

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl	FM	SC	FM	P	3	L	4	#	221
Pandey, Sujan				Velinktech					
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status	A						
automotive Ethernet, 100M+2.5GMBASE-T1									
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>									
automotive Ethernet, 100M+2.5GBASE-T1									
<i>Response</i>		Response Status	C						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.									
Do a global replace of "2.5GMBASE" with "2.5GBASE"									
Val in Clause 202									

Cl	FM	SC	FM	P	3	L	7	#	222
Pandey, Sujan				Velinktech					
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status	A						
2.5G+100MBASE-V1, 100M+5GMBASE-V1									
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>									
2.5G+100MBASE-V1, 100M+5GBASE-V1									
<i>Response</i>		Response Status	C						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.									
Do a global replace of "5GMBASE" with "5GBASE"									
Val in Clause 202									

Cl	00	SC	0	P		L		#	324
Gorshe, Steve				Microchip					
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	R						
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>									
<i>Response</i>		Response Status	Z						
REJECT.									
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.									

Cl	00	SC	0	P		L		#	320
Gorshe, Steve				Microchip					
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	R						
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>									
<i>Response</i>		Response Status	Z						
REJECT.									
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.									

Cl	00	SC	0	P		L		#	319
Gorshe, Steve				Microchip					
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	R						
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>									
<i>Response</i>		Response Status	Z						
REJECT.									
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.									

Cl	00	SC	0	P		L		#	318
Gorshe, Steve				Microchip					
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	R						
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>									
<i>Response</i>		Response Status	Z						
REJECT.									
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.									

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 00	SC 0	P	L	# 317
Gorshe, Steve		Microchip		
Comment Type T	Comment Status R		EZ	

SuggestedRemedy

Response	Response Status Z
REJECT.	

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 1	SC 1.4	P31	L 19	# 155
Zimmerman, George		CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		EZ	

The terms to be defined should be in bold, including the colon.

Response	Response Status C
ACCEPT.	

Cl 1	SC 1.4.88	P31	L 21	# 152
Zimmerman, George		CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		EZ	

Definition for XGMII could be read as implying all 3 rates.

Response	Response Status C
ACCEPT.	

Change "with these rates" to "with one of these rates"

Cl 1	SC 1.4.248	P31	L 24	# 153
Zimmerman, George		CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		EZ	

Definition for coaxial cable is OK as is.

Response	Response Status C
ACCEPT.	

Cl 1	SC 1.4.249	P31	L 27	# 154
Zimmerman, George		CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		EZ	

Definition for coaxial cable interface unnecessarily states that te medium is shared. It applies as well to point to point, unshared medium. I have reviewed all the existing uses, and they are specific to clause 11 and should be unaffected by the change.

Response	Response Status C
ACCEPT.	

Cl 1	SC 1.4.250	P31	L 31	# 156
Zimmerman, George		CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		EZ	

it is unlikely we will use the definition of coaxial cable section, as it is a subset of the link segment. Furhter, the definition, as is, is quite specific with regards to connectors, and the use in clause 10...

Response	Response Status C
ACCEPT.	

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 1	SC 1.4.251	P31	L 35	# 157
Zimmerman, George		CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	EZ

The term coaxial cable segment is specific to a shared medium segment with terminators on each end (separate from the MDIs). It is unlikely we will have use for it, and if we do, we would need a different definition - so better to have a new term.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete 1.4.251 from the draft

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT.		

Cl 30	SC 30.3.2.1.2	P32	L 14	# 158
Zimmerman, George		CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	D	Duplexing Method

This is the first place that the draft needs to address the question of do we have one PHY or two, and how they might be differentiated. Suggest we draft text for each proposal in 30.3.2.

Note this will eventually need to be done in 30.5., but isn't quite as complicated.

SuggestedRemedy

Add Editor's note to 30.3.2.1.2:

"Editor's Note (to be removed prior to initial Working Group Ballot): 802.3dm will either define one PHY type or will differentiate clauses 201 and 202 to meet distinct identity. Both PHY type options are shown here. When a choice is made, this section needs to be updated."

Duplicate each reference to "Clause 200..." to read "Clause 201 ... 100 Mb/s DME ...ACT" or "Clause 202 ... 100 Mb/s PAM 2... TDD" in 30.3.2 subsections (the 6 pairs on page 32).

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		

Need people to commit to working on this.

Cl 30	SC 30.5.1.1.2	P33	L 22	# 1
Lasry, Ariel		Qualcomm Technologies Inc.		
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	EZ

Typo "/" used instead of "+"

SuggestedRemedy

replace "5G/100M" with "5G+100M"

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT.		

Cl 30	SC 30.5.1.1.2	P33	L 24	# 2
Lasry, Ariel		Qualcomm Technologies Inc.		

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 Typo "/" used instead of "+"
SuggestedRemedy

replace "5G/100M" with "5G+100M"

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT.		

Cl 30	SC 30.5.1.1.2	P33	L 31	# 3
Lasry, Ariel		Qualcomm Technologies Inc.		

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 Typo "/" used instead of "+"
SuggestedRemedy

replace "10G/100M" with "10G+100M"

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT.		

Cl 30	SC 30.5.1.1.2	P33	L 33	# 4
Lasry, Ariel		Qualcomm Technologies Inc.		

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 Typo "/" used instead of "+"
SuggestedRemedy

replace "10G/100M" with "10G+100M"

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT.		

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 30 SC 30.6.1.5 P33 L33 # 159

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT Autonegotiation

Clause 202 does not appear to use auto-negotiation (clause 98 or otherwise), and hence the Auto-Negotiation attributes are specific to clause 201.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "as specified in Clause 200" to "as specified in Clause 201" at Page 33, lines 48 through 52, Page 34 Lines 5 through 8, and Page 34 Lines 15 through 18 (3 sets of 4 instances each)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P35 L28 # 166

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

Editing instruction appears to be in italics as header... (font is sans & too large)

SuggestedRemedy

Reformat instructions at P35 L28, P35 L50 using "Editing Instruction" type.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P35 L37 # 160

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status R Duplexing Method

Clause 202 does not appear to specify use of transmit/ receive fault. Therefore these TBDs can be assigned to clause 201.

(if clause 202 adds transmit/receive fault, suggest adding both the clause 201 references here, as well as the clause 202 references - that way we will have them)

SuggestedRemedy

Change TBD at page 35, line 35 to an external cross reference to 149.4.2.2

Change TBD at page 35, line 41 to 201.6.2.2

Change TBD at page 36, line 6 to 201.6.2.3

Change TBD at page 36, line 10 to an external cross reference to 149.4.2.3

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P35 L41 # 5

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

Typo "M" in "2.5GMBASE-T1" is too much

SuggestedRemedy

replace "2.5GMBASE-T1" with "2.5GBASE-T1"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P35 L41 # 223

Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A EZ

100M+2.5GMBASE-T1, ...

SuggestedRemedy

100M+2.5GBASE-T1, ...

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P35 L43 # 6

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

Typo "M" in "5GMBASE-V1" is too much

SuggestedRemedy

replace "5GMBASE-V1" with "5GBASE-V1"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P35 L43 # 224

Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A EZ

100M+5GMBASE-T1, ...

SuggestedRemedy

100M+5GBASE-T1, ...

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.5 P36 L10 # 7 [REDACTED]
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Typo "M" in "2.5GMBASE-T1" is too much

SuggestedRemedy
 replace "2.5GMBASE-T1" with "2.5GBASE-T1"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.5 P36 L10 # 225 [REDACTED]
 Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 2.5GMBASE-T1

SuggestedRemedy
 2.5GBASE-T1

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.5 P36 L12 # 8 [REDACTED]
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Typo "M" in "5GMBASE-V1" is too much

SuggestedRemedy
 replace "5GMBASE-V1" with "5GBASE-V1"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.5 P36 L12 # 226 [REDACTED]
 Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 2.5GMBASE-T1

SuggestedRemedy
 2.5GBASE-T1

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.60f.1 P37 L24 # 9 [REDACTED]
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 EZ

Typo only "PMA type" is mentioned, where this applies also to PMD. This is different than the style used in 45.2.1.32.1 to 45.2.1.33.6
 Similar issue is also on lines 27, 32, 35, 40, 43, 48, 51. And on page 38 lines: 4, 7, 12, 16, 20, 23, 28, 31, 36, 39, 44, 47, 52. And on Page 39 lines: 2, 7, 10

SuggestedRemedy
 replace "PMA type" with "PMA/PMD type"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.60f.2 P37 L29 # 10 [REDACTED]
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 EZ

Typo in sub-section title. It cannot be both T1 and V1.

SuggestedRemedy
 replace "100M+10GBASE-T1/V1" with "100M+10GBASE-V1"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.214 P40 L7 # 11 [REDACTED]
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 EZ

Title of Table includes only BASE-T1 type, while content also include BASE-V1 type

SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "T1" with "T1/V1"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add editing instruction before 45.22.1.24: Change the title of the following subsection as follows:
 Change the title to add "/V1" after T1 in underline

Modify the editing instruction on P40/L3 to read: Change the title of Table 45-178 as follows and replace ... (the reset is the same).

Change the table title to add "/V1" after T1 in underline.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.214.2 P40 L 39 # 12

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status R

EZ

Not only the first sentence need to change. Since there is one more bit added the whole description of 45.2.1.214.2 needs to be updated with more bits and include the corresponding text for the newly defined modes.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following to line 45: "When these bits are set to 00000, the mode of operation is 100BASE-T1. When these bits are set to 00001, the mode of operation is 1000BASE-T1. When these bits are set to 00010, the mode of operation is 10BASE-T1L. When these bits are set to 00011, the mode of operation is 10BASE-T1S. When these bits are set to 00100, the mode of operation is 2.5GBASE-T1. When these bits are set to 00101, the mode of operation is 5GBASE-T1. When these bits are set to 00110, the mode of operation is 10GBASE-T1. When these bits are set to 00111, the mode of operation is 25GBASE-T1. When these bits are set to 01000, the mode of operation is 10BASE-T1M. When these bits are set to 10000, the mode of operation is 100M+2.5GBASE-T1. When these bits are set to 10001, the mode of operation is 2.5G+100MBASE-T1. When these bits are set to 10010, the mode of operation is 100M+2.5GBASE-V1. When these bits are set to 10011, the mode of operation is 2.5G+100MBASE-V1. When these bits are set to 10100, the mode of operation is 100M+5GBASE-T1. When these bits are set to 10101, the mode of operation is 5G+100MBASE-T1. When these bits are set to 10110, the mode of operation is 100M+5GBASE-V1. When these bits are set to 10111, the mode of operation is 5G+100MBASE-V1. When these bits are set to 11000, the mode of operation is 100M+10GBASE-T1. When these bits are set to 11001, the mode of operation is 10G+100MBASE-T1. When these bits are set to 11010, the mode of operation is 100M+10GBASE-V1. When these bits are set to 11011, the mode of operation is 10G+100MBASE-V1. These bits shall be ignored when the Auto-Negotiation enable bit 7.512.12 is set to one."

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The list of which PHY type is selected based on the setting of the bits was removed from the 45.2.1.214.2 text by IEEE Std 802.3da-202x. Therefore, it will not longer exist when IEEE Std 802.3dm-202x is published.

Cl 46 SC 46.1 P41 L 19 # 167

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI/APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status A

EZ

Inserted language could be interpreted to mean that the asymmetric phys have 'all of these rates' in one direction. Note that the same change is not needed in 46.1.3, where a different change is needed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "with these rates in one direction" to "with at least one of these rates in one direction" at P41 L19, P41 L34.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The Clause and subclause were update to reflect that this is in 46.1, not 45.1.

Cl 46 SC 46.1.2 P41 L 34 # 13

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status D Asymmetric

The text gives the "BASE" name of the PHYs only for the symmetric PHYs and not for the Asymmetric PHYs.

SuggestedRemedy

replace: "2.5GBASE, 5GBASE, and 10GBASE PHY types (including asymmetric PHYs with these rates in one direction and 100 Mb/s in the reverse direction)" with: "2.5GBASE, 100M+2.5GBASE, 2.5G+100MBASE, 5GBASE, 100M+5GBASE, 5G+100MBASE, 10GBASE, 100M+10GBASE and 10G+100MBASE PHY types"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Is this really necessary? TFTD

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 46 SC 46.1.3 P41 L41 # 168

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status D Asymmetric

The text here isn't speaking about PHY types but rather about MAC data rates, so the added text doesn't make sense as written.

SuggestedRemedy

At P41 L41, delete "(including asymmetric PHYs with these rates in one direction and 100 Mb/s in the reverse direction)" inserted text, and implement the change (with marks inserted - sorry, it just became a mess...) to read:

The XGMII supports MAC data rates of 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s as defined within this clause. A compliant

device may implement any subset of these rates in at least one direction. Symmetric operation at 10 Mb/s and 100 Mb/s is supported by the MII defined in Clause 22 and operation at 1000 Mb/s by the GMII defined in Clause 35. Asymmetric operation is supported at 100 Mb/s in one direction when at least one of specified multigigabit rates is used in the other direction.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 46 SC 46.3.2.1 P42 L18 # 62

Kleinwaechter, Mathias in-tech

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

The sentence could be improved stylistically.

SuggestedRemedy

The frequency of RX_CLK may be derived from the received data or it may correspond to a nominal clock (e.g., TX_CLK).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 46 SC 46.6.1 P42 L27 # 102

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

delete as it is not needed

SuggestedRemedy

Delete: 46.6.1 Introduction

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 46 SC 46.6.2 P42 L29 # 103

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

delete as it is not needed

SuggestedRemedy

Delete: 46.6.2 Identification

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 46	SC 46.6.3.1	P42	L34	# 169
-------	-------------	-----	-----	-------

Zimmerman, George
Comment Type T **Comment Status D** **Asymmetric**
 CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

A new option is needed for asymmetric PHYs, the existing text that was edited relates to MAC data rates, not PHYs, and hence isn't quite right..

SuggestedRemedy

Insert 46.6.2.3 Major capabilities/options into the draft
 Insert new row at end of table (unchanged rows not shown):

Item: ASYM
 Feature: Support of Asymmetric Multigigabit PHYs
 Subclause 46.1.2
 Value/comment: (blank)
 Status: O
 Support: Yes[] No[]

In 46.6.3.1:

Add editing instruction: Change PICs items G1, G2, and G3, and insert new row G3a after row G3, as shown (unchanged rows not shown):

Add table showing addition of "in at least one direction" to Value/Comment for G1, G2, and G3.

(general row format is:

Item: Gn
 Feature: PHY support of x Gb/s MAC data rate
 Subclause: 46.1.3
 Value/Comment: Support of MAC data rate of x Gb/s /UL in at least one direction /UL
 Status: PHY: O.1
 Support: Yes [] N/A []

Insert new row after row for G3 in 46.6.3.1:

Item: G3a
 Feature: Asymmetric support of 100 Mb/s
 Subclause: 46.1.3
 Value/Comment: Support MAC data rate of 100 Mb/s in one direction when at least one of 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, or 10 Gb/s is supported in the other.
 Status: ASYM:M PHY:O
 Support: Yes[] N/A[]

Remove existing edits to 46.6.3.1 shown on page 43.

Proposed Response **Response Status W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Consider mocking up to share with the group.

Cl 98	SC 98	P43	L50	# 187
-------	-------	-----	-----	-------

Zimmerman, George
Comment Type E **Comment Status A** **ACT Autonegotiation**
 CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

BIG Ticket Items - PHY relationships & Auto-Neg.

If we intend to have multiple PHY types, we need a method to select between them. That means a separate sublayer above the PMA/PCS. Clause 201 uses Clause 98 auto-neg (at least partly). Even if we don't use auto-neg, but have fixed selections, we still need control from that external sub-layer and a way to select.

It is reasonable that they should be able to auto-negotiate and we could solve some of the problems and enable wider Ethernet compatibility by bringing clause 98 into the text.

Clause 98 is mentioned in clause 201, and there is some work needed to bring a new PHY type into it.

If we take another approach work similar to creating an auto-neg sublayer/protocol with priority resolution and ability to select between PHY types in other clauses is needed.

SuggestedRemedy

Bring clause 98 into the draft.

Add editor's note (to be removed prior to Working Group Ballot): Contributor's to consider whether autonegotiation should be extended to include V1 PHYs. At the moment it only applies to T1 PHYs.

Bring 98.5.1 State diagram variables into the draft, add variables:

2.5Gig+100MT1 represents that 2.5G+100MBASE PMA is the signal source
 5Gig+100MT1 represents that 5G+100MBASE PMA is the signal source
 10Gig+100MT1 represents that 10G+100MBASE PMA is the signal source

Bring 98B in the draft, with the following Editor's Note: (to be removed prior to Working Group ballot): Contributions encouraged to resolve priority resolution for MultiGig+100MBASE-T1 PHYs.

Response	Response Status C
----------	-------------------

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Bring in Clause 98 Header.

Bring in Annex 98B. Add Editor's note with reference to
https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0725/Lo_3dm_02_072925.pdf, slides 8 and 9.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 104	SC 104	P43	L 52	# 189
Zimmerman, George		CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	D	Power
Big Ticket Items - Powering				
If we want to support clause 104 powering, this is where it would go. Clause 104 will require mention of the new PHY type. It may also be worth considering using clause 189's powering protocol rather than clause 104.				
Additionally, we need to consider how far we want to go in specifying PoC				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
Insert clause 104 (104.1.3) into the draft, including Table 104-a from IEEE P802.3dg D2.3, with editing instruction: "Change Table 104-a (inserted by IEEE Std 802.3dg-202x) as follows (unchanged rows not shown)				
Show row for Type F PSE/PD, and add 2.5G+100MBASE-T1, 5G+100MBASE-T1, 10G+100MBASE-T1, and the 100M+xG... counterparts to the Compatible PHYs column.				
Add Editor's Note (to be removed prior to Working Group Ballot): Need to consider whether power detection and classification is desired for Power over Coax, and whether to extend clause 104 (or 189) to support PoC.				
Proposed Response		Response Status	W	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
How do we want to do powering for Coax? Create a new Clause, create an Annex like we've done for Coupling and screening attenuation?				

Cl 200	SC 200	P44	L 9	# 15
Lasry, Ariel		Qualcomm Technologies Inc.		
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	EZ
Typo "100M+2.5GMBASE-T1" 1 "M" too much after the "G"				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
replace "100M+2.5GMBASE-T1" with: 100M+2.5GBASE-T1				
Response		Response Status	C	
ACCEPT.				

Cl 200	SC 200	P44	L 9	# 64
Kleinwaechter, Mathias		in-tech		
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status	A	EZ
typo				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
100M+5GBASE-V1				
Response		Response Status	C	
ACCEPT.				

Cl 200	SC 200	P44	L 9	# 295
Razavi, Alireza		Infineon		
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	EZ
mis-spelling (extra M after G) : "5GMBASE" should be replaced by 5GBASE				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
see comment				
Response		Response Status	C	
ACCEPT.				

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 200 SC 200.1.1 P44 L34 # 104
Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ
missing text

SuggestedRemedy
Change: PHY_S HS_TX to PHY_D
To: PHY_S HS_TX to PHY_D HS_RX
Make the same change in 201.1.1 and 202.1.1

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Val to implement 202.1.1

Cl 200 SC 200.1.2 P45 L16 # 105
Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
The PHY/PMD types should be part of the Nomenclature subclause.

SuggestedRemedy
Delete: 200.1.2 PHY/PMD types
Change italicized text to: The following table depicts the characteristics of each of the 12 PHY types,
x+y depicts the transmit and receive speeds, where x is the transmit speed and y is the receive speed
T1 - single shielded balanced pair of conductors (SBP)
V1 - single coaxial cable (Coax)
Delete all italicized text below the table.
Make the same change in 201.1.2 and 202.1.2.

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.1.2 P45 L25 # 171
Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ
We don't specify the cable type, but we do specify the transmission medium. Further, "SBP" isn't a defined abbreviation, neither is "Coax". It also doesn't make sense to define them - the PHY doesn't care whether the medium is constructed coaxially, with or without a shield. It cares about the fact that the medium is differential or unbalanced. these PHYs could be used on balanced or unbalanced board traces as well.

Same comment applies to Table 201-2 and 202-2.

SuggestedRemedy
Change "Cable Type" to "Medium" at P45 L26 (Table 200-2), P73 L41 (Table 201-2), and P144 L43, (Table 202-2)

In all relevant entries for Table 200-2, 201-2, and 202-2, Change "SBP" to "100 Ohm Balanced differential pair" and Change "Coax" to "Unbalanced medium"

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This is in table 200-1, 201-2, and 202-2

Change: Cable Type
To: Medium Interface

Change: SBP
To: Differential (balanced)

Change: Coax
To: Single-ended (unbalanced)

Val to update Table 202-2.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 200 SC 200.1.2 P45 L26 # 424

Long, Richard TE Connectivity

Comment Type T Comment Status A late

The use of a different "transmit speed" and "receive speed" here is confusing because it implies that the same link have different transmit and receive speeds. This should be a reference to transmit direction, rather than transmit speed. Also M and G alone are not appropriate units and should be labeled as Mb/s or Gb/s. Also applies to Table 201-2 (page 73/74) and 202-2 (page 144/145)

SuggestedRemedy

Use "Uplink speed (b/s)" and "Downlink speed (b/s)" or "Forward direction speed (b/s)" and "Reverse direction speed (b/s)" Apply the same changes to Table 201-2 (page 73/74) and 202-2 (page 144/145)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: Transmit speed
To: Transmit bit rate

Change Receive speed
To: Receive bit rate

Change all x M to x Mb/s
Change all x G to x Gb/s

Make the same change in 201.1.2

Val to make the same change in 202.1.2.

Cl 200 SC 200.1.2 P45 L40 # 16

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

Typo "100M+5GMBASE-V1" 1 "M" too much after the "G"

SuggestedRemedy

replace "100M+5GMBASE-V1" with "100M+5GBASE-V1"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.1.2 P45 L47 # 170

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

The note (italicized text) after Table 200-1 is already in the draft in 200.1.1

SuggestedRemedy

Delete P45 L46 through P46 L2

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.1.2 P45 L48 # 17

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

Lines 48 to 54 and Line 1 of page 46 are duplicates of lines 1-12

SuggestedRemedy

remove Lines 48 to 54 and Line 1 of page 46

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.1.4.1 P46 L14 # 172

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

It seems that some edits to the draft from the new nomenclature remain in the clean copy.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete struck-out Red text, (and "1" on P46 29), and remove underline and green color to new text on P46, 47, 51, 52, 55, 58, 60

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.1.4.4 P46 L30 # 18

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

Typo: "1" at the end of the line is too much

SuggestedRemedy

replace "(LS_PATH)1" with "(LS_PATH)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 200 SC 200.1.5 P46 L44 # 106
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 typo

SuggestedRemedy
 change: high speed pathS_PATH)
 To: high speed path (HS_PATH)

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.1.5 P46 L44 # 19
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Typo

SuggestedRemedy
 replace "pathS_PATH)" with "path (HS_PATH)"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.4.2.2.17 P54 L38 # 328
 Johnson, Samuel Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R
 Mapping of logic0 -> +1 and logic1 -> -1 seems non-intuitive

SuggestedRemedy
 If this is used by PAM2 in other standards, then leave unchanged. Otherwise, propose
 Logic0 -> -1
 Logic1 -> +1

Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

This is common with 802.3ch and other Automotive PHYs.

Cl 200 SC 200.5.1 P55 L50 # 20
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 I assume "MII" is editorial typo, as the group agreed to use XGMII for both directions

SuggestedRemedy
 replace "MII" with "XGMII"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.11 P64 L15 # 165
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 The term link segment used in clauses 200, 201, and 202 either refers to balanced pairs or to an unbalanced coax link segment. The section should say "used in this subclause", or, better yet, just delete the sentence - it adds little value.

SuggestedRemedy
 Delete the sentence "The term link segment used in this clause..." from the first paragraph of 200.11, 200.12, 201.11, 201.12, 202.7, and 202.8.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Val to implement changes in 202.

Cl 200 SC 200.11.1 P64 L21 # 173
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 There is an extra word hanging at the front of the sentence.

SuggestedRemedy
 Delete "Parameters "

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 200 SC 200.11.1 P64 L21 # 227
 Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Parameters The transmission ...

SuggestedRemedy

The transmission ...

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.12.2 P65 L44 # 174
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

The notion of crosstalk is independent of the medium type, and will generally come at ganged connector interfaces even on shielded media. The titles appear to be appropriate for coax as well as differential paired media.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete note at P65 L44

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.13. P66 L1 # 164
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status R Common MDI

Clauses 201 & 202 each have this same form, but different approaches to the upper frequency. If we have one PHY or the other, we can just copy from that clause. If we have 2 PHYs they will have different specifications for this. Suggest there is no value having this specification in clause 200.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete 200.13 content (and subclause) in its entirety. (leave placeholder)

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 200 SC 200.13.2.1 P49 L17 # 63
 Kleinwaechter, Mathias in-tech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A EZ

The sentence has a grammatical issue. "characteristic is impedance" -> the "is" must be deleted.

SuggestedRemedy

For balanced cabling, a nominal differential characteristic impedance of 100 Ω is used, and for coaxial cabling a nominal characteristic impedance of 50 Ω is used.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.13.2.1 P66 L15 # 228
 Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Common MDI

The differential impedance at the MDI for each transmit/receiver channel ...

SuggestedRemedy

The differential impedance at the MDI for each transmit/receive channel ...

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 200 SC 200.13.2.1 P66 L18 # 175

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status A Common MDI

It isn't reasonable to include coaxial cabling in a section where you are talking about the T1 interface (even though later the coax section references this). Technically, the interface to the medium in a coax, unbalanced case will be different than it is for a differential balanced case - in addition to the simple fact that the return loss is a 50 ohm impedance for coax, and needs to be specified separately. Separating the two will force the task force to discuss the technical principles.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the second sentence of 200.13.2.1 to "For the -T1 PMD, a nominal differential characteristic is impedance of 100 Ω is used."

Copy 200.13.2.1 to 200.14.2.1 (including the plot), replacing "The MDI return loss for coax cables is as specified in 200.13.2.1.", changing "T1" to "V1" in the 2nd and third paragraphs, and changing the second sentence of the first paragraph to "For the -V1 PMD, a nominal characteristic impedance of 50 Ω is used."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Make this change as defined in 200.13, 200.14, 201.13, and 201.14.

Val to make this change in 202.9 and 202.10.

Cl 200 SC 200.13.2.1 P66 L35 # 161

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type E Comment Status A Common MDI

The Note regarding Fmax should be an editor's note. I note that clauses 201 and 202 each have this same equation, and 201 scales with Fmax, but 202 does not - suggesting there is no agreement on this point

SuggestedRemedy

replace "Note... established." at line 35 with "Editor's Note (to be removed prior to Working Group Ballot): Commenters to consider what Fmax should be, and whether it should scale. See clauses 201 and 202 for differences."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Also make this change in 201.13.2.1.

Delete Editor's note.

Change: For MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1 the maximum applicable frequency, Fmax, for the MDI return loss is 4000 MHz.

To: For 10G+100M/100M+10GBASE-T1 the maximum applicable frequency, Fmax, for the MDI return loss is 4000 MHz.

For 5G+100M/100M+5GBASE-T1 the maximum applicable frequency, Fmax, for the MDI return loss is 4000 MHz.

For 2.5G+100M/100M+2.5GBASE-T1 the maximum applicable frequency, Fmax, for the MDI return loss is TBD MHz.

Cl 200 SC 200.17 P52 L3 # 65

Kleinwaechter, Mathias in-tech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A EZ
typo

SuggestedRemedy

100M+.25GBASE-V1

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 200	SC 200.17	P52	L5	# 66	
Kleinwaechter, Mathias		in-tech			
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status	A		EZ
	typo				
SuggestedRemedy					
100M+5GBASE-V1					
Response		Response Status	C		
ACCEPT.					
Cl 200	SC 200.17	P69	L3	# 21	
Lasry, Ariel		Qualcomm Technologies Inc.			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A		EZ
	Typo "100M+2.5GMBASE-T1" 1 "M" too much after the "G"				
SuggestedRemedy					
replace "100M+2.5GMBASE-T1" with: 100M+2.5GBASE-T1"					
Response		Response Status	C		
ACCEPT.					
Cl 200	SC 200.17	P69	L5	# 22	
Lasry, Ariel		Qualcomm Technologies Inc.			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A		EZ
	Typo "100M+5GMBASE-V1" 1 "M" too much after the "G"				
SuggestedRemedy					
replace "100M+5GMBASE-V1" with "100M+5GBASE-V1"					
Response		Response Status	C		
ACCEPT.					
Cl 200	SC 200.17.1	P69	L13	# 23	
Lasry, Ariel		Qualcomm Technologies Inc.			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A		EZ
	Typo "100M+2.5GMBASE-T1" 1 "M" too much after the "G"				
SuggestedRemedy					
replace "100M+2.5GMBASE-T1" with: 100M+2.5GBASE-T1"					
Response		Response Status	C		
ACCEPT.					

Cl 200	SC 200.17.1	P69	L15	# 24	
Lasry, Ariel		Qualcomm Technologies Inc.			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A		EZ
	Typo "100M+5GMBASE-V1" 1 "M" too much after the "G"				
SuggestedRemedy					
replace "100M+5GMBASE-V1" with "100M+5GBASE-V1"					
Response		Response Status	C		
ACCEPT.					
Cl 200	SC 200.17.2.2	P53	L25	# 67	
Kleinwaechter, Mathias		in-tech			
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status	A		EZ
	typo				
SuggestedRemedy					
100M+.25GBASE-V1					
Response		Response Status	C		
ACCEPT.					
Cl 200	SC 200.17.2.2	P53	L28	# 68	
Kleinwaechter, Mathias		in-tech			
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status	A		EZ
	typo				
SuggestedRemedy					
100M+5GBASE-V1					
Response		Response Status	C		
ACCEPT.					
Cl 200	SC 200.17.2.2	P70	L25	# 25	
Lasry, Ariel		Qualcomm Technologies Inc.			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A		EZ
	Typo "100M+2.5GMBASE-T1" 1 "M" too much after the "G"				
SuggestedRemedy					
replace "100M+2.5GMBASE-T1" with: 100M+2.5GBASE-T1"					
Response		Response Status	C		
ACCEPT.					

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 200 SC 200.17.2.2 P70 L 28 # 26

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Typo "100M+5GMBASE-V1" 1 "M" too much after the "G"

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

replace "100M+5GMBASE-V1" with "100M+5GBASE-V1"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.17.3 P53 L 53 # 69

Kleinwaechter, Mathias in-tech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

typo

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

100M+.25GBASE-V1

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.17.3 P54 L 1 # 70

Kleinwaechter, Mathias in-tech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

typo

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

100M+5GBASE-V1

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.17.4 P70 L 53 # 27

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Typo "100M+2.5GMBASE-T1" 1 "M" too much after the "G"

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

replace "100M+2.5GMBASE-T1" with: 100M+2.5GBASE-T1"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 200 SC 200.17.4 P71 L 1 # 28

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

Typo "100M+5GMBASE-V1" 1 "M" too much after the "G"

SuggestedRemedy

replace "100M+5GMBASE-V1" with "100M+5GBASE-V1"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201 P72 L 3 # 29

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

Typo "100M+2.5GMBASE-T1" 1 "M" too much after the "G"

SuggestedRemedy

replace "100M+2.5GMBASE-T1" with: 100M+2.5GBASE-T1"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201 P72 L 6 # 30

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

Typo "100M+5GMBASE-V1" 1 "M" too much after the "G"

SuggestedRemedy

replace "100M+5GMBASE-V1" with "100M+5GBASE-V1"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.1 P72 L 39 # 298

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

"at receives at y speed" should be replaced by " and receives at y speed"; grammatical error.

SuggestedRemedy

see comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.1.1 P72 L 39 # 229

Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

speed, where x+y indicates the PHY transmits at "x" speed and receives at "y" speed

SuggestedRemedy

speed, where x+y indicates the PHY transmits at "x" speed and receives at "y" speed

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "at" to "and"

Cl 201 SC 201.1.1 P72 L 42 # 299

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

HS_RX' is missing after 'PHY_D'

SuggestedRemedy

see comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.1 P72 L 48 # 297

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status R

PHY_D and PHY_S notations are not self-descriptive.

ACT Nomenclature

SuggestedRemedy

PHY_D is replaced by LSHS, and PHY_S is replaced by HSLS

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.1 P73 L 12 # 176

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ

inappropriate use of "shall" - requirement on the reader.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the second sentence of the paragraph starting on line 11 (Additionally...) to "When incorporating Clause 149 requirements which use the scaling factor "S" by reference, refer to Table 201-1 rather than Table 149-1."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4 P74 L 22 # 182

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT Autonegotiation

The requirement that optional autoneg shall meet clause 98 is missing - but autoneg is included elsewhere in clause 201. In clause 149 it went in this section.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text to 201.1.4:
Auto-Negotiation (Clause 98) may optionally be used by MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1 devices to detect the abilities (modes of operation) supported by the device at the other end of a link segment, determine common abilities, and configure for normal operation. Auto-Negotiation is performed upon link startup through the use of half-duplex differential Manchester encoding. The implementation of the Auto-Negotiation function is optional. If Auto-Negotiation is implemented, it shall meet the requirements of Clause 98.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Put this text after the text added in comments #401 and #402.

Change: MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1

To: MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1 when implementing the text

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201	SC 201.1.4	P74	L23	# 183
Zimmerman, George		CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	ACT Autonegotiation

The description of leader/follower negotiation formerly went here.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following text (after the autoneg text if the previous comment is implemented):
A MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1 PHY shall be capable of operating as LEADER or FOLLOWER, per runtime configuration. A LEADER PHY uses a local clock to determine the timing of transmitter operations. A FOLLOWER PHY recovers the clock from the received signal and uses it to determine the timing of transmitter operations. When Auto-Negotiation is used, the LEADER-FOLLOWER relationship between two devices sharing a link segment is established during Auto-Negotiation (see Clause 98). If Auto-Negotiation is not used, a LEADER-FOLLOWER relationship shall be established by management or hardware configuration of the PHYs, and the LEADER and FOLLOWER are synchronized by the PHY Link Synchronization function in the PHY (see 201.7.3).

NOTE—Annex K describes that the optional alternative terminology "leader" "follower" was formerly known as "master" and "slave".

Response	Response Status	C
----------	-----------------	---

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the text in
<https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0126/proposed%20solution%20for%202011%20autoneg%20references.pdf> indicated for comment #183.

Cl 201	SC 201.1.4	P75	L7	# 207
--------	------------	-----	----	-------

Abedinzadeh, Bizehan	Infineon	Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	ACT EEE
Quiet-refresh signaling is not needed for non-echo-cancelled PHYs						

<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	delete tx_lpi_active signal from Figure 201-1
------------------------	---

Response	Response Status	C
----------	-----------------	---

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #300

Cl 201	SC 201.1.4	P75	L7	# 300
--------	------------	-----	----	-------

Razavi, Alireza	Infineon	Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	ACT EEE
From figure 201-1, tx_lpi_active to be removed as EEE is not defined yet						

SuggestedRemedy

see comment

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		

Remove the following from Figure 201-1:
- tx_lpi_active and associated line
- remove NOTE 2 on the Figure

Cl 201	SC 201.1.4	P75	L32	# 343
--------	------------	-----	-----	-------

Jonsson, Ragnar	Infineon	Comment Type	T	Comment Status	R	PHY_S
Missing LSS Tx path						

SuggestedRemedy

Add LSS Tx Path

Response	Response Status	Z
REJECT.		

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 201	SC 201.1.4	P75	L49	# 177
--------	------------	-----	-----	-------

Zimmerman, George	CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe	Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	EZ
typo. "received clock signal back the PMA TRANSMIT" - same typo on NOTE 1 on Figure 201-1 and 201-2 (note - these are also clause 149 errors)						

SuggestedRemedy

Change "back" to "by" in NOTE 1 on Figures 201-1 and 201-2.

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT.		

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4 P75 L 49 # 31
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Typo missing "HS_TX" before "PMA TRANSMIT"

EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 add "HS_TX" before "PMA TRANSMIT"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4 P75 L 50 # 179
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT EEE

NOTE 2 is incorrect and misleading. There are no functions shown with dashed lines, and the signals are required if EEE is provided - they aren't optional in and of themselves.
 (note - these are also clause 149 errors)

SuggestedRemedy
 Change NOTE 2 to read: "rx_lpi_active and alert_detect are only required when optional EEE capability is implemented."

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #300

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4 P76 L 33 # 208

Abedinzaheh, Bizehan Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status A ACT EEE

Quiet-refresh signaling is not needed for non-echo-cancelled PHYs

SuggestedRemedy
 delete rx_lpi_active and alert_detect signals from Figure 201-2

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #301.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4 P76 L 33 # 301
 Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A ACT EEE
 From figure 201-2, rx_lpi_active, aleret_detect to be removed as EEE is not defined yet

SuggestedRemedy
 see comment

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove the following from Figure 201-2:
 - rx_lpi_active and associated line
 - alert_detect and associated line
 - remove NOTE 2 on the Figure

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4 P76 L 41 # 344
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT Autonegotiation
 Clock recovery is optional for leader

SuggestedRemedy
 Mark "Clock Recovery" optional

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In Figure 201-2, in the clock recovery box add "(follower only)"

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4 P76 L 49 # 32
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 Typo missing "LS_TX" before "PMA TRANSMIT"

SuggestedRemedy
 add "LS_TX" before "PMA TRANSMIT"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201	SC 201.1.4	P76	L 50	# 178
Zimmerman, George		CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	ACT EEE
NOTE 2 is incorrect and misleading. There is only one signal shown with a dashed line, and it is required if EEE is provided - it isn't optional in and of itself. (note - these are also clause 149 errors)				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
Change NOTE 2 to read: "tx_lpi_active is only required when optional EEE capability is implemented."				
Response	Response Status	C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
See comment #301.				

Cl 201	SC 201.1.4.1	P77	L 4	# 401
Muma, Scott		Microchip		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	ACT Introduction
I would recommend describing the PCS layer from the PHY_D/PHY_S perspective rather than HS_PATH/LS_PATH. The wording in this paragraphs shows how challenging it is to talk about a PCS from a path perspective, but then to say it contains a management interface, and XGMII interface, etc. when this is referring to 2 different interfaces in separate PHY instances.				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
Describe the PHY_S PCS sublayer which has an XGMII interface, a single management interface, a PMA interface, etc. Describe the data format of the PHY_S Tx referring to 149.3 of the HS_TX as desired. Add any specifics needed for LS_RX.				
Response	Response Status	C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
Add text: Editor to add an intro paragraph before the following. With references to new Figure 201-1, https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0126/PHY_S%20PHY_D.pdf .				
The PHY_S device includes the high-speed transmit function and low-speed receive function required for asymmetric operation over the link segment. The top-level arrangement of the PCS, PMA, synchronization, monitoring, and clock-recovery blocks is shown in Figure 201-1. While the block diagram illustrates data, status, and control flow among these elements, the detailed functional definitions are provided in the remainder of Clause 201.				
The PHY_D device includes the low-speed transmit function and high-speed receive function required for asymmetric operation over the link segment. The top-level arrangement of the PCS, PMA, synchronization, monitoring, and clock-recovery blocks is shown in Figure 201-2. While the block diagram illustrates data, status, and control flow among these elements, the detailed functional definitions are provided in the remainder of Clause 201.				

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.1 P77 L11 # 191
van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

Not a proper sentence

SuggestedRemedy

The HS_PATH contains the PCS functions as specified in 149.3,...

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: In the HS_PATH, the PCS functions as specified in 149.3, ...

To: The HS_PATH contains the PCS functions as specified in 149.3, ...

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.2 P77 L16 # 33

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

EZ

Missing text similar to the first paragraph of 201.1.4.1. Needed to identify the coupling to XGMII also with the other PHYs

SuggestedRemedy

Add as first paragraph of 201.1.4.2:

"For the low speed path, the LS_TX and LS_RX PCS couples a 10 Gigabit Media Independent Interface (XGMII), as specified in Clause 46, to the 100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1, 100M+5GBASE-T1/V1, or 100M+10GBASE-T1/V1 Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer. In addition to the normal mode of operation, the PCS supports a training mode. Furthermore, the PCS contains a management interface. The LS_TX PCS is in the PHY_D and the LS_RX PCS is in the PHY_S."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.2 P77 L17 # 402
Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A

ACT Introduction

I would recommend describing the PCS layer from the PHY_D/PHY_S perspective rather than HS_PATH/LS_PATH. The wording in this paragraphs shows how challenging it is to talk about a PCS from a path perspective, but then to say it contains a management interface, and XGMII interface, etc. when this is referring to 2 different interfaces in separate PHY instances.

SuggestedRemedy

Describe the PHY_D PCS sublayer which has an XGMII interface, a single management interface, a PMA interface, etc. Describe the data format of the PHY_D Tx as the LS_RX and add any specifics needed for HS_RX.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #401.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.2 P77 L18 # 108

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

grammar

SuggestedRemedy

change "TXD<31:0>, TXC<3:0>" to "TXD<31:0> and TXC<3:0>"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

corrected page number

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.2 P77 L23 # 109

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

typo

SuggestedRemedy

change "Reserved" to "reserved"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

corrected page number

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201	SC 201.1.4.2	P77	L 24	# 72
Maguire, Valerie		Copperopolis; aff'l w/ CME Consulting, Microchip, and		
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	<i>EZ - pull</i>
				There are two different ways that RS-FEC encoding is referenced throughout the draft, parent document, and related published amendments. I believe the encoding should be structured as RS-FEC(x,y,z) - with no space between 'FEC' and '('. Editor may additionally wish to consider submitting a Maintenance Request to harmonize usage across all documents.
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				Grant Editorial license to replace occurrences of RS-FEC (x,y,etc.) with RS-FEC(x,y,etc.) throughout the draft.
Response		Response Status	C	
		ACCEPT.		
		Do a search in the text and in the Figures, as this can be found in both.		
		Val to check Clause 202.		
		Also need to submit a Maintenance request as this is not consistent in 802.3.		

Cl 201	SC 201.1.4.2	P77	L 26	# 110
Wang, Frank		Realtek Semiconductor Corp.		
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	<i>EZ</i>
		wording		
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>		change "low data rate direction" to "low speed path" or "LS_PATH"		
Response		Response Status	C	
		ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
		Change: The low data rate direction PCS transmit functions are described in 201.2.2.2.		
		To: The LS_PATH contains the PCS functions as specified in 201.4.2.2.		

Cl 201	SC 201.1.4.2	P77	L 27	# 34
Lasry, Ariel		Qualcomm Technologies Inc.		
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	<i>EZ</i>
		wrong cross reference. Low data rate PCS transmit functions are described in 201.4.2.2		
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>		replace cross reference to "201.2.2.2" with a cross reference to "201.4.2.2"		
Response		Response Status	C	
		ACCEPT.		

Cl 201	SC 201.1.4.2	P77	L 39	# 345
Jonsson, Ragnar		Infineon		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	<i>ACT EEE</i>
		EEE should be removed		
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>		Remove all reference to EEE		
Response		Response Status	C	
		ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
		See comment #193.		

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.2 P77 L39 # 192
van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A
Wrong reference: (see 201.3.5.2)

EZ

SuggestedRemedy
(see 201.4.5)

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: (see 201.3.5.2)

To: (see 201.4.5)

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.2 P77 L40 # 276

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A
remove EEE, as it is not defined

ACT EEE

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove all reference to EEE as covered by other "ACT EEE" comments and those on page 4 of
<https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0126/EEE%20Removal%20from%20802.3dm%20V0.a.pdf>, taking Option 1 where there are two options.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.2 P77 L40 # 193

van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A
"such as EEE and OAM" EEE should be removed

ACT EEE

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with "such as OAM"

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.3 P77 L52 # 112
Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status R
typo: check how many spaces are there between "provides" and "communications"

EZ

SuggestedRemedy
change "provides communications" to "provides communications"

Response Response Status C
REJECT.

There is a single space. The space is large because of the justification to both edges.

corrected page number

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.3 P77 L48 # 111

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status R
wording: insert "shielded" between "single" and "balanced"
If this comment is accepted, many places need to be inserted.

EZ

SuggestedRemedy
change "a single balanced pair of conductors" to "a single shielded balanced pair of conductors"

Response Response Status C
REJECT.

802.3ch and 802.3cy just say "a single balanced pair of conductors".

corrected page number

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.3 P77 L52 # 113

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
typo

EZ

SuggestedRemedy
change "x" to "x"

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the letter "x" to the multiplication sign.

corrected page number

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.3 P77 L 52 # 403

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT PCS

This paragraphs switches from the PMA being a sublayer to being 2 ends of the path. The PMA is a sublayer, so talking about an HS_PATH PMA or LS_PATH PMA makes it challenging to maintain clarity. The following paragraphs on PHY Control switches back to sublayer since the PHY control would control an HS_TX/LS_RX PMA, not an HS_PATH PMA.

SuggestedRemedy

Change this paragraph to: The PMA provides full duplex communications at 117.1875 MBd in one direction and 5625 x S MBd in the other direction. See Table 201-1 for the definition of S.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: The HS_PATH PMA provides
To: For the HS_PATH, the PMA provides

Change: The LS_PATH PMA provides
To: For the LS_PATH, the PMA provides

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.3 P78 L 2 # 346

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A ACT Autonegotiation
Autoneg is optional

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify that Autoneg is optional, by putting the word "optional" before Autoneg

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: following the completion of Auto-Negotiation or PHY Link Synchronization and

To: following the completion of PHY Link Synchronization or optional Auto-Negotiation and

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.3 P78 L 3 # 114

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status R EZ

wording:
When talking about all PHYs, regardless of transmit speed or cable type, use:
MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1

SuggestedRemedy

change "PHY" to "MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1"

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The use of "PHY" here is consistent with other 802.3 clauses.

corrected page number

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.4 P78 L 18 # 347

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT EEE
EEE should be removed

SuggestedRemedy

Remove all reference to EEE

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete the Editor's note.

Add: MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1 does not support EEE.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.4.5 P78 L 28 # 348

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A ACT Link Sync
Link Syncronization is not half-duplex

SuggestedRemedy

Remove text about Link Sync beeing half-duplex

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Solved by comment #401.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.1.5 P78 L49 # 349
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT EEE
 EEE should be removed

SuggestedRemedy

Remove item "i)" from the list

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.5 P78 L50 # 194
 van Dyck, Peter Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT EEE
 Non echo-cancelled PHY doesn't need quiet-refresh signaling to be energy efficient

SuggestedRemedy

Delete item i) (P78 L50)

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #349.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.5 P78 L51 # 277
 Razavi, Alireza Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT EEE
 LPI mode is not defined in Clause 201, so PHY has 2 basic modes not 3 basic modes

SuggestedRemedy

Remove optional LPI signaling objective, or add full normative definition of LPI operation for these PHYs.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #349.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.5 P78 L52 # 350
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT EEE
 EEE should be removed

SuggestedRemedy

Only two modes and remove LPI reference

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #195.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.5 P78 L52 # 195
 van Dyck, Peter Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT EEE
 Non echo-cancelled PHY doesn't need quiet-refresh signaling to be energy efficient

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The PHY may operate in three basic modes: the normal data mode, the training mode, or an optional LPI mode." to read "The PHY may operate in two basic modes: the normal data mode or the training mode."

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.1.6 P79 L26 # 351
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 Missing figre

SuggestedRemedy

Add figure referenced in this line

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete the reference to the figure per comment #268.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

CI 201	SC 201.1.6	P79	L 26	# 278	
Razavi, Alireza		Infineon			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A		
remove this phrase '(See Figure <REF>)'					
SuggestedRemedy					
see comment					
Response		Response Status	C		
ACCEPT.					
CI 201	SC 201.1.6	P79	L 26	# 268	
Lo, William		Axonne Inc			
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A		
No need to have a figure and it is going to be difficult and not instructive even with a drawing showing the RS-Frame encoded as DME. The stream of DME symbols will be self evident with a combination of 201.4.2.2.16, Figure 201-16, and the output of the data path in Figure 201-11					
SuggestedRemedy					
Remove (See Figure <REF>)					
Response		Response Status	C		
ACCEPT.					
CI 201	SC 201.2	P79	L 48	# 115	
Wang, Frank		Realtek Semiconductor Corp.			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	R		
ACT Nomenclature					
wording					
SuggestedRemedy					
change "PHY_S and PHY_D" to "MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1"					
Response		Response Status	C		
REJECT.					
No consensus by the TF to make the change.					

CI 201	SC 201.2	P79	L 50	# 116	
Wang, Frank		Realtek Semiconductor Corp.			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	R		
ACT Nomenclature					
wording					
SuggestedRemedy					
change "PHY_S and PHY_D" to "MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1"					
Response		Response Status	C		
REJECT.					
No consensus by the TF to make the change.					
CI 201	SC 201.2.1.3	P80	L 41	# 35	
Lasry, Ariel		Qualcomm Technologies Inc.			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A		
ACT Link Monitor					
Is the PMA Link Monitor function reference to 149.4.2.5 correct? There is a specific Link Monitor function under 201.7.2 which is specific for ACT					
SuggestedRemedy					
change the reference to "201.7.2"					
Response		Response Status	C		
ACCEPT.					
CI 201	SC 201.2.1.2.1	P81	L 8	# 36	
Lasry, Ariel		Qualcomm Technologies Inc.			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A		
ACT Nomenclature					
"US_TX" is not defined.					
SuggestedRemedy					
change to "MultiG+100M/100+MultiGBASE-T1/V1"					
Response		Response Status	C		
ACCEPT.					

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

CI 201	SC 201.2.1.2.1	P81	L8	# 196
van Dyck, Peter		Infineon		
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	ACT Nomenclature
"US_TX link is established"				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
Replace with "PHY link is established"				
Response	Response Status	C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
Change "US_TX link is established"				
To "MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1 link is established"				
CI 201	SC 201.2.2	P81	L24	# 117
Wang, Frank		Realtek Semiconductor Corp.		
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	ACT Nomenclature
wording: these service primitives are not only for LS_PATH				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
change "The low speed path" to "MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1"				
Response	Response Status	C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
Change: The low speed path uses the following service primitives to exchange symbol vectors				
To: The following service primitives are used to exchange symbol vectors				
CI 201	SC 201.2.2	P81	L41	# 37
Lasry, Ariel		Qualcomm Technologies Inc.		
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	R	ACT EEE
Missing text for optional EEE related primitives which are shown in Figures 201-3 and 201-4. Also visible in Figures 201-1 and 201-2				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
Copy missing lines from 149.2.2				
Response	Response Status	C		
REJECT.				
EEE is not supported.				

CI 201	SC 201.2.2	P82	L	# 197
van Dyck, Peter		Infineon		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	ACT EEE
Primitive PMA_PCS_TX_LPI_STATUS.request is not defined or needed.				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
Remove PMA_PCS_TX_LPI_STATUS.request from Figure 201-3				
Response	Response Status	C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
See comment #279.				
CI 201	SC 201.2.2	P82	L3	# 352
Jonsson, Ragnar		Infineon		
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	R	ACT PMA
PMA_LINK signals are optional				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
Mark PMA_LINK.request and indication optional				
Response	Response Status	C		
REJECT.				
802.3ch also had optional autoneg, and PMA_LINK was not marked as optional				
CI 201	SC 201.2.2	P82	L24	# 353
Jonsson, Ragnar		Infineon		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	ACT EEE
EEE should be removed				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
Remove LPI status request signal				
Response	Response Status	C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
See comment #279.				

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2 P82 L 24 # 279

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A ACT EEE

From figure 201-3, PMA_PCS_TX_LPI_STATUS_request to be removed as EEE is not defined yet

SuggestedRemedy

see comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove the following from Figure 201-3:

- PMA_PCS_TX_LPI_STATUS_request and associated line
- remove the NOTE on the Figure

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2 P83 L # 198

van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT EEE

Primitives PMA_PCS_RX_LPI_STATUS.request and PMA_ALERTDETECT are not defined or needed,

SuggestedRemedy

Remove PMA_PCS_RX_LPI_STATUS.request and PMA_ALERTDETECT.indication from Figure 201-4

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #280.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2 P83 L 24 # 280

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A ACT EEE

From figure 201-4, PMA_PCS_RX_LPI_STATUS_request, PMA_ALERTDETECT.induction to be removed as EEE is not defined yet

SuggestedRemedy

see comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove the following from Figure 201-3:

- PMA_PCS_RX_LPI_STATUS_request and associated line
- PMA_ALERTDETECT.induction and associated line
- remove the NOTE on the Figure

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.1 P84 L 43 # 107

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

SuggestedRemedy

Adjust tab settings so "FOLLOWER" doesn't run in to "This".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.1 P84 L 43 # 281

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

Missing space in 'FOLLOWER This value'.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert a space: 'FOLLOWER This value'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Correct per comment #107

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.1.1 P83 L 24 # 354

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A

EEE should be removed

SuggestedRemedy

Remove LPI status and Alert-Detect signals

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #280.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.2 P84 L 27 # 118

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT Asymmetric

Since 802.3dm is asymmetric transmission, the use of "and" will restrict optimal PHY design.

SuggestedRemedy

change "and" to "or"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The sentence was deleted by #356.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.2 P84 L 29 # 296

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

both "LEADER-FOLLOWER" and "LEADER/FOLLOWER" phrases are used.

SuggestedRemedy

for consistency, only one of them should be used

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use LEADER-FOLLOWER as this is the predominate usage in 802.3, as MASTER-SLAVE.

Change throughout the draft.

Val to check clause 202.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.2 P84 L 29 # 355

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Autoneg needs to support selection of PHY-S vs PHY-D

SuggestedRemedy

Add Autoneg support for selecting PHY-S vs PHY-D

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.2.1 P84 L 43 # 230

Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A EZ

FOLLOWER This ...

SuggestedRemedy

FOLLOWER This

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Correct per comment #107

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.2.1 P84 L 43 # 38

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

space missing between "FOLLOWER" and "This"

SuggestedRemedy

Insert between "FOLLOWER" and "This"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Correct per comment #107

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.3 P85 L5 # 119

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

typo

SuggestedRemedy

change "in201.4.2.2" to "in 201.4.2.2"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.
corrected page number

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.3 P84 L26 # 356

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT Autonegotiation

It is optional for PHY-D to be a follower and PHY-S to be a master

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete: Each PHY in a MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1 link is capable of operating as a LEADER PHY and as a FOLLOWER PHY.

Comment #118, is now obsolete.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.3 P85 L5 # 282

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

Missing space in reference 'in201.4.2.2'.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert a space: 'in 201.4.2.2'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.3 P85 L5 # 199

van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

"in201.4.2.2" space missing

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with "in 201.4.2.2"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.3 P85 L5 # 231

Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

EZ

for the HS_TX and in201.4.2.2 for ...

SuggestedRemedy

for the HS_TX and in 201.4.2.2 for ...

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.3 P85 L5 # 78

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

missing space

SuggestedRemedy

Add space between "in" and "201.4.2.2".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.3.1 P85 L16 # 120

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

{-1, -1/3, +1/3, +1} is only for the normal operation of 10G mode.

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

change "{-1, -1/3, +1/3, +1} in normal operation." to the following:
 {-1, -1/3, +1/3, +1} in normal operation for 10G mode.
 {-1, +1} in normal operation for 2.5G mode and 5G mode.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

corrected page number

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.3.1 P85 L17 # 39

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

values -1/3 and +1/3 may only be used by 10G+100MBASE-T1/V1 PHY

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

add after "operation": "for 10G+100MBASE-T1/V1 PHY"
 Add a new line with:
 "{-1, +1} in normal operation for 2.5G+100MBASE-T1/V1 and 5G+100MBASE-T1/V1
 PHYs."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.3.1 P85 L18 # 378

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status R

EZ

wording: since there is only one case, I suggest to remove "when zeros are to be transmitted in the following case:"

SuggestedRemedy

change:
 0 when zeros are to be transmitted in the following case:
 when PMA_TXMODE.indication is SEND_Z during PMA training.

to:

0 when PMA_TXMODE.indication is SEND_Z during PMA training.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This is the standard structure in 802.3.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.3.1 P85 L23 # 357

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

Not the same clarity for DME signal as PAM2 signal in line 17

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify the meaning of DME, by adding a reference to Clause 201.4.2.2.16

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

After "DME" add (see 201.4.2.2.16)

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.3.1 P85 L23 # 396

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type TR Comment Status A DME

It's not clear what it means when tx_symb has the value DME. DME should not be in the PCS layer, it should be in the PMA layer as in Clause 147: "the DME encoder/decoder is contained in the PMA (see 147.4)"

SuggestedRemedy

tx_symb should be the logic symbol values, and the DME encoder/decoder functions should be removed from the PCS and added to the PMA.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

If the editor sees other potential issues when implementing this, make an Editor's note to look at this and don't make additional changes.

In 201.2.2.3.1, P85/L23

Change: DME

To: { DME0, DME1}

In Figure 201-10 remove the "DME mapper" box and replace with " symb_tx ".

Move 201.4.2.2.16 to 201.6.2.2.1

201.6.2.2.1 Differential Manchester encoding (DME)

During transmission, PMA_UNITDATA.request conveys the tx_symb variable, An, to the PMA, which can take on the values of DME1 and DME0

Move P103 L16 - 51 here.

P96L53 Change: These bits are then sent one bit at a time as a DME symbol.

To: These bits are then sent one bit at a time.

P104L9 Change: The received DME symbols are demapped

To: The received symbols are demapped

P104L10 Delete: See 201.4.2.2.16 for details on the DME symbols.

P104L21 Change: received DME training framing

To: received training framing

P104L44 Change: PCS shall form a DME stream

To: PCS shall form a bit stream

P107L23 Change: See 201.4.2.2.16 for details on the DME symbols.

To: See 201.6.2.2.1 for details on the DME symbols.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.3.1 P85 L24 # 121

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status R EZ

wording: since there is only one case, I suggest to remove "when zeros are to be transmitted in the following case:"

SuggestedRemedy

change:

0 when zeros are to be transmitted in the following case:
when PMA_TXMODE.indication is SEND_Z during PMA training.

to:

0 when PMA_TXMODE.indication is SEND_Z during PMA training.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This is the standard structure in 802.3.

corrected page number and line number

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.4.1 P85 L45 # 122

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

grammar: comma after "During reception"

SuggestedRemedy

change "reception" to "reception,"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

corrected page number and line number

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.4.2 P85 L50 # 40

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ

It is not only the low speed path PMA that generates PMA_UNITDATA.indication(rx_symb) messages. Also the high speed path.

SuggestedRemedy

delete "low speed path"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.4.2 P85 L 52 # 41 [REDACTED]
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 ambiguous use of 2.5G. Other Clauses use the PHY name

EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 replace "2.5G" with "100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.4.2 P85 L 52 # 42 [REDACTED]
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 ambiguous use of 5G. Other Clauses use the PHY name

EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 replace "5G" with "100M+5GBASE-T1/V1"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.4.2 P85 L 52 # 250 [REDACTED]
 McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 semicolon should be a comma

EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with "201.6.2.3 for HS_RX"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.4.2 P85 L 52 # 43 [REDACTED]
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 ambiguous use of 10G. Other Clauses use the PHY name

EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 replace "10G" with "100M+10GBASE-T1/V1"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.4.2 P85 L 53 # 251 [REDACTED]
 McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 semicolon should be a comma

EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with "201.6.2.3 for LS_RX"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.5.3 P86 L 37 # 269 [REDACTED]
 Lo, William Axonne Inc

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 The fix here is simple if we add some missing text in another comment. Let's make the simple fix here first

ACT PMA

SuggestedRemedy
 1) Delete Editor's note
 2) Change 201.6.2.3 for HS_RX and
 TBD. To:
 201.4.2.3 for LS_RX.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete Editor's note
 Change: Change 201.6.2.3 for HS_RX and
 TBD.

To: 201.5.2.3 for HS_RX and 201.6.2.3 for LS_RX.

Cl 201 SC 201.2.2.5.3 P86 L 37 # 200 [REDACTED]
 van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 "201.6.2.3 for HS_RX" wrong RX

ACT PMA

SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with "201.6.2.3 for LS_RX"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change per comment #269.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201	SC 201.2.2.5.3	P86	L37	# 358	
Jonsson, Ragnar		Infineon			
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A		ACT PMA
Reference to wrong clause					
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>					
Reference Clause 201.5 instead of 149.4.2.3					
<i>Response</i>		<i>Response Status</i>	C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					

Change per comment #269.

Cl 201	SC 201.2.2.5.3	P86	L37	# 359	
Jonsson, Ragnar		Infineon			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A		ACT PMA
Change second HS_RX tp LS_RX					
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>					
See comment					
<i>Response</i>		<i>Response Status</i>	C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					

Change per comment #269.

Cl 201	SC 201.2.2.5.3	P86	L37	# 283	
Razavi, Alireza		Infineon			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A		ACT PMA
TBD can be removed "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 149.4.2.3 for HS_RX and 201.6.2.3 for HS_RX and TBD."					
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>					
Replaced by "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 149.4.2.3 for HS_RX and 201.6.2.3 for LS_RX."					
<i>Response</i>		<i>Response Status</i>	C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					

Change per comment #269.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected R/response status: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 201	SC 201.2.2.5.3	P86	L37	# 360	
Jonsson, Ragnar		Infineon			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A		ACT PMA
Remove "and TBD", unless there is specific clause to be referenced					
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>					
See comment					
<i>Response</i>		<i>Response Status</i>	C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					

Change per comment #269.

Cl 201	SC 201.2.2.7.3	P87	L	# 270	
Lo, William		Axonne Inc			
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A		ACT PMA
Fix the references.					
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>					
1) Delete the contents in the entire section including the editor's note					
2) Add the following text:					
The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 149-33, 149.4.2.4, 201.3.2.3, 201.4.2.3, and 201.7.2.1.3.					

<i>Response</i>	<i>Response Status</i>	C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
1) Delete the contents in the entire section including the editor's note		
2) Add the following text:		
The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 201-1, Figure 201-2, Figure 201-5, Figure 201-9, Figure 201-17, 201.3.2.3, 201.4.2.3, and 201.7.1.		

Cl 201	SC 201.2.2.7.3	P87	L28	# 361	
Jonsson, Ragnar		Infineon			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A		ACT PMA
Remove "TBD", unless there is specific clause to be referenced					
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>					
See comment					
<i>Response</i>		<i>Response Status</i>	C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					

Change per comment #270.

Cl 201
 SC 201.2.2.7.3

Page 34 of 84
 1/21/2026 7:15:27 PM

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.2.4 P96 L # 201
van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
Leftmost vertical line is out of place.

SuggestedRemedy
Align leftmost vertical line arrow top and bottom to touch dotted lines at XGMII and PHY D PMA SERVICE INTERFACE. Align label "PCS" to not overlap line and be centered

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3 P88 L24 # 390
Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT PCS
The PCS is a sublayer within the PHY comprising a transmit and receive, which makes describing it only as HS_PATH functions a challenge in the current text structure.

SuggestedRemedy
Describe the functions/structure of a PHY_S PCS in one subclause, including subclauses for the HS_TX and LS_RX. Then in another subclause describe the functions/structure of a PHY_D PCS, including subclauses for the HS_RX and LS_TX. This will be consistent with the layered structure of the document rather than mixing the layer and path within one description.

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add figure in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0126/PHY_S%20PHY_D.pdf to 201.1.1.
Editor's license when putting the figure in Frame and adding a title.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.1 P88 L32 # 123
Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A refer vs. include
wording

SuggestedRemedy
change "MultiGBASE-T1" to "MultiG+100MBASE-T1/V1"

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete: MultiGBASE-T1

Editor to search for MultiGBASE-T1 references and remove or replace with more appropriate terms for 3dm.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 201 SC 201.3.1 P88 L32 # 44
Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status R refer vs. include
lines 32-34 are same as clause 149.3.1

SuggestedRemedy
replace lines 32-34 with "PCS service interface is specified as in 149.3.1"

Response Response Status C
REJECT.

Replacing 3 lines of text with a reference reduces readability.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2 P89 L20 # 252
McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
line should not go through pma_data_mode

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2 P89 L20 # 73
Zhu, Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
pcs_data_mode text is blocked

SuggestedRemedy
adjust text position

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2 P89 L29 # 45

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status R ACT EEE

Figure 201-5 HS_TX PCS TRANSMIT block is missing a dashed output arrow down to PHY_S PMA SERVICE INTERFACE. The dashed arrow is for "tx_lpi_active"

SuggestedRemedy

add the arrow

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

EEE is not supported

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2 P89 L29 # 46

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

Figure 201-5 "pcs_data_mode" text is over the arrow

SuggestedRemedy

move the text to the right of the arrow

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2 P92 L42 # 257

McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status R EZ - pull

The 1:18 refers to the ratio of the transfer rates and only if rate adaptation is not needed.

SuggestedRemedy

For the 10G HS_PATH, it takes 1800 PMA_UNITDATA transfers to send an RS-FEC frame of data. For the 10G HS_PATH, if the ratio of the XGMII to PMA transfer rates is exactly 1:18, then the transmit process does not need to perform rate adaptation.

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2 P92 L45 # 258

McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status R EZ - pull

The 1:36 refers to the ratio of the transfer rates and only if rate adaptation is not needed.

SuggestedRemedy

For 2.5G and 5G HS_PATH, it takes 3600 PMA_UNITDATA transfers to send an RS-FEC frame of data. For 2.5G and 5G HS_PATH, if the PCS is connected to an XGMII and PMA sublayer where the ratio of their transfer rates is exactly 1:36, then the transmit process does not need to perform rate adaptation.

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P89 L20 # 362

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

"pcs_data_mode" is overlapping the line

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P90 L7 # 259

McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

In fig 201-6, the S_n are from the training frame, and the A_n are from the 2.5G/5G data stream D_n[0]. There should be a note explaining this in the figure.

SuggestedRemedy

The S_n are from the training fram, and the A_n are from the 2.5G and 5G HS_PATH when the PAM2 mapper is used.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P91 L1 # 47
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 typo: dot at end of line

SuggestedRemedy
 remove "." at end of line

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P91 L1 # 284
 Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Extra punctuation in figure reference 'Figure 149-6. .'.
 EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the extra period.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P91 L1 # 79
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 extra period
 EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 Remove duplicate period at end of sentence.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P91 L15 # 363
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Typo: "ad" instead of "and"
 EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 See comment

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P91 L15 # 253
 McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 "ad" should be "and" in block name

SuggestedRemedy
 Interleave and RS-FEC(360,326) encoder

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P91 L15 # 232
 Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Interleaver ad RS-FEC (360,326) encoder
 EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 Interleaver and RS-FEC (360,326) encoder

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P91 L21 # 49
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Figure 201-7 uses "10G Path" but Figure 201-6 uses "PAM4 data path".
 EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 replace "10G Path" with "PAM4 path"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P91 L23 # 50
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Figure 201-7 uses "2.5G, 5G Path" but Figure 201-6 uses "PAM2 training/data path".
 EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 replace "2.5G, 5G Path" with "PAM2 path"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P91 L 30 # 254

McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status R refer vs. include

Add interleaving description

SuggestedRemedy

Figure shown for L = 1, which means no interleaving. The L parameter specifies the number of FEC blocks interleaved together.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

There is a reference to 149.3.2.2. 149.3.2.2.15 defines interleaving.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P91 L 32 # 51

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

Figure 201-7 NOTE 3 for consistency with above comments 2.5G, 5G and 10G should be replaced

SuggestedRemedy

Replace: "For 2.5G and 5G" with "For PAM2 path".

Replace "10G" with "PAM4 path"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P91 L 34 # 48

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A ACT Nomenclature

The Figure is for HS_TX not HS_PATH. HS_PATH would also include the HS_RX which is not there.

SuggestedRemedy

replace "HS_PATH" with "HS_TX"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Also, on P92L34

Change: HS_PATH
To: HS_RX

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L 1 # 80

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ extra period

SuggestedRemedy

Remove duplicate period at end of sentence.

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L 1 # 285

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status R EZ reference to 'Figure 149-7" should be remove .

SuggestedRemedy
see comment

Response Response Status C
REJECT.

If this comment were to be implemented, many other changes would need to be made for similar references to 149.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L 1 # 52

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ typo: dot at end of line

SuggestedRemedy
remove " ." at end of line

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L 19 # 53
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Figure 201-8 uses "10G Path" not consistent with "PAM4 data path".

EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 replace "10G Path" with "PAM4 path"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L 21 # 54
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Figure 201-8 uses "2.5G, 5G Path" not consistent with "PAM2 training/data path".

EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 replace "2.5G, 5G Path" with "PAM2 path"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L 32 # 256
 McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status R
 Note 1 is confusing.

EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 At the top of this figure, the mapping of a 64B/65B block into eight data characters, D0 to D7, for the XGMII is shown.

Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

This is common with 802.3ch and other Automotive PHYs.

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L 34 # 255
 McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status R
 Add interleaving description

SuggestedRemedy
 Figure shown for L = 1, which means no interleaving. The L parameter specifies the number of FEC blocks interleaved together.

Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

There is a reference to 149.3.2.2. 149.3.2.2.15 defines interleaving.

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L 35 # 55
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Figure 201-8 NOTE 3 for consistency with above comments 2.5G, 5G and 10G should be replaced

SuggestedRemedy
 Replace: "For 2.5G and 5G" with "For PAM2 path".
 Replace "10G" with "PAM4 path"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L 36 # 56
 Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 The Figure is for HS_RX not HS_PATH. HS_PATH would also include the HS_TX which is not there.

SuggestedRemedy
 replace "HS_PATH" with "HS_RX"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L41 # 57

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

"10G HS_PATH".

Terminology: "10G" is not defined.

The 1800 PMA_UNITDATA transfers are only for the HS_TX. Not for the HS_PATH which consists of both the HS_TX and HS_RX

SuggestedRemedy

replace "10G HS_PATH" with "PAM4 path HS_TX (10Gb/s)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L44 # 58

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

"2.5G and 5G HS_PATH".

Terminology: "2.5G" and "5G" are not defined.

The 3600 PMA_UNITDATA transfers are only for the HS_TX. Not for the HS_PATH which consists of both the HS_TX and HS_RX

SuggestedRemedy

replace "2.5G and 5G HS_PATH" with "PAM2 path HS_TX (2.5Gb/s and 5Gb/s)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L47 # 59

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

"10G HS_PATH" in consistency to previous comments this needs to be updated

SuggestedRemedy

replace "10G HS_PATH" with "PAM4 path HS_TX (10Gb/s)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L48 # 260

McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

There should be commas around respectively.

SuggestedRemedy

and 149.3.2.2.21, respectively, with the

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L51 # 60

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

"2.5G and 5G HS_PATH" in consistency to previous comments this needs to be updated

SuggestedRemedy

replace "2.5G and 5G HS_PATH" with "PAM2 path HS_TX (2.5Gb/s and 5Gb/s)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L52 # 261

McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

comma should be after D_n

SuggestedRemedy

presented as D_n, where

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L53 # 74

Zhu, Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

... are presented as, Dn where' -- comma may be mis-positioned

SuggestedRemedy

change to '... are presented as Dn, where'

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P92 L 53 # 262

McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"is scrambled" should be "and are scrambled"

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

The bits of the interleaved RS-FEC superframe are presented as D_n, where n is an index indicating the symbol number, and are scrambled using an additive scrambler.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P93 L 1 # 263

McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

Replace "The DS_n is applied as additive scrambler sequence to incoming data bits D_n to generate a single scrambled data A_n as shown in Equation (201-1)." with the proposed change, which includes defining D_n for the 2.5G and 5G HS_PATH.

SuggestedRemedy

All incoming 2.5G and 5G HS_PATH data bits are D_n, and D_n is represented in Figure 201-6 as D_n[0]. The DS_n are applied as an additive scrambler sequence to each incoming data bit, D_n, to generate a single scrambled data bit, A_n, as shown in Equation (201-1).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P93 L 8 # 61

Lasry, Ariel Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

"2.5G and 5G HS_PATH" in consistency to previous comments this needs to be updated

SuggestedRemedy

replace "2.5G and 5G HS_PATH" with "PAM2 path HS_TX (2.5Gb/s and 5Gb/s)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P93 L 9 # 180

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI/APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

Just to say "encode ... as specified." doesn't write the requirement. The requirement is actually written below on line 18 - this line isn't needed - 18 just needs to be written as a requirement. Additionally, lines 6 through 17 are unnecessary.

SuggestedRemedy

Change P93 L18 to read "For the 2.5G and 5G HS_PATH, each consecutive output symbol, A_n shall be mapped to a PAM2 encoded symbol M(n) as follows:"

Delete lines 6 through 16.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Make consistent with working change in comment #61.

Delete lines 6 through 16.

Change P93 L18 to read "For the PAM2 path HS_TX, each consecutive output symbol, A_n shall be mapped to a PAM2 encoded symbol M(n) as follows."

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P93 L 19 # 329

Johnson, Samuel Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R

EZ

Mapping of logic0 -> +1 and logic1 -> -1 seems non-intuitive

SuggestedRemedy

If this is used by PAM2 in other standards, then leave unchanged. Otherwise, propose
Logic0 -> -1
Logic1 -> +1

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This is common with 802.3ch and other Automotive PHYs.

corrected page number and line number

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.3 P93 L24 # 264

McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"including, compliance" should be "includes compliance"

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

The PCS receive function for HS_PATH shall conform to the PCS 64B/65B receive state diagram in Figure 149-18, and the PCS Receive bit ordering in Figure 201-8 includes compliance with the associated state variables specified in 201.3.6.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implemented by solution to comment #181.

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.3 P93 L26 # 181

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ

There are no state variables specified in 201.3.6. Only "S" is mentioned there, and S is already taken care of earlier for references.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "including, compliance with the associated state variables specified in 201.3.6"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.3 P93 L30 # 233

Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A EZ

The received symbols are demapped and descrambling performed

SuggestedRemedy

The received symbols are demapped and descrambled

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implemented by solution to comment #265.

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.3 P93 L30 # 81

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A

grammer

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

Change "descrambling performed" to "descrambling is performed".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implemented by solution to comment #265.

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.3 P93 L30 # 265

McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

"are demapped and descrambling performed." should be ""are demapped, and descrambling is performed."

SuggestedRemedy

The received symbols are demapped, and descrambling is performed.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.3 P93 L41 # 234

Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A EZ

... PCS Receive checks the received PAM2 framing and signals the reliable ...

SuggestedRemedy

... PCS Receive checks the received PAM2 framing and signals for the reliable ...

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

CI 201	SC 201.3.2.3.1	P94	L 10	# 184
Zimmerman, George	CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe			
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	EZ
Missing shall. There is one for the formation of the PAM2 stream, but not for the PAM4 stream. Additionally, while its nice to reference clause 149, 149.3.2.3.1 is sufficiently short you might as well put it here - AND - it is parallel to the new text needed for 2.5Gb/s and 5Gb/s				
<p><i>SuggestedRemedy</i></p> <p>change "forms a PAM4 stream" to "shall form a PAM4 stream".</p> <p>Consider simply replacing the first paragraph on 201.3.2.3.1 with the text of 149.3.2.3.1</p>				
Response	Response Status C			
ACCEPT.				

CI 201	SC 201.3.2.3.1	P94	L10	# 185
Zimmerman, George		CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe		
<i>Comment Type</i>	T	<i>Comment Status</i>	D	<i>Duplexing Method</i>
General comment, Big Ticket Item - MultiSpeed PHYs.				
We need to decide whether we are specifying one (or 2) PMA/PCS types with multiple speed modes or one (or 2) PMA/PCS types per speed.				
Most of the language says we have a PMA/PCS per speed, and this is how it is usually done. However, the language here, and elsewhere, describes the speeds as "modes" of a PHY - as though we had a multi-speed PHY. This is the first reference, but it occurs in many places. 2.5G, 5G, or 10G are not "modes" - the text refers to "in 10G" or "in 10G mode" (or 2.5G, or 5G...) in many places. There is a 2.5G+100MBASE-T1/V1 PHY transmitting 2.5 Gb/s, (and a 100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1 PHY receiving at 2.5Gb/s, and the other speeds similarly have their own phys).				
While these edits are clear on clause 201 - they equally apply to 202, where the description of the phy is confused in that it speed-selects during PHY training, without a separate auto-neg sublayer (See 202.4.2.4.5) suggesting a multi-speed PHY.				

SuggestedRemedy

Assuming at least clause 201 is one PMA/PCS per speed, Change "When operating in the data mode in 10G, the HS_RX PCS forms" to "When operating in the data mode, a 100M+10GBASE-T1/V1 PHY's HS_RX PCS shall form", and change, "When operating in the data mode in 2.5G and 5G, the HS_RX PCS" to "When operating in the data mode, a 100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1 PHY and a 100M+10GBASE-T1/V1 PHY's HS_RX PCS's each".... Similarly, change at P117 L35, "and the PHY is transmitting in 10G mode, it shall transmit" to "a 10G+100MBASE-T1/V1 PHY shall transmit..."

2018.1
Change at P117 L38, "and the PHY is transmitting in 5G or 2.5G mode, it shall transmit" to "a 2.5G+100MBASE-T1/V1 PHY or a 5G+100MBASE-T1/V1 PHY shall each transmit..."
2018.2.2

Change at P121 L6, "when transmitting in 10G mode" to "for a 10G+100MBASE-T1/V1 PHY"
Change at P121 L6, "For 5G and 2.5G modes" to "For 5G+100MBASE-T1/V1 or 2.5G+100MBASE-T1/V1 PHYs"
Editorial license to replace other "mode" usage

Proposed Response *Response Status* W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

TFTD

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.4 P95 L14 # 391

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT PCS

The PCS is a sublayer within the PHY comprising a transmit and receive, which makes describing it only as LS_PATH functions a challenge in the current text structure.

SuggestedRemedy

Describe the functions/structure of a PHY_S PCS in one subclause, including subclauses for the HS_TX and LS_RX. Then in another subclause describe the functions/structure of a PHY_D PCS, including subclauses for the HS_RX and LS_TX. This will be consistent with the layered structure of the document rather than mixing the layer and path within one description.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See #390

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P96 L42 # 124

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
wording

SuggestedRemedy

change "MultiGBASE-T1" to "100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

corrected page number

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P96 L43 # 82

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ
copy paste error from Clause 149

SuggestedRemedy

Change: MultiGBASE-T1 PCS
To: 100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P96 L51 # 125

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
wording

SuggestedRemedy

change: "take four 65B blocks and append a 10-bit OAM field followed by 6 reserved bits set to all 1s to each group."
to: "take 1 group of 4 65B blocks and append a 10-bit OAM field followed by 6 reserved bits set to all 1s to it."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P97 L2 # 126

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
wording

SuggestedRemedy
change "T" to "T"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. corrected page number
"T" should be in italics.

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P97 L3 # 425

Long, Richard TE Connectivity

Comment Type E Comment Status D late - EZ
The symbol period is an exact value and can be written as such, instead of a formula

SuggestedRemedy

Use 8.533 ns instead of "1000/117.1875 ns"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This would have a repeating 3 at the end. I don't believe it is possible to put a line over the 3 in FM.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P97 L12 # 216

van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

"defined in 201.3.5.1" This section defines how Tn is derived for Sn in HS_PATH, Sn and Tn are not defined in the draft for LS_PATH, which has a different training frame than the HS_PATH.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "defined in 201.4.5.1"

For definition of Sn for LS_PATH and Clause 201.4.5.1 see comment for Clause 201.4.5

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: 201.3.5.1

To: 201.4.5.1

Comment #217 implements the rest of the request.

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P97 L12 # 202

van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

"(Tn)" the n should be subscript and this should be italic.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P97 L12 # 127

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

wording

SuggestedRemedy

change "Tn" to "T_n"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. corrected page number
"T_n" should be in italics.

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2.2 P98 L35 # 75

Zhu, Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ - pull

the bit ordering in the figure looks like Bit299 is sent first

SuggestedRemedy

Bit ordering in Figure shall be reversed from Bit0...Bit299 to Bit299...Bit0 to reflect that Bit0 is transmitted first

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Update figure as shown in <https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0126/Fig%202020-11%20comment%2075.pdf>.

Add a note to the figure that reads: Bit to DME mapping is done in the PMA.

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2.15 P103 L3 # 128

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ

wording: since there is no interleaver in LS_TX, "interleaved" should be removed

SuggestedRemedy

change "the interleaved RS-FEC" to "the RS-FEC"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2.15 P103 L3 # 271

Lo, William Axonne Inc

Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ

There is no interleaving or superframes in the LS_PATH

SuggestedRemedy

Change: interleaved RS-FEC superframe

To: RS-FEC frame

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2.16 P103 L12 # 395

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

DME

DME encoding may be better done as a PMA function similar to other existing 802.3 clauses

SuggestedRemedy

Move DME encoding from PCS to PMA.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #396.

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.3.1 P104 L46 # 203

van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

"block lock" underscore missing

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with "block_lock"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Make the same correction on P94/L15

Cl 201 SC 201.4.3 P105 L18 # 129

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

grammar: comma after "mode"

SuggestedRemedy

change "mode" to "mode,"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

corrected page number

Cl 201 SC 201.4.3 P105 L19 # 130

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

EZ

The initial condition of the scrambler is missed.

SuggestedRemedy

change: "by setting the data input to the scrambler to zero"
to: "by setting zero input and any non-zero initial condition to the scrambler"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.
corrected page number

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5 P105 L5 # 286

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

enumeration is not correct and all of them are a)

SuggestedRemedy

enumeration should be updated

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5 P105 L34 # 415

Long, Richard TE Connectivity

Comment Type E Comment Status D

late - EZ

Wording, the use of abbreviations muxed/demuxed is not needed

SuggestedRemedy

Use "multiplexed or demultiplexed" instead of "muxed/demuxed."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The multiplexer used to select training vs. data is not mentioned in most clauses, although it is in the block diagram.

Change: During PMA training, the PHY operates as shown in Figure 201-11 and Figure 201-12 except the training frame is muxed/de-muxed in lieu of the four 64/65 blocks.

To: During PMA training, the PHY operates as shown in Figure 201-11 and Figure 201-12.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5 P105 L 34 # 287

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

64/65 blocks

SuggestedRemedy

64/65 blocks" replaced by "64B/65B blocks"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5 P106 L 32 # 217

van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A ACT PMA

Definition of Sn for LS_PATH is missing, definition of Tn for LS_PATH (Clause 201.4.5.1) is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

For definition of Sn, append below text and equation to Clause 201.4.5, page 106, line 18:
"Sn defines the training frame bit at time n, see Equation (201-...)"
use equation found in Sn.pdf

For definition of Tn, append the below text as Clause 201.4.5.1, page 106, line 18:
"201.4.5.1 Generation of symbol Tn

The bit Sn is encoded to the DME transmit symbol Tn applying the following rules:

- A "clock transition" shall always be generated at the start of each bit.
- A "data transition" in the middle of a nominal bit period shall be generated if the bit to be transmitted is a logical '1'. Otherwise, no transition shall be generated until the next bit"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

For definition of Sn, append below text and equation to Clause 201.4.5, page 106, line 18:
"Sn defines the training frame bit at time n, see Equation (201-...)"
use equation found in Sn.pdf

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5.1 P106 L 19 # 273

Lo, William Axonne Inc

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT PMA

Need to talk about setting scr_status during descrambling.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Add section 201.4.5.1 PMA training mode descrambler polynomials

2) Text should be:

The PHY shall acquire descrambler state synchronization to the DME training sequence and report success through scr_status.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accomplished by solution to comment #204.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5.2 P106 L # 204
van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A ACT PMA
The PMA training mode descrambler polynomial, section 201.4.5.2 is missing for LS_PATH

SuggestedRemedy

Add the below text at Page 106 Line 18:

201.4.5.2 PMA training mode descrambler polynomial

The PHY shall acquire descrambler state synchronization to the DME training sequence and report success through scr_status. For side-stream descrambling, the low speed receiver employs the receiver descrambler generator polynomial per 201.4.4.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

It should be 201.4.5.1, not 201.4.5.2.

Add the below text at Page 106 Line 18:

201.4.5.1 PMA training mode descrambler polynomial

The PHY shall acquire descrambler state synchronization to the DME training sequence and report success through scr_status. For side-stream descrambling, the low speed receiver employs the receiver descrambler generator polynomial per 201.4.4.

Cl 201 SC 201.5 P107 L 12 # 392
Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT PMA

The PMA sublayer description in 149.4 describes a PMA sublayer contained within a single PHY. Attempting to reference it to describe the HS_PATH functions of the PMA could lead to some unintended misunderstandings since the HS_PATH functions are not in a single PHY.

SuggestedRemedy

Describe the functions/structure of a PHY_S PMA sublayer in one subclause, including subclauses for the HS_TX and LS_RX. Then in another subclause describe the functions/structure of a PHY_D PMA sublayer, including subclauses for the HS_RX and LS_TX.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See #390

Cl 201 SC 201.5 P107 L 17 # 364
Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT EEE
EEE should be removed

SuggestedRemedy

Add to list "3) No EEE support"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add to list: 3) EEE is not supported

Cl 201 SC 201.6 P107 L 19 # 393
Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT LS PMA

The PMA sublayer description from an LS_PATH only perspective is extremely challenging to understand from the text. The PMA transmit and receive functions defined exist in 2 distinct instances of the PMA sublayer even though the single PMA sublayer is defined as containing a transmit and receive function. Similarly a single PHY control function instance does not interact with both ends of the LS_PATH.

SuggestedRemedy

Describe the functions/structure of a PHY_S PMA sublayer in one subclause, including subclauses for the HS_TX and LS_RX. Then in another subclause describe the functions/structure of a PHY_D PMA sublayer, including subclauses for the HS_RX and LS_TX.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See #390

Cl 201 SC 201.6.1 P107 L 26 # 365
Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT PMA
Text missing for this section

SuggestedRemedy

Add text corresponding to Figure 149-26 and Clause 149.4.2

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolved by solution to comment #288.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2 P107 L # 288

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A

figure for pma refrence diagram is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

figure can be copied from 149-26 with removing the dashed line signals related to EEE

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add text to 201.6.1: The PMA couples messages from the PMA service interface specified in 201.2.2 to the 100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1 baseband medium, specified in 201.11 to -T1 and in 201.12 for -V1.

The interface between the PMA and the baseband medium is the Medium Dependent Interface (MDI), which is specified in 201.13 to -T1 and in 201.14 for -V1.

Copy Figure 149-26 I into 201.6.1 with the following changes:

- remove all dashed lines and associated names
- rename PMA RECEIVE to HS_RX PMA RECEIVE
- rename PMA TRANSMIT to LS_TX PMA TRANSMIT

Replace Figure <REF> in 201.6.2 with reference to this added Figure.

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2 P107 L 36 # 366

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Refference figure is missin

SuggestedRemedy

Add figure referenced in this line

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #288

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2 P107 L 38 # 367

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Refference figure is missin

SuggestedRemedy

Add figure referenced in this line

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #288

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2.1 P107 L 50 # 368

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Reset

Change 100ms to 50ms (see also Caluse 202.4.2.1)

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2.1 P108 L 15 # 370

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A

ACT Autonegotiation

Support for PHY-D as follower should be optional

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

On page 108 line 16, at the end of the paragraph add the following text:

A PHY_D shall support operation as LEADER, and may support operation as FOLLOWER.
A PHY_S shall support operation as FOLLOWER, and may support operation as LEADER.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2.2 P108 L4 # 369

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

Clarify that Coax is also single "pair"

SuggestedRemedy

Add the word "single" in front of "Coax cable"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2.3 P108 L34 # 133

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

wording: remove "low speed direction"

SuggestedRemedy

change "The low speed direction PMA Receiver" to "The PMA Receiver"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. corrected page number

change "The low speed direction PMA Receive" to "The PMA Receive"

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2.3 P108 L27 # 131

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ - pull

wording: remove "low speed"

SuggestedRemedy

change "The low speed PMA Receiver" to "The PMA Receiver"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

corrected page number

change "The low speed PMA Receive" to "The PMA Receive"

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2.3 P108 L27 # 379

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

wording: add "The" before "PMA Receive contains"

SuggestedRemedy

change "PMA Receive contains" to "The PMA Receive contains"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2.3 P108 L28 # 132

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

There is no such comma in 149.4.2.3.

SuggestedRemedy

change "MDI," to "MDI"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

corrected page number

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2.3 P108 L31 # 371

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

RFER is missing 10^{-10} after 2x

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change 2x to 2 x 10^{-10}

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2.3 P108 L 35 # 134
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 period is missed

SuggestedRemedy
 change "accordingly" to "accordingly."

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 corrected page number

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2.3 P108 L 35 # 235
 Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A EZ
 loc_rcvr_status varialbe accordingly

SuggestedRemedy
 loc_rcvr_status varialbe accordingly.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add missing period.

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2.3 P108 L 35 # 83
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 missing period

SuggestedRemedy
 Add a period after accordingly.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.6.2.4 P108 L 48 # 372
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT LS PMA
 There are significant updates from clause 149 that need to be added

SuggestedRemedy
 See comment

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolved by comment #394 when references to 149 are replaced by new content inserted by that comment.

Cl 201 SC 201.7 P109 L 3 # 394
 Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT PMA
 This contradicts the text in 201.5 that says the HS_PATH PMA functions are specified in 149.4 as 149.4 defines all of these functions already.

SuggestedRemedy
 Describing the PMA in terms of PHY_S/PHY_D instance functions rather than HS_PATH/LS_PATH functions may remove the contradiction if there are some common functions between the PHY_S and PHY_D.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In order to fix this, we need to bring the 149 text into 201.5 and correct a number of items. The references can then be made to 201.5.x instead of 149.

See 3dm_d0pa_Comment_394.pdf for proposed changes to 201.5.
 There was an extensive set of changes that are easier to see in a file.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.7 P109 L3 # 373
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Use HS and LS instead of "fast" and "slow"

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: fast and slow directions

To: HS_PATH and LS_PATH

Cl 201 SC 201.7 P109 L3 # 135
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A Nomenclature
 wording

SuggestedRemedy

change "fast and slow directions" to "HS_PATH and LS_PATH"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.1 P109 L16 # 205
 van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 LS_PATH uses DME during training, not PAM2

SuggestedRemedy

Modify text with: "In the TRAINING state, PAM 2 transmission is used for HS_PATH, DME transmission is used for LS_PATH and"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: In the TRAINING state, PAM 2 transmission is used and PHY capabilities are exchanged with Infofields as specified in 149.4.2.4.5.

To: In the TRAINING state, PHY capabilities are exchanged with Infofields as specified in 149.4.2.4.5. PAM 2 transmission is used for HS_PATH and DME is used for LS_PATH.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.1 P109 L16 # 374
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A

ACT PMA

PAM2 is only used for training in HS direction

SuggestedRemedy

Update text to clarify that PAM2 is used in HS direction and add "DMA is used in LS direction as specified in Clause ..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: In the TRAINING state, PAM 2 transmission is used and PHY capabilities are exchanged with Infofields as specified in 149.4.2.4.5.

To: In the TRAINING state, PAM 2 transmission is used in the HS direction and DME is used in the LS direction, PHY capabilities are exchanged with Infofields as specified in 149.4.2.4.5.

Editorial license to change reference to text pulled into 201.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.1 P109 L16 # 136
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ
 In the training state, HS_PATH and LS_PATH use different modulation.

SuggestedRemedy

change "PAM 2 transmission is used" to " PAM2 transmission is used for HS_PATH and DME transmission is used for LS_PATH,"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See solution in comment #205.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.7.1 P109 L 29 # 375

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R

100ms is too long, all times in Table 201-6 should be scaled down by 50%

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.1 P109 L 42 # 376

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R

ACT Startup

100ms is too long, all times in Table 201-7 should be scaled down by 50%

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.2.1 P110 L 18 # 405

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

ACT PHY Control

The state variables subclause of the Link Monitor seems to be incorrect. It has variables for the PHY control state diagram and the PHY control state diagram is a subclause of the variables.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the PHY control variables/timers to the state variables section of the PHY Control function. Make the PHY Control state diagram subclause a subclause of the PHY control function or move to the PMA state diagrams in 201.7.8

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See 3dm_d0pa_Comment_405.pdf for changes to 201.7.

There was an extensive set of changes that are easier to see in a file.

Also, delete 201.7.7 and 201.7.8 as they have been moved earlier in 201.7 in the proposed text.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 201 SC 201.7.2.1.3 P111 L 4 # 289

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

ACT PHY Control

EEE is not defined. this section should be removed " and the EEE Refresh monitor state diagram for the fast data path is shown in Figure 149-34."

SuggestedRemedy

see comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See solution to comment #405 which resolves this.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.2.1.3 P111 L 6 # 209

Abedinzadeh, Bizhan Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status D

ACT PHY control

Figure 201-17 should remove restart paths from PCS_TEST/TX_SWITCH/COUNT_DOWN to SILENT.

SuggestedRemedy

The restart should be only triggered in Link Sync state machine, Figure 201-20 LINK_GOOD_CHECK transition to TRANSMIT_DISABLE.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.2.1.3 P111 L 6 # 210

Abedinzadeh, Bizhan Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

Figure 201-17 remove MASTER/en_slave_tx

SuggestedRemedy

The terms should be changed to LEADER/en_follower_tx

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201
SC 201.7.2.1.3

Page 53 of 84
1/21/2026 7:15:27 PM

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.7.2.1.3 P111 L6 # 211

Abedinzadeh, Bizhan Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status D ACT PHY control

Figure 201-17 transition from COUNTDOW to TX SWITCH should be changed.

SuggestedRemedy

((phy_role=PHY_S*loc_countd-won_done)*infofield_complete + phy_role=PHY_D

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TFTD

Cl 201 SC 201.7.2.1.3 P111 L6 # 212

Abedinzadeh, Bizhan Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R ACT PHY control

Figure 201-17 , need a state Follower transmits and Leader is silent

SuggestedRemedy

Add a state from SILENT to Training where only Follower will enter. In this state Follower should be sending SEND_T. This state shall last for min wait time of 300us. The silent period for FOLLOWER in silent state should be reduced to allow for this extra state.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

No consensus in the TF to make the change.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.2.1.3 P111 L6 # 213

Abedinzadeh, Bizhan Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT Startup

Figure 201-17. Reduction of min wait timers, allow for quicker linkup

SuggestedRemedy

Min wait timer for SILENT and PCS TEST should be reduced to 500us

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Copy min wait timer from clause 149 into clause 201 and change the value to 500 us.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.2.1.3 P111 L22 # 76

Zhu, Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

to unify the names of roles

SuggestedRemedy

change to Leader and Follower

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.2.1.3 P111 L23 # 290

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

en_slave_tx should be replaced by en_follower_tx

SuggestedRemedy

see comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.2.1.3 P111 L40 # 404

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

ACT PHY Control

The rem_countdown_done is defined as "set to TRUE once the receiver has transitioned from PAM2 to PAM4." So it only works for 10G. How shall it be set to true for 2.5G/5G PHY_D HS_RX? No need to wait in that case, but need a variable phy_type to determine if wait is required, or redefine rem_countdown_done to work for 2.5G/5G.

SuggestedRemedy

Change (phy_role = PHY_D * rem_countdown_done) to (phy_role = PHY_D * (rem_countdown_done + phy_type != 100M+10GBASE-T1/V1))

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change definition of rem_countdown_done

Change: is set to TRUE once the receiver has transitioned from PAM2 to PAM4.

To: is set to TRUE once the receiver has transitioned from receiving training frames to data frames.

Revisit later this week.

If not changed, add Editor's note to consider the proper wording.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.7.3 P112 L18 # 266

McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R ACT Link Sync

Should add arrows from the reception of the Leader link sync pulse to the following transmitted pulse from the follower. This would be like a timing diagram showing the leader pulse detection at the follower causing the follower to reply with the follower pulse. The leader pulse must cause the follower to respond so that the timing between the leader and follower is stable.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

See also comment #267.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.3 P112 L32 # 416

Long, Richard TE Connectivity

Comment Type E Comment Status D late - EZ

Missing spaces

SuggestedRemedy

Add a space between 50 and ppm (line 32), 34.133 and ns (line 36), 1024 and ns (line 37), 50 and ns (line 41)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.3 P112 L42 # 267

McCarthy, Frank Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT Link Sync

For each SEND_S pulse that the follower detects, the follower is allowed to answer with one SEND_S pulse to the Leader. If follower does not detect a SEND_S pulse from the leader, then the follower skips transmitting its SEND_S pulse. A question is should a missing SEND_S pulse from the leader cause the follower to wait for three consecutive pulses from the leader before sending additional SEND_S pulses to the leader? Should the leader send a "reverse polarity" SEND_S pulse to indicate that the leader detected the SEND_S pulses from the follower? This would provide a "closed-loop" link-synchronization method, i.e. the follower would never leave before the leader had detected its SEND_S pulses.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text describing behavior when SEND_S pulse is missing.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

New proposed text: (Note, comment 266 has been withdrawn.)

Replace paragraph starting on P112/L39 with the following text.

When the FOLLOWER detects a sufficient number of the LEADER's SEND_S pulses to determine that the LEADER is active, the FOLLOWER shall output one SEND_S pulse +/- 50ns after the detection of the LEADER's most-recent SEND_S pulse. For each subsequent SEND_S pulse detected from the LEADER, the FOLLOWER shall output one SEND_S pulse with timing as shown in Figure 201-19. This pattern shall repeat until the LEADER detects a sufficient number of the FOLLOWER's SEND_S pulses to determine that the FOLLOWER is active. Then, the LEADER shall stop outputting SEND_S pulses and enter the SILENT_WAIT state as shown in Figure 201-20. Likewise, after the FOLLOWER determines that the LEADER has stopped outputting SEND_S pulses, the FOLLOWER shall also enter the SILENT_WAIT state.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.3 P112 L44 # 291

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

misspelling SENDS_S should be replaced by SEND_S

SuggestedRemedy

see comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.7.3.1 P114 L4 # 84
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 missing space

SuggestedRemedy
 Add a non-breaking space between 3.1 and us. Also change "u" to the symbol for micro.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.3.2 P114 L20 # 398
 Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type TR Comment Status A ACT PHY Control
 98.5.2 defines both break_link_timer_[HSM] and break_link_timer_[LSM], so it's ambiguous which should be used since clause 98 AN is not being used.

SuggestedRemedy
 After see 98.5.2 Add: Refer to break_link_timer_[HSM].

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.3.2 P114 L20 # 77
 Zhu, Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R ACT Link Sync
 break_link_timer is currently fixed at 300-305us but can be more flexible for different implementations

SuggestedRemedy
 change to a minimum value corresponding to different link speeds

Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

The commenter has not provided a specific remedy that can be implemented.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.3.2 P114 L23 # 215
 Abedinzadeh, Bizehan Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R ACT Startup
 Link_fail_inhibit_timer shall be reduced

SuggestedRemedy
 Propose changing from 100ms to 50ms

Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

There is no consensus in the TF to make this change.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.3.2 P114 L23 # 399
 Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status R ACT Startup
 The link_fail_inhibit_timer_[HCD] is defined in 98.5.2 as a timer to allow the PHY to determine link integrity. It says "this timer shall expire 97 ms to 98 ms after entering the AN GOOD CHECK state." This state does not exist in the diagram, but assume LINK_GOOD_CHECK is intended instead of AN GOOD CHECK. 97-98ms seems like a very long time to determine link integrity. Suggest 49 to 50 ms or any reasonable value by consensus.

SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "see 98.5.2" with: Timer for qualifying a link_status=FAIL indication or a link_status=OK indication when a link is first being established. A link will be considered "failed" only if the link_fail_inhibit_timer has expired and the link has still not gone into the link_status=OK state. The expiration time of the link_fail_inhibit_timer shall be 49 ms to 50 ms after entering the LINK_GOOD_CHECK state.

Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.7.3.4 P115 L1 # 397
 Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type TR Comment Status A ACT PHY Control

The figure shows setting sync_tx_symb to 0 or 1, but these are not valid settings according to 201.7.3.3. Valid settings are SEND_S and SEND_Z. Compare to Figure 149-31

SuggestedRemedy

Change the diagram to be SEND_Z in place of 0 and SEND_S in place of 1 when assigning sync_tx_symb.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.7 P116 L26 # 407
 Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type TR Comment Status A ACT PHY Control

Why is this specific to 100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1 when it's under the Common PMA Functions section?

SuggestedRemedy

Possibly delete this section or correct depending on other changes/intent.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #405. This is moved as part of that response.

Cl 201 SC 201.7.8 P116 L30 # 406
 Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type TR Comment Status A ACT PHY Control

Subclause 149.4.4 doesn't contain any state diagrams, so this reference is incorrect.

Assuming 149.4.5 was intended, now the only new information is the PHY Control state diagram which will conflict with the PHY control state diagram in 201.7.2.3

SuggestedRemedy

Provide the intended PHY Control state diagram.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolved with response to comment #405.

Cl 201 SC 201.8 P116 L35 # 137
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 typo

SuggestedRemedy
 change "forthe high speed path" to "for the HS_PATH"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT. corrected page number

Cl 201 SC 201.8 P116 L35 # 206
 van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 "forthe" space missing

SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with "for the"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.8 P116 L36 # 334
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 missing space between 'for' and 'the'

SuggestedRemedy
 for the high speed path

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.8.1 P117 L26 # 85
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

SuggestedRemedy
 change character type of 94.2.9.1 to "External"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.8.1 P117 L 26 # 243
 Sakunia, Saket Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 External text reference 94.2.9.1, should be in green

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.8.1 P117 L 26 # 336
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 For 5G and 2.5G NRZ mode, should not use the term JP03A, JP03B pattern anymore, otherwise when digital team implement it, if use the same bit sequence, it will be not what we want for NRZ mode. Or should put note on what is JP03A, JP03B pattern for NRZ mode

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text to: "Test mode 2 is for transmitter jitter testing on the MDI when the transmitter is in LEADER timing mode.
 For 10G HS_PATH, when test mode 2 is enabled, the PHY shall transmit a continuous pattern of JP03A (as specified in 94.2.9.1) or JP03B (as specified in 94.2.9.2) with the transmitted symbols timed from its local clock source. For 2.5G and 5G HS_PATH, the JP03A and JP03B signals shall be replaced with the equivalent PAM2 signals, using repeated sequence of {0,1} instead of repeated sequence of {0,3}."

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Included in response for comment #337.

Cl 201 SC 201.8.1 P117 L 27 # 86
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

change character type of 94.2.9.2 to "External"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected R/response status: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 201 SC 201.8.1 P117 L 27 # 244
 Sakunia, Saket Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 External text reference 94.2.9.1, should be in green

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.8.1 P117 L 27 # 337
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 ACT tests
 For PAM4 signal, to measure EOJ, need JP03B pattern since two bits form one symbol, while for NRZ signal, it's not necessary to define such a pattern anymore, can just use 1010 pattern to get duty cycle distortion (fine to call it EOJ for consistency) as in other NRZ standard (i.e. clause 130 5G KR). Also OK to define another NRZ pattern similar to JP03B pattern for consistency, maybe call it JP01B pattern

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: When test mode 2 is enabled, the PHY shall transmit a continuous pattern of JP03A (as specified in 94.2.9.1) or JP03B (as specified in 94.2.9.2) with the transmitted symbols timed from its local clock source.

To: When test mode 2 is enabled, when transmitting PAM4, the PHY shall transmit a continuous pattern of JP03A (as specified in 94.2.9.1) or JP03B (as specified in 94.2.9.2) with the transmitted symbols timed from its local clock source.

When test mode 2 is enabled, when transmitting PAM2, the PHY shall transmit a continuous pattern of 0101 with the transmitted symbols timed from its local clock source.

Also need to modify 2.8.2.3.1, Table 201-9
 Add column on the left called "modulation". This should be PAM4 for rows 2-4 and PAM2 for the 5th row.
 Change the Test pattern in row 5 to be 0101 (as specified in 130.7.1.9)

Cl 201
 SC 201.8.1

Page 58 of 84
 1/21/2026 7:15:27 PM

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201	SC 201.8.1	P117	L 38	# 87	
Wienckowski, Natalie		IVN Solutions LLC			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	EZ	

SuggestedRemedy

change character type of 94.3.10.8 to "External"

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT.		

Cl 201	SC 201.8.1	P117	L 50	# 138	
Wang, Frank		Realtek Semiconductor Corp.			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	EZ	

wording

SuggestedRemedy

change "2.5GBASE-T1, 5GBASE-T1, or 10GBASE-T1" to
"multiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1"

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT.		

corrected page number

Cl 201	SC 201.8.2.1	P120	L 48	# 380	
Johnson, Samuel		Infineon			
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	ACT tests	

For Output Droop Test, the measurement requires a frequency-locked clock.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to section "201.8.2.1 Maximum output droop" after existing text

"It is recommended that a FOLLOWER PHY nominally operating in XTAL-less mode should include a method to use a reference clock provided by the measurement device."

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		

Accomplished by implementation of comment #335 solution.

Cl 201	SC 201.8.2.2	P121	L 4	# 88	
Wienckowski, Natalie		IVN Solutions LLC			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	EZ	

SuggestedRemedy

change character type of 120D.3.1.2 to "External"

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT.		

Cl 201	SC 201.8.2.2	P121	L 8	# 240	
Sakunia, Saket		Infineon Technologies			
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	ACT tests	

Transmitter Linearity test. Test Mode 4 measurement is impacted by presence of PoC/PoDL components. The measurement method needs to be updated to account for presence of PoC/PoDL components

<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	
Update the Value of Np used in calculation to reflect the value of expected PoC/PoDL components.	

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		

Add an Editor's note: Consider what value of Np should be used in doing calculations to determine the SNDR.

Cl 201	SC 201.8.2.2	P122	L 1	# 381	
Johnson, Samuel		Infineon			
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	ACT tests	

For Linearity Test, the measurement requires a frequency-locked clock.

<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	
Add to section "201.8.2.2 Transmitter linearity" after existing text	

"It is recommended that a FOLLOWER PHY nominally operating in XTAL-less mode should include a method to use a reference clock provided by the measurement device."

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		

Accomplished by implementation of comment #335 solution.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.8.2.3 P121 L21 # 303
 Penumuchu, Venkat Infineon Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 The J value is 1 for 10G & 5G and 2 for 2.5G

SuggestedRemedy
 J=1 for 5G, J=2 for 2.5G

Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

There is no consensus to make the change.

Cl 201 SC 201.8.2.3 P121 L40 # 338
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R
 The jitter spec is 6ps for Follower, should it be data rate dependent as what for Leader? CH
 spec for 2.5G is 8ps, higher than DM one.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "6 ps" to "6/S ps", "3 ps" to "3/S ps" and "60 ps" to "60/S ps"

Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 201 SC 201.8.2.3.1 P122 L3 # 417
 Long, Richard TE Connectivity

Comment Type E Comment Status D
 Use of * instead of x operator, also appears in line 31 and 35, page 129 line 37 and page
 130 line 8

SuggestedRemedy
 Change 10*xJ to 10xJ or remove * and use 10J, also make changes in line 31 and 35, page
 129 line 37 and page 130 line 8

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace * with the multiplication symbol.

Cl 201 SC 201.8.2.4 P122 L39 # 382
 Johnson, Samuel Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 For PSD Test, the measurement requires a frequency-locked clock.

SuggestedRemedy
 Add to section "201.8.2.4 "Transmitter power spectral desnsity (PSD) and power level"
 after the existing text:

"It is recommended that a FOLLOWER PHY nominally operating in XTAL-less mode
 should include a method to use a reference clock provided by the measurement device."

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accomplished by implementation of comment #335 solution.

Cl 201 SC 201.8.2.4 P123 L16 # 236
 Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 all "HZ"

SuggestedRemedy
 Hz

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Scan the document and replace all instances of "HZ" with "Hz".

Val to update Clause 202.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.8.2.6 P125 L 28 # 330

Johnson, Samuel Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT transmitter

To prevent overclocking digital logic, and to allow for natural VCO frequency variation, we prefer to operate open-loop between -20 and 0% of nominal frequency. Current spec is -10 / +10%

SuggestedRemedy

For the FOLLOWER PHY running off free-running clock, shall be within the range we prefer 5624 * S MHz +/-20%

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the text to:

"For the FOLLOWER PHY running off free-running clock, shall be within the range 5625 * S MHz +1/-20% and the short term rate of frequency variation shall be less than 1% / second

Also

change P112/L33: +/-15% to +1/-20%
change P112/L41: 435 +/- 50ns
to 435 +90/- 10ns

Cl 201 SC 201.8.2.6 P125 L 29 # 341

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R ACT transmitter

What does short term mean? How short? Need clear definition

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 201 SC 201.8.2.6 P125 L 29 # 377

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R ACT transmitter

Clock accuracy in crystal-less mode: change +/-10% should be +/-20%

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 201 SC 201.8.2.6 P125 L 30 # 418

Long, Richard TE Connectivity

Comment Type E Comment Status D late - EZ

Extra spaces

SuggestedRemedy

Remove extra space between 10 and % and 1 and %. Also page 183, line 42, 30 and %.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

There are not extra spaces. The larger spaces are due to the fact that the text is justified to both the right and left edges.

Cl 201 SC 201.8.2.8 P125 L 9 # 241

Sakunia, Saket Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status R ACT transmitter

Table 201-11 the Max transmit swing values are significantly higher than 802.3ch. This causes un-necessary complications in implementing PHY's in Lower geometries.

SuggestedRemedy

Please revert the values back to 802.3ch, of 1.3V max

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

There is no consensus in the TF to make the change.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.8.3.2 P125 L46 # 190

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe
Comment Type T Comment Status A

ACT noise
While this test is labeled alien crosstalk, it has, for some time, been the only test in the standard for receiver noise tolerance. With the expected use of shielded media, it is time to rename it - because people think there is no alien crosstalk so they don't need to pay attention.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Alien crosstalk noise rejection" to "Broadband stationary noise rejection" at P125 L46

Make same change at P225 L45 to 202.5.3.2.

Change "tolerance to alien crosstalk noise," at line 48, to "tolerance to broadband stationary noise from a variety of sources."

Change the title of Table 201-12 to "Broadband noise source, high speed" at P126 L44 (after Table 201-12), add Editor's note (to be removed prior to SA ballot) - Contributors to consider whether to specify additional noise sources, such as line spectra from power management ICs, or other common self-noise from associated components.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Val to make the change in 202.

Cl 201 SC 201.8.3.2 P126 L1 # 292

Razavi, Alireza Infineon
Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ
gamma is missing after specification "specification the frame loss ratio is less than"

SuggestedRemedy

see comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.8.3.2 P126 L38 # 242

Sakunia, Saket Infineon Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status A

ACT noise
The alien crosstalk noise source between T1 and V1 shows a 3 dBm/Hz reduction when scaled to signal levels. In differential architectures, common-mode noise is typically rejected. In contrast, single-ended architectures convert this common-mode noise into additive noise. As a result, coaxial systems are generally more vulnerable to such interference. Also Applicable for TDD

SuggestedRemedy

The Alien cross talk level for Coax needs to be evaluated differently.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accomplished by implementation of comment #190 solution.

Cl 201 SC 201.9.1 P127 L51 # 245

Sakunia, Saket Infineon Technologies
Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

External text reference 94.2.9.1, should be in green

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.9.1 P127 L51 # 89

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

change character type of 94.2.9.1 to "External"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.9.1 P127 L 52 # 246

Sakunia, Saket Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

External text reference 94.2.9.1, should be in green

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.9.1 P127 L 52 # 90

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

change character type of 94.2.9.2 to "External"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.9.1 P127 L 52 # 342

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT tests

Similar as above, low speed mode, JP03A and JP03B pattern need to be reclarified, is DME applied or not?

SuggestedRemedy

Change paragraph to: "Test mode 2 is for transmitter jitter testing on the MDI when the transmitter is in LEADER timing mode. When test mode 2 is enabled, the PHY shall transmit a continuous pattern based on JP03A (as specified in 94.2.9.1) or JP03B (as specified in 94.2.9.2) with the transmitted symbols timed from its local clock source. The JP03A and JP03B signals shall be replaced with the equivalent DME signals, using repeated sequence of {0,1} instead of repeated sequence of {0,3}."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change paragraph to: Test mode 2 is for transmitter jitter testing on the MDI when the transmitter is in LEADER timing mode. When test mode 2 is enabled, the PCS shall generate a continuous pattern of 1's.

Cl 201 SC 201.9.1 P128 L 2 # 91

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

change character type of 94.3.10.8 to "External"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 201 SC 201.9.2 P132 L 46 # 333

Johnson, Samuel Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT clock

For the LEADER to provide a optimal reference clock for the FOLLOWER to use in XTAL-less operation with minimal jitter, define a maximum rise and fall time of the 100MHz transmission.

See this presentation for reference:

https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/1125/Razavi_3dm_02a_1125.pdf

SuggestedRemedy

Create section 201.9.2.8 "Transmitter Rise and Fall Time" and add text:

"For the LEADER to provide a optimal reference clock for the FOLLOWER to use in XTAL-less operation with minimal jitter, a maximum rise and fall time is defined for the 100MHz transmission.

--The rise and fall transition time between 20% and 80% levels of the steady state voltage amplitude shall be less than TBD ns.

--Measurement shall be performed using an all-ones sequence applied to the DME mapper. This sequence generates a deterministic square wave with frequency of 117MHz

--Testmode 4 shall be used for this measurement"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Create section 201.9.2.8 "Transmitter Rise and Fall Time" and add text:

"The transmitter rise and fall time requirements specified in this subclause apply when the PHY_D is used to provide a reference clock for XTAL-less operation of PHY_S. Limiting the transmitter transition times reduces deterministic jitter of the derived reference at the receiving PHY.

The rise/fall transition time between 20% and 80% levels of the steady state voltage amplitude shall be less than 1.5 ns. The rise time and fall time shall be measured at MDI using Test mode 2. "

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

CI 201	SC 201.9.2.2	P128	L 48	# 92	
Wienckowski, Natalie		IVN Solutions LLC			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	EZ	

SuggestedRemedy

change character type of 85.8.3.3.4 to "External"

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT.		

CI 201	SC 201.9.2.5	P130	L 1	# 237	
Pandey, Sujan		Velinktech			
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status	A	EZ	

SuggestedRemedy
dBm/Hz

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		

Replace all instances of "dBm" with "dBm".

Val to check clause 202.

CI 201	SC 201.9.2.5	P130	L 40	# 247	
Sakunia, Saket		Infineon Technologies			
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	ACT tests	

Low Speed transmitter upped PSD mask needs to be extended beyond 400MHz. It should cover the same frequency region as High Speed Transmit PSD mask. Not doing that leaves the system vulnerable to devices introducing high frequency content beyond 400MHz

SuggestedRemedy

Extend the upper limit of PSD mask for the Low speed transmit, specify to 3500MHz

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		

For the UpperPSD mask in equation 201-8, change 400 to 3500

CI 201	SC 201.9.2.5	P131	L 1	# 293	
Razavi, Alireza		Infineon			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	EZ	

in lines 2 and 3, wrong notation: dBm/Hz should be replaced with 'dBm/Hz'

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT.		

CI 201	SC 201.9.2.5	P131	L 2	# 238	
Pandey, Sujan		Velinktech			
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status	A	EZ	

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		

See response to comment #237.

CI 201	SC 201.9.2.6	P132	L 36	# 294	
Razavi, Alireza		Infineon			
Comment Type	E	Comment Status	A	EZ	

complex sentence and spelling error "the transmit signal of a 100M+MultiGBASE-V1 transmitter shall be" can be replaced by "the transmit signal shall be"

Response	Response Status	C
ACCEPT.		

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.9.2.6 P132 L36 # 93
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 missing space

SuggestedRemedy
 Add a space between "signal" and "of".

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 201 SC 201.9.2.6 P132 L36 # 239
 Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type ER Comment Status A EZ
 ... the transmit signal of ...

SuggestedRemedy
 ... the transmit signal of ...

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 201 SC 201.9.3 P133 L1 # 248
 Sakunia, Saket Infineon Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status D ACT tests
 Alien cross talk noise bandwidth should cover the high speed transmission frequency range.

SuggestedRemedy
 Extend the noise bandwidth of Alien Cross Talk noise to 3500MHz

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 201 SC 201.11.1.1 P133 L46 # 384
 Cheng, Xiaoyue Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A ACT Link Segment
 Insertion loss spec starts from 3MHz, but return loss spec starts from 1MHz

SuggestedRemedy
 Modify insertion loss spec to start from 1MHz

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change return loss spec to start at 3 MHz.

CI 201 SC 201.11.1.1 P133 L51 # 383
 Cheng, Xiaoyue Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A ACT Link Segment
 The unit for Fmax should be GHz or MHz, not Gb/s

SuggestedRemedy
 Change to GHz

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use MHz to be consistent with the rest of the draft.

Change 2 Gb/s to 2,000 MHz

Change 4 Gb/s to 4,000 MHz

CI 201 SC 201.11.1.3 P135 L31 # 249
 Sakunia, Saket Infineon Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 Return loss instead of "IReturn Loss"

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.11.1.6 P135 L 48 # 386

Cheng, Xiaoyue Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

ACT Link Segment

should be link segment delay

SuggestedRemedy

change link delay to link segment delay

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: 201.11.1.6 Maximum link delay

To: 201.11.1.6 Maximum link segment delay

Cl 201 SC 201.11.1.6 P135 L 49 # 387

Cheng, Xiaoyue Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

ACT Link Segment

should be link segment delay

SuggestedRemedy

change link delay to link segment delay

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accommodated by comment #385.

Cl 201 SC 201.11.1.6 P135 L 50 # 385

Cheng, Xiaoyue Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A

ACT Link Segment

maximum link segment delay. No frequency range

SuggestedRemedy

Add "at all frequencies between 2 MHz and Fmax" at the end of the sentence.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: The maximum link delay of each MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1 link shall be 160 ns.

To: The propagation delay of a MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1 link segment shall not exceed 160 ns at all frequencies between 3 MHz and Fmax MHz.

Cl 201 SC 201.12.1.6 P136 L 49 # 388

Cheng, Xiaoyue Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

ACT Link Segment

should be link segment delay

SuggestedRemedy

change link delay to link segment delay

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: 201.12.1.6 Maximum link delay

To: 201.12.1.6 Maximum link segment delay

Cl 201 SC 201.12.1.6 P136 L 50 # 389

Cheng, Xiaoyue Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

ACT Link Segment

should be link segment delay

SuggestedRemedy

change link delay to link segment delay

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: The maximum link delay of each MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-V1 link shall be 160 ns.

To: The propagation delay of a MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-V1 link segment shall not exceed 160 ns at all frequencies between 3 MHz and Fmax MHz.

Cl 201 SC 201.13.2.1 P138 L 17 # 162

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI/APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

EZ

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Editor's note is not needed as Fmax is already scaled here.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete editor's note.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

CI 201	SC 201.14.3	P139	L 22	# 188
Zimmerman, George		CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	ACT MDI
The MDI fault tolerance for coax can't possibly be 96.8.3. That specifies short circuits & powering voltages for a differential interface. I assume we can't specify connecting the shield ground to a positive voltage, so this would only apply to the signal conductor.				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
Replace 201.14.3 text with "The signal conductor of the MDI shall, under all operating conditions, withstand without damage the application of short circuits of any wire to the shield ground or positive voltages of up to 50 V dc with the source current limited to 150 mA, as per Table 201-x, for an indefinite period of time. Normal operation shall resume after the short circuit(s) is (are) removed. The signal conductor of the MDI shall also withstand without damage high-voltage transient noises and ESD per application requirements."				
Add Table 201-x - Connection fault				
Signal Conductor				
No fault				
Ground				
+50 V dc				
-50V dc				
Response		Response Status	C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
Implement solution in comment #325.				

CI 201	SC 201.16	P139	L	# 275
Lo, William		Axonne Inc		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	R	EZ
Add table 201-BBB				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
Response		Response Status	Z	
REJECT.				

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

CI 201	SC 201.16	P139	L	# 274
Lo, William		Axonne Inc		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	ACT Delay
Redo delay constraints				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
1) Delete the entire contents of this section.				
2) The following paragraphs are taken from 149.10 but modified:				
In full duplex mode, predictable operation of the MAC Control PAUSE operation (Clause 31, Annex 31B) also demands that there be an upper bound on the propagation delays through the network. This implies that MAC, MAC Control sublayer, and PHY implementers conform to certain delay maxima, and that network planners and administrators conform to constraints regarding the cable topology and concatenation of devices.				
The HS_PATH delays for an implementation of the PHY link shall not exceed the limits shown in Table 201-AAA. The data delay is measured from the input of a given unit of data at the PHY_S XGMII to the presentation of the same unit of data by the PHY_D XGMII.				
The LS_PATH delays for an implementation of the PHY link shall not exceed the limits shown in Table 201-AAA. The data delay is measured from the input of a given unit of data at the PHY_D XGMII to the presentation of the same unit of data by the PHY_S XGMII.				
NOTE—The physical medium interconnecting two PHYs introduces additional delay in a link.				
3) Add editor's note: Do we want to further break down the HS_TX, HS_RX, LS_TX, LS_RX delays limits or are we ok leaving this as a path delay. If it is the former then we need to define the undetectable reference point in the RS Frame at the MDI so that the TX and RX portions of the delay is measured consistently. It is not clear how to apply a shall statement on the delay limits referenced to an unmeasurable point. At best this can be determined by the vendor using RTL simulations. Maybe it is ok to leave the latter as these limits are loose enough that no vendor will do a bad implementation for fear that their silicon will fail the total path delay when interoperating with other vendors.				
4) Copy table 149-20 as table 201-AAA here except the Mode is the HS_PATH.				
5) Add 1 more row for the LS_PATH (100BASE-T1), (blank), 512, 1, 5120				
6) Add editor's note: Pause quanta are in units of 512 bits where in this case each 100BASE-T1 bit is 10ns. If pause quanta needs to be an integer then the choice can be either 512 or 1024 bits. An implementation of 512 bits for LS_PATH is possible but may be tight depending on the underlying implementation micro-architecture. 1024 bits seems a bit too loose. We should discuss this choice as a looser number causes the worst case delay to be 10.24 us.				

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

- 1) Delete the entire contents of this section.
- 2) The following paragraphs are taken from 149.10 but modified:

In full duplex mode, predictable operation of the MAC Control PAUSE operation (Clause 31, Annex 31B) also demands that there be an upper bound on the propagation delays through the network.

The HS_PATH delays for an implementation of the PHY link shall not exceed the limits shown in Table 201-AAA. The data delay is measured from the input of a given unit of data at the PHY_S XGMII to the presentation of the same unit of data by the PHY_D XGMII.

The LS_PATH delays for an implementation of the PHY link shall not exceed the limits shown in Table 201-AAA. The data delay is measured from the input of a given unit of data at the PHY_D XGMII to the presentation of the same unit of data by the PHY_S XGMII.

NOTE—The physical medium interconnecting two PHYs introduces additional delay in a link.

3) Add editor's note:

Do we want to further break down the HS_TX, HS_RX, LS_TX, LS_RX delays limits or are we ok leaving this as a path delay. If it is the former then we need to define the undetectable reference point in the RS Frame at the MDI so that the TX and RX portions of the delay is measured consistently. It is not clear how to apply a shall statement on the delay limits referenced to an unmeasurable point. At best this can be determined by the vendor using RTL simulations. Maybe it is ok to leave the latter as these limits are loose enough that no vendor will do a bad implementation for fear that their silicon will fail the total path delay when interoperating with other vendors.

4) Copy table 149-20 as table 201-AAA here except the Mode is the HS_PATH.

5) Add 1 more row for the LS_PATH (100BASE-T1), (blank), TBD, TBD, TBD

CI 201	SC 201-20	P115	L37	# 214
---------------	------------------	-------------	------------	--------------

Abedinzadeh, Bizehan Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Allow restart from Link Sync when training fails

SuggestedRemedy

add loss of loc_rcvr_status to condition for state machine to transition from
LINK_GOOD_CHECK to TRANSMIT_DISABLE

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TFTD

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 201	SC 201.8.1	P120	L11	# 335
---------------	-------------------	-------------	------------	--------------

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status A

ACT tests

How to understand the transmit reference clock in the test block diagram? DUT provides reference clock to spectrum analyzer? Spectrum analyzer doesn't need refclk.

SuggestedRemedy

change the comment to "the transmit reference clock in the test block diagram" is ambiguous. DUT does provide reference clock to spectrum analyzer. . and ask for its removal

Response	Response Status C
-----------------	--------------------------

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove the dashed line and transmit reference clock in figures 201-21,22,26,27.

Add the following note to figures 201-21,22,26,27: " NOTE- It is recommended that a FOLLOWER PHY in XTAL-less mode include a method to use a reference clock provided by the measurement device."

CI 201	SC Table 201-11	P125	L15	# 339
---------------	------------------------	-------------	------------	--------------

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

Response	Response Status Z
-----------------	--------------------------

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

CI 201
SC Table 201-11

Page 68 of 84
1/21/2026 7:15:28 PM

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.8.2.5 P125 L15 # 340

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R ACT transmitter

1.7Vdpp will violate power level 2dBm max spec. What's the meaning to increase voltage spec but still keep power level spec to be -1~2dBm?

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

There is no consensus in the TF to make the change.

Cl 202 SC 202.1.4.1 P147 L13 # 419

Long, Richard TE Connectivity

Comment Type T Comment Status A late

"Modulation order" is not defined in the document so can be added here for clarity

SuggestedRemedy

Add to Note, "Modulation order = 2 for PAM4 signaling and modulation order = 1 for PAM2."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

On P147, L12:

Replace, "NOTE—Duration = L × 1040 bits / modulation order / baud rate."

With, "NOTE—Duration = L × 1040 bits / bits per symbol / baud rate."

On P147, L51:

Replace, "NOTE—Duration = 1040 bits / modulation order / baud rate..."

With, "NOTE—Duration = 1040 bits / bits per symbol / baud rate..."

Cl 202 SC 202.1.5 P148 L49 # 139

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A Polarity

polarity is only for T1

SuggestedRemedy

change "in the connection" to "in the connection for the single shielded balanced pair of conductors (T1)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accommodated by Comment #100.

Cl 202 SC 202.1.6 P149 L17 # 140

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A Polarity

polarity is only for T1

SuggestedRemedy

change "in the connection" to "in the connection for the single shielded balanced pair of conductors (T1)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accommodated by Comment #100.

Cl 202 SC 202.1.7 P149 L26 # 71

Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis; aff'l w/ CME Consulting, Microchip, and

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

This header and text should have been deleted when the order of the LS_PATH signaling and HS_PATH signaling clauses were swapped.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "202.1.7 L" and re-number subsequent clauses.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accommodated by comment #94.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 202 SC 202.1.7 P149 L 26 # 94

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A

delete 202.1.7 as the title is just "L" and there is no content.

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

Delete: 202.1.7 L

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 202 SC 202.1.8 P140 L 32 # 95

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status R

duplicated sentence

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

Delete one instance of "All MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1 PHY implementations are compatible at the MDI."

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

(Editor's note: Comment applies to text on P149, L32.)

One sentence applies to -T1 and the other applies to -V1. These are not duplicate sentences and the current wording is intentional since -T1 and -V1 PHYs are not compatible with each other at the MDI.

Cl 202 SC 202.1.8 P149 L 30 # 141

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status R

EZ

align with 149.1.5

SuggestedRemedy

change "at the XGMII" to "at the MDI and at the XGMII"

remove "All MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1 PHY implementations are compatible at the MDI. All MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-V1 PHY implementations are compatible at the MDI."

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

(Editor's note: Corrected page number in comment record.)

One sentence applies to -T1 and the other applies to -V1. These are not duplicate sentences and the current wording is intentional since -T1 and -V1 PHYs are not compatible with each other at the MDI.

Cl 202 SC 202.2.1.4.2 P154 L 2 # 142

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

align with 149.2.2.4.2: insert ":"

SuggestedRemedy

change "100M+10GBASE-T1/V1 as" to "100M+10GBASE-T1/V1; as"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Corrected page number in comment record. Even though there is precedent, the use of ":" doesn't improve clarity. The 6 GHz requirements could be merged.)

Replace,

"The nominal rate of the PMA_UNITDATA.indication primitive is 3 GHz for 100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1 and MultiG+100MBASE-T1/V1, 6 GHz for 100M+5GBASE-T1/V1, and 6 GHz for 100M+10GBASE-T1/V1 as governed by the recovered clock."

with,

"The nominal rate of the PMA_UNITDATA.indication primitive, as governed by the recovered clock, is 3 GHz for 100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1 and MultiG+100MBASE-T1/V1 and 6 GHz for 100M+5GBASE-T1/V1 and 100M+10GBASE-T1/V1."

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 202 SC 202.2.1.7.3 P155 L 33 # 316

Gorshe, Steve Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ

The text should be updated to point to the clause 202 equivalent figure and subclauses, which resolves the Editor's Note.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the current text with "The effect of the receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 202-2, 202.3.2.3, 202.4.2.4 and 202.5." and remove the Editor's Note.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Commenter adds "the" between "of" and "receipt", which is not aligned with similar phrases in the document.)

Replace the current text with "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 202-2, 202.3.2.3, 202.4.2.4 and 202.5." and remove the Editor's Note.

Cl 202 SC 202.3.2.1 P161 L 13 # 96

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type T Comment Status A Reset

Based on the objective: Define optional startup procedure which enables the time from power_on=FALSE to a state capable of transmitting and receiving valid data to be less than 100 ms, the maximum time available to resume normal operation after reset is 100 ms.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "(TBD) ms" to "100 ms."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: This is about the control and management interface restoration time following PCS Reset, not the time from power_on to data transmission. Clause 149 uses 10 ms for this time. Propose to align.)

Change "(TBD) ms" to "10 ms"

Cl 202 SC 202.3.2.2 P163 L 12 # 143

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

SuggestedRemedy
change "On" to "On"

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Corrected page number in comment record.)

Apply italics font to "On"

Cl 202 SC 202.3.2.2.5 P168 L 10 # 144

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
align with 149.3.2.2.5

SuggestedRemedy
change "their mappings to control codes" to "their mappings to MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1 control codes"

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Corrected page number in comment record. No change to Suggested Remedy.)

change "their mappings to control codes" to "their mappings to MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1 control codes"

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.5 P168 L14 # 145

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

wording: "for" is missed

SuggestedRemedy

change "Control codes MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1" to "Control codes for MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Corrected page number in comment record. There is no ambiguity here so the table title can just be "Control codes" as per Table 82-1, 55-1, 49-1, etc. It only needs more information in clauses which have multiple tables of control codes.)

change "Control codes MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1"

to "Control codes"

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.22 P172 L29 # 331

Johnson, Samuel Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R

EZ

For some Testmodes, a frequency locked to the test equipment is required.

SuggestedRemedy

It is recommended that a FOLLOWER PHY nominally operating in XTAL-less mode should include a test method to provide a reference clock such that the transmission rate shall be within the range of 5625 * S MHz +/- 50ppm. It is recommended that the reference clock be 117.186MHz

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.22 P172 L52 # 332

Johnson, Samuel Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status R

EZ

Mapping of logic0 -> +1 and logic1 -> -1 seems non-intuitive

SuggestedRemedy

If this is used by PAM2 in other standards, then leave unchanged. Otherwise, propose Logic0 -> -1
Logic1 -> +1

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.22 P176 L1 # 97

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

subject verb agreement

SuggestedRemedy

Change "transmit process send out" to "transmit process sends out".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.3 P176 L38 # 146

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

wording: " " is missed (also for lines 39, 49, and 52)

SuggestedRemedy

change "block lock" to "block_lock"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Corrected page number in comment record. Added additional location.)

change "block lock" to "block_lock" in the following locations:

P176, L38 - two locations
P176, L39
P176, L49
P176, L52
P178, L52

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 202 SC 202.3.2.3 P176 L41 # 98
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 missing bracket

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "RXD 31:0>" to "RXD <31:0>"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 202 SC 202.3.5.2.1 P183 L43 # 99
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type T Comment Status A Refresh Header
 Incorrect number of bytes and awkward wording.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "four bytes header" to "eight header bytes"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 202 SC 202.4.2.2 P209 L41 # 400
 Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 The editor's note can be removed as the descriptions of the timers are up to date with their usage in the diagram

SuggestedRemedy
 Remove editor's note

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 202 SC 202.4.2.3 P200 L7 # 147
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
 wording

SuggestedRemedy
 change:
 uses the parameters pcs_status and scr_status, and the state of the equalization, and estimation functions to determine to:

uses the parameters pcs_status and scr_status, the state of the equalization, and estimation functions to determine
 or
 uses the parameters pcs_status and scr_status, and the state of the equalization and estimation functions to determine

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Corrected page number in comment record. This sentence is hard to parse. Text proposed to resolve comment and improve clarity.)

Replace,
 "The PMA Receive function uses the parameters pcs_status and scr_status, and the state of the equalization, and estimation functions to determine the quality of the receiver performance, and generates the loc_rcvr_status variable accordingly."

with, "The PMA Receive function uses the parameters pcs_status and scr_status, as well as the state of the equalization and estimation functions, to determine the quality of the receiver performance and generates the loc_rcvr_status variable accordingly."

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 202 SC 202.4.2.3 P200 L16 # 100

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC

Comment Type T Comment Status A

polarity inversion applies to balanced pair only

SuggestedRemedy

at the end of the sentence add, "for balanced pair only."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: The term "polarity" may be causing confusion.)

Consider with Comment #139 and #140.

Old: "to detect and correct for media polarity inversions"

New: "to detect and correct for signal inversions"

Old: "detect and correct for incorrect polarity in the connection"

New: "detect and correct for signal inversion"

Cl 202 SC 202.4.2.4.5 P202 L24 # 420

Long, Richard TE Connectivity

Comment Type E Comment Status R late

Table 202-10 is not a table in the traditional sense with column headers and rows of data, it appears to be more of a graphic. Also applies to Table 202-11.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Table 202-10 and 202-11 captions to Figure captions

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Editorial convention in 802.3 is to make these tables.

Cl 202 SC 202.4.2.4.5 P202 L46 # 186

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI/APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status X TDD speeds

Clause 202 related to Big Ticket Item - MultiSpeed PHYs. The PHY_S has 3 speed capabilities here. In other places of the draft, they are referred to as different PHY types. It appears however, that clause 202 describes a single type with speed selection built in, rather than autonegotiated by a separate sublayer which might select other clauses as the PHY type. Assuming that this is the case, then for interoperability, at least ONE of the speed grades should be mandatory, and the others optional.

Note - while I've made a suggestion here, I'm not taking a side - but we need to be clear. If we really have an auto-negotiating set of PHY types, we need a separate sublayer, which is much more spec-writing work.

SuggestedRemedy

Append the following to line 47 (after "10 Gb/s capable.") "2.5 Gb/s support is a mandatory capability, and Oct10<5> should always be set to 1.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Need consensus on whether the intent is a multi-rate PHY that may support any combination of rates including a single rate, 2.5G plus another rate, or if it must support all speeds lower than the maximum rate.)

TFTD

Cl 202 SC 202.4.2.4.7 P204 L16 # 148

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

202.4.2.4.7 Phase switch PHY burst count

SuggestedRemedy

change "data switch" to "phase switch"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Corrected page number in comment record. No change to Suggested Remedy.)

change "data switch" to "phase switch"

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.11 P205 L 47 # 149

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

grammar: comma afre "PrecoderSel"

EZ

SuggestedRemedy

change "PrecoderSel and" to "PrecoderSel, and"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Corrected page number in comment record. No change to Suggested Remedy.)

change "PrecoderSel and" to "PrecoderSel, and"

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.11 P205 L 52 # 150

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

not only COUNTDOWN stage but also PCS_TEST stage

EZ - pull

SuggestedRemedy

change "At any COUNTDOWN stage" to "At any COUNTDOWN stage and PCS_TEST stage"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Corrected page number in comment record. "stage" should be "state" and probably doesn't need to be repeated. "and" should be "or".)

Change "At any COUNTDOWN state"

to "At any COUNTDOWN or PCS_TEST state"

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.5 P206 L 16 # 151

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

EZ

wording: "_" is missed

SuggestedRemedy

change "link status" to "link_status"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Corrected page number in comment record. Clarified that change occurs in 2 locations.)

change "link status" to "link_status" in two locations on P206, L16

CI 202 SC 202.4.4.1 P209 L 3 # 421

Long, Richard TE Connectivity

Comment Type E Comment Status D

late - EZ

Typo

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "DSIABLE_TRANSMITTER" with "DISABLE_TRANSMITTER"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

CI 202 SC 202.4.5 P213 L 219 # 408

Lee, Ching-Yen Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Link Monitor

Figure 202-28 needs to be updated.

SuggestedRemedy

A presentation will be provided.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement changes on pages 3, 4 and 5 of
https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0126/Lee_Chuang_3dm_01a_0126.pdf with editorial license.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 202 SC 202.5.1 P216 L 18 # 220

Chini, Ahmad Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

TDD tests

The test mode 5 text does not correlate with the PSD specification of TDD as in Chini_3dm_03a_0125.pdf where the PSD specified for continuous signaling with no quiet gap.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the following sentence

"When test mode 5 is enabled, the PHY shall transmit as in non-test operation and in the LEADER data mode with data set to normal interframe idle signals."

to

When test mode 5 is enabled, the PHY shall transmit idle signals continuously with no quiet gap and with transmit signal level corresponding to the normal mode of operation. The test applies to both LEADER and FOLLOWER. The clock is sourced from a stable clock with 100PPM accuracy for this test.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: ppm isn't capitalized and there should be a space between the Unit and ppm - give Editors license to check and correct. No other change to Suggested Remedy.)

Change the following sentence

"When test mode 5 is enabled, the PHY shall transmit as in non-test operation and in the LEADER data mode with data set to normal interframe idle signals."

to

"When test mode 5 is enabled, the PHY shall transmit idle signals continuously with no quiet gap and with transmit signal level corresponding to the normal mode of operation. The test applies to both LEADER and FOLLOWER. The clock is sourced from a stable clock with 100 ppm accuracy for this test."

Grant Editors license to search and insert a space between a Unit and "ppm".

Grant Editor license to find the correct way to express "idle signals".

Cl 202 SC 202.5.1 P216 L 21 # 307

Gorshe, Steve Microchip

Comment Type E Comment Status A

TDD tests

Better to add the explicitly local clock rate

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the paragraph "When test mode 6 ." with "When the test mode 6 is enabled, the PHY shall transmit a continuous pattern of 30 {+1} symbols followed by 30 {-1} symbols with the transmitted symbols timed from its local 3 GHz clock source."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: There is an em-dash before 1 (not a hyphen/minus sign). No other change to Suggested Remedy.)

Replace the paragraph "When test mode 6 ."

with "When the test mode 6 is enabled, the PHY shall transmit a continuous pattern of 30 {+1} symbols followed by 30 {-1} symbols with the transmitted symbols timed from its local 3 GHz clock source."

Cl 202 SC 202.5.1.1 P216 L 35 # 305

Gorshe, Steve Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A

TDD tests

As explained in the next comment, test fixture 6 should be removed

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the reference to Figure 202-35

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accommodated by Comment #306.

Cl 202 SC 202.5.1.1 P217 L 11 # 422

Long, Richard TE Connectivity

Comment Type E Comment Status D

late - EZ

Minor graphics correction

SuggestedRemedy

The line ends of the link segment overlap the boxes in Figure 202-31

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 202	SC 202.5.1.1	P218	L 20	# 306	
Gorshe, Steve		Microchip			
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A		TDD tests
					Test fixture 6 is redundant relative to test fixture 5
					SuggestedRemedy
					Remove Figure 202-35 and replace the Figure 202-34 caption with "Transmitter test fixture 5 for MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-V1 transmitter droop measurement, transmitter linearity measurement, power spectral density measurement, transmit power level measurement, and MDI jitter"
					Response
					Response Status C
					ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
					Remove Figure 202-35 and replace the Figure 202-34 caption with "Transmitter test fixture 5 for MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-V1 transmitter droop measurement, transmitter linearity measurement, power spectral density measurement, transmit power level measurement, and MDI jitter"
					Remove the reference to Figure 202-35 on P216, L35.

Cl 202	SC 202.5.2	P218	L 36	# 101
Wienckowski, Natalie		IVN Solutions LLC		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	
				TDD tests
				The 50 ohm load is not a differential load. Change the text to match 201.8.2 and 201.9.2.
				SuggestedRemedy
				Change: Where a load is not specified, the transmitter shall meet the requirements of this clause with a 100 Ω for MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1 or a 50 Ω for MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-V1 resistive differential load connected to each transmitter output.
				To: Where a load is not specified, the transmitter shall meet the requirements of this clause with a 100 Ω resistive differential load connected to each transmitter output when connected to a -T1 link, and a 50 Ω resistive load connected to each single-ended transmitter output when connected to a -V1 link.
				Response
				Response Status C
				ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
				(Editor's note: No change to Suggested Remedy. Added direction that "O" is a stand-in for the ohms symbol.)
				Change: "Where a load is not specified, the transmitter shall meet the requirements of this clause with a 100 Ω for MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1 or a 50 Ω for MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-V1 resistive differential load connected to each transmitter output."
				To: "Where a load is not specified, the transmitter shall meet the requirements of this clause with a 100 Ω resistive differential load connected to each transmitter output when connected to a -T1 link, and a 50 Ω resistive load connected to each single-ended transmitter output when connected to a -V1 link."
				where "O" is a stand-in for the ohms symbol.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 202 SC 202.5.2.3 P220 L 22 # 309
 Gorshe, Steve Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A TDD tests
 Need to clarify that this pertains to both transmitter timing jitter and transmitter jitter at the MDI

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following sentence at the beginning of the first paragraph of 202.5.2.3: "This clause applies to both Transmitter Timing jitter and Transmitter jitter on the MDI."

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change clause header from,
 "202.5.2.3 Transmitter timing jitter"

to,
 "202.5.2.3 Transmitter timing jitter and jitter at the MDI"

Cl 202 SC 202.5.2.3 P220 L 23 # 308
 Gorshe, Steve Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A TDD tests
 This first paragraph and its two numbered bullets need updating for a correction and greater clarity.

SuggestedRemedy

In the first paragraph of 202.5.2.3 delete "using test fixture 2 (see Figure 202-31)". In the first bullet, replace "test mode 1" with "test mode 2 using test fixture 1 for -T1 and test fixture 5 for -V1" In the second numbered bullet, after "test mode 1" add the phrase "using test fixture 2"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Missing close parens after Figure 202-31. No other change to Suggested Remedy.)

In the first paragraph of 202.5.2.3 delete "using test fixture 2 (see Figure 202-31)".

In the first bullet, replace "test mode 1" with "test mode 2 using test fixture 1 for -T1 and test fixture 5 for -V1"

In the second numbered bullet, after "test mode 1" add the phrase "using test fixture 2"

Cl 202 SC 202.5.2.3.1 P220 L 40 # 310
 Gorshe, Steve Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ
 Ths sub-clause doesn't directly pertain to TDD, and the relavant information is captured above.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove 202.5.2.3.1

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 202 SC 202.5.2.3.2 P220 L 40 # 311
 Gorshe, Steve Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ
 Ths sub-clause doesn't directly pertain to TDD, and the relavant information is captured above.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove 202.5.2.3.2

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 202 SC 202.5.2.4 P224 L 1 # 218
 Chini, Ahmad Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A TDD tests
 Power spectral density Uppe/ Lower Masks shown for 10G+100MBASE-T1 is not correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with the correct Plot shown in the page 7 of
https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0125/Chini_3dm_03a_0125.pdf

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The equation in the spec is correct.

The Figure needs to be updated to match the equation.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

CI 202	SC 202.5.2.5	P225	L7	# 219
Chini, Ahmad		Broadcom		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	TDD tests
Transmitter peak-to-peak output in Table 202-17 does not correlate with TDD Upper PSD MASK and for a PoC corner of 7MHz (corresponding to MDI RL limit line). See Chini_3dm_01a_0126.pdf for simulation and calculations.				
SuggestedRemedy				
Replace the values in the table 202.17 with max Peak to Peak of 1.3Vpp for 2.5G+100BASE-T1 and 100M+MultiGBASE-T1 and 1.5Vpp for both 5G+100MBASE-T1. 10G+100MBASE-T1 remains to be 1.7Vpp.				
Half of the mentioned values apply to V1. In addition change V1 to T1 in the first Column				
Response		Response Status	C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
Delete Editor's note on P224/L41.				
Implement the solution on page 6 of https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0126/Chini_3dm_01a_0126.pdf (excluding the bullet point).				
Implement with "should" instead of "shall".				

CI 202	SC 202.5.3.2	P225	L46	# 409
Zerna, Conrad		NXP		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status	D	TDD noise
Missing limit / model				
SuggestedRemedy				
Alien noise model was presented in https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/1124/Zerna_802.3dm_02_241110_TDD_proposal.pdf , page 4&5, will submit presentation with alien noise model proposal				
Proposed Response		Response Status	W	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
TFTD				

CI 202	SC 202.5.3.2	P225	L48	# 321
Gorshe, Steve		Microchip		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	EZ
There have been no presentations on this topic and the 802.3ch limits may not be appropriate.				
SuggestedRemedy				
Add "Presentations on this topic are needed." to the Editor's Note				
Response		Response Status	C	
ACCEPT.				
CI 202	SC 202.6	P226	L3	# 304
Gorshe, Steve		Microchip		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	EZ
Open clause with no text				
SuggestedRemedy				
Insert the following text: "2.5G+100MBASE-T1, 5G+100MBASE-T1, 10G+100MBASE-T1, 2.5G+100MBASE-V1, 5G+100MBASE-V1, 10G+100MBASE-V1, 100M+2.5GMBASE-T1, 100M+5GBASE-T1, 100M+10GBASE-T1, 100M+2.5GBASE-V1, and 100M+5GMBASE-V1, 100M+10GBASE-V12.5GBASE-T1, 5GBASE-T1, and 10GBASE-T1 make extensive use of the management functions that may be provided by the optional MDIO (Clause 45), and the communication and self-configuration functions provided by the optional (TBD pending decision on the need for AN) Auto-Negotiation (See Clause 98)."				
Response		Response Status	C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
(Editor's note: Move text that Auto-Negotiation is TBD/pending decision into the Editor's note. Use style for "see Clause xx" references.)				
Insert the following content into clause 202.6, "2.5G+100MBASE-T1, 5G+100MBASE-T1, 10G+100MBASE-T1, 2.5G+100MBASE-V1, 5G+100MBASE-V1, 10G+100MBASE-V1, 100M+2.5GMBASE-T1, 100M+5GBASE-T1, 100M+10GBASE-T1, 100M+2.5GBASE-V1, and 100M+5GMBASE-V1, 100M+10GBASE-V12.5GBASE-T1, 5GBASE-T1, and 10GBASE-T1 make extensive use of the management functions that may be provided by the optional MDIO (see Clause 45), and the communication and self-configuration functions provided by the optional (TBD) Auto-Negotiation (see Clause 98)."				
Replace the Editor's Note with, "Need for Auto-Negotiation is TBD."				

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 202 SC 202.7.1.1 P226 L21 # 410

Zerna, Conrad NXP

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Missing IL limit

SuggestedRemedy

Take limit line from
https://ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0125/Zerna_802.3dm_01_250122_IL_RL.pdf, page 5

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Grant Editor license to insert limit line from
https://ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0125/Zerna_802.3dm_01_250122_IL_RL.pdf, page 5 -
 making adjustments as necessary to align with style and add wording on the range of "f",
 Fmax, etc., as needed to bound limit line and plot.
 Min frequency is 10 MHz and Max frequency is 5500 MHz.

Delete Editor's Note in the clause.

Grant Editor license to create and insert a plot of this limit line - making adjustments as necessary to align with style.

Grant Editor license to convert plots for existing Equations - making adjustments as necessary to align with style and add wording on the range of "f", Fmax, etc., as needed to bound limit lines and plots.

Cl 202 SC 202.7.1.3 P226 L31 # 411

Zerna, Conrad NXP

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Missing RL limit

SuggestedRemedy

Take limit line from
https://ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0125/Zerna_802.3dm_01_250122_IL_RL.pdf, page 8

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Grant Editor license to insert limit line from
https://ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0125/Zerna_802.3dm_01_250122_IL_RL.pdf, page 8 -
 making adjustments as necessary to align with style and add wording on the range of "f",
 Fmax, etc., as needed to bound limit line and plot.

Delete Editor's Note in the clause.

Cl 202 SC 202.7.2 P227 L11 # 412

Zerna, Conrad NXP

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Missing limit

SuggestedRemedy

Take limit line from
[https://ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0524/Coax_Cables_Silvano_de_Sousa_ISAAC_Interim_ma_y_2024\(002\).pdf](https://ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0524/Coax_Cables_Silvano_de_Sousa_ISAAC_Interim_ma_y_2024(002).pdf), page 6

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This is the same as comment #322.

Grant Editor license to insert limit line from
[https://ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0524/Coax_Cables_Silvano_de_Sousa_ISAAC_Interim_ma_y_2024\(002\).pdf](https://ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0524/Coax_Cables_Silvano_de_Sousa_ISAAC_Interim_ma_y_2024(002).pdf), page 6 - making adjustments as necessary to align with style and add wording on the range of "f", Fmax, etc., as needed to bound limit line and plot.

Delete Editor's Note in the clause.

Cl 202 SC 202.8.1.1 P228 L12 # 423

Long, Richard TE Connectivity

Comment Type E Comment Status D

late - EZ

Fmax is greater than 10 MHz

SuggestedRemedy

Update the Fmax to the actual value or add an editors note to do so if not determined

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Solution to comment #410 should fix this.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 202	SC 202.8.1.4	P230	L1	# 312
Gorshe, Steve		Microchip		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	TDD V1 LS
		Coupling attenuation only pertains to differential pair cables and is not defined for coaxial cables		
SuggestedRemedy				
		Replace the TBD with "Coupling attenuation is not not defined for coaxial cables.		
Response		Response Status	C	
		ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
(Editor's note: "not" appears twice in proposed remedy. The proposed language is not aligned with other transmission clauses that reference link segment/cabling.)				
		Replace the Editor's Note with "Coupling attenuation is not defined for -V1 link segments."		
When speaking about the link segment or the MDI, but not the PHY, the following applies: Grant Editors license to search for "100M+MultiGBASE-V1 and MultiG+100MBASE-V1" and "MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-V1" and replace with -V1 as appropriate.				
Grant Editors license to search for "100M+MultiGBASE-T1 and MultiG+100MBASE-T1" and "MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1" and replace with -T1 as appropriate.				

Cl 202	SC 202.8.1.5	P230	L9	# 322
Gorshe, Steve		Microchip		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	D	TDD V1 LS
		Adopt the limits from https://ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0524/Coax_Cables_Silvano_de_Sousa_ISAAC_Interim_may_2024(002).pdf		
SuggestedRemedy				
		Remove the Editor's note and insert, "The screening attenuation for 100M+MultiGBASE-V1 and MultiG+100MBASE-V1 link segments, measured in accordance with ISO 19642-11, shall meet the values determined using Equation (202-2X). Additional screening attenuation test methodologies are defined in Annex 149A.		
		Screening attenuation(f) =		
		-75 10=<f<3000		dB
		-50 3000=<f<5000		
		where		
		f is the frequency in MHz;		

Equation (202-2X) is plotted in Figure 202-XX which is provided for information only."

Grant Editor's license to apply to equation, numbering, and figure creation style. Grant Editor's license to add ISO 19642-11 details to subclause 1.3 Normative references.

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
		PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This is the same as comment #412.

Remove the Editor's note and insert,
"The screening attenuation for MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-V1 link segments, measured in accordance with ISO 19642-11, shall meet the values determined using Equation (202-2X). Additional screening attenuation test methodologies are defined in Annex 149A.

Screening attenuation(f) =			
-75	10=<f<3000		dB
-50	3000=<f<5000		

where
f is the frequency in MHz;"

Grant Editor license to apply to equation, numbering, and other style conformance. Grant Editors license to add ISO 19642-11 details to subclause 1.3 Normative references, if needed.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

Cl 202 SC 202.8.2 P230 L 19 # 323

Gorshe, Steve Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status A

EZ

Since this is a heading for the subsequent subclauses, no text is needed.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the Editor's Note.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete Editor's Note and insert this text (copied from 149.7.2),
 "Noise coupled between the disturbed link segment and the disturbing link segment is referred to as alien crosstalk noise. Power sum alien near-end crosstalk (PSANEXT) loss and power sum alien attenuation to crosstalk ratio far-end (PSAACRF) are specified to limit the total alien NEXT and alien FEXT coupled between link segments. The test methodologies are specified in Annex 97B."

with "alien crosstalk noise" in italics to align with 149.7.2.

Cl 202 SC 202.8.2.1 P230 L 24 # 326

Gorshe, Steve Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status R

TDD V1 LS

Clause 149 is specific to differential pairs. There have been no presentations on this topic, especially regarding its relationship to coaxial cables.

SuggestedRemedy

Copy and insert the text currently found in 201.12.2.1 for -T1. Add "Presentations on this topic are needed for -V1." to the Editor's Note.

Grant Editor's license to apply to equation, numbering, and figure creation style.

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 202 SC 202.8.2.1 P230 L 24 # 413

Zerna, Conrad NXP

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

TDD V1 LS

Missing limit

SuggestedRemedy

Measurement data has been presented
https://ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0524/felso_3dm_01_2405.pdf, will submit a presentation with limit line formula

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editorial license to modify the text for coax instead of balanced pair.

The equation should be ">=" , not "=".

TFTD

Cl 202 SC 202.8.2.2 P230 L 30 # 327

Gorshe, Steve Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status R

TDD V1 LS

Clause 149 is specific to differential pairs. There have been no presentations on this topic, especially regarding its relationship to coaxial cables.

SuggestedRemedy

Copy and insert the text currently found in 201.12.2.2 for -T1. Add "Presentations on this topic are needed for -V1." to the Editor's Note.

Grant Editor's license to apply to equation, numbering, and figure creation style.

Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

CI 202	SC 202.8.2.2	P230	L 30	# 414
Zerna, Conrad		NXP		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status	A	TDD V1 LS

Missing limit

SuggestedRemedy

Measurement data has been presented
https://ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0524/felso_3dm_01_2405.pdf, will submit a presentation
 with limit line formula

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editorial license to modify the text for coax instead of balanced pair.

The equation should be ">=", not "=".
 TFTD

CI 202	SC 202.9.2.1	P231	L 17	# 163
Zimmerman, George		CME Consulting/ADI, APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	TDD MDI

If Fmax should scale with baud rate, there should be different Fmax's listed - but there aren't. The link segment parameters are not scaled. If there is a scaling it would only be applicable to 2.5G+100BASE-T1.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest delete editor's note, and add, "For 2.5GBASE-T1

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete Editor's note.

Change: For MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1 the maximum applicable frequency, Fmax, for the MDI return loss is 4000 MHz.

To: For 10G+100M/100M+10GBASE-T1 the maximum applicable frequency, Fmax, for the MDI return loss is 4000 MHz.

For 5G+100M/100M+5GBASE-T1 the maximum applicable frequency, Fmax, for the MDI return loss is 4000 MHz.

For 2.5G+100M/100M+2.5GBASE-T1 the maximum applicable frequency, Fmax, for the MDI return loss is TBD MHz.

CI 202	SC 202.9.3	P232	L 29	# 315
Gorshe, Steve		Microchip		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	EZ

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the Editor's Note and insert the sentence "MDI fault tolerance shall comply with 96.8.3."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Add "The" to align with 201.13.3.)

Remove the Editor's Note and insert the sentence "The MDI fault tolerance shall comply with 96.8.3."

CI 202	SC 202.10.1	P232	L 11	# 313
Gorshe, Steve		Microchip		
Comment Type	T	Comment Status	A	EZ

Since this is independent of modulation, it can use the same language as 201.14.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the TBD with ; "Where coaxial cabling is used, the mechanical interface to the coaxial cabling is a single pin connector with a shield. Further specification of the mechanical interface is beyond the scope of this standard."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(Editor's note: Added instruction to delete Editor's Note. This section is for V1 media, coaxial cabling is always used.)

Delete Editor's Note and insert the following text into 202.10.1,

"The mechanical interface to the coaxial cabling is a single pin connector with a shield. Further specification of the mechanical interface is beyond the scope of this standard."

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 1st Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.10.2.1 P232 L23 # 314

Gorshe, Steve Microchip

Comment Type T *Comment Status* A

EZ

Both -T1 and -V1 would have the same return loss parameters.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the Editor's Note and insert the sentence "MDI return loss shall comply with 202.9.2.1."

Response *Response Status* **C**

ACCEPT.

C/ 202 SC 202.10.3

P232

29

325

Gorshe, Steve Microchip

Comment Type T *Comment Status* A

TDD MDI

Replace the Editor's Note box and TBD with the proposed text and table.

SuggestedRemedy

See attached file.

Response *Response Status* **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement solution in
<https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0126/clause%20202.10.3%20proposal.pdf> in
202.10.3, 201.14.3 and 200.14.3, with the change below.

Change: The wire pair of the MDI
to: The single conductor of the MDI