IEEE 802.3 Frame Expansion CFI/ad hoc Report

Kevin Daines

15 July 2004

Outline

- Progress related to work request
- Concerns raised
- Questions for IEEE 802.1
- Plan for IEEE 802.3 closing
- Plan for September interim

Tue & Wed

- Met all day Tuesday in ad hoc mtg
 - Discussed concerns
 - Reviewed proposed frame format changes
 - Created list of questions for IEEE 802.1
 - Captured items to research in September
- Presented progress in IEEE 802.1/802.3 joint technical plenary Wednesday morning

Summary of concerns

- Is this absolutely necessary?
- Backward compatibility?
- Additional space used only for headers not for additional data payload!
- Impacts to QoS/overall efficiency?

Research topics

- Frame size limitations of:
 - Existing equipment below MAC (elasticity buffers, block coding, delimiters)
 - Existing equipment above MAC (FIFO, fabric)
 - Links with FEC (EPON)
 - Rate compensation (WAN PHY, EFM Copper)
- Effect of increased overhead on performance, especially in aggregation
- Feasibility of reducing MTU of installed base of clients
- Use of IEEE 802.1AB for discovery
- Straw man frame format modifications

Straw polls

- Ad-hoc: Request IEEE 802.3 form Frame Expansion SG
 - 22-0 (29 in room)
 - 18 would participate, 12 separate companies
- Ad-hoc: Prefer co-location with (chose one)
 - 802.1 (10/4, Ottawa)? 13
 - 802.3 TF/SGs (week of 9/27, west PA)? 5
- .1/.3 Joint Tech: Would you attend the Frame Expansion SG (Chicago rules):
 - with .3, in ~PA, week of 9/27? 20
 - with .1, in Ottawa, week of 10/4? 36

Plan for September

- Hear presentations on research items
- Review frame format proposals
- Finalize PAR/5 criteria
- Determine if PAR should be pre-submitted to NESCOM for Dec standards board meeting

Motion

Move that IEEE 802.3 WG creates IEEE 802.3 Frame Expansion Study Group

- Move: K. Daines
- Second: S. Muller

- Procedural (>50%)
- 802.3 voters Y: 45 N: 0 A: 4
- PASSES

Other business

- Present draft liaison responses
 - IEEE 802.1
 - ITU-T Q9, 12/SG15