

IEEE 802.3 Maintenance Task Force

14 October 2026

Adam Healey, Broadcom Inc.
Chair, IEEE 802.3 Maintenance TF
Vice Chair, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet WG

Voting at electronic meetings

- IEEE 802.3 Operations Manual 3.4.2

There are two type of votes in the TF; votes on motions and votes in straw polls.

In face-to-face meetings where a TF is operating with membership rules for voting (see 3.3) only the TF members may make and vote on motions. In face-to-face meetings where membership rules are not in force all TF participants may make and vote on motions, subject only to the provision that they believe that they are qualified to vote on the matter before the TF. Electronic meetings that will consider motions shall be approved by the WG Chair prior to the meeting announcement. In such meetings only IEEE 802.3 Working Group members may make and vote on motions. If a motion is not approved by unanimous consent it shall be taken as a roll call vote.

In all cases, regardless of any membership rules that may or may not be in force, all participants who feel qualified may participate in a straw poll.

General decorum

- An officer of the Standards Committee or any of its subgroups, unless prohibited by the P & P of the Standards Committee or Working Group, is permitted to record the proceedings of an IEEE standards development meeting for which they are responsible by making an audio or slideshow recording or by producing a transcript using software or an artificial intelligence (AI) application approved by IEEE. **IEEE 802.3 meetings do not use this option.** Recording of the proceedings by any other participant or observer, in part or in whole, via any means, is prohibited. Software or AI shall not be used if it enables persons other than the officer making the recording or authorized Standards Department staff to initiate or obtain the transcription or recording.
 - See subclause 5.3.3.2 of the January 2026 IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual
- If a member of the press (i.e., anyone reporting publicly on this meeting) attends a standards development meeting, they shall either inform the chair before the meeting or at the beginning of the meeting of their attendance. The chair shall then announce the presence of the press in attendance at the meeting.
 - See subclause 5.3.3.3 of the January 2026 IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual

Teleconference decorum

- The conference tool in use for this meeting has a chat function. Public, and in some cases private chats, are available to the teleconference host after the meeting, and should be treated as a public statement that could appear in the minutes. The public chat function shall only be used for official business related to the meeting, as determined by the Chair. Parallel discussions between participants using the public chat function can be a distraction from the meeting and the Chair or designee will ask participants to cease such discussions should they occur.
- **Please MUTE if you are not speaking.**
- Please use the chat function to request to be added to the discussion queue.
- Please wait to be recognized by the chair before speaking.

Agenda

- Review and approve agenda
- Approve meeting minutes
- Guidelines for IEEE-SA Meetings
- IEEE-SA Copyright policy
- Participation in IEEE 802 Meetings
- Attendance procedures
- ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6 PSDO update
- Consideration of maintenance requests
- Revision plan
- Future meetings

Agenda and minutes motions

- Motion #1: Approve the agenda
 - M: G. Thompson S: D. Law
 - Passed by unanimous consent at 11:10 am on 14 October 2026
- July 2026 minutes were posted on 2 July 2026
- Two minor changes to the minutes have been proposed
- Motion #2: Approve the [July 2026](#) minutes as amended
 - M: G. Thompson S: G. Zimmerman
 - Passed by unanimous consent at 11:12 am EDT on 14 October 2026

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE WG CHAIR

The IEEE SA strongly recommends that at each WG meeting the chair or a designee:

- Show slides 1 through 4 of this presentation
- Advise the WG attendees that:
 - IEEE's patent policy is described in Clause 6 of the *IEEE SA Standards Board Bylaws*;
 - Early identification of patent claims which may be essential for the use of standards under development is strongly encouraged;
 - There may be Essential Patent Claims of which IEEE is not aware. Additionally, neither IEEE, the WG, nor the WG Chair can ensure the accuracy or completeness of any assurance or whether any such assurance is, in fact, of a Patent Claim that is essential for the use of the standard under development.
- Instruct the WG Secretary to record in the minutes of the relevant WG meeting:
 - That the foregoing information was provided and that slides 1 through 4 (and this slide 0, if applicable) were shown;
 - That the chair or designee provided an opportunity for participants to identify patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) and/or the holder of patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) of which the participant is personally aware and that may be essential for the use of that standard
 - Any responses that were given, specifically the patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) and/or the holder of the patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) that were identified (if any) and by whom.
- The WG Chair shall ensure that a request is made to any identified holders of potential essential patent claim(s) to complete and submit a Letter of Assurance.
- It is recommended that the WG Chair review the guidance in *IEEE SA Standards Board Operations Manual* 6.3.5 and in FAQs 14 and 15 on inclusion of potential Essential Patent Claims by incorporation or by reference.

Note: **WG** includes Working Groups, Task Groups, and other standards-developing committees with a PAR approved by the IEEE SA Standards Board.

PARTICIPANTS HAVE A DUTY TO INFORM THE IEEE

- Participants shall inform the IEEE (or cause the IEEE to be informed) of the identity of each holder of any potential Essential Patent Claims of which they are personally aware if the claims are owned or controlled by the participant or the entity the participant is from, employed by, or otherwise represents
- Participants should inform the IEEE (or cause the IEEE to be informed) of the identity of any other holders of potential Essential Patent Claims

**Early identification of holders of potential
Essential Patent Claims is encouraged**

WAYS TO INFORM IEEE

- Cause an LOA to be submitted to the IEEE SA (patcom@ieee.org); or
- Provide the chair of this group with the identity of the holder(s) of any and all such claims as soon as possible; or
- Speak up now and respond to this Call for Potentially Essential Patents

If anyone in this meeting is personally aware of the holder of any patent claims that are potentially essential to implementation of the proposed standard(s) under consideration by this group and that are not already the subject of an Accepted Letter of Assurance, please respond at this time by providing relevant information to the WG Chair

OTHER GUIDELINES FOR IEEE WORKING GROUP MEETINGS

- All IEEE SA standards meetings shall be conducted in compliance with all applicable laws, including antitrust and competition laws.
 - Don't discuss the interpretation, validity, or essentiality of patents/patent claims.
 - Don't discuss specific license rates, terms, or conditions.
 - Relative costs of different technical approaches that include relative costs of patent licensing terms may be discussed in standards development meetings.
 - Technical considerations remain the primary focus.
- Don't discuss or engage in the fixing of product prices, allocation of customers, or division of sales markets.
- Don't discuss the status or substance of ongoing or threatened litigation.
- Don't be silent if inappropriate topics are discussed. Formally object to the discussion immediately.

For more details, see *IEEE SA Standards Board Operations Manual*, clause 5.3.10 and *Antitrust and Competition Policy: What You Need to Know* at <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/antitrust.pdf>

PATENT-RELATED INFORMATION

The patent policy and the procedures used to execute that policy are documented in the:

- **IEEE SA Standards Board Bylaws**
(<http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6>)
- **IEEE SA Standards Board Operations Manual**
(<http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/opman/sect6.html#6.3>)

Material about the patent policy is available at
<http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/materials.html>

**If you have questions, contact the
IEEE SA Standards Board Patent
Committee Administrator at
patcom@ieee.org**

INSTRUCTIONS FOR CHAIRS OF STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

At the beginning of each standards development meeting the chair or a designee is to:

- Show the following slides (or provide them beforehand)
- Advise the standards development group participants that:
- IEEE SA's copyright policy is described in Clause 7 of the IEEE SA Standards Board Bylaws and Clause 6.1 of the IEEE SA Standards Board Operations Manual;
- Any material submitted during standards development, whether verbal, recorded, or in written form, is a Contribution and shall comply with the IEEE SA Copyright Policy;
- Instruct the Secretary to record in the minutes of the relevant meeting:
- That the foregoing information was provided and that the copyright slides were shown (or provided beforehand).

IEEE SA COPYRIGHT POLICY

By participating in this activity, you agree to comply with the IEEE Code of Ethics, all applicable laws, and all IEEE policies and procedures including, but not limited to, the IEEE SA Copyright Policy.

- Previously Published material (copyright assertion indicated) shall not be presented/submitted to the Working Group nor incorporated into a Working Group draft unless permission is granted.
- Prior to presentation or submission, you shall notify the Working Group Chair of previously Published material and should assist the Chair in obtaining copyright permission acceptable to IEEE SA.
- For material that is not previously Published, IEEE is automatically granted a license to use any material that is presented or submitted.

IEEE SA COPYRIGHT POLICY

- The IEEE SA Copyright Policy is described in the IEEE SA Standards Board Bylaws and IEEE SA Standards Board Operations Manual
 - IEEE SA Copyright Policy, see
Clause 7 of the IEEE SA Standards Board Bylaws
<https://standards.ieee.org/about/policies/bylaws/sect6-7.html#7>
Clause 6.1 of the IEEE SA Standards Board Operations Manual
<https://standards.ieee.org/about/policies/opman/sect6.html>
- IEEE SA Copyright Permission
 - <https://standards.ieee.org/content/dam/ieee-standards/standards/web/documents/other/permissionltrs.zip>
- IEEE SA Copyright FAQs
 - <https://standards.ieee.org/faqs/copyrights/>
- IEEE SA Best Practices for IEEE Standards Development
 - http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/best_practices_for_ieee_standards_development_051215.pdf
- Distribution of Draft Standards (see 6.1.3 of the SASB Operations Manual)
 - <https://standards.ieee.org/about/policies/opman/sect6.html>

Participant behavior in IEEE-SA activities is guided by the IEEE Codes of Ethics & Conduct

All participants in IEEE-SA activities are expected to adhere to the core principles underlying the:

- [IEEE Code of Ethics](#)
- [IEEE Code of Conduct](#)

The core principles of the IEEE Codes of Ethics & Conduct are to:

- *Uphold the highest standards of integrity, responsible behavior, and ethical and professional conduct*
- *Treat people fairly and with respect, to not engage in harassment, discrimination, or retaliation, and to protect people's privacy.*
- *Avoid injuring others, their property, reputation, or employment by false or malicious action*

The most recent versions of these Codes are available at
<http://www.ieee.org/about/corporate/governance>

Participants in the IEEE-SA “*individual process*” shall act independently of others, including employers

The [IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws](#) require that “*participants in the IEEE standards development individual process shall act based on their qualifications and experience*”

This means participants:

- **Shall act & vote** based on their personal & independent opinions derived from their expertise, knowledge, and qualifications
- **Shall not act or vote** based on any obligation to or any direction from any other person or organization, including an employer or client, regardless of any external commitments, agreements, contracts, or orders
- **Shall not direct** the actions or votes of other participants or retaliate against other participants for fulfilling their responsibility to act & vote based on their personal & independently developed opinions

By participating in standards activities using the “*individual process*”, you are deemed to accept these requirements; if you are unable to satisfy these requirements then you shall immediately cease any participation

IEEE SA standards activities shall allow the fair & equitable consideration of all viewpoints

The [*IEEE SA Standards Board Bylaws*](#) (clause 5.2.1.3) specifies that “the standards development process shall not be dominated by any single interest category, individual, or organization”

- This means no participant may exercise “authority, leadership, or influence by reason of superior leverage, strength, or representation to the exclusion of fair and equitable consideration of other viewpoints” or “to hinder the progress of the standards development activity”

This rule applies equally to those participating in a standards development project and to that project’s leadership group

Any person who reasonably suspects that dominance is occurring in a standards development project is encouraged to bring the issue to the attention of the Standards Committee or the project’s IEEE SA Program Manager

Attendance procedures

- Meeting attendance will be determined using the Zoom meeting attendance record
- This meeting does not qualify for attendance credit towards obtaining or maintaining voting membership

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6 PSDO update

- FDIS ballot on adoption of IEEE Std 802.3-2022 passed on 27 July 2026 with no comments

Consideration of maintenance requests

Maintenance request status

- 2 new requests received since the July 2026 meeting
- 106 open maintenance requests
- Current status of open requests
 - Approved 0
 - Balloting 0
 - Ready for ballot 93
 - Awaiting clarification 2
 - Errata 0
 - To be categorized 11
- Also see http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/open_num.html

New maintenance requests

- Deadline for consideration at this meeting was 9 September 2026
- New maintenance requests

No.	Date	Standard	Reference	Subject
1500	04-Sep-26	802.3-2022	Various, see details	OAM reset definition
1501	08-Sep-26	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Missing transition condition

First, some house keeping

No.	Date	Standard	Reference	Status update	Complete?	In scope?	Status
1397	01-Apr-22	802.3-2022	1.4.473	Published in IEEE Std 802.3cy-2023.	Y	Y	P
1415	07-Sep-23	802.3-2022	149.8.2.1	Published in IEEE Std 802.3-2022/Cor 1-2024.	Y	Y	P
1416	07-Sep-23	802.3cy-2023	165.8.2.1	Published in IEEE Std 802.3-2022/Cor 1-2024.	Y	Y	P
1437	20-Aug-24	802.3df-2024	45.2.3.1	Implemented in IEEE P802.3dj.	Y	Y	V
1438	20-Aug-24	802.3df-2024	45.2.3.2.7	Implemented in IEEE P802.3dj.	Y	Y	V
1439	20-Aug-24	802.3df-2024	45.2.3.8	Implemented in IEEE P802.3dj.	Y	Y	V
1440	20-Aug-24	802.3df-2024	45.2.3.15	Implemented in IEEE P802.3dj.	Y	Y	V
1441	20-Aug-24	802.3df-2024	45.2.4.13	Implemented in IEEE P802.3dj.	Y	Y	V
1473	03-Dec-24	802.3cz-2023	Table 166-16	Published in IEEE Std 802.3-2022/Cor 2-2026.	Y	Y	P

Additional observations

No.	Date	Standard	Reference	Observation
1394	18-Feb-22	802.3-2022	98.2.1	Address the same issue with different proposed revision text. #1394: "If Auto-Negotiation is implemented, 1000BASE-T1, 100BASE-T1, ~~and~~ 10BASE-T1S, <u>and all single-pair Ethernet</u> PHYs <u>faster than 1 Gb/s</u> shall support HSM and may optionally support LSM." #1477: "If Auto-Negotiation is implemented, <u>25GBASE-T1, 10GBASE-T1, 5GBASE-T1, 2.5GBASE-T1</u>, 1000BASE-T1, 100BASE-T1, and 10BASE-T1S PHYs shall support HSM and may optionally support LSM." Suggest refer #1394 to #1477, implement #1477 in the revision draft, and refine the text via revision ballot comment if necessary.
1477	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	98.2.1	
1399	06-Jun-22	802.3-2022	56.1.3	IEEE Std 802.3dk-2026 has already split Table 56–1 into Table 56–1 for PTP and Table 56–2 for PTMP. This is the bulk of the proposed revision text. The proposed revision text also splits EFM copper PHYs into a separate table (they are currently grouped with PTP). However, there are only two copper PHYs. There was an opportunity to do this in IEEE Std 802.3dk-2026 but this opportunity was not taken. Suggest refer #1399 to IEEE Std 802.3dk-2026 and set the status to "Published".
1426	18-Jan-24	802.3-2022	149.1.3	Essentially the same revision request. "XGMII ~~TX_D, TX_EN, and TX_ER~~<u>TXD and TXC</u> are encoded together using 64B/65B encoding."
1484	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	149.1.3	

Thanks to Pete Anslow for reviewing the list of maintenance requests marked "Ready for ballot"

Consideration of maintenance requests, 1 of 5

No.	Date	Standard	Reference	Status update	Complete?	In scope?	Status
1421	11-Oct-23	802.3-2022	97.4.2.4	No update.	N		I
1429	2-Apr-24	802.3-2022	46.3.4.3	No update.	N		I

Consideration of maintenance requests, 2 of 5

No.	Date	Standard	Reference	Synopsis	Complete?	In scope?	Status
1448	4-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9	"OAM ~~shall be~~is operational as long as both PHYs implement this mechanism and the link is up. It ~~shall~~ continues to be operational during Low Power Idle, albeit the information is transferred at a slower rate during the refresh cycle." Add PICS. The text is descriptive and not normative. The proposed requirement does not appear to be enforceable since 1) "implementation" is not an externally observable trait and 2) the term "operational" does not have a clear definition. For item 1) reference to advertisement of the capability using e.g., the "MultiGBASE-T1 OAM ability" may be more appropriate. For item 2) "operation" apparently means that OAM frames may be exchanged using the mechanism defined in this subclause although there does not appear to be any requirement to do so (except perhaps for EEE support) or how often OAM frames should be exchanged.	N		R
1450	4-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.2.1	"The dummy OAM symbol is all 0's and its value ~~shall be~~is ignored at the receiver." Clearly indicate that it is a normative behavior for the value of the dummy OAM symbol to be ignored and add a PICS.	Y		R

Consideration of maintenance requests, 3 of 5

No.	Date	Standard	Reference	Synopsis	Complete?	In scope?	Status
1451	4-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.2.1	"Bit 0 of each OAM symbol ~~shall be~~ the first bit transmitted in the 10-bit OAM field. Symbol 0 ~~shall be~~ the first symbol transmitted in each OAM frame." Replace OAM2 with a new PICS that reflects these transmitter order requirements. However, the replacement would result in no PICS requirement covering that symbol<0> should be inserted in the first RS-FEC frame of an RS-FEC super-frame when L=2 or L=4. It seem that the new requirement should be a separate PICS item.	N		R
1456	4-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.2.15	"If a PHY receives SNR<1:0> set to 01 by its link partner, then it ~~shall not~~ ~~cannot~~ enter into LPI in the egress direction." Also add PICS. However, the PCS 64B/65B Transmit state diagram, part b (see Figure 149–17) is defined such that the transmitter will not go into LPI mode if the link partner is sending SNR<1:0> = 01 . Therefore, it seems appropriate that this text simply be a statement of fact.	Y		R
1457	4-Oct-24	802.3-2022	97.3.8.2.14	"If a PHY receives SNR<1:0> set to 01 by its link partner, then it ~~shall not~~ ~~cannot~~ enter into LPI in the egress direction." However, the PCS Transmit state diagram (see Figure 97–14) is defined such that the transmitter will not go into LPI mode if the link partner is sending SNR<1:0> = 01. Therefore, it seems appropriate that this text simply be a statement of fact. The additional PICS for this behavior (97.11.8 OAM7) should be removed.	Y		R

Consideration of maintenance requests, 4 of 5

No.	Date	Standard	Reference	Synopsis	Complete?	In scope?	Status
1460	4-Oct-24	802.3-2022	Figure 149–24	Add action "rx_boundary <= FALSE" to state CHECK_READ. The purpose is to add clarity about when the variable is set to FALSE. However, the definition of rx_boundary in 149.3.9.4.3 seems clear that it is set to FALSE when the "receive stream is not at a boundary end". The definition indicates the variable is set to TRUE at the boundary end and is FALSE otherwise.	Y		R
1461	4-Oct-24	802.3-2022	Figure 149–25	Add action "tx_boundary <= FALSE" to state CHECK_ACK and TRANSMIT symbol states. The purpose is to add clarity about when the variable is set to FALSE. However, the definition of tx_boundary in 149.3.9.4.3 seems clear that it is set to FALSE when the "transmit stream is not at a boundary end". The definition indicates the variable is set to TRUE at the boundary end and is FALSE otherwise.	Y		R
1463	4-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.4.5	Rewrite definition of function "rs" to clearly identify function inputs and outputs. There is no change to the operation of the function.	Y	Y	
1464	4-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.4.5	Rewrite definition and usage of function "rs_correct" to clearly identify function inputs and outputs. There is no change to the operation of the function.	Y	Y	

Consideration of maintenance requests, 5 of 5

No.	Date	Standard	Reference	Synopsis	Complete?	In scope?	Status
1479	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	97.1.2	This maintenance request has been withdrawn.	Y	Y	W
1485	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	149.1.3	This maintenance request has been withdrawn.	Y	Y	W
1500	04-Sep-26	802.3-2022	Various, see details	In 97.3.8.4.3, change the definition of variable “reset” to handle the case where OAM is not enabled. ~~Reset~~Indicates that OAM is either in reset or is not enabled. Values: false: ~~1000BASE-T1~~OAM circuit not in reset and enabled true: ~~1000BASE-T1~~OAM circuit is in reset or not enabled Make similar changes to the definition of reset in 149.3.9.4.3.	Y	Y	B
1501	08-Sep-26	802.3-2022	Various, see details	In Figure 97–17 add condition “rx_boundary” to the transition from “RECEIVE INIT” to “CHECK READ” (it is currently unconditional). This is done in a similar state diagram in Clause 149.	Y	Y	B

Revision plan

[Status update, motion]

IEEE SA Standards Board Operations Manual 8.1.2

“Up to three amendments can be approved before the standard shall be revised, unless the base standard has been approved within the past three years. In such a case, multiple amendments may be added until the base standard is three years old. After the three-year period, RevCom shall defer consideration of additional amendments or corrigenda until a revision or a two-year extension request is approved by the IEEE SA Standards Board.

If, for any extenuating circumstances, an exception to these rules is required, the Standards Committee shall take its request for a two-year extension to RevCom. A project plan outlining the rationale for the request, as well as a schedule for the revision, also shall be submitted. RevCom will review the request and make a recommendation to the IEEE SA Standards Board.

During the two-year extension period, the Standards Committee can submit additional amendments and corrigenda for approval consideration. However, after this period, RevCom shall defer consideration of additional amendments or corrigenda until a revision is approved by the IEEE SA Standards Board.”

Status of IEEE Std 802.3

IEEE Std 802.3-2022

Published amendments/corrigendum

- IEEE Std 802.3dd-2022
- IEEE Std 802.3cs-2022
- IEEE Std 802.3db-2022
- IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022
- IEEE Std 802.3de-2022
- IEEE Std 802.3cx-2023
- IEEE Std 802.3cz-2023
- IEEE Std 802.3cy-2023
- IEEE Std 802.3df-2024
- IEEE Std 802.3da-2026
- IEEE Std 802.3dk-2026
- IEEE Std 802.3dg-2026
- IEEE Std 802.3-2022/Cor 1-2024
- IEEE Std 802.3-2022/Cor 2-2026

Active projects

- IEEE P802.3dj
- IEEE P802.3dm
- IEEE P802.3dp
- IEEE P802.3dq
- IEEE P802.3ds
- IEEE P802.3dt
- IEEE P802.3dw
- IEEE P802.3dv

There are currently 86(?) maintenance requests marked “Ready for ballot”.

Consolidated timeline

Year	2026						2027												2028					
Month	7	8	9	10	11	12	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	1	2	3	4	5	6
P802.3dj	<SAB					SASB																		
P802.3dm	<WGB				SAB					SASB														
P802.3dp																								
Cor 2	<SAB		SASB																					
P802.3dq											WGB								SAB					
P802.3ds					WGB								SAB						SASB					
P802.3dt					WGB								SAB						SASB					
~~Revision plan A~~					WGB							SAB						SASB						
Revision plan B										WGB						SAB					SASB			

↑
We are here

Revision must be approved
before RevCom will consider
any additional amendments



No timeline

Revision status and next steps

Current status

- Roll-up draft includes amendments through IEEE Std 802.3dg-2026
- Thanks to IEEE SA editorial staff and Pete Anslow for supporting the roll-up
- Identified some volunteers for the editorial team

Next steps

- Continue to recruit an editorial team
- Motion to incorporate maintenance requests “Ready for ballot” (today?)
- Implement maintenance changes into the roll-up draft
- Incorporate IEEE Std 802.3-2022/Cor 2-2026 into the roll-up draft
- Incorporate IEEE P802.3dj into the roll-up draft (after approval and publication)
- Provide a detailed ballot schedule
- Consider incorporation of P802.3dm and possibly P802.3dt and P802.3ds during ballot

Maintenance requests to be implemented, 1 of 6

No.	Date	Standard	Reference	Subject
1380	08-Sep-20	802.3.2-2019	Various, see details	MAC address format
1383	19-Nov-20	802.3.1-2013	Various, see details	References to IEEE Std 802.1D
1395	22-Mar-22	802.3-2022	147.8	Mixing segment specifications
1396	22-Mar-22	802.3-2022	147.8	Mixing segment specifications
1398	23-May-22	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Primitive name
1400	13-Jul-22	802.3-2022	4.1.2.2	Description of collision
1401	09-Sep-22	802.3-2022	144.3.5	Remove GLID from list
1402	01-Dec-22	802.3-2022	135F.1	Typo
1403	09-May-23	802.3-2022	Table 119-5	Typo
1404	12-May-23	802.3-2022	Annex 55A	Machine-readable extracts
1405	26-May-23	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Formatting error
1406	26-May-23	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Incorrect cross-reference
1407	02-Jun-23	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Update interface list
1408	07-Jul-23	802.3-2022	30.12.3.1.59	"PD" not "PSE"

Maintenance requests to be implemented, 2 of 6

No.	Date	Standard	Reference	Subject
1409	10-Jul-23	802.3-2022	30.12.3.1	Duplicate subclause numbers
1410	06-Aug-23	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Typo
1411	06-Aug-23	802.3-2022	99.3.6	mCRC value mapping
1412	06-Aug-23	802.3-2022	99.4.7.1	State diagram conventions
1413	18-Aug-23	802.3-2022	99.4.7.7	SMDS_ENCODE() definition
1414	18-Aug-23	802.3-2022	99.4.7.7	Typo
1417	20-Sep-23	802.3ck-2022	161.5.3.8	am_rxpayloads derivation
1418	20-Sep-23	802.3-2022	Figure 36-3	Incorrect title
1419	22-Sep-23	802.3-2022	Figure 99-6	Missing action
1420	29-Sep-23	802.3ck-2022	116.4	Incorrect delay constraints
1422	11-Oct-23	802.3-2022	97.4.2.4.10	Requirement conditional on EEE
1423	08-Nov-23	802.3ck-2022	Various, see details	AC-coupling to test points
1424	08-Nov-23	802.3ck-2022	Various, see details	Add measurement frequency
1425	13-Dec-23	802.3-2022	Figure 119-11	Typo
1426	18-Jan-24	802.3-2022	149.1.3	Extraneous signal
1427	25-Jan-24	802.3-2022	120G.3.3.5.2	Incorrect reference point

Maintenance requests to be implemented, 3 of 6

No.	Date	Standard	Reference	Subject
1428	07-Feb-24	802.3-2022	132.1.6	Incorrect cross reference
1430	03-Apr-24	802.3-2022	Table 141-20	Incorrect column headings
1432	14-May-24	802.3df-2024	90A.3	Incorrect values in Table 90A-1
1434	30-May-24	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Include RS in Clause 6
1435	30-May-24	802.3-2022	97.4.2.6.4	Typo
1436	15-Jul-24	802.3ck-2022	162.9.4.7	Incorrect reference
1442	30-Aug-24	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Scope of minwait_timer
1443	10-Sep-24	802.3cz-2023	166.6.4.8.4	Correct hisotgram normalization
1444	10-Sep-24	802.3cz-2023	166.6.2.2	Correct reference and add clarity
1445	17-Sep-24	802.3-2022	148.4.4.2	Alphabetize list
1446	17-Sep-24	802.3-2022	148.4.4.4	Alphabetize list
1447	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9	Exchange PHY health instead of OAM status
1449	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.2.1	PHY frame vs. RS_FEC frame
1452	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.2.1	Replace D8 with explicit symbol/bit index
1453	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.2.3	Tie text more closely with Figure 149-22
1454	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.2.4	Tie text more closely with Figure 149-22

Maintenance requests to be implemented, 4 of 6

No.	Date	Standard	Reference	Subject
1455	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.2.11	Description contains unrelated content
1458	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.4.2	Subclause has no content
1459	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.4.3	Redundant "shall" in rx_exp_toggle definition
1462	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.4.3	PHY frame vs. RS_FEC frame
1465	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.2.13	Correct bit index
1466	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.4.3	Correct reference
1467	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	Figure 149-24	Missing ")" in state transition condition
1468	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.2.7	Toggle validity
1469	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.2.11	OAM message data validity
1470	04-Oct-24	802.3-2022	149.3.9.2.10	OAM message number validity
1471	12-Nov-24	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Update reference
1472	24-Nov-24	802.3-2022	22.2.1	Incorrect arrow direction
1474	19-Feb-25	802.3-2022	Figure 135A-7	Extraneous text in figure
1475	10-Apr-25	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Incorrect symbol
1476	10-Apr-25	802.3-2022	130.10.4.2	Incorrect symbol
1477	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	98.2.1	PHYs missing from list

Maintenance requests to be implemented, 5 of 6

No.	Date	Standard	Reference	Subject
1478	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	97.1.2	Incorrect signal name
1480	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	97.1.2	Improve accuracy of text
1481	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	97.3.2	Improve accuracy of text
1482	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Use "octet" in place of "oct"
1483	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	97.5.2.1	Fixtures vs. configurations
1484	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	149.1.3	Incorrect signal names
1486	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	149.1.3	Improve accuracy of text
1487	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	149.1.3.1	Reed-Solomon notation
1488	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	149.3.2	Improve accuracy of text
1489	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	Figure 149-18	Indicate optional portions
1490	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Use "octet" in place of "oct"
1491	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	149.5.1.1	Fixtures vs. configurations
1492	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Redundant text
1493	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Capitalization
1494	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	149.11.4.1	Correct PICS status
1495	23-Jun-25	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Physical "medium" attachment

Maintenance requests to be implemented, 6 of 6

No.	Date	Standard	Reference	Subject
1496	05-Aug-25	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Typo
1497	09-Mar-26	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Update transition condition
1498	05-May-26	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Correct function name
1499	29-May-26	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Remove unnecessary text
1500	04-Sep-26	802.3-2022	Various, see details	OAM reset definition
1501	08-Sep-26	802.3-2022	Various, see details	Missing transition condition

Maintenance changes motion

- Motion #3: Implement the maintenance requests listed on slides 34 to 39 of healey_1_1026.pdf in the revision draft with editorial license.
 - M: A. Ran S: T. Huber
 - Technical ($\geq 75\%$)
 - Passed by unanimous consent 12:02 pm EDT on 14 October 2026

Future meetings

- An electronic meeting is expected to be scheduled around the January 2027 IEEE 802.3 interim meeting series
- Meeting details will be announced on the Task Force reflector

Adjourn