3 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022) as follows:

The Reed-Solomon decoder may provide the option to perform error detection without error correction to reduce the delay contributed by the RS-FEC sublayer. The presence of this option is indicated by the assertion of the FEC\_bypass\_correction\_ability variable (see 91.6.8). When the option is provided, it is enabled by the assertion of the FEC\_bypass\_correction\_enable variable (see 91.6.1). This option shall not be used when the RS-FEC sublayer is used to form part of a 100GBASE-CR2, 100GBASE-KR2, 100GBASE-VR1, 100GBASE-SR2, 100GBASE-SR1, 100GBASE-SR4, 100GBASE-DR, 100GBASE-FR1, 100GBASE-LR1, 100GBASE-CR1, or 100GBASE-KR1, 100GBASE-BR10, 100GBASE-BR20, or 100GBASE-BR40 PHY.

Change the last sentence of the last paragraph of 91.5.3.3 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022) as

follows:

When the RS-FEC sublayer is used to form a 100GBASE-KP4, 100GBASE-CR2, 100GBASE-KR2, 100GBASE-VR1, 100GBASE-SR2, 100GBASE-SR1, 100GBASE-DR, 100GBASE-FR1, 100GBASE-LR1, 100GBASE-CR1, or 100GBASE-KR1, 100GBASE-BR10, 100GBASE-BR20, or 100GBASE-BR40 PHY, the symbol error threshold shall be K=6380.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 168 SC 168.1 P26 L33 # 23

Lusted, Kent Synopsys

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The Table 168-1 states that Clause 91 RS-FEC is required for the 100GBASE-BRx PMDs. There is no specific mention in the draft specification of which RS-FEC is to be used with the 100GBASE-BRx PMDs. Note that Clause 91 defines two RS-FECs: RS(528) and RS(544).

SuggestedRemedy

Bring in Clause 91 into the draft. Specifically, Clause 91.5.2.7 and 91.5.3.3 as modified by 3ck and 3df. Update the relevant sub-clauses for RS(528) or RS(544)

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 168 SC 168.1 P26 L34 # 168

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

For these relatively long links, FEC latency is not an issue but robustness may be

SuggestedRemedy

Consider allowing Clause 161 RS-FEC-Int (with 91.6.7a 100G RS FEC enable):

152-Inverse RS-FEC Optional b

161—RS-FEC-Int Optional

b Inverse RS-FEC is required to convert between RS-FEC and RS-FEC-Int (see 152.1.2).

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 168 SC 168.1 P26 L47 # 36 C/ 168 SC 168.1 P26 L 50 # 118 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Zimmerman, George ADI, APLgp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse TR Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type I believe the AUIs defined in 802.3ck (100GAUI-1 C2C and C2M) are very relevant for the The note doesn't quite hit the point. Physical implementation of the CGMII is optional. The 100GBASE-BRx PHYs. They should be included in Table 168-1. logical description still applies (which is what the "However" says...) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add rows for Annexes 120F and 120G, optional. Change "The CGMII is an optional interface." to "Physical implementation of the the CGMII is optional." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.1 P26 L48 # 169 C/ 168 SC 168.1 P**27** L3 # 170 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status X Add 100GAUI-1 C2C and C2M Add reference to 157 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert 120F and 120G below 135G. Optional. Per comment Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 168.1 C/ 168 P26 L48 # 67 C/ 168 SC 168.1 P27 L9 # 171 Maki, Jeffery Juniper Networks Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type E 100GAUI-1 C2C & 100GAUI-1 C2M are missing. In 157, this figure includes OAM (OPTIONAL) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add 120F-100GAUI-1 C2C & 120G-100GAUI-1 C2M Do the same here? Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 168 SC 168.1 P27 L13 # 119 C/ 168 SC 168.1 P27 L36 # 173 Zimmerman, George ADI, APLgp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Е Physical implementation of the CGMII is optional, but that is not what Figure 168-1 shows. Blank line? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add footnote 1 to CGMII at line 13. Add text of "NOTE - Physical implementation of CGMII Remove? is optional" at line 29 (below PCS). Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.3.2 P28 L **52** # 107 C/ 168 SC 168.1 P27 L19 ADI, APLqp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse Zimmerman, George D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Active cross references to sections 80.5, Figure 80-9, 83.5.3.4, all point nowhere, they are The bottom of the "Physical Layer" is incorrect - it includes the MDI and should be drawn to not in the draft the top of the "Medium" I will not comment more on cross references - however, there are numerous ones missed and they need to be checked. SugaestedRemedv SuggestedRemedy Move the bottom dashed line from the bottom of the PMD sublayer to the top of Medium Mark cross references as external. Editor to check the draft for external cross references Proposed Response Response Status 0 globally and fix. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.1 P**27** L28 # 172 Nvidia Dawe, Piers C/ 168 SC 168.3.2 P29 L2 # 108 Comment Status X Comment Type Е ADI.APLap.Cisco.Marvell.OnSemi.Sonv.SenTekse Zimmerman, George Layout Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy "is" is for statements of fact. The limitation on the skew seems to be a requirement. Further, Left justify the requirements in 83.5.3.4 go further and specify skew variation. Is that to be specified? While 83.5.3.4 was mentioned earlier defining skew, it isn't clear that those requirements Proposed Response Response Status O apply. Here is where that should be stated. SuggestedRemedy Change "Skew at SP2 is limited to 43 ns as defined by 83.5.3.4" to "Skew and skew variation at SP2 shall comply with the requirements of 83.5.3.4"

Proposed Response

C/ 168 SC 168.4 P29 L26 # 82 C/ 168 SC 168.5.1 P30 L38 # 216 Simms, William NVIDIA Dudek. Mike Marvell Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Ε poor English. strage break in body text and insertion of Tables SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Leave text at line 23 and picking up at line 49 unbroken and move tables to appropriate Delete the "be" in "are not typically be accessible" location Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.5.1 P30 L39 # 174 # 257 C/ 168 SC 168.4 P29 L27 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X This says "TP1 and TP4 ... (these test points are not typically be accessible in an tables should not be in the middle of a paragraph implemented system)" but this is outdated. Clause 167 (100G/lane VR and SR says "might not be accessible". Linear optical modules are feasible at 100G/lane now, at least for DR. SuggestedRemedy Grammar: "are not typically be" Move Tables 168-2 and 168-3 after the paragraph text. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "are not typically be" to "might not be" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.5.1 P30 **L8** # 37 Cisco Systems, Inc. Ran, Adee C/ 168 SC 168.5.2 P30 L43 # 10 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Maguire, Valerie The title of 168.5.1 is "PMD block diagram", but the block diagram in Figure 168-2 is not of a Comment Type Ε Comment Status X PMD but of a transmit/receive path. Consider using alternate sentence structure than a "shall" statement. I am aware that the incorrect heading exists in many previous clauses, but an error should SuggestedRemedy not be carried over to a new clause. Replace, "Transmit function shall convert" with, "Transmit function converts" The suggested remedy is being used in similar subclauses in P802.3di. SuggestedRemedy Replace, "The optical signal shall then be delivered" with, "The optical signal is then Change the subclause title from "PMD block diagram" to "Block diagram". delivered" Proposed Response Response Status O Replace, "from lowest to highest shall correspond " with, "from lowest to highest corresponds" Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 168 SC 168.5.3 P30 L51 # 11 C/ 168 SC 168.5.4 P31 L25 # 176 Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Т Consider using alternate sentence structure than a "shall" statement. While the status variables have "global" in their names so that 1-lane PHYs can be managed the same as multilane PHYs, saying that SIGNAL DETECT is a \*global\* indicator SuggestedRemedy of the presence of the optical signal isn't really right. Replace. "The PMD receive function shall convert" with. "The PMD receive function SugaestedRemedy converts" Delete "global" here and in PICS F10 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 168.5.4 # 12 C/ 168 SC 168.5.4 P31 C/ 168 P31 L19 L31 # 177 Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Consider using alternate sentence structure than a "shall" statement. This "base text" does not agree with the published 160.5 and similar sections in other clauses such as 140 and 167. "Must" is deprecated. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "global signal detect function shall report" with, "global signal detect function Change "an unavoidable consequence" to "a consequence". Change "must" to "need to". reports" Make any other changes to align with the published 802.3 as appropriate. Replace, "SIGNAL DETECT shall be a global indicator" with, "SIGNAL DETECT is a global Proposed Response Response Status O indicator" Replace, "SIGNAL DETECT parameter shall be generated" with, "SIGNAL DETECT C/ 168 SC 168.5.5 P31 L42 # 13 parameter is generated" Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Maguire, Valerie Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X Consider using alternate sentence structure than a "shall" statement. SC 168.5.4 P31 # 175 C/ 168 L23 SuggestedRemedy Dawe, Piers Nvidia Replace, "the PMD shall be reset" with, "the PMD is reset" Comment Status X Comment Type T Proposed Response Response Status O inter-sublayer service interface primitives defined in 131.3. SuggestedRemedy inter-sublayer service interface primitives defined in 80.3.

Proposed Response

C/ 168 SC 168.5.6 P31 L47 # 14 C/ 168 SC 168.5.9 P32 L21 # 17 Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Consider using alternate sentence structure than a "shall" statement. Consider using alternate sentence structure than a "shall" statement. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace, "compliant with this clause shall include" with, "compliant with this clause includes" Replace. "100GBASE-BRx-U PMD shall include" with. "100GBASE-BRx-U PMD includes" Replace, "this function shall turn off" with, "this function turns off" Replace, "the PMD shall set the" with, "the PMD sets the" Proposed Response Response Status O Replace, "PMD receive fault shall be mapped" with, "PMD receive fault is mapped" Proposed Response Response Status O SC 168.5.7 P32 C/ 168 L6 # 15 Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Maguire, Valerie C/ 168 SC 168.5.9 P32 L21 # 129 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Huber. Thomas Nokia Consider using alternate sentence structure than a "shall" statement. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy The first sentence of this clause is a comma splice. Replace, "the PMD shall set" with, "the PMD sets" SuggestedRemedy Replace, "PMD fault shall be mapped to" with, "PMD fault is mapped to" Replace the comma with a semicolon, split into two separate sentences for the U and D PMDs, or write it as "The PMD receive fault function is mandatory in the 100GBASE-BRx-U Proposed Response Response Status O PMD and optional in the 100GBASE-BRx-D PMD.". Proposed Response Response Status O # 16 C/ 168 SC 168.5.8 P32 L13 Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Maguire, Valerie C/ 168 SC 168.5.10 P32 L31 # 18 Comment Type E Comment Status X Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Consider using alternate sentence structure than a "shall" statement. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Consider using alternate sentence structure than a "shall" statement. Replace. "the PMD shall set" with. "the PMD sets" SuggestedRemedy Replace, "PMD transmit fault shall be mapped to" with, "PMD transmit fault is mapped to" Replace, "Silent start shall be provided" with, "Silent start is provided" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 168 SC 168.6 P32 L40 # [130

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The sentence concerning BR40 working with BR20 or BR10 as long as the shorter reach channel requirements are met is helpful, but it seems incomplete. Would is also not be true that the BR20 PMD operates with a BR10 PMD as long as the channel requirements of the BR10 PMD are met?

#### SuggestedRemedy

Make the sentence more generic: "A longer reach PMD interoperates with a shorter reach PMD as long as the channel requirments of the shorter reach PMD are met."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 168 SC 168.6 P32 L40 # 217

Dudek, Mike Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The statement is made that the 100GBASE-DR40 PMD will interoperate with the 100GBASE-BR10 and 100GBASE-BR20 provided the channel requirements for 100GBASE-BR10 and 100GBASE-BR20 are met, however section 168.11 includes additional requirements for interoperation between 100GBASE-BR40 and 100GBASE-20 including the addition of minimum losses. Section 168.11 doesn't include minimum losses for interoperation between 100GBASE-BR40 and 100GBASE-10 and the minimum Tx output power for 100GBASE-BR40 in the off state is -15dBm which is greater than the signal detect "fail" level of -20dBm.

#### SuggestedRemedy

add "except that the channel losses are specified in section 168.11". Add an appropriate table for the inter-operation between 100GBASE-BR40 and 100GBASE-BR10 to section 168.11

Proposed Response Status O

CI 168 SC 168.6 P32 L53 # 38

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Footnote a says "The RS-FEC correction function may not be bypassed for any operating distance". This is not an option, so "may" is inappropriate. Also, this statement is out of place in 168.6, which is about optical specifications.

I am aware that the same text exists in many previous clauses, but an error should not be carried over to a new clause.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Delete footnote a from Table 168-5, and instead add a footnote for the "RS-FEC" row in Table 168-1, stating "The option to perform error detection without error correction (see 91.5.3.3) is not supported. FEC error correction shall not be bypassed".

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L # 268

Maniloff, Eric Ciena

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Currently the OMA (Max) values for 100GBASE-BR10/BR20/BR40 are 5/0/8.3dBm. At max TDECQ the OMA (Min) values for these are 3.1/-0.3/7.8 dBm. This leaves 0.3 dB difference between Min and Max for BR20 and 0.5dB difference between Min and Max for BR40. This is not sufficient difference for manufacturing yield, lifetime, thermals.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Increase the OMA Max for 100GBASEBR20 and BR40 to allow a larger difference between min and max values. Alternatively the minimum OMA could be reduced. Ensure a minimum of 1.5dB difference between Min and Max values.

Proposed Response Status O

# 89

C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L11 # 39 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Status X Comment Type TR The signaling range for recent PMDs with 100 Gb/s per lane has been narrowed to +/- 50 ppm, to avoid possible performance degradatation. The 100 Gb/s AUIs defined in Annex 120F and 120G support this narrower range. See 800GBASE-VR8/SR8 PMDs in 802.3df, Table 167-7 and Table 167-8 (both amended from 802.3db) as an example of how this is implemented in new PMDs. SuggestedRemedy In Table 168-6 and Table 168-7, change the signaling rate range to 53.125 +/- 50 ppm. Proposed Response Response Status O

Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

SC 168.6.1

the labe of wavelength is currently written as 100GBASE-BRx-D center wavelengths (range) or 100GBASE-BRx-U center wavelengths (range). This doesn't seem right. The upstream and down stream would only have one wavelength each. The wavelength can not be precisely controlled, thus a range is specified allowing the center wavelength to drift or shift. It is however a single wavelength, therefore the plural form here is not appropriate.

P33

L15

#### SuggestedRemedy

C/ 168

change wavelengths to wavelength in both cases of upstream and downstream.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L19 # 178

Dawe, Piers

Nvidia

Comment Type

E

Comment Status X

For improved readability, where the parameter limits seem likely to remain the same for all 3 (6) PMDs...

#### SuggestedRemedy

As for the first four rows, merge and straddle the triple entries for

Side-mode suppression ratio (SMSR), (min)

Transmitter transition time (max)

RINxOMA (max)

Transmitter reflectance (max)

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L24 # 70

Johnson, John Broadcom

TR

At ER(min) = 3.5 dB and OMA(max) = 5 dBm, maximum TX Pavg would be 6.2 dBm, which exceeds the PAVG(max) spec of 4.8dBm for 100G-BR10.

Comment Status X

#### SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Minimum required TX OMA at TDECQ(max) = 3.1 dBm, corresponding to PAVG = 4.3dBm at ER(min). To conform to TX Pavg(max) = 4.8 dBm, propose to change TX OMA(max) from 5 dBm to 3.6 dBm in Table 168-6, and RX OMA(max) from 5 dBm to 3.6dBm in Table 168-7.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L28 # 40

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

The row for OMA\_outer (min) in Table 167-7 contains two sub-rows. This should be indicated by indentation, as done in the "Receiver sensitivity" row in Table 167-8, to clarify that these are two cases.

The phrase "for 1.4 dB <= max(TECQ, TDECQ) <= TDECQ(max)" is overly long and can be shortened to improve readabilty.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Indent the sub-rows starting with "for".

Change "for 1.4 dB <= max(TECQ, TDECQ) <= TDECQ(max)" to "for max(TECQ, TDECQ) >= 1.4"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L36 # 95

Stassar, Peter Huawei

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

This draft still uses "over/undershoot", In P802.3dj it was recently agreed to use "transmitter over and undershoot". Also in 168.7,1 and 168.7.7

#### SuggestedRemedy

168.6.1 change "Transmitter over/under -shoot" to "Transmitter overshoot and undershoot". In 168.7.1, Table 168-10 change "Over/under-shoot" to "Transmitter overshoot and undershoot". Change heading of 168.7.7 from "Over/under-shoot" to "Transmitter overshoot and undershoot". In paragraphs 1 and 2 of 168.7.7 change "over/under-shoot" to "over and undershoot".

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 168 SC 168.6.1

P**33** 

L **36** 

L38

# 43

Ran, Adee

Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"Transmitter over/under -shoot" is shorthand that should not be used in a standard.

The definitions in subclause 168.7.7 are actually to two different parameters, overshoot and undershoot, while "over/under-shoot" is not defined at all.

The label in the table has been changed to "overshoot/undershoot" in 802.3db.

Also, the definition subclause 168.7.7 should be aligned with the recent text in 802.3db (167.8.8) instead of older clauses.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change the label to "Overshoot/undershoot (max)".

Change the text in 168.7.7 to align it with 167.8.8 in 802.3db-2022.

Change in Table 168–10 and elsewhere accordingly.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 168 SC 168.6.1

P**33** 

71

Johnson, John Comment Type

TR

Broadcom

Comment Status X

The transmitter power excursion (TPE) maximum limits are inconsistent with the specified OMA(max) and maximum overshoot (OS) = 22%. As discussed in johnson\_3dj\_01a\_2411, OS should roll off to ~14.6% at OMA(max) to be consistent with other 100G PAM4 PMDs.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Assuming ideal linearity, TPE(max) = OMA(max)\*(OS+0.5), where OS = 14.6%. Based on this, change the spec limits for TPE(max) as follows:

100G-BR10: from 2.8 dBm to 1.7 dBm (assuming acceptance of TX OMA(max) = 3.6 dBm)

100G-BR20: from 3.9 dBm to -0.5 dBm 100G-BR40: from 6.1 dBm to 7.8 dBm

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L39 # 179 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Use the emerging standard order for these parameters (see Clause 151) SuggestedRemedy Move Average launch power of OFF transmitter (max) to after Transmitter transition time (max) Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L46 # 180 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Т Comment Status X It's probably not worth testing some transmitters for TDECQ and RIN with 15 dB return loss and others with 15.6 dB. The cost in paperwork may outweigh any difference in yield. SuggestedRemedy Consider changing 15.6 to 15 here and in Table 168-11 (simplifying and being conservative) Then RINxOMA can become RIN15OMA. If it is thought worthwhile, the discrete reflectances for 100GBASE-BR10 in Table 168-14 and the channel optical return loss in Table 168-12 could be made slightly worse, to spend that 0.6 dB. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L50 # 41

Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Footnote b of Table 167-7 refers to clause 139, but this clause is for a 50 Gb/s PMD and is irrelevant. The relevant clause may be 140 instead (assuming it is consistent; if not, further changes need to be made).

Also, external references should be indicated by "forest green" text color.

Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change "Clause 139" to "Clause 140" and format as external reference (forest green).

Proposed Response Response Status O

TR

C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L50 # 72

Johnson, John Broadcom Comment Status X

TR

It's unnecessary to compare with Cl. 139 in footnote (b).

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Delete "Even though the representation of the OMAouter requirement is different from that in Clause 139, they are consistent." from footnote (b).

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L51 # 181

Dawe. Piers Nvidia

Comment Type Comment Status X

A comparison with Clause 139 (50GBASE-FR LR ER) doesn't seem relevant; saying this is consistent doesn't seem correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the sentence "Even though the representation of the OMAouter requirement is different from that in Clause 139, they are consistent."

Proposed Response Response Status O

P34 C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 **L1** # 42

Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Status X Comment Type T

Equations 168-1 through 168-3 are not equations - they are expressions that don't mean anything without the context, which is Table 167-7.

It would be a better service to the reader if these expressions are placed directly in the table.

SuggestedRemedy

Move these expressions into Table 168-8, OMA outer row, replacing the references to the equations.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P34 L1 # 182 C/ 168 SC 168.6.3 P35 L11 # 110 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Zimmerman, George ADI, APLgp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type T The unit for a power budget can't be dB - power has units - dBm, for example.... dB is only In equations, functions and abbreviations of words should be upright not italic good for ratios. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change max to upright, three times Either rename "Power budget" so it is clear it is a ratio, or change units to reflect a unit of Proposed Response Response Status O power (e.g., dBm) Proposed Response Response Status O SC 168.6.3 P35 # 270 C/ 168 Maniloff, Eric Ciena C/ 168 SC 168.6.3 P35 L14 # 183 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Dawe. Piers Nvidia Penalty allocations include values for DGD and MPI. In 802.3dj there was a decision to Comment Type Т Comment Status X explicitely state the allocations for these, which is useful information to include. 6.3 dB doesn't seem right for the wavelengths concerned; see comment against 168.9 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a footnote to the Penalty aloocations specifying the values for DGD and MPI Change 6.3 to 6.0 (or 6.1); change 10.6 to 10.3 (or 10.4) Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.6.3 P35 # 269 C/ 168 SC 168.7.1 P36 **L1** # 44 Maniloff, Eric Ciena Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Penalty allocations include 0.9dB more than TDECQ for the 10km spec, but only 0.5dB more for the 20 & 40km specs. Penalty allocations normally include allocations for DGD and The title of Table 168-10 is incorrect. It does not include or even refer to test pattern definitions; what it contains is the mapping of parameters to test patterns and related MPI penalties. DGD is 3.1/3.9/5.0 ps for 10/20/40km specs. The expectation would be that sublclause. penalties for 20 & 40 kms would be ≥ those for 10 km. SuggestedRemedy I am aware that the same title exists in many previous clauses, but an error should not be Adjust the penalties and corresponding related specifications to align with the link carried over to a new clause. It has been corrected in P802.3di, and the suggested remedy impairments. is taken from Table 180-15. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Table 168-10 to "Mapping of parameters to test patterns and related subclauses".

Proposed Response

C/ 168 SC 168.7.1	P <b>36</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 65	C/ 168 SC 168.7.4	P <b>36</b>	L <b>41</b>	# 90	
Regev, Alon	Keysight			Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd				
Comment Type <b>E</b>	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			
"sidn" should be "side"				recent clauses has been pointing out the source of OMAout data. Recommend to add in CL168 as well.				
SuggestedRemedy change "sidn-mode" to	o "side-mode"			SuggestedRemedy				
Proposed Response	Response Status O			add "OMAouter is measured using waveforms captured at the output of the reference receiver defined in 168.7.5, before the reference equalizer.				
				Proposed Response Response Status O				
C/ 168 SC 168.7.1	P <b>36</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 45					
Ran, Adee	Cisco Systen	ns, Inc.		C/ 168 SC 168.7.4	P <b>36</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 73	
Comment Type <b>E</b>	Comment Status X			Johnson, John	Broadcom			
Typo in "Sidn"				Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			
SuggestedRemedy Change to "Side"				Add text to clarify the definitions in 168.7.5.	r, refering to the			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy				
	,			Add the following sen	tence to the end of the paragr	aph:		
C/ 168 SC 168.7.1	P <b>36</b>	L7	# [184	"OMAouter is measured using waveforms captured at the output of the reference receiver defined in 168.7.5, before the reference equalizer."				
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		Proposed Response	Response Status O				
Comment Type <b>E</b> Sidn	Comment Status X			<u> </u>	· 			
SuggestedRemedy				Cl 168 SC 168.7.4	P <b>37</b>	L <b>2</b>	# 185	
Side				Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type <b>E</b> Blank line(s)?	Comment Status X			
				SuggestedRemedy Remove. Set the figu	ure to float.			
				· ·				

Cl 168 SC 168.7.5 P37 L20 # 91

Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

looking back at CL 140.7 and other IMDD clauses in 100Gbps, the description of TDECQ and its measurement setup has been referencing as much as possible the existing content in CL 121.8.5 and writing only the changes and differences. An example in CL140 is: "TDECQ, and for 100GBASE-DR only, TDECQ – 10log10(Ceq) shall be within the limits given in

Table 140–6 if measured using the test setup specified in 121.8.5.1, with an optical channel specified in 140.7.5.2, using the measurement method specified in 121.8.5.3, and using a reference equalizer as described in 140.7.5.1, with the following exceptions: ....."

also double checking the content of 168.7.5.1, there seems no technical difference than what was defined in CL 140.7.5 or CL 124.8.5, except need of updates to the table references. For the sake of clarity and consistence, also avoiding misleading message of new test setp, it is recommended to update the section with references to existing clauses while only listing out the exceptions.

#### SuggestedRemedy

delet sections 168.7.5.1, 168.7.5.3,168.7.5.4. make appropriate references to existing clauses, so that the overall standard of 802.3 is coherent. implement with editorial licenses.

some possible languages:

The TDECQ shall be within the limits given in

Table 168–6 if measured using the test setup specified in 121.8.5.1, with an optical channel specified in 168.7.5.2, using the measurement method specified in 121.8.5.3, and using a reference equalizer as described in 168.7.5.1, with the following exceptions:

The signaling rate of the test pattern generator is as given in Table 168–6 and uses a test pattern

specified for TDECQ in Table 168-10.

- The combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope has a 3 dB bandwidth of approximately 26.5625 GHz with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response to at least  $1.3 \times 53.125$  GHz and at frequencies above  $1.3 \times 53.125$  GHz the response should not exceed 20 dB. Compensation may be made for any deviation from an ideal fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response.
- The normalized noise power density spectrum, N(f) in Equation (121–9), is equivalent to white noise filtered by a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response filter with a 3 dB bandwidth of 26.5625 GHz."

OI

"The TDECQ shall be within the limits given in Table 168–6 if measured using the test setup specified in 121.8.5.1, with an optical channel specified in 168.7.5.2, using the measurement method specified in 140.7.5, and using a reference equalizer as described in 140.7.5.1."

or other format that fits.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 168 SC 168.7.5 P37 L21 # 74

Johnson, John Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The TDECQ test method in 168.7.5 needlessly reiterates the definitions in 121.8.5. The text of 168.7.5.1 lists test method exceptions that should be in 168.7.5.3. 168.7.5.3 has a single exception for the FFE (which is not needed because it is the same as 121.8.5.4). This clause should reference 121.8.5 and list a complete set of test method exceptions specific to CI. 168.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Follow the specification method of 802.3dj D1.5, Cl.180.9.5, which includes improved descriptions of the reference receiver that are used in other test method sub-clauses. Remove sub-clauses 168.7.5.1, 168.7.5.3 and 168.7.5.4. (168.7.5.2 becomes 168.7.5.1) Replace the text in 168.7.5 with the following:

The TDECQ of each lane shall be within the limits given in Table 168-6 if measured using the methods

specified in 121.8.5.1, 121.8.5.3, 121.8.5.4 and 168.7.5.1, with the following exceptions:

— The signaling rate of the test pattern generator is as given in Table 168-6 and uses the test pattern

specified for TDECQ in Table 168-10.

— The reference receiver, composed of the combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope, has

a 3 dB bandwidth of approximately 26.5625 GHz with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response to at  $\,$ 

least 1.3  $\times$  53.125 GHz, and at frequencies above 1.3  $\times$  53.125 GHz, the response should not exceed

-20 dB. Compensation may be made for any deviation from an ideal fourth-order Bessel-Thomson

response.

— The normalized noise power density spectrum N(f) is equivalent to white noise filtered by a fourth order

Bessel-Thomson response filter with a 3 dB bandwidth of 26.5625 GHz.

- The optical return loss is as given in Table 168-6.
- The lowest measured TDECQ values are achieved with the equalizer optimization method described

in 121.8.5. Alternative optimization methods such as minimum mean squared error (MMSE) may be

used to determine equalizer tap weights to reduce test time, and are expected to report

higher values of TDECQ. These alternative methods should not be used for receiver sensitivity and

stressed receiver sensitivity calibration.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 168 SC 168.7.5.1 P38 L5 # 186

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This long sentence with two clauses is hard to understand. In a few places such as 150.8.5, 150.8.7, 150.8.10 and 151.8.1 it has been divided into two sentences.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change "GHz and at frequencies" to "GHz. At frequencies", here and in 168.7.10.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 168 SC 168.7.5.3 P38 L50 # 46

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Reference to 121.8.5.3 is not a functional link. It should be formatted as an external reference.

Other similar external references appear in 168.7.13.1, 168.7.13.2, 168.7.13.3, 168.7.7 (3 references), 168.7.11, 168.8.1, and maybe other places.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Format all external references in forest green text color.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 168 SC 168.7.5.3 P38 L 53 # 187 C/ 168 SC 168.7.5.4 P39 L3 # 47 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Т Ε More exceptions "5 tap, T spaced" should be "5-tap, T-spaced". (see for example 167.8.6.1 in 802.3db) SuggestedRemedy The signaling rate of the test pattern generator is as given in Table 168-6 and uses a test I am aware that the same text exists in many previous clauses, but an error should not be pattern specified for TDECQ in Table 168-10. carried over to a new clause There are no interfering optical lanes and therefore the delay requirement of at least 31 UI SuggestedRemedy between test pattern on one lane and any other lane, as specified in 121.8.5.1, is redundant. IStated above — The combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope has a 3 dB Change per comment. bandwidth of approximately 26.5625 GHz with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response to Proposed Response Response Status O at least 1.3 × 53.125 GHz. At frequencies above 1.3 × 53.125 GHz the response should not exceed -20 dB. Compensation may be made for any deviation from an ideal fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response.] C/ 168 SC 168.7.5.4 P39 L19 # 188 The normalized noise power density spectrum, N(f) in Equation (121–9), is equivalent to white noise filtered by a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response filter with a 3 dB bandwidth Dawe. Piers Nvidia of 26.5625 GHz. Comment Type T Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O A signal that needed a main tap at 0.8 would be unhealthily over-emphasised and troublesome for the receiver. The over/under-shoot spec may catch many such signals. If it catches them all, tightening this limit will make no difference. If it doesn't catch all of them. C/ 168 SC 168.7.5.4 P39 L3 # 83 tightening this limit will be helpful. Simms. William NVIDIA SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Change 0.8 to 0.85 strange break in text between line 3 and 17 with figure n the mddle. Proposed Response Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

move figure to after the completed text

Proposed Response Status O

Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

P39

L27

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X** figures should not be in the middle of a paragraph

SC 168.7.5.4

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 168

Move Figure 168-5 after the paragraph text.

Proposed Response Response Status O

# 258

C/ 168 SC 168.7.6 P39 L27 # 92 C/ 168 SC 168.7.7 P39 L37 # 75 Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd Johnson, John Broadcom Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Type TR "The TECQ of each lane is measured using the Add text to clarify the reference receiver used to measure TX over/undershoot, refering to methods specified for TDECQ in 168.7.5, except that the test fiber is not used." the definitions in 168.7.5. SuggestedRemedy There is only one lane in BRx PMDs in each direction. Replace "but without the reference equalizer being applied in either case." SuggestedRemedy with "at the output of the reference receiver defined in 168.7.5, before the reference delete " of each lane" equalizer." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.7.8 P40 L17 # 76 C/ 168 SC 168.7.7 P39 L31 # 93 Johnson, John Broadcom Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Add text to clarify the reference receiver used to measure TX power excursion, refering to There seems to be no change from the method defined in CL 140, reference to CL 140 the definitions in 168.7.5. regarding the calculation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "but without the reference equalizer being applied." possible language from CL 151, and update the reference tables should serve the purpose: with "at the output of the reference receiver defined in 168.7.5, before the reference equalizer." "The over/under-shoot of each lane shall be within the limits given in Table 151-7 if measured using a test Proposed Response Response Status O pattern specified for over/under-shoot in Table 151–11. Overshoot and undershoot are measured using the waveform captured for the TDECQ test (see 151.8.5) and the waveform captured for the TECQ test (see 151.8.6), but without the C/ 168 SC 168.7.9 P40 L32 reference equalizer being applied in each case. Overshoot and undershoot are calculated using the methods in 140.7.7." Johnson, John Broadcom Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X TR Add text to clarify the reference receiver used to measure extinction ratio, refering to the definitions in 168.7.5. SC 168.7.7 P39 L33 C/ 168 # 189 SuggestedRemedy Dawe, Piers Nvidia Add the following to the end of the paragraph: Ε "The extinction ratio is measured using waveforms captured at the output of the reference Comment Type Comment Status X receiver defined in 168.7.5, before the reference equalizer." There is only one limit for this in the table Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy

Change limits to limit

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 168 SC 168.7.10 P40 L36 # 190 C/ 168 SC 168.7.11 P40 L 53 # 191 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type There is only one limit for this in the table In practice, RIN is not measured with the optical power meter method described in 52.9.6 these days, but with the scope method described in P802.3di 180.9.11 (and T&M vendor's SuggestedRemedy literature). This has the advantage that RIN can be calculated as a by-product of a TECQ Change limits to limit measurement. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O As this project is ahead of P802.3dj, replace the contents of 168.7.11 with a copy of 180.9.11, adjusting for the optical return loss(es) and reference Rx bandwidth of this clause. In Table 168-10, change "Square wave" to "4 or 6". SC 168.7.10 P40 L41 # 78 C/ 168 Proposed Response Response Status O Johnson, John Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X The reference receiver is previously defined in 168.7.5, so it can be referenced rather than C/ 168 SC 168.7.11 P40 # 19 L53 redefining it in this clause. Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Maguire. Valerie SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Delete the following text: "as measured through an O/E converter and oscilloscope with a combined 3 dB bandwidth Consider using alternate sentence structure than a "shall" statement. of approximately 26.5625 GHz with a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response to at least 1.3 SuggestedRemedy × 53.125 GHz and at frequencies above 1.3 × 53.125 GHz the response should not exceed – Replace. "RIN shall be as defined" with. "RIN is defined" 20 dB. Compensation may be made for any deviation from an ideal fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response." Proposed Response Response Status O Replace with the following text: "The transmitter transition time is measured using waveforms captured at the output of the reference receiver defined in 168.7.5, before the reference equalizer." C/ 168 SC 168.7.11 P41 L3 # 48 Proposed Response Response Status O Ran. Adee Cisco Systems. Inc.

Cl 168 SC 168.7.11 P40 L51 # 94

Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

802.3 dj has extensively discussed the definition of RINxOMA. Consensus were made to update the definition of RINxOMA which better describes the actual behaviour and aligns with what is being used in the field. Related contribution from Ahmad and JJ, https://www.ieee802.org/3/dj/public/24 09/chayeb 3dj 01 2409.pdf

SuggestedRemedy

align to what is defined in dj.

Proposed Response Status O

Change per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O

т

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Status X

The signaling rate is 53.125 GBd, so the number should be 53.125 GHz, not 53.2.

C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L # 218 C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L9 # 193 Dudek, Mike Marvell Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Т Ε In Figure 168-6 "meets equation constraints" needs to be below all the lines or it needs to be y axis can be optimised deleted. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the limits from (-18 to 0) to (-15 to -3) Fix it Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P**41** L15 C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L7 Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X The label "Meets equation constraints" appears between curves. It suggests that the allowed Figure 168-6 is a bitmap with poor quality. range is between these lines, which is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace the figure with an SVG one. Move the label below the bottom line. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 SC 168.7.12 SC 168.7.12 P41 L15 C/ 168 P41 **L8** # 192 C/ 168 # 111 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Zimmerman, George ADI, APLqp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X "Meets equation constraints" cannot possibly be right for all 3 PHYs. Also, the plot says it is This figure is a bitmap: grev and unclear receiver sensitivity but the axis says OMAouter(dBm). This needs further definition in the SuggestedRemedy equations 168-4, 168-5, and 168-6 and the text to unravel. Is this saying that the RS should be sensitive to a signal with an OMA of the level of equations 168-4, 168-5, and 168-6 Insert the figure the proper way so it appears as a "vector graphic" in the pdf; Use black font: (depending on the PHY type) (but can be sensitive to a lower level signal)? If so, the label Make the axes black. needs to be 3 different labels, each indicating which line they are for, and on the bottom side of the line... The equations need more words to describe the measurement. I'm sorry, but I Proposed Response Response Status O don't know well enough what you meant to write a good solution. SugaestedRemedy See comment. Adjust location of "Meets equation constraints" so that it meets all 3 lines. Consider more explanatory words and converting the equations 168-4, 168-5 and 168-6 to inequalities. Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L32 # 84 C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L40 # 195 Simms, William **NVIDIA** Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Ε The Figure 168-6 has an x-axis of TECQ but the test below the figure references SECQ. Units should be upright not italic Line 32, 35, and 38 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Per comment Not sure if this is an error Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L40 # 50 C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L32 # 49 Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Equations 168-4 through 168-5 have equal signs and define receiver sensitivity - but the Cross-reference to equation 168-4 is not active. receiver sensitivity does not need to be equal to a value - it should be below some Similarly for equations 168-5 and 168-6 in the subsequent paragraphs. maximum, as shown in the figure. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make the cross-references active. Either change the equation to have a "lower than" value, or define the term as the maximum Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.7.12 P41 L37 # 194 Nvidia Dawe, Piers Comment Status X Comment Type E 100GBASE-BR10

SuggestedRemedy 100GBASE-BR10 Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 168 SC 168.7.13 P**42** L1 # 79 C/ 168 SC 168.7.13 P**42** L39 # 197 Johnson, John Broadcom Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Type Ε The stressed receiver sensitivity test method in 168.7.13 needlessly reiterates the test "SRS" is not explained. It is used only three times. method specified in 121.8.10. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Spell it out each time Follow the specification method of 802.3dj D1.5, Cl.180.9.13, which points to 121.8.10 along Proposed Response Response Status O with a short list of exceptions. Replace the entirety of 168.7.13 with the following text: Stressed receiver sensitivity of each lane shall be within the limit given in Table 168-7 if measured using the C/ 168 SC 168.7.13 P42 L42 # 198 method defined in 121.8.10 with the following exceptions: Dawe, Piers Nvidia — The SECQ of the stressed receiver conformance test signal is measured according to 168.7.5. except Comment Type Т Comment Status X that the test fiber is not used. The transition time of the stressed receiver conformance test This says "The reflectance of the optical link should be at its maximum level" but there is no signal is text to tell the reader what to do, and unlike the TDECQ setup, there is no optical reflector in no greater than the value specified in Table 168-6. Fig 168-7. — With the Gaussian noise generator on and the sinusoidal jitter and sinusoidal interferer SuggestedRemedy turned off the RINxOMA of the SRS test source should be no greater than the value specified in Table 168-Explain this fully or delete the sentence. 6. Proposed Response Response Status O — The signaling rate of the test pattern generator and the extinction ratio of the E/O converter are as given in Table 168-6 using test patterns specified in Table 168-10. — The required values of the "Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), each lane (max)". SC 168.7.13 C/ 168 P**42** L44 # 199 Stressed eve Dawe. Piers Nvidia closure for PAM4 (SECQ), lane under test" and "OMAouter of each aggressor lane" are as aiven in Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 168-7. While it should be obvious... Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add text saving that the PMD's transmitter and any other circuitry that could cause crosstalk should be operational when stressed sensitivity (and regular sensitivity) is measured. The C/ 168 SC 168.7.13 P42 L38 # 196 same goes for transmitter measurements such as TECQ and TDECQ. Nvidia Proposed Response Response Status O

Dawe, Piers

Comment Status X

In this section we have: conformance test signal, signal being transmitted, received conformance signal, optical test signal, stressed receiver conformance test signal, test signal, input signal, signal, and stressed receiver conformance input signal. We are supposed to use the same name for a thing, every time (style guide 10.1.1 Homogeneity).

# SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Try to clean this up, as much as is reasonable.

Proposed Response Response Status O

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Ε

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

C/ 168 SC 168.7.13 Page 43 of 48 2025/4/19 18:50:58

C/ 168 SC 168.7.13.1 P**42** L35 # 259 C/ 168 SC 168.7.13.3 P43 L41 # 202 Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Figure 168-7 is part of 168.7.13.1, not 168.7.13. From the style guide: The word may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move Figure 168-7 after the first paragraph of 168.7.13.1. Change "under-stressed may result" to "under-stressed could result" or "under-stressed Proposed Response Response Status O might result" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.7.13.1 P43 L2 # 200 Nvidia Dawe, Piers C/ 168 SC 168.8.1 P**44** L3 # 203 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Dawe. Piers Nvidia 100 Comment Type E Comment Status X MHz Links to be made by staff SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use non-breaking space Should be forest green. Also in 168.12.4. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.7.13.3 P43 L33 # 201 C/ 168 SC 168.8.2 P44 L17 # 204 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type E Now that we have a definition of TECQ, this can be done directly Most sections like this have a footnote: SuggestedRemedy A host system that fails to meet the manufacturer's requirements and/or usage restrictions Change "is measured according to 168.7.5, except that the test fiber is not used" to "is may emit laser radiation in excess of the safety limits of one or more safety standards. In such a case, the host manufacturer is required to obtain its own laser safety certification. measured according to 168.7.6" Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy If this footnote is required, add it Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 168 SC 168.9 P45 L17 # 85 C/ 168 SC 168.9 P45 L30 # 271 Simms, William NVIDIA Maniloff. Eric Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X Ε Comment Type another break in text for table It appears that a statistical analysis is being used to arrive at the chromatic dispersion values, as documented in G.652 Appendix I. The document should clarify the approach SuggestedRemedy used to arrive at the CD values. 802.3dj currently includes the following text: "The move table after text dispersion specifications are based on the statistical link design methodology documented in ITU-T REC G.652, Appendix I." Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add a footnote to the CD values in Table168-12 indicating the method used to calculate the dispersion values. C/ 168 SC 168.9 P45 L19 # 260 Proposed Response Response Status O Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Type E Comment Status X tables should not be in the middle of a paragraph C/ 168 SC 168.9 P45 # 206 L36 SuggestedRemedy Dawe. Piers Nvidia Move Table 168-12 after the paragraph text. Comment Type T Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O This gives the dispersion ranges for the upstream direction only SuggestedRemedy Add two more rows for the dispersion ranges for the downstream direction. C/ 168 SC 168.9 P45 L26 # 205 Proposed Response Response Status O Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type T Comment Status X Originally, 10 km = 6 dB at 1310 nm. 10GBASE-BR10 can be at 1260 nm, so 6.2 dB. C/ 168 SC 168.9 P45 # 207 L44 25GBASE-BR10 and 50GBASE-BR10, also 1260 nm, are allowed 6.3 dB. 100GBASE-BR's shortest wavelength is 1303.6 nm so the same cable won't show so much loss. Calculating Dawe. Piers Nvidia the channel insertion loss using the link model, it's 6.00 dB at 1310 nm 6.20 at 1260 or 6.02 Comment Status X Comment Type T dB at 1303.6 nm Other clauses have changed to the IEC definition SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 6.3 to 6 (or 6.1). Change the budget for 100GBASE-BR10 from 10.6 to 10.3 (or Change "ANSI/TIA/EIA-526-7/method A-1" to "the IEC 61280-4-2 one-cord reference 10.4). method" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 168 SC 168.10 P46 **L1** # 208 C/ 168 SC 168.11 P47 L39 # 212 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X Ε Comment Type Table 168-12 contains numbers not definitions This needs some text to introduce the table, which should also address interoperability, or not, with 100GBASE-BR10. Presumably the mixed link has to stay within the chromatic SuggestedRemedy dispersion limits of the shorter-reach PMD. Change "defined in" to "given in" or "of" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Something like: 168.11 Interoperation between 100GBASE-BRx PMDs The 100GBASE-BR20 and 100GBASE-BR40 PMDs can interoperate with each other (over an engineered link) provided that the fiber optic cabling (channel) characteristics for C/ 168 SC 168.10 P46 L9 # 209 100GBASE-BR20 in Table 168-12 are met, with the exception of the maximum and Dawe, Piers Nvidia minimum channel insertion loss values, which are given in Table 168-15 for the two link Comment Type E Comment Status X directions separately. Attenuators may be used to achieve the required losses. Interoperation between 100GBASE-BR10 and 100GBASE-BR20 or 100GBASE-BR40 is not ...), or type G.657.A1 or type G.657.A2 (bend insensitive) fibers or the requirements in ... recommended (or whatever the case is). SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Insert comma. as in 151.11.1: ...), or type G.657.A1 or type G.657.A2 (bend insensitive) fibers, or the requirements in ... Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 168 SC 168.11 P47 # 211 L39 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status X SC 168.10 C/ 168 P46 L26 # 210 "168.11 Requirements for interoperation between 100GBASE-BRx PMDs" other similar Dawe, Piers Nvidia material e.g. in 151 doesn't say "Requirements for". Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy may not support operation 10 km for 100GBASE-BR10, 20 km for 100GBASE-BR20 or 40 Delete "Requirements for" here and in the table title. km for 100GBASE-BR40. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy may not support operation \*at\* 10 km for 100GBASE-BR10, 20 km for 100GBASE-BR20 or 40 km for 100GBASE-BR40.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 168 SC 168.11 P47 L47 # 219 C/ 168 SC 168.12.3 P**49** L13 # 215 Dudek, Mike Marvell Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type TR There is only one fiber between the BR20 and BR40 PMD's so there can't be different loss The ONU silent start applies to the U PHYs but not the D PHYs specs for the two directions. To be compliant in both directions it appears that the loss SuggestedRemedy between BR20 and BR40 would have to be min 8.3dB and max 10dB which is a very small range but could be specified. Create major options for U and D. Status of F12 ONU silent start becomes U:M SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Collapse the two rows in Table 168-15 into one row. With min loss of 8.3dB and max loss of 10dB C/ 168 SC 168.12.3 P49 L15 # 104 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X C/ 168 SC 168.12.1 P48 L14 # 213 INS is used as a conditonal in 168.12.4.8 so it needs a \* Dawe, Piers Nvidia SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Add a \* before INS in the item column in 168.12.3 Links to be made by staff Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Should be forest green. Also at like 47 C/ 168 SC 168.12.3 P49 L28 # 109 Proposed Response Response Status O Zimmerman, George ADI, APLgp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse Comment Type T Comment Status X # 214 C/ 168 SC 168.12.1 P48 L28 Delay constaints is a section of the PICS, not a capability or option. These are requiremetrs Nvidia that need to be spelled out in their own table. Dawe. Piers Comment Type Ε Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Blank sub-row? Delete row "DC" in 168.12.3, add new section 168.12.4.1 Delay and skew specifications and renumber subsequent PICS statements. Go through 168.3 and call out the delay SuggestedRemedy constraint requirments one-by-one to populate (this is where having the "shalls" would have Remove Also in 168 12 3 twice been useful). Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O

C/ Content SC Contents	P <b>12</b>	L <b>0</b>	# 133
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type <b>E</b> Contents is missing	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Add Contents			
Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Cl Introdu SC Introduction	on <i>P</i> 8	L <b>4</b>	# 56
Regev, Alon	Keysight		
Comment Type <b>E</b> "802.3xx" should be "802	Comment Status X 2.3dk"		
SuggestedRemedy "802.3xx" should be "802	2.3dk"		
Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ Introdu SC Introduction	on <i>P</i> 8	L31	# <u>5</u> 5
Regev, Alon	Keysight		
Comment Type <b>E</b> "802.3xx" should be "802			
SuggestedRemedy "802.3xx" should be "802	2.3dk"		
Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ Particip SC Participan	ts P7	L <b>4</b>	# 54
Regev, Alon	Keysight		
Comment Type <b>E</b> "P802.3xx" should be "P	Comment Status X 802.3dk"		
SuggestedRemedy change "P802.3xx" to "P	802.3dk"		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0		