

# 100G & 40G Market Timing & Broad Industry Support

---

John Jaeger

May 28, 2007 Geneva HSSG Meeting

# Contributors & Supporters

- Thomas Fischer – Nokia Siemens Networks
- Gary Nicholl – Cisco
- Mark Nowell – Cisco
- Drew Perkins – Infinera
- Brad Turner – Juniper

# Presentation Motivation

- Discussions at & since the April '07 Ottawa HSSG interim meeting highlighted some significant 100G & 40G misconceptions
- Substantial differences exist between the 100G & the 40G MAC rates with respect to:
  - Relative market timing & demonstrated user need
  - Broad industry support significant enough to ensure a successful standard
- We'll examine these two areas as evidenced by presentations made to the HSSG

# 100G Time-to-Market Need (User Driven)

- The HSSG has received an unprecedented amount of input from the carrier/service provider & data center end-user communities
  - Many of these end user participants have attended multiple meetings, taken part in the various SG discussions & debates, and voiced their needs & requirements on the reflector
- Several observations can be made from their input:
  - A large percentage of these end users are using nx10G LAG *today* - with many starting to push the limits of this capability
  - Numerous end users have clearly stated that within the 2-3 year standards development schedule, they will have exhausted the limits of LAG & are already looking to interim/proprietary solutions
- The Ethernet equipment vendors have responded to this clear, succinct articulation of market demand with their active, on-going participation & presentations to the SG

# 100G Broad-based Industry Support

- 100G has the requisite broad based industry support to be successfully developed as a standard and successfully deployed in the market
- Presentations have been given by individuals affiliated with:
  - Components & enabling technology suppliers
  - Modules & related subsystems
  - Systems – including Ethernet Switch, Ethernet Routers & MAN/WAN Transmission Equipment
  - End users – across data center, content provider, carriers/MSO & various additional industry segments & verticals

Note: 100G is deemed important enough to justify their time & effort to prepare the presentations and deliver them to the SG
- All aspects of the technology & product ‘food-chain’ are each represented by multiple individuals with active participation within the HSSG process

# 40G is Significantly Different

- Time-to-market need:
  - There has been no expressed views that 40G is driven by any urgent or pressing time-to-market requirements
  - The position seems to be that time-to-market can be easily achieved as quad-10G components are being brought to market for 10G applications
  - 10G is just beginning to see nascent deployment in the applications suggested
    - Unlike the 100G applications which are heavily using LAG today
- Broad-based Industry Support:
  - 40G presentations have not been given by a broad base of individuals affiliated with Ethernet network equipment manufacturers (i.e. Ethernet switch,...)
    - Are all these switch system manufacturers unaware of the need?
  - The 'food-chain' is not properly represented & and is skewed

# Conclusions

- A successful standard requires broad-based, active participation and mutually aligned interests in the resulting end market
  - 100G has this broad, active support
  - 40G does not
- The carrier/service provider and data center end users have been very vocal & articulate in the needs for 100G – and the Ethernet switch/router & transmission OEMs are actively represented here in efforts to serve this need
  - To date, what little expression of 40G end user requirements have been put forward has not been for the ‘target’ application
  - And 40G is fundamentally lacking interest from a key industry community who would have to support it – Ethernet Switch/Router OEMs
- Just because it might be easy to build a particular 4x10G component/widget, does not mean that we should
- Agree strongly with the material expressed in dove\_01\_0507 & nicholl\_01\_0507 that 100G should move forward with PAR A