

IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group
Liaison Communication

Source: IEEE 802.3 Working Group¹

To: Kingston Zhang ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6/AG 4 Convenor
[REDACTED]

CC: Hyun Kook Kahng ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6 Chair
[REDACTED]

Jungyup Oh ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6 Committee manager
[REDACTED]

Andrew Dryden ISO Technical Programme Manager
[REDACTED]

Konstantinos Karachalios Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board
Secretary, IEEE-SA Board of Governors
[REDACTED]

Paul Nikolich Chair, IEEE 802 LMSC
[REDACTED]

Adam Healey Vice-chair, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group
[REDACTED]

Jon Lewis Secretary, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group
[REDACTED]

Peter Yee Chair, IEEE 802 JTC1 Standing Committee
[REDACTED]

From: David Law Chair, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group
[REDACTED]

Subject: Review of 'Proposing LAN/Ethernet MCS Gap Analysis'

Approval: Agreed at IEEE 802.3 interim meeting, Campinas, Brazil, 14 September 2023

Dear Mr Zhang and members of ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6/AG 4,

The IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group understands that ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6/AG 4 invited our review of 'Proposing LAN/Ethernet MCS Gap Analysis' (ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6/AG 4 N 107). In a brief, non-comprehensive review, we observed several errors and issues with the document.

These include the assumption that groups of IEEE 802.3 Ethernet physical layer devices (PHYs) use a single modulation and coding scheme, whereas they use many different

¹ This document solely represents the views of the IEEE 802.3 Working Group and does not necessarily represent a position of the IEEE, the IEEE Standards Association, or IEEE 802.

modulation and coding schemes. There also seems to be an assumption that higher rates were enabled solely by improvements in the media, whereas, for example, the same category of twisted-pair cabling is supported at higher data rates through improved signalling techniques. It is also notable that there is no consideration for the multiple different noise environments seen in the different IEEE 802.3 Ethernet applications, as well as the economic considerations of the applications, both of which are important considerations in Modulation and Coding Scheme (MCS) specifications in IEEE 802.3 Ethernet standards. Finally, there are references to an IEEE 802.3 Ethernet PHY that does not exist; namely, 50GBASE-T.

The breadth and variety of PHY modulation and coding schemes found in IEEE Std 802.3 Standard for Ethernet you propose to analyse will either result in a report that is too basic to provide useful information or will take a very long time. Further, we struggle to see the value in refining a document looking at existing specifications, well-accepted in the marketplace and we are therefore not inclined to participate further in ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6/AG 4's work. Instead, we remind participants in ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6/AG 4 that are interested in advancing MCS work in IEEE 802.3 Ethernet standards that IEEE 802.3 standards activities are open to all individuals. The IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group invites all interested individuals to participate through contributions to IEEE 802.3 Ethernet activities.

Sincerely,
David Law
Chair, IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group