

P2MP STF Meeting Agenda

Portonovo, Italy
September, 2003

The work ahead

Received 174 comments

| Clause | E | T | TR | Total per Clause |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| 31A | 7 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| 64 | 47 | 41 | 40 | 128 |
| 65 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 37 |
| Total | 68 | 53 | 53 | 174 |

Big-Ticket Items

- **Comment #1119:** LaserControl signal should not “jump” the layers. (Alternative solution which eliminates laser control line will be discussed)
- **Comment #337/340:** Since OLT contains multiple independent MACs, inter-frame spacing enforcement is required in Multiplexing Control.
- **General:** Comments requesting document separation because carrier class requirements are different from Enterprise LAN

Small-Ticket Items

- **Comment #901:** MA_CONTROL.request and MA_CONTROL.indication primitives have varying and inconsistent parameter lists (also see #31)
- **Comment #1014/1015:** Request for Message Field 16-bit alignment
- **Comment #895:** Figure 64-27 state machine needs to be reformatted

P2MP Motion #1

- Charter editorial team to resolve remaining editorial comments in Clauses 4, 64, 65 and 31A.
- Moved:
- Seconded:

Y:

N:

A:

P2MP Motion #2

- Adopt comments as resolved by the P2MP STF for Clauses 64, 65, and Annex 31A.
- Moved:
- Seconded:

Y:

N:

A: