

1 +-----+
2 | REVISION REQUEST |
3 +-----+
4 DATE: 2023/10/19
5 NAME: Matt Brown
6 COMPANY/AFFILIATION: Alphawave Semi
7 E-MAIL: mbrown8023@gmail.com
8
9 REQUESTED REVISION:
10 STANDARD: IEEE Std 802.3ck-2022
11 CLAUSE NUMBER: 163
12 CLAUSE TITLE: Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and baseband
13 medium, type 100GBASE-KR1, 200GBASE-KR2, and 400GBASE-KR4
14
15 PROPOSED REVISION TEXT:
16 In 163.9.2.1 add the following sentence, similar to text in 93.8.1.1:
17 "The connection from TP0v to the test equipment is AC-coupled."
18 In 163.9.3.2 add the following sentence, similar to text in 93.8.2.1:
19 "The connection from the test equipment to TP5v is AC-coupled."
20
21 RATIONALE FOR REVISION:
22 The 100 Gb/s KR channel is defined to include a DC block as shown in
23 Figure 163-2 and as specified in 163.10.7. One might therefore expect
24 that the transmitter need not be affected by a DC termination to an
25 arbitrary voltage level as set by the receiver common-mode. Thus it would
26 be reasonable and expected to test the transmitter with a DC block.
27 However, in the transmitter characteristics subclause 163.9.2, the text
28 fixture definition in 163.9.2.1, the TP0v method in Annex 163A there is
29 no mention of a DC block. This is a departure from 25 Gb/s backplane
30 (Clause 93) and 50 Gb/s backplane (Clause 92).
31 For 25 Gb/s backplane, a DC block is explicitly defined in 93.8.1.1. For
32 50 Gb/s backplane, the same is specified in 137.9.1 by reference back to
33 93.8.1.1. It seems that somehow this was lost for 100 Gb/s backplane in
34 802.3ck.
35
36 IMPACT ON EXISTING NETWORKS:
37 Given that 100 Gb/s KR transmitters and receivers are designed to support
38 a channel with AC-coupling they are likely intended to be tested with a
39 DC block. Furthermore, adding a requirement for DC block to the test
40 fixture definition should not affect conformance of transmitters or
41 receivers already in use. There should be no adverse impact on existing
42 networks. On the other hand, this update would alleviate some questions
43 regarding how a KR transmitter or receiver should be tested.
44

```
1 +-----+
2 | Please attach supporting material, if any |
3 | Submit to:- David Law, Chair IEEE 802.3 |
4 | and copy:- Adam Healey, Vice-Chair IEEE 802.3 |
5 | |
6 | At:- E-Mail: stds-802-3-maint-req@ieee.org |
7 | |
8 | | +----- For official use -----+ |
9 | | REV REQ NUMBER: 1423 |
10 | | DATE RECEIVED: 8 November 2023 |
11 | | EDITORIAL/TECHNICAL |
12 | | ACCEPTED/DENIED |
13 | | BALLOT REQ'D YES/NO |
14 | | COMMENTS: |
15 +-----+
16 | For information about this Revision Request see - |
17 | http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/revision\_history.html#REQ1423 |
18 +-----+
```