```
2
                       REVISION REQUEST
3
    +-----+
4
5
    DATE: 2 September 2023
6
    NAME: David Law
7
    AFFILIATION: Hewlett Packard Enterprise
8
    E-MAIL: dlaw@hpe.com
9
10
    REQUESTED REVISION:
11
     STANDARD: IEEE Std 802.3-2022
12
     CLAUSE NUMBER: 149.8.2.1
13
     CLAUSE TITLE: MDI return loss
14
    PROPOSED REVISION TEXT:
15
16
    Change the 'less than or equal to' symbol in equation 149-27:
17
18
    MDI Return Loss(f) <= \{...\} (dB) (149-27)
19
20
    to a 'greater than or equal to' symbol:
21
22
    MDI Return Loss(f) >= \{...\} (dB) (149-27)
23
24
25
    RATIONALE FOR REVISION:
26
27
28
    Subclause 149.8.2.1 'MDI return loss' says that 'The differential
29
    impedance at the MDI ... for each transmit/receive channel shall be such
30
    that any reflection ... is attenuated relative to the incident signal per
31
    Equation (149-27).'.
32
33
    It isn't correct to require the MDI return loss to be less than or equal
34
    to the value specified, that is to require that any reflection be
35
    attenuated less than or equal to the value specified, as the equation
```

Instead, the MDI return should be required to be greater than or equal to the value specified, that is to require that any reflection is attenuated greater than or equal to the value specified, as proposed in this change.

## IMPACT ON EXISTING NETWORKS:

currently requires.

This change will impact any  $2.5 \, \text{GBASE-T1}$ ,  $5 \, \text{GBASE-T1}$ , or  $10 \, \text{GBASE-T1}$  PHY implementations that have a return loss value that is less than the value specified.

```
2
    |Please attach supporting material, if any
   |Submit to:- David Law, Chair IEEE 802.3
|and copy:- Adam Healey, Vice-Chair IEEE 802.3
3
5
   |At:- E-Mail: stds-802-3-maint-req@ieee.org
6
7
8
                +----- For official use -----+
                | REV REQ NUMBER: 1415
9
10
                | DATE RECEIVED: 7 September, 2023
11
                | EDITORIAL/TECHNICAL
12
                | ACCEPTED/DENIED
13
                | BALLOT REQ'D YES/NO
                | COMMENTS:
14
15
    | For information about this Revision Request see -
16
17
   |http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/revision history.html#REQ1415 |
18
```