IEEE 802.3 Maintenance conference call unconfirmed minutes May 12, 2000

David Law convened the meeting. David then volunteered Geoff Thompson to act as recording secretary for the meeting. The agenda, as distributed, was approved.

A role call of attendees on the conference call was taken. Attendees were, David Law, Geoff Thompson, Terry Cobb, Bob Grow, Tom Mathey, Gerard Nadeau.

The process diagram was then reviewed and comments were noted. David will rework and then distribute the new version. Main comments were to use double width lines for committee action and single width lines for Chair actions, an addition box for submittal at top and an additional loop for committee review.

The group then went on to review each change request individually.

Change Request 1000

Editorial/Technical	Technical
Ballot Required	Yes
Ready for ballot	Yes
New status	Ballot (B)

Change Request 1001

Tom Mathey noted that Mick Seaman said that 802.1 were planning to put a similar statement in 802.1D. We should coordinate this change with them. Revision request returned to submitter to change text of note.

Editorial/Technical	Technical
Ballot Required	Yes
Ready for ballot	No
New status	Incomplete (I)

Change Request 1002

Editorial/Technical	Technical
Ballot Required	Yes
Ready for ballot	Yes
New status	Ballot (B)

Change Request 1003

More rationale was need for this request and it has been returned to the submitter for him to provide this text.

Editorial/Technical	Technical
Ballot Required	Yes
Ready for ballot	No
New status	Incomplete (I)

While it is accepted that the note referred to is missing, it is not known what it said so more research is required into what the exact revision should be. The request is therefore returned to the submitter for investigation into what the note used to say. Geoff Thompson will provide assistance with previous copies of the standard.

Editorial/Technical	Technical
Ballot Required	Yes
Ready for ballot	No
New status	Incomplete (I)

Change Request 1005

Action: Tom Mathey to consult technical experts Alan Flatman and Pat Thaler. Why is the Clause 10 parallel text not the same.

Editorial/Technical	Technical
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Consult with Technical Experts (T)

Change Request 1006

Editorial/Technical	Technical
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Errata (E)

Change Request 1007

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Errata (E)

Change Request 1008

Action: IEEE Editor: This one is a style issue.

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Errata (E)

Change Request 1009

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Errata (E)

Action: Tom Mathey to check previous revisions of 802.3 to determine when the duplication of these clauses first occurred and to ensure the duplication did not also cause some other text to be removed.

Editorial/Technical	Technical
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Consult with Technical Experts (T)

Change Request 1011

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Errata (E)

Change Request 1012

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Errata (E)

Change Request 1013

Action: Tom Mathey to check earlier editions to see when this was correct.

Editorial/Technical	Technical
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Consult with Technical Experts (T)

Change Request 1014

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Errata (E)

Change Request 1015

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Errata (E)

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Errata (E)

Change Request 1017

Action: David Law to do Graphics work for IEEE Editor.

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Awaiting additional change text – Errata
(CE)	

Change Request 1018

Assignment symbol problem. A constancy check is required. Style issue (should be put in the style manual) "Assignments" in state diagrams are equals in text.

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Errata (E)

Change Request 1019

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Errata (E)

Change Request 1020

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Errata (E)

Change Request 1021

Editorial/Technical	Technical
Ballot Required	Yes
Ready for ballot	Yes
New status	Ballot (B)

Change Request 1022

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Errata (E)

Action: Tom Mathey to contact Rich Seifert to confirm that this is indeed a Errata.

Editorial/Technical	Technical
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Consult with Technical Experts (T)

Change Request 1024

After a short discussion of this request Tom Mathey, the originator of this request, withdrew it.

Editorial/Technical	N/A
Ballot Required	N/A
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Withdrawn (W)

Change Request 1025

Editorial/TechnicalEditorialBallot RequiredNoReady for ballotN/ANew statusErrata (E)

Change Request 1026

The sentence is correct when the word 'required' is changed to 'require'.

Editorial/TechnicalEditorialBallot RequiredNoReady for ballotN/ANew statusErrata (E)

Change Request 1027

Action: David Law to contact Rich Taborek to confirm that if the PI symbol should be an equal or not-equal symbol.

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No, upon confirmation from Technical
Expert.	
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Consult with Technical Experts (T)

Action: David Law to identify and contact a technical expert involved in the 1000BASE-T TX block and have them confirm that this indeed is a errata.

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Consult with Technical Experts (T)

Change Request 1029

This appears to be a errata however this needs to be confirmed by multiple technical experts involved in writing 1000BASE-T.

Action: David Law to identify and contact those involved in the 1000BASE-T TX State Machine and have them confirm that this indeed this is an errata.

Editorial/Technical	Technical
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Consult with Technical Experts (T)

Change Request 1030

This also appears to be a errata however again this needs to be confirmed by multiple technical experts involved in writing 1000BASE-T.

Action: David Law to identify and contact those involved in the 1000BASE-T side-stream scrambler and have them confirm that this is a errata.

Editorial/Technical	Technical
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Consult with Technical Experts (T)

Change Request 1031

While this is probably editorial this needs to be confirmed and until then will be characterised a technical and passed to a technical expert for review.

Action: David Law to identify and contact those involved in the 1000BASE-T and have them confirm that this is a errata.

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Consult with Technical Experts (T)

Editorial/TechnicalEditorialBallot RequiredNoReady for ballotN/ANew statusErrata (E)

Change Request 1033

Action: David Law to do Graphics work for IEEE Editor.

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Awaiting additional change text – Errata
(CE)	

Change Request 1034

Editorial/Technical	Editorial
Ballot Required	No
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Errata (E)

Change Request 1035

Action: David Law to check earlier editions.

Editorial/TechnicalEditorialBallot RequiredNoReady for ballotN/ANew statusAwaiting Technical expert (T)

Change Request 1036

The change request needs to have the changes to the PICS it requires added. Once these have been provided the change is ready to go to ballot.

Action: Terry Cobb to provide the addition change text for this request in relation to the PICS.

Editorial/Technical	Technical
Ballot Required	Yes
Ready for ballot	N/A
New status	Awaiting additional change text – Ballot
(CB)	-

PAR Issue

A discussion started on whether the changes should be balloted as a Corrigenda or a Revision. At this point the conference call was terminated as the time allocated for the call was exceeded.

Business remaining

Categorise last two Change requests (1037 and 1038 submitted by Bob Grow). This task will be completed in July when in addition to these two, any other Change Requests submitted will be considered.

Prepare a PAR for both a Corrigenda and a Revision. An 802.3 vote will be taken to decide which course to take. Geoff will e-mail notify the 802 executive as routine business such as maintenance.

The meeting was adjourned