Meeting convened at 10:05 AM

Chair – Wael William Diab
Recording Secretary – Mike Bennett

The chair read each and every new patent slide aloud
- Question regarding LOA
- Discussion between Mr. Law and Mr. Thompson
- Mr. Law reminded Mr. Thompson that the topic is not debatable.

The chair reviewed the proposed agenda

**Proposed Agenda**
- Review Patent Slides
- Review and Approve Agenda
- New Maintenance Requests
- 802.3ax and 802.3ay status update

**Motion to approve the agenda**
M: T. Dineen
S: H. Barrass
Passed by voice vote without opposition @10:22am

There was no discussion on the above motion

**New Maintenance Requests**
Chair asked if anyone wants to bring a new maintenance request forward.
- Mr. Linskey asked for clarification on how to deal with a request on Clause 64. Specifically, if we open clause 64 or make a new maintenance request?
- Mr. Law responded that it depends on the level of complexity and urgency. Options available are to do it in .3av, .3ay, or corrigenda.
- Mr. Diab noted that we could do something like on PoEP where all the experts are in .3at.
- Mr. Thompson noted that we should always make a maintenance request so there is a record and it is [part of the normal maintenance process.
- No maintenance requests were made

**Update on AX and AY – David Law**
AX
- Ready
AY

- Recirculation closed last week
- No one physically made the meeting in April.
- Conference call conducted
- Needs a recirculation
- Instructions from PatCom need a new LOA for each in AX
  - Any LOA submitted in .3 since 802.3ad was approved need a new LOA submitted
    - 802.3AD was approved 30 March 2000
    - PAR approval June 28, 1998
  - Mr. Thompson asked for clarification: New LOAs only on AX or both?
  - Mr. Law indicated that AX only
  - If there is no response to a request for LOA then tell PatCom and then PatCom reviews and decides what to do
- Discussion on whether or not the LOA issue will hold up the approval of AX
- Discussion on why the LOA should not be assumed when a standard is split out and moved to a difference standard
- Since the LOA process is not complete and a recirc on AY is needed, then in July (hopefully) there will be two complete packages ready for submission for RevCom in September
- Discussion on what happens if IEEE-SASB does not approve AX
- Mr. Grow asked for a review of the LOA list
- Mr. Law collected and continues to collect names of volunteers to track down people to help deal with the LOA requests
- Mr. Thompson indicated that he is content with the PatCom directive
- Context of the request for a new LOA can be explained in a cover letter.

Motion:
Approve the minutes from the March meeting
M: R Grow
S: T Dineen
Passed by voice vote without opposition @10:52am

There was no discussion on the above motion.

Break: 10:53. Resumed: 11:10

AY (Contd.)

- Discussion on flipping ballots based on resolving binding comments within the context of 802, 802.3 and IEEE-SA rules
- Reference LMSC rules section 5.4.3.2 for discussion
- The result of the April BRC meeting was reviewed by going through the comment database
Review of comments on AY continued after the rules discussion
- Recirculation plan discussed

Next Meeting
Chair, Mr. Diab, stated next meeting in July

Motion:
Move to adjourn the meeting

M: H. Barrass
S: D. Dove
Passed by voice vote without opposition @11:44AM

Meeting adjourned at 11:44 AM