```
2
                     REVISION REQUEST
3
4
    DATE: 04.12.2019
5
    NAME: Stefan Lueder
6
    COMPANY/AFFILIATION: SIEMENS AG
7
   E-MAIL: stefan.lueder@siemens.com
8
   REQUESTED REVISION:
    STANDARD: IEEE 802.3-2018
9
     CLAUSE NUMBER: 99.1
10
11
     CLAUSE TITLE: Introduction
12
13
   PROPOSED REVISION TEXT:
14
   Original
15
    This clause specifies an optional MAC merge sublayer for use with a pair
16
    of full-duplex MACs and a single PHY operating at 100 Mb/s or higher on a
17
    point-to-point link.
18
19
   Proposed solution
20
   This clause specifies an optional MAC merge sublayer for use with a pair
21
   of full-duplex MACs and a single PHY operating at 10 Mb/s or higher on a
22
    point-to-point link. [only this first sentence of the clause is proposed
23
    to be modified]
24
25
    RATIONALE FOR REVISION:
26
    The text being changed is purely descriptive, but is incorrect.
27
    Full-duplex MAC/PHY combinations with 10BASE-T are compatible with clause
28
   99 operation, and now with the addition Clauses 146 and 147, the 10BASE-
29
    T1L and full-duplex 10BASE-T1S PHYs are as well.
30
    Industrial automation (factory and process automation) as well as
31
    building automation plans for TSN-networks with line-topologies of
32
    several switches connected via 10 Mb/s links (10BaseTX and 10BASE-T1L).
33
   To minimize latency and maximize bandwidth utilization in this line, the
34
    TSN feature "preemption" is required.
    Therefore we propose to change 100 Mb/s to 10Mb/s in 99.1.
35
36
37
    IMPACT ON EXISTING NETWORKS:
38
    none
39
40
   +-----+
41
    |Please attach supporting material, if any
42
    |Submit to:- David Law, Chair IEEE 802.3
    | and copy:- Adam Healey, Vice-Chair IEEE 802.3
43
44
45
    |At:- E-Mail: stds-802-3-maint-req@ieee.org
46
47
                +------ For official use -----+
48
                | REV REQ NUMBER: 1357
49
                | DATE RECEIVED: 13 January 2020
                | EDITORIAL/TECHNICAL
| ACCEPTED/DENIED
50
51
52
                | BALLOT REQ'D YES/NO
53
                | COMMENTS:
54
   | For information about this Revision Request see -
55
56 | http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/revision history.html#REQ1357 |
```

+-----

57