C/ FM SC FM P1 L23 # 112

Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony,SenTekse

Comment Type ER Comment Status X bucket\_EZ

This draft is amending IEEE Std 802.3-2022 which has already been amended now by at least 9 published amendments, and at least one in WG ballot ahead of this draft. It is important to keep track of the other changes so that the new changes are properly correlated with clause numbers and other changes made. Since this amendment makes changes to clauses 30 & 45 in places near or at where other amendments have, this may create errors. Hence my marking this comment, which seems minor, as required.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Replace "IEEE Std 802.3y-20xx" with the list of published amendements and those ahead of this amendment in the process. (Note - Include at least the published amendments (dd, cs, db, ck, de, cx, cz, cy, df, and Cor1 listed in the introduction), as well as 802.3da which is ahead of this amendment.

Editor to review edits to existing clauses (30, 45, and 80) to determine whether any section numbering or editing instructions for location of changes are altered.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment #24.

C/ FM SC FM P1 L23 # 24

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The list of amendments is missing.

Currently ratified amendments are listed in the proposed response.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "as amended by IEEE Std 802.3yy-20xx"

to

"as amended by IEEE Std 802.3dd-2022, IEEE Std 802.3cs-2022, IEEE Std 802.3db-2022, IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022, IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022, IEEE Std 802.3cz-2023, IEEE Std 802.3cz-2023, IEEE Std 802.3cz-2023, IEEE Std 802.3cz-2024, and IEEE Std 802.3cz-2024".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ FM SC FM P1 L26 # 132

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ

Task Force review

SuggestedRemedy

Working Group ballot

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ FM SC FM P1 L26 # 25

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket\_EZ

Task Force review has completed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Task Force review" to "Working Group Ballot".

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment #132.

C/ FM SC FM P8 L3 # 26

Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket\_EZ

The placeholders in the text box should be replaced.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from

"IEEE Std 802.3xx-20xx, IEEE Draft Standard for Ethernet. Amendment: Amendment title (copy from PAR)."

to

bucket EZ

"IEEE Std 802.3dk-20xx, IEEE Draft Standard for Ethernet—Amendment: Bidirectional 100 Gb/s Optical Access PHYs"

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ FM SC FM P8 L31 # 27 C/ 00 SC 0 P1 L13 # 222 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Status X Comment Status D Comment Type Ε bucket EZ Comment Type bucket EZ The Ammendment title is supposed to match the name in the PAR Placeholder should be replaced SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE Std 802.3xx-20xx" to "IEEE Std 802.3dk-20xx" Change: Amendment: Bidirectional 100 Gb/s Optical Access PHYs To: Amendment: Greater than 50 Gb/s Bidirectional Optical Access PHYs Task Force Proposed Response Response Status W Also change this on the heading of each page. PROPOSED ACCEPT Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 00 SC 0 P0LO # 131 Nvidia Dawe, Piers C/ 00 SC 0 P**7** L31 Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ Lusted, Kent Synopsys pdf metadata is at default Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket\_EZ SuggestedRemedy Fill in the balloter information in the introduction Populate with correct data SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Fill in the balloter information in the introduction PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W See comment #53. PROPOSED ACCEPT. # 53 C/ 00 SC 0 P**0** L0 C/ 00 SC 0**P7** L50 Regev, Alon Keysight Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket\_EZ Comment Status X Comment Type E bucket EZ PDF document properties contain incorrect data: Tile is listed as "IEEE Draft P802.3xx" IEEE-SA Standards Board member names to be supplied at publication Author is listed as "IEEE P802.3xx Task Force" SuggestedRemedy Subject is listed as "IEEE P802.3aj" Copyright notice is "Copyright © 201x IEEE. All rights reserved." Replace, "Konstantinos Karachalios, Secretary" SuggestedRemedy with, "FirstName SecondName, Secretary" change Title fron "IEEE Draft P802.3xx" to "IEEE Draft P802.3dk" Proposed Response Response Status W change Author fron "IEEE P802.3xx Task Force" to "IEEE P802.3dk Task Force" change Subject from "IEEE P802.3aj" to ""IEEE P802.3dk" PROPOSED ACCEPT. change copyright notice from "Copyright © 201x IEEE. All rights reserved." to "Copyright © 2025 IEEE. All rights reserved."

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT

Response Status W

C/ 00 SC 0 P8 L4 # 223 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L11 # 122 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Huber, Thomas Nokia Comment Status D bucket EZ Comment Type ER Comment Type Comment Status X bucket EZ The box under "Introduction" needs to be updated with P802.3dk information. 100GBASE-T is not included in 30.5.1.1.2 of 802.3-2022 or any of its amendments. Further, In the rest of 30.5.1.1.2, the PHYs are listed alphabetically by rate; as such, this SuggestedRemedy set BRxx PHYs should be inserted before 100GBASE-CR Change: Std 802.3-20xx SuggestedRemedy To: Std 802.3dk-202x Change the editing instruction to say "Insert the following types into the "APPROPRIATE and Change: Amendment title (copy from PAR) SYNTAX" section of 30.5.1.1.2 after 50GBASE-SR:" To: Greater than 50 Gb/s Bidirectional Optical Access PHYs Task Force Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment #135. See comment #26. C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L12 # 105 C/ 00 SC 0 P11 L2 # 7 Maguire, Valerie Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Copperopolis (aff'l w/ CME Consulting and Cisco) Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ Comment Type ER Comment Status X bucket EZ 100GBASF-T does not exist Consider adding placeholder information for P802.3da SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instructions to be "Insert the following types into the "APPROPRIATE Insert SYNTAX" section of 30.5.1.1.2 before 100GBASE-CR1 (as inserted by IEEE Std. 802.3ck-"IEEE Std 802.3da™-20xx 2022):" Amendment X- This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2022 and adds Clause 188 through Clause 189. This amendment adds Physical Layer specifications and Proposed Response Response Status W management parameters for enhancement of multidrop 10 Mb/s operation based on the PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 10BASE-T1S PHY specified in Clause 147 of IEEE Std 802.3-2022, and specifies optional See comment #135. provision of power over single balanced pair mixing segments. Additionally, this amendment includes additions and changes to C/ 30 P12 SC 30.5.1.1.2 L12 # 113 Clause 148 to automatically allocate node IDs (Dynamic PLCA)." (Editor to ensure that TM is superscript) Zimmerman, George ADI.APLap.Cisco.Marvell.OnSemi.Sonv.SenTekse Proposed Response Comment Type E Response Status W Comment Status X bucket EZ As a card-carrying BASE-T guy, I'm pretty sure we never got so audacious as to do PROPOSED ACCEPT. 100GBASE-T. Where does this go? Most of these are alphanumeric, so I think the new P11 MAUs are the first in the 100G section... (whereas "T" would be in an odd place, near the C/ 00 SC 0 L 54 # 258 end, and the location of the new row in the fault description tables is grouped after the Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** LR4/ER4 row... - that's why I'm not sure) Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket EZ SugaestedRemedy Missing table of contents change 100GBASE-T to 50GBASE-SR SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Create table of contents and insert after the introductory material and before Clause 30. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #135. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **30** SC **30.5.1.1.2** 

Page 3 of 28 2025/4/18 22:58:27 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L12 # 135 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L 20 # 114 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Zimmerman, George ADI, APLgp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Ε bucket EZ bucket FZ Unlike most tables which are in order of MAC rate - reach - length, this is in alphanumeric "Clause 168" references should be active cross-references (hyperlinks) - they are not. order SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "Clause 168" text at lines 20, 23, 26, 29, 32, and 35 with active cross references Change "30.5.1.1.2 after 100GBASE-T" to "30.5.1.1.2 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3ck-Proposed Response Response Status W 2022), after 50GBASE-SR and before 100GBASE-CR1" PROPOSED ACCEPT Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L23 # 138 Dawe, Piers Nvidia P12 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 L16 # 136 Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Dawe. Piers Nvidia Trailing blanks Ε Comment Status X Comment Type bucket EZ SuggestedRemedy So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place Remove. It looks like there are five in this page SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Please show one row before and one after the new material PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L23 # 139 Editor's note: Dawe. Piers Nvidia one row before is: 50GBASE-SR 50GBASE-R PCS/PMA over multimode fiber PMD as specified in Clause 138 Comment Type Ε Comment Status X bucket EZ one row after is: 100GBASE-CR2 100GBASE-R PCS/PMA over 2 lane shielded copper Three dots balanced cable PMD as specified in Clause 136. SuggestedRemedy # 58 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P12 L20 Should not be underlined Regev. Alon Kevsiaht Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type Ε Comment Status X bucket EZ PROPOSED ACCEPT. References to "Clause 168" should be links Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P13 L10 # 224 SugaestedRemedy Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** on lines 20, 23, 26, 29, 32, and 35 make the references to "Clause 168" into links to "Clause 168" Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Proposed Response Response Status W Includes an unchanged row when the editing instructions say it doesn't. PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy See comment #114. Delete unchanged row Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.7.4**  Page 4 of 28 2025/4/18 22:58:27

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P13 L12 # 29 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.5 P13 L40 # 225 Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Status X Comment Status D Comment Type ER bucket EZ Comment Type E bucket EZ Table 45-9 has been amended multiple times. The editorial instruction should state which Includes an unchanged row when the editing instructions say it doesn't. version the amendment is based on. SuggestedRemedy Similarly for other tables in existing clauses (45 and 80). I believe the current version of table 45-9 is in 802.3df-2024. Other tables may be in Delete unchanged row different amendments. Proposed Response Response Status W (P802.3da is also in flight but I assume P802.3dk is planned to be completed first) PROPOSED ACCEPT The label in the suggested remedy is based on the label in 802.3df. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.8 P14 L5 # 143 SuggestedRemedy Dawe. Piers Nvidia In the editorial instruction before Table 45-9, change the text to: Insert a new row in Table 45-9 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3db-2022, IEEE Std 802.3ck-Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ 2022, and IEEE 802.3df-2024) after the row for "100GBASE-LR4, 100GBASE-ER4", as So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place follows (some unchanged rows not shown):" Change other instructions in clause 45 and clause 80 as appropriate. SuggestedRemedy Please show one row before and one after the new material Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Proposed Response Response Status W Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. PROPOSED REJECT. See comment #226 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P13 L20 # 140 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.8.1 P13 # 142 Dawe. Piers Nvidia L48 Comment Status D Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Ε bucket EZ So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Table 45-12 is part of 45.2.1.8 not 45.2.1.8.1 SuggestedRemedy Please show one row before and one after the new material SuggestedRemedy Delete "45.2.1.8.1 PMD transmit disable 14 (1.9.15)" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status W See comment #224. PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.5 P13 # 141 L38 Cl 45 P14 SC 45.2.1.8.1 L7 # 226 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Type Е Comment Status D bucket EZ Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place Includes an unchanged row when the editing instructions say it doesn't. SugaestedRemedv SuggestedRemedy Please show one row before and one after the new material Delete unchanged row Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment #225.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.8.1**  Page 5 of 28 2025/4/18 22:58:27

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b P14 L13 # 123 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b P14 L13 # 227 Huber, Thomas Nokia Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Ε bucket EZ The clause number for BiDi PMA/PMD extended ability 2 (register 1.35) is 45.2.1.33. The The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cptable number is 45-37. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the clause number from 45.2.1.27b to 45.2.1.33, change the editing instruction to Change 45.2.1.27b to 45.2.1.33 and reorder to put the clauses in the correct order. say "Insert new rows in Table 45-37 above the row for "1.35.5" as shown (additional Proposed Response Response Status W unchanged rows not shown):", and change the table number from 45-31b to 45-37. PROPOSED ACCEPT Proposed Response Response Status W See comment #144. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #144. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b P14 L15 # 228 Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b P14 L13 # 97 Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Slavick, Jeff Broadcom The Table numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-Comment Status X Comment Type ER bucket EZ 2021. Std 802.3-2022 BiDi PMA/PMD extended ability 2 is clause 45.2.1.33 and Table 45-37. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change Table 45-31b to Table 45-37, also on line 19 Fix the Clause numbering to align with base standard and update editing instructions Proposed Response Response Status W appropriately. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b P14 L39 # 145 See comment #144. Dawe, Piers Nvidia SC 45.2.1.27b P**14** C/ 45 L13 # 144 Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Dawe. Piers Nvidia So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket\_EZ SugaestedRemedy Wrong subclause number Please show one row after the new material SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change 45.2.1.27b to 45.2.1.33 and move this and its subclauses after 45.2.1.28. PROPOSED REJECT Follow the format of da, dj. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Change 45.2.1.27b to 45.2.1.33 and move this and its subclauses after 45.2.1.33.6.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b P14 L44 # 98 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Status X Comment Type ER bucket EZ The descriptions of the bits are done from highest number bit to lowest. So the new clauses that are adding higher numbered bits should be inserted "before" the existing subclases describing bits 5:0. SuggestedRemedy Change editing instruction to insert the new clauses "before 45.2.1.33.1" (after correcting to base standard clause numbers) Response Status W Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.7 L43 # 115 P14 Zimmerman, George ADI, APLqp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse Comment Status X Comment Type E bucket FZ Insert editorial instructions are without underscores (those are for inserts on a "Change" instruction) SuggestedRemedy Remove underscores in 45.2.1.27b.7 through 45.2.1.27b.12 (including on paragraph text). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.7 P14 L43 # 229 IVN Solutions LLC

Wienckowski Natalie

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-2021. Also, the higher number bits are defined before the lower number bits so the new bit definitions go before the existing ones.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 45.2.1.27b.7-45.2.1.27b.12 after 45.2.1.27b.6 To: 45.2.1.33.a-45.2.1.33.f before 45.2.1.33.1

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment #144

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.7 P14 L45 # 230

Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket FZ

The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-

SuggestedRemedy

Change 45.2.1.27b.7 to 45.2.1.33.a

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT See comment #144.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.7 P14 L46 # 124

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type Comment Status X bucket EZ

The new clauses related to the new bits in table 45-37 need to be inserted in 45.2.1.33 rather than in 45.2.1.27b. Since the current set of subclauses go from bit 5 to bit 0 (i.e., 45.2.1.33.1 concerns bit 5, 45.2.1.33.2 concerns bit 4, etc.), for consistency, the new subclauses be inserted before the existing subclauses rather than after them.

SuggestedRemedy

bucket FZ

Change the editing instruction to say "Insert new subclauses 45.2.1.33.a to 45.2.1.33.f before 45.2.1.33.1". Change the subclause headings as follows:

45.2.1.27b.7 --> 45.2.1.33.a

45.2.1.27b.8 --> 45.2.1.33.b

45.2.1.27b.9 --> 45.2.1.33.c

45.2.1.27b.10 --> 45.2.1.33.d

45.2.1.27b.11 --> 45.2.1.33.e

45.2.1.27b.12 --> 45.2.1.33.f

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #144.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.8 P15 **L1** # 231

Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-

2021.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 45.2.1.27b.8 to 45.2.1.33.b

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

bucket EZ

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.9 P15 L8 # 232 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.12 P15 L25 # 267 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 45.2.1.27b.9 to 45.2.1.33.c Change 45.2.1.27b.12 to 45.2.1.33.f Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT PROPOSED ACCEPT Cl 45 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.10 P15 L13 # 265 SC 45.2.1.28 P15 L51 # 150 Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status D Comment Type E bucket EZ Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-There is no following row 2021. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the row with three dots Change 45.2.1.27b.10 to 45.2.1.33.d Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Follow the format of da, dj. P15 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.27b.11 P15 L19 # 266 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.28 / 51 # 149 Dawe, Piers Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place The Clause numbers from P802.3-2022 need to be used, not the numbers from P802.3cp-2021. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please show one sub-row after the new material Change 45.2.1.27b.11 to 45.2.1.33.e Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Follow the format of da, dj.

C/ 80 SC 80.2.3 P16 L2 # 153 C/ 80 SC 80.2.5 P16 L2 # 154 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Ε Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type bucket Comment Type E bucket Table 80-1, 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s PHYs 80.2.3 (as modified by 3ck) and 80.2.5 need additions SuggestedRemedy Table 80-5, Nomenclature and clause correlation (100GBASE-P optical) Per comment need additions SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Per comment PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Add 100GBASE-BRx in Clause 80.2.3 and 80.2.5 as: Proposed Response Response Status W 80.2.3 Forward error correction (FEC) sublavers PROPOSED REJECT. A forward error correction sublayer is optional for 40GBASE-KR4, 40GBASE-CR4, and See related contents in Clause 157. 100GBASE-CR10 PHYs and mandatory for 100GBASE-CR2. 100GBASE-CR4. 100GBASE-KR2. 100GBASE-C/ 80 SC 80.2.5 P16 L2 # 155 100GBASE-KP4, 100GBASE-SR2, 100GBASE-SR4, 100GBASE-DR, 100GBASE-FR1, Dawe Piers Nvidia 100GBASE-LR1. 100GBASE-ZR. and 100GBASE-BRx PHYs. Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Table 80-8. Summary of Skew constraints 80.2.5 Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer The 40GBASE-R. 100GBASE-R. 100GBASE-P. and 100GBASE-Z PMDs and their Table 80-9. Summary of Skew Variation constraints corresponding media need additions are specified in Clause 84 through Clause 89, Clause 92 through Clause 95, Clause 136 through Clause 138. SuggestedRemedy Clause 140. Clause 154. and Clause 168. Per comment C/ 80 SC 80.4 P16 L6 # 156 Proposed Response Response Status W Dawe. Piers Nvidia PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Add Clause 168.3.2 to Table 80-8. Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Table 80-9 should remain unchanged because Skew variation has no meaning for single This table is ordered by MAC rate - reach - length, so these rows don't go at the end lane PHYs SuggestedRemedy Change "Insert three new rows at the end of Table 80-7" to "Insert three new rows in Table 80-7 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3db-2022) between 100GBASE-LR1 PMD and 100GBASE-FR4 PMD"

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implemented suggested remedy with editorial license.

Response Status W

C/ 80 SC 80.4 P16 L12 # 157 C/ 80 SC 80.4 P16 L17 # 159 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Status D bucket EZ Ε bucket Comment Type E So that the reviewers can confirm that the new material is inserted in the correct place This is a long table and this amendment makes it longer, so it should make the consequential change. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please show one row before and one after the new material Split the table into two, one for 40G and one for 100G. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Follow the format of da, dj. 802.3 editor will do. P16 # 101 C/ 80 SC 80.4 L13 C/ 135 SC 135.5.7 P16 L30 # 162 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type TR Comment Status D bucket EZ Comment Type T Comment Status D bucket The other optical PHYs in this table note that the delay time includes 2m of fiber. Should precoding be allowed as an option? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "Includes 2m of fiber." before the See 168.3.1 Consider including precoding (135.5.7) as an option. This could be controlled by the Proposed Response Response Status W network operator according to experience. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. C/ 80 SC 80.4 P16 L13 # 158 Clause 135 is not included in dk draft Dawe. Piers Nvidia C/ 157 SC 1.3 P18 **L1** # 220 Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket\_EZ Effenberger, Frank To match the existing entries Futurewei Technologies Comment Status X Comment Type E bucket SuggestedRemedy Figure 157-1(a) is unfortunate in how it breaks over the pages, and then Table 157-2 gets Insert "Includes 2 m of fiber." 3 times broken up in a weird way. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Try to squeeze all four speeds onto a single figure. See comment #101. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #127.

C/ 157 SC 157 P17 **L1** # 126 C/ 157 SC 157 P17 **L1** Huber, Thomas Nokia Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Status D Comment Status X Comment Type bucket EZ Comment Type ER This clause is missing editing instructions Missing editorial instruction for clause 157. It seems that this amendment includes the whole clause with changes. But instructions SuggestedRemedy should be given for specific changes, per the IEEE SA style manual. Add an editing instruction at the top of the page: "Change clauses 157 and 157.1 as shown:" Especially, changes to tables and the addition of a new figure 157-1a should be separate Add an editing instruction below clause 157.2: "Change clause 157.2.1 as shown" instructions. Tables that are not changed at all (such as table 157-3 through table 157-5) Delete tables 157-3 through 157-5, as they are not being modified. should not appear in the draft. Add an editing instruction: "Insert Table 157-6 below Table 157-5." Add an editing instruction: "Change clauses 157.2.2 through 157.2.5 as shown:" Previous amendments can be used as references. Delete clauses 157.2.6 and 157.2.7 since they are not being modified. SuggestedRemedy Add an editing instruction: "Change clauses 157.3, 157.4, and 157.6 as shown:" Add editorial instructions to each subclause that is changed, as done in previous Proposed Response Response Status W amendments. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W See comment #102. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 157 SC 157 P17 L1 # 116 See comment #102. Zimmerman. George ADI, APLgp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse C/ 157 SC 157.1 P17 **L1** Comment Type E Comment Status D Slavick, Jeff Broadcom There is no editing instruction for this clause. As the entire clause seems to be included Comment Type TR Comment Status D here, marked with 'change' editing marks (which is more than you need), it seems "Change title and text of Clause 157 as shown:" may be used - even though it is REALLY unusual." There is no editing instruction for Clause 157 which is an existing base standard clause. SuggestedRemedy

SuggestedRemedy

Insert editing instruction prior to header. "Change title and text of Clause 157 as shown:"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #102

being changed. Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

Reduce the amount of text. Figures and Tables to only be changes being made to Clause 157 and not the entire Clause. Inserting appropriate editing instructions for section that is

# 30

# 102

bucket FZ

bucket EZ

C/ 157 SC 157.1.1 P17 L3 # 233 C/ 157 SC 157.1.2 P17 L30 # 81 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Simms, William NVIDIA Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Comment Type Comment Status X bucket EZ Ε Missing editorial instruction. missing 'and' before 80.1.3 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change the first and second paragraphs of 157.1.1 as follows: add the 'and' to be consistent with the one removed in front of 50 Gb/s Delete third paragraph as it has no changes. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See comment #102. C/ 157 SC 157.1.2 P17 L30 Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. C/ 157 SC 157.1.2 P17 L23 # 234 Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Missing "and" before 80.1.3 Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket\_EZ Missing editorial instruction. SuggestedRemedy Insert "and" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Add editorial instruction: Change 157.1.2 as follows: Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 157 SC 157.1.2 P17 L30 See comment #102 Regev, Alon Keysight C/ 157 SC 157.1.2 P17 L28 # 235 Comment Status X Comment Type E bucket EZ Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC "80.1.3" shoul be "and 80.1.3" Comment Type ER Comment Status D bucket EZ SuggestedRemedy References to external points not properly indicated. Per the instructions in the FM draft change "80.1.3" to "and 80.1.3" template: Any cross references that refer to clauses, tables, equations, or figures not covered by this amendment are highlighted in green. This is done by applying a character Proposed Response Response Status W tag of "External". PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Correct all external references per instructions throughout the document.

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

C/ 157 SC 157.1.2 P17 L30 # 86 Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd Comment Status X bucket EZ Comment Type ER in "131.1.2 (for 50 Gb/s), 80.1.3 (for 100 Gb/s)", missing and SugaestedRemedy change to "131.1.2 (for 50 Gb/s), and 80.1.3 (for 100 Gb/s)" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT P17 C/ 157 SC 157.1.3 L51 # 236 Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Comment Status D Comment Type E bucket EZ Missing editorial instruction. The entire subclause shouldn't be included, only the changes should be included. SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change row "r" of Table 157-1 as follows (unchanged rows not Delete text before the table and unchanged table rows. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. C/ 157 SC 157 1 3 P18 / 1 # 237 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Missing editorial instruction. The unchanged figure should not be included. SugaestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change title of Figure 157-1 as follows: Delete the figure. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See comment #127.

The architecture is essentially the same for all rates. Rather than introducing figure 157-1a to introduce the 100G rate, it would be better to use a single figure that is more generic and covers the architecture of all rates.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Take figure 157-1a as a starting point. Change "CGMII" to "XGMII, 25GMII, 50GMII, or CGMII". Change the PCS to "10GBASE-R, 25GBASE-R, 50GBASE-R, or 100GBASE-R PCS" (or maybe just "rGBASE-R PCS", referencing the nomenclature introducted in Table 157-1). Add note 1 to the FEC sublayer, saying "conditional for 10GBASE-BRx based on PHY type". At the bottom, list all the various PMDs, or use a generic "rGBASE-BRx" label. Replace the existing figure 157-1 with the figure described in this comment..Undo the modifications to the title of Figure 157-1. Delete new figure 157-1a.and the reference to it in 157.1.2 (which is not shown as a change in the draft).

Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 157 SC 157.1.3 P18

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The table should not be broken up with a Figure in the middle of it. Only the new rows should be shown

**IVN Solutions LLC** 

L35

# 238

bucket FZ

#### SuggestedRemedy

Wienckowski, Natalie

Add editorial instruction: Insert new rows at the end of Table 157-2 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):

Delete the first 18 rows of the table which are not changed.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

CI 157 SC 157.1.3 P18 L35 # 239
Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC
Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket\_EZ
Missing editorial instruction.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Add editorial instruction: Change the second paragraph of 157.1.3 as follows:

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 157 SC 157.1.3 P18 L42 # 4 C/ 157 SC 157.2 P21 L15 Schreiner, Stephan Rosenberger Hochfrequenztechnik GmbH & Co. KG D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei Comment Status X Comment Status D Comment Type E bucket Comment Type ER bucket EZ Table 157-2 - Multi-Gigabit Ethernet BiDi PHYs is interrupted by Figure 157-1a -As currently written. Note A only applies to the one cell where it is denoted, when in Architectural positioning of 100 Gb/s Ethernet BiDi PHYs. actuality it applies to all of the various cells in the clause columns Also applies to Table 157-4, 157-5, and 157-6 SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Reorder from: "Figure 157-1 ... Table 157-2 ... Figure 157-1a ... Table 157-2 (continued)" to "Figure 157-1 ... Figure 157-1a ... Table 157-2" Move the current location of Note A in table to next to "Clause." Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment #127. C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P20 L45 # 242 C/ 157 SC 157.1.3 P19 **L1** # 240 Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket\_EZ Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket Missing editorial instruction. Missing editorial instruction. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change title 157.2.1 as follows: Add editorial instruction: Insert Figure 157-1a after Figure 157-1 as follows: Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #127 SC 157.2.1 # 243 C/ 157 P20 L48 C/ 157 SC 157.1.4 P**20** L37 # 241 Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Comment Type E Comment Status X Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** bucket EZ Missing editorial instruction. Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket\_EZ Missing editorial instruction. SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change the last sentence of the first paragraph of 157.2.1 as SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change 157.1.4 as follows: Move all text to before the tables. Delete the unchanged sentences as they have no Proposed Response changes. Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

# 244 C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P21 **L1** C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P22 L32 # 59 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Regev, Alon Keysight Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Comment Type Comment Status X Ε bucket EZ Delete unchanged content. The clause numbers in the column headers should be links to the clauses SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete Table 157-3. Table 157-4. Table 157-5. change the column labels "81", "82", "91", "83", "83A", 83B", "83D", "83E", "135", "135D", "135E", "135F", and "135G" into links Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT PROPOSED ACCEPT. This will be done by 802.3 editor. C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 P21 L15 # Schreiner, Stephan Rosenberger Hochfrequenztechnik GmbH & Co. KG C/ 157 SC 157.2.2 P23 L5 # 246 Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Table 157-3 has ist footnote index on the Mandatory "M" in line 2, column 3. All other tables Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ have this footnote index on line 2 column 2. Missing editorial instruction. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the footnote index position to the Line: "10GBASE-BR10-D", column "EEE" Add editorial instruction: Change 157.2.2 as follows: Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. See comment #1. P22 C/ 157 SC 157.2.1 / 26 # 245 C/ 157 SC 157.2.3 P23 / 12 # 247 Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC IVN Solutions LLC** Wienckowski, Natalie Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ Missing editorial instruction. Missing editorial instruction. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Insert Table 157-6 after Table 157-5 as follows: Add editorial instruction: Change 157.2.3 as follows: Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 157 SC 157.2.4 P23 L19 # 248 C/ 157 SC 157.2.7 P23 L43 # 251 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Missing editorial instruction. Unchanged subclause SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change the second and third paragraphs of 157.2.4 as follows: Delete 157.2.7 Delete the first paragraph as it doesn't have any changes. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. See comment #102. C/ 157 SC 157.3 P23 C/ 157 SC 157.2.4 P23 L20 # 164 L51 # 252 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ Comment Type E bucket EZ Missing editorial instruction. medium independent SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Insert paragraph at the end of 157.3 as follows: medium-independent Delete unchanged paragraphs. Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. C/ 157 SC 157.2.5 P23 L34 # 249 C/ 157 SC 157.3 P24 17 Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket Comment Type E Comment Status X Bucket EZ Missing editorial instruction. Missing period at the end of the last paragraph. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change 157.2.5 as follows: Add a period. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 157 SC 157.2.6 P23 # 250 L39 IVN Solutions LLC Wienckowski. Natalie Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Unchanged subclause SuggestedRemedy Delete 157.2.6 Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #102.

C/ **157** SC **157.3**  Page 16 of 28 2025/4/18 22:58:27

C/ 157 SC 157.3 P**24** L7 # 106 C/ 157 SC 157.4 P24 L10 # 253 Zimmerman, George ADI, APLgp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ bucket EZ 80.3 should be an External cross reference or else bring it in to the draft (do changes need Missing editorial instruction. to be made? - the existing 80.3 does NOT reference that it applies to 100GBASE-BRx PHYs SuggestedRemedy ....) Add editorial instruction: Insert paragraph at the end of 157.4 as follows: SuggestedRemedy Delete unchanged paragraphs. Mark 80.3 as External (green) or bring it into the draft with appropriate changes to refernece Proposed Response Response Status W application to 100GBASE-BRx PHYs. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 157 SC 157.4 P**24** L22 # 165 See comment #60. Dawe, Piers Nvidia SC 157.3 P**24** L7 C/ 157 # 60 Comment Status D Comment Type T bucket Regev, Alon Keysight I think that the normative delay specs are in 168.3, and what is in 80.4 is sort of a restatement for clarity. Comment Status X Comment Type E bucket\_EZ SuggestedRemedy "80 3" should be a link Change "in 80.4" to "in 168.3 (and see 80.4)" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response change "80.3" to a link to "80.3" Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W Clause 80.4 and 168.3 specifications are the same. PROPOSED ACCEPT This will be done by 802.3 editor. C/ 157 SC 157.4 P24 L23 # 121 Zimmerman, George ADI, APLqp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse # 61 C/ 157 SC 157.3 P**24** L23 Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ Keysight Regev, Alon 80.4 should be an active cross reference. Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ SugaestedRemedy "80.4" should be a link Replace 80.4 with an active cross reference SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W change "80.4" to a link to "80.4" PROPOSED ACCEPT Proposed Response Response Status W This will be done by 802.3 editor. PROPOSED ACCEPT See comment #121.

C/ 157 SC 157.4 P**24** L24 # 166 C/ 157 SC 157.6 P24 L49 # 256 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε bucket bucket EZ Skew and Skew Variation is missing broken link SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add text to refer to 168.3.2. and refer to 80.5 fix the Clause 45 link as it is in the document. Correct links not in the document as already requested. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT PROPOSED ACCEPT Skew doen't apply to single lane PHYs. C/ 157 SC 157.5 P24 L39 # 254 C/ 157 SC 157.6 P24 L 50 # 167 Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket Unchanged subclause Clause 114, Clause 158 through Clause 160Clause 168, and related annexes demonstrates SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete 157.5 Clause 114, Clause 158 Xthrough Clause 160X, Clause 159, Clause 160, or Clause 168. and related annexes demonstrates Proposed Response Response Status W [inserting a comma] PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W See comment #102. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P**24** L47 # 255 C/ 157 SC 157.6 Change the description as: Clause 45, Clause 49, Clause 73, Clause 74, Clause 106 through Clause 112, Clause 114, Wienckowski. Natalie IVN Solutions LLC Clause 158 through Clause 160, Clause 168, and related annexes. Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket\_EZ C/ 157 SC 157.6 P24 L 50 # 128 Missing editorial instruction. Huber, Thomas Nokia SuggestedRemedy Comment Status D Comment Type bucket Add editorial instruction: Change the first paragraph of 157.6 as follows: Delete the second paragraph as it doesn't have any changes. The change to replace clause 160 with 168 is not correct; clauses 161 to 167 are unrelated to bidirectional PHYs Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. Undo the replacement of 160 with 168, and add Clause 168 to the list, so it reads "... Clause 114. Clause 158 through Clause 160. Clause 168. and related annexes..." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

See comment #167

C/ 157 SC 157.6 P**24** L51 # 103 C/ 168 SC 168 P26 **L1** # 117 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Zimmerman, George ADI,APLgp,Cisco,Marvell,OnSemi,Sony,SenTekse Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type TR bucket bucket EZ Clauses 161-167 are related to things other than the BiDi PMDs Again, no editing instruction. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the strikethough of Clause 160 and insert a . between Clause 160 and Clause 168 Insert editing instruction prior to header. "Insert Clause 168 after Clause 167." Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See comment #167. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. SC 158.2 C/ 168 C/ 158 P28 L12 # 63 SC 168.1 P26 **L6** # 120 Zimmerman, George ADI.APLap.Cisco.Marvell.OnSemi.Sonv.SenTekse Regev. Alon Kevsiaht Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ Comment Type E Comment Status X While the editor's notes on where things came from are helpful, they need eventually to be "80.3" should be a link removed. They've probably served their purpose now, through d2.0, and may be deleted. SuggestedRemedy but if you want to keep them around, they should be deleted prior to SA ballot. change "80.3" to a link to "80.3" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Either: change editor's notes to say "Editor's note (to be removed prior to SA Ballot:" or PROPOSED ACCEPT. delete Editor's notes on origin for subclauses. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 168 SC 168 P**26** L0 # 257 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Wienckowski Natalie IVN Solutions LLC The editor's notes will be removed. Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket\_EZ C/ 168 SC 168.1 P26 L7 # 100 Missing editorial instruction. Slavick, Jeff Broadcom SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status X bucket EZ Add editorial instruction: Insert Clause 168 Remove all the Editors notes stating what the section was leveraged from. This note has Proposed Response Response Status W no life span and should not be included in the publication. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. SuggestedRemedy Remove all the Editors notes stating which clause was used to create the current clause L1 C/ 168 SC 168 P26 # 35 (10 occurences). Ran. Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Status X Comment Type ER bucket EZ PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Missing editorial instruction for clause 168. See comment #120. SuggestedRemedy Add an editorial instruction: "Insert new clause 168". Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement suggested remedy with editorial license.

C/ 168 SC 168.1 Page 19 of 28 2025/4/18 22:58:27

C/ 168 SC 168.1	P <b>26</b>	L <b>7</b>	# 259	C/ 168 SC 168.1	P <b>27</b>	L19	# 2
Wienckowski, Natalie	IVN Solutions	LLC		D'Ambrosia, John	Futurewei, U	.S. Subsidiary of	Huawei
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket_EZ	Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		bucket_EZ
	e editor's notes in D2.0 or later.			the bottom of the "Phy the top of the "Medium	/sical Layer" is incorrect - it in "	cludes the MDI a	ind should be drawn to
SuggestedRemedy	na which cubalcuse this is become	Lan Dalata sim	illor notos in 160 0	SuggestedRemedy			
	ng which subclause this is based i, 168.7, 168.8, 168.9, 168.10, an		iliai fioles iii 100.2,	27			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCE See comment #120				PROPOSED ACCEPT Maintenance required	for Figure 140-1 in Clause 14	0.	
C/ 168 SC 168.1	P <b>26</b>	L7	# 62	C/ 168 SC 168.1	P <b>27</b>	L <b>28</b>	# 172
Regev, Alon	Keysight			Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type <b>E</b> remove editor's not	Comment Status X es prior to publication		bucket_EZ	Comment Type E Layout	Comment Status D		bucket_EZ
SuggestedRemedy remove editor's not	es prior to publication			SuggestedRemedy Left justify			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE See comment #120				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Implement suggested in	Response Status <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. remedy with editorial license.		
C/ 168 SC 168.1	P <b>26</b>	L19	# 260	C/ 168 SC 168.1	P <b>27</b>	L36	# 173
Wienckowski, Natalie	IVN Solutions	LLC		Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type <b>E</b> broken link	Comment Status <b>D</b>		bucket_EZ	Comment Type <b>E</b> Blank line?	Comment Status D		bucket_EZ
SuggestedRemedy fix the Clause 45 lir	nk as it is in the document.			SuggestedRemedy Remove?			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		

C/ 168 SC 168.3.2 P28 L 52 # 107 C/ 168 SC 168.5.1 P30 L38 # 216 Zimmerman, George ADI, APLgp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse Dudek. Mike Marvell Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X bucket EZ Comment Type E bucket EZ Ε Active cross references to sections 80.5. Figure 80-9, 83.5.3.4, all point nowhere, they are poor English. not in the draft. SuggestedRemedy I will not comment more on cross references - however, there are numerous ones missed Delete the "be" in "are not typically be accessible" and they need to be checked. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Mark cross references as external. Editor to check the draft for external cross references PROPOSED ACCEPT globally and fix. Maintenance required for Clause 160. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 168 SC 168.5.1 P30 L39 # 174 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dawe. Piers Nvidia Implement suggested remedy with editorial license and discretion. Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ # 82 C/ 168 SC 168.4 P29 L26 This says "TP1 and TP4 ... (these test points are not typically be accessible in an implemented system)" but this is outdated. Clause 167 (100G/lane VR and SR says "might **NVIDIA** Simms. William not be accessible". Linear optical modules are feasible at 100G/lane now, at least for DR. Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket\_EZ Grammar: "are not typically be" strage break in body text and insertion of Tables SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "are not typically be" to "might not be" Leave text at line 23 and picking up at line 49 unbroken and move tables to appropriate Proposed Response Response Status W location PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W See comment #216. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. C/ 168 SC 168.5.4 P31 L23 # 175 C/ 168 SC 168.4 P29 # 261 L27 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Wienckowski. Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Comment Type T Comment Status D bucket EZ Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ inter-sublayer service interface primitives defined in 131.3. tables should not be in the middle of a paragraph SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy inter-sublayer service interface primitives defined in 80.3. Move Tables 168-2 and 168-3 after the paragraph text. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 168 SC 168.5.4 P31 L31 # 177 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status D Comment Type Ε bucket EZ This "base text" does not agree with the published 160.5 and similar sections in other clauses such as 140 and 167. "Must" is deprecated. SuggestedRemedy Change "an unavoidable consequence" to "a consequence". Change "must" to "need to". Make any other changes to align with the published 802.3 as appropriate.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 168 SC 168.5.9 P32 L21 # 129

Huber, Thomas Nokia

Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket\_EZ

The first sentence of this clause is a comma splice.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the comma with a semicolon, split into two separate sentences for the U and D PMDs, or write it as "The PMD\_receive\_fault function is mandatory in the 100GBASE-BRx-U PMD and optional in the 100GBASE-BRx-D PMD.".

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change it to:

The 100GBASE-BRx-U PMD shall include the PMD\_receive\_fault function. In the 100GBASE-BRx-D PMD, the PMD\_receive\_fault function is optional.

Cl 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L15 # 89

Mi, Guangcan Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd

Comment Type ER Comment Status X bucket\_EZ

the labe of wavelength is currently written as 100GBASE-BRx-D center wavelengths (range) or 100GBASE-BRx-U center wavelengths (range). This doesn't seem right. The upstream and down stream would only have one wavelength each. The wavelength can not be precisely controlled, thus a range is specified allowing the center wavelength to drift or shift. It is however a single wavelength, therefore the plural form here is not appropriate.

SuggestedRemedy

change wavelengths to wavelength in both cases of upstream and downstream.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Implement suggested remedy to Table 168-6 and Table 168-7.

Cl 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L19 # 178

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket\_EZ

For improved readability, where the parameter limits seem likely to remain the same for all 3 (6) PMDs...

SuggestedRemedy

As for the first four rows, merge and straddle the triple entries for

Side-mode suppression ratio (SMSR), (min)

Transmitter transition time (max)

RINxOMA (max)

Transmitter reflectance (max)

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L39 # 179

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ

Use the emerging standard order for these parameters (see Clause 151)

SuggestedRemedy

Move Average launch power of OFF transmitter (max)

to after Transmitter transition time (max)

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L46 # 180

Dawe, Piers Nvidia

Comment Type T Comment Status D

It's probably not worth testing some transmitters for TDECQ and RIN with 15 dB return loss and others with 15.6 dB. The cost in paperwork may outweigh any difference in yield.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider changing 15.6 to 15 here and in Table 168-11 (simplifying and being conservative).

Then RINxOMA can become RIN15OMA.

If it is thought worthwhile, the discrete reflectances for 100GBASE-BR10 in Table 168-14 and the channel optical return loss in Table 168-12 could be made slightly worse, to spend that 0.6 dB.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Small difference exists in other clauses, such as clause 140.

bucket EZ

C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 L50 # 41 C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P34 **L1** # 182 Ran, Adee Cisco Systems, Inc. Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type TR bucket EZ Comment Type Ε bucket EZ Footnote b of Table 167-7 refers to clause 139, but this clause is for a 50 Gb/s PMD and is In equations, functions and abbreviations of words should be upright not italic irrelevant. The relevant clause may be 140 instead (assuming it is consistent; if not, further SuggestedRemedy changes need to be made). Change max to upright, three times Also, external references should be indicated by "forest green" text color. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Change "Clause 139" to "Clause 140" and format as external reference (forest green). Follow the style manual. Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. Proposed Response Response Status W SC 168.6.3 L11 PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 168 P35 # 110 Zimmerman, George ADI, APLgp, Cisco, Marvell, On Semi, Sony, Sen Tekse C/ 168 SC 168.6.1 P33 # 72 L 50 Comment Type T Comment Status X bucket EZ Johnson, John Broadcom The unit for a power budget can't be dB - power has units - dBm, for example.... dB is only Comment Status D Comment Type TR bucket EZ good for ratios. It's unnecessary to compare with Cl. 139 in footnote (b). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either rename "Power budget" so it is clear it is a ratio, or change units to reflect a unit of power (e.g., dBm) Delete "Even though the representation of the OMAouter requirement is different from that in Clause 139, they are consistent." from footnote (b). Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT Power budget (dB)=channel insertion loss (dB) + allocation for penalties (dB) PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #41 C/ 168 SC 168.7.1 P36 L7 # 65 SC 168.6.1 P33 C/ 168 L51 # 181 Regev, Alon Kevsiaht Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ Comment Status X Comment Type Т bucket EZ "sidn" should be "side" A comparison with Clause 139 (50GBASE-FR LR ER) doesn't seem relevant; saying this is SuggestedRemedy consistent doesn't seem correct. change "sidn-mode" to "side-mode" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Delete the sentence "Even though the representation of the OMAouter requirement is PROPOSED ACCEPT. different from that in Clause 139, they are consistent."

Proposed Response

See comment #41

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Response Status W

Cl 168 SC 168.7.1	P <b>36</b>	L7	# 184	C/ 168 SC 168.7.5.3	P <b>38</b>	L <b>50</b>	# 46
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			Ran, Adee	Cisco Systen	ns, Inc.	
Comment Type E Sidn	Comment Status D		bucket_EZ	Comment Type ER Co Reference to 121.8.5.3 is not reference.	mment Status <b>D</b> a functional link. It sho	ould be formatted	bucket_EZ l as an external
SuggestedRemedy Side Proposed Response	Response Status W			Other similar external referen references), 168.7.11, 168.8.			168.7.13.3, 168.7.7 (3
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	•			SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 168 SC 168.7.1	P <b>36</b>	L7	# 45	Format all external references	· ·	olor.	
Ran, Adee	Cisco Syster		π 10	Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT.	sponse Status W		
Comment Type E Typo in "Sidn"	Comment Status D		bucket_EZ	Cl 168 SC 168.7.5.4	P <b>39</b>	L3	# 47
SuggestedRemedy				Ran, Adee	Cisco Systen	ns, Inc.	
Change to "Side"				Comment Type E Co	mment Status D		bucket_EZ
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	I Response Response Status <b>W</b>		"5 tap, T spaced" should be "5-tap, T-spaced". (see for example 167.8.6.1 in 802.3db)				
C/ 168 SC 168.7.4	P <b>37</b>	L <b>2</b>	# [185	I am aware that the same tex carried over to a new clause.	t exists in many previo	ous clauses, but a	n error should not be
Dawe, Piers	Nvidia			SuggestedRemedy			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket_EZ	Change per comment.			
Blank line(s)? SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response Res	sponse Status W		
Remove. Set the figure	e to float.			C/ 168 SC 168.7.5.4	P <b>39</b>	L3	# 83
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Simms, William	NVIDIA		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Comment Type <b>E</b> Co	mment Status X I line 3 and 17 with figu	ure n the mddle.	bucket_EZ
				SuggestedRemedy move figure to after the comp	leted text		
				Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPTED IN Implement suggested remedy			

C/ 168 SC 168.7.5	5.4 P39	L <b>27</b>	# 262	C/ 168 SC 168.7.10	P <b>40</b>	L <b>36</b>	# 190
Wienckowski, Natalie	IVN Solutions	LLC		Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
Comment Type <b>E</b> figures should not be	Comment Status <b>D</b> e in the middle of a paragraph		bucket_EZ	Comment Type <b>E</b> There is only one limit f	Comment Status <b>D</b> or this in the table		bucket_EZ
SuggestedRemedy Move Figure 168-5 a	after the paragraph text.			SuggestedRemedy Change limits to limit			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status <b>W</b> PT.			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
C/ 168 SC 168.7.6	6 P <b>39</b>	L <b>27</b>	# 92	C/ 168 SC 168.7.13.	1 P <b>42</b>	L35	# 263
li, Guangcan	Huawei Techr	nologies Co., Ltd		Wienckowski, Natalie	IVN Solutions	s LLC	·
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		bucket_EZ	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		bucket_E2
	lane is measured using the or TDECQ in 168.7.5, except tha	t the test fiber is	not used."	Figure 168-7 is part of 1  SuggestedRemedy	168.7.13.1, not 168.7.13.		
There is only one lan	ne in BRx PMDs in each directio	n.		Move Figure 168-7 afte	r the first paragraph of 168.7	7.13.1.	
SuggestedRemedy delete " of each lane'	п			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status <b>W</b> PT.			Cl 168 SC 168.7.13.	1	L <b>2</b>	# 200
C/ 168 SC 168.7.7	7 P <b>39</b>	L33	# 189	Comment Type <b>E</b>	Comment Status <b>D</b>		bucket_E2
Dawe, Piers Comment Type <b>E</b>	Nvidia Comment Status <b>D</b>		bucket_EZ	100 MHz			
There is only one lim			Subtract_LL	SuggestedRemedy Use non-breaking spac	e		
SuggestedRemedy Change limits to limit	<b>+</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W			PROPOSED ACCEPT See comment #79.	IN PRINCIPLE.		
PROPOSED ACCEP	<b>1</b> 1.			C/ 168 SC 168.8.1	P <b>44</b>	L3	# 203
				Dawe, Piers	Nvidia		
				Comment Type <b>E</b> Links to be made by sta	Comment Status <b>D</b>		bucket_E2
				SuggestedRemedy Should be forest green.	Also in 168.12.4.		
				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
YPF: TR/technical requi	ired FR/editorial required GR/o	neneral required	T/technical E/editorial G/	general	C/ 10	εg	Page 25 of 28

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 168 Page 25 of 28

SC 168.8.1 2025/4/18 22:58:27

C/ 168 SC 168.8.2 P44 L17 # 204 C/ 168 SC 168.9 P45 L36 # 206 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D bucket Comment Type Ε bucket EZ Most sections like this have a footnote: This gives the dispersion ranges for the upstream direction only A host system that fails to meet the manufacturer's requirements and/or usage restrictions SuggestedRemedy may emit laser radiation in excess of the safety limits of one or more safety standards. In such a case, the host manufacturer is required to obtain its own laser safety certification. Add two more rows for the dispersion ranges for the downstream direction. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W If this footnote is required, add it PROPOSED REJECT The dispersion covers both directions. Proposed Response Response Status W (This is simplification.) PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. P45 Implement suggested remedy with editorial license. C/ 168 SC 168.9 L44 # 207 Dawe, Piers Nvidia C/ 168 SC 168.9 P**45** L17 # 85 Comment Type T Comment Status D bucket EZ NVIDIA Simms William Other clauses have changed to the IEC definition Comment Type E Comment Status X bucket EZ SuggestedRemedy another break in text for table Change "ANSI/TIA/EIA-526-7/method A-1" to "the IEC 61280-4-2 one-cord reference SuggestedRemedy method" move table after text Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 168 SC 168.10 P46 **L1** # 208 C/ 168 SC 168.9 P45 L19 # 264 Dawe. Piers Nvidia Wienckowski, Natalie **IVN Solutions LLC** Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Table 168-12 contains numbers not definitions tables should not be in the middle of a paragraph SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "defined in" to "given in" or "of" Move Table 168-12 after the paragraph text. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change "defined in" to "given in".

C/ 168 SC 168.10 P46 L9 # 209 C/ 168 SC 168.12.1 P48 L28 # 214 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Dawe. Piers Nvidia Comment Type Е Comment Status D bucket EZ Comment Type Comment Status D bucket EZ ...), or type G.657.A1 or type G.657.A2 (bend insensitive) fibers or the requirements in ... Blank sub-row? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert comma, as in 151.11.1: Remove. Also in 168.12.3. twice ...), or type G.657.A1 or type G.657.A2 (bend insensitive) fibers, or the requirements in ... Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 168 SC 168.12.3 P49 L13 # 215 C/ 168 SC 168.10 P46 L26 # 210 Dawe, Piers Nvidia Nvidia Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ The ONU silent start applies to the U PHYs but not the D PHYs may not support operation 10 km for 100GBASE-BR10, 20 km for 100GBASE-BR20 or 40 SuggestedRemedy km for 100GBASF-BR40 Create major options for U and D. Status of F12 ONU silent start becomes U:M SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W may not support operation \*at\* 10 km for 100GBASE-BR10, 20 km for 100GBASE-BR20 or 40 km for 100GBASE-BR40. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 168 SC 168.12.3 P**49** L15 # 104 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 168 SC 168.12.1 P48 L14 # 213 Comment Type TR Comment Status D bucket EZ Dawe, Piers Nvidia INS is used as a conditional in 168.12.4.8 so it needs a \* Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ SuggestedRemedy Links to be made by staff Add a \* before INS in the item column in 168.12.3 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Should be forest green. Also at like 47 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ Content SC Contents P12 LO # 133 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dawe, Piers Nvidia Comment Type E Comment Status D bucket EZ Contents is missing SuggestedRemedy Add Contents Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment #258.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ Content SC Contents

Page 27 of 28 2025/4/18 22:58:27

C/ Introdu SC Introduct	ion P8	L <b>4</b>	# 56
Regev, Alon	Keysight		
Comment Type <b>E</b> "802.3xx" should be "80	Comment Status X 02.3dk"		bucket_EZ
SuggestedRemedy "802.3xx" should be "80	02.3dk"		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.			
C/ Introdu SC Introduct	ion P8	L31	# 55
Regev, Alon	Keysight		
Comment Type E "802.3xx" should be "80	Comment Status X 02.3dk"		bucket_EZ
SuggestedRemedy "802.3xx" should be "80	02.3dk"		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment #27.	Response Status W		
C/ Particip SC Participa	nts P7	L. <b>4</b>	# 54
Regev, Alon	Keysight		
Comment Type E "P802.3xx" should be "F	Comment Status X		bucket_EZ
SuggestedRemedy change "P802.3xx" to "I	P802.3dk"		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		