Corrigenda to 802.5:1998 and Amd.1:1998 802.5w/d1.2: Full Comment Report

Comment JR-04

Section .0   **Line** 0   **Severity** A/C   **Type** ED   **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee ☐   **Commenter Agrees?** ☐   **Editing Complete** ☑

Concern: When referring to changes to the base standard, try to make each reference as specific as possible. For example: Table 3 on page 10, 1.2.3; page 15, 1.3.5, etc.

Solution:

Response: No change necessary. The references in the Changed Text sections are specific, and these are the only sections to be published.

Comment JR-03

Section .0   **Line** 0   **Severity** A/C   **Type** ED   **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee ☐   **Commenter Agrees?** ☐   **Editing Complete** ☑

Concern: References in text to specific figures, tables, causes and annexes should be capitalized as follows: Figure 5, Annex A, etc.

Solution:

Response: Accept. In the change the change descriptions on pages 15, 16 and 17, change "figure" to "Figure".
Change "table" to "Table" in the header to Change 6, page 8, lines 1 and 2.
Change "subclause" to "Subclause" or "clause" to "Clause" on page 4 line 28; page 5 line 5; page 9 line 14; page 9 line 54; page 10 lines 3, 5, 12, 17, 27; page 12 line 35.
Change "annex" to "Annex" on page 8 line 34; page 9 line 24.

Comment JR-01

Section .0   **Line** 0   **Severity** A/C   **Type** ED   **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee ☐   **Commenter Agrees?** ☐   **Editing Complete** ☑

Concern: This document is missing an Abstract and a list of Keywords. These should be added to the Front Matter.

Solution:

Response: These do exist but were not circulated in the ballot. As with 802.5:1998 and Amd.1:1998, there is no room on the front page for these and so they will be placed on the inside front cover.

Comment CO-01

Section .1   **Line** 1   **Severity** A/C   **Type** ED   **Status** REJECTED

Highlight To Committee ☐   **Commenter Agrees?** ☐   **Editing Complete** ☑

Concern: I have not being able to download the documents. I do not have enough memory or my processor is too slow. The fact is that I can not review them. Sorry. I will try to clean some of my files and give it another try.

Solution:

Response: This comment is non-responsive and will be ignored.

Comment JR-02

Section 2.0   **Line** 0   **Severity** A/C   **Type** ED   **Status** REJECTED

Highlight To Committee☐   **Commenter Agrees?**☐   **Editing Complete**☑

Concern: Introduction to reference list missing.

Solution: Add: "This Corrigenda shall be used in conjunction with the following publications. If the following publications are superseded by an approved revision, the revision will apply." If, for any reason the latest version of a standard is not meant to be referenced, please explain this in the introduction to the list, or remove this statement from the introduction.

Response: Reject. The references are to the specific documents being corrected. Thus, they must be these specific versions. No change is required.

Comment MJH-03

Section 9.3   **Line** 10   **Severity** A/C   **Type** ED   **Status** REJECTED

Highlight To Committee☐   **Commenter Agrees?**☐   **Editing Complete**☑

Concern: The test FPTI needs an underscore to indicate a change from the base document.

Solution: for TEDb underscore both FPTAS and FPTI
"[TS=PTXN; FPTAS=FPTI=1;"

Response: This change is in section 4, changes to Amd.1. That document already has the FPTI change.

Comment MJH-02

Section 9.3   **Line** 40   **Severity** A/C   **Type** ED   **Status** MODIFIED

Highlight To Committee☐   **Commenter Agrees?**☐   **Editing Complete**☑

Concern: This section concerns inserting the definition of flag FPTAS prior to FPTXC. The original document should have the definition for FPTXC after the next definition FPTI. (that is the definitions would be in the following order:- FPTAS, FPTI and FPTXC).

Solution: Move the definition for FPTI to before FPTXC, or mark it for movement in the next errata. Change the text starting at line 40 to match lines 35-36, that is, 'Insert ...following the definition of FPSLD...

Response: Modify the editing instruction for the insertion of FPTAS to include the phrase "and reorder the definitions alphabetically".

Comment GEM-01

Section A.1   **Line** 1   **Severity** A/C   **Type** ED   **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee☐   **Commenter Agrees?**☐   **Editing Complete**☑

Concern: For the forms in Annex A, consider adding a space between the brackets in the PICs "[]" to provide more room for the check marks "[ ]".

Solution:

Response: NAJ recommends acceptance.

Comment JR-05

Section A.2 **Line** 46 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** REJECTED

Highlight To Committee☐ **Commenter Agrees?**☐ **Editing Complete**☑

Concern: In Annex A, remove A.2.2, Abbreviations. Since there are no applicable abbreviations in this document, there is no need to include the subclause heading.

Solution:

Response: This comment is based on a misunderstanding. There is one applicable abbreviation: "N/A: Not Applicable". This is funny, but true.

Comment MJH-10

Section A.6 **Line** 23 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee☐ **Commenter Agrees?**☐ **Editing Complete**☑

Concern: Missing item TSRW.

Solution: Add Item TSRW, reference 10.4.1.13

Response: NAJ recommends acceptance.

Comment MJH-09

Section A.6 **Line** 24 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee☐ **Commenter Agrees?**☐ **Editing Complete**☑

Concern: Subcluse = A.6.4.2

References from TSSL onwards need incrementing by one.

Solution: Change 10.4.1.13 to 10.4.1.14 and so on.

Response: NAJ recommends acceptance.

Comment MJH-08

Section A.6 **Line** 35 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** MODIFIED

Highlight To Committee☐ **Commenter Agrees?**☐ **Editing Complete**☑

Concern: Subclause A.6.4.3

All refernces in this subclause are incorrect.

Solution: Fix them to match the references on page 221-222 of ISO/IEC 8802-5: 1998/Amd. 1 :

Response: NAJ recommends acceptance.
JLM: Also add missing item TPLMTF.

Comment MJH-11

Section A.6 **Line** 37 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee☐ **Commenter Agrees?**☐ **Editing Complete**☑

Concern: Missing Items TPBLT and TPDLT

Solution: Add items TPBLT, reference 10.4.2.1 and TPDLT 10.4.2.2

Response: NAJ recommends acceptance.

Comment MJH-06

Section A.6   **Line** 42   **Severity** A/C   **Type** ED   **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee☐   **Commenter Agrees?**☐   **Editing Complete**☑

Concern: Spelling error on FP9a and FP9b

Solution: Change 'info field' to 'information field'

Response: NAJ recommends acceptance.
NAJ: Also change FP10a, FP10band FP10c to use "information".

Comment MJH-07

Section A.6   **Line** 44   **Severity** A/C   **Type** ED   **Status** MODIFIED

Highlight To Committee☐   **Commenter Agrees?**☐   **Editing Complete**☑

Concern: Items TPPD and TPPLD have invalid references 14.x.x.x

Solution: Change references to ones within the scope of the documents.

Response: NAJ: Remove TPPD and TPPLD entries (HSTR only).

Comment MJH-01

Section A.6   **Line** 47   **Severity** A/C   **Type** ED   **Status** REJECTED

Highlight To Committee☐   **Commenter Agrees?**☐   **Editing Complete**☑

Concern: Page = 24
Line = 47-49, 51-53
Subclause = a.6.2

This has been reworded and reformatted from the base PICS. I
prefer having all the information for FP10b in one box (and for FP10c), but
that is just a preference. I didn't see any other Items that were split into
two boxes.

Solution: Combine item FP10b and following blank item into one box.
Combine item FP10c and following blank item into one box.

Response: NAJ: It uses two lines because there are two answers to give.

Comment MJH-05

Section A.6   **Line** 47   **Severity** A/C   **Type** ED   **Status** MODIFIED

Highlight To Committee☐   **Commenter Agrees?**☐   **Editing Complete**☑

Concern: Subclause = A.6.2
Line = 47-79, 51-53

field and octets missing from description

Solution: Change 'info field length (133 min)' to 'information field receive length
(Minimum 133 octets)'

Response: NAJ: "Maximum LLC frame, information receive length (133 octet minimum)"
JLM proposal: "Maximum LLC frame information field receive length (133 octet
minimum)".

Comment MJH-12

Section A.7 **Line** 11 **Severity** A/C **Type** ED **Status** ACCEPTED

Highlight To Committee☐ **Commenter Agrees?** ☐ **Editing Complete** ☑

Concern: ```
Subclause = A.7.1.6.2
Item FIBRC3b has incorrect status
```

**Solution:** ```
For Item FIBRC3b change DR4:M to DR16:M
```

Response: ```
NAJ recommends acceptance.
```

## Comment MJH-04

**Section** M.3  **Line** 1  **Severity** A/C  **Type** ED  **Status** REJECTED

**Highlight To Committee**☐  **Commenter Agrees?** ☐  **Editing Complete** ☑

**Concern:** ```
Figure M.3

The flag FPTI needs an underscore to indicate a change from the base
document.  This is on S/T "TEDb", Actions.
```

Solution: ```
for TEDb underscore both FPTAS and FPTI
"TS=PTXN; FPTAS=FPTI=1;"
```

**Response:** ```
See MJH-03.
```

Corrigenda to 802.5:1998 and Amd.1:1998 802.5w/d1.2: Comment Index

Corrigenda to 802.5:1998 and Amd.1:1998 802.5w/d1.2: Comment Summary

	ED	TECH
A/C	19	0
DIS	0	0
Q	0	0

Total A/C Comments:	19
Total DIS Comments:	0
Total Q Comments:	0
Total Comments:	19

	Total	To Be Closed
OPEN	0	0
ACCEPTED	9	0
MODIFIED	6	6
REJECTED	4	4
ANSWERED	0	0
WITHDRAWN	0	0

Comment IDs by Type. Bold IDs require closure.

A/C Comment IDs: JR-04 JR-03 JR-01 GEM-01 MJH-10 MJH-09 MJH-11 MJH-06 MJH-12
CO-01 JR-02 MJH-03 MJH-02 JR-05 MJH-08 MJH-07 MJH-01 MJH-05 MJH-04

DIS Comment IDs:

Q Comment IDs: