

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI **FM** SC **FM** P **8** L **12** # **166**
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**
 need to update officers and Editors
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "Jon Lewis" with "Natalie Wienckowski" for TF Chair.
 Replace "Natalie Wienckowski" with "Steve Gorshe" for TF Vice-Chair.
 Add "Valarie Maguire" as Clause Editor.
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI **FM** SC **FM** P **10** L **32** # **167**
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**
 add "dm"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: IEEE Std 802.3xx-20xx
 To: IEEE Std 802.3dm-202x
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI **FM** SC **FM** P **13** L **1** # **7**
 Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis; aff'l w/ CME Consulting, Microchip, an
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**
 Insert Amendments 10 through 12
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert:

IEEE Std 802.3da™-2026
 Amendment 10—This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2022 and adds Clause 188 through Clause 189. This amendment adds Physical Layer specifications and management parameters for enhancement of multidrop 10 Mb/s operation based on the 10BASE-T1S PHY specified in Clause 147 of IEEE Std 802.3-2022, and specifies optional provision of power over single balanced pair mixing segments. Additionally, this amendment includes additions and changes to Clause 148 to automatically allocate node IDs (Dynamic PLCA).

IEEE Std 802.3dk™-20xx
 Amendment 11—This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2022 and adds Clause 168. This amendment adds Physical Layer specifications and management parameters for 100 Gb/s Ethernet optical interfaces for bidirectional operation over a single strand of single-mode fiber.

IEEE Std 802.3dg™-20xx
 Amendment 12—This amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2022 specifies additions and appropriate modifications to add 100 Mb/s Physical Layer (PHY) specifications and management parameters for operation, and associated optional provision of power, over a single balanced pair of conductors.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI **00** SC **0** P **80** L **15** # **34**
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**
 The statement "The LS_TX PCS generates a continuous stream of DME symbols that are transmitted via the LS_TX PMA" is not correct
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "The LS_TX PCS generates a continuous stream of bits that are transmitted via the LS_TX PMA"
 Proposed Response Response Status **O**

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 1 SC 1.4 P 30 L 35 # 2
 Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis; affl w/ CME Consulting, Microchip, an
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Missing Abbreviatons for ACT and TDD
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert:
 ACT Asymmetric Coded Transceiver
 TDD Time Division Duplex
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4.88 P 30 L 20 # 322
 Shen, David Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s operation(including asymmetric PHYs with one of these rates in one direction and 100 Mb/s in the reverse direction).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s operation(including asymmetric PHYs with 100 Mb/s in the reverse direction).
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 31 L 27 # 20
 Sun, Jingcong Motorcomm
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 change '100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1' to '100M+5GBASE-T1/V1'
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 31 L 29 # 21
 Sun, Jingcong Motorcomm
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 change '100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1' to '100M+5GBASE-T1/V1'
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 31 L 38 # 22
 Sun, Jingcong Motorcomm
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 change '100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1' to '100M+10GBASE-T1/V1'
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 31 L 40 # 23
 Sun, Jingcong Motorcomm
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 change '100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1' to '100M+10GBASE-T1/V1'
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 P 32 L 6 # 24
 Sun, Jingcong Motorcomm
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 change '100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1' to '100M+5GBASE-T1/V1'
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 P 32 L 8 # 25
 Sun, Jingcong Motorcomm
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 change '100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1' to '100M+5GBASE-T1/V1'
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 32 L 33 # 160
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Eliminate links to Clause 200
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make copies of all tiems and create links to Clause 201 and to Clause 202.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 P 32 L 15 # 26
 Sun, Jingcong Motorcomm
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 change '100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1' to '100M+10GBASE-T1/V1'
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 30 SC 30.6.1.1 P 33 L 15 # 161
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Delete -V1 rows as Clause 98 Auto-Negotiation doesn't apply to -V1.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete rows in lines 15, 16, 25, 26, 35, and 36.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 P 32 L 17 # 27
 Sun, Jingcong Motorcomm
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 change '100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1' to '100M+10GBASE-T1/V1'
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P L # 154
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Need to add -V1 ability and Asymmetric -T1 ability to Register 1.18
 SuggestedRemedy
 Do the following with the appropriate editorial instructions and underline/strikethrough.
 Bring 45.2.1.16 BASE-T1 PMA/PMD extended ability register (1.18)
 and Change it to: 45.2.1.16 BASE-T1/V1 PMA/PMD extended ability register (1.18)
 Bring in Table 45-19 and change BASE-T1 to BASE-T1/V1 in the title
 Change Reserved row in the table to 1.18.15:11 (with appropriate change marks)
 New row: 1.18.10 | MultiG+100/100M+MultiGBASE-T1 ability | 1 = PMA/PMD is able to
 perform MultiG+100/100M+MultiGBASE-V1 ability listed in register 1.77
 | 0 = PMA/PMD is able to perform MultiG+100/100M+MultiGBASE-T1 ability listed in
 register 1.77
 Add new subclause 45.2.1.16.aaa MultiG+100M/100M+MultiG ability (1.18.10)
 When read as a one, bit 1.18.10 indicates that the PMA/PMD is able to operate as a
 MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-V1 PMD type. When read as a zero, bit 1.18.10 indicates
 that the PMA/PMD is able to operate as a MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1 PMD type.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 32 L 33 # 168
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Replace references to Clause 200 with references to Clause 201 and Clause 202.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Duplicate the groups in 30.5.1.1.2 to include reference to Clause 201 and Clause 202 and
 remove the reference to Clause 200, similar to what was done for 30.3.2.1.3.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 34 L 23 # 152

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

PMA/PMD type selection BASE-T1 needs to be changed to BASE-T1/V1

SuggestedRemedy

Bring 45.2.1.6 and 45.2.1.6.3 headings into the draft.
 Do the following with the appropriate editorial instructions and underline/strikethrough.
 Bring Table 45-7 into the draft and Change the row: 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 = BASE-T1 PMA/PMDb
 To: 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 = BASE-T1/V1 PMA/PMDb
 Change note b: If BASE-T1 is selected, bits 1.2100.3:0 are used to differentiate which BASE-T1 PMA/PMD is selected.
 To: If BASE-T1/V1 is selected, bits 1.2100.4:0 are used to differentiate which BASE-T1/V1 PMA/PMD is selected.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 35 L 16 # 153

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Bit 1.11.11 needs to be changed to BASE-T1/V1

SuggestedRemedy

Bring 45.2.1.10 heading into the draft.
 Do the following with the appropriate editorial instructions and underline/strikethrough.
 Bring Table 45-14 into the draft and Change the row for bit 1.11.11:0, changing BASE-T1 to BASE-T1/V1 in 3 places.
 Bring 45.2.1.10.5 into the draft, and change BASE-T1 to BASE-T1/V1 in 2 places.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P 34 L 38 # 162

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Add links to Clause 201.

SuggestedRemedy

Add link to 201.5.2.2.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P 34 L 41 # 163

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Add links to Clause 201.

SuggestedRemedy

Add link to 201.5.2.3, unless combined and the link is to 201.5.2.2.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.5 P 35 L 7 # 164

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Add links to Clause 201.

SuggestedRemedy

Add link to 201.5.2.4, unless combined and the link is to 201.5.2.3.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.5 P 35 L 10 # 165

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Add links to Clause 201.

SuggestedRemedy

Add link to 201.5.2.5, unless combined and the link is to 201.5.2.3.

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.214 P 39 L 4 # 6
 Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis; affl w/ CME Consulting, Microchip, an
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 IEEE P802.3da has published.
 SuggestedRemedy
 On P39, L4:
 Replace, " IEEE Std 802.3da-202x" with, " IEEE Std 802.3da-2026
 On P39, L40:
 Replace, " IEEE Std 802.3da-202x" with, " IEEE Std 802.3da-2026
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 46 SC 46.1 P 40 L 11 # 323
 Shen, David Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s operation(including asymmetric PHYs with one of these rates in one direction and 100 Mb/s in the reverse direction).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s operation(including asymmetric PHYs with 100 Mb/s in the reverse direction).
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 46 SC 46.1 P 40 L 19 # 324
 Shen, David Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s operation(including asymmetric PHYs with one of these rates in one direction and 100 Mb/s in the reverse direction).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s operation(including asymmetric PHYs with 100 Mb/s in the reverse direction).
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 46 SC 46.1.1 P 40 L 28 # 325
 Shen, David Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s operation(including asymmetric PHYs with one of these rates in one direction and 100 Mb/s in the reverse direction).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s operation(including asymmetric PHYs with 100 Mb/s in the reverse direction).
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 46 SC 46.1.3 P 40 L 42 # 326
 Shen, David Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s operation(including asymmetric PHYs with one of these rates in one direction and 100 Mb/s in the reverse direction).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s operation(including asymmetric PHYs with 100 Mb/s in the reverse direction).
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 46 SC 46.3.1.1 P 41 L 9 # 263
 Fuller, Paul Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 the frequency is +/- 100ppm - I believe the ACT spec is +/-50ppm?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to +/- 50ppm
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 46 SC 46.3.1.1 P41 L9 # 328
 Shen, David Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The TX_CLK frequency shall be $1/64 \times \text{fMAC} \pm 100$ ppm, where fMAC is the frequency (in Hz)
 SuggestedRemedy
 The TX_CLK frequency shall be $1/64 \times \text{fMAC} \pm 50$ ppm, where fMAC is the frequency (in Hz)
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 46 SC 46.3.2.1 P41 L20 # 329
 Shen, David Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 frequency shall be $1/64 \times \text{fMAC} \pm 100$ ppm, where fMAC is the frequency (in Hz) corresponding to the
 SuggestedRemedy
 frequency shall be $1/64 \times \text{fMAC} \pm 50$ ppm, where fMAC is the frequency (in Hz) corresponding to the
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 46 SC 46.3.2.1 P41 L18 # 327
 Shen, David Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The frequency of RX_CLK may be derived from the received data or it may correspond to be that of a nominal clock (e.g., TX_CLK)
 SuggestedRemedy
 The frequency of RX_CLK may be derived from the received data or the nominal clock (e.g., TX_CLK)
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 46 SC 46.6.2.3 P41 L40 # 169
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Row with "..." is not needed as there is no row below the one being added.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete last row of Table in 46.6.2.3.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 46 SC 46.3.2.1 P41 L20 # 264
 Fuller, Paul Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 the frequency is +/- 100ppm - I believe the ACT spec is +/-50ppm?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to +/- 50ppm
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 200 SC 200.1.1 P46 L18 # 170
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 In list under "where", put a comma after the first two items and a period after the last. Also on P73/L50 and P156/L18.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 200 SC 200.11.2 P 63 L 33 # 269
 Fuller, Paul Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 AC coupling cap should be 10nF
 SuggestedRemedy
 AC coupling cap should be 10nF
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 200 SC 200.12 P 63 L 50 # 28
 Sun, Jingcong Motorcomm
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 change '100Mb/s' to '100 Mb/s'
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201 P 93 L 64 # 311
 Razavi, Alireza Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Reference to EEE: Figure 149-19 should be moved to Clause 201; EEE will be removed from it.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.1 P 72 L 20 # 157
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Replace Editor's note with text.
 SuggestedRemedy

Delete Editor's Note
 Add the following text: This clause defines the type 100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1, 2.5G+100MBASE-T1/V1, 100M+5GBASE-T1/V1, 5G+100MBASE-T1/V1, 100M+10GBASE-T1/V1, and 10G+100MBASE-T1/V1 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) as well as the 100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1, 2.5G+100MBASE-T1/V1, 100M+5GBASE-T1/V1, 5G+100MBASE-T1/V1, 100M+10GBASE-T1/V1, and 10G+100MBASE-T1/V1 Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayers. Together, the corresponding PCS and PMA sublayers comprise a 100M+2.5GBASE-T1, 2.5G+100MBASE-T1, 100M+5GBASE-T1, 5G+100MBASE-T1, 100M+10GBASE-T1, 10G+100MBASE-T1, 100M+2.5GBASE-V1, 2.5G+100MBASE-V1, 100M+5GBASE-V1, 5G+100MBASE-V1, 100M+10GBASE-V1, and 10G+100MBASE-V1 Physical Layer device (PHY). Provided in this clause are functional and electrical specifications for the type 100M+2.5GBASE-T1/V1 PCS and PMA, 2.5G+100MBASE-T1/V1 PCS and PMA, 100M+5GBASE-T1/V1 PCS and PMA, 5G+100MBASE-T1/V1 PCS and PMA, 100M+10GBASE-T1/V1 PCS and PMA, and 10G+100MBASE-T1/V1 PCS and PMA.

The 100M+2.5GBASE-T1, 2.5G+100MBASE-T1, 100M+5GBASE-T1, 5G+100MBASE-T1, 100M+10GBASE-T1, and 10G+100MBASE-T1 PHYs are intended to be operated over a single balanced pair of conductors. The link segment specifications defined in 201.9 were derived from automotive requirements, but may also be used for non-automotive applications. The conductors supporting the operation of the 100M+2.5GBASE-T1, 2.5G+100MBASE-T1, 100M+5GBASE-T1, 5G+100MBASE-T1, 100M+10GBASE-T1, and 10G+100MBASE-T1 PHYs are defined in terms of performance requirements between the Medium Dependent Interfaces (MDIs) allowing implementers to provide their own conductors to operate the 100M+2.5GBASE-T1, 2.5G+100MBASE-T1, 100M+5GBASE-T1, 5G+100MBASE-T1, 100M+10GBASE-T1, and 10G+100MBASE-T1 PHYs as long as the normative requirements included in 201.9 are met.

The 100M+2.5GBASE-V1, 2.5G+100MBASE-V1, 100M+5GBASE-V1, 5G+100MBASE-V1, 100M+10GBASE-V1, and 10G+100MBASE-V1 PHYs are intended to be operated over a single coaxial cable. The link segment specifications defined in 201.10 were derived from automotive requirements, but may also be used for non-automotive applications. The conductor supporting the operation of the 100M+2.5GBASE-V1, 2.5G+100MBASE-V1, 100M+5GBASE-V1, 5G+100MBASE-V1, 100M+10GBASE-V1, and 10G+100MBASE-V1 PHYs are defined in terms of performance requirements between the Medium Dependent Interfaces (MDIs) allowing implementers to provide their own conductors to operate the 100M+2.5GBASE-V1, 2.5G+100MBASE-V1, 100M+5GBASE-V1, 5G+100MBASE-V1, 100M+10GBASE-V1, and 10G+100MBASE-V1 PHYs as long as the normative requirements included in 201.10 are met.

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.1.1 P72 L26 # 158
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The Editor's note is no longer needed
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete boxed Editor's note
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.1.2 P74 L37 # 159
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Replace Editor's note with text.
 SuggestedRemedy

Delete Editor's Note
 Add the following text: The relationship between a MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1 PHY, the ISO Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) Reference Model, and the IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Model is shown in Figure 201-x. The PHY sublayers (shown shaded) in Figure 201-x connect one Clause 4 Media Access Control (MAC) layer to the medium. The XGMII is defined in Clause 46.

Auto-Negotiation for 100M+2.5GBASE-T1, 2.5G+100MBASE-T1, 100M+5GBASE-T1, 5G+100MBASE-T1, 100M+10GBASE-T1, and 10G+100MBASE-T1 PHYs is defined in Clause 98. Auto-Negotiation is not defined for 100M+2.5GBASE-V1, 2.5G+100MBASE-V1, 100M+5GBASE-V1, 5G+100MBASE-V1, 100M+10GBASE-V1, and 10G+100MBASE-V1 PHYs.

See Relationship_Figure.png for Figure 201-x.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.1.1 P73 L 29
 Tan, Yuxuan Motorcomm
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The direction of arrows in LS_PATH in Figure 201-1 should be reversed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change direction of arrows in LS_PATH in Figure 201-1 to LS_RX PCS <- LS_RX PMA
 <----- LS_TX PMA <- LS_TX PCS.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.1.3 P74 L48 # 171
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: block diagram of PHY_D device.
 To: block diagram of the PHY_D device.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.1.1 P73 L17 # 145
 Pandey, Sujun Velinktech
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Figure 201-1, the arrows of LS_PATH is not correct
 SuggestedRemedy
 All arrows of LS_PATH need to be reversed
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.1.3 P 77 L 43 # 247

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Clock recovery in Figure 202-3 is not an optional function as described in 201.5.2.11. It is necessary to receiver operation, and many other clauses have Clock Recovery without looptiming. It's not necessary to provide the recovered_clock to the PMA Transmit function in the leader. Another way to remove looptiming capability from the leader should be found for Figure 202-3

SuggestedRemedy

Remove (follower only) from Clock Recovery, and consider adding to recovered_clock or in Note 1 below the figure.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.1.3.2 P 78 L 45 # 172

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The DME definition was moved

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 201.4.2.3
To: 201.5.2.3.1, or appropriate reference is comment combining the LS and HS PMA transmit functions is accepted.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.2 P 80 L 49 # 173

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia

Comment Type E Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

Delete Editor's note

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.2.1.1 P 81 L 17 # 174

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia

Comment Type E Comment Status X

98.4.2 isn't in the spec

SuggestedRemedy

Change "98.4.2" to "External" character type.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.2.1.2.2 P 82 L 4 # 175

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The Link Monitor state diagram is in Clause 201

SuggestedRemedy

Change: External reference to Figure 149-33
To: Internal reference to Figure 201-23-Link Monitor state diagram.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.2.1.2.2 P 82 L 64 # 309

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Wrong figure reference: Figure 149-33 should be replaced by Figure 201-23.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.2.2.3.1 P 86 L 17 # 35

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

PAM2 is also used in the training frames

SuggestedRemedy

Add at the end of line 17: ", and HS_PATH training frames"

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.2.2.3.1 P 86 L 26 # 146
 Pandey, Sujan Velinktech
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Z
 SuggestedRemedy
 should be '0' instead
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.2.2.3.1 P 86 L 26 # 249
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 It's unclear when zero means "0" vs. "Z". For the LS_PATH should consistently use "Z" (meaning electrical idle or high-impedance on the MDI) instead of the term zeros as a DME zero is not the same as a PAM2 or PAM4 zero.
 SuggestedRemedy
 First determine if Z means "electrical idle" or "a vector of Z values". Then replace "zeros" here with the selected term, and replace consistently for the LS_PATH PCS layer.
 Other places to amend: P85L10, P97L8, P117L42, P122L36.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.3 P 89 L 1 # 313
 Razavi, Alireza Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The text is hard to follow.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Move the text from Clause 149 to Clause 201, and remove references to EEE.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P 90 L 39 # 208
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The requirement purports to bring in all the specifications for 149.3.2.2 (including 149.3.2.2.1 through .22) but this doesn't really work. The PAM2 changes need to be explicitly spelled out, and the deletion of EEE needs to be spelled out - at least. Suggest bring in the text from clause 149 that is intended, and review that.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Suggest bring in the text from 149.3.2.2, 149.3.2.2.x where .x is 1 through 21 with editorial license to delete EEE portions and label PAM4 portions as 10G only.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P 91 L 13 # 205
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 There are a number of errors in Figure 201-7. It shows the 10G path, which doesn't exist in a 2.5G or 5G PHY. Secondly, the inputs and outputs of the HS_TX PCS Transmit function is unlabeled in Figure 201-7.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Split the Figure into 2 figures - one for 2.5G/5G and one for 10G. The 2.5G/5G figure doesn't have the extra scrambler output, gray mapping, selectable precoder, PAM4 mapper or MUX. The 10G figure doesn't have the note.
 Show the interface on the left hand side that the Dn[0], Dn[1] comes over. I presume it is blocked data? It needs to come from a blocker. Show tx_symb as the output from the MUX (or the PAM2 mapper for 2.5G/5G). I will attempt to put together a contribution with how I understand the figure.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P 91 L 45 # 36
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Incorrect figure reference to Figure 201-6
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace Figure 201-6 with Figure 201-7
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.2 P 92 L 22 # 206
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The PAM4 path doesn't exist for 2.5G/5G. Figure 201-8 only covers 10G
 SuggestedRemedy
 Split figure 201-8 into 2 figures, one showing the 2.5G/5G and one showing the 10G. The 2.5G/5G eliminates the PAM4 path and the MUX at the right hand side of line 25
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.3 P 93 L 48 # 155
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 no EEE
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove reference to Figure 149-19
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.3 P 92 L 64 # 310
 Razavi, Alireza Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Reference to EEE: Figure 149-18 should be moved to Clause 201, and the EEE-related item should be removed from it.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.3 P 93 L 49 # 178
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Figure 149-19 is for EEE only.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove: and Figure 149-19
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.3 P 93 L 47 # 177
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: fifty To: 50
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.3.2.3 P 94 L 5 # 37
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The paragraph should reference Figure 149-15
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add reference to Figure 149-15 to the paragraph starting in line 5
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.3.4 P 94 L 45 # 312
 Razavi, Alireza Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Poor readability.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove subclause 201.3.4 and move its content to 201.3.2.3.2. Also copy Equation (149-6) to Clause 201.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.3.4 P 94 L 45 # 317

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Multiple terms for one thing: in Clause 201 these terms refer to the same function (side-stream scrambler, side stream scrambler, PCS scrambler). Same issue for the descrambler.

SuggestedRemedy

Use "PCS scrambler" consistently for all of them.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.3.5 P 94 L 51 # 134

van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Due to the HS_PATH change to PAM2 in data mode the timing relationship between the Training frame and the RS-FEC frames has changed for 2.5Gb/s and 5Gb/s.

SuggestedRemedy

Copy text and figures/equations from Clause 149.4.5 to Clause 201.3.5.

Replace

"The timing relationship among training frame, partial frame, RS-FEC frame, superframe, and partial PHY frame count (PFC24) are shown in Figure 149-12."

with

"For 10Gb/s, the timing relationship among training frame, partial frame, RS-FEC frame, superframe, and partial PHY frame count (PFC24) are shown in Figure 201-???"

For 2.5Gb/s and 5Gb/s, the timing relationship among training frame, partial frame, RS-FEC frame, superframe, and partial PHY frame count (PFC24) are shown in Figure 201-???"

Note that the first Figure is the same as Figure 149-12. The second Figure is in "Timing_2p5_5.pdf"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.3.5.1 P 95 L 2 # 203

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status X

While Tn is generated, formally, the variable passed to the PMA is tx_symb. Same thing for Sn in training mode. Tn appears to be assumed to be what the PMA operates on (and Sn in training mode), but it is never actually set to the parameter passed. This is also a flaw in the formal specification of clause 149, and possibly 165.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 201.3.5.1 to read:

As specified for MultiGBASE-T1 PHYs in 149.3.5.1. The parameter tx_symb is set to Tn for passing to the PMA when PHY control is in SEND_N mode.

Insert new final sentence to 201.3.5.2 The resulting symbols are transferred to the PMA when PHY control is in SEND_T mode for PAM transmission.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.3.5.2 P 95 L 5 # 315

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Wrong place for variable definition: the PMA training mode descrambler-polynomials text should be removed, but the definition of variable scr_status should be moved to 149.3.7.2.2 (or the equivalent section in Clause 201).

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4 P 96 L 3 # 38

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

With the current structure of the document, it would make sense to have Figures 201-10 closer to Figure 201-6

SuggestedRemedy

Move figure 201-10 forward in the document to be closer to Figure 201-6

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P 96 L 51 # 38

Long, Richard TE Connectivity

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Extra verbage "to it" not required

SuggestedRemedy

Change "set to all 1s, to it." to "set to all 1s."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P 97 L 8 # 41

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The description " vector of zeros at each symbol period" is ambiguous or incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text to " vector of Z (see Clause 201.2.2.3.1) at each symbol period"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P 96 L 51 # 39

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text ", set to all 1s, to it" should be removed, because it is not relevant in the context of the current text. Also, if reserved values are required to have a specific value, this needs more discussion.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the text ", set to all 1s, to it"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P 97 L 12 # 42

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Incorrect clause reference to 201.4.5.1

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with correct clause reference

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P 97 L 2 # 40

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text "The symbol period, T, is 1000 / 117.1875 ns." is confusing

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with either "The symbol period, T, is (1 / 117.1875)us." or "The symbol period, T, is (1 / 117.1875MHz)."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P 97 L 16 # 43

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Incorrect clause reference to 201.5.2.7.

SuggestedRemedy

Change reference to 201.2.6.4

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.2 P 97 L 35 # 209

van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Figure 201-11: The output of the MUX has the wrong name for data transmitted to PMA

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "symb_tx" with "tx_symb"

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.2 P 98 L 10 # 179

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia

Comment Type E Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

Remove period by itself on line 10.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.2 P 99 L 28 # 147

Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Arrow of Descrambler is not correct

SuggestedRemedy

arrow needs to be reversed

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.2 P 98 L 30 # 117

Lo, William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Missing Line with arrow from RS_FEC to 24-bit Parity

SuggestedRemedy

Draw the missing line

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.3 P 99 L 36 # 52

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Scope of the sentence "For values shown as binary, the leftmost bit is the first transmitted bit" is not clear.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the text "For Figure 201-12," in front of "For values shown as binary, the leftmost bit is the first transmitted bit"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.2 P 98 L 31 # 50

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Missing connection from "RS-FEC encoder" block to "24-bit Parity" block

SuggestedRemedy

Add missing connection

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.3 P 99 L 38 # 53

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Not desirable to start a new paragraph (or a sentence) with digits. It also implies that 64B/65B is an encoding without explicitly stating so (64B/65B is also sometimes used to describe the block).

SuggestedRemedy

Change "64B/65B encodes" to "The 64B/65B encoding, encodes"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.2 P 99 L 27 # 51

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Arrow from "Descrambler" to "adder" is in the wrong direction.

SuggestedRemedy

Reverses the direction of the arrow from "Descrambler" to "adder"

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.3 P 99 L 39 # 54

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The paragraph starting on line 38 is stating things that have been stated in other paragraphs and is not really about "Notation conventions"

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate paragraph and replace it with reference to clause 149.3.2.2.4, if applicable.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.3 P 99 L 44 # 55

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

In accurate to use the text "eight characters"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "eight characters" to "eight octets".

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.3 P 99 L 51 # 135

van Dyck, Peter Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

tx_coded and rx_coded are incorrectly defined in the text.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "tx_coded<31:0>" with "tx_coded<64:0>"
 Replace "rx_coded<31:0>" with "rx_coded<64:0>"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.5 P 100 L 8 # 56

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text incorrectly states that "The XGMII encodes a control character into an octet" which is not correct. This text is unnecessary and is probably best removed.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the sentence "The XGMII encodes a control character into an octet (an eightbit value)."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.5 P 100 L 8 # 57

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The paragraph starting on line 8 probably does not bring any value to the document.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the paragraph starting on line 8.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.5 P 100 L 72 # 314

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Reference to EEE: Table 149-2 should be moved to Clause 201 and references to LPI and EEE removed from it.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.12 P 100 L 44 # 58

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The paragraph starting in line 44 does not appear to serve any particular purpose in the document. If it does, it should be clarified what the essential information are in the paragraph.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the paragraph starting on line 44 or clarify what is specified in the paragraph.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.13 P 101 L 11 # 61

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text "set to all 1s", is unnecessary and incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

The whole paragraph should be removed, but at minimum the text "set to all 1s" should be removed.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.13 P 101 L 6 # 59

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The paragraphs starting at line 6 and line 10 serve no purpose in the document. They also incorrectly sate a specific value for the reserved bits.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the paragraphs starting at line 6 and line 10.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.14 P 101 L 15 # 62

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The statement "The group of 300 bits are encoded using a Reed-Solomon encoder" is not correct. There are 276 bits encoded, and 24-bit parity, resulting in a total of 300 bits.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The group of 300 bits are encoded using a Reed-Solomon encoder" to "The data frame is encoded using a Reed-Solomon encoder"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.13 P 101 L 6 # 60

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text "set to all 1s", is unnecessary and incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

The whole paragraph should be removed, but at minimum the text "set to all 1s" should be removed.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.14 P 101 L 18 # 63

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text "For the purposes of this clause" looks like an unnecessary qualification of the statement.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the text "For the purposes of this clause"

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.14 P 101 L 19 # 137

Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis; affl w/ CME Consulting, Microchip, an

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The third term in some Reed-Solomon codes is stated incorrectly.

SuggestedRemedy

P101, L19 - Replace, "RS-FEC(50,46,2^6)" with "RS-FEC(50,46,6)"

P160, L2 - Replace, "RS-FEC(130,124,2^8)" with "RS-FEC(130,124,8)"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.14 P 101 L 53 # 64

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The paragraph starting in line 53 is redundant and has better description at line 7 on page 102

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the paragraph starting on line 53

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.15 P 102 L 51 # 65

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The LR_PATH does not really have superframe, so better to use the word "frame".

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "superframe" with "frame"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.2.15 P 103 L 4 # 204

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status X

While An is generated for the low speed direction, formally, the variable passed to the PMA is tx_symb. Tn appears to be assumed to be what the PMA operates on, but it is never sent. This is also a flaw in the formal specification of clause 149, and possibly 165.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert new final sentence to 201.4.2.2.15:

The parameter tx_symb is set to An for passing to the PMA when PHY control is in SEND_N mode.

Insert new final sentence to 201.4.5.1 The resulting symbols are transferred to the PMA when PHY control is in SEND_T mode for DME encoding and transmission.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.3 P 97 L 20 # 44

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The word "the" should be removed from "During transmission, the four blocks"

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "During transmission, four blocks"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.2.3 P 97 L 21 # 45

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Incorrect description: "followed by six 1s to" because there is no 1 second inserted at this point. This is also imprecise because the bits are "reserved", not necessarily with fixed values.

SuggestedRemedy

change text to "followed by six reserved bits to"

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.3 P 97 L 32 # 46
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The structure of the figure is different from the corresponding figure for HS_PATH in Figure 201-7
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the handling of the "Training frame" in the figure to be consistent with how it is done in Figure 201-7
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.3 P 97 L 48 # 48
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The entire paragraph starting in line 48 is unclear and does not have a clear purpose in the document.
 SuggestedRemedy
 The PCS transmit function maps XGMII signals into 65-bit blocks that are inserted into an RS-FEC frame. The PCS receive function extracts the 65-bit blocks from the RS_FEC frame and maps them to the receiver XGMII interface. The PMA training frame synchronization allows establishment of RS-FEC frame and 65B boundaries by the PCS Synchronization process. Blocks and frames are unobservable and have no meaning outside the PCS.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.3 P 97 L 48 # 47
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The phrase "and vice versa" is ambiguous and probably wrong.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the text "and vice versa"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.3 P 97 L 54 # 49
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Incorrect reference to clause 149.3.2.2.2.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change reference to Clause 201.4.2.2.2.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.3.1 P 104 L 1 # 66
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The word "It" lacks clarity
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace the word "It" with "PCS receive"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.3.2 P 104 L 6 # 67
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The in this paragraph is inaccurate and not as good as the wording in Clause 2011.3.2.3.2.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace the whole text in this section with "The descrambling process is as specified in 149.3.2.3.2, except Equation (149-5) shall be applied regardless of whether PHY_S is LEADER or FOLLOWER."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.4.2.3.3 P 104 L 23 # 68
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 This is the only mention of R_BLOCK_TYPE in Clause 201. Need reference to where R_BLOCK_TYPE is defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Reference definition of R_BLOCK_TYPE in 149.3.7.2.4
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5 P 105 L 5 # 132
 van Dyck, Peter Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Clean up Figure 201-15.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Update Figure 201-15 as follows: Move C/D to the second row and remove first row
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.4 P 104 L 39 # 69
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Need to clarify that Equation (149-5) is used regardless of whether PHY_S is LEADER or FOLLOWER
 SuggestedRemedy
 At the end of the line add "regardless of whether PHY_S is LEADER or FOLLOWER"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5 P 105 L 11 # 131
 van Dyck, Peter Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Clear definition of infofield transmit bit ordering missing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the following text at Page 105, Line 11:
 "The fields in the infofield are transmitted from left to right starting with C/D. The Block field as well as fields D0 through D6 are transmitted LSB first."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5 P 105 L 1 # 9
 Long, Richard TE Connectivity
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Infofield appears to be capitalized everywhere in the document except page 105, line 1 and line 9
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "infield" to "Infofield" and also on line 9
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5 P 105 L 11 # 130
 van Dyck, Peter Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Value of reserved bits in infofield is missing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the following text at Page 105, Line 11:
 "Reserved bits in the infofield represent unused values and shall be set to zero on transmit and ignored when received by the link partner."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.4.5 P 105 L 12 # 180
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Not all PHY capability fields specified in Clause 149 apply to th LS_PATH PCS
SuggestedRemedy
 Change: The message and PHY capability fields are as specified in 149.4.2.4.4 and 149.4.2.4.5.
 To: The message and PHY capability fields are as specified in 149.4.2.4.4 and 149.4.2.4.5; however, only Oct10<7> (OAMen) applies.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.5 P 105 L 16 # 129
 van Dyck, Peter Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 OAM bits shall always be set to 0s during training regardless of OAM being implemented. It currently states "if present".
SuggestedRemedy
 Remove "(if present)"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.5 P 105 L 16 # 70
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 There is no need to specify the value of the OAM bits in the training frame, since it should be ignored. Specifying these values can lead to interoperability issues if receiver relies on these values having specific values.
SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the sentence "Note that the OAM (if present) shall be set to all 0s during training"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.5 P 105 L 16 # 192
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 It is unclear whether the setting of OAM bits to 0s during training is an automatic function of the PHY or a requirement on the user. Either way, the existing text is incorrect. I presume it should be an automatic function.
SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Note that the OAM (if present) shall be set to all 0s during training." to "During training, any OAM channel contents (if present) shall be ignored, and zeros transmitted in their place."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.5 P 105 L 17 # 133
 van Dyck, Peter Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Clarifying text regarding assembly and transmission of training frame is missing.
SuggestedRemedy
 Add the following text at Page 105, Line 17, after "Note that the OAM shall be set to all 0s during training.":
 "64B/65B blocks of the training frame are processed identical to tx_coded blocks in Clause 201.1.3.2 and the resulting tx_group4x65B block is transmitted as described in Clause 201.4.2.2.14."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.4.5 P 105 L 20 # 128
 van Dyck, Peter Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 In Figure 201-16, extra space "info field"
SuggestedRemedy
 Replace with "infofield"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5 P 105 L 24 # 71
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The figures should not specify the values for the Reserved bits.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove "1's" from the text "6-bit 1's"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5 P 105 L 37 # 72
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 There is no need to specify the value of the Reserved bits in the training frame, since it should be ignored. Specifying these values can lead to interoperability issues if receiver relies on these values having specific values.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "6bit 1s" with "Reserved"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5 P 105 L 26 # 127
 van Dyck, Peter Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Remove Infocfield table from Figure 201-16, it's already depicted in Figure 201-15
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5.1 P 105 L 43 # 316
 Razavi, Alireza Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Wrong place for variable definition: the PMA training mode descrambler-polynomials text should be removed, but the definition of variable scr_status should be moved to 149.3.7.2.2 (or the equivalent section in Clause 201).
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.5 P 105 L 35 # 30
 Tan, Yuxuan Motorcomm
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Scnn[0]" in Equation (201-6) to "Scrn[0]"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.6 P 105 L 51 # 181
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The statement doesn't make sense.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: items enclosed in the dotted lines are not present.
 To: items enclosed in the dotted lines are not present in the MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1 PHY.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.4.6 P 105 L 51 # 73
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The wording "As specified" lacks clarity.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add at the beginning of the line "Detailed functions and state diagrams are"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.1 P 108 L 5 # 75
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The 100 ms is too long, this should only be 50ms.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "100 ms" to "50 ms".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.8 P 106 L 38 # 321
 Razavi, Alireza Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 EEE is not defined. The PHY health part of OAM should be updated.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Copy 149.3.9.2.5 into Clause 201 and remove the 00 and 01 options.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.2 P 108 L 8 # 150
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Combine PMA Transmit function, HS_PATH and PMA Transmit function, LS_PATH into PMA Transmit function. Remove lpi_tx_mode statement which relates to EEE.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace 201.5.2.2 and 201.5.2.3 with new 201.5.2.2: See PMA_Transmit_function.pdf
 201.5.2.3.1 becomes 201.5.2.2.1.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.4.8 P 106 L 39 # 74
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The wording "As specified" lacks clarity.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add at the beginning of the line "The OAM is"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.2 P 108 L 17 # 118
 Lo, William Axonne Inc.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The is no EEE anymore
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete the sentence:
 When lpi_tx_mode = ALERT, the PN sequence defined in 201.5.2.10 shall be used in place of tx_symb as the data source for PMA Transmit.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.1 P 108 L 5 # 265
 Fuller, Paul Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 100ms should be 50ms
 SuggestedRemedy
 100ms should be 50ms
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.2 P 108 L 17 # 76
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 EEE is not supported so reference to ALERT and LPI should be removed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the sentence: "When lpi_tx_mode = ALERT, the PN sequence defined in 201.5.2.10 shall be used in place of tx_symb as the data source for PMA Transmit."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.2 P 108 L 23 # 10
 Long, Richard TE Connectivity
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "LEADER-FPOLLOWER" to "LEADER-FOLLOWER"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.2 P 108 L 17 # 31
 Tan, Yuxuan Motorcomm
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 EEE is removed from the document.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete "When lpi_tx_mode=ALERT, the PN sequence defined in 201.5.2.10 shall be used in place of tx_symb as the data source for PMA Transmit."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.2 P 108 L 31 # 207
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 "this function" is ambiguous - is it the PMA Transmit Fault function in the previous paragraph?. What appears to be meant is the PMA_transmit_disable variable.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "this function shall turn off the transmitter" , with "the transmitter shall be turned off"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.2 P 108 L 23 # 77
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Typo in "FPOLLOWER"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "FPOLLOWER" with "FOLLOWER"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.2 P 108 L 32 # 78
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 For PoDL and PoC implementations the -53dBm results in implicit limit on noise introduced by the power delivery circuit. The -53dBm value needs discussion by the task force.
 SuggestedRemedy
 This level needs discussion by the task force, in the context of PoC.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.3 P 109 L 5 # 79
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 For PoDL and PoC implementations the -53dBm results in implicit limit on noise introduced by the power delivery circuit. The -53dBm value needs discussion by the task force.
 SuggestedRemedy
 This level needs discussion by the task force, in the context of PoC.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.3.1 P 109 L 13 # 182
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 A shall is needed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: An, is encoded using Differential Manchester Encoding (DME).
 To: An, shall be encoded using Differential Manchester Encoding (DME).
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.3.1 P 109 L 10 # 80
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Better to specify behavior based on condition, rather than absence of condition.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "not SEND_Z" with "SEND_T or SEND_N"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.3.1 P 109 L 16 # 81
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Unclear language about "start of each bit", implying to "start of bit period"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the word "period" or the word "symbol" at the end of line 16
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.3.1 P 109 L 13 # 193
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 There is no 'shall' to do DME encoding, although there are requirements on the definition of what DME encoding is.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "The scrambled data bit An is encoded using Differential Manchester Encoding (DME)." to
 "The scrambled data bit, An, shall be encoded using Differential Manchester Encoding (DME) as defined by the following rules:"
 Change "shall"s on lines 17, 18, and 19 (clock transitions, data transitions, and otherwise) to "is" (3 occurrences)
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.3.1 P 109 L 19 # 82
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Unclear language about "until the next bit", implying to "until the next bit period"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the word "period" or the word "symbol" at the end of line 19
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.3.1 P 109 L 20 # 319
 Razavi, Alireza Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The information in this line is redundant.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove this line, Figure 201-18, and Table 201-4.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.3.1 P 109 L 24 # 250

Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The DME encoding of "Z" should also be defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider incorporating second part of Figure 147-13 which shows High-Z state.
 Consider adding text similar to Clause 147 above the figure explaining DME encoding of "Z": If the tx_sym parameter value is the special symbol 'Z', the PMA shall: for -T1 MDI drive MDI+ and MDI- to the same voltage with 100-ohm nominal impedance, so that their difference is 0V; for -V1 MDI drive MDI to the midpoint voltage with 50-ohm nominal impedance.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.3.1 P 109 L 33 # 194

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 There is no shall calling out the timings in Table 201-4.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "See Figure 201-18 and Table 201-4." to "Timing of the DME symbols shall be as shown in Table 201-4 (See Figure 201-18)."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.3.1 P 109 L 38 # 83

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 There is inconsistency between the +/-100ppm in Table 201-4 and the +/-50ppm in clause 201.6.2.6

SuggestedRemedy

Keep the +/-100ppm in Table 201-4 and change the +/-50ppm value in line 3 of page 133 to +/-100ppm.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.3.1 P 109 L 45 # 183

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type E Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

Delete Editor's note

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.3.1 P 109 L 45 # 123

Lo, William Axonne Inc.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 This variation is already addressed in 201.5.2.10

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the editor's note and add:
 The DME encoding timing may be relaxed per 201.5.2.10 when the device is a FOLLOWER while in PHY Link Synchronization phase of operation.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.4 P 110 L 1 # 151

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Combine PMA Receive function, HS_PATH and PMA Receive function, LS_PATH into PMA Transmit function.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace 201.5.2.4 and 201.5.2.5 with new 201.5.2.3: See PMA_Receive_function.pdf

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.4 P 110 L 21 # 85
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The polarity swap should be applied to both -T1 and -V1, otherwise we complicate the implementation and loose functionality.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the words "for -T1".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.5 P 110 L 33 # 32
 Tan, Yuxuan Motorcomm
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "2*1010" to "2*10-10".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.5 P 110 L 29 # 86
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 In accurate or unusual use of the word "comprises", because it is not followed by full "listing" of what comprises the "PMA Receive function"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "comprises" to "includes".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.5 P 110 L 42 # 87
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Since DME is immune to polarity swap, no detection of polarity swap is needed (or even possible).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the sentence "The receiver uses the sequence of symbols during the training sequence to detect and correct for pair polarity swaps"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.5 P 110 L 33 # 248
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Typo in exponent, missing minus sign.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change 2e10 to 2e-10 (see P110L8 for similar but correct format).
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.5 P 110 L 43 # 33
 Tan, Yuxuan Motorcomm
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Keep consistent with the statement for HS_PATH.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "correct for pair polarity swaps." to "correct for pair polarity swaps for -T1."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.5 P 110 L 46 # 11

Long, Richard TE Connectivity

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Line 46 looks like an extra line break inserted in the paragraph

SuggestedRemedy

Remove blank line 46

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.6 P 111 L 1 # 88

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Almost all the text on page 111 can be removed. It describes almost exactly the same behavior as is defined in Clause 149.4.2.4, so it would be better to simply reference this clause for the definition of the infofield.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the text on page 111 and reference Clause 149.4.2.4 with the following clarification: "The link partner is not required to decode every IF transmitted but is required to decode IFs at a rate that enables the correct actions prior to the transition from training frame to data frame format."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.6 P 111 L 8 # 89

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The condition "Infofield shall be transmitted at least 256 times" applies equally to both directions.

SuggestedRemedy

At the end of line 9, add "for both the HS_PATH and the LS_PATH"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.6 P 111 L 9 # 121

Lo, William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Need more precise definition of infofield complete

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following sentence in line 9.
 infofield_complete is set to TRUE when the infofield is transmitted sufficient number of times. infofield_complete is immediately set to FALSE when a change to octets 7 to 10 occurs. infofield_complete can also be set to FALSE during state transitions in the PHY control state diagram.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.6.4 P 112 L 1 # 90

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The whole text in clause 201.5.2.6.4 can be replace with a reference to clause 149.4.2.4.4 (see also comment on page 111)

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the text in clause 201.5.2.6.4 and replace with reference to 149.4.2.4.4

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.6.5 P 112 L 51 # 91

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This the term "MultiGBASE-T1" should be replace with the appropriate 802.3dm nomenclature.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the word "MultiGBASE-T1".

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.6.5 P 112 L 52 # 92

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text incorrectly refers to "two optional capabilities", while the current text describes three capabilities: OAMen, PrecodeSel, and InterleaverDepth. However, only the OAMen capability is applicable in the HS direction, the other two do not apply.

SuggestedRemedy

change the text "support of these two optional capabilities" to "support of optional capabilities"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.6.5 P 113 L 15 # 94

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This the term "MultiGBASE-T1" should be replace with the appropriate 802.3dm nomenclature.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the word "MultiGBASE-T1".

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.6.5 P 113 L 3 # 320

Razavi, Alireza Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status X

For the high data-rate info-field, interleavedDepth and PrecodedSet should be removed, since they are not defined for the LDR path.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.6.6 P 113 L 28 # 95

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The reference to PAM2 to PAM4 transition does not properly describe the transition from SEND_T to SEND_N for 2.5Gbps and 5Gbps.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "transmitter switches from PAM2 to PAM4" with "transmitter switches from SEND_T to SEND_N"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.6.5 P 113 L 7 # 93

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Transmitting the "PrecodeSel" and "InterleaverDepth" from PHY_S to PHY_D is meaningless, because these are requesting behaviors that are not supported in the LS_PATH. Therefore they should be removed from the HS_PATH capability list.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "PrecodeSel" and "InterleaverDepth" from Table 201-7 and the text below the table.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.6.7 P 113 L 36 # 96

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is no condition defined that would cause "When PMA_state<7:6> is greater than 01" to be true. Therefore, this whole clause is redundant.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Clause 201.5.2.6.7.

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.7 P 114 L 36 # 318
 Razavi, Alireza Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 This subclause should be rewritten.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make it similar to 201.5.2.6, with these changes: (1) remove PFC24 and DataSwPFC24 (replace with Reserved infofields); (2) remove 201.5.2.6.3; (3) remove 201.5.2.6.6.2; (4) remove CRC16 (replace with Reserved fields); (5) remove 201.5.2.6.8.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.7 P 114 L 40 # 99
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The text "All reserved fields shall be set to 0" is does not have a clear scope, but presumably applies to the "Message and PHY Capability". Specifying specific values for the Reserved bits serves no purpose and can lead to interoperability issues if receiver relies on these values having specific values.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the text "All reserved fields shall be set to 0."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.7 P 114 L 38 # 97
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Clause 201.5.2.6.4 is about Message Field, not capability bits.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove reference to 201.5.2.6.4
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.8.1 P 114 L 53 # 100
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The Auto-Negotiation must also provide methods to identify PHY_S vs PHY_D
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the text "the source of control (via link_control) and LEADER-FOLLOWER" to "the source of control (via link_control), PHY_D_PHY_S, and LEADER-FOLLOWER"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.7 P 114 L 38 # 98
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The description in this clause and Clause 201.5.2.6.5 do not take into account the asymmetric nature of the link and that the capability bits are not symmetric. All the bits in Clause 201.5.2.6.5 apply to Clause 201.5.2.7, but they do not all apply to 201.5.2.6.5.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Move the description of Capability bits under Clause 201.5.2.7, and clearly state which bits apply to the HS_PATH as well.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.8.1 P 115 L 8 # 101
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 This text and Figure 201-22 should be updated to send the PHY back to Link Sync if error is detected during training.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "SILENT state" to "TRAINING_FAILURE state"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.8.1 P 115 L 17 # 102
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The training time should be cut in half.
 SuggestedRemedy
 In tables 201-110 and 201-11, change "40" to "20" and "95.975" to "45.975"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.8.2 P 116 L 9 # 104
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The transition from TRUE to FALSE needs to be clarified for infofield_complete
 SuggestedRemedy
 The taskforce needs to discuss what the correct criteria is for transitioning infofield_complete from TRUE to FALSE, to eliminate any ambiguity in Figure 201-22
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.8.1 P 115 L 23 # 266
 Fuller, Paul Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 97ms should be 50ms
 SuggestedRemedy
 97ms should be 50ms
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.8.2 P 116 L 22 # 105
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The statement "loc_countdown_done This variable is set to FALSE when the PHY Control state diagram is in the DISABLE_TRANSMITTER state" should be reflected in Figure 201-22
 SuggestedRemedy
 Update DISABLE_TRANSMITTER state in Figure 201-22 to include "loc_countdown_done<=FALSE"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.8.1 P 115 L 36 # 267
 Fuller, Paul Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 97ms should be 50ms
 SuggestedRemedy
 97ms should be 50ms
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.8.2 P 116 L 52 # 106
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The term "Allows" is too weak, and there should also be a reference to clause 201.5.2.1, where the variable is described.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Allows reset of the PHY Control and Link Monitor state diagrams" to "Forces reset of the PHY Control and Link Monitor state diagrams (see Clause 201.5.2.1)"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.8.2 P 116 L 6 # 103
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The definition of "infield_complete" applies equally in both directions.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the text "for HS_PATH"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.8.2 P 117 L 18 # 119

Lo, William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The editorial note is correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Use the rem_countdown_done definition in the editorial note to replace the current one in lines 19-21. Delete the editorial note.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.8.2 P 117 L 42 # 148

Pandey, Sujjan Velinktech

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This value is asserted when transmission of zero symbols is to take place

SuggestedRemedy

This value is continuously asserted in case transmission of zeros is required

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.8.3 P 117 L 50 # 107

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The minwait_timer only partially affects the minimum amount of time the PHJY stays in PCS_TEST, and does not affect the time that the PHY Control stays in PCS_DATA.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "A timer used to determine the minimum amount of time the PHY Control stays in the SILENT, TRAINING, PCS_TEST, and PCS_DATA states" to "A timer used to determine the minimum amount of time the PHY Control stays in the SILENT and TRAINING states, and the minimum time it stays in PCS_TEST state before normal transition to the PCS_DATA state"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.8.4 P 118 L 5 # 108

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Figure 201-22 should be updated based on discussions in the January meeting and follow-up discussions.

SuggestedRemedy

Add new "TRAINING_FAILURE" state and have "error transitions" transition to this new state instead of "SILENT" state. Also make updates figure 201-26 to have transition from LINK_GOOD_CHECK to TRANSMIT_DISABLE when PHY Control enters TRAINING_FAILURE.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.8.4 P 118 L 24 # 122

Lo, William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Clarify intent of infofield_complete.

SuggestedRemedy

Add:
infield_complete <= FALSE as the first statement in the TRAINING and COUNTDOWN states

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.8.4 P 118 L 33 # 109

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Figure 201-22 should be updated based on discussions in the January meeting and follow-up discussions.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the transition condition from COUNTDOWN to TX_SWITCH to eliminate the infofield_complete from the PHY_D path but add it to the PHY_S path.

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.8.4 P 118 L 33 # 120

Lo, William Axonne Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

info_field_complete should also apply to the HS_PATH in the transition from COUNTDOWN to TX_SWITCH

SuggestedRemedy

Change the transition condition to:

((phy_role = PHY_S * loc_countdown_done) + (phy_role = PHY_D))* infofield_complete

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.9 P 119 L 11 # 110

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The wording "During this period" is ambiguous.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "During this period" to "While in LINK_DOWN state"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.9 P 119 L 16 # 112

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text "upon which further PHY operations can take place" is either meaningless or ambiguous.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the text "upon which further PHY operations can take place"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.9 P 119 L 115 # 111

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The wording "As soon as reliable transmission is achieved" lacks clarity

SuggestedRemedy

Change "As soon as reliable transmission is achieved" to "When pcs_data_mode is TRUE"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.10 P 120 L 4 # 195

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This section describes the link synchronization, but lacks the simple requirement that the state diagram (Figure 201-26) shall be followed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "shall establish the start of PHY PMA training as defined in 201.5.2.7." to "shall conform to the state diagram in Figure 201-26. This section describes and defines the function of Figure 201-26, the link synchronization process."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.5.2.10 P 120 L 30 # 113

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The term "SEND_S pulse" is used without any explanation what "SEND_S pulse" means.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the text "The SEND_S signal is a series of pulses." before line 30

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.10 P 120 L 33 # 136

Zherebtsov, Aleksei Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The sentence at this line is "At the FOLLOWER each DME symbol time is nominally 25.6/3 ns +1/-20%." But -20% is related to the clock frequency, not the clock period. The clock frequency offset -20% corresponds to the clock period offset +25%.

SuggestedRemedy

The sentence "At the FOLLOWER each DME symbol time is nominally 25.6/3 ns +1/-20%." shall be changed to "At the FOLLOWER each DME symbol time is nominally 25.6/3 ns +25/-1%."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.10 P 120 L 33 # 114

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The text "± 50 ppm" is not consistent with the ± 100 ppm in Table 201-4

SuggestedRemedy

Change "± 50 ppm" to "± 100 ppm"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.10 P 120 L 40 # 197

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The 5th paragraph here has shalls which duplicate what is in the state diagram. They should be replaced by descriptive text.

SuggestedRemedy

- Replace "shall output" at lines 42 and 44 with "outputs"
- Replace "shall repeat" at line 45 with "repeats"
- Replace "shall stop outputting and enter" at lines 46-47 with "stops outputting and enters"
- Replace "shall also enter" at line 48 with "enters"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.10 P 120 L 41 # 196

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The text here includes a delay for the FOLLOWER sending out a SEND_S pulse that conflicts with the state diagram. Assuming this is the intended behavior, the state diagram needs to be corrected.

SuggestedRemedy

NOTE - the below is closer but almost surely wrong - A presentation will be provided.

Add new timer to 201.5.2.10.2 (P122 L22):

send_s_delay_timer

This timer is used to delay the Follower's transmission to sending SEND_S after it has detected SEND_S from the Leader. The timer shall expire 435 +90/-10 ns after it is started.

Add new state between SIGDET_WAIT and TX_SEND_S (replacing current exit from SIGDET_WAIT to TX_SEND_S), on exit condition from SIGDET_WAIT of "send_s_sigdet"

State name: "SEND_S DELAY"

State action: start send_s_delay_timer

Exit: to TX_SEND_S on condition send_s_delay_timer_done

Like I said, a presentation will be submitted - the above is closer, but probably wrong.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.10.1 P 122 L 1 # 198

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The conditions for what TRUE and FALSE mean are contained as 'shall's' in the variable description for send_s_sigdet. Since the state diagram is one 'shall' this makes it a redundant requirement, and isn't good style.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete Text (2 sentences) from "At least 3 consecutive... to "setting this variable from TRUE to FALSE".

Change definitions of TRUE and FALSE to:

TRUE: At least 3 consecutive valid SEND_S pulses have been detected.

FALSE: No SEND_S pulses have been detected for at least 3.1 us.

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.10.1 P 122 L 2 # 115

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The description "At least 3.1µs period with no SEND_S pulses detected shall be detected before setting this variable from TRUE to FALSE" can lead to the state machine staying erroneously in the SEND_S state, in the presence of EMI pulses.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "At least 3.1µs period with no SEND_S pulses detected shall be detected before setting this variable from TRUE to FALSE" to "If less than three pulses are detected within a 5.1us period, the send_s_sigdet transitions from TRUE to FALSE"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.10.2 P 122 L 20 # 210

Abedinzadeh, Bizhan Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Link_fail_inhbit_timer be reduced to 50ms

SuggestedRemedy

Change to 50ms

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.10.2 P 122 L 211 # 116

Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The link_fail_inhibit_timer should expire after 50ms.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to line 21 "The link_fail_inhibit_timer is initialized to 50ms".

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.10.3 P 122 L 36 # 149

Pandey, Sujan Velinktech

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Transmit a zero value

SuggestedRemedy

This value is continuously asserted in case transmission of zeros is required

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.5.2.11 P 124 L 4 # 12

Long, Richard TE Connectivity

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Typo

SuggestedRemedy

Change "LS_PATEH" to "LS_PATH"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.6.1 P 124 L 34 # 184

Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia

Comment Type E Comment Status X

SuggestedRemedy

Delete Editor's note

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.6.1.1 P 126 L 17 # 252

Sakunia, Saket Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

replace "...use a reference clock provided by the measurement device" with "...use a reference clock provided by an external clock source"

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.6.1.1 P 126 L 34 # 253
 Sakunia, Saket Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 replace "...use a reference clock provided by the measurement device" with "...use a reference clock provided by an external clock source"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.6.1.1 P 127 L 35 # 254
 Sakunia, Saket Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 replace "...use a reference clock provided by the measurement device" with "...use a reference clock provided by an external clock source"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.6.1.1 P 128 L 11 # 255
 Sakunia, Saket Infineon
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 replace "...use a reference clock provided by the measurement device" with "...use a reference clock provided by an external clock source"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.6.2 P 128 L 25 # 3
 Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis; affl w/ CME Consulting, Microchip, an
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Follow IEEE Style
 IEEE Editorial Style Manual for Authors
<https://journals.ieeeauthorcenter.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/7/IEEE-Editorial-Style-Manual-for-Authors.pdf>
 Page 28 - listed in abbreviation list

2021 IEEE SA Standards Style Manual
<https://mentor.ieee.org/myproject/Public/mytools/draft/styleman.pdf>
 Page 29 - used with lowercase in an example
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "DC" with "dc" in three locations:
 P128, L25
 P136, L32
 P227, L35
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.6.2.4 P 130 L 34 # 199
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The way a PSD is measured isn't a requirement on the device, it is a requirement on the user of the standard, which is not appropriate. Measuring a device is different from whether the device is compliant...
 The same comment applies to 201.7.2.5 (LS transmitter PSD) as well, on P138
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "shall be measured" to "is measured" at P130 L34 and at P138 L18
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.6.2.6 P 133 L 3 # 84
 Jonsson, Ragnar Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 There is inconsistency between the +/-100ppm in Table 201-4 and the +/-50ppm in clause 201.6.2.6
 SuggestedRemedy
 Keep the +/-100ppm in Table 201-4 and change the +/-50ppm value in line 3 of page 133 to +/-100ppm.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.6.2.6 P 133 L 7 # 176
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 According to the IEEE Standards - draft standard template, there should not be a space between a number and the % symbol, e.g. 10%, not 10 %.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the space between "20" and "%". Remove all spaces between the number and the % symbol.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.6.2.6 P 133 L 7 # 138
 Johnson, Samuel Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 "short-term" is vague and should be specifically defined to a reasonable value
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the text to:
 "The symbol transmission rate of the FOLLOWER PHY, when running off of a free-running clock, shall be within the range 5625 x S MHz +1/-20% and, over measuring period of 1ms, frequency variation shall be less than 1% / second
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.7.1 P 136 L 2 # 185
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Change text to clarify what is sent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: When test mode 2 is enabled, the PCS shall generate a continuous pattern of 1's.
 To: When test mode 2 is enabled, the PHY shall repeatedly transmit DME encoded ones.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.7.2.1.3 P 111 L 6 # 211
 Abedinzadeh, Bizhan Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Figure 201-17 should remove restart paths from PCS_TEST/TX_SWITCH/COUNT_DOWN to SILENT.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Restart should cause restart from LINK SYNC. The included PDF shows the requested changes in state machine to allow desired transition
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.7.2.5 P 138 L 42 # 186
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The Figure was updated for the UpperPSD to go to 3500 MHz, but this was not changed in Equation 201-9.
 SuggestedRemedy
 In Equation 201-9, change 400 to 3500.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 201 SC 201.7.2.6 P 140 L 32 # 187
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change 100M to 100 Mb/s. Also on L36.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.9.2 P 144 L 12 # 201
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 149.7.2 only has descriptive text. Saying they "shall be as specified" is inappropriate.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "shall be as specified in 149.7.2." to "are described in 149.7.2."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.7.2.8 P 140 L 52 # 200
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The way rise time is measured isn't a requirement on the device, but an inappropriate requirement on the user. Here though, the measurement point and test mode are part of the definition of the requirement.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "shall be measured" to "is defined as measured"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.10.1.5 P 145 L 2 # 13
 Long, Richard TE Connectivity
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Use piecewise equation here instead of text
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the limits from the text and place them in a piecewise equation
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.8.2.2 P 121 L 8 # 251
 Sakunia, Saket Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Comment 240 draft 0.a. Update: Transmitter Linearity test. Test Mode 4 measurement is impacted by presence of PoC/PoDL components. The measurement method needs to be updated to account for presence of PoC/PoDL components
 SuggestedRemedy
 Comment 240 draft 0.a. Update resolution: The method used calculate the Transmitter Linearity needs to introduce a High Pass Filter corresponding to the High Pass corner of the PoC/PoDL circuit .
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 201 SC 201.10.2.1 P 145 L 16 # 15
 Long, Richard TE Connectivity
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Add PSANEXT formula and explanation text similar to 202.8.2.1
 SuggestedRemedy
 Copy page 240, lines 6 - 18 and place here, change frequency range to 3 MHz to 4000 MHz in added text.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.10.2.1 P 145 L 22 # 14
 Long, Richard TE Connectivity
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Error in equation
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change PSANEXT equation to what is shown on slide 3 of
https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0126/Boyer-Sharma_3dm_01a_0126.pdf (i.e. remove
 "75" and "80" from the formula)
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.14 P 151 L 1 # 202
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/ADI,APL Gp, Cisco, Infineon, OnSe
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The PHY link includes the medium. The specification is only on the PHY sublayer
 processing. This impacts both the spec on line 1 (HS_PATH) and line 5 (LS_PATH).
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "PHY link" to "PHY (local XGMII to remote XGMII link delay minus the link segment
 propagation delay)" in lines 1 and 5.
 Delete the NOTE on line 9 (in its entirety).
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.10.2.2 P 146 L 1 # 17
 Long, Richard TE Connectivity
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Add PSAACRF formula and explanation text similar to 202.8.2.2
 SuggestedRemedy
 Copy page 241, lines 3 - 21 and place here, change frequency range to 3 MHz to 4000
 MHz in added text.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.14 P 151 L 10 # 125
 Lo, William Axonne Inc.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Add a pointer to see Annex 201
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add following Sentence:
 See Annex 201 for informative guidance on the allocation of delay between the transmit
 and receive portions of the PHY.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.10.2.2 P 146 L 9 # 16
 Long, Richard TE Connectivity
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Error in equation
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change PSAACRF equation to what is shown on slide 3 of
https://www.ieee802.org/3/dm/public/0126/Boyer-Sharma_3dm_01a_0126.pdf (i.e. remove
 "75" and "80" from the formula)
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.14 P 151 L 26 # 268
 Fuller, Paul Infineon
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Delay should be 10us and 2 Pause Quanta
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delay should be 10us and 2 Pause Quanta
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 201 SC 201.14 P 151 L 27 # 124
 Lo, William Axonne Inc.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Replace TBD values
 SuggestedRemedy
 512, 1, 5120
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 202 SC 202 P 155 L 3 # 257
 Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Add support for 100M+7.5GBASE-T1/V1 and 7.5G+100mBASE-T1/V1
 SuggestedRemedy
 Apply the updates from Gorshe-7d5G_8023-202-d0pb.docx, with editorial license.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.14 P 151 L 27 # 156
 Wienckowski, Natalie IVN Solutions LLC / Ethernovia
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: 100M_MultiGBAE-T1/V1
 To: 100M+MultiGBAE-T1/V1
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 202 SC 202.1 P 155 L 18 # 258
 Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Add an overview description
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete the Editor's note and add the following summary paragraph ahead of 202.1.1 (with Editor's license): "The clause 202 MultiG+100MBASE/100MBASE+MultiG-T1/V1 PCS is optimized to support a single, flexible PHY with multiple rate options. It features baud rate commonality across all high-speed and low-speed PHY types. This commonality includes using the same TDD cycle for all PHY combinations (see 202.3), as well as the same base FEC with different shortening parameters for the high-speed and low-speed directions."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 201 SC 201.14 P 151 L 32 # 126
 Lo, William Axonne Inc.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Remove editor's note with Annex 201
 SuggestedRemedy
 See Lo_3dm_Annex201.pdf
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 202 SC 202 P 155 L 3 # 256
 Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Add support for 100M+1GBASE-T1/V1 and 1G+100mBASE-T1/V1
 SuggestedRemedy
 Apply the updates from Gorshe-1G_8023-202-d0pb.docx, with editorial license.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.1.1 P 156 L 20 # 5

Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis; affl w/ CME Consulting, Microchip, an

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Don't need to have balanced twice in the line for -T1.

The information in parenthesis is "in other words", so "i.e.," should be used.

The double parenthesis bothers the Commenter. :-)

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "-T1 represents a single shielded balanced pair of conductors (differential (balanced))"

With, "-T1 represents a single shielded balanced pair of conductors (i.e., differential)"

Replace, "-V1 represents a single coaxial cable (single-ended (unbalanced))"

With, "-V1 represents a single-ended coaxial cable (i.e., unbalanced)"

Grant Editor's License to make this same change in other clauses.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.1.1 P 156 L 45 # 1

Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis; affl w/ CME Consulting, Microchip, an

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Introduce -T1, -V1, and -T1/V1 as shorthand for when talking about MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1, MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-V1, and MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1, respectively.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1" with "MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1 or -T1"

Replace, "MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-V1" with "MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-V1 or -V1"

Replace, "MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1" with "MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1 or -T1/V1"

Grant Editor's License to make this same change in other clauses.

When speaking about the link segment or the MDI (but not the PHY), Grant Editors license to search for "MultiG+100M/100M and MultiGBASE-T1/V1" and "MultiG+100M/100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1" and replace with "-T1/V1" as appropriate.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.1.3 P 158 L 3 # 213

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Since Clause 98 AN support is not defined, remove "Technology Dependent Interface" from this and other diagrams and text, and remove the PMA_Link.indication (link_status) and PMA_Link.request(link_control). Link_control and link_status are internal to the PHY or management connected.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "Technology Dependent Interface (optional)", the dashed line below it, and the PMA_Link.* signal connections to the dashed line from Figure 202-1

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.1.3 P 158 L 50 # 218
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Typically similar clauses have a note indicating the recovered_clock can be used for looptiming.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Below Figure 202-1 add:
 NOTE 1—The recovered_clock arc is shown to indicate delivery of the received clock signal back the PMA TRANSMIT for loop timing.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.2.1 P 163 L 2 # 214
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Since Clause 98 AN support is not defined, remove "Technology Dependent Interface" from this and other diagrams and text, and remove the PMA_Link.indication (link_status) and PMA_Link.request(link_control). Link_control and link_status are internal to the PHY or management connected.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete "Technology Dependent Interface (optional)", the dashed line below it, and the PMA_Link.* signal connections to the dashed line from Figure 202-2
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.2.1 P 162 L 39 # 237
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The following primitives were defined, but are not used and no longer needed, so can be removed from this section and from the diagrams.
 PMA_TX_TDD_ACTIVE.indication(tx_tdd_active)
 PMA_RX_TDD_ACTIVE.indication(rx_tdd_active)
 PMA_TX_ON.request(tx_on)
 PMA_RX_ON.request(rx_on)
 SuggestedRemedy
 1. Remove:
 PMA_TX_TDD_ACTIVE.indication(tx_tdd_active)
 PMA_RX_TDD_ACTIVE.indication(rx_tdd_active)
 PMA_TX_ON.request(tx_on)
 PMA_RX_ON.request(rx_on)
 from the list of primitives.
 2. Remove the deleted primitives from all diagrams
 3. Delete the subclauses defining these primitives 202.2.1.10, 202.2.1.11, 202.2.1.12, and 202.2.1.13.
 4. Delete related variables tx_tdd_active, rx_tdd_active, rx_on, tx_on in sections 202.3.7.2.2 and 202.4.4.1.
 5. Remove tx_tdd_active from Figure 202-26
 6. Remove the editor's notes that are in the sections being deleted which noted these primitives were TBD/redundant.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.2.1.3.1 P 165 L 28 # 270
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 comma after "tx_symb"
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "tx_symb the value" to "tx_symb, the value"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.2.1.3.1 P 165 L 31 # 271
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 wording
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "10 Gb/s mode's data payload" to "10G+100MBASE-T1/V1"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.2.1.4.2 P 166 L 10 # 273
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The editor's note can be removed as the description had been reviewed and updated during last comment resolution (#142).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove editor's note.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.2.1.14.3 P 172 L 13 # 276
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 wording
 SuggestedRemedy
 change: "Used by TDD monitor and PHYC control state diagram."
 to: "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 202-26."
 Remove editor's note.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.2.1.7 P 167 L 29 # 274
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 align with 149.2.2.7
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove "When the 100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1 PHY starts Asymmetric training or enters the PCS_TEST state, loc_rcvr_status can be set to NOT_OK."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.2.1.31 P 165 L 32 # 272
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 as in Editor's Note: only describes normal operation, not training
 SuggestedRemedy
 change: "in training mode and in normal operation for all refresh header, 2.5Gb/s mode, and 5Gb/s mode data payloads."
 to: "in normal operation for 100M+MultiGBASE-T1/V1, 2.5G+100MBASE-T1/V1, and 5G+100MBASE-T1/V1."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.2.1.14.2 P 172 L 6 # 275
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 add text
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add text: "PMA Receive generates PMA_DET_LP_BURST.indication messages to indicate a change in detect_lp_burst."
 Remove editor's note.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.2 P 173 L 19 # 222
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The rx_oam_field and tx_oam_field don't need to be defined in this diagram as they differ for each PHY. Removing <TBD> in Figure 202-3 is consistent with Figure 202-1.
 SuggestedRemedy
 In Figure 202-3 delete "<TBD>" in 2 places following rx_oam_field and tx_oam_field.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2 P 174 L 9 # 240
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The PCS Payload Scrambler is more correctly called the PCS Scrambler.
 SuggestedRemedy
 In Figure 202-4 change "PCS Payload Scrambler" to "PCS Scrambler"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.16 P 183 L 25 # 18
 Long, Richard TE Connectivity
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Reed-Soloman" to "Reed-Solomon"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2 P 174 L 9 # 239
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Equation (202-4) defines Cn as the scrambled header data stream, but it is missing from Figure 202-4. An is produced during the data region, Cn is similarly produced during the refresh header region, so can add the Cn label at the same place as An. To be more explicit there could be a separate refresh header scrambler that produces Cn, and additional muxing, but this likely complicates the drawing without adding much clarity.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change An in Figure 202-4 to "An/Cn".
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.16 P 184 L 15 # 223
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 It should be noted that the formation of tx_Rsmessage is given for L=1 and is different for L=2, 4 due to the interleaving that precedes the FEC encoder(s).
 SuggestedRemedy
 1. Delete editor's note preceding text
 2. Replace sentence with:
 tx_RSmessage<975:0> prior to RS-FEC(128,122) encoder is formed as follows when L=1 (refer to 202.3.2.2.14 and 202.3.2.2.15 when L=2, 4):
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2 P 174 L 9 # 238
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The MUX block in the lower left area of Figure 202-4 is not required since there is no training performed in PAM4 mode and there is no source of Trn[1]; Dn[1] can go directly to the XOR to produce Bn as per (202-6).
 SuggestedRemedy
 As per comment remove the MUX and associated input wires in Figure 202-4 bottom left area, replace the output of the mux with Dn[1].
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.16 P 185 L 39 # 277
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Rows 7 and 8 of Table 202-4 can be removed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove the last two rows of Table 202-4
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.16 P 193 L 32 # 224

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

LEADER and FOLLOWER are not relevant to the FEC encoding, but speed is, so delete the LEADER/FOLLOWER terms and replace with the appropriate speed.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace: k = 124 is adopted for the 100M+MultiG BASE-T1/V1 LEADER and k = 122 is adopted for the 100M+MultiG BASE-T1/V1 FOLLOWER.

with: k = 124 is adopted for the LS direction and k = 122 is adopted for the HS direction.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.17 P 185 L 47 # 244

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The scrambler used in Equation (202-4) should be clarified that it's the PRBS-11.

SuggestedRemedy

Add: DSn[0] in Equation (202-4) is produced using the scrambler defined in 202.3.4.1.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.17 P 185 L 50 # 243

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Equation (202-4) should define when each equation is to be used. The upper equation is used when tx_mode != SEND_N, lower equation is used when tx_mode = SEND_N. See similar conditions in Equation (202-5).

SuggestedRemedy

After the upper equation add the condition "tx_mode != SEND_N". After the lower equation add the condition "tx_mode = SEND_N".

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.17 P 186 L 15 # 241

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type E Comment Status X

202-6 should be a cross-reference to Equation (202-6).

SuggestedRemedy

Format cross-reference appropriately.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.17 P 186 L 22 # 242

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Equation (202-6) is only used when tx_mode=SEND_N.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to equation the condition that tx_mode=SEND_N.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.17 P 186 L 24 # 245

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The scramblers used in Equations (202-5) and (202-6) should be clarified.

SuggestedRemedy

Add: DSn in Equations (202-5) and Equation (202-6) is produced using the scrambler defined in 202.3.4.2.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.19 P 186 L # 139

Zerna, Conrad NXP

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This is a carry-over from ch. Not needed in TDD.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove subsection 202.3.2.2.19

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.2.19 P 186 L 51 # 278
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 wording
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "The PCS transmit" to "The 10G+100MBASE-T1/V1 PCS transmit"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.3 P 191 L 51 # 280
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Test mode 7 is now described in 202.5.1.
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove "(TBD)" and Editor's Note
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.3 P 188 L 29 # 279
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 For "40 consecutive RS-FEC frame errors", there is no difference between LEADER and FOLLOWER.
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove "(TBD)" and Editor's Note
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.7.2.2 P 200 L 27 # 281
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 These two sentences can be removed because it is unexpected copied from 202.3.2.3 (line 28 on page 188).
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove "If 40 (TBD) consecutive RS-FEC frame errors are detected, the block_lock flag is de-asserted. The block_lock flag is re-asserted upon detection of a valid RS-FEC frame"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.2.3 P 190 L 40 # 246
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 The last symbol (index 511) in the RS-FEC (512 symbols) block of Figure 202-11 should be PAM4 instead of PAM2.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change PAM2511 to PAM4511
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.7.2.2 P 200 L 32 # 282
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 align with 149.3.7.2.2
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove "(TBD)"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 202 SC 202.3.7.2.2 P 200 L 36 # 283
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 lp_low_snr is originally for LPI refresh in 802.3ch. It can be removed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove the variable "lp_low_snr"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 202 SC 202.3.7.2.4 P 203 L 22 # 287
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 "R_TYPE_NEXT" is used in Figure 202-21.
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove "(TBD)"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 202 SC 202.3.7.2.2 P 200 L 40 # 284
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 period is missed
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "See 202.4.4.1" to "See 202.4.4.1."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 202 SC 202.3.7.2.4 P 203 L 48 # 288
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 802.3ch has this function (T_TYPE_NEXT), but it is not used in any figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove the function "T_TYPE_NEXT"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 202 SC 202.3.7.2.2 P 201 L 27 # 285
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 wording
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "in user-defined timeout period (usually 3~5 TDD cycles - TBD)." to "in 3 TDD cycles."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 202 SC 202.3.7.3 P 204 L 21 # 219
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The Transmit state diagram is only in Figure 202-20. Figure 202-21 is the Receive state diagram.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: The PCS 64B/65B Transmit state diagram shown in Figure 202-20 and Figure 202-21 controls the
 To: The PCS 64B/65B Transmit state diagram shown in Figure 202-20 controls the
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 202 SC 202.3.7.2.3 P 202 L 7 # 286
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The timer, Rfer_timer, is redundant.
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove "Rfer_timer (TBD)"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.3.7.3 P 204 L 27 # 220

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Add text explaining the Receive state diagram is in Figure 202-21 and missing from 802.3-2022 p 5999 modified for Clause 202.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert: The PCS 64B/65B Receive state diagram is shown in Figure 202-21 and controls the decoding of 65B received blocks. It makes exactly one transition for each receive block processed.

The PCS shall perform the functions of RFER monitor, Transmit, and Receive as specified in these state diagrams.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.7.3 P 207 L 30 # 221

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The transition to RX_E from the encircled E is leftover from Clause 149 diagrams related to EEE and should be deleted now.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the encircled "E" and arrow right above the RX_E state from Figure 202-21.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.8.1 P 208 L 22 # 289

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Both Rx TDD indication and TxTDD indication are not used and thus can be removed.

SuggestedRemedy

remove "Rx TDD indication" and "TxTDD indication"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.3.9 P 208 L 41 # 259

Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Add text for this sub-clause

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the Editors note and add the following text: "As specified for MultiGBASE-T1 PHYs in 149.3.9. OAM involves both HS_PATH and LS_PATH. The 10-bit symbols are inserted one per TDD burst into the OAM fields in the HS_PATH and LS_PATH. OAM bits beyond the first 10 per burst are reserved."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.1 P 209 L 43 # 216

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is a remaining floating line input to Link Monitor and PHY Control that was formerly driven by sync_link_control in Figure 149-26 which should be deleted from this diagram.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the floating arrows/line below Link Monitor and PHY Control in Figure 202-22. After this change and removal of PMA_LINK.request there are no inputs into the bottom of the Link Monitor and PHY Control blocks.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.1 P 209 L 44 # 215

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Since Clause 98 AN support is not defined, remove "Technology Dependent Interface" from this and other diagrams and text, and remove the PMA_Link.indication (link_status) and PMA_Link.request(link_control). Link_control and link_status are internal to the PHY or management connected.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "Technology Dependent Interface (optional)", the dashed line beside it, and the PMA_Link.* signal connections to the dashed line from Figure 202-22

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.1 P 210 L 23 # 290
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 to align with 802.3ch and 802.3cy
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove "(50 ms TBD)"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.2 P 210 L 41 # 228
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Update cross reference from 202.x.2.3 to to 202.5.2.3
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change 202.x.2.3 to 202.5.2.3
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.2 P 210 L 30 # 225
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 This sentence is accurate at this point so can remove "(TBD)"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete (TBD)
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.2.1 P 210 L 46 # 291
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 to align with 802.3bp, 802.3ch and 802.3cy
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove "(TBD)"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.2 P 210 L 35 # 226
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Update cross reference from 202.x.2 to 202.5.2
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change 202.x.2 to 202.5.2
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.3 P 211 L 1 # 260
 Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Use the current value
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the TBD
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.2 P 210 L 39 # 227
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Update cross reference from 202.x.2.3 to to 202.5.2.3
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change 202.x.2.3 to 202.5.2.3
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.3 P 211 L 1 # 235
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 It has been confirmed that this is the correct RFER to achieve the target post-FEC BER and FLR, so TBD can be removed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove (TBD)
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.3 P 211 L 2 # 236
 Muma, Scott Microchip
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 This is true for both link segments, so refer to both.
 SuggestedRemedy
 P211L2: meeting the requirements of 202.7 for -T1 and 202.8 for -V1.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.4 P 212 L 41 # 294
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 wording (many places need to be changed, e.g., lines 41-43 on page 212, Table 202-9 on page 213, etc.)
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "Training_phase" to "training_phase"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4 P 211 L 35 # 292
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 wording
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove "(TBD)"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.4 P 213 L 1 # 295
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 For Table 202-9:
 1. Since training_phase<4:3> has 2-bits, its expression should be updated.
 2. Since there are total 3 reserved bits, i.e., reserved<2:0>, the last column is redundant.
 SuggestedRemedy
 1. change "Training_phase" to "training_phase"
 2. for the 3rd column: change "0" to "00" and change "1" to "01"
 3. remove the 7th column
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.3 P 212 L 25 # 293
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 wording
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove "(TBD)"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.5 P 213 L 21 # 261
 Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Agreement has been reached that this is a multi-rate PHY that may support any combination of rates, including a single rate.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the Editor's note and add the following text at the beginning of 202.4.2.4.5 (with Editor's license): "This is a multi-rate PHY that may support any combination of bit rates including a single bit rate. The Leader is configured via management control for the data rate it will use to communicate with the Follower and the data rate that it expects the Follower to use. The information field PHY capability and negotiated speed (data rate) bits of the information field are used to check for misconfiguration."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.3 P 212 L 30 # 19
 Long, Richard TE Connectivity
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 Burst should not be capitalized, also page 216 line 46
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.5 P 214 L 1 # 296

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

wording: remove "BASE-T1/V1" and add period

SuggestedRemedy

change: "The optional BASE-T1/V1 OAM capability shall be enabled only if both PHYs set the capability bit OAMen=1"

to: "The optional OAM capability shall be enabled only if both PHYs set the capability bit OAMen=1."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.5 P 214 L 4 # 297

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Precoder is only available for 10G mode.

SuggestedRemedy

change: "PrecodeSel indicates the requested precoder."

to: "PrecodeSel indicates the requested precoder, available for 10G only."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.6 P 214 L 18 # 298

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The bit ordering needs to be modified according to Editor's Note and for supporting larger IBG.

SuggestedRemedy

change:

"Oct<1:0>= Reserved. Oct<2>= delay_count_valid. Oct<7:3>= delay_count<4:0>."

to:

"Oct<0>= delay_count_valid. Oct<1:6>= delay_count<5:0>. Oct<7>= Reserved."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.6 P 214 L 37 # 189

Chini, Ahmad Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Not a correct statement. delay counter is used in other states as well

Note—The TDD delay_counter fields and PHY capability bits field are only defined during symmetric training

TRAINING0 state, but not defined in other states.

TDD delay counter is only defined during the symmetric training phase, when

PMA_state<7:6>=00. The

initial value shall be set to 0.

SuggestedRemedy

remove the Note and the paragraph after that.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.6 P 214 L 49 # 299

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The TDD delay counter now has 6 bits.

SuggestedRemedy

change "0 to 31 (TBD)" to "0 to 63"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.7 P 215 L 12 # 300

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

PhaseSwBC24 has a range (line 22: 16 ~ 256) related to the BC24.

SuggestedRemedy

remove Editor's Note

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.7 P 215 L 26 # 301
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 wording
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove "(TBD)"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.11 P 216 L 51 # 302
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 LEADER determines the speed
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "will be determined (TBD)." to "will be determined by LEADER."
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.11 P 217 L 5 # 303
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Since the IBG is updated, 106.66ns should be changed to 176ns. For more flexible, consider to add a range for it, e.g., ± 8 ns.
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "106.66 ns - delay_count x 5.33 ns" to "within the range 176 ns - delay_count x 5.33 ns ± 8 ns"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.11 P 217 L 5 # 188
 Chini, Ahmad Broadcom
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The value 106.66 ns, has to be updated since IBG increased to 176 in the latest draft.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace
 106.66 ns
 with
 176ns
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.2.4.11 P 217 L 10 # 304
 Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 to align with 98.5.2
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "50 ms (TBD)" to "97.5 ms"
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.3.1 P 217 L 39 # 262
 Gorshe, Steve Microchip Technology
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Update the MDI section to reference clause 149
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the Editor's note. Replace the current text with: 202.4.3.1 MDI, T1 The MDI signals are as specified in 149.4.3, with the following exceptions: 1) The 2.5Gb/s signaling uses PAM2 instead of PAM4. 2) The 5Gb/s signaling uses PAM2 instead of PAM4. 202.4.3.2 MDI, V1 The MDI signals are as specified in 149.4.3, with the following exceptions: 1) The signals are single ended instead of differential. 2) The 2.5Gb/s signaling uses PAM2 instead of PAM4. 3) The 5Gb/s signaling uses PAM2 instead of PAM4.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.4.4.1 P 218 L 51 # 217

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

PMA_LINK.indication primitive is not defined and should be removed from this description of link_status.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: The link_status parameter set by PMA Link Monitor state diagram and communicated through the PMA_LINK.indication primitive.

To: The link_status parameter set by PMA Link Monitor state diagram.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.4.1 P 219 L 16 # 305

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The variable, loc_SNR_margin, is redundant.

SuggestedRemedy

remove "loc_SNR_margin"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.4.2 P 221 L 7 # 306

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

to align with 98.5.2

SuggestedRemedy

change "50 ms (TBD)" to "97.5 ms"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.4.2 P 221 L 16 # 307

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

to align with 802.3ch and 802.3cy

SuggestedRemedy

change "500 us (TBD)" to "975 us"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.4.5 P 222 L 38 # 308

Wang, Frank Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

wording for Figure 202-26 and 202-27

SuggestedRemedy

1. change "pma_state" to "PMA_state"
2. change "training_phase <= 0" to "training_phase <= 00"
3. change "training_phase <= 1" to "training_phase <= 01"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.5 P 225 L 225 # 212

Abdinzadeh, Bizhan Infineon

Comment Type E Comment Status X

It is stated that when test mode 4 is enabled in PAM2 mode the PHY shall transmit sequence of ... In 10G mode training/data is PAM2/PAM4. I think the intention is not 10G Pam2 training

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest making the following change. Line 26 change PAM2 to 5G/2.5G speed , and line 28 change PAM4 to 10G speed

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.5.1.1 P 226 L 40 # 231

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Test fixture 1 can be used to make all the measurements that test fixtures 3 and 4 enable, without requiring a wideband balun. Combining test fixtures/setups to all use test fixture 1 for -T1 is more efficient.

SuggestedRemedy

1. Delete Figures 202-31 and 202-32.
2. Update caption of Figure 202-29 to "Transmitter test fixture 1 for -T1 transmitter droop, transmitter linearity, power spectral density, transmit power level, and MDI jitter measurements"
3. Editorial license to update "test fixture 3" and "test fixture 4" to "test fixture 1", and update Figure cross-references for deleted figures to point to Figure 202-29.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.5.2.4 P 229 L 47 # 229

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Test mode 5 definition has been improved so the editor's note and redundant definition of test mode 5 here can be removed.

SuggestedRemedy

1. Delete editor's note on lines 42-46.
2. Delete the paragraph on lines 47-49 and replace with: "The following measurements are performed in test mode 5.

Using the same test fixture as will be used for PSD measurement, the measured transmit power shall be in the range specified in Table 202-15."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.5.2.4 P 232 L 25 # 230

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The -V1 measurement should use test fixture 5 in Figure 2-233.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: test fixture 4 (see Figure 202-32)
To: test fixture 5 (see Figure 202-33)

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.5.2.5 P 232 L 33 # 190

Chini, Ahmad Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The specification uses Should for T1 and Shall for V1.

SuggestedRemedy

Use Shall for both T1 and V1.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.5.3.2 P 233 L 31 # 191

Chini, Ahmad Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

No text is provided for this sub clause

SuggestedRemedy

Use the text and diagrams in Chini-3dm_01a_0226 and update the table and figure numbers to 202.??

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.6 P 233 L 49 # 232

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Support for auto-negotiation over coaxial cables is not defined in Clause 98. Clause 202 provides other means to determine speed and direction through management and/or startup negotiation. So delete references to Clause 98 auto-negotiation.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete editor's note at start of 202.6 and delete ", and the communication and self-configuration functions provided by the optional (TBD) Auto-Negotiation (see Clause 98)"

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

Cl 202 SC 202.7.2 P 236 L 4 # 141
 Zerna, Conrad NXP
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Text is missing
 SuggestedRemedy
 Copy from section 202.8.2
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 202 SC 202.7.2.1 P 236 L 10 # 142
 Zerna, Conrad NXP
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Missing limit
 SuggestedRemedy
 Copy from section 202.8.2.1
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 202 SC 202.7.2.2 P 236 L 16 # 143
 Zerna, Conrad NXP
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Missing limit
 SuggestedRemedy
 Copy from section 202.8.2.2
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 202 SC 202.8.1.1 P 237 L 6 # 140
 Zerna, Conrad NXP
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The $-0.05\sqrt{f}$ term is wrong.
 Multiply the 15 into the formula, it is not typical for 802.3
 SuggestedRemedy
 Take formula from
https://iee802.org/3/dm/public/0125/Zerna_802.3dm_01_250122_IL_RL.pdf, page 6
 (green line in the graph is limit line)
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 202 SC 202.8.1.5 P 239 L 8 # 144
 Zerna, Conrad NXP
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Limit line is for pure cable only and too strict for link assembly
 SuggestedRemedy
 Adopt limit for link segment including connectors, not only cable.
 Relax limits by 6dB over the entire frequency range
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 202 SC 202.11 P 156 L 18 # 4
 Maguire, Valerie Copperopolis; affl w/ CME Consulting, Microchip, an
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 Just saying "X+Y" is a little unclear. Suggest to add an example.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace, "represents the transmit and receive bit rates in the PHY name, where X is the transmit bit rate and Y is the receive bit rate"
 with, "Replace, "represents the transmit and receive bit rates in the PHY name, where X is the transmit bit rate and Y is the receive bit rate (e.g., for 100M+2.5GBASE-T1, X = 100 Mb/s and Y = 2.5 Gb/s)"
 Grant Editor's License to make this same change in other clauses
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3dm D0.a Asymmetrical Electrical Automotive Ethernet 2nd Task Force review comments

CI 202 SC 202.12 P 247 L 13 # 234

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Given the TDD cycle is 9600ns the LS_PATH delay may require margin. Recommend increasing by 1 pause quanta for margin.

SuggestedRemedy

Change bit times to 1536, Pause Quanta to 3, and Delay to 15360 in Table 202-19 for the row with the LS_PATH values.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 202 SC 202.12 P 247 L 13 # 233

Muma, Scott Microchip

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The Mode column has the speed in different nomenclature than the rest of the document.

SuggestedRemedy

Editorial license to update Mode to 100M+MultiGBASE, 2.5G+100MBASE, 5G+100MBASE, and 10G+100MBASE nomenclature consistent with other usage in clause 202.

Proposed Response Response Status O