Unconfirmed Minutes IEEE 802.3ah EFM Task Force meeting, Oct 19

Wednesday, Oct 17:
Howard Frazier unanimously elected chairman of IEEE 802.3ah EFM Task Force.
Dolors Sala selected as recording secretary for this meeting.
Howard Frazier reviewed the IEEE patent procedures.

Friday, Oct 19:

Motion #1: Approve minutes of July, 2001 meeting
Motion by: Jonathan Thatcher
Second by: Meir Bartur
Voting: voice passed by acclamation

Motion #2: Approve appointment of:
Gerry Pesavento – Chair, P2MP Sub Task Force
Hugh Barass - Chair, Copper Sub Task Force
Vipul Bhatt – Chair, Optical PMD Sub Task Force
Wael Diab – Editor, Optical PMD Sub Task Force
Motion by: Robert Grow
Second by: Ajay Gummalla
Voting: Yes: 67 No: 0 Abstain: 0

Motion #3: Adopt Standards Development Timeline with Baseline Proposals in March, 2002
Motion by: David Law
Second by: Roy Bynum
Voting: passed by acclamation

Motion #4: Liaison letter to ITU SG15/Q4
Motion by: Tom Dineen
Second by: Roy Bynum
Voting: Yes: 61 No: 0 Abstain: 3

Motion #5: Liaison letter to IEEE 802.16
Motion by: Gerry Pesavento
Second by: Tom Dineen
Voting: passed by acclamation

Motion #6: Move that the objective “…PHY for PON, >=10Km,1000 Mbps, SM fiber, >= 1:16” to “…PHY for PON, >=10Km,1000 Mbps, single SM fiber, >= 1:16”
Motion by: Vipul Bhatt
Second by: Gerry Pesavento
(Technical motion)
The wording adds the word "single" in front of "SM fiber".
Motion was voted in Optics subtask force and passed 42-0-6.
Robert Carlisle suggested to use the word "one" instead of "single". It was clarified that "single" means "one" in this context. Since the P2P objective uses the wording "single" it was decided to keep the same wording.
Voting: Yes: 58 No: 0 Abstain: 3

Motion #7: Add the following to EFM study group Objectives: Support an optical PHY link BER objective of at least 10E-12
(Technical motion)
The person proposing the motion was not in the room at the time of the

discussion. There was some discussion on the exact interpretation of the BER measure. Geoff Thomson proposed a friendly amendment to change the text to “uncorrected BER”. It was not clear how to change the text to capture the initial technical intention. Hence the motioner and seconder decided to withdrawn the motion.
Motion by: Tom Dineen
Second by: Robert Grow
Motion withdrawn.