

IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group Liaison Communication

Source: IEEE 802.3 Working Group¹

To: Klaus-Holger Otto Technical Committee Chair, OIF
klaus-holger.otto@nokia.com

Kimberly Chiu Project Manager, OIF
liaisons@oiforum.com

Ed Frlan Technical Committee Vice-Chair, OIF
efrlan@semtech.com

CC: Konstantinos Karachalios Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board
Secretary, IEEE-SA Board of Governors
sasecretary@ieee.org

Paul Nikolich Chair, IEEE 802 LMSC
p.nikolich@ieee.org

Adam Healey Vice-chair, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group
adam.healey@broadcom.com

Pete Anslow Secretary, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group
panslow@ciena.com

John D'Ambrosia Chair, IEEE 802.3 Beyond 10 km Optical PHYs Study Group
jdambrosia@ieee.org

From: David Law Chair, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group
dlaw@hpe.com

Subject: Liaison to OIF from IEEE 802.3 on 400ZR Interop Project

Approval: Agreed to at IEEE 802.3 Interim Meeting, Charlotte, NC, USA, 14th September 2017

Dear Mr. Otto and members of the OIF,

We would like to inform the OIF that the IEEE 802.3 “Beyond 10 km Optical PHYs” Study Group held its formative meeting at our September 2017 Interim Meeting series, in Charlotte, NC, USA.

Further details of the meeting may be found at http://www.ieee802.org/3/B10K/public/17_09/index.html. Please note that this effort is still in the early stages and is not an approved standards project at this time.

We would also like to thank the OIF for its update on the 400ZR Interop Project.

Based on IEEE P802.3bs Draft 3.3 that was forwarded to the OIF via a liaison dated 13th July 2017, we have some comments, which address the following statement from your communication –

“...confirmed system-side interface support for 802.3bs, including PMD 400G AUI, running over compliant 8-lane 56G PAM-4 electrical interface, with KP4 FEC Encoding/Decode per 802.3bj, CL91.5.3.3, aka Mode A.”

¹ This document solely represents the views of the IEEE 802.3 Working Group, and does not necessarily represent a position of the IEEE, the IEEE Standards Association, or IEEE 802.

This statement appears to indicate that the 400ZR Interop Project will support a 400GAUI Interface. It is unclear from this statement if the OIF is indicating support for the 400GAUI-8 C2C or 400GAUI-8 C2M interface. It is believed that the C2M variant was intended.

Please note that the 400GAUI is an electrical interface, not a PMD, as noted. Additionally, for the 400GAUI-8 interfaces, the FEC encoding / decoding is part of the 400GBASE-R PCS, which is fully defined in Clause 119.

In order to properly appreciate the OIF's selection of a concatenated Staircase Hard Decision (SC-HD) + Soft Decision (SD) Hamming Code FEC for the 400ZR single carrier coherent channel implementation, further information defining the transition between the 400GAUI-8 interface and the selected FEC encapsulation is requested.

For this type of application, the IEEE P802.3bs Task Force defined the optional 400GMII Extender, which is specified in Clause 118. Through the use of the 400GMII extender, the FEC specified by the 400GBASE-R PCS is terminated via the PHY 400GXS sublayer back to the 400GMII. The PHY 400GXS is identical in function to the 400GBASE-R PCS in Clause 119 with the addition of the functions defined in Clause 118.2. Please refer to Figure 119-2 for a functional diagram of the 400GBASE-R PCS. From this new 400GMII instance, a new PCS, potentially including a new FEC, can then be applied. Conversely, one might just encapsulate the data stream with the new FEC scheme.

It is appreciated that this is an area that may be under development by the OIF, and we would look forward to any future communications detailing the project, so that we can understand it in relation to 400 Gb/s Ethernet.

Sincerely,

David Law

Chair, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group