



Performance Simulations of 1 Gb/s Networks

Mohan Kalkunte

mohan.kalkunte@amd.com

Jayant Kadambi

jayant.kadambi@amd.com

Advanced Micro Devices

Sunnyvale, CA 94088



Objective

- Analyze the impact of increased slot time on performance

	100BASET	1000BASET
min. packet size	64	64
slot time	64	512

Ref: Howard Frazier's Presentation, "Scaling CSMA/CD to 1000 Mbps, January 11, 1996

Packet Size Distribution



- Collected by Howard Frazier
 - Distribution of packet sizes using “etherfind” program on the following networks
 - » SUN 100 Workgroup
 - » AMD 10 Workgroup
 - » 3Com FDDI Backbone
- Averaged workgroup traffic across the networks and used as input distribution to the model

AMD



Experiment 1



- 100 Mbps Network
- 15 stations
- 64 byte slot times
- 200 m network diameter
- Packet Size Distributions - Work group Average
- Load varying from 10% to 100% with same packet size distributions

Experiment 2

- 1000 Mbps Network
- 15 stations
- 512 byte slot times
- 200m network diameter
- Packet Size Distributions - Work group Average
- Load varying from 10% to 100% with same packet size distributions

Experiment 3

- 1000 Mbps Network
 - 15 stations
 - 512 byte slot times
 - 200 m network diameter
 - Background load 100 Mbps (10%) with packet size distributions according to workgroup average
 - Bursty traffic injected every 25 ms with a burst of 200 frames (size = 1024 bytes), adding 65 Mbps of load
 - Stations adding bursty traffic varying from 1 to 13
-



Performance Measures

- Performance characterized in terms of
 - Network Throughput
 - » Amount of traffic the network can carry under the given offered load
 - Collision Likelihood
 - » Probability that a packet experiences one or more collisions
 - Deference Likelihood
 - » Probability that a packet waits upon arrival at the MAC
 - Number of consecutive packets
 - » The number of consecutive packets a station transmits on the network until there is a receive on the wire
 - Access Latency
 - » Waiting time of a frame when it is the head of the MAC queue until successful transmission
-



Packet Access Latency: Comparison

	100Mbps	1000Mbps	100Mbps w/ Bursty Traffic
95th Percentile μ s	218.0	12.5	14.7
99th Percentile μ s	2040.10	25.8	165.8



Observations

- **Network throughput**
 - Network Throughput levels at a lower % offered load for 1000 Mbps compared to 100 Mbps because of packet size distributions and increased slot times
 - As frame size increases, throughput increases for same offered load
 - **Collision Likelihood**
 - Peaks at lower % offered load for 1000 Mbps compared to 100 Mbps
 - Then decreases due to increased number of packet discards (resulting in an increase in capture effect)
-



Observations (contd.)

- Due to increased slot time; throughput, collision likelihood and deference likelihood peak at lower % offered load
- Access Latency
 - Mean access latency scales down by a factor of 10 for 1000 Mbps