

1 +-----+  
2 | REVISION REQUEST |  
3 +-----+  
4

5 DATE: 29 April 2023  
6 NAME: David Law  
7 AFFILIATION: Hewlett Packard Enterprise  
8 E-MAIL: dlaw@hpe.com  
9

10 REQUESTED REVISION:  
11 STANDARD: IEEE Std 802.3-2022  
12 CLAUSE NUMBER: 99.4.7.1  
13 CLAUSE TITLE: State diagram conventions  
14

15 PROPOSED REVISION TEXT:

16  
17 Change the first sentence of the second paragraph of subclause  
18 99.4.7.1 'State diagram conventions' that reads:

19  
20 'The notation used in the state diagrams follows the conventions of  
21 21.5.'

22  
23 to read:

24  
25 'The notation used in the state diagrams follows the conventions of 21.5  
26 with the one exception that functions called within a state have to  
27 complete before the exit conditions from the state are evaluated.'  
28

29 RATIONALE FOR REVISION:

30  
31 IEEE 802.3-2022 subclause 99.4.7.1 'State diagram conventions' says that  
32 'The notation used in the state diagrams follows the conventions of  
33 21.5.'. Subclause 21.5.1 'Actions inside state blocks' says that  
34 'The actions inside a state block execute instantaneously. Actions inside  
35 state blocks are atomic (i.e., uninterruptible).' and 'After performing  
36 all the actions listed in a state block one time, the state block then  
37 continuously evaluates its exit conditions until one is satisfied, at  
38 which point control passes through a transition arrow to the next  
39 block.'  
40

41 There is, however, a disconnect between this state diagram notation, and  
42 the time taken to complete the functions called inside some of the states  
43 in Clause 99. Take the example of the START\_PREAMBLE state in Figure 99-5  
44 'Transmit Processing state diagram'. On entry to the state, the rTX\_DATA  
45 function will be called with the parameter pTX\_DATA. This will generate  
46 eight rPLS\_DATA.request primitives based on eight pPLS\_DATA.request  
47 primitives, in summary, each bit will be sourced from the pMAC and passed  
48 to the RS.  
49

50 While the rTX\_DATA function call itself could conceptually be  
51 instantaneous, as required for an action in a state by the state diagram  
52 convention, the function itself will take a finite time to complete as  
53 the rate at which rPLS\_DATA.request will be serviced by the RS will be  
54 determined by the bit transmit time.  
55

56 The state diagram convention however states that after executing the  
57 action inside a state, the exit conditions are evaluated until one is

1 satisfied, at which point control passes to that state. In this case the  
2 exit conditions !SFD\_DET and SFD\_DET are evaluated, and since only the  
3 first byte of preamble has been sourced from the pMAC, the exit  
4 conditions !SFD\_DET will be true. As a result, the START\_PREAMBLE state  
5 will be re-entered instantaneously and the rTX\_DATA function will be  
6 called again. This will be before the RS has had a chance to service the  
7 rPLS\_DATA.requests from the previous call.  
8  
9

10 IMPACT ON EXISTING NETWORKS:

11  
12 None. This is just a clarification of the operation of the state diagram  
13 operation. Existing implementations must wait until functions have been  
14 completed, if they did not they would not operate correctly.  
15  
16

17 +-----+  
18 |Please attach supporting material, if any |  
19 |Submit to:- David Law, Chair IEEE 802.3 |  
20 |and copy:- Adam Healey, Vice-Chair IEEE 802.3 |  
21 | |  
22 |At:- E-Mail: stds-802-3-maint-req@ieee.org |  
23 | |  
24 | +----- For official use -----+ |  
25 | | REV REQ NUMBER: 1412 | |  
26 | | DATE RECEIVED: 6 August, 2023 | |  
27 | | EDITORIAL/TECHNICAL | |  
28 | | ACCEPTED/DENIED | |  
29 | | BALLOT REQ'D YES/NO | |  
30 | | COMMENTS: | |  
31 +-----+  
32 | For information about this Revision Request see - |  
33 |[http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/revision\\_history.html#REQ1412](http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/revision_history.html#REQ1412) |  
34 +-----+