OAM Scope and Requirements

Matt Squire
Hatteras Networks
msquire@hatterasnetworks.com

IEEE EFM Raleigh, NC 1/2002

Loopback Requirements

- LB1. EFM OAM must support a ping test
- LB2. EFM PHYs should support a frame level loopback mode. Issues of asymmetric data rates and P2MP must be addressed.
- LB3. All loopback functions must be controllable both locally and remotely.
- LB4. All looopback functions must prevent user data from being echoed back to the user.
- LB5. EFM OAM must include mechanisms to prevent a station from staying in loopback mode indefinitely.

^{*} LB3-LB5 are dependent on LB2.

Link Monitoring Requirements

- LM1. EFM OAM must support the asynchronous reporting of a minimal set of variables.
- LM2. It must be possible to extend the report to include other 802.3 defined variables.
- LM3. EFM OAM must support event notification with that permits the inclusion of diagnostic data.
- LM4. EFM MACs should be able to sense imminent power failure and use event notification to indicate imminent failure to a peer.

RFI Requirements

- RF1. EFM PHYs must support limited unidirectional operation.
- RF2. When operating unidirectionally, only OAM can be transmitted (no user data), and no received data will be passed to thru the MAC interface as user data.
- RF3. EFM OAM must include a mechanism to indicate to a peer that the receive path of the local device is broken.

Miscellaneous/Other Reqts

- MO1. EFM OAM must provide a general communications mechanism for EFM OAM purposes, and one that can be made available to higher layer management applications. EFM OAM must provide a multiplexing capability to support multiple higher level applications.
- MO2. Implementing EFM OAM in EFM MAC/PHYs is required. Activating EFM OAM is not required.
- MO3. EFM OAM must support both peer-to-peer and master-slave models.
- MO4. A procedure is required which (at a minimum) (a) performs capability discovery, and (b) authorizes OAM communications between endpoints.

Non-Requirements

- NR1. Anything outside of a single link (station management, monitoring of CPE-sided links, etc.) is not part of EFM OAM. Note that such functions could be addressed within vendor specific extensions running above the generic communications channel (MO1).
- NR2. Anything required for the operation of the link should not be part of OAM (including EPON bandwidth allocation, copper rate adaptation, speed/duplex negotiations, etc.).

Major Contention

- What are allowable effects of OAM on the link, especially in terms of user data traffic vs OAM on the wire?
 - OAM can have no impact on user data rates
 - OAM can have limited impact on user data rates