Baseline Proposal EFM\_PHY\_rev 0.3

Behrooz Rezvani, Ikanos communications, editor 802.3ah Michael Beck, Alcatel, co-author Steven Haas, Infineon, co-author

#### Supporters for Ethernet over VDSL

- Hugh Barrass, Cisco systems, Chair 802.3ah Copper Sub Task Force
- Behrooz Rezvani, Ikanos Communications, Editor IEEE 802.3ah
- Michael Beck, Alcatel
- Steven Haas, Infineon
- Craig Easley, Extreme Networks, President EFMA

### Table of Contents EFM\_PHY\_r0.3

- Arriving to EoVDSL
- Architectural and protocol requirements
  - Architectural description PHY interfaces
- PHY rate matching
- VDSL\_PHY specifications
  - Referenced ANSI, ETSI and ITU-T documents
- OA&M
- Referenced band plans
- Simulation and conformance test criteria
- Summary and conclusion
- Appendix: additional work to complement VDSL



Section 1.0

### Arriving to EoVDSL Goals

#### Specify a PHY which is compliant with

- IEEE 802.3 Architecture
- and which covers all the objectives of the EFM Task Force (Cu track):
  - 10Mbps full duplex on a single pair @ 750 meters
  - Spectrally Compatible WW
  - Optional Multi-pair Mode
- Additionally leverages ITU-T G.995.1 Reference Layered Protocol Architecture

Arriving to EoVDSL Principles

- When applicable to EFM, take text from existing standards "as is".
- Add specifications for parts that are not standardized elsewhere.

### Arriving at EoVDSL

Standardized VDSL as basis for EFM-Copper PHY

This presentation provides the details and open issues:

- How standards-based VDSL can be used as the basis for 802.3ah PHY
  - Sublayers can be taken, from ANSI/ETSI/ITU standards for VDSL
  - Changes/additions need to be defined by the EFM in order to create a definition that meets public network standards and IEEE PAR objectives
- VDSL is standardized in:
  - ETSI TS10127001 (requirements) and TS10127002 (specification)
  - T1E1's draft trial use standard <LB941-D>
  - ITU's G.993.1

Architectural and Protocol Requirements

Section 2.0

#### Architectural and Protocol Requirements Overall interfaces



#### Architectural and Protocol Requirements IEEE 802.3 (10/100 Mbps)



MAC Control (Optional)

MAC – Media Access Control

Reconciliation



"Minimal changes" are allowed here if necessary.

The approved PAR authorizes the EFM Task Force to specify these layers.

#### Architectural and Protocol Requirements ITU-T G.995.1 reference model



The red blocks have been specified for different media/service requirements. The green blocks have been declared "out of scope" by ITU-T, and can be used for EFM. Architectural and Protocol Requirements Merged Model



#### Architectural and Protocol Requirements PMS-TC/PMD



#### Architectural and Protocol Requirements PMS-TC/PMD ITU-T G.993 Transceiver Specifications

#### G.993.1 VDSL

- VDSL technical foundation
- Different regional band plans approved by ITU-T.
- At this time, the Recommendation does not yet specify the modulation method.
- A pointer to the physical layers of the T1E1.4 Trial Use standard is appropriate.
- Specifies TPS-TC sublayers

Architectural and Protocol Requirements PMS-TC/PMD ITU-T G.99x reference documents

- G.994.1 "Handshaking Procedures for DSL Transceivers"
- G.995.1 "Overview of DSL Recommendations"
- G.996.1 "Test Procedures for DSL Transceivers"
- G.997.1 "Physical Layer Management for DSL Transceivers"

#### Architectural and Protocol Requirements PTM-TC



#### Architectural and Protocol Requirements PTM-TC description

- Transmit PTM-TC Layer performs HDLC encapsulation
  - Byte stuffing mode
  - 0x7E Bytes are inserted between packets
  - CRC-16
- Receive PTM-TC Layer performs decapsulation
  - Every received packet is sent to the Packet Entity, an error signal is provided at the end of the packet (OK/CRC/abort)
- Interfaces
  - With the physical layer:  $\alpha/\beta$ -interface
  - With the Packet Entity:  $\gamma$ -interface (PTM-TC controls the flow)

Architectural and Protocol Requirements PTM-TC References

- Architecture and γ-interface
  - ITU-T Annex H /G.993.1
  - ITU-T Liaison Letter SC-097R2.pdf

Architectural and Protocol Requirements Ethernet-over-VDSL Adaptation Layer



EoVDSL PHY Rate Matching and loop aggregation

Section 3.0

EoVDSL PHY Rate Matching References, separate baseline propsoals

- Flow Control
- MII: Arthur Marris' proposal marris\_1\_0302
  - Aggregation
- fosmark\_1\_0302.pdf. It will be covered in a separate baseline proposal

# EoVDSL PHY Rate Matching and loop aggregation

Reference Model for Rate Matching and Loop Aggregation



# **EoVDSL Specifications**

Section 4.0

#### **EoVDSL Specifications** VDSL reference model



- The VDSL reference model, chapter 5.1 VDSL-Part 1/T1E1.4
  - There are 2 types of devices:
    - VTU-O: The master device, located in the switch/line card
    - VTU-R: The slave device, located in customer's premises (such as a NIC or CPE)
  - Service splitter allows the loop to be shared with POTS or BR-ISDN
- The VTU-R (NT) resembles the VTU-O except:
  - Network timing reference (NTR) is an output
  - Device acts has a slave link state machine and is controlled by the VTU-O

### **EoVDSL Specifications**

The PMD layer (T1E1.4 VDSL Part 1, section 8)

- Two line codes are referenced by section 8 of ANSI's part 1:
  - QAM PMD is defined in part 2 of VDSL spec (T1E1.4)
  - DMT PMD is defined in part 3 of VDSL spec (T1E1.4)
- A T1E1 trial use standard is valid for two years with both line codes supported during this period
- Power control and line interfacing performed by PMD layer

#### **EoVDSL Specifications** PMD details – Parts 2 & 3 of T1E1.4

#### Baseline PMD proposal includes:

- All items covered in parts 2 and 3 of T1E1.4 trial use spec
- PMD MIB parameters
- Profiles (as appropriate)
- Control functions (via Code words, EOC/VOC, link state?)
- Simplifications where applicable

 Common elements between line codes will be consolidated

States, PSD masks ...

#### **EoVDSL Specifications** PMD – items defined in VDSL pt 1 (i)

- Section 5.5 coexistence with POTS & ISDN (also section 12)
  - Do we need ISDN co-existence? Regional Annex (A,B,C)?
- Sections 5.6, 5.7 remote power and repeater not needed
- Section 6 transport capacity & performance
  - Payload rates better defined in parts 2 & 3 than part 1
  - Define profiles / parameters for the MIB?
- Performance requirements
  - Probability of error 10<sup>-7</sup> (with 6dB margin)
  - This is effective BER with RS FEC

#### **EoVDSL Specifications** PMD – items defined in VDSL pt 1 (ii)

- Section 7 U interface
  - PSD templates/power use as is?
  - Spectral Plan 998, 997, Fx, proprietary?
  - Allow variances (via MIB parameters) or fix?
  - PnP compatibility with multiple spectra?
- Section 7.1.3 Power control (includes UPBO)
  - PBO mask or "better" technique?
- Termination impedance, return loss, signal balance
  - All as is
- Connector definition?
  - Demarcation point for standard...

#### **EoVDSL Specifications** PMA layer (PMS-TC)



- PMA mostly common between line codes
  - Scrambler, FEC, Interleaver all common
- I-interface (to PMD)
  - Only one data stream (Tx + Rx)
  - EOC, VOC defined as part of frame across I-interface
- Latency
  - Single latency only with programmable delay

#### **EoVDSL Specifications**

Transmission Convergence reference model (VDSL Part 1, section 9)



# Only the interleaved (slow) path is supported

#### Only two TPS-TC functions supported:

- Packet Transfer Mode as defined in Annex H / G.993.1
- VDSL embedded operations channel (EOC)
- PCS (TPS-TC) is common for both line codes

#### **EoVDSL Specifications** The T1E1 VDSL link state machine



- Areas for work
  - Simplification, unnecessary states?
    - Warm start, Resume on error, Power down, Loss of sync, Warm resume, Idle...
  - Timing & stability, define hysteresis

# PCS – Encapsulation

- Between MII interface and alpha/beta interface
  - TBD
    - HDLC as defined in annex H / G993.1
    - 64b/66b
- Errored frames are handled as defined by ITU
- OAM
  - Needs liaison with OAM track

### **EoVDSL Operation and Maintenance**

Section 5.0

### **EoVDSL Operation and Management**

An operational channel is defined for VDSL (VDSL Part 1/T1E1.4, section 9.2.3.1)



- The operational channel TPS-TC is part of the VDSL standard
  - Management controls the usage of the VDSL operational channel (VOC) messages
  - All VDSL management functions can be controlled with the VOC
  - Contribution simon\_1\_0302.pdf defines PMD control mechanism

# Summary

- Existing VDSL standards provide a definition of lower PHY sublayers
- Upper sublayers must be added by EFM
- Simplification improves uniformity, Ethernet compatibility
  & interoperability
- EoVDSL will meet the EFM copper objectives:
  - >= 750 meter with >= 10Mbps full-duplex payload bit rate can be met with Plan 998 in US and Japan, Band Plan 997 in Europe
    - Plan 998 is more asymmetric. Plan 997 is more symmetric
  - Compliant with spectral management standard and frequency plans approved by T1.417, ITU-T,NRIC, and ETSI/TM6

### Appendix A: any new work

- New PSDs may be needed for private networks.
- Medium reach may be defined in IEEE objectives
- HDLC encapsulation may not be "optimal" for Ethernet frames, but it allows a generic architecture that accommodates any kind of packets.

# References

- Steven Haas, NC meeting presentation
- Miachael Beck, NC presentation
- Behrooz Rezvani