Date: 18/05/2023 Document: **3N_1350_1WD** Project: **14763-2**

MB/ NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
802. 3-1	1015	5.3.4.1	Figure 2	Т	The "~460" number in the bottom right boxes is incorrect. The maximum current per conductor for 802.3 compliant PDs is 433mA.	Change "~460" to "433"	
802. 3-2	1022	5.3.4.1		E	The editor's note asks if the intention is to not allow RP1 or RP2 where 11801-2, -3, -4, and -6 apply. It is the IEEE 802.3 WG understanding that this is correct, meaning one must use RP3 to support.	Delete the editor's note.	
802.	1024	5.3.4.2		Т	This comment is a consolidated solution for the comments 802.3-4 through 802.3-13. The proposed change is the resulting text from accepting all these comments. The comments are entered separately to explain all the requested changes and also to allow SC25 WG3 to consider each change individually.	 Replace 5.3.4.2 with: 5.3.4.2 Remote powering for 1 pair balanced cabling For 1 pair balanced cabling in accordance with the ISO/IEC 11801 series, remote powering equipment shall not supply more than 2000 mA per conductor (i.e. i_c ≤ 2000 mA). There is only one category of single pair cabling for remote power: Category RP3-1P: attachment of the remote powering equipment at a distributor is unrestricted subject to the limit of i_c ≤ 2000 mA. Category RP3-1P installations require planning and installation practices (see Clauses 7 and 8) together with documentation and administrative controls during subsequent extensions of the cabling (see 9.2.2.4.1). For installation of cabling in accordance with ISO/IEC 11801-2, ISO/IEC 11801-5 and ISO/IEC 11801-4, ISO/IEC 11801-5 and ISO/IEC 	

Date: 18/05/2023 Document: **3N_1350_1WD** Project: **14763-2**

MB/ NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
						11801-6, the planning, installation and administration requirements of Category RP3-1P (OR: this clause) shall be applied.	
						(this text is attached below for convenience)	
802. 3-4	1041	5.3.4.2		Т	The IEEE 802.3 WG agrees that there is no legacy 1P cabling and RP1-1P and RP2-1P are unnecessary.	Delete the editor's note	
802. 3-5		5.3.4.2		Т	The IEEE 802.3 WG agrees with the editor's note on line 1041. This would mean that RP1-1P and RP2-1P are deleted. The proposed changes remove the text	Delete on line 1027 "and the installations shall be designated in one of the following Categories:", and replace with a period to close the sentence.	
					associated with RP1-1P and RP2-1P.	Delete lines 1028 – 1034 (RP1-1P)	
						Delete lines 1052 – 1059 (RP2-1P)	
802. 3-6	1036	5.3.4.2	Equation (1)	Т	First, the equation is mislabelled as (1), conflicting with line 998.	Delete lines 1034 - 1040	
					But further, the equation is unnecessary. As we are talking 1 pair cable, N is always 2 and n is always 2. Therefore $i_c = i_{c-average}$, resulting in no use for two terms for single pair.		
802. 3-7	1060	5.3.4.2		E	If there are no categories, RP3-1P can be replaced with anything else, perhaps "single pair cabling". It is understood that it is convenient to have a category to remove any ambiguity when talking about the cabling. A rejection of this comment will be understood if that's the case.	Replace RP3-1P with "single pair cabling" throughout the document (search and replace).	
802. 3-8	1065	5.3.4.2		Е	Table 1 should be Table 2 (but only if this table stays, next comment will call for deleting the table).	Change "1" to "2"	
802. 3-9	1067	5.3.4.2	Table 2	Т	With the deletion of all but one cable type, this table is no longer necessary.	Delete Table 2.	

Date: 18/05/2023 Document: **3N_1350_1WD** Project: **14763-2**

MB/ NC ¹	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
802. 3-10	1069	5.3.4.2		Т	With the deletion of all but one cable type, this sentence, and the following sentence, is no longer needed as there will always be a consistent category of 1P cable.	Delete lines 1069 – 1072	
802. 3-11	1073	5.3.4.2		Т	As explained in comment 802.3-6, $i_c = i_{c-average}$ so there is no need for Figure X.	Delete lines 1073 – 1076	
802. 3-12	1077	5.3.4.2		Т	With the deletion of all but one cable type, there is no differentiation for an engineered solution needed. IEEE 802.3 would point out that a pointer to 11801-9911 for engineered solutions using RP1, RP2, and RP3 could be placed here.	Delete lines 1077 – 1078	
802. 3-13	1082	5.3.4.2		Е	The IEEE 802.3 working group agrees with the statement if more than one category remains, but it's moot since there should only be one category for 1P cable.	Delete the editor's note.	
802. 3-14	1074	5.3.4.2		Т	Editor's note on 1075 calls for a Figure X. If categories are maintained, a Figure X will be required. Please accept attached as a contribution for Figure X. Additionally, Figure X might prove useful for 11801-9911 compliant installations. Please accept this table contribution if that is the case.	See attached for Figure X proposal, attached below. If figure X is used, this text accompanies it: "Values of i_c and maximum available power for remote powering single pair applications of IEEE 802.3 are available in Figure X."	
_ 							

Date: 18/05/2023	Document: 3N_1350_1WD	Project: 14763-2
------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

Observations of the secretariat

3/	Lin numl	oer	Su	lause/ bclause	P	aragı Figu Tab	raph/ ire/ le/	Typ comr	e of nent ²			Comi	ments					Propo	sed char	nge
	(e.g.	17)	(e	e.g. 3.1)	(e.		ible 1)													
FC	R CO	MME	ENT	802.3-	14:															_
C	lass		0	1		2	3	4		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	4
Pr in pr	faximum ower at oput to owered evice (W)		0.5	1		3	5	1	:	3 5	10	30	50	1.23	3.2	8.4	7.7	20	52	:
re p	o of emote owering airs																		1	
	c (mA)		101	227	24	9	471	97	339	215	461	735	1360	92	240	632	231	600	1579	4
av (n	nA)	N/A fo	r single	pair powe	ering										1	Γ	1	1	1	
	fax Loop esistance (2)			6									6.5	65	25	9.5	65	25	9.5	Max Power
	upported y RP1-1P	Yes	N	lo	No	No	,	/es	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	
	upported y RP2-1P	Yes	Ye	es	Yes	Yes	\	/es	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	
Si b	upported y RP3-1P	Yes	Y	es	Yes	Yes	١	/es	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Si Si b	able haring upported y Class D o II 0.75A)	Yes	Y	es	Yes	Yes		/es	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Si Si b to (O R)	0.75A) in P3 Installatio	Yes	Y	es	Yes	Yes	,	/es	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	

^{*} Maximum power has Resistance restrictions (Not always at 65 Ohm)

FOR COMMENT 802.3-3

5.3.4.2 Remote powering for 1 pair balanced cabling

For 1 pair balanced cabling in accordance with the ISO/IEC 11801 series, remote powering equipment shall not supply more than 2000 mA per conductor (i.e. $i_c \le 2000$ mA).

There is only one category of single pair cabling for remote power:

Category RP3-1P: attachment of the remote powering equipment at a distributor is unrestricted subject to the limit of $i_c \le 2000$ mA.

Category RP3-1P installations require planning and installation practices (see Clauses 7 and 8) together with documentation and administrative controls during subsequent extensions of the cabling (see 9.2.2.4.1).

For installation of cabling in accordance with ISO/IEC 11801-2, ISO/IEC 11801-3, ISO/IEC 11801-4, ISO/IEC 11801-5 and ISO/IEC 11801-6, the planning, installation and administration requirements of Category RP3-1P (OR: this clause) shall be applied.